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## Executive summary

## The global economic crisis has had a negative, albeit not dramatic, impact in the region

The global economy slowed significantly in 2012, amid recession in Europe caused by financial, fiscal and competitiveness imbalances, especially in the eurozone, as well as the slowdown in China and moderate growth in the United States. Growth rates for output and global trade fell and capital flows to developing countries shrank and became more volatile.

The downturn was transmitted to Latin America and the Caribbean mainly through the trade channel. With the European Union in recession and China's economy slowing in 2012, the region's exports to the European Union shrank by $4.9 \%$ in value terms and those to China, by $1.7 \%$. By contrast, exports to the United States increased by $4.8 \%$ thanks to modest growth in that economy, and intraregional exports climbed $1.4 \%{ }^{1}$ Growth in export values tailed off sharply for Latin America and the Caribbean overall, from 23.9\% in 2011 to an estimated $1.6 \%$ in $2012 .{ }^{2}$

The impact was differentiated within the region. Hydrocarbon-exporting countries, together with Mexico and the Central American and Caribbean countries, much of whose goods and services exports go to the United States, felt a smaller impact since many of them increased their exports to that economy and, in some cases, to Europe and China as well. Other South American countries, which ship a larger share of their exports - especially of natural resources - to China and the European Union, suffered a heavier impact, with

[^1]exports to both destinations falling sharply for some of them. Inbound tourism in the region, especially in Central America and the Caribbean, returned a positive growth rate in 2012 and remittances, mainly from the United States, also rose in these countries. Conversely, remittances fell in countries, like Ecuador and Colombia, for which Spain is a larger emigrant destination.

Another impact of the cooling global economy was the downturn in terms of trade for the region overall, although countries that export mainly hydrocarbons and oils and oilseeds were able to avoid this impact, thanks to still high prices in the first case and a climate-driven spike in prices in the second. With terms of trade down or stable in most of the region's countries, the expansion in export value was attributable mainly to higher export volumes and not, as in recent years, to higher prices. Brazil was an exception to this trend, since its export values shrank, reflecting a fall in prices and more or less stable total export volumes.

The foregoing trends translated into a reduction in the goods trade surplus on the regional balance of payments between 2011 and 2012 (from $1.3 \%$ to $0.9 \%$ of GDP), which in turn shows up in a wider current account deficit (from $-1.3 \%$ to $-1.6 \%$ of GDP) for the region overall and especially for most of the South American countries. The deterioration in the current account position was more marked in the case of mineral- and metal-exporters, whose imports were also pushed up by domestic demand.

Conversely, Mexico yielded a narrower current account deficit in 2012, as a result of smaller outflows on the factor income account, and the trade and current account balances of the Central American countries showed little change, although their current account deficit remain high at around 7\% of GDP.

## Global financial instability led to smaller inflows of short-term capital and a more volatile exchange rate in Brazil and Mexico, but eased pressures towards currency appreciation

Amid global financial instability, short-term net capital inflows into Latin America and the Caribbean were down in 2012 and exchange rates were more volatile in Brazil and Mexico, the region's two largest countries and among the most integrated into the international financial markets. International reserves continued to accumulate in the region overall, but more slowly than in 2011. Pressures towards currency appreciation eased in several countries as the current account position deteriorated and capital inflows decreased -in some cases as a result of regulations or a reduction in sovereign bond issues abroad (although private issues increased). The currencies depreciated in both nominal and real terms in Brazil and Mexico, ${ }^{3}$ but in other countries local currencies continued to appreciate despite currency-market interventions and a build-up, in some cases of over $1 \%$ of GDP, in international reserves. Only Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Chile saw a decline in international reserves of any significance in 2012.

## Monetary policy was slightly expansionary

In most of the countries monetary policy was geared towards offsetting the negative impact of shrinking external demand on economic activity. Generally speaking, the countries avoided policies -such as interest rate hikes or other types of measure aimed at controlling monetary aggregates- that could choke credit growth and domestic demand, despite an uptick in inflation caused in part by the rise in food prices starting in September 2012. Inflation did temporarily breach the upper limit of the band in countries which run inflation-targeting schemes, such as Mexico and Peru, but the rate for the region overall in 2012 (5.8\%) was lower than in 2011 (6.9\%).

Efforts continued to strengthen macroprudential policies through changes to legal reserve requirements, sometimes to promote the use of national currencies (as in Paraguay, Peru, the Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay) or to prevent overborrowing by households (Colombia). Reforms were also made to financial regulations to improve countercyclical provisioning (Ecuador), reduce

[^2]interest rate risks (Bahamas, Paraguay and Ecuador) or expand central bank powers (Argentina and, to a lesser degree, Guatemala).

## The fiscal position deteriorated in most of the countries, but fiscal policies have remained predominantly prudent

With only a few exceptions, the gap between income and expenditure rose in most of the countries as spending rose faster ( 1 percentage point of GDP) than income ( 0.6 percentage points). However, analysis of fiscal balances alongside the economic cycle in the region suggests that in general a prudent fiscal policy stance has been maintained in 2012.

The higher spending helped to maintain the momentum of domestic demand, especially consumption, with current spending rising by 0.6 GDP percentage points and capital spending 0.5 GDP points. In the case of natural-resourcesexporters, the drop in non-tax income was offset by a rise in tax income on the strength of buoyant domestic demand but, in countries where revenues increased (13 of 19), the rise was usually quite moderate. Meanwhile, the various tax measures or reforms being pursued in several countries (Chile, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Panama and Peru) should increase their tax burden in 2013.

Debt levels rose only slightly and did not pose a threat to fiscal sustainability in the Latin American countries. The fiscal deterioration was larger in the Caribbean, however, where the overall fiscal deficit widened from $3.6 \%$ to $4.0 \%$ of GDP. The fiscal position worsened not only in the service-exporting economies of the Caribbean, but also in the natural resources-exporting countries.

## The region's economy proved resilient, despite the global economic downturn

The economic activity arising from the interaction between global economic deterioration, the region's pattern of specialization and the policy mix implemented by the governments suggested that Latin America and the Caribbean has continued to show some resilience to external shocks. In particular, the region's rate of GDP growth (3.1\%) exceeded global growth (2.2\%), unemployment eased down from $6.9 \%$ in 2011 in $6.4 \%$ in 2012, and real wages rose (see figure 1 and table A-21 in the statistical annex). Most of the English- and Dutch-speaking Caribbean countries, which have taken longer to rebound from the global financial crisis of 2008-2009, returned growth rates in 2012 that were still low, but nevertheless positive and slightly up on 2011 ( $1.1 \%$ versus $0.4 \%$ ).

Figure 1 LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: ECONOMIC GROWTH, EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT, 2000-2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.
The regional performance was heavily weighted by slower growth in two of the largest economies, ${ }^{4}$ Argentina ( $2.2 \%$ in 2012 compared with $8.9 \%$ in 2011) and Brazil ( $1.2 \%$ versus $2.7 \%$, respectively). Not including these two countries, the region's GDP rose by $4.3 \%$, which was not far from the rate without those countries in 2011 ( $4.5 \%$ ). Mexico's economy expanded $3.8 \%$ and several countries posted rates of $5 \%$ or more, including the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (5.3\%), Chile (5.5\%), Costa Rica (5\%), Peru (6.2\%) and the Plurinational State of Bolivia (5\%). Panama was again the region's fastest-growing economy (10.5\%). The other economies in Latin America and the Caribbean expanded by between $1 \%$ and $5 \%$, except for Paraguay, Saint Kitts and Nevis and Jamaica, which registered negative growth. Central America overall achieved growth of $4.2 \%$, South America 2.7\% and the Caribbean $1.1 \%$ (see table 1 ).

With external demand weakening, growth in the region was driven by domestic demand, fuelled partly by monetary or fiscal policy measures in most of the countries. The rise in demand was chiefly a reflection of consumption, with public consumption making a larger contribution than in 2011, consistently with the expansion of public spending in many countries. Robust domestic demand partly offset the more sluggish performance of the external sector, whose negative impact was felt more strongly in several South American countries. The fastest-growing sectors in the region were commerce, construction and financial and business services.

[^3]Table 1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: VARIATION IN TOTAL GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT
(Millions of dollars at constant 2005 prices)

|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2013{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 9.2 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 3.9 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Brazil | 7.5 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 4.0 |
| Chile | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| Colombia | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Costa Rica | 4.7 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Cuba | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 7.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| Ecuador | 3.6 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| El Salvador | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Guatemala | 2.9 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Haiti | -5.4 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 6.0 |
| Honduras | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Mexico | 5.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| Nicaragua | 3.1 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Panama | 7.6 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 7.5 |
| Paraguay | 13.1 | 4.4 | -1.8 | 8.5 |
| Peru | 8.8 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| Uruguay | 8.9 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -1.5 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 2.0 |
| Subtotal Central America (9 countries) | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| Subtotal South America (10 countries) | 6.5 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 4.1 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | -7.9 | -5.0 | 0.9 | 2.4 |
| Bahamas | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Barbados | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| Belice | 2.9 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 2.3 |
| Dominica | 0.9 | -0.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Granada | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Guyana | 4.4 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 4.9 |
| Jamaica | -1.5 | 1.3 | -0.2 | 0.1 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -2.4 | 2.1 | -0.8 | 1.8 |
| Saint Lucia | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.9 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | -2.8 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Suriname | 7.3 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0.0 | -1.4 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| Subtotal the Caribbean | -0.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 5.9 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 3.8 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.
b Projections.

Investment made a smaller contribution to growth in 2012 than it had in 2011, owing mainly to the investment contraction in Argentina and Brazil, which both weigh heavily in the regional average. Investment growth was slack, too, in some Central American countries, and in Cuba and the Dominican Republic, with very low investment ratios of around $15 \%$ of GDP or less in 2012, although remittances helped to drive expansion of domestic demand. Investment was strongly up in other countries of the region, however, and Latin America and the Caribbean overall achieved an average ratio investment of $22.9 \%$ of GDP in 2012, the highest value recorded since 1981. Evidence of stronger growth in construction and commerce suggests that investment went mainly to those sectors and less to procurement of machinery and equipment.

## Employment and wages rose, with unemployment falling more among women than among men, but there are signs that growth in "quality" employment has slowed

Employment and wages rose, with the drop in unemployment among women ( 0.3 percentage points as a simple average of the countries with data available) larger than among men ( 0.1 percentage points). For the region overall, urban unemployment eased down from $6.7 \%$ in 2011 to $6.4 \%$ in 2012 , which was no small achievement in the context of a slowing global economy, but a smaller gain than in recent years: unemployment rates were $8.1 \%$ in $2009,7.3 \%$ in 2010 and $6.7 \%$ en 2011. The drops in unemployment and rises in employment have been larger in the South American countries and have been occurring more recently in Mexico. Mexico and Central America have yet to regain pre-2009 employment levels and the Caribbean has not achieved a significant reduction in unemployment since 2009.

Higher public current spending helped to boost public sector employment in 2012, above the rate of increase of private wage employment. Other indicators suggest that improvements are still taking place in the labour market, but at a slower rate than before. Growth in formal wage employment remained strong in several countries, but slowed in Argentina and Brazil in particular, in keeping with slackening economic growth. Growth in wage employment ( $2.7 \%$ ) in 2012 continued to outstrip growth in own-account employment ( $2.2 \%$ ) but the difference between the two rates (which stood at $3.2 \%$ and $1.9 \%$ in 2011) narrowed. In a few countries (Argentina, the Dominican Republic and Mexico), the reverse occurred, with brisker growth in own-account employment. In most of the countries with data available (7 of 10), the services sector expanded its share in total employment, which reflects slower growth in tradable sectors, such as agriculture and industry, which are harder hit by sluggish external demand.

Generally speaking, real wages rose, which helped to bolster domestic demand, particularly consumption. Higher minimum wages in many countries contributed to the rise in real wages at the regional level. In addition, a number of countries made changes to their labour legislation, including measures to give domestic workers stronger rights and better income (Nicaragua, Uruguay and Ecuador), to broaden breastfeeding rights (Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador and Mexico), and to restructure social security contributions to favour labour-intensive activities (Brazil). In Mexico broader legislative changes were made, including the introduction of new types of contract and
the regulation of subcontracting. Substantial changes were made in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, as well, with the elimination of outsourcing, a shorter working day and a higher severance pay.

## The outlook for 2013 is again for lacklustre and uncertain external conditions

The economic outlook for Latin America and the Caribbean depends heavily on how the global economy evolves in 2013. The most likely scenario is continued low growth in Europe and even recession in some European countries, although there remains a possibility that agreements will be reached that would gradually resolve the existing financial, fiscal and competitiveness imbalances. At the same time, in the wake of the presidential elections in United States, prospects have improved for a fiscal agreement, albeit a partial one, and the government has confirmed its intention to maintain an expansionary monetary policy. Some positive performance indicators are now showing in labour and housing, which together support a projection of some growth in 2013. China's rate of growth may rise - or at least should not fall- in 2013, depending on how much the country can boost domestic consumption while holding down inflation and regaining export growth. This should be aided by consolidation of a positive growth track in the United States and perhaps, though less probably, a gradual recovery (or at least a bottoming out) in Europe. This scenario also assumes that oil will not become a factor of additional instability for geopolitical reasons.

Taking this as the baseline scenario for 2013, it is estimated that GDP growth in Latin America and the Caribbean could pick up to around $3.8 \%$, owing to two effects in particular. First, higher growth in Argentina and Brazil, on the back of recovery in the agricultural sector in Argentina and in manufacturing and investment in Brazil. An upswing in trade between the two countries could also boost their respective economic activity levels. Second, several of the region's economies are expected to experience strong domestic demand thanks to improvements in labour indicators, an increase in bank lending to the private sector and relatively stable raw material prices, since no further falls of any significance are foreseen. External demand is unlikely to make much contribution to economic growth in 2013, given the low growth context and highly uncertain external conditions.

In this scenario, growth rates should be less disparate in the region, including an uptick in the Caribbean. However, the Caribbean countries are still on a fragile fiscal footing and need fiscal reform along with external support to firmly gain sustainable fiscal consolidation paths.

In Latin America and the Caribbean, the challenge also remains of increasing and stabilizing investment growth (rather than relying solely on consumption) to drive structural change, absorb technical progress and achieve suitable growth. In this connection, tapping the regional market within an open regionalism approach could help to offset the weak rendering of external demand in the past few years.

Lastly, although it is less likely than the baseline scenario, a lower growth scenario cannot be ruled out, given the (albeit receding) possibility that external risk factors - deepening of the crisis in the eurozone, lack of agreement over how to deal with the fiscal cliff in the United States, a heavy slowdown in China or oil
price hikes fuelled by political tensions in the Middle East-will worsen. In that case, the resilience the Latin American and Caribbean region has shown thus far would be more severely tested and the impact would continue to be uneven in the region, depending on the significance of each of these factors for the economies of the individual countries. A growth slowdown in the United States would affect Mexico, Central America and the Caribbean the most, whereas the other South American countries would feel the impacts more heavily if Europe remained in recession or China's economy cooled. Finally, an oil price hike could have a more or less favourable impact, depending on the countries' positions as net exporters or importers of fuels.

## The external sector

## The recession in Europe, the cooling of the Chinese economy and slow growth in the United States all contributed to a decline in global economic growth in 2012

Growth in the global economy fell slightly from $2.7 \%$ in 2011 to $2.2 \%$ in 2012 . The main reason was the recession in a number of eurozone countries and its consequences for Asia and Latin America, which grew less briskly than they had in 2011, albeit still faster than the global economy as a whole. Although there were improvements in the United States and Japanese economies, these were not enough to offset the slackening performance in Europe.

Figure 1.1
SELECTED COUNTRIES AND REGIONS: REAL GDP GROWTH, 2010-2013
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of United Nations, World Economic Situation and Prospects. Mid-2012 Update, New York, 2012.
a Estimates.
${ }^{5}$ Proyections.
The region's external conditions continued to be affected by the financial and sovereign debt crisis in some eurozone countries, the tribulations of the United

States economy following the 2008-2009 crisis in its financial system, and falling growth rates in the major economies of Asia as a result of both the two factors mentioned and difficulties of their own. However, the decision by the European Central Bank (ECB) to make direct purchases of eurozone countries' sovereign debt under certain conditions, combined with the adoption of commitments to institutional change by member States, helped to reduce the likelihood of a crisis in these countries, and sovereign risk premiums came down as a result (see figure I.2).

Despite some forecasts of recession, in 2012 the United States economy showed signs of an incipient if fragile recovery, with growth of $2.1 \%$ in 2012 contrasting with the $1.8 \%$ recorded in 2011. Growth in the economies of China and India fell because of negative growth in Europe, the market for a large proportion of both countries' exports. This was compounded by the need to restructure domestic spending in China because of overinvestment in some sectors of the economy, and to reduce inflationary pressures in both countries, and by India's fiscal deficit, which left little scope for action to offset the decline in external demand.

Figure 1.2
EUROPE (SELECTED COUNTRIES): RISK PREMIUMS ON FIVE-YEAR CREDIT DEFAULT SWAPS, JULY 2009 TO OCTOBER 2012
(Basis points)



Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of Bloomberg figures.

In this context, price trends for the raw materials exported by Latin America and the Caribbean relative to 2011 were mixed. International prices for a number of foodstuffs began to rise in the second half of 2012 (see figure I.3). In contrast, the copper price followed a slightly downward if fluctuating trend, while the crude oil price, with some variations, tended to hold steady. In the case of oil, uncertainty increased towards the year's end as heightened geopolitical strains interfered with the normal operation of the market. At the same time, even with global liquidity still high, slow progress towards a solution to the eurozone crisis and the uncertainties surrounding the United States economy led to a slight increase in perceptions of emerging country risk, so that financial inflows to these economies fell.

