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IV - BRFAZIL/UNITED STATES COMMERCIAL RELATIONS
A. Introduction

1. Trade in goods is only one of the major components of international economic relations. Transfers of capital, mainly through loans and direct investments, and its counterparts, interest and profits, constitute other major elements of international payments. The development of these variables has a great impact on foreign trade, especially of developing countries. medium
2. Brazil's trade policy on the long run intends to support infant industries by granting them protection in the domestic market and exporters of manufactures by granting them the necessary incentives to attain international competitiveness and to overcome certain anti-export bias inherent to Brazil's import substituting industrialization. On the short run trade policy measures intend to control the balance of payments situation.
3. The GATT international trade rules and the IMF regulations on exchange restrictions recognize the need for developing countries to adopt such policies. Examples are GATT chapter IV and the waiver of the GATT MFNrule enabling industrialized countries to grant tariff preferences under the GSP. (Before the MTN, see point 4).
4. However in the late seventies the major industrialized countries started to insist on that the relatively more advanced developing countries should more fully assume the GATT obligations, in accordance with the development of their economies and international trade. For this reason in $\because \quad$ the MTN the industrialized countries, by means of the "enabling clause", has tried to link departures from basic GATT principles such as MFN-treatment 1/

1/ The enabling clause permits, among other mattersw, the continuation of tariff preferences for developing countries under the GSP programs, without necessary extention of the waiver.
and reciprocity, in favour of developing countries, with the graduation principle. The US stand point regarding graduation is that:
"Developed and developing countries have a common interest in mantaining an open international trading system based on agreed rules and constraints on national action. While under the existing trading system developing countries are accorded certain forms of preferential treatment, it becomes increasingly difficult to justify such treatment as the individual country's development level moves closer to that of an industrial country. The continuation of preferential reatment to all developing countries, regardless of their state of development and international competitiveness, would have adverse consequences for both developing and developed countries. By formalizing a two-tier trading system, it would undermine current efforts to strengthen international discipline over national trade policies and to foster the kind of open markets in which all countries, especially those of the developing world, have a major stake". 1/.
5.NThe graduation'principle has had significant effects on Brazil/United States commercial relations. In the first place in 1979 Brazil comitted itself to phase out its most important export subsidy: the fiscal export credit. The US requested this commitment in order to consider Brazil as a "country under the agreement" in CVD-cases. (See Chapter III)2/. In the second place the US has requested Brazil to adapt the conditions of post-embarque export finance to those of the OECD Gentlemen's Agreement. (Brazil and the United States ha created a Joint Commission to study questions related to export finance). In the third piace the US has graduated Brazil with respect to some articles from dutyfree treatment under its GSP program.

1/ USA. Committee on Ways and Means. US House of Representatives. Report to the Congress on the first Fwe Year's Operation of the US Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)". page 23. US Government Printing Office (WMCP 96-58 April 21, 1980, 187 pp.
2/ A point of conflict between the US and Brazil has been Brazil's BEFIEXprogram, which grants fiscal export credits during the entire term of export commitments of beneficiary firms (see Chapter III).
$\stackrel{*}{*}$ $*$
6. Another important item in Brazil/US trade relations is reciprocity. The United States has for a long time put pressure on Brazil's protection policy, principally with regard to Brazil's computer industry.
7. A new issue in Brazil/US commercial relations is international trade in services. The US obtained Brazil's support for a resolution issued during the GATT Ministerial meeting recommending contracting parties to initiate national examinations of their services sector.
8. As foreign currency is a major constraint on the economic growth of Brazil and other developing countries, the value of imports is not determined exclusively as a endogenous effect of the level of economic activity but has to be controlled in accordance with the balance of payments situation and the availability of new loans and international reserves. It makes little sence to strive for an unconditional application of the principle of reciprocity in trade relations between developing and industrialized countries, as developing countries will normally dedicate the maximum of foreign currency at their disposal to the acquisition of imported products needed for their economic development and for the fulfillment of the basic needs of their population.
9. The fall in commodity prices, since 1978; the stagnation of international trade; the rise of real interest rates in the international money markets in the early eighties and, more recently, the drastic reduction in the availability of new foreign loans have put a strong pressure on the international reserves position of Brazil and other developing countries. In recent years all the Latin American countries -except for Colombia- were forced to appiy for special $\overline{I M F}$ credit facilities and to reschedule their foreign debt service with international private banks and, in some cases, foreign governments (Club de Paris). In all cases the obtainance of a large trade -. surplus has been agreed upon with the IMF as one of the principle remedies to overcome the extremely adverse balance of payments situation. It has to be noted that in the current world economic crisis on the short term a trade surplus is achieved mainly through cuts in the import bill, which has to be obtained by a reduction of the level of economic activity and by import
restrictions. In order to control the economic and social costs of such adjustment a revitalization of exports is badly needed. Economic recovery and the maintainance of a open trading system in the industrialized country is a basic condition to achieve the requested export growth.
10. A succesful result of Brazil's endeavor to improve its balance of payment's situation is very important for the industrialized countries, as it is necessary condition to avoid the traumatic effects of a moratorium and to recover an important market for their exports of intermediate and capital goods. Some indication of the importance of Brazil's foreign payments' conditions for the United States can be found in the bilateral Brazil-United States balance of payments (see table 1), although these statistics suffer various methodological problems. The most striking fact is no doubt that from 1978 through 1981 net interest payments from Brazil to the United States increased from 700 million to 3.4 billion dollars. (Amortization payments to the United States amounted to 1.8 billion dollars in 1981).
11. The US Government's reaction to Brazil's balance of payments situation has been positive in several instances. At the end of 1982 and 1983 th US Government provided bridge-loans which represented an important contribution to the finance of Brazil balance of payments' deficit. In November 1982 the US Government agreed upon the maintainance of Brazil's fiscal export credit through April 1985. The US Government has also showed a positive attitude regarding suspension agreement in countervailing duty cases. In September 1983 the EXIMBANK decided to guarantee supplier's credit to Brazil to an amount of 1.5 billion dollars, however the reluctance of the US Congress to approve an unconditional increase in the IMF quota and the increase in countervailing and anti-dumping cases on petition of the private sector of the $\because \quad$ US economy have caused disappointment.


[^0]
## B. BRAZIL/US BILATERAL TRADE

## !)

12. Bilateral Brazil/US Trade reflects pretty well the general patterns of foreign trade of these two countries. The US exports to Brazil principally agricultural products 1/ (wheat and -to a lesser degree-maize), tecnologically more advanced inḍustrial products and services. (See table 2). Brazil exports to the US mainly tropical products (principally coffee and cocoa) and industrial products which use labour intensive (footwear, textiles) and/or mature production techniques (steel products). See table 3). An important proportion of Brazilian.exports.to: the US consists of agro-industrial

1/ Both Brazil and the United States are important exporters of agricultural products. Trade in agricultural products between the two countries is determined to a large extend by climatic factors. Brazil exports to the US tropical products and imports wheat, to compensate for insufficient domestic production. Trade in other agricultural and agro-industrial products has given room to conflicts between the two countries, ciTher because of competition with producers in the US home market (meat, sugar, $F(0]$, instant coffee) or due to competition in third country markets (soybean, poultry - section 301 investigations by the Office of the USTR, see Chapter $V$ ). In some cases the two countries have common interests and are allies, for instance, with regard to the Common Agricultural Policy of the EEC).

```
V
*
products based on the availability of cheap inputs, either from domestic production (e.g. grozen concentrated orange juice) or using the draw-back system (e.g. corned beef). 生/. Brazil!s exports of industrịal products to the US market achieved a relatively high level of diversification, due to the important role of subsidiaries of transmational corporations and possibly thanks to the tariff preferences of the US GSP program. Geyernment support, through export subsidies or direct participation in the production process, also contributed to the growth and diversification of the exports of industrial products, \(\underline{\boldsymbol{Z}} /\).
13. The US is the largest single country market for Brazilian export products, absorbing \(20 \%\) of total exports in 1982 . (See table 5). Exports to the US have shown stable grth rates ( \(17.9 \%\) annually on the ayerage in the seventies) although less spectacular than total Brazilian exports ( \(22.1 \%\) annually in the seventies) . Since the early seventies exports to the US decreased only in 1975 and 1982, (See table lv. A. 1 of The Annex)
14. The participation of US products in Brazilian imports decreased in the seventies, due the fact that a greater proportion of Brazil's import bill was absorbed by increased oil prices, to the continuation of Brazil's import substituting industrialization, which affected principally products imported from industrialized countries, and to a more than proportional increase of LAFTA inter-regional trade. The US share of non oil imports decreased from \(35.3 \%\) in 1970 to \(28.9 \%\) in 1982. (See again table 5).
```

1/ The draw-back system is also very important for footwear exports.
2/ Industrial products' share in Brazilian exports to the $u$ is much higher than is the case with Brazilian exports to other industrialized countries (see table 4).

Table JV. 2

- BRAZILIAN IMPDRTS FRDM THE UNITED SIATES

|  | FOB US8 nillions |  |  |  |  |  | percentage breakdokn |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 | 1975 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1971 | 1975 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1041.8 | 3074.8 | 3216.3 | 4077.5 | 3480.1 |  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |
| Agricultural and agro- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dustrial products | 107.7 | 309.0 | 505.6 | 637.5 | 837.7 |  | 10.34 | 10.05 | 15.72 | 15.63 | 24.07 |  |
| Wheat | 106.8 | 261.3 | 234.0 | 320.5 | 629.0 |  | 10.25 | 8.50 | 7.28 | 7.86 | 18.07 |  |
| Kaize |  | 1.0 | 194.7 | 224.5 | 143.0 |  |  | 0.03 | 6.05 | 5.51 | 4.11 |  |
| - Dther agricultural products | 0.9 | 46.7 | 76.9 | 92.5 | 65.7 |  | 0.09 | 1.52 | 2.39 | 2.27 | 1.89 |  |
| Mineral products | 90.8 | 216.3 | 217.9 | 279.0 | 218.2 |  | 8.72 | 7.03 | 6.77 | 6.84 | 6.27 |  |
| Coal | 34.1 | 117.8 | 161.0 | 186.2 | 172.8 |  | 3.27 | 3.83 | 5.01 | 4.57 | 4.97 |  |
| Other aineral products | 56.7 | 98.5 | 56.9 | 92.8 | 45.4 | - | 5.44 | 3.20 | 1.77 | 2.28 | 1.30 |  |
| Industrial products | 843.3 | 2549.5 | 2492.8 | 3161.0 | 2424.2 |  | 80.95 | 82.92 | 77.51 | 77.52 | 69.66 |  |
| Chenical products | 187.5 | 853.0 | B62.6 | 1103.7 | 666.1 |  | 18.00 | 21.24 | 26. 82 | 27.07 | 19.14 |  |
| Plastic and rubber naterials | 38.4 | 84.7 | 38.7 | 159.6 | 132.6 |  | 3.69 | 2.75 | 1.20 | 3.91 | 3.81 |  |
| Boilers, Apparatuses and aechanical instruaents hachines and electrical | 229.6 | 661.0 | 528.7 | 600.5 | 653.2 |  | 22.04 | 21.50 | 16.44 | 14.73 | 18.77 |  |
| apparatuses | 62.8 | 214.4 | 277.8 | 294.3 | 311.0 |  | 6.03 | 6.97 | 8.64 | 7.22 | 8.94 |  |
| Iransport equipeent | 36.1 | 135.8 | 153.1 | 121.9 | 246.1 |  | 3.47 | 14.17 | 4.76 | 10.35 | 7.07 |  |
| Otical, photografic, cinesat. seasuring, checking, precision, wedical instruaents and parts | 35.1 | 110.6 | 152.4 | 153.8 | 134.4 |  | 3.77 | 3.60 | 4.74 | 3.77 | 3.86 |  |
| Dther ind. products | 253.8 | 390.0 | 479.5 | 427.2 | 280. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  | 24.36 | 12.68 | 14.91 | 10.48 | 8.07 |  |