Lower growth in the global economy resulted in contraction or lower growth for the region's goods and services exports

The deterioration in the world economy was transmitted to the region's economies mainly through the trade channel, owing to the fall-off in exports from Latin America and the Caribbean to Europe and China in 2012. The region's overall exports to Europe and China fell, but this decline was particularly marked in South America, including Brazil (figure I.4). Its impact on economic activity varied by the scale of exports as a proportion of each country's GDP, and by the proportion going to the European Union and China in particular. Despite the slowdown in exports to the United States market, they continued to grow faster than those to other destinations.

Figure 1.3
SELECTED COMMODITY PRICE INDICES, JANUARY 2006 TO OCTOBER 2012
(Index: 2005=100)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of International Monetary Fund, Primary Commodity Prices, November 2012.

Figure 1.4
LATIN AMERICA: YEAR-ON-YEAR CHANGE IN EXPORTS, BY DESTINATION, 2011-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a To September 2012.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ For the Caribbean, the data correspond to January-September (United States), JanuaryAugust (European Union), January-May (China) and January-June (Latin America and the Caribbean).

Table 1.1
LATIN AMERICA: EXPORT SHARES OF DESTINATION
MARKETS, 2011
(Percentages)

|  | European <br> Union | United States | China | Latin America <br> and the <br> Caribbean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America | 13 | 39 | 9 | 18 |
| South America <br> (except Brazil) | 14 | 23 | 11 | 24 |
| Central America | 13 | 39 | 1 | 36 |
| Brazil | 21 | 10 | 17 | 22 |
| Mexico | 5 | 79 | 2 | 8 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

The export performance reduced trade flows as a share of GDP, especially in the case of exports to Europe (see table I.2). The economies of Chile, Peru and the Plurinational State of Bolivia suffered the worst effects, owing to the European market's importance as a destination for their exports and the substantial share of their GDP represented by trade flows. The impact was not so severe for Brazil, despite the sharp drop in its exports to Europe, because exports represented a smaller share of the country's GDP. Meanwhile, exports to the United States market had a particularly positive impact in GDP terms for countries exporting manufactures (such as Mexico and Costa Rica) and energy products (Ecuador and the Plurinational State of Bolivia).

Table 1.2
LATIN AMERICA: ABSOLUTE GROWTH IN EXPORT VALUES AS A SHARE OF GDP, BY DESTINATION COUNTRY, SEPTEMBER 2011 TO SEPTEMBER 2012
(Percentages)

|  | (Percentages) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | European <br> Union | European <br> Union | China | Latin America <br> and the <br> Caribbean |
| Argentina | -0.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.2 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational <br> State of) | -0.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 7.0 |
| Brazil | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.3 |
| Chile | -1.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.5 |
| Colombia | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Costa Rica | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.9 |
| Ecuador | -0.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 2.8 |
| El Salvador | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Guatemala | -0.1 | -0.4 | - | - |
| Honduras | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| Mexico | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Nicaragua | 0.2 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 1.7 |
| Paraguay | -0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.7 |
| Peru | -0.7 | -0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Uruguay | -0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 |
| Republic of) |  |  |  |  |

Republic of) ${ }^{\text {a }}$
0.0
0.1
0.0
$-0.1$
Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Non-oil exports.

The region's exports are estimated to have risen $1.6 \%$ by value in 2012, which would represent sharply slower growth than in previous years. Falling prices for a large group of export products eroded the value exported and, by contrast with previous years, volume growth was the factor driving the modest increase in the value of exports from the South and Central American countries and Mexico (figure I.5). The exception was Brazil, where a combination of low demand from its main trading partners (the European countries and China) and a substantial drop in its intraregional trade led to a decline in export volumes.

Figure 1.5
LATIN AMERICA: ESTIMATED GROWTH IN EXPORT VALUES, WITH CONTRIBUTION OF VOLUMES AND PRICES, 2012 (Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Import prices were less variable than export prices. Volume growth appears to have been much the same for imports as for exports, but generally positive changes in the unit value of imports meant that their total value increased by more than that of exports in 2012 (see table A. 6 in the statistical annex). The result is that the goods trade surplus for the region as a whole is estimated to have fallen from $1.3 \%$ of regional GDP in 2011 to $0.9 \%$ in 2012.

Over the course of 2012 a number of countries took measures to address the trade balance deterioration (see table I.3). Argentina and Brazil stand out, as the measures taken there had a large impact on trade flows within the region because of the large share of intraregional trade these two countries represent.

Table I. 3
LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES AND MERCOSUR): TRADE MEASURES, 2012

| Country | Measure | Date implemented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | All merchandise imports require an import affidavit in advance. The administrative process delays imports, and an import licence may be refused in some cases. | February 2012 |
|  | Unwinding of the free trade regime between MERCOSUR and Mexico for motor vehicles: implementation of a $35 \%$ tariff on automobiles from Mexico and a tariff of between $16 \%$ and $18 \%$ on auto parts. | June 2012 |
|  | Requirement that importers balance imports with exports unless they increase the local content of the products they manufacture in Argentina, or alternatively that they not transfer revenues abroad. | July 2012 |
|  | Imposition of a 14\% tariff on imports of capital goods from outside MERCOSUR. Tariff of $2 \%$ on capital goods produced outside the country. | July 2012 |
| Brazil | Brazil and Mexico agree to a temporary review of the motor vehicle free trade agreement, under which light vehicles can be imported tariff-free only up to certain gradually rising ceilings. | March 2012 |
|  | Termination of the system of automatic import licensing for several categories of perishable goods imports from Argentina. | May 2012 |
| Colombia | Entry into effect of free trade agreement with the United States. | May 2012 |
| Ecuador | Imposition of trade restrictions on imports of 627 tariff items from all over the world. | January 2012 |
| MERCOSUR | Authorization for member countries to increase import tax rates above the common external tariff for a maximum of 100 tariff positions for up to 12 months, with the possibility of an extension for up to a further 12 months. In June 2012, this measure was extended to a maximum of 200 tariff positions. | January 2012 |
| Mexico | Introduction of tariffs on automobiles (20\%) and auto parts (from 0\% to 20\%) from Argentina. | June 2012 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official information from the countries concerned.

The services balance also continued to deteriorate in 2012 (see figure I.10). One of the main reasons appears to have been the increased cost of freight, insurance and other services associated with goods imports (whose volume continued to increase, as noted above). The most negative outcome was in South America. By contrast, the services balance held steady as a share of GDP in the Central American countries and Mexico.

International tourism is a major source of revenue, particularly in the Caribbean and Central America. Tourist arrivals increased, but did not reach the levels seen before the 2008 crisis (see figure I.6). This is explained by weak economic growth in the United States and Europe, the main source countries for tourists to both subregions. These effects were also felt in Mexico, the region's largest tourist destination. Tourism in South America began to trend downward in 2011, and this trend continued in 2012. This partly reflected the slowdown in the region's economies, which affected intraregional tourism and international business travel, both major sources of inbound tourism in the South American countries.

Figure 1.6
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: YEAR-ON-YEAR GROWTH IN INTERNATIONAL TOURIST ARRIVALS, JANUARY 2009 TO AUGUST 2012
(Percentages, three-month moving averages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the World Tourism Organization (UNWTO).

## Weaker external demand resulted in a slight worsening of the terms of trade

As a result of lower global economic growth, especially in Europe and, to a lesser degree, in Asia, prices
for some commodities that account for a large share of the region's export basket trended downward in 2012 (see figure I.7). Food prices were a partial exception to this trend, as they did not decline but rather fluctuated as a consequence of climatic factors. Prices fell during the first half of the year, particularly for sugar and wheat, but in the third quarter there was an upturn driven by higher prices for cereals (maize, wheat and rice). One factor was the drought that hit farm production in the United

States, and this contributed to a pick-up in inflation in Latin America and the Caribbean, particularly in the net food-importing countries of Central America and the Caribbean. In the tropical beverages category, coffee and cacao prices fell heavily, mainly as a result of rising global output. Prices for vegetable oils and oilseeds rose substantially during 2012 because of poor weather conditions resulting in a smaller than expected soybean harvest in Argentina, Paraguay and Brazil.

Figure 1.7
LATIN AMERICA: PRICE INDICES FOR EXPORT COMMODITIES AND MANUFACTURES, JANUARY 2008 TO OCTOBER $2012^{\text {a }}$
(Index: 2000=100, three-month moving average)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) and the Netherlands Bureau of Economic Policy Analysis (CPB). a The export commodity groups are weighted by their share of Latin American exports.

Lower demand from emerging and developing economies, particularly in Asia, led to a drop in metal and mineral prices. The price of copper, one of the Peru's and especially Chile's main exports, was far below its 2011 level, while the prices of nickel, tin, lead and zinc also fell short of the year before. The price of iron, Brazil's main commodity export and the region's second-largest after copper, was among those that fell most in 2012. The only metal whose price increased was gold, a major export item for Peru.

After remaining high in the early months of 2012, the oil price fell back in the second quarter. This tendency was reversed in the third quarter, however, when it came very close to its 2011 level. The oil prices limited movement reflects both a rise in global production (particularly in the Middle East) and a slackening of demand, especially in the eurozone.

Given these developments, the region's terms of trade are estimated to have fallen by $2.2 \%$ in 2012 (see figure I.8). For South America, however, a 3.5\% decline is estimated,
with exporters of mining and metal products (Chile, Peru and Brazil) suffering the largest downturn, while the other countries in the region saw modest terms-of-trade gains. Hydrocarbon-exporting countries (the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador and the Plurinational State of Bolivia) are estimated to have experienced a moderate upturn in their terms of trade because of high oil prices in the first quarter of the year and the reversal of the downward trend of the second quarter. Countries exporting agro-industrial products (Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay) are also estimated to have seen an improvement, chiefly owing to higher prices for cereals and oilseeds following the drop in production caused by poor weather conditions.

Terms of trade are estimated to have declined by $1.7 \%$ for the Central American countries and $3.2 \%$ for the Caribbean countries. The deterioration is attributable to the fall in the prices of the main products exported by these subregions (sugar, coffee and metals). In the case of Mexico, terms of trade improved slightly owing to the fact that its main exports are manufactures.

Figure 1.8
LATIN AMERICA: ESTIMATED CHANGE IN TERMS OF TRADE, 2009-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data for 2012 are estimates.

## While profit remittances fell, worker remittances rose to varying degrees

In 2012, the factor income deficit is estimated to have improved slightly in nominal terms, to $2.3 \%$ of regional GDP, reversing the downward trend of recent years. This development is linked to the decline in a number of export commodity prices in 2012, resulting in lower earnings for foreign firms operating or investing in the region, with the consequent decline in profit remittances. Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Mexico were the countries reporting the largest outflows in absolute terms. However, three of these (Brazil, Chile and Mexico) recorded a drop in net outflows (of $34 \%, 15 \%$ and $1 \%$, respectively), ${ }^{1}$ while Colombia experienced a rise of $14 \%{ }^{2}$ because of greater outflows associated with foreign direct investment (FDI), mainly in the oil sector.

Current transfers, consisting mainly of remittances from workers abroad, rose slightly. Their share of GDP held steady at $1.1 \%$ in 2012, albeit with large variations from country to country (see figure I.9). The significant increases in El Salvador and Guatemala were due to a relative upturn in economic activity and labour market prospects in the United States, while the rise in Nicaragua
was chiefly due to the work of the country's migrants in Costa Rica. The declines in remittance flows to Colombia and Ecuador, meanwhile, reflected the difficult employment situation in Spain, the main destination for Colombian and Ecuadorian migrants, where unemployment stood at around $25 \%$.


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The rates for 2012 are calculated on the basis of data available up to the second quarter (up to the third quarter in the cases of El Salvador, Guatemala, Jamaica, Mexico and Nicaragua).

## The balance-of-payments current account is estimated to have worsened in most of the region's countries

Chiefly as a consequence of the deteriorating trade balance, it is estimated that the Latin American countries posted a balance-of-payments current account deficit equivalent to $1.6 \%$ of regional GDP in 2012, a slight deterioration from the $1.3 \%$ in 2011. Because of the cooling world economy, the value of exports is estimated to have grown by less ( $2 \%$ ) than that of imports ( $4 \%$ ), with the trade balance thus deteriorating from a surplus of $0.1 \%$ of GDP in 2011 to a deficit of $0.4 \%$ in 2012. The surplus on the current transfers account, meanwhile, is estimated to have held steady at $1.1 \%$ of GDP. The income account deficit is estimated to have narrowed slightly from $2.5 \%$ to $2.3 \%$ of GDP (see figure I.10).

[^4]Figure 1.10
LATIN AMERICA: STRUCTURE OF THE CURRENT ACCOUNT,
2006-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data for 2012 are estimates.

For the South American countries as a group, the current account deficit is estimated to have risen from $1.1 \%$ to $1.5 \%$ of GDP, chiefly owing to a decline in their goods trade surplus resulting from lower demand for commodities and a terms-of-trade deterioration, particularly for the countries exporting most to the eurozone and China. There were large differences between the region's countries in this respect, however. Chile and Peru appear to have seen a considerably smaller goods trade surplus because of declining exports to Asia and Europe. Brazil's trade deficit is estimated to have risen, as exports fell heavily. By contrast, goods balances appear to have improved in Ecuador and the Plurinational Sate of Bolivia, owing to higher hydrocarbon prices and an increase in export volumes. Mexico's trade balance is estimated to have held fairly steady as a proportion of GDP, although its current account deficit narrowed as a result of lower factor income outflows. Central America's current account deficit also appears to have remained fairly unchanged at about $7 \%$ of GDP.

Table 1.4
LATIN AMERICA: CURRENT ACCOUNT STRUCTURE BY SUBREGION, 2011-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages of GDP)

|  | Goods balance |  | Services balance |  | Income balance |  | Transfers balance |  | Current account |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Latin America | 1.3 | 0.9 | -1.2 | -1.3 | -2.5 | -2.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | -1.3 | -1.6 |
| South America | 2.7 | 2.2 | -1.5 | -1.6 | -2.7 | -2.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | -1.1 | -1.5 |
| Central America | -16.1 | -15.5 | 3.7 | 3.8 | -3.4 | -3.6 | 8.7 | 8.3 | -7.1 | -7.0 |
| Mexico | -0.1 | 0.0 | -1.2 | -1.2 | -1.5 | -1.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | -0.8 | -0.7 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data for 2012 are estimates.

Global financial uncertainty and smaller issues of sovereign bonds reduced net short-term capital inflows

Financial flows into the region continued to exceed the current account deficit and refinancing needs, so that international reserves tended to continue to build up (see table I.5), but there were changes from 2011. In the first place, net financial inflows were significantly down on the previous year and this, in combination with a larger current account deficit, meant that the reserves build-up was only $50 \%$ of that seen in 2011. This smaller inflow of foreign exchange helped to relieve the pressure for nominal currency appreciation
in a number of economies. In some countries, this was a deliberate goal which the authorities pursued by imposing reserve requirements or taxes on capital inflows, or by issuing fewer sovereign bonds abroad.

In the second place, the drop in net inflows was mainly accounted for by increased outflows in the other net investment liabilities category, which includes the most volatile and shortest-dated components of external financing, and whose evolution partly reflected increased uncertainty in global financial markets. The greatest outflows were from Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Mexico, but those from Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala and Uruguay were also substantial.

Table 1.5
LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): ESTIMATED BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS COMPONENTS ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  | Current account balance |  |  | Capital, financial and errors and omissions account |  |  | Reserves and related items |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Argentina | 2791 | -307 | 1487 | 1367 | -5 801 | -2 721 | -4 157 | 6108 | 1234 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 969 | 537 | 1180 | -46 | 1623 | 434 | -923 | -2 160 | -1 614 |
| Brazil | -47 272 | -52 481 | -54 087 | 96373 | 111118 | 76451 | -49 101 | -58637 | -22 364 |
| Chile | 3269 | -3 220 | -6 064 | -245 | 17410 | 3387 | -3 024 | -14 190 | 2677 |
| Colombia | -8758 | -10 032 | -11836 | 11893 | 13776 | 15793 | -3 136 | -3 744 | -3 957 |
| Costa Rica | -1 281 | -2 185 | -2 383 | 1842 | 2318 | 2843 | -561 | -132 | -460 |
| Ecuador | -1625 | -238 | -427 | 413 | 510 | 1905 | 1212 | -272 | -1479 |
| El Salvador | -576 | -1 070 | -1 150 | 281 | 656 | 1132 | 295 | 414 | 18 |
| Guatemala | -626 | -1456 | -1781 | 1303 | 1661 | 1685 | -677 | -206 | 95 |
| Honduras | -955 | -1 503 | -1623 | 1523 | 1560 | 1226 | -569 | -57 | 397 |
| Mexico | -4 456 | -11 073 | -7860 | 25071 | 39253 | 24184 | -20 615 | -28 180 | -16 323 |
| Panama | -2 862 | -3874 | -4 260 | 3313 | 3527 | 3908 | -452 | 347 | 352 |
| Paraguay | -654 | -270 | -469 | 973 | 1054 | 510 | -319 | -784 | -41 |
| Peru | -3782 | -3 341 | -6 133 | 14973 | 8066 | 18371 | -11 191 | -4 725 | -12 238 |
| Uruguay | -739 | -1 324 | -1 399 | 378 | 3888 | 3973 | 361 | -2 564 | -2 574 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 12071 | 24615 | 15958 | -20 131 | -28 647 | -20 241 | 8060 | 4032 | 4283 |
| Latin America | -54 487 | -67 222 | -80 847 | 139284 | 171973 | 132843 | -84 797 | -104 751 | -51995 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The countries in this table have published quarterly balance-of-payments information up to June 2012 and, in the cases of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Mexico and Peru, up to and including September.
${ }^{b}$ Estimates.

Third, while net FDI diminished slightly, it remained substantially above 2010 levels, reflecting the region's attractiveness as an investment destination. Lastly, net portfolio investment showed a slight increase over 2011, especially in Mexico. ${ }^{3}$ Generally speaking, private-sector bond issues abroad were highly dynamic in 2012, exceeding sovereign issues (which fell in the case of countries such as Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, El Salvador, the Dominican Republic and Mexico), despite a small increase in risk in the region (see figure I. 11 and table A. 14 in the statistical annex).

[^5]Figure 1.11
LATIN AMERICA: EXTERNAL BOND ISSUES AND COUNTRY RISK, JANUARY 2007 TO OCTOBER 2012
(Millions of dollars and basis points)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from LatinFinance (bonds database), JP Morgan and Merrill Lynch.

## Table I. 6

LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): ESTIMATED FINANCIAL ACCOUNT COMPONENTS, 2010-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Financial account balance |  |  | Net foreign direct investment |  |  | Net portfolio investment and other net investment liabilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Argentina | 2273 | -886 | -736 | 6090 | 7183 | 6401 | -3 817 | -8 069 | -7 137 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 924 | 1522 | 1554 | 672 | 859 | 525 | 252 | 663 | 1029 |
| Brazil | 98792 | 110817 | 78613 | 36917 | 67690 | 66137 | 61875 | 43127 | 12476 |
| Chile | -5927 | 18118 | 4044 | 6142 | 5477 | 4864 | -12 069 | 12641 | -820 |
| Colombia | 11768 | 13494 | 13952 | 184 | 5546 | 13771 | 11584 | 7948 | 181 |
| Costa Rica | 1933 | 2536 | 1807 | 1441 | 2099 | 2200 | 492 | 437 | -393 |
| Ecuador | 235 | 360 | 1229 | 161 | 640 | 578 | 73 | -280 | 651 |
| El Salvador | -607 | 916 | 3414 | 117 | 385 | 258 | -723 | 530 | 3156 |
| Guatemala | 1584 | 2002 | 815 | 782 | 967 | 1054 | 802 | 1035 | -249 |
| Honduras | 1419 | 1534 | 1499 | 971 | 997 | 1059 | 448 | 537 | 440 |
| Mexico | 44070 | 40716 | 33084 | 5911 | 8685 | -4730 | 38159 | 32031 | 37814 |
| Panama | 2908 | 4224 | 1957 | 2350 | 2790 | 2823 | 557 | 1434 | -866 |
| Paraguay | 457 | 836 | 260 | 340 | 483 | 239 | 118 | 353 | 21 |
| Peru | 12480 | 9161 | 19884 | 7062 | 8119 | 9641 | 5418 | 1042 | 10243 |
| Uruguay | 1085 | 4256 | 3093 | 2349 | 2629 | 2768 | -1 263 | 1627 | 325 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -17 762 | -24 818 | -17685 | -1462 | 4875 | -759 | -16 300 | -29 693 | -16926 |
| Latin America | 155632 | 184787 | 146784 | 70027 | 119424 | 106839 | 85605 | 65363 | 39945 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The countries in this table have published quarterly balance-of-payments information up to June 2012 and, in the cases of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Mexico and Peru, up to and including September.
${ }^{b}$ Estimates.

## Chapter II

## Fiscal and monetary variables

## The fiscal position deteriorated, especially in the Caribbean

In 2012, the fiscal balances of the region's countries deteriorated relative to 2011, mainly because of public spending growth. In Latin America, primary balances (before interest payments on the public debt) averaged a deficit of 0.3 percentage points of GDP, as compared to a surplus of 0.2 percentage points in 2011, while overall balances (including interest payments) yielded a negative result of 2 percentage points of regional GDP (see table II. 1 and the statistical annex).

Table II. 1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL INDICATORS, FISCAL BALANCE 2012 AND ESTIMATED CHANGES IN REVENUES AND SPENDING, 2011-2012ª
(Percentages of GDP )

| Region/country | Overall balance 2012 | Primary balance 2012 | Changes estimated between 2011 and 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Breakdown of spending |  |  | Total revenues | Breakdown of revenues |  |  |
|  |  |  | Overall balance | Total spending ${ }^{b}$ | Primary current spending | Interest | Capital spending |  | Tax revenues ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Income from nonrenewable products ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Other income |
| Latin America and the Caribbean (32 countries) | -2.8 | -0.4 | -0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Latin America (19 countries) | -2.0 | -0.3 | -0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| The Caribbean (13 countries) | -4.0 | -0.5 | -0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 |
| Central America and the Dominican Republic | -2.7 | -0.8 | -0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 |
| Hydrocarbon exporters ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -2.5 | -0.6 | -0.4 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | -0.1 | -0.2 |
| Mineral and metal exporters ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.3 | 1.1 | -0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | -0.6 | 0.1 |
| Food exporters ${ }^{\dagger}$ | -2.2 | -0.6 | -1.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | $\ldots$ | 0.2 |
| Services exporters ${ }^{9}$ | -4.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | -0.3 |
| Brazil | -2.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 | $\ldots$ | -0.9 | $\ldots$ | 0.6 | 0.6 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Mexico | -2.4 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | -0.4 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official estimates.
a The data for 2012 are estimates.
b The variation in total spending includes changes in net lending.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Income from non-renewable products includes tax income from the exploitation of non-renewable natural resources.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Plurinational State of Bolivia, and Trinidad and Tobago.
e Chile and Peru.
${ }^{f}$ Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay.
g Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Granada, Jamaica, Panama, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines and Saint Lucia.

The average performance of the fiscal accounts in 2012 was the outcome of an increase of 1 percentage point of GDP in fiscal revenues combined with a rise of 1.5 GDP percentage points in public spending (see figure II.1). Spending increases outstripped revenue growth in several countries, and overall results worsened accordingly.

Figure II. 1
LATIN AMERICA (19 COUNTRIES): CENTRAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL INDICATORS, 2000-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Simple averages. The data for 2012 are estimates.

The average fiscal balance in the region was well down on the level achieved before the crisis. In 2012, nine countries recorded primary surpluses ( $47 \%$ of the total), whereas in 2003-2008 the balance had been positive in 15 countries (almost $80 \%$ of the total). Despite the deterioration in the fiscal positions, the average debt-to-GDP ratio for 19 countries of Latin America is estimated to have continued on its downward path, falling from $30.5 \%$ of GDP in 2011 to $29.6 \%$ in 2012 at the central government level (see the statistical annex). In some cases the gross public debt data are official budgetary projections, while in others they are the latest figures available, so that this indicator is likely to have risen by year's end, especially in countries with large deficits (public debt is expected to have increased sharply in the Dominican Republic over the latter months of 2012, for example).

The hydrocarbon-exporting countries, which obtain between $20 \%$ and $40 \%$ of their fiscal revenues from these resources, saw their fiscal deficits widen, on average, as heavy capital spending outweighed higher income. With the exception of Colombia and the Plurinational State of Bolivia, where fiscal revenues from hydrocarbons rose faster than GDP, in this group of countries oil income either held fairly steady (Mexico) or fell considerably (the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, and Trinidad and Tobago).

The deterioration in the fiscal balance in the mineraland metal-exporting countries, Chile and Peru, reflected a rise in current spending and a contraction in mining resources that was offset by the upswing in non-mining tax revenues. Food-exporting countries posted a heavy increase in current spending, together with a smaller increase in fiscal income (particularly tax revenues). In Argentina revenues rose faster than expenditures.

Although the average figures for Central America show the fiscal accounts deteriorating, the deficit is especially wide in the Dominican Republic, at 6.8\% of GDP, and in Costa Rica, at $4.5 \%$. By contrast, in Guatemala and, especially, in El Salvador the overall balance improved significantly, while Nicaragua has been running a surplus since 2011.

The Caribbean countries continued to record high public borrowing and deficit levels. In previous years, raw material-dependent countries such as Belize, Guyana, Suriname and Trinidad and Tobago had sustained a better financial and fiscal performance, but this deteriorated sharply in 2012. Service economies such as Antigua and Barbuda, the Bahamas, Barbados and Jamaica have been badly affected by the drop in revenues from tourism and offshore financial services since the start of the international financial crisis and continued feel these effects in 2012. Thus, public debt in Barbados and Jamaica rose to $106 \%$ and $128 \%$ of GDP, respectively, in 2012.

The large fiscal deficits in the services-exporting economies of the Caribbean continued to widen in 2012, as revenues, especially from grants, shrank. Tax income declined (except in Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, and Saint Lucia), as contractions in these countries' main trading partners dragged on economic growth. Bahamas, Barbados and Dominica posted high rates of public investment, and Panama yielded a steadily rising fiscal deficit owing to heavy capital expenditures in the past few years.

The performance observed in Latin America was the outcome of disparate tendencies (see figure II.2), as the fiscal accounts improved in eight countries (Argentina, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Colombia, El Salvador, Guatemala, Mexico and the Plurinational State of Bolivia), and the overall balance deteriorated in nine (Chile, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay) (see table II.1). The South American countries and Mexico, which are the most dependent on non-tax resources, were able to keep their public deficits at moderate levels since the deterioration in natural resource prices was gradual, and as a result were able to control borrowing as a percentage of GDP.

The estimates show that most of the countries still had a slightly negative GDP gap in 2012 (as a result of the recovery from the international financial crisis). Fiscal policies have not been clearly countercyclical as they were in 2009, although the larger deficits coincide with negative GDP gaps in some countries (see figure II.2). As discussed in Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean 2012 (pp. 41-42) most of the region's countries, with the
exception of some Central American and Caribbean countries, are on a footing to implement more active countercyclical policies should external conditions worsen. The low public debt levels should, in coordination with monetary policy, permit sufficient fiscal space to offset temporary falls in aggregate demand. The GDP gap remained negative for all the Caribbean countries in 2012, and widening deficits have reduced their fiscal capacity still further.

Figure II. 2
LATIN AMERICA (22 COUNTRIES): CENTRAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL POSITION, 2011-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages of GDP)
A. Latin America (19 countries)

B. The Caribbean ( 13 countries)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Simple averages. Data for 2012 are estimates. Data for Barbados, Mexico, Panama and the Plurinational State of Bolivia refer to the non-financial public sector; those for Saint Kitts and Nevis to the federal government.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ GDP gap $=\left(\right.$ actual GDP - trend GDP) ${ }^{\star} 100 /($ Trend GDP).

## The decline in non-tax resources was offset by higher tax revenues

With the global economy slowing and the terms of trade gradually deteriorating, income from the exploitation of natural resources or commodities was stood still or fell in 2012 relative to the buoyant performance of the year before, although in many of the region's countries fiscal revenues of this type still make up a substantial share of the total (see figure II.3). Tax revenues were more dynamic, however, which may be attributed to domestic demand, with some countries' private-sector consumption and investment rising considerably, especially in the construction sector.

In countries where revenues increased (13 of 19), the rise was usually quite moderate (see figure II.4). It exceeded $1 \%$ of GDP only in Argentina (1.6\%), Ecuador (1.6\%), El Salvador (1.3\%), Panama (1.1\%), Paraguay ( $1.7 \%$ ) and the Plurinational State of Bolivia (5.5\%), while the largest fall was in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, with a decline of $3.6 \%$ of output. The increase in the tax burden in Argentina (by $1.5 \%$ of GDP) was accounted for mainly by domestic consumption, growth in exports of seeds, oil crops and mineral fuels (with both prices and volumes up) and a rise in taxable incomes and registered employment. Fiscal revenue growth in
the Plurinational State of Bolivia was essentially down to higher revenues from hydrocarbons and a broadening of the tax base. Meanwhile, the various tax measures or reforms being pursued in many countries (Argentina, Chile, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Panama and Peru) will, increase the tax burden in coming years (see table II.2).

Figure II. 3
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TOTAL FISCAL REVENUE AND TAX REVENUE, 2005-2012
(Percentages)


[^6]Figure II. 4
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): CHANGE IN CENTRAL GOVERNMENT REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE, 2011-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The data for 2012 are estimates.

Table II. 2
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PUBLIC FINANCE MEASURES AND REFORMS, 2012

| Country | Reform |
| :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | (i) Expropriation of YPF: a law was enacted decreeing the expropriation of $51 \%$ of YPF shares and declaring the attainment of self-sufficiency in hydrocarbons and their exploitation, industrialization, transportation and commercialization to be "priority objectives" that were "in the public interest". |
|  | (ii) Charter of the Central Bank of Argentina: the Argentine Congress approved the new central bank charter. Article 20 of the charter establishes that the bank may make temporary advances to the national government up to an amount equivalent to $12 \%$ of the monetary base. It may also make advances not exceeding $10 \%$ of the cash resources the national government has raised in the past 12 months. Exceptionally, and if the situation or prospects of the national or international economy warrant this, it may temporarily advance an additional sum not exceeding the equivalent of $10 \%$ of the cash resources the national government has raised in the past 12 months. This exceptional faculty may be exercised for a period not exceeding 18 months. |
|  | (iii) Measures to control capital outflows, such as a $15 \%$ surcharge on acquisitions of goods and leasing or provision of services abroad by persons resident in Argentina using credit, store or debit cards or carried out over the Internet in commercial establishments abroad, and a ban on the purchase of dollars with the use of peso-denominated mortgages for real estate purchases. |
|  | (iv) On the current expenditure side, there was particularly strong growth in social security benefits, mainly because of the effects of applying the social security benefit portability policy, increases in pensions for the armed forces and security forces and upward revisions to benefits in compliance with court injunctions. Spending on pay also rose, essentially because a number of collective agreements reached during 2011 and the first two quarters of 2012 with a view to improving the purchasing power of national public-sector workers came into full effect. |
| Brazil | As part of the Brasil Maior programme, the goal of which is to increase industrial competitiveness, 15 labour-intensive sectors benefited in August from the replacement of social contributions by a $1 \%$ or $2 \%$ tax on gross incomes. There was also a reduction in petrol and diesel taxes and in the industrial products tax (applied to vehicles, trucks, construction equipment, household electrical appliances, furniture, wallpaper, etc.); accelerated depreciation was applied for payment of the Contribution for the Financing of Social Security (COFINS) (Social Integration Programme - PIS/PASEP) on capital goods purchases; the cash limits for application of the reduced taxes of the Integrated System for Payment of Microenterprise Taxes (SIMPLE) and the Individual Microenterprise Programme (MEI) were raised; the reimbursement regime for exporters was changed; and financial operations taxes (IOF) on lending to individuals were cut from $3 \%$ to $1.5 \%$. |
|  | On the expenditure side, a key development was the introduction of the Growth Acceleration Programme (PAC) for vehicle and equipment purchases. In addition, personnel expenditure and social charges increased owing to a reallocation of commission expenditure to personnel expenditure, competitive recruitment of new government personnel and pay increases, all of which also resulted in a rise in social security expenditure. There was an increase in extraordinary credits for the north-eastern region, which had suffered a long drought. Lastly, interest payment subsidies were increased for the rural and agro-industrial sector and farming families, as were subsidies to the National Bank for Economic and Social Development (BNDES) for financing operations, and debt forgiveness was granted in the rural sector, together with compensation and reinstatement in the Agricultural Activity Guarantee Programme (PROAGRO). |
| Chile | The Tax Legislation Improvement Act, which comes into force on 1 January 2013, increases the corporation tax rate to 20\%, harmonizes transfer price rules, increases and alters the composition of the cigarette tax, reduces the top annual rate of stamp duty from $0.6 \%$ to $0.4 \%$, lowers marginal rates for employee income tax (impuesto único de segunda categoría) and supplementary personal income tax (impuesto global complementario) in all brackets, except for taxpayers in the highest bracket, and provides a tax credit for families with monthly incomes not exceeding 66 development units (UF) to deduct education expenditure, up to a limit of 100,000 pesos per child. |
| Costa Rica | In December 2011, the Legislative Assembly of Costa Rica decreed the Legal Entities Taxation Act, under which all corporations shall pay a sum of between $25 \%$ and $50 \%$ of one monthly basic wage. |
|  | In September 2012, the Legislative Assembly put into effect the Strengthening of Tax Administration Act and the Enforcement of the Fiscal Transparency Standard Act. |
| Dominican Republic | On 10 November 2012, the executive enacted the Strengthening the Tax-raising Capacity of the State for Fiscal Sustainability and Sustainable Development Act No. 253-12, which makes changes to income taxes (ISR) and the goods and services transfer tax (ITBIS), creates new asset and income taxes and amends incentive laws, among other things. These measures will come into effect on 1 January 2013. The new ITBIS brackets will come into force on the same date and the actual tax take from them will be known from February. The general ITBIS rate is raised from $16 \%$ to $18 \%$ and a $1 \%$ tax on the total real estate assets of physical persons is established. |
| Ecuador | Recent amendments to tax law include, for financial institutions, the reversal of the rate reduction for income tax payable on sums reinvested in production assets, a change in the way minimum advance payments of that tax are calculated, the introduction of value added tax (VAT) on financial services, the establishment of exceptions to banking confidentiality and an increase in the foreign assets tax rate. |
|  | The Social Spending Redistribution Act was published in November 2012, its purpose being to generate resources that will help to finance an increase in the Human Development Bond. In particular, VAT will now apply to financial services and there will be increases in the rate of income tax (from $13 \%$ to $23 \%$ ) and the foreign assets tax. |
|  | Reforms to the Regulations for the Application of the Currency Remittance Tax and the Regulations for the Application of the Internal Tax Regime Act came into force in June 2012. |