Source: ECLA on the basis of data provided by the finistry of Finance of Brazil


Source: ECLA on the basis of data provided by CACEI

Table IV. 4
braill, exports destimated to the umited states as a proportiok of tdtal exports


| Agricultural and 2grodustrial products | 31.4 | 13.1 | 21.4 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 19.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ras sugar | 64.8 | 6.9 | 77.6 | 53.0 | 57.2 | 16.1 |
| Cocoz | 40.7 | 39.9 | 34.7 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 40.4 |
| Coffee in bean | 35.6 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 25.0 | 20.5 | 24.7 |
| Instant coffee | 52.3 | 17.8 | 52.9 | 48.8 | 10.2 | 39.1 |
| Orange juice (fcos) | 33.1 | 11.3 | 24.8 | 19.7 | 40.7 | 57.2 |
| Other 2gr. products | 27.1 | 8.0 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 11.3 | 10.8 |
| Hineral products | 12.4 | 13.0 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 13.2 | 19.6 |
| Industrial products | 15.7 | 19.9 | 21.6 | 18.6 | 19.3 | 20.4 |
| Footrear | 90.2 | 79.2 | 64.3 | 63.2 | 66.5 | 72.4 |
| Textiles | 8.9 | 9.1 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 12.5 | 11.7 |
| Steel products | 23.1 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 24.1 | 34.7 | 23.8 |
| Boilers, Apparatuses and echanical instrunents Kachines, electrical | 10.9 | 7.3 | 17.4 | 11.0 | 14.8 | 21.2 |
| apparatuses | 8.0 | 43.6 | 57.7 | 26.9 | 30.6 | 40.5 |
| Transport equipzent | 37.9 | 5.5 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 7.3 |
| Cherical products | 25.3 | 17.3 | 14.1 | 13.1 | 19.5 | 16.3 |
| Othei iñ | 11.3 | 27.7 | 25.2 | 22.7 | 12.5 | 16.5 |

Source: ECLA on the basis of data provided by CACEI

## Table IV. 5

braill : indicators of trade uith the united states


Source: ECLA on the basis of data provided by CACEX and the Hinistry of Finance of Brazil

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1979 | 1ミE0 | 1981 | 1982 |
|  | $\div 52.4$ | 052.5 | 673.8 | 458.9 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Erude Matericals | 150.1 | 145.2 | 122.8 | 142.8 |
| Yineral Fuels/Iuこricants | 22^.9 | 229.7 | 182.9 | 273.9 |
| Oils and Fats | 49.4 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 5.3 |
| Chemicals | 950.3 | 1,162.5 | 719.7 | 594.2 |
| Manufactured Goods by Chief Material | 211.4 | 312.3 | 191.4 | 185.8 |
| Machinery \& Transport | 1,146.7 | 1,568.6 | 1,637.9 | 1,499.8 |
| Equipment |  | * |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 186.9 | 191.7 | 187.7 | 186.6 |
| Other | 27.1 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 21.2 |
| $E$ E | 3,407.5 | 4,306.3 | 3,752.9 | 3.380 .5 |

Source: FT-455 Annuals

15. Iin the period 1969 through 1980 Brazil/US bilateral
trade (FOB-FOB) showeed a surplus in favour of the United States, attaining a record 1.7 billion dollars in 1975 (according to Brazilian trade figures). 1) In the eighties Brazill's balance of trade with the uS turned into a surplus, due to reduced purchases; of US products. It must be noted that Brazil's trade deficit with OPEC (5; billion dollars in 1982, excluding Mexico and Venezuela) has to be financed principally with a trade surplus with the rest of the world (in spitte of increasing exports to OPEC up to 1981). A surplus in trade in goods shcould also compensate the traditional deficit in Brazil's international services trade and make some contribution to the finance of the foreign debt serwice. It has to be noted also that the surplus in trade in goods that Brazil plans to obtain at present and in the years coming ( 6.5 and 9 bilion dollars in 1983 and 1984 respectively) has to be achieved principally by improving the balance of trade with industrialized countries.
16. Trade with Brazil represents only a small proportion of total US foreign trade. US imports from Brazil represent less than $2 \%$ of total US imports. Mo=e than one third of Brazilian articles imported into the US are food products (principally coffee, cocoa, sugar and frozen concentrated orange juice. (See table 6).
17. The small share of Brazilian articles in total US imports can be illustrated on the basis of a 1977 sample of major US imports from Brazil, which includes imports exceeding a minimum value of 200000 dollars at the five digit level of the TSUS trade classification. Even excluding articles in which imports from Brazil were inexistent or less than the minimum value mentioned above, the Brazilian share of corresponding US imports was only 7\%. (See table 7). Approximately half of the number of articles included in the survey represented less than $5 \%$ of corresponding US imports from all sources. With regard to 32 agricultural and 30 industrial . articles Brazil participated in more than $25 \%$ of total $U S$ imports, representing a trade value of 634 million dollars.

1) See table lv. A.3. (Annex)

Table 10.7
united states ikporis from braill as a share of united states inports from all sources
(sample of irports exteeding a value of 200000 dollars in 1977 at the 5 -digit level of TSUS)

|  |  |  | percentage breakdokn |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | agricultural products | indus- <br> trial <br> products | Total | agricultural products |  |

1) share of inports from

- Brazil in iaports fron

| 1 sour | 7.0 | 16.8 | 3.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

2) share of inports fron

Brazil in inports from all
sources according to classes
a) number of articles

| total | 285 | 68 | 217 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | --- | -- | --1 | --- | -- |
| less than il | 48 | - | 48 | 16.8 | - | 22.1 |
| over 11 but less than 54 | 90 | 15 | 75 | 31.6 | 22.0 | 34.6 |
| over 57 but less than 102 | 39 | 4 | 35 | 13.7 | 5.9 | 16.1 |
| over 102 but less than 251 | 46 | 17 | 29 | 16.1 | 25.0 | 13.3 |
| over 251 but less than $50 \%$ | 27 | 11 | 16 | 9.5 | 16.2 | 7.4 |
| over 501 | 35 | 21 | 14 | 12.3 | 30.9 | 6.5 |

b corresponding trade value

| total | 2168 | 1428 | 740 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| less than 11 | 71 | - | 71 | 3.3 | - | 9.6 |
| over 11 but less than 57 | 188 | 45 | 143 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 19.3 |
| over 52 but less than 102 | 245 | 101 | 144 | 11.3 | 7.1 | 19.5 |
| over 103 but less than 25x | 1030 | 841 | 189 | 47.5 | 58.9 | 25.5 |
| $\because$ over 251 but less than $50 \%$ | 234 | 85 | 149 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 20.1 |
| - pret 502 | 400 | 356 | 4 | 18.4 | 24.9 | 6.0 |

18. A more significant indicator of the relative importance of Brazilian articles for the US economy is its market penetration rate at a product specific level. This indicator normally relates imports (from all sources or from a peticular country) to US apparent consumption (defined as producers' shipments plus imports léss exports), by preference expressed in quantitive terms. Some US market penetration rates of Brazilian articles. can be obtained from USITC investigation (see Chapter $V$, table ).

## C. THE GSP PROGRAM OF THE UNITED STATES

19. The GSP program of the United States has probably played a significant role in the growth and diversification of Brazilian exports to that country, especially in the early years of its operation. From 1976 to 1979 duty-free imports of Brazilian GSP-articles into the United States increased at an annual rate of 36.4 percent. Brazil is the number five supplier of GSP duty free articles imported into the United States, after Taiwan, Korea, Hong Kong and Mexico. However Brazil's share in duty free GSP imports was only $6.1 \%$ in 1981. (See table 8).
20. The US GSP program currently grants duty free treatment to some 3000 tariff sistems 1/, mainly manufactures and semi-manufactures. However the Trade Act of 1974 excluded a series of import-sensitive items from duty-free treatment, including some very important export products of Brazil, such as textile and apparel articles subject to textile arrangements, footwear and import-sensitive steel articles. As can be seen in table IV. 3 textiles, footwear and steel products represented 47 percent of biazilian's export of manufactures ( $16 \%$ of total export to the US) in 1975 immediately before the initiation of the US GSP program.
$\because \quad$ I/ Increased from 2800 articles at the beginning of its operation in 1975.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Talilc. } 1 \text {. } 8 \\
& \text { Table vi. } 8
\end{aligned}
$$

Estados Unidos: Importaciones de articulos SGP exonerados de derechos aduaneros: Principales paises beneficiados. (en millones.de dolares)


Fuente: Oficina del USTR.
21. In order to "reserve the benefits of the program for less comperitive producers" and also to grant "some measure of protection for $W S$ producers of like or directly competitive products"1/. he. Trade Act of 1974 (Section 504) designed the competitive need formula. According to this formula a country is automatically excluded from the benefits of duty-free treatment for a particular product if imports of that product from that country in one calender year exceed either certain dollar value (adjusted annually according to the growth in the US GNP) Ur 50 percent of totall US imports of the product. The Trade act was amended by the Trade Agrements Act of 1979, introducing the deminimis provision, which gives the President the discretion to waive the $50 \%$ competitive need rule in cases were JUS imports of an article were less than a certain dollar value (to be adjusted annually to reflect the growth in the US GNP).

A country may be redesignated for GSP benefits in an excluded item if its imports fall below the competitive need limits in a subsequent year-
22. Brazilian exports of GSP eligible articles have been severely hit by the competitive need limits. The number of articles for which Brazil has been excluded from duty-free treatment as a result of the competitive need limites increased from 10 in 1976 to 17 in 1979. US import of these articles amounted to 36.5 and 354.1 million dollars or 12.6 and 37.3 percent of US imports of GSP-articles from Brazil respectively (See table 9). Due to the introduction of the de minimis provision the number of Brazilian articles excluded from duty-free treatment decreased after 1979, however the value of imports excluded from duty-free treatment continued to increase in 1980 both in absolute terms and in reiation to total US imports of GSP articles from Brazil.
23. Table 10 summarizes 43 GSP articles in relation to which Brazil has been excluded from duty gree-treatment in one or more years since the initiation of the US GSP program. The most important article is no doubt sugar, followed by corned beef.


1) Report to the Congres. page 23

Table IV. 9
united states, 1976-1982, imports from braill according tó tariff treatment
(USS aillions)

|  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total trade | 1721.4 | 2230.7 | 2788.8 |  |  |  |  |
| MFN | 1432.2 | 1722.8 | 2106.7 |  |  |  |  |
| dutiable | 378.8 | 414.7 | 651.6 |  |  |  |  |
| duty-free | 1053.4 | 1309.1 | 1455.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 65P | 289.1 | 507.9 | 682.1 | 947.4 | 969.7 | 1102.5 | 828.8 |
| duty-free | 214.7 | 343.8 | 468.9 | 545.5 | 438.0 | 514.6 | 563.9 |
| dutizble | 74.4 | 164.1 | 214.1 | 401.9 | 531.7 | 587.9 | 264.9 |
| cospetitiye need | 36.5 | 131.8 | 166.3 | 354.1 | 486.2 | 445.7 | 168.8 |
| graduation | n.a. | ก.a. | n.a. | п.a. | n.a. | 79.0 | 20.4 |
| adainistrative reasons | 37.9 | 32.3 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 45.5 | 73.2 | 75.7 |

二 a/ such as failure to claia 6SP, iaproper docuaentation, or failure to aeet 35 percent value added requirenent
Fuente: 1976-1979 U.S.A. Coneittee on Hays and Keans. US House of Representatives;
Report to the Congress on the First five Years Dperation of the US Generalized Syster of Preferences (GSP)
1950-1982 CEPAL en base a varias fuentes
24. Since 1980 the United States have applied the graduation principle to the GSP program, excluding relatively more advanced developing countries from its benefits on the basis of discretional authority of the President. This policy intends to give the least developed countries a better change to obtain a major share or the benefits of the GSP program. This policy was introduced mainly as a result of an evaluation of the first five years operation of the GSP program 1/, that showed an uneven distribution of tine benefits of the system among beneficiary developing countries. It should be noted however that (a) according to the same evaluation study the little use that least developed countries have made of the US GSP program can be explained to a large extend to a lack of exportable surplus and not to competition from the more advanced developing countries, that ( $($ ) duty-free imports from Brazil are small in relation to total imports from Brazil and (c) it is doubtful that the type of products with respect to which Brazil has been graduated can be supplied by least developed countries.
25. The graduation principle is instrumented principally by denying individual countries which become eligible for redesignation of duty-free treatment particular items according to corresponding trade in the previous calendar year (when this trade falls below the competitive need limits) the benefits of the GSP program. Countries can also be graduated in response to petitions filed by US producers and labor uniones. Since 1980 SEvEN of the more advanced developing countries have been graduated from GSP eligitility with respect to individual products (see table 11).