Table II. 2 (concluded)

| Country | Reform |
| :---: | :---: |
| El Salvador | (i) Tax reform: the tax reform passed by the Legislative Assembly in late 2011 came into force during the 2012 fiscal year. Its main provisions include: an increase from $25 \%$ to $30 \%$ in the top rate of corporation tax, applicable to firms with annual earnings of over US\$ 150,000; the introduction of a minimum tax of $1 \%$ of gross revenues for firms reporting two consecutive years of losses; a rise from $1.5 \%$ to $1.75 \%$ in the monthly payment on account for corporate and personal income tax; and a reduction from $10 \%$ to $5 \%$ in the tax on dividends paid or credited. |
|  | (ii) The Results-based Budgeting with a Gender Perspective pilot operation: the 2012 State General Budget Act provides for pilot trials of results-based budgeting with a gender perspective in the Ministries of Health, Agriculture and Livestock, Education, and Environment and Natural Resources. These pilots include goals and tracking indicators for the main programmes and projects, and their aim is to create an explicit link between progress in attaining these goals and the resources allocated. |
|  | (iii) The 2012 public-sector saving and austerity policy: in April 2012, under Decree No. 78, the Office of the President of the Republic brought in a number of measures to control government spending, including restrictions on new recruitment and a reduction of $10 \%$ in allocations for central government goods and services procurement. |
| Guatemala | The second Anti-evasion Act was passed in January 2012 and the Tax Modernization Act in February. Among the main measures provided for by these laws are a gradual reduction from 2013 in the corporation tax rate (from $31 \%$ to $25 \%$ ), together with greater controls on deductible costs and expenditure; a $5 \%$ rate for dividends, which were formerly exempt; a gradual increase in the simplified tax regime rate; a reduction in the rates applicable to wage employees; a specific tax on first-time motor vehicle registration; and an increase in the vehicle road tax. |
| Mexico | The main tax measure was the abolition of the tax on vehicle ownership or use. In April 2012, personal taxpayers could deduct their spending on school fees during the 2011 fiscal year, which affected the tax take by a sum estimated at 13.554 billion pesos. |
|  | On the expenditure side, subsidies provided under the following programmes increased: People's Health Insurance (SPS); the Oportunidades human development programme; Medical Insurance for a New Generation; Care for Families and the Vulnerable Population and Health Caravans; the Federal Housing Financing and Subsidy Scheme; Basic Infrastructure for Indigenous Peoples (PIBAl); the Agricultural Insurance Premium Subsidy; Indigenous School Hostels; Improvement of the Fiscal Coordination System; Transfers to the Social Support Fund for Mexican Former Migrant Workers in the United States. Federal and municipal bodies received them through the executive secretariat of the National Public Security System. |
| Panama | In August 2012, the National Assembly of Panama passed a bill amending the Fiscal Code and other reforms. This bill reintroduced the payment of estimated income tax in all declarations by legal entities instead of the monthly income tax advance (AMIR), which did not yield the financial results expected and proved unwieldy for the administration and taxpayers themselves. |
|  | In June 2012 legislation was enacted to create the Panama Savings Fund (FAP), a long-term savings instrument to be funded with resources from additional income from the operation of the Panama Canal. Under the law, FAP will accumulate assets through contributions made by the Panama Canal Authorities to the treasury that exceed $3.5 \%$ of nominal GDP in the respective year, starting with fiscal year 2015. The law also specifies the conditions under which FAP may transfer funds to the treasury, including states of emergency, economic slowdown and prepayment of sovereign debt. |
| Peru | In June and July 2012, the Congress passed a law delegating the power to legislate on tax and customs matters and tax offences to the executive for a period of 45 days following its official publication. During this period the executive enacted a number of legislative decrees with a view to improving the country's competitiveness and increasing the tax take. Most of the measures will become operational on 1 January 2013. |
| Uruguay | The investment promotion regime was altered to optimize the evaluation indicators of investment projects (from January 2012) |
|  | Top rates of domestic excise tax (IMESI) applicable to motor vehicles were changed (effective 1 October 2012) |
|  | Elimination of VAT on sales to final consumers made using the Uruguay Social and BPS Prestaciones debit cards, which are used for withdrawing family benefit. |
|  | In the last quarter of 2012, the government adopted a number of measures to promote tourism, including: exemption from VAT at hotels and restaurants and on car rentals, discount on fuel purchases at the border, a tax-free regime in Montevideo, Colonia and Punta del Este; and tax rebates for property rentals. IMESI was eliminated for certain personal care items. |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official information from the countries.

Public spending, and current spending in particular, increased sharply in a number of Latin American countries

Countries that had to cope with a sharp slowdown in their economies in the first half of the year showed more active fiscal policies, granting tax incentives and stepping up procurement and transfers (see table II.2). In Brazil, public-sector equipment procurement was increased with a view to stimulating investment, and a variety of tax cuts and exemptions were extended, particularly in the oil, industrial products and construction equipment sectors. In Argentina, capital transfers rose and social security benefits climbed sharply. In Paraguay, fiscal and economic performance was badly affected by the drought in the early part of the year.

Average public spending increased from $21.9 \%$ of GDP in 2011 to $22.9 \%$ in 2012, stimulating a degree of domestic demand growth in the face of slower or negative growth in external demand. This increase occurred essentially in current spending ( 0.6 percentage
points of GDP), although average capital spending in the region also rose considerably, from 4.6 to 5.1 percentage points of GDP. Continuing their downward trend, interest payments represented just 1.7 percentage points of GDP in 2012.

Although spending rose across the board, the scale of the increase differed. The rise was quite sharp (over $1 \%$ of GD) in the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Panama, Paraguay, the Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay (over $1 \%$ of GDP) but more modest in other countries. The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras cut spending (as a share of GDP).

Capital spending was strongly up in the Dominican Republic and the Plurinational State of Bolivia, although current spending also rose. In Paraguay, estimates show current spending rising by more ( $4.3 \%$ of GDP) than capital spending $(0.9 \%)$. In Uruguay, spending is estimated to have increased by $1.2 \%$ of GDP in 2012, owing to increased expenditure on social security and current transfers.

Figure II. 5
LATIN AMERICA (19 COUNTRIES): CENTRAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURE, 1990-2012
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.
In the Caribbean, starting from a much higher base, total revenue fell by 0.4 percentage points of GDP on average, although the drop was much greater in Antigua
and Barbuda, Grenada, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago. Variations in spending were much more moderate, with the exception of substantial declines in Grenada, Jamaica and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines and large increases in Guyana and Trinidad and Tobago.

## Efforts were made to tailor monetary policy to the weakness of aggregate external demand

With inflationary pressures moderate, monetary policy reference rates underwent some variations, while there was substantial growth in monetary aggregates. This reflected the authorities' efforts to cultivate the right monetary conditions to boost aggregate domestic demand. This stance was informed by a context of falling inflation and increasing concern about a further slowdown in aggregate external demand that was negatively affecting domestic economic activity.

Monetary policy rates were held steady in most of the region's countries during 2012. Changes were made, usually downward, in just eight of the 25 economies for which information is available (see table II.3).

Table II. 3
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): CHANGES IN MONETARY POLICY RATES, 2012a ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Unchanged | Upward | Downward | Changes of sign |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Chile (January, unchanged thereafter) | Brazil (cut seven times) | Argentina (cut in May and June, rising from July) |
| Bahamas |  | Dominican Republic (cut four times from June) |  |
| Barbados |  |  | Colombia (rising until March, cut in August and September, unchanged thereafter) |
| Belize | Honduras (February and May, unchanged thereafter) | Guatemala (cut in July, unchanged thereafter) |  |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) |  |  |  |
| Costa Rica | Uruguay (up in January, then unchanged until October) | Paraguay (cut seven times) |  |

Dominica
Grenada
Haiti
Jamaica
Mexico
Peru
Saint Kitts and Nevis
Saint Lucia
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the central banks of the countries concerned.
a Estimates.

Most countries with explicit inflation targets succeeded in keeping inflation within the limits set by the monetary authorities. A number of countries cut their monetary policy rates without imperilling their targets, examples being Brazil, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala and Paraguay, although inflation did pick up somewhat in the third quarter of the year, as will be seen in the following section. In these cases, as well as in Chile and Peru, where interest rates were left unchanged, lower inflation could be explained by the easing of external inflationary pressures in categories such as food (notwithstanding a short-lived international food price spike from June 2012) and energy,
and in some cases by the effects of currency appreciation, which lowered the prices of imported goods and services.

Some countries experienced stronger inflationary pressures, but they differed in their policy approaches and outcomes. In Colombia, following a rise in the monetary policy rate in the early part of the year, the inflationary pressures of late 2011 eased and observed inflation dropped towards the middle of the target band. Despite upward movements in the monetary policy rate in Uruguay, inflation remained above the upper bound of the target band. In Mexico, the monetary authorities kept the policy rate unchanged despite a modest divergence between observed and targeted inflation.

Figure II. 6
LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): OBSERVED AND TARGETED INFLATION, JANUARY 2004 TO OCTOBER 2012
(Percentages)
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## Figure II. 6 (concluded)
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Meanwhile, liquidity injections by the monetary authorities, as measured by changes in the monetary base, continued to be substantial in 2012, with double-digit growth rates in 17 of the 25 countries for which information is available. Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Peru and Suriname stand out, with annualized growth in the monetary base exceeding $30 \%$ (see statistical annex).

Figure II. 7
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): CHANGE IN THE MONETARY BASE, SEPTEMBER 2011 TO SEPTEMBER 2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the central banks of the countries concerned.

Similarly, the broader monetary aggregates (MI and M2) expanded in most of the region's countries albeit, as with the monetary base, mostly by less than in 2011. The cases of Argentina, Brazil, Nicaragua and Uruguay, where M2 growth slowed by over 10 percentage points, stand in contrast to that of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, where M2 grew by almost $60 \%$, nearly 20 percentage points over the 2011 rate. Another reflection of the authorities' intentions was the substantial increase in domestic lending during 2012, particularly to the private sector, with growth rates that exceeded $10 \%$ in 20 of the 31 countries for which information is available and approached or exceeded $30 \%$ in Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Guatemala, Haiti and Nicaragua (see figure II.8).

In Argentina, growth in lending to the private sector fell by 20 percentage points between 2011 and 2012, but in Barbados, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Guatemala and Jamaica it increased by over 13 percentage points. As regards the sectoral allocation of lending, consumer credit growth was particularly strong at $17 \%$, followed by lending to commerce (13\%) and industry ( $10 \%$ ).

Figure II. 8
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CHANGE IN DOMESTIC LENDING TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR, SEPTEMBER 2011 TO SEPTEMBER 2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from central banks and other financial institutions in the countries concerned.

The combined effects of stable (and in some cases falling) policy rates, growth in the monetary base and the easing of inflationary pressures translated into a drop in interest rates, with lending rates falling in 17 of the 31 economies for which data are available and remaining unchanged in eight.

## After picking up slightly in September, inflationary pressures eased

The inflation rate in the region fell for much of 2012. All subregions experienced an uptick in September and October, however, owing mainly to food prices pushed up by rising international prices as of July, and to supply constraints in some countries. Nevertheless, inflation rates remained below 2011 levels in most countries (see figure II.9). The region's weighted average inflation rate in the 12 months to October 2012 averaged $5.8 \%$, ${ }^{1}$ compared to a $6.9 \%$ cumulative 12 -month rate for December 2011. By subregion, as in 2011, South America posted the highest rate of inflation, and Central America and the Caribbean saw the steepest drops. Argentina, Dominica, Jamaica, Mexico, Uruguay and Trinidad and Tobago were exceptions to the general trend of falling inflation in 2012, mainly reflecting higher food prices (see table II.4).

[^7]Figure II. 9


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

The region's highest inflation rates -into double digits-were recorded in Argentina and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. The latter country also posted the largest drop in inflation, from $29 \%$ in 2011 to $18.5 \%^{2}$ in 2012, as a result of increased availability of foreign exchange for food imports, lower international food prices early in the year and the implementation of legislation on costs and fair prices. ${ }^{3}$ This law came into force in April 2012 and brought down prices mainly for food items, but also for personal hygiene items. Inflation fell significantly in Suriname ${ }^{4}$ and Barbados, too, after being driven up in 2011 by rising prices for food, transport and fuels owing, in Suriname, to a $40 \%$ hike in fuel tax and, in Barbados, to higher prices for fuels and electricity, which fell in 2012.

Table II. 4 LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX AND FOOD PRICE INDEX, 12-MONTH VARIATION, SIMPLE AVERAGE, OCTOBER 2011 AND OCTOBER 2012

|  | 12-month inflation to October 2011 |  | 12-month inflation to October 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General CPI | CPI for food and beverages | General CPI | CPI for food and beverages |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 6.4 | 7.5 | 4.6 | 6.2 |
| South America | 8.4 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 7.7 |
| Argentina | 9.5 | 7.6 | 10.2 | 11.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 6.9 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Brazil | 6.5 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 10.4 |
| Chile | 4.4 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 8.5 |
| Colombia | 3.8 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| Ecuador | 5.4 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 7.0 |
| Paraguay | 4.9 | 5.1 | 3.4 | -2.0 |
| Peru | 4.7 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 5.2 |
| Uruguay | 8.6 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 10.4 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 29.0 | 34.1 | 18.5 | 18.6 |
| Central America and Mexico | 6.3 | 7.1 | 4.5 | 5.5 |
| Costa Rica | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 7.8 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 3.7 |
| El Salvador | 5.1 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Guatemala | 6.2 | 12.0 | 3.3 | 5.7 |
| Haiti | 8.3 | 9.0 | 6.5 | $7.2^{\text {a }}$ |
| Honduras | 5.6 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 3.8 |
| Mexico | 3.8 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 9.8 |
| Nicaragua | 8.6 | 9.6 | 6.8 | 7.6 |
| Panama | 6.3 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 7.2 |
| The Caribbean | 5.1 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 5.4 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 4.0 | 3.8 | 1.9 | $4.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Bahamas | 3.2 | 3.4 | 1.8 | $3.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Barbados | 9.6 | 9.8 | 2.8 | $4.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Belize | 1.9 | ... | 0.5 | $\ldots{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Dominica | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.7 | $4.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Grenada | 3.5 | 5.2 | 1.3 | $1.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Guyana | 3.3 | 2.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Jamaica | 6.0 | 5.4 | 6.7 | $11.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.1 | $4.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 4.8 | 5.2 | 3.0 | $4.2{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 4.7 | 4.9 | 1.9 | $2.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Suriname | 15.3 | 12.5 | 3.7 | $3.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5.3 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 14.7 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data to September 2012, compared to September 2011.
b Data to August 2012, compared to August 2011.
c Data to July 2012, compared to July 2011.

2 Refers to the 12-month rate of variation in October in the consumer price index of the metropolitan area of Caracas.
3 This law is intended to cap prices for products considered to be basic necessities, in line with a cost assessment by the manufacturer and the behaviour of prices for imported raw materials.

4 The rise in inflation in 2011 also reflected the local currency devaluation of $20 \%$ decreed early in the year.

Throughout 2012, core inflation in Latin America remained relatively stable as a regional average, and the largest impact on the regional consumer price index came from falling food price inflation. Food prices behaved differently in the Caribbean, however, reflecting the subregion's status as a net food importer and, in the case of Trinidad and Tobago, specific supply constraints (see figure II.10).

Figure II. 10
LATIN AMERICA: INDICES FOR CONSUMER PRICES, FOOD PRICES AND CORE INFLATION, 12-MONTH VARIATION, SIMPLE AVERAGE, 2009-2012 (Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Figure II. 11
THE CARIBBEAN: INDICES FOR CONSUMER PRICES, FOOD PRICES AND OTHER COMPONENTS OF THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX, 12-MONTH VARIATION, SIMPLE AVERAGE, 2009-2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

The pressure for currency appreciation eased but exchange-rate volatility increased in Brazil and Mexico

During 2012, a degree of deterioration in the current account owing to smaller capital inflows into the region, as reported in the relevant section of this edition of the Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean, affected the region's countries differently depending on the scale of the movements involved. Brazil carried on accumulating international reserves until May 2012, when the pressures eased, and the real subsequently depreciated, giving an average depreciation of $17.6 \%$ for the first nine months of the year. Mexico also accumulated reserves, while the peso exchange rate was volatile throughout the year, although on average it depreciated by $9.8 \%$ during the period. Where capital inflows remained high, however, as in Peru and Uruguay, the pressure for appreciation remained quite strong and these countries also accumulated reserves. The Peruvian sol appreciated by $4.1 \%$ and the Uruguayan peso by $2 \%$ on average during the first nine months of 2012, despite the authorities intervening in the currency market. Peru received substantial foreign direct investment (FDI) during the period.

As already noted, the deteriorating current account and smaller capital inflows into the region meant that the reserves build-up was slower than it had been in 2011, both as a share of GDP and in absolute terms. This was heavily influenced by the reduced build-up of reserves in Brazil from the first quarter of 2012, although the simple average of reserves in the region as a share of GDP rose from $15.6 \%$ to $15.8 \%$, exceeding $25 \%$ of GDP in Peru and Uruguay and $50 \%$ of GDP in the Plurinational State of Bolivia (see figure II.12).