1/ Report to the Congress.
i.: :



| excluded in: | 1975 1974 | 1976 1975 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | isports US: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brazil } \\ & \text { ousands } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSus due to isport value in: | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1981 | 1982 |
| Description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10745 Beef or veal |  | $x$ | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 90 |
| 10748 Cornde beef |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  | $\chi$ | $\chi$ | $\chi$ | 59945 | 38838 |
| 13035 Corn or maize a) |  |  | $\chi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | - |
| 14785 Guavas |  | \} | $\chi$ | $\chi$ | $x$ |  |  |  |  | 318 | 353 |
| 15254 Guava paste and pulp |  |  | $\underline{1}$ |  | $x$ |  |  |  | $\chi$ | 512 | 1059 |
| 15308 Guava jelly, jas, etc. |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 15520 Sugar, sirup, etc. | $\chi$ | I | $\chi$ | $\underline{1}$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\underline{ }$ | 1 | $\chi$ | 387810 | 88857 |
| 15640 Cocoa unskeetened |  |  |  | $\chi$ | X | X |  |  |  | 9923 | 6554 |
| 15645 Cocoa sweptened b) |  |  |  | $\chi$ |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 17601--Castor oil, valued not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17602 Castor oil, valued over 20 cts. per pound $c$ ) | X | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 17615 Castor oil, valued over 20.cts. per pound |  |  | $x$ | $x$ |  | X | 1 |  |  | - | 573 |
| 18620 Fur cut |  |  |  | $\chi$ | $x$ |  |  |  |  | 13 | 7 |
| 24010 Plymood, spanish cedar face | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 209 | 754 |
| 24012 Plywood, parana pine face | X | X |  |  | $\chi$ |  |  |  |  | 92 | 121 |
| 4520 Hardboard, n/łace finished |  |  |  |  | $x$ | $x$ | 6 | 6 | $x$ | 17959 | 13784. |
| 24530 Hardboard, face finished |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3733 | 888 |
| 50444 Ranie fibers |  |  |  | I | $\chi$ |  |  |  |  | 96 | 62 |
| 30448 Sesal and henequen |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 36 |
| 10830 Silk yarn |  |  |  | $x$ | $\chi$ |  |  |  |  | 78 | 329 |
| 10212 Phtalic anhyoride |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \ |  | 979 | 147 |
| 12098 Sodius chrosate and dichromate |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 12574 Citric atyde |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 50 |
| 2586 Dxalic acyde |  |  | $\chi$ |  |  | x |  |  |  | 160 | 653 |
| 2830 Butylene and propylene glycol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi$ |  | 6313 | 1455 |
| 2834 Ethylene glycol |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  | 3052 | 2777 |
| 2858 Ethyl acetate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi$ | 556 | 1437 |
| 2886 Propylene oxide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi$ |  | 16080 | 4144 |
| 3724 Cuprous oxide |  |  |  |  | $\chi$ |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 3751 Eluconic acid |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| 3764 Menthol | X | $\chi$ | 1 | $\underline{ }$ | $\chi$ |  |  | 1 |  | 10878 | 2909 |
| 5244 Orange oil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | 1256 | 767 |
| ${ }^{\text {non }}$ c.lanl |  | . |  | \} |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |

Table IV.ll
united staies: countries gradciated fron duty free treaikent on certain gsp-articles and previous year trade (US aillions)

|  | 1981 | 1982 |  |  | 1983 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | totalredesig petition <br> nation <br> denied | total | redesig nation denied | petition | total | redesig nation denied | petition |
| Total |  | 651.1 | 597.2 | 53.9 | 900.1 | 805.4 | 94.7 |
| Brazil |  | 27.8 | 27.8 |  | 60.9 | 46.0 | 14.9 |
| Hong Kong |  | 257.8 | 257.8 |  | 294.9 | 294.9 |  |
| lsrael |  | 3.0 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| Korean RP |  | 90.8 | 87.3 | 3.5 | 125.3 | 91.3 | 34.0 |
| Hexico |  | 84.4 | 84.4 |  | 80.6 | 53.6 | 27.0 |
| Singapure |  | 23.1 |  | 23.1 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |
| Tainan |  | 164.2 | 137.3 | 26.9 | 313.7 | 294.9 | 18.8 |


Source: ECLA on the basis of data provided by the Office of the USTR

26. Brazil has been graduated in one or more years on six prioductis. (See table 10). With respect to three products Brazil had previously been excluded from duty free treatment because US imports from Bmazil exceeded the competitive need limits. It has to be noted that with respect to motor vehicle body parts, (TSUS 69232) Brazil, after being graduated in 1981, was excluded from GSP eligibility again on the basis of the competitive need formula in 1982. In 1983 Brazil was excluded for the firs time on request of petitioners.
27. The tariff preferences that Brazil enjoys under the GSP program on the average are small. Trade wighted average ad-valorem equivalents of import duties charged on Brazilian imports into the United States in 1977 are presented in table. 12. For some individual items tariff preferences are more significant. As can be seen in the table a erosion of tariff preferences will occur as a result of the MTN tariff reductions. It has to be noted however that the benefits that Brazil will accure from the general tariff reductions are most probably more significant than the disadvantege of the erosion of tariff preferences under the GSP program.
28. Because of the relative small tariff preferences and the small share of GSP duty free imports in total US imports from Brazil, the GSP program is expected to have little effects on Brazilian exports to the US in the near future. However, it might continue to be an important instrument for the diversification of Brazilian exports :to the US, away from importsensitive articles, in the benefit of the two countries. The effects of the different types of exclusiones on Brazil's exports are of difficult evaluaiion. It should be observed, however, that in 1982 exports of all excluded GSP articles suffered considerable declines (see table 16).
29. Some remarks should be made on the future of the US GSP program, that expires on January 31, 1985. The US Government has circulated ideas according to which the industrialized countries would establish special tariff rates for developing countries (LDC rate), lower than MFN rates but

Table IV. 12

Estados Unidos 1977: Importaciones desde Brasil según tratamiento arancelaria de los productos y margenes de preferencia de los articulos SGP (Muestra de partidas arancelarias con valores de importaciones de productos brasileriros superiores a 200 mil dolares)

|  |  |  | artic | los SGP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Productos no inclui en SGP | Total | entrada con exoneración de derechos aduaneras (entre parentesis $\sin$ azucar) |
| A) Valores de importacion (millones de dolares) |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2167.9 | 1675.3 | 492.6 | 338.2(248.0) |
| Capitulos 1-24 | 1428.4 | 1177.8 | 250.6 | 135.5 (45.4) |
| Capítulos 25-99 | 739.6 | 497.6 | 242.0 | 202.7 |
| B) Estructura (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 77.3 | 22.7 | 15.6 (11.4) |
| Capitulos 1-24 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 17.5 | 9.5 (3.2) |
| Capítulos 25-99 | 100.0 | 67.3 | 32.7 | 27.4 |
| C) Artî́culos SGP: Derechos de aduana (\%) ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ \| (promedios, ponderados con importaciones desde Brasil) |  |  |  |  |
| i) PRE-MTN |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 6.9 | 8.2. (4.8) |
| Capítulos 1-24 <br> Capítulos 25-99 |  |  | 8.5 | 12.8 (3.0) |
|  |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| ii) POST-MTN (1987 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 5.4 | 6.2 (2.1) |
| Capǐtulos 1-24 Capítulos 25-99 |  |  | 8.1 | 12.0 (0.7) |
|  |  |  | 2.7 | 2.4 |