Figure II. 12
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): CHANGE IN INTERNATIONAL RESERVES,

OCTOBER 2011 TO OCTOBER 2012
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

A number of central banks intervened actively in the currency markets. Those of Argentina, Colombia, Costa Rica, Peru and Uruguay were particularly active (see table II.5). Meanwhile, although Brazil intervened in the currency market and built up reserves only until May 2012 (see figure II.13), the central bank intervened indirectly in August, September and October by using currency swaps to influence the path of the exchange rate. ${ }^{5}$

Table II. 5 LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): CURRENCY MARKET INTERVENTIONS, JANUARY TO OCTOBER 2012 (Millions of dollars and percentages of GDP)

| Country | Millions of dollars | Percentage of GDP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Perú | 11815 | 5.7 |
| Argentina | 8073 | 1.8 |
| Uruguay | 725 | 1.5 |
| Costa Rica | 629 | 1.4 |
| Colombia | 3959 | 1.1 |
| Brazil | 18157 | 0.8 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Figure II. 13
LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): QUARTERLY EVOLUTION OF CURRENCY MARKET INTERVENTIONS (Millions of dollars)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

[^8]In Brazil and Mexico, global financial instability exacerbated exchange-rate volatility. This was compounded by uncertainty about growth prospects in developed countries, especially the eurozone, by the volatility of international investors' risk appetite and by the strongly expansionary monetary policy conducted in the developed countries, especially by the United States Federal Reserve, but also by the European Central Bank and the Central Bank of Japan. Although the exchange rates of countries that are more deeply integrated into international financial markets had followed much the same path in 2011 amid the various shocks that year, the degree of correlation between their movements began to diminish in early 2012 (see figure II.14). The domestic currencies in Brazil and Mexico depreciated in nominal terms against the United States dollar, particularly as expectations about the future path of the European economy deteriorated during the third quarter of 2012.

Figure II. 14
LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): NOMINAL EXCHANGE RATES AGAINST THE UNITED STATES DOLLAR, JANUARY 2008 TO NOVEMBER 2012
(Index: January 2008=100)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Nominal exchange-rate trends plus the dynamic of inflation in Latin America and the Caribbean led to the region's real effective extraregional exchange rate appreciating by an average of $2.7 \%$ during the first 10 months of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 (see figure II.15). The appreciation was greater in South America (4.8\%, excluding Brazil) than in Central America (2.1\%), being influenced particularly by the real effective appreciation in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, owing to its high inflation and fixed exchange rate. The Caribbean subregion's real effective extraregional exchange
rate rose by about as much as that of South America, with the main influence being the appreciation in Trinidad and Tobago. During the same period, conversely, Brazil and Mexico averaged real effective depreciations of $11.1 \%$ and $5.4 \%$, respectively, against the currencies of countries outside the region.

Figure II. 15
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REAL EFFECTIVE EXTRAREGIONAL EXCHANGE RATES, JANUARY 2008 TO SEPTEMBER 2012
(Index: 1990-2009=100)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

During the first nine months of 2012, the total real effective exchange rates of 15 countries in the region appreciated on average, ${ }^{6}$ with effective depreciation in another six. To the instances of real effective currency appreciation already mentioned, namely the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (17.2\%), Trinidad and Tobago ( $7.6 \%$ ) and Peru ( $7.7 \%$ ), may be added the Plurinational State of Bolivia ( $5.8 \%$ ), where it resulted from the combination of a stable nominal exchange rate and the nominal depreciation of the Brazilian real, since Brazil is an important trading partner for the country. Mexico experienced a real effective depreciation of $5.4 \%$ and Brazil one of $11.7 \%$ in the same period, in contrast to the real-term appreciation seen in earlier episodes.

[^9]
## Countries continued their efforts to strengthen macroprudential policies

In 2012, a number of the region's countries implemented new macroprudential measures to strengthen their financial systems. The most common measures of this sort were changes to legal reserve requirements, currency market intervention as described earlier, and reforms to the regulatory frameworks of financial systems.

During 2012, Colombia, Honduras, Paraguay, Peru, the Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay altered their legal reserve requirements, although not all for the same reasons. In Colombia, the authorities increased the reserve requirement to stem credit growth and thereby reduce the risks associated with overborrowing by households. In Paraguay, Peru, the Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay, the authorities altered reserve requirements for foreign-currency deposits in an attempt to shift portfolio composition towards the local currency. In the Plurinational State of Bolivia, these measures brought foreign-currency deposits down by 7\% in 2012, while in Peru the rate of growth in such deposits fell by over 12 percentage points. In Paraguay and Uruguay, foreign-currency deposits are still growing at rates of over 13\%.

In Argentina, the Bahamas, Ecuador, Guatemala and Paraguay, regulators amended the rules governing the workings of their financial systems. In Guatemala, the aim of the reform was to reduce risk by setting limits on lending to shareholders of financial groups and to increase the amount of funding the central bank could provide to ailing banks. In Ecuador, the reforms dealt with countercyclical provisioning and increased the percentage contribution to the liquidity fund from $3 \%$ to $5 \%$, while establishing a schedule of 1 percentage point increases to bring the contribution up to $10 \%$ for deposits subject to the legal reserve requirement. In the Bahamas, Ecuador and Paraguay, changes were made to the capitalization of financial institutions to reduce exposure to interest rate risks and other operating risks. In Argentina, a reform of the central bank's charter expanded its regulatory powers and amended the rules applying to foreign currency operations.

## Table II. 6

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): SUMMARY OF THE MAIN MONETARY POLICY, EXCHANGE-RATE AND MACROPRUDENTIAL MEASURES ADOPTED, 2012

| Country | Measures adopted |
| :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | - Reforms to financial regulations: <br> (i) changes to the central bank charter, endowing it with greater powers of oversight and regulation and expanding its goals beyond the duty of ensuring the full employment of resources, <br> (ii) new regulations on foreign currency transactions (both credit and debit transactions), and <br> (iii) an increase in the minimum capital required to confront operating risks and interest rate risks and to open new branches and offices. |
| Bahamas | - Reforms to financial regulations: <br> (i) new criteria were introduced for determining the capital and minimum capital required by financial institutions, especially those deemed to pose a systemic risk, and <br> (ii) criteria and rules were laid down for reducing exposure to interest rate and solvency risks. |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | - Reforms to financial regulations: the Legal Reserve Requirement Regulations were amended on two occasions with a view to encouraging and deepening financial remonetization. Provision was made for a gradual increase in the additional reserve requirement applicable to foreign currency deposits, leading to a total foreign currency reserve requirement of $65 \%$ in 2016. The second reform made an exception to the ban on early redemption for foreign currency time deposits converted into local currency, with a view to facilitating bolivianization. <br> - Implementation of the foreign currency sales tax, payable by financial institutions when selling dollars at a rate of $0.7 \%$ of the total value of the transaction. The purpose of the measure is to further the bolivianization of the economy. |
| Brazil | - Currency market intervention to curb exchange-rate fluctuations. <br> - A government campaign to bring down lending rates and spreads. |
| Colombia | - Currency market intervention to curb exchange-rate fluctuations. <br> - Reforms to financial regulations: the central bank changed its regulations on the management of liquidity in the economy, stating what types of securities it could buy and sell, and how. <br> - Changes in legal reserve requirement calculations to reduce liquidity growth in the money market and lower systemic exposure to credit risk. |
| Ecuador | - Reforms to financial regulations: the contribution of financial institutions to the liquidity fund was increased from 3\% to 5\%, with the additional stipulation that this contribution would increase by 1 percentage point a year up to $10 \%$ of deposits subject to the reserve requirement; the composition of minimum liquidity reserves and the percentage ratio of domestic liquidity were also reformed. <br> - Reforms to financial regulations: rules were created for calculating countercyclical provisioning in each subsystem of the financial sector. <br> - Reforms to the regulations on risk asset rating and provisioning, requiring institutions granting mortgages to set aside $100 \%$ of the difference between the cadastral and commercial valuations of the property being lent against. |
| Guatemala | - Reforms to financial regulations: the Congress passed a reform to the Banks and Financial Conglomerates Act whose purpose was to reduce risk in the financial system by setting limits on lending to shareholders in these groups and increase the amount of funding the central bank could provide to a troubled bank. |
| Guyana | - Currency market intervention to curb exchange-rate fluctuations. |
| Honduras | - Change in legal reserve requirement regulations: the procedure for depositing the legal reserve was changed so that, whether in local or foreign currency, this now had to be done in the form of demand deposits lodged with the central bank, with a minimum daily amount equivalent to $80 \%$ of the total. |
| Jamaica | - Currency market intervention to curb exchange-rate fluctuations. |
| Mexico | - Currency market intervention to curb exchange-rate fluctuations. . |
| Paraguay | - Reforms to financial regulations: measures were adopted to increase the capitalization of financial institutions so that they could confront the different types of risk associated with the financial market. <br> - Change in the legal reserve requirement: changes were made to the legal reserve requirement rates applicable to foreign currency deposits and, to a lesser degree, local currency deposits as well, in order to reduce the exposure of the financial system to mismatches in the currencies in which its deposits and liabilities were denominated. |
| Peru | - Currency market intervention to curb exchange-rate fluctuations. <br> - Change in the legal reserve requirement: the central bank increased the reserve requirement for both soles and foreign currencies on four occasions in 2012, raising it by 50 basis points the first three times and by 75 basis points the fourth, to control liquidity in the economy. |
| Trinidad and Tobago | - Currency market intervention to curb exchange-rate fluctuations. |
| Uruguay | - Change in the legal reserve requirement: the central bank raised the legal reserve requirement for both local and foreign currency deposits (although by more for the latter) to control liquidity in the economy. |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | - Reforms to financial regulations: the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela amended its exchange-rate agreement to regulate the participation of Venezuelan private-sector organizations in the Transaction System for Foreign Currency Denominated Securities (SITME) and the instruments that would be available. |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official information from the central banks and finance ministries of the countries concerned.

## Chapter III

# Performance of the domestic economy: activity, employment and wages 

## Growth was slower in Latin America and the Caribbean in 2012, although several economies maintained their momentum

With the global economy faltering, the slowdown observed in the region's economies throughout 2011 continued in 2012, although the results varied from one country to the next. GDP in Latin America and the Caribbean rose by $3.1 \%$, resulting in a $2.0 \%$ increase in regional per capita GDP. The region's performance is due mainly to lower growth in two of its major economies: Argentina ( $2.2 \%$ in 2012, down from $8.9 \%$ in 2011) and Brazil (1.2\% compared with $2.7 \%$ in 2011) (see figure III.1). ${ }^{1}$ Without these two countries, the rise in regional GDP would have been $4.3 \%$, a figure similar to the previous year's excluding those two countries (4.5\%).

Figure III. 1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES AND GROUPS OF COUNTRIES): CONTRIBUTION TO REGIONAL GDP GROWTH, 2000-2013
(Percentages based on dollars at constant 2005 prices)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.
b Projections.

The Mexican economy expanded by $3.8 \%$, while growth rates in the countries listed below were as follows: Costa Rica and Plurinational State of Bolivia (5\%), Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (5.3\%), Chile (5.5\%) and Peru (6.2\%). At $10.5 \%$, growth in Panama was the strongest in the region, while Paraguay recorded a $1.8 \%$ contraction. The remaining economies in Latin America and the Caribbean grew by between $1 \%$ and $5 \%$ (see figure III.2).

In terms of the performance by subregion, whereas South America recorded higher GDP growth than Central America in the period 2002-2011, the situation was reversed in 2012 with the former registering $2.7 \%$ and the latter $4.2 \%$. The trend in the Caribbean subregion differs from that of Latin America, as it shows a modest improvement in 2012, with growth standing at $1.1 \%$, up slightly over the 2010 and 2011 figures. With the exception of Jamaica and Saint Kitts and Nevis, the countries in the Caribbean achieved positive growth in 2012.

[^10]Figure III. 2
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GDP GROWTH RATES, $2012^{a}$
(Percentages based on dollars at constant 2005 prices)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.

Figure III. 3
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES AND GROUPS OF COUNTRIES): GDP GROWTH, 2012
(Percentages based on dollars at constant 2005 prices)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates.
${ }^{5}$ Projections.
In aggregate regional terms, economic activity in the region reflected two opposing trends in 2012: the slowdown observed in 2011 continued into the first half of 2012, albeit not with the same intensity in all countries; in the third quarter, however, the regional economy started to pick up, thanks largely to the tenuous recovery in the Brazilian economy.

## Consumption remained the leading component of growth

Regional growth was driven primarily by robust domestic demand, with both private and public consumption trending upward (by $3.7 \%$ and $3.9 \%$, respectively). The increase in private consumption is reportedly based mainly on the expansion of credit to the private sector and on the continuous improvements in labour indicators. Higher remittances to Central America and the Caribbean also helped to boost this aggregate. The rise in public consumption contributed significantly to job creation in this sector.

Gross fixed capital formation rose by $4 \%$, with mixed performances among countries (figure III.5). Argentina, Brazil and Paraguay recorded contractions, while the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Chile, Ecuador, Peru, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay registered increases close to, or in excess of, $10 \%$. Buoyant investment in the second group of countries appears to have been underpinned by the rise in the construction sector. Sustained growth in investment will have boosted the investment ratio, measured as gross fixed capital formation over GDP based on dollars at constant 2005 prices, to $22.9 \%$ (compared with $22.7 \%$ in 2011), the highest value recorded since 1981. Nevertheless, investment patterns varied appreciably from one country to the next: Argentina, Brazil and Paraguay saw reductions in gross fixed capital formation and, thus, a decline in their investment ratios (see figure III.5).

Figure III. 4
LATIN AMERICA: GDP GROWTH AND CONTRIBUTION TO GROWTH BY THE COMPONENTS OF AGGREGATE DEMAND, 2002-2012
(Percentages based on dollars at constant 2005 prices)


[^11]Figure III. 5
LATIN AMERICA: GROSS FIXED CAPITAL FORMATION AS A PERCENTAGE OF GDP, 2011-2012


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.
At the regional level, loss of momentum in domestic demand meant that real imports of goods and services were less brisk at $4.7 \%$, reflecting more moderate growth in consumption and investment across the region as a whole. Meanwhile, real exports of goods and services expanded by $3.7 \%$. With real regional imports slowing even more sharply in comparison with 2011, net exports again contributed negatively to growth but less so than in 2011.

## Commerce, construction and financial and business services were more buoyant

The performance of domestic demand was matched by a rise in domestic economic activity, especially in the services sectors (commerce, restaurants and hotels, and financial and business services) and in construction. Services activities expanded strongly across-the-board, with all countries recording positive growth. Commerce, restaurants and hotels benefited from the rally in tourism activity in 2012. Central America recorded the sharpest increase in international tourist arrivals ( $6.6 \%$ compared with $4.4 \%$ in 2011), while the expansion in South America ( $5.8 \%$ ) was not as significant as in 2011 ( $9.4 \%$ ). In the Caribbean, the performance was mixed: Barbados and some of the countries of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union (ECCU) saw a decline in value added in the tourism sector, but elsewhere in the subregion, stronger tourist inflows contributed to a recovery in this sector and boosted economic activity.

The goods-producing sectors had mixed results. Agriculture contracted in Argentina, Brazil and Paraguay, following the severe drought that swept through these countries in early 2012. Trinidad and Tobago experienced a similar contraction due to adverse weather conditions, while the fall in agricultural output in Saint Lucia and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines was attributable to a disease affecting the banana crop. Mining performed modestly except in Colombia, Guatemala, Guyana and Panama. Industrial activity expanded in Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Mexico and Nicaragua, but fell in Argentina, Brazil and Trinidad and Tobago. The construction sector recorded double-digit expansion in Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, Panama, Peru and Uruguay, unlike the situation in Argentina and Paraguay, where it contracted.

## Gross national disposable income expanded at a similar rate to GDP

With raw material prices falling in international markets, even though the prices of some exports (petroleum, gold, soybean and beef) actually increased, terms-of-trade gains diminished in relation to 2011. As a percentage of GDP, these gains, which had increased steadily between 2003 and 2008 and once again between 2009 and 2011, declined in 2012. Consequently, the region's gross national disposable income, measured in constant prices, showed growth below that of GDP $(2.8 \%)$. South America was the subregion that recorded the sharpest slowdown in national income, followed by Central America, where higher migrants' remittances were not sufficient to offset the deterioration in the terms of trade. National income in Mexico continued to grow at much the same pace as GDP (see figure III.6).

Figure III. 6
LATIN AMERICA: GROWTH OF GROSS NATIONAL DISPOSABLE INCOME, 2003-2012
(Percentages based on dollars at constant 2005 prices)


[^12]The trends described above can also be observed within countries. Figure III. 7 compares the growth rates of gross national disposable income and GDP in Latin America for 2011 and 2012 (see figure III.7). In 2012, the rate of growth of national income slowed significantly and the
number of countries in which this growth rate is below that of GDP has increased substantially in relation to 2011. Lower growth in national income represents a change with respect to the trends prevailing between 2003-2008 and 2010-2011, and results in a smaller build-up of savings.

Figure III. 7
LATIN AMERICA: VARIATION IN GROSS NATIONAL DISPOSABLE INCOME AND GDP, 2011 AND 2012
(Percentages based on dollars in constant 2005 prices)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.

## External saving has played an increasing role in financing investment

Measured as a percentage of GDP based on current dollars, national saving grew by 0.5 percentage points in regional terms to stand at $21.4 \%$, thus maintaining the upward trend observed since 2009, but remaining below those of the period 2006-2008. External saving expanded to $1.5 \%$ (up from $1.3 \%$ in 2011). As a result, gross capital investment in Latin America rose to $22.9 \%$, slightly higher than in 2011 ( $22.2 \%$ ), but below the 2008 figure ( $23.7 \%$ ), the highest recorded since 1990 (see figure III.8).

## Employment continued to rise but more slowly

With the slowdown in economic growth in Latin America and the Caribbean, the upturn in employment was moderate, especially when compared with the situation in 2010 in the immediate aftermath of the 2008-2009 economic and financial crisis. Having declined from $8.1 \%$ to $7.3 \%$ in 2010 and to $6.7 \%$ in 2011 , the urban unemployment rate edged down more slightly to stand at $6.4 \%$ in 2012. The absolute number of urban unemployed in the region declined by 300,000 to stand at approximately 15 million persons. Bearing in mind that economic
activity was expanding more slowly, this reduction is in line with the pattern observed in the past 12 years, when each percentage-point increase in economic growth was associated with a 0.2 -percentage-point reduction in the unemployment rate (see figure III.9).

Figure III. 8
LATIN AMERICA: FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT, 1990-2012
(Percentages of GDP based on current dollars)


[^13]Figure III. 9
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: ECONOMIC GROWTH AND THE VARIATION IN UNEMPLOYMENT, 2000-2012


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the countries.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates.

The combination of an expansion in the economically active population in Latin America and the Caribbean with an even greater increase in employment, led to a 0.3 percentage-point decline in unemployment in 2012. The recent trend in Latin America and the Caribbean as a whole had been for a 0.1 percentage-point rise in the overall participation rate per year, but in 2012, the increase was 0.2 percentage points, owing to the higher number of job-seekers. Moreover, in the past few years, the employment rate had been increasing by 0.15 percentage points for each $1 \%$ increase in GDP. In 2012, when GDP grew by $3.1 \%$, the employment rate rose by 0.4 percentage points, as observed in figure III.10, which is slightly higher than the historical trend. In short, the more substantial rise in the employment rate ( 0.4 percentage points) compared with the participation rate increase ( 0.2 percentage points) brought the unemployment rate down by approximately 0.3 percentage points.

Figure III. 10
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: ECONOMIC GROWTH AND THE VARIATION IN THE URBAN EMPLOYMENT RATE, 2000-2012


[^14]a Estimates.

Bearing in mind the level of economic growth, the fact that the employment rate is slightly above expectations suggests that the new jobs created in 2012 may have been concentrated primarily in labour-intensive activities, such as services and construction, including public-sector employment, as occurred in Brazil. ${ }^{2}$ Employment rose and unemployment fell in the first three quarters of 2012 in Brazil, albeit more gradually than in previous years (see figure III.11). These indicators have still not returned to the pre-crisis levels in Mexico, the country in the region hardest hit by the 2008-2009 global economic crisis and where the labour market was seriously undermined. The employment rate trended upward quite vigorously in 2012, while the unemployment rate continued to slide gradually. Elsewhere in South America, similar to the situation in Brazil, employment and unemployment rates continued to improve over the year, albeit with a clear slowdown compared to previous years.

The situation in the Caribbean is more complex as the 2008-2009 crisis had a more lasting impact. The unemployment rate in this subregion started to rise significantly after the crisis and up to the first half of 2012 had not yet begun to fall, while the employment rate showed little variation. In Central America (for which no quarterly data are available), the trend has been similar to that of Mexico, with strong repercussions of the crisis in 2009 and some degree of recovery subsequently, but not sufficient to regain in 2012 the employment and unemployment levels recorded in 2008.

Based on the simple average of 15 countries for which sex-disaggregated, labour-market information is available, increases in employment levels during the first three quarters of the year related mainly to women: the female employment rate rose by 0.4 percentage points, while the male rate remained unchanged. The long-term upward trend in the female participation rate was maintained ( 0.3 percentage points), while the male participation rate diminished in many countries, which on average resulted in a 0.1 percentage-point reduction. Consequently, the female unemployment rate contracted more than the male rate ( 0.3 percentage points for the former, compared with 0.1 percentage points for the latter). Notwithstanding recent advances, disparities between men and women in terms of participation, employment and unemployment continue to be highly unfavourable towards women. ${ }^{3}$

[^15]Figure III. 11
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES AND GROUPS OF COUNTRIES): URBAN EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT RATES, 2008-2012
(Percentages)


[^16]a Estimates.

## Employment quality improved slightly

Most new jobs created in the region were in wage employment. Following the economic slowdown and the cyclical behaviour of wage employment, however, this category of employment expanded at a slower pace than in previous years: $2.7 \%$ in the first three quarters of 2012, compared with $3.2 \%$ in 2011. By contrast, own-account work expanded more rapidly, growing by $2.2 \%$ in the first nine months of 2012, compared with the same period of 2011, slightly more than during that year (1.9\%) (see figure III.12).

Figure III. 12
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: ECONOMIC GROWTH AND JOB CREATION, 2000-2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the countries.
a The figure for economic growth in 2012 is an estimate. The data relating to employment show the variation between the period January-September 2012 and the same period in the previous year.

Wage employment continued to grow more than own-account work in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Panama and Peru, but the opposite trend was observed in Argentina, Dominican Republic, Mexico and Paraguay, which, with exceptions, points to a connection between more (or less) robust growth and higher (or lower) generation of wage employment.

The pattern of growth in 2012 depended more on the increase in consumption, with a growing contribution by current public expenditure and relatively robust job creation in the public sector in several countries. In Argentina, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Dominican Republic and Paraguay, this type of employment grew faster than private-sector wage employment. By contrast, in Colombia, Mexico and Panama, private-sector wage employment expanded more substantially. In the median of nine countries public employment contributed almost a quarter to job creation in the first nine months of 2012, while private employment accounted for $40 \%$. This
contrasts sharply with the situation in 2011 , when private wage employment accounted for $64 \%$ of new jobs and the public sector for only $7 \%$.

The review of the trends in formal employment reveals positive contributions but of lesser magnitude than in previous years. This applies in particular to Brazil, where a steady slowdown in formal job creation was observed in the first three quarters of 2012. This type of employment, which is usually of better quality, remained buoyant in Chile, Mexico, Nicaragua and Peru; the year-on-year rates in Peru were lower at the start of the year. ${ }^{4}$ Average figures for the first nine months of the year indicate increases of over $3 \%$ in almost all countries for which information is available on trends in formal wage employment, which suggests that this category now accounts for a larger share of total employment. ${ }^{5}$

Figure III. 13
LATIN AMERICA (9 COUNTRIES): YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN EMPLOYMENT, 2009-2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures released by the countries.
a The data for 2012 relate only to the first half of the year.
b The data for 2012 relate to the period January-August.
c Year-on-year variation in the period January-September.
As is usually the case in the region in situations other than economic crises, the breakdown of employment by branch of activity shows a decline in the share of the agricultural sector. Employment in the manufacturing sector also fell in the first three quarters of the year in several countries, including the largest economies of the region (Argentina, Brazil and Mexico). Construction accounted for a larger share in countries such as Argentina, Brazil and Colombia but was lower in the Bolivarian

[^17]Republic of Venezuela, Jamaica and Mexico. In most of the countries for which information was available (seven out of ten), the tertiary sector, which in itself accounts for the majority of jobs in the region, saw its share of employment expand further; this is probably due to the sluggishness of the tradable sectors, such as agriculture and industry, which were more seriously affected by the slump in external demand.

## Rising real wages also helped to push up consumption

In general, average formal wages continued to trend upward with increments that were for the most part moderate. The simple average of formal wages in 11 countries increased by $2.5 \%$ in real terms during the first three quarters of 2012 compared with the same period of the previous year (the median being $2.9 \%$ ). Nevertheless, as shown in figure III.14, major differences may be observed between countries and Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Uruguay recorded increases of over 4\%, while Colombia, Mexico and Nicaragua had real increases of $1 \%$ or less.

In some countries, the policy designed to raise the minimum wage contributed to an increase in labour income. In the course of the year, the nominal value of the minimum wage increased in 16 of the 21 countries for which information was available. Between January and October of 2012, the simple average of the minimum wages in these 21 countries increased by $3.5 \%$ in real terms compared with the same period of the previous year, while the median increased by $2.7 \%$. The countries with high real increases ( $5 \%$ or more) included the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Ecuador, Panama, Peru, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay.

Figure III. 14 LATIN AMERICA (11 COUNTRIES): YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN REAL AVERAGE WAGES IN THE FORMAL SECTOR, JANUARYSEPTEMBER 2011 TO JANUARY-SEPTEMBER 2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the countries
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The data refer to the first half of the year.
${ }^{b}$ Refers to June.
c Refers to April.

The Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Mexico have introduced comprehensive reforms in their labour legislation. In the case of Mexico, the reforms include the introduction of new types of contract and the hourly payment system, the regulation of subcontracting and teleworking and the modification of some aspects of trades union organization. In the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, the working day was reduced, severance pay increased, outsourcing eliminated and postnatal leave extended. Other countries introduced reforms on specific aspects of labour regulation (see table III.1).

Table III. 1
LATIN AMERICA (9 COUNTRIES): SOME OF THE LABOUR REFORMS INTRODUCED IN 2012

| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Introduction of three days' paternity leave. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Brazil | Restructuring of social security contributions, which benefits labour-intensive activities. |
| Chile | Subsidy going to women and employers for hiring of women from vulnerable households. |
| Colombia | Regulation of teleworking. |
| Ecuador | Bringing working hours of domestic workers in line with those of other workers and regulation of their free time; <br> extending the benefit of a shorter work day to all breastfeeding mothers for a period of 12 months. |
| Mexico | A series of reforms including: introduction of new types of contract (probation and apprenticeship) and an hourly pay system; <br> regulation of subcontracting and teleworking; modification of the trades union organization; classification of employment <br> of children under 14 years of age outside of the family circle as a crime; application of measures to improve security in the <br> workplace, especially in mines; introduction of paternity leave; reduction of the working day during breastfeeding periods; <br> prohibition of discriminatory action against women; restriction of the accumulation of overdue wages during labour litigation. |
| Nicaragua | Ratification of the Domestic Workers Convention, no 189, adopted in 2011 by the International <br> Labour Organization (bringing the duration of the working day and the rights of domestic workers <br> in line with those of other workers); new Labour and Social Security Code. |
| Ratification of the Domestic Workers Convention, no 189, adopted in 2011 by the International <br> Labour Organization (bringing the duration of the working day and the rights of domestic workers in <br> line with those of other workers); introduction of a partial unemployment subsidy regime. |  |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | A series of reforms including: reduction of the working week from 44 to 40 hours; increase in severance <br> pay; extension of postnatal leave; introduction of 14 days of paternity leave; increase in period of security of <br> tenure following birth of a child from one to two years (both parents); elimination of outsourcing. |
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## Chapter IV

## Outlook for 2013

The economic outlook for Latin America and the Caribbean depends to a large extent on how the world economy evolves in 2013. Significant progress was made in tackling the crisis in the eurozone countries in 2012, with agreements reached on the establishment of an institutional framework for promoting greater fiscal discipline and the formation of a single banking supervisor. The process of adopting and implementing these reforms in each country is complex and time-consuming. In the short term, the policy shift at the European Central Bank strengthened sovereign debt liquidity and succeeded in stabilizing this market, but problems of public debt sustainability persist. Moreover, borrowing requirements are expected to continue to rise in 2013, and will be exacerbated by the economic downturn. Although the adjustment processes have reduced the external disequilibria, in some cases the lack of competitiveness, an underlying, long-term problem and a key trigger of the crisis, remains to be resolved. Lastly, while some advances have been made, the challenge remains to restore financial system solvency and improve portfolio quality, which is a prerequisite for reviving the credit market. Recent studies suggest that this could start to occur in 2014. ${ }^{1}$ In this context, the most likely scenario for 2013 is that Europe will continue to experience low growth with some countries even remaining in recession.

In the wake of the presidential elections in United States, prospects have improved for a fiscal agreement, albeit a partial one; meanwhile the Federal Reserve confirmed its intention to maintain an expansionary monetary policy for several years to come. Some positive performance indicators are now showing in labour and the real-estate market and, after four years of portfolio cleanup, the

[^19]banking system is closer to resuming normal lending operations. These factors point to a more buoyant situation in 2013.

The situation in Europe also has an impact on exports from China and India, since the European market is a major outlet for these two countries' exports ( $17 \%$ and $19 \%$, respectively). Domestic factors, such as the need to contain inflationary pressures in China and the limited fiscal space in India, will keep growth at much the same levels as in 2012 or slightly higher in the case of China.

The picture taking shape is thus one of slow overall growth and continuing uncertainty, which may worsen should geopolitical tensions disrupt the functioning of certain critical markets, such as the petroleum market.

In the light of this baseline scenario for 2013 , regional GDP growth is projected at approximately $3.8 \%$, partly thanks to higher growth in Argentina and Brazil. This will be due mainly to domestic factors, notably the recovery in the crop-farming sector in Argentina and in manufacturing and investment in Brazil; an upswing in trade between the two countries could also boost their respective economic activity levels. Domestic demand is projected to remain robust in several economies in the region thanks to the continuing improvements in labour indicators, an increase in bank lending to the private sector and raw material prices, whose high levels despite falls in 2012 bode well for the national income of commodity-exporting countries. Several countries have some scope for further countercyclical fiscal policies and for maintaining slightly expansionary monetary policies. External demand is unlikely to make much contribution to economic growth in 2013, given the persistence of a highly uncertain, low-growth external context. In terms of the subregions, growth rates will be less disparate with some acceleration expected in the Caribbean, although this may be countered by problems of fiscal sustainability, especially in countries whose main exports are services.

Underlying this gradual improvement in overall balances are efforts by the hardest hit economies to regain or defend their external competitiveness. The

United States is seeking to recover its competitiveness as an exporter of manufactures (through production gains, but also through a strong expansion of its money supply, which tends to lower the value of the dollar). Clearly, other competitors in these markets are resisting this strategy. In Asia, China, Japan and the Republic of Korea are competing intensely for the competitive edge and this has led to major mutations in the distribution of production in some cutting-edge manufacturing sectors. In Europe, also, several countries are striving to retrieve their external competitiveness in manufacturing as well as in services (notably, tourism), as a necessary step along the path out of the current crisis.

These processes also affect Latin America and the Caribbean, not only in terms of their impact on the demand for the region's exports but also because its position in the distribution of world production will depend on the policies the countries adopt -on the production-development and institution-building fronts as well as in macroeconomic and trade matters- in response. Trade policies should help to tap regional demand by expanding intraregional trade. Macroeconomic policies should at the very least help to avert unsustainable real currency appreciations and support public finance sustainability by developing a tax burden robust enough to satisfy development needs (including public investment in economic and social infrastructure). By creating the conditions for a steady increase in private investment and applying policies geared towards raising productivity and reducing productive heterogeneity, progress can be made towards boosting growth over the long term.

## Statistical annex

Table A-1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.8 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 4.0 | -1.9 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 3.1 |
| Per capita gross domestic product ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -1.0 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 2.8 | -3.0 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| Consumer prices ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8.2 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 5.8 |
|  | Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban open unemployment | 11.1 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.4 |
| Total gross external debt/GDP de | 39.9 | 34.5 | 25.1 | 21.1 | 19.7 | 17.4 | 20.2 | 20.0 | 19.4 | 19.7 |
| Total gross external debt/ exports of goods and services ${ }^{d e}$ | 169 | 138 | 101 | 84 | 83 | 74 | 101 | 96 | 89 | 90 |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance of payments ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current account balance | 9128 | 22751 | 36198 | 49907 | 12970 | -33 082 | -23 000 | -55 482 | -73 565 | -88 606 |
| Exports of goods f.o.b. | 392400 | 484274 | 584071 | 698570 | 785646 | 906206 | 704469 | 8925731 | 106341 | 1121879 |
| Imports of goods f.o.b. | 353771 | 430019 | 510156 | 608037 | 723734 | 868022 | 653463 | 8464011 | 1035729 | 1073892 |
| Services trade balance | -9 052 | -9 092 | -8881 | -10 165 | -16485 | -31 273 | -31439 | -47434 | -65 020 | -68720 |
| Income balance | -59 476 | -68 747 | -81883 | -94 929 | -99 455 | -107 772 | -100 535 | -116 033 | -142 090 | -130 281 |
| Net current transfers | 39027 | 46334 | 53047 | 64468 | 66999 | 67779 | 57967 | 61814 | 62634 | 62088 |
| Capital and financial balance ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 697 | -7 181 | 24856 | 13453 | 112617 | 71575 | 69217 | 141750 | 179399 | 141393 |
| Net foreign direct investment | 39790 | 50212 | 57309 | 32519 | 92803 | 99425 | 70740 | 74795 | 125851 | 114778 |
| Other capital movements | -39 093 | -57 392 | -32453 | -19 066 | 19815 | -27 850 | -1 523 | 66746 | 53547 | 18908 |
| Overall balance | 9826 | 15584 | 60975 | 63599 | 125165 | 38495 | 46285 | 86268 | 105834 | 52788 |
| Variation in reserve assets ${ }^{9}$ | -28 495 | -24 438 | -39 604 | -50 932 | -127 098 | -42 123 | -50 488 | -87 747 | -106 234 | -52 824 |
| Other financing | 18674 | 8855 | -21 371 | -12 666 | 1945 | 3628 | 4204 | 1479 | 400 | 36 |
| Net transfer of resources | -40 105 | -67073 | -78 398 | -94 143 | 15107 | -32 568 | -27 114 | 27195 | 37709 | 11149 |
| International reserves | 197847 | 225943 | 262402 | 319242 | 459464 | 512611 | 567421 | 655993 | 774230 | 829390 |
|  | Percentages of GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal sector ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall balance | -2.9 | -1.8 | -1.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -2.7 | -1.7 | -1.6 | -2.0 |
| Primary balance | -0.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | -0.9 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| Total revenue | 17.0 | 17.2 | 18.2 | 19.4 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 19.3 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 20.9 |
| Tax revenue | 12.6 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 14.9 | 15.5 |
| Total expenditure | 19.9 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 21.9 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 22.9 |
| Capital expenditure | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.1 |
| Central-government public debt | 57.0 | 51.2 | 43.2 | 36.1 | 30.7 | 29.7 | 31.0 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 29.9 |
| Public debt of the non-financial public-sector | 61.2 | 54.9 | 47.6 | 38.9 | 33.4 | 32.2 | 33.7 | 32.6 | 32.5 | ... |