:- I/ Derechos ad volorem o su equivaiente de derechos especificos y/o compuestos

[^1][^2]
## Tatele IV. 13




|  | arancel Eit $15:$ ? (ex̣uivalente zd valores.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ent riies } \\ \hdashline 1991 \end{gathered}$ | colares 1982 | yeriaᄃ! (I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Total de los productos excluidos (1+2) |  | 555505 | 197010 | -65 |
| E. idea, excluyendo azucar |  | 177696 | 108153 | -39 |

1. Foraula de Necesidad Competitiva

| 10748 | Corned Beef | 7.5 | 59945 | 38839 | -35 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15520 | Sugar | 7.2 | $3 E 7810$ | 88857 | -77 |
| 24530 | Hardboard, face finished | 15.0 | 3733 | 883 | -76 |
| 40212 | Phtalic Anhydride | 0.0 | 979 | 147 | -85 |
| 42950 | Butylene and Propylene glycol | 25.1 | 6313 | 1455 | -77 |
| 42856 | Propylene oxide | 22.8 | 16030 | 4144 | -74 |
| 43764 | Henthol | 3.7 | 10978 | 2909 | -73 |
| 69232 | Mator vehicle bodi parts | 0.0 | 52003 | 39350 | -24 |

2. Graưtuacion

| 24520 | Hardboard, n/fage finished | 7.5 | 17959 | 13795 | -23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 66042 | Piston type cospression engines | 5.0 | $\cdots 807$ | 6656 | -32 |

Productos no eacluidos de beneficies al . $\quad 545335 \quad 631785$ It
a) incluye isportaciones gravedas por razones adainistrativas

Fiente: Ceffl en hase a dates de la Dficina del us Trade Representative

$$
\because \quad-27-
$$

$\stackrel{A}{=} \underset{=}{N} \quad N \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{X}$


| European Elonosic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comaunity (10) | 104.6 | 373.0 | 483.7 | 476.7 | 521.7 | 554.0 | 560.4 | 597.4 | 835.0 | 967.4 |  |
| Japan | 42.6 | 29.1 | 31.5 | 27.8 | 29.9 | 41.0 | . 56.0 | 58.6 | 105.3 | 144.9 | 969.2 |
| Canada | 18.8 | 21.9 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 24.8 | 22.9 | $\cdots 16.4$ | 26.3 | 28.6 | 144.9 40.6 | 158.4 12.8 |
| 2. Eastern Europe | 74.8 | 73.2 | 92.3 | 88.4 | 89.3 | 104.2 | 96.9 | 121.4 | 129.0 | 123.5 | 128.9 |
| 3. Developing Countr. bl | 157.4 | 112.4 | 115.8 | 188.2 | 245.6 | 261.6 | 211.9 | 263.2 | 348.4 | 456.8 | 514.2 |
| OPEC © <br> Other developing | 8.8 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 9.7 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 2.8 | 26.7 | 21.5 |
| countries | 148.6 | 111.0 | 113.8 | 178.5 | 242.6 | 257.2 | 205.3 | 257.4 | 345.6 | 430.1 | 492.7 |
| (ALADI) | 97.2 | 77.0 | 80.5 | 138.4 | 201.7 | 187.7 | 161.2 | 193.1 | 254.1 | 302.9 | 354.7 |
| Special transact. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 22.2 |

## Source:

ECLA Brasilia Office on the basis of data supplied by the Banco do Brasil/CACEX
a) United States (including Puerto Ricol, Canada, European Econoaic Coseunity, Japan, Austria, Morway, Fortugal, Smeden

Skitzerland, Spain, Finland, Yugoslavia, Malta, Turkey, lsrael, Australia, Nem Zealand and South Africa
b.) Include socialist countries in Asia
b) Exclude Venezuela and Ecuador
$\bar{\varepsilon}-$

| , | -29- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table IV.A.l (Ccini.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | bratil: exproris of goods to the uhited states and selected country groups; 1972-198? (sillions of U.S.dollars, fobl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| Total | 3891.2 | 6989.2 | 7951.0 | 8669.9 | 10128.3 | 12120.2 | 12858.9 | 15244.1 | 20132.4 | 23293.0 | 20175.1 |
| 1. Developed Harket |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Econosy Countries al | 3029.5 | 45.59.6 | 5641.4 | 5504.9 | 6999.4 | 8254.1 | 8547.4 | 9766.8 | 12091.5 | 12941.8 | 12403.6 |
|  | $\therefore$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States | 931.2 | $122 \% .4$ | 1737.1 | 1337.3 | 1812.9 | 2148.7 | 2869.3 | 2911.0 | 3509.6 | 4111.1 | 1034.2 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Econoric | 1378.4 | 2310.7 | 2451.7 | 2429.4 | 3081.3 | 3886.7 | 3735.4 | 4505.4 | 5346.1 | 5932.9 | 5442.9 |
| Japan | 180.0 | 425.2 | 556.7 | 671.9 | 639.2 | 886.7 | 650.4 | 886.9 | 1231.9 | 1219.7 | 1294.1 |
| Canada | 50.3 | 89.0 | 95.4 | 136.2 | 136.9 | 142.6 | 152.4 | 199.3 | 242.6 | 289.8 | 231.1 |
| 2. Eastern Europe | 214.2 | 339.4 | 395.9 | 762.2 | 910.0 | 858.7 | 728.8 | 975.8 | 1306.6 | 1698.8 | 1163.2 |
| 3. Developing Countr. bl | 737.7 | 1165.1 | 1837.7 | 2310.5 | 2123.0 | 2909.9 | 3239.7 | 4358.8 | 6474.0 | 8296.3 | 6347.2 |
| OPEC 51 | 24.9 | 161.6 | 471.1 | 548.1 | 429.8 | 588.5 | 687.9 | 602.2 | 1232.5 | 1961.8 | 1327.4 |
| Other developing countries | 712.9 | 1003.4 | 1366.6 | 1762.3 | 1693.3 | 2321.5 | 2551. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 3756.6 | 5241.5 | 6334.5 | 5019.8 |
| (ALADI) | 407.8 | 557.5 | 917.7 | 1197.4 | 1202.1 | 1480.4 | 1619.3 | 2474.7 | 3458.2 | 4208. 9 | 2834.5 |
| Special transact. | 9.8 | 35.1 | 75.9 | 92.3 | 95.8 | 97.5 | 143.0 | 143.0 | 260.3 | 356.1 | 261.0 |

## Source:

ECLA Brasilia Office on the basis of data supplied by the Banco do Brasil/CACEX
3) United States (including Puerto Ricol, Canada, European Econobic Coceunity, Japan, Austria, Norway, Portugal, Sheden

Snitzerland, Spain, Finland, Yugoslavia, Malta, Turkey, Israel, Australia, Nen lealand and South Africa
b) Include socialist countries in Asia
c) Exclude Venezuela and Ecuador
$\varepsilon$
$\because \quad \because$

## Table IV.A. 2

bRAZIL: IAPORTS OF gOods from the united states ans selected country groups; 1961-1971 (nillions of U.S.dollars, fob)

|  | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1291.8 | 1304.2 | 1294.0 | 1086.4 | 940.6 | 1303.4 | 1441.3 | 1855.1 | 1993.2 | 2506.9 | 3247.4 |
| 1. Developed Harket |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Econory Countries al | 992.9 | 944.9 | 903.8 | 734.5 | 599.3 | 982.9 | 1075.3 | 1384.0 | 1515.5 | 1976.3 | 2547.7 |
| United States | 461.5 | 110.0 | 102.0 | 374.9 | 281.6 | 522.9 | 510.1 | 612.7 | 613.2 | 223.8 | 954.8 |


| European Etononic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cocrunity (10) | 323.2 | 332.0 | 328.9 | 236.9 | 207.4 | 285.0 | 354.4 | 508.6 | 583.6 | 739.3 | 1002.9 |
| Japan | 70.2 | 54.0 | 54.9 | 29.5 | 32.1 | 38.5 | 44.9 | 65.9 | 94.6 | 159.3 | 228.9 |
| Canada | 23.4 | 22.2 | 21.9 | 12.4 | 11.0 | 15.3 | 16.0 | 32.2 | 34.6 | 61.3 | 88.0 |
| 2. Eastern Europe | 82.1 | 56.6 | 63.2 | 55.6 | 51.5 | 59.3 | 68.7 | 79.4 | 62.6 | 51.5 | 97.7 |
| 3. Developing Countr. b) | 236.8 | 302.7 | 327.1 | 296.3 | 288.9 | 281.2 | 297.4 | 391.8 | 415.1 | 478.9 | 599.9 |
| OPEC $)^{\text {c }}$ | 41.6 | 57.3 | 63.8 | 51.7 | 40.2 | 61.8 | 77.5 | 106.5 | 120.8 | 147.0 | 229.2 |
| Other developing countries | 190.7 | 245.3 | 263.3 | 244.7 | 249.7 | 219.4 | 219.8 | 285.3 | 294.3 | 332.0 | 370.7 |
| (ALADI) | 118.0 | 198.9 | 220.9 | 217.7 | 227.6 | 195.8 | 186.7 | 226.3 | 241.7 | 263.7 | 271.2 |

Source:
ECLA Brasilia Office on the basis of data supplied by the Banco do Brasil/CACEX
a) United States (including Puerto Ricol, Canada, European Econonic Connunity, Japan, Austria, Norkay, Portugal, Skeden
-. 5kifzerland, Spain, Finlend, Yugoslavia, Kalta, Turkey, Israel, Australia, New Zealand and South Africa
bf Inctude socialist countries in Asia
c) ExcJude Venezuela and Ecuador
$E$

Table IV.R. 2 (cont.)
beialil: imsporis of goods from the united states ans selected couktry groups; 1972-1982 (aillions of U.S.dollars, fob)

|  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Total | 4232.3 | 6il92.2 | 12641.3 | 12210.3 | 12383.0 | 12023.4 | 13683.1 | 18083.9 | 22955.2 | 22090.6 | 19396.7 |
| -..- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Developed Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Econday Countries a) | 3342.0 | 4.755 .6 | 8576.6 | 8367.7 | 7336.1 | 6588.9 | 7799.3 | 8934.5 | 10625.0 | 9157.9 | 7506.2 |
| United States | 1211.8 | 1.618.4 | 3078.6 | 3086.2 | 2839.1 | 2401.4 | 2888.9 | 3239.7 | 1101.0 | 3503.7 | 2861.2 |


| European Econoric |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comaunity (10) | 1355.5 | 1772.4 | 3158.5 | 3051.6 | 2513.4 | 2325.9 | 2552.4 | 3275.6 | 3513.4 | 2977.9 | 2441.0 |
| Japan | 322.1 | f92.1 | 1095.3 | 1105.2 | 871.9 | 844.5 | 1241.2 | 1085.0 | 1065.9 | 1240.0 | 880.5 |
| Canada | 86.9 | 109.1 | 410.2 | 202.9 | 310.7 | 266.9 | 357.2 | 345.0 | 814.2 | 533.8 | 452.5 |
| 2. Eastern Europe | 81.6 | 88.6 | 157.0 | 191.9 | 226.0 | 226.3 | 191.5 | 239.4 | 248.8 | 241.9 | 463.3 |
| 3. Developing Countr. b) | 808.7 | 1350.1 | 3907.7 | 3650.7 | 4820.9 | 5208.2 | 5692.3 | 8909.9 | 12081.4 | 12690.8 | 11427.2 |
| OPEC [) | 318.9 | 576.0 | 2420.5 | 2577.9 | 3406.5 | 3623.2 | 3949.6 | 5983.8 | 8434.0 | 8597.7 | 7470.2 |
| Other developing countries | 489.8 | 774.1 | 1487.2 | 1072.8 | 1414.4 | 1585.0 | 1742.7 | 2926.1 | 3647.4 | 4093.1 | 3957.0 |
| (ALADI) | 350.1 | 556.6 | 944.1 | 718.4 | 1173.4 | 1342.8 | 1422.3 | 2208.7 | 2692.2 | 3126.1 | 3287.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ECLA Erasilia Office on the basis of data supplied by the Banco do Brasil/CACEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) United States lincluding Puerto Ricol, Canada, European Econocic Comaunity, Japan, Austria, Norway, Portugal, Smeden Switzerland, Spain, Finland, Yugoslavia, Malta, Turkey, Israel, Australia, Nem Zealand and South Africa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c) Exclude Yenezuela and Ecuador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table IV.A.J
brazil: trade balance hith the united states and selected country groups; 1961-1971 (aillions of U.S.dollars, fob)