[^20]Table A-2
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT
(Annual growth rates)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.8 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 4.0 | -1.9 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 3.1 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 6.6 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 13.5 | 9.6 | 0.0 | -11.9 | -7.9 | -5.0 | 0.9 |
| Argentina | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 2.2 |
| Bahamas | -1.3 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.4 | -2.3 | -4.9 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| Barbados | 2.0 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | -3.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Belize | 9.3 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 4.2 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 2.7 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| Brazil | 1.1 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 5.2 | -0.3 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| Chile | 3.9 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 3.7 | -1.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Colombia | 3.9 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.5 |
| Costa Rica | 6.4 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 2.7 | -1.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| Cuba | 3.8 | 5.8 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Dominica | 7.7 | 3.3 | -0.5 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 7.7 | -0.7 | 0.9 | -0.3 | 1.6 |
| Dominican Republic | -0.3 | 1.3 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 |
| Ecuador | 2.7 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 4.8 |
| El Salvador | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 1.3 | -3.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Grenada | 9.6 | -1.0 | 13.5 | -3.9 | 5.9 | 1.0 | -6.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Guatemala | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 3.3 |
| Guyana | -0.6 | 1.6 | -2.0 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 3.8 |
| Haiti | 0.4 | -3.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 2.9 | -5.4 | 5.6 | 2.5 |
| Honduras | 4.5 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 4.2 | -2.1 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Jamaica | 3.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 1.4 | -0.8 | -3.5 | -1.5 | 1.3 | -0.2 |
| Mexico | 1.4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 1.2 | -6.0 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Nicaragua | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 2.9 | -1.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 4.0 |
| Panama | 4.2 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 10.5 |
| Paraguay | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.4 | -4.0 | 13.1 | 4.4 | -1.8 |
| Peru | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 6.2 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -1.4 | 4.4 | 9.9 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 4.7 | -6.9 | -2.4 | 2.1 | -0.8 |
| Saint Lucia | 4.4 | 8.4 | -1.9 | 9.3 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 7.6 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | -2.2 | -2.8 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| Suriname | 6.8 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 14.4 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 14.4 | 4.6 | 2.3 | -3.0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 1.0 |
| Uruguay | 2.2 | 11.8 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 3.8 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -7.8 | 18.3 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 5.3 | -3.2 | -1.5 | 4.2 | 5.3 |

[^21]Table A-3
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PER CAPITA GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT
(Annual growth rates)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -1.0 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 2.8 | -3.0 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 1.3 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 8.4 | -1.1 | -12.8 | -8.9 | -6.0 | -0.1 |
| Argentina | 5.8 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 1.3 |
| Bahamas | -5.3 | -0.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | -3.7 | -6.2 | -1.1 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| Barbados | 1.3 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 1.5 | -0.1 | -3.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Belize | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 | -0.8 | 1.5 | -2.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.2 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | -3.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Brazil | -2.9 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 4.2 | -1.2 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| Chile | 0.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 2.7 | -2.0 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -1.0 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 3.1 |
| Costa Rica | 0.2 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 1.2 | -2.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| Cuba | 2.8 | 5.5 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Dominica | 8.4 | 3.4 | -0.2 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 8.1 | -0.3 | 1.2 | -0.2 | 1.6 |
| Dominican Republic | -1.7 | -0.2 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| Ecuador | 1.0 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 4.8 | -0.4 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 3.4 |
| El Salvador | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.8 | -3.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Grenada | 9.4 | -1.3 | 13.2 | -4.1 | 5.6 | 0.7 | -6.9 | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.2 |
| Guatemala | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 0.8 | -1.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Guyana | -1.0 | 1.2 | -2.3 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 3.6 |
| Haiti | -1.2 | -5.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | -0.5 | 1.5 | -6.6 | 4.2 | 1.2 |
| Honduras | 2.5 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 2.2 | -4.1 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Jamaica | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 1.0 | -1.2 | -3.8 | -1.9 | 0.9 | -0.6 |
| Mexico | 0.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 2.1 | -0.1 | -7.2 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Nicaragua | 1.2 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 1.6 | -2.7 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
| Panama | 2.3 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 8.8 |
| Paraguay | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.5 | -5.7 | 11.1 | 2.6 | -3.4 |
| Peru | 2.7 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 8.6 | -0.1 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 5.0 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -2.7 | 3.0 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 3.4 | -8.1 | -3.6 | 0.9 | -2.0 |
| Saint Lucia | 3.4 | 7.4 | -2.9 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 4.2 | -0.9 | -0.6 | 0.3 | -0.1 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 7.4 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 7.5 | 3.2 | 1.3 | -2.3 | -2.8 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| Suriname | 5.4 | -0.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 2.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 14.0 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 14.0 | 4.2 | 1.9 | -3.4 | -0.4 | -1.7 | 0.7 |
| Uruguay | 2.2 | 11.9 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 3.5 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -9.4 | 16.2 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 3.5 | -4.8 | -3.1 | 2.6 | 3.7 |

[^22]Table A-4
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS FIXED CAPITAL FORMATION ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages of GDP)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 16.7 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 19.7 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9}$ |
| Argentina | 15.5 | 19.1 | 21.5 | 23.4 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 22.2 | 24.7 | 26.4 | 24.0 |
| Bahamas | 21.2 | 19.9 | 24.2 | 29.0 | 27.8 | 25.8 | 24.5 | 23.5 | 25.5 | $\ldots$ |
| Belize | 19.5 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 18.0 | 18.6 | 24.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 13.4 | 12.7 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 19.7 | 21.2 |
| Brazil | 15.4 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 19.5 | 18.3 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 19.8 |
| Chile | 17.5 | 18.1 | 21.2 | 20.8 | 22.1 | 25.5 | 22.6 | 24.4 | 27.0 | 27.7 |
| Colombia | 17.2 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 21.8 | 23.3 | 24.7 | 24.0 | 24.1 | 26.6 | 27.9 |
| Costa Rica | 19.9 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 20.9 | 22.6 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.9 | 21.3 |
| Cuba | 8.2 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 9.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic | 16.3 | 15.8 | 16.4 | 17.9 | 18.6 | 19.3 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 16.2 | 15.6 |
| Ecuador | 20.0 | 19.4 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 22.8 | 24.3 | 24.9 |
| El Salvador | 16.6 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 16.0 | 13.3 | 13.5 | 14.8 | 16.5 |
| Guatemala | 18.9 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 20.1 | 19.8 | 18.1 | 15.6 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 15.3 |
| Haiti | 27.4 | 27.5 | 27.4 | 27.4 | 27.3 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 27.7 | 28.6 | $\ldots$ |
| Honduras | 23.1 | 26.8 | 24.9 | 26.5 | 31.0 | 31.6 | 21.1 | 22.0 | 24.2 | 25.1 |
| Mexico | 18.8 | 19.5 | 20.3 | 21.2 | 21.9 | 22.8 | 21.4 | 21.6 | 22.6 | 23.5 |
| Nicaragua | 21.5 | 21.8 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 23.7 | 23.3 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 24.6 |
| Panama | 16.7 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 22.7 | 25.9 | 23.4 | 24.3 | 25.4 | 26.4 |
| Paraguay | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 17.6 | 19.5 | 18.9 | 20.3 | 21.6 | 19.4 |
| Peru | 17.0 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 20.2 | 22.9 | 27.5 | 25.0 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 32.2 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 24.9 | 20.7 | 30.2 | 15.8 | 14.7 | 15.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | 12.9 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 18.1 | 18.6 | 20.7 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 21.2 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 12.8 | 16.2 | 20.3 | 23.9 | 27.6 | 25.3 | 24.0 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 26.9 |

[^23]Table A-5
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | Exports of goods f.o.b. |  |  | Exports of services |  |  | Imports of goods f.o.b. |  |  | Imports of services |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 892573 | 1106341 | 1121879 | 117906 | 132094 | 133786 | 846401 | 1035729 | 1073892 | 165340 | 197114 | 202506 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 45 | 53 | 45 | 479 | 499 | 514 | 454 | 440 | 447 | 226 | 224 | 230 |
| Argentina | 68134 | 83950 | 81903 | 13556 | 15481 | 15688 | 53868 | 70743 | 65774 | 14703 | 17721 | 19545 |
| Bahamas | 702 | 834 | 889 | 2494 | 2606 | 2762 | 2590 | 2965 | 3439 | 1181 | 1292 | 1319 |
| Barbados | 422 | 448 | ... | 1464 | 1405 | ... | 1562 | 1703 | ... | 588 | 578 | $\ldots$ |
| Belize | 476 | 604 | 637 | 360 | 330 | 335 | 650 | 778 | 824 | 160 | 120 | 139 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 6390 | 8332 | 9682 | 769 | 801 | 803 | 5380 | 7664 | 8259 | 1032 | 1123 | 1136 |
| Brazil | 201916 | 256040 | 244549 | 31599 | 38210 | 39824 | 181768 | 226234 | 226385 | 62434 | 76161 | 78686 |
| Chile | 70897 | 81411 | 80352 | 10831 | 12406 | 12510 | 55572 | 70618 | 73686 | 12637 | 14823 | 14398 |
| Colombia | 40867 | 57739 | 61415 | 4446 | 4856 | 5209 | 38628 | 52230 | 55927 | 8070 | 9503 | 10642 |
| Costa Rica | 9516 | 10383 | 11020 | 4320 | 4990 | 5663 | 12956 | 15534 | 16494 | 1783 | 1780 | 1877 |
| Dominica | 36 | 34 | ... | 147 | 148 | 157 | 198 | 204 | ... | 67 | 69 | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 6754 | 8536 | 9016 | 5154 | 5341 | 5733 | 15489 | 17423 | 17811 | 2185 | 2232 | 2277 |
| Ecuador | 18137 | 23082 | 24923 | 1472 | 1587 | 1783 | 19641 | 23243 | 24904 | 3011 | 3166 | 3394 |
| El Salvador | 4577 | 5401 | 5340 | 976 | 1073 | 1214 | 8107 | 9647 | 9890 | 1070 | 1106 | 1147 |
| Grenada | 30 | 33 | ... | 137 | 150 | 158 | 284 | 285 | ... | 94 | 96 | 102 |
| Guatemala | 8536 | 10517 | 10627 | 2292 | 2359 | 2455 | 12807 | 15482 | 16174 | 2381 | 2504 | 2635 |
| Guyana | 885 | 1129 | 1232 | 248 | 298 | ... | 1419 | 1771 | 1939 | 344 | 434 | ... |
| Haiti | 563 | 768 | 786 | 239 | 249 | 304 | 2810 | 3014 | 2791 | 1277 | 1140 | 1297 |
| Honduras | 6111 | 7800 | 7474 | 976 | 1023 | 1149 | 8907 | 10994 | 10882 | 1169 | 1461 | 1597 |
| Jamaica | 1370 | 1663 | 1732 | 2634 | 2649 | ... | 4629 | 5923 | 5600 | 1824 | 1951 | ... |
| Mexico | 298860 | 349946 | 370004 | 15167 | 15298 | 15929 | 301744 | 351116 | 370327 | 25318 | 29527 | 29469 |
| Nicaragua | 3158 | 4057 | 4270 | 573 | 660 | 711 | 4792 | 6125 | 6563 | 719 | 838 | 907 |
| Panama | 12680 | 16929 | 18025 | 6070 | 7150 | 7671 | 17235 | 22946 | 24321 | 2648 | 3336 | 3823 |
| Paraguay | 8520 | 10389 | 9287 | 1473 | 1917 | 1840 | 9916 | 12066 | 11123 | 755 | 903 | 848 |
| Peru | 35565 | 46268 | 45384 | 3693 | 4364 | 5089 | 28815 | 36967 | 40220 | 6038 | 6497 | 7238 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 79 | 88 | 57 | 130 | 142 | 182 | 236 | 238 | 228 | 99 | 102 | 100 |
| Saint Lucia | 239 | 240 | 209 | 390 | 374 | 406 | 575 | 581 | 638 | 203 | 203 | 228 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 45 | 42 | ... | 139 | 145 | 149 | 298 | 307 | $\ldots$ | 91 | 94 | 96 |
| Suriname | 2084 | 2467 | 2096 | 241 | 201 | 149 | 1398 | 1679 | 1368 | 259 | 563 | 403 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 11204 | 15067 | 14235 | 876 | ... | ... | 6504 | 9304 | 10048 | 391 | ... | ... |
| Uruguay | 8031 | 9281 | 10227 | 2706 | 3528 | 3439 | 8558 | 10691 | 11437 | 1535 | 2014 | 2230 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 65745 | 92811 | 96461 | 1857 | 1855 | 1961 | 38613 | 46813 | 56393 | 11048 | 15552 | 16814 |

Table A-5 (continued)

|  | Goods and services balance |  |  | Income balance |  |  | Current transfers balance |  |  | Current account balance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | -1 261 | 5893 | -20 413 | -116033 | -142090 | -130 281 | 61814 | 62634 | 62088 | -55 482 | -73 565 | -88606 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | -156 | -112 | -118 | -32 | -32 | -34 | 22 | 22 | 23 | -166 | -122 | -129 |
| Argentina | 13119 | 10967 | 12272 | -9 939 | -10 737 | -10 340 | -388 | -536 | -446 | 2791 | -307 | 1487 |
| Bahamas | -575 | -818 | -1 107 | -234 | -236 | -247 | -3 | -36 | 43 | -811 | -1090 | -1310 |
| Barbados | -264 | -427 | ... | -121 | -102 | ... | 20 | 23 | ... | -366 | -506 | ... |
| Belize | 26 | 36 | 9 | -158 | -120 | -108 | 92 | 43 | 74 | -41 | -42 | -25 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 747 | 346 | 1089 | -860 | -986 | -1 102 | 1081 | 1177 | 1193 | 969 | 537 | 1180 |
| Brazil | -10 687 | -8 145 | -20 698 | -39 486 | -47319 | -36 383 | 2902 | 2985 | 2994 | -47272 | -52 481 | -54 087 |
| Chile | 13519 | 8376 | 4779 | -14765 | -14 015 | -12 379 | 4515 | 2418 | 1536 | 3269 | -3 220 | -6 064 |
| Colombia | -1 384 | 862 | 55 | -11849 | -15 831 | -17 049 | 4475 | 4938 | 5157 | -8758 | -10 032 | -11836 |
| Costa Rica | -902 | -1941 | -1688 | -745 | -567 | -1 018 | 366 | 323 | 323 | -1 281 | -2 185 | -2 383 |
| Dominica | -82 | -92 | -77 | -9 | -8 | -11 | 20 | 20 | 20 | -71 | -80 | -68 |
| Dominican Republic | -5 767 | -5 778 | -5 338 | -1686 | -2 128 | -2 371 | 3124 | 3406 | 3292 | -4 330 | -4 499 | -4 417 |
| Ecuador | -3 042 | -1739 | -1 593 | -1 041 | -1 223 | -1 380 | 2458 | 2723 | 2546 | -1 625 | -238 | -427 |
| El Salvador | -3624 | -4 279 | -4 483 | -551 | -632 | -749 | 3599 | 3841 | 4082 | -576 | -1 070 | -1 150 |
| Grenada | -211 | -198 | -208 | -51 | -48 | -44 | 32 | 31 | 27 | -230 | -215 | -225 |
| Guatemala | -4 361 | -5 110 | -5 728 | -1211 | -1553 | -1660 | 4946 | 5207 | 5607 | -626 | -1456 | -1781 |
| Guyana | -630 | -778 | -909 | 13 | -9 | -10 | 371 | 415 | 469 | -246 | -373 | -450 |
| Haiti | -3 285 | -3 137 | -2 998 | 22 | 41 | 64 | 3097 | 2757 | 2624 | -166 | -339 | -310 |
| Honduras | -2 989 | -3632 | -3 855 | -728 | -974 | -988 | 2882 | 3108 | 3220 | -836 | -1498 | -1623 |
| Jamaica | -2 449 | -3 563 | -2 967 | -495 | -548 | -313 | 2010 | 2043 | 2007 | -934 | -2 069 | -1273 |
| Mexico | -13 035 | -15400 | -13864 | -10 171 | -16 726 | -16499 | 21537 | 22974 | 22502 | -1669 | -9 153 | -7860 |
| Nicaragua | -1781 | -2 246 | -2 489 | -275 | -247 | -286 | 1173 | 1192 | 1311 | -883 | -1 302 | -1465 |
| Panama | -1 133 | -2 203 | -2 448 | -1859 | -1799 | -1901 | 129 | 129 | 90 | -2 862 | -3 874 | -4 260 |
| Paraguay | -678 | -664 | -843 | -533 | -307 | -317 | 557 | 701 | 692 | -654 | -270 | -469 |
| Peru | 4404 | 7169 | 3014 | -11212 | -13710 | -12 450 | 3026 | 3200 | 3302 | -3782 | -3 341 | -6 133 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -126 | -111 | -89 | -34 | -31 | -27 | 46 | 46 | 53 | -115 | -96 | -63 |
| Saint Lucia | -149 | -170 | -251 | -41 | -40 | -44 | 15 | 20 | 19 | -175 | -190 | -275 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | -206 | -214 | -204 | -17 | -16 | -18 | 10 | 10 | 10 | -213 | -220 | -212 |
| Suriname | 669 | 426 | 475 | -102 | -262 | -152 | 87 | 87 | 71 | 653 | 251 | 394 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5185 | 6064 | 4632 | -1 058 | -3 475 | -2 591 | 65 | 33 | 28 | 4192 | 2623 | 2070 |
| Uruguay | 644 | 104 | -1 | -1501 | -1554 | -1517 | 118 | 126 | 119 | -739 | -1 324 | -1 399 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 17941 | 32301 | 25215 | -5 302 | -6 896 | -8 357 | -568 | -790 | -900 | 12071 | 24615 | 15958 |