|  | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 111.1 | -90.0 | 112.5 | 343.4 | 654.8 | 438.1 | 212.8 | 26.2 | 317.9 | 232.0 | $-343.5$ |
| 1. Developed harket Ecomoy Coumtries a) | 177.9 | 83.7 | 294.6 | 418.7 | 661.3 | 412.8 | 270.0 | 112.8 | 318.2 | 178.3 | -309.2 |
| lnited States | 101.2 | 71.8 | 128.9 | 99.4 | 238.6 | 58.5 | 37.2 | 14.3 | -3.5 | $-147.7$ | -194.9 |


| European Econoric |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coraunity (10) | 81.4 | 41.0 | 154.8 | 239.8 | 314.3 | 269.0 | 205.9 | 88.8 | 251.5 | 228.1 | -33.7 |
| Japan | -27.6 | -25.0 | -23.4 | -1.7 | -2.2 | 2.5 | 11.1 | -7.3 | 10.7 | -14.4 | -70.5 |
| Canada | -4.6 | -0.3 | 0.5 | 9.5 | 13.8 | 7.5 | 0.4 | -5.9 | -5.9 | -20.7 | -45.1 |
| 2. Eastern Europe | 12.7 | 16.6 | 29.2 | 32.8 | 37.8 | 44.9 | 28.2 | 42.0 | 66.4 | 72.0 | 29.2 |
| 3. Developing Countr. b) | -79.4 | -190.3 | $-211.2$ | $-108.1$ | -44.3 | $-19.7$ | -85.5 | -128.6 | -66. 7 | -22.1 | -85.7 |
| DPEC © 1 | -32.8 | -55.9 | -61.8 | $-42.0$ | -37.2 | $-57.4$ | -70.9 | -100.7 | -118.0 | $-120.3$ | -207.7 |
| Other developing countrips | -42.1 | -134.4 | $-149.5$ | -66.1 | -7.1 | 37.8 | $-14.6$ | -27.9 | 51.3 | 98. 1 | 122.0 |
| (9lan!) | -20.8 | -121.9 | -140.4 | -79.4 | -25.9 | -8.1 | -25.5 | -33.2 | 12.4 | 39.3 | 83.5 |
| Special tramsact. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 22.2 |

## Soutse:

ECLA Brasilia Office on the basis of data supplied by the Banco do Brasil/CACEX
a) United Staies fincluding Fuerto Ricol, Canada, European Econoaic Connunity, Japar, Austria, Norway, Portugal, Smeden Suit:erland, Spain, Finland: Yugoslavia, Kalta, Turkey, lsrael, Australia, Hew Iealand and South Africa
b) Include socialist countries in Asia
c) Exclude Venezuela and Ecuador
$E$
beail: thade balance hith the united states and selected country broups; 1972-1982 (eillions of U.5.dollars, fob)

| - | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | $\stackrel{1976}{ }$ | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | - P981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total $\quad$ : | -241.1 | 7.0 | -4690.3 | $-3540.4$ | $-2254.7$ | 96.8 | $-1024.2$ | $-2839.5$ | $-2822.8$ | 1202.5 | 778.4 |
| 1. Developed Karket |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| =Econoay Countries al | -312.5 | -95.9 | -2935.2 | $-2862.8$ | -336.7 | 1665.2 | 748.0 | 832.3 | 1466.5 | 3783.9 | 4897.4 |
| United States | -280.6 | -696.0 | -1341.5 | -1718.9 | -996.3 | -252.7 | -19.6 | -298.7 | -591.1 | 607.8 | 1173.0 |


| European Econozic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Copqunity (10) | 27.9 | 538.3 | -706.8 | -622.3 | 567.9 | 1560.8 | 1183.0 | 1229.8 | 1832.8 | 2955.0 | 3002.0 |
| Japan | -142.1 | -66.9 | -538.6 | -433.2 | -232.6 | 42.1 | -590.8 | -198.2 | 166.0 | -20.4 | 413.6 |
| Canada | -36.5 | -40.1 | -314.8 | -66.6 | -173.8 | -124.4 | -204.9 | -145.7 | -571.5 | -244.0 | -221.4 |
| Eastern Eur ope | 132.6 | 252.8 | 238.9 | 570.3 | 684.1 | 632.4 | 537.3 | 736.3 | 1057.7 | 1456.9 | 700.0 |
| Developing Countr. bl | -71.0 | -185.1 | -2069.9 | -1340.2 | $-2697.9$ | -2298.2 | $-2452.6$ | -4551.1 | $-5607.3$ | -4394.5 | -5080.0 |
| DPEC c) | -294.1 | -414.4 | -1949.1 | -2029.7 | -2976.8 | -3034.7 | -3261.6 | -5381.7 | -7201.4 | -6635.9 | -6142.7 |
| Other developing countries | 223.1 | 229.3 | -120.5 | 689.5 | 278.9 | 736.5 | 809.1 | 830.5 | 1594.1 | 2241.4 | 1062.7 |
| (ALADI) | 57.8 | 0.9 | $-28.4$ | 479.1 | 29.0 | 137.6 | 197.0 | 266.0 | 766.0 | 1082.8 | -453.0 |
| Special transact. | 9.8 | 35.1 | 75.9 | 92.3 | 95.8 | 97.5 | 143.0 | 143.0 | 260.3 | 356.1 | 261.0 |

## jurte:

ILA Brasilia Office on the basis of data supplied by the Banco do Brasil/CACEX

- United States (including Puerto Rico), Canada, European Econosic Consunity, Japan, Austria, Norway, Portugal, Sweden Sulizerland, Spain, Finland, Yugoslavia, Kalta, Turkey, Israel, Australia, Nen Zealand and South Africa.
InElude socialict countries in Asia
Exclude Venezuela and Ecuador


[^0]:    Source: ECLA on the basis of Central Bank of Brazil, Monthly Bulletin, Movesber 1982

[^1]:    Fuente: Elaboración propia en base a datos no plublicados de GATT assessment" - 1977)

[^2]:    probably higher than present GSP rates. The MFN and LDC rates should converge over time. The new system should be the result of trade negotiations in which the developing countries also should comitt themselves to take certain steps to liberalize their imports. All concessions should be binded in GATT. This system would bring benefits to the LDC's because of the permanent character of the preferences. However the introduction of the reciprocity principle into GSP would be a disadvantage.