Table A-5 (concluded)

|  | Capital and financial balance ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Overall balance |  |  | Reserve assets $\left(\right.$ variation) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | Other financing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 141750 | 179399 | 141393 | 86268 | 105834 | 52788 | -87 747 | -106 234 | -52824 | 1479 | 400 | 36 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 179 | 115 | 160 | 12 | -7 | 31 | -31 | 7 | -31 | 19 | 0 | ... |
| Argentina | 1367 | -5 801 | -2 721 | 4157 | -6 108 | -1234 | -4 157 | 6108 | 1234 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Bahamas | 856 | 1114 | 1145 | 45 | 24 | -166 | -45 | -24 | 166 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Barbados | 400 | 473 | ... | 34 | -32 | ... | -34 | 32 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Belize | 45 | 60 | 51 | 4 | 18 | 26 | -4 | -18 | -26 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | -46 | 1623 | 434 | 923 | 2160 | 1614 | -923 | -2 160 | -1614 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Brazil | 96373 | 111118 | 76451 | 49101 | 58637 | 22364 | -49 101 | -58637 | -22 364 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Chile | -245 | 17410 | 3387 | 3023 | 14190 | -2 677 | -3 023 | -14 190 | 2677 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Colombia | 11893 | 13776 | 15793 | 3136 | 3744 | 3957 | -3 136 | -3744 | -3 957 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Costa Rica | 1842 | 2318 | 2793 | 561 | 132 | 410 | -561 | -132 | -410 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Dominica | 73 | 76 | 78 | 1 | -4 | 10 | -1 | 4 | -10 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 4387 | 4653 | 2774 | 58 | 154 | -1643 | -453 | -331 | 1643 | 395 | 177 | ... |
| Ecuador | 413 | 510 | 1905 | -1212 | 272 | 1479 | 1170 | -336 | -1479 | 42 | 64 | ... |
| El Salvador | 281 | 656 | 1684 | -295 | -414 | 534 | 295 | 414 | -534 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Grenada | 221 | 205 | 225 | -10 | -9 | ... | 10 | 9 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Guatemala | 1303 | 1661 | 2432 | 677 | 206 | 651 | -677 | -206 | -651 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Guyana | 363 | 358 | 469 | 117 | -15 | 19 | -155 | -25 | -19 | 38 | 40 | ... |
| Haiti | 909 | 525 | 434 | 743 | 186 | 124 | -845 | -209 | -156 | 102 | 23 | 32 |
| Honduras | 1404 | 1562 | 1668 | 569 | 64 | 44 | -592 | -81 | -49 | 24 | 17 | 4 |
| Jamaica | 586 | 1864 | 1900 | -348 | -205 | 627 | -431 | 205 | -627 | 779 | 0 | ... |
| Mexico | 22284 | 37333 | 24184 | 20615 | 28180 | 16323 | -20615 | -28 180 | -16 323 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Nicaragua | 1055 | 1329 | 1444 | 172 | 27 | -20 | -222 | -73 | 20 | 50 | 46 | ... |
| Panama | 3313 | 3527 | 3908 | 452 | -347 | -352 | -452 | 347 | 352 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Paraguay | 973 | 1054 | 510 | 319 | 784 | 41 | -319 | -784 | -41 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Peru | 14955 | 8032 | 18371 | 11173 | 4691 | 12238 | -11 192 | -4 724 | -12 238 | 19 | 33 | ... |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 147 | 142 | 90 | 33 | 45 | 27 | -33 | -45 | -27 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | 206 | 219 | 278 | 32 | 29 | 3 | -32 | -29 | -3 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 238 | 192 | 215 | 25 | -28 | 3 | -36 | 28 | -3 | 10 | 0 | ... |
| Suriname | -619 | -77 | -231 | 34 | 174 | 163 | -34 | -174 | -163 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -3774 | -1870 | -2 170 | 418 | 753 | -100 | -418 | -753 | 100 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | 378 | 3888 | 3973 | -361 | 2564 | 2574 | 361 | -2 564 | -2 574 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -20 010 | -28 647 | -20 241 | -7939 | -4 032 | -4 283 | 7939 | 4032 | 4283 | 0 | 0 | ... |

[^24]Table A-6
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: INTERNATIONAL TRADE OF GOODS
(Indices 2005=100)

| EXPORTS OF GOODS, f.o.b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value |  |  | Volume |  |  | Unit value |  |  |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America | 154.2 | 191.0 | 194.1 | 112.7 | 117.7 | 120.7 | 136.8 | 162.2 | 160.8 |
| Argentina | 168.7 | 207.9 | 202.8 | 127.2 | 132.8 | 129.6 | 132.6 | 156.5 | 156.5 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 226.1 | 294.8 | 342.5 | 124.2 | 133.8 | 150.0 | 182.0 | 220.3 | 228.4 |
| Brazil | 170.7 | 216.4 | 206.7 | 104.1 | 107.3 | 106.8 | 164.0 | 201.7 | 193.6 |
| Chile | 168.9 | 194.0 | 191.4 | 103.7 | 107.9 | 115.3 | 162.9 | 179.7 | 166.0 |
| Colombia | 188.1 | 265.7 | 282.6 | 128.1 | 147.1 | 153.4 | 146.8 | 180.6 | 184.2 |
| Costa Rica | 134.0 | 146.3 | 155.2 | 141.2 | 149.6 | 159.6 | 94.9 | 97.7 | 97.3 |
| Dominican Republic | 109.9 | 138.9 | 146.7 | 94.1 | 110.1 | 117.5 | 116.8 | 126.1 | 124.9 |
| Ecuador | 173.3 | 220.5 | 238.1 | 117.5 | 123.6 | 130.6 | 147.5 | 178.4 | 182.3 |
| El Salvador | 132.1 | 155.9 | 154.1 | 118.8 | 128.6 | 128.2 | 111.2 | 121.2 | 120.2 |
| Guatemala | 156.3 | 192.6 | 194.6 | 121.8 | 134.0 | 140.5 | 128.3 | 143.7 | 138.6 |
| Haiti | 122.6 | 167.1 | 171.1 | 103.2 | 137.8 | 135.8 | 118.8 | 121.3 | 125.9 |
| Honduras | 121.1 | 154.5 | 148.1 | 97.7 | 100.5 | 103.5 | 123.9 | 153.7 | 143.1 |
| Mexico | 139.2 | 163.0 | 172.4 | 121.0 | 123.2 | 127.9 | 115.1 | 132.3 | 134.8 |
| Nicaragua | 190.9 | 245.3 | 258.1 | 154.8 | 176.0 | 185.8 | 123.3 | 139.4 | 139.0 |
| Panama | 171.9 | 229.5 | 244.4 | 152.9 | 190.8 | 201.1 | 112.4 | 120.3 | 121.5 |
| Paraguay | 254.2 | 309.9 | 277.1 | 207.8 | 226.2 | 196.8 | 122.3 | 137.0 | 140.8 |
| Peru | 204.8 | 266.4 | 261.3 | 109.4 | 114.7 | 116.5 | 187.2 | 232.2 | 224.3 |
| Uruguay | 212.8 | 245.9 | 271.0 | 148.1 | 148.8 | 159.3 | 143.7 | 165.2 | 170.2 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 118.0 | 166.6 | 173.1 | 73.1 | 78.8 | 80.3 | 161.4 | 211.5 | 215.7 |

IMPORTS OF GOODS, f.o.b.

|  | Value |  |  | Volume |  |  | Unit value |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a }}$ | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America | 170.3 | 208.2 | 216.4 | 141.1 | 156.9 | 161.0 | 120.6 | 132.7 | 134.4 |
| Argentina | 197.3 | 259.1 | 240.9 | 176.2 | 209.2 | 187.7 | 112.0 | 123.9 | 128.3 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 221.3 | 315.3 | 339.8 | 171.3 | 223.9 | 241.1 | 129.2 | 140.8 | 140.9 |
| Brazil | 246.9 | 307.4 | 307.6 | 189.9 | 207.4 | 206.5 | 130.0 | 148.2 | 149.0 |
| Chile | 181.2 | 230.3 | 240.3 | 162.4 | 188.3 | 188.9 | 111.6 | 122.3 | 127.2 |
| Colombia | 191.9 | 259.4 | 277.8 | 158.2 | 194.4 | 205.2 | 121.3 | 133.4 | 135.3 |
| Costa Rica | 139.9 | 167.8 | 178.1 | 135.4 | 151.7 | 161.1 | 103.4 | 110.6 | 110.6 |
| Dominican Republic | 156.9 | 176.5 | 180.5 | 136.8 | 135.0 | 138.0 | 114.7 | 130.8 | 130.8 |
| Ecuador | 202.3 | 239.4 | 256.5 | 161.9 | 174.1 | 185.1 | 125.0 | 137.5 | 138.6 |
| El Salvador | 124.7 | 148.4 | 152.1 | 105.8 | 115.5 | 117.9 | 117.8 | 128.4 | 129.0 |
| Guatemala | 132.7 | 160.4 | 167.6 | 104.8 | 112.1 | 116.2 | 126.7 | 143.1 | 144.2 |
| Haiti | 214.8 | 230.3 | 213.3 | 152.0 | 132.6 | 124.5 | 141.2 | 173.7 | 171.4 |
| Honduras | 136.1 | 168.0 | 166.3 | 106.0 | 114.4 | 112.4 | 128.4 | 146.8 | 148.0 |
| Mexico | 135.7 | 157.9 | 166.6 | 115.2 | 124.9 | 130.1 | 117.8 | 126.4 | 128.0 |
| Nicaragua | 162.1 | 207.2 | 222.0 | 134.4 | 151.3 | 161.8 | 120.7 | 136.9 | 137.3 |
| Panama | 192.9 | 256.9 | 272.3 | 162.0 | 197.2 | 206.6 | 119.1 | 130.3 | 131.8 |
| Paraguay | 260.0 | 316.3 | 291.6 | 229.0 | 254.7 | 235.1 | 113.5 | 124.2 | 124.1 |
| Peru | 238.5 | 306.0 | 332.9 | 162.6 | 189.7 | 203.5 | 146.6 | 161.3 | 163.6 |
| Uruguay | 228.0 | 284.8 | 304.7 | 174.9 | 193.4 | 205.6 | 130.4 | 147.3 | 148.2 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 160.8 | 195.0 | 234.9 | 139.3 | 154.9 | 184.8 | 115.5 | 125.8 | 127.1 |

[^25]Table A-7
LATIN AMERICA: TERMS OF TRADE FOR GOODS f.o.b. / f.o.b. (Indices 2005=100)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America | 91.0 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 106.8 | 109.6 | 113.0 | 103.3 | 113.4 | 122.3 | 119.6 |
| Argentina | 100.3 | 102.2 | 100.0 | 106.0 | 110.0 | 124.6 | 118.9 | 118.4 | 126.3 | 121.9 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 88.1 | 93.0 | 100.0 | 125.0 | 127.0 | 128.7 | 124.6 | 140.9 | 156.4 | 162.1 |
| Brazil | 97.8 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 105.3 | 107.5 | 111.3 | 108.7 | 126.1 | 136.1 | 130.0 |
| Chile | 73.5 | 89.3 | 100.0 | 131.1 | 135.6 | 117.9 | 119.3 | 146.0 | 146.9 | 130.5 |
| Colombia | 85.8 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 103.8 | 112.1 | 124.4 | 107.0 | 121.0 | 135.4 | 136.1 |
| Costa Rica | 108.1 | 104.0 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 96.1 | 92.5 | 95.6 | 91.8 | 88.4 | 87.9 |
| Cuba | 93.2 | 102.7 | 100.0 | 126.3 | 132.9 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 102.2 | 101.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 102.3 | 97.7 | 105.7 | 101.8 | 96.5 | 95.5 |
| Ecuador | 87.7 | 89.3 | 100.0 | 107.3 | 110.3 | 121.1 | 107.2 | 118.0 | 129.8 | 131.6 |
| El Salvador | 101.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 97.7 | 95.0 | 98.1 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 93.2 |
| Guatemala | 101.9 | 100.9 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 96.3 | 93.8 | 101.8 | 101.3 | 100.4 | 96.1 |
| Haiti | 106.8 | 103.8 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 93.5 | 67.2 | 87.0 | 84.1 | 69.8 | 73.5 |
| Honduras | 100.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 93.6 | 87.9 | 94.0 | 96.6 | 104.7 | 96.7 |
| Mexico | 95.4 | 98.1 | 100.0 | 100.5 | 101.4 | 102.2 | 90.8 | 97.7 | 104.7 | 105.3 |
| Nicaragua | 103.3 | 101.4 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 96.6 | 92.4 | 101.3 | 102.2 | 101.8 | 101.2 |
| Panama | 103.9 | 101.9 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 96.2 | 91.8 | 96.3 | 94.4 | 92.4 | 92.2 |
| Paraguay | 104.2 | 107.1 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 102.7 | 110.2 | 107.8 | 107.8 | 110.3 | 113.5 |
| Peru | 85.6 | 93.2 | 100.0 | 127.3 | 132.0 | 114.4 | 108.1 | 127.7 | 143.9 | 137.1 |
| Uruguay | 114.0 | 110.1 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 97.8 | 103.7 | 106.8 | 110.2 | 112.2 | 114.8 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 63.9 | 76.5 | 100.0 | 119.4 | 130.9 | 161.6 | 117.6 | 139.8 | 168.1 | 169.7 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.

Table A-8
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): REMITTANCES FROM EMIGRANT WORKERS (Millions of dollars)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 a |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brazil | 2018 | 2458 | 2479 | 2890 | 2809 | 2913 | 2224 | 2189 | 2134 | 1497 |
| Colombia | 3060 | 3170 | 3314 | 3890 | 4493 | 4842 | 4145 | 4023 | 4168 | 1993 b |
| Costa Rica | 306 | 302 | 400 | 490 | 596 | 584 | 489 | 505 | 487 | 246 b |
| Dominican Republic | 2061 | 2230 | 2430 | 2738 | 3046 | 3222 | 3042 | 2998 | 3200 | 1528 b |
| Ecuador | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3335 | 3083 | 2736 | 2591 | 2672 | $1221^{b}$ |
| El Salvador | 2105 | 2548 | 3017 | 3471 | 3695 | 3742 | 3387 | 3431 | 3649 | 2893 |
| Guatemala | 2107 | 2551 | 2993 | 3610 | 4128 | 4315 | 3912 | 4127 | 4378 | 3590 |
| Honduras | 842 | 1138 | 1776 | 2329 | 2581 | 2808 | 2476 | 2524 | 2750 | 1398 b |
| Jamaica | $\ldots$ | 1466 | 1621 | 1770 | 1964 | 2021 | 1792 | 1906 | 2025 | 1520 |
| Mexico | 15139 | 18332 | 21688 | 25567 | 26059 | 25145 | 21306 | 21304 | 22803 | 17267 |
| Nicaragua | 439 | 519 | 616 | 698 | 740 | 818 | 768 | 823 | 912 | 741 |

[^26]Table A-9
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NET RESOURCE TRANSFER ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | -40 105 | -67 073 | -78 398 | -94 143 | 15107 | -32 568 | -27 114 | 27195 | 37709 | 11149 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 67 | 56 | 136 | 261 | 333 | 291 | 88 | 166 | 83 | 126 |
| Argentina | -12 535 | -7 175 | -3 722 | -10 388 | -198 | -14 317 | -16154 | -8 573 | -16538 | -13 060 |
| Bahamas | 431 | 349 | 358 | 1077 | 1037 | 903 | 909 | 622 | 878 | 898 |
| Barbados | 131 | 58 | 263 | 89 | 293 | 204 | 102 | 278 | 372 | ... |
| Belize | 64 | 7 | 25 | -51 | -84 | 38 | 22 | -114 | -60 | -57 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | -235 | -565 | -535 | -428 | -143 | -155 | -1 162 | -906 | 637 | -668 |
| Brazil | -14 234 | -29 955 | -35 633 | -10 553 | 56642 | -9 401 | 37269 | 56887 | 63799 | 40068 |
| Chile | -4 047 | -10 615 | -10 541 | -23 481 | -29 153 | -1 352 | -13 265 | -15011 | 3396 | -8992 |
| Colombia | -2 609 | -849 | -1 846 | -2 925 | 2713 | -788 | -2 991 | 45 | -2 055 | -1 255 |
| Costa Rica | 443 | 432 | 1166 | 2058 | 1929 | 2022 | -247 | 1097 | 1751 | 1774 |
| Cuba | -450 | 150 | -633 | -618 | -960 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Dominica | 29 | 20 | 62 | 48 | 66 | 103 | 97 | 63 | 68 | 66 |
| Dominican Republic | -2 787 | -2 324 | -321 | -221 | 666 | 2462 | 1248 | 3096 | 2702 | 404 |
| Ecuador | -953 | -1 084 | -1580 | -3 691 | -2 138 | -2 236 | -2 258 | -586 | -649 | 525 |
| El Salvador | 595 | 132 | -59 | 375 | 1039 | 1477 | 179 | -270 | 24 | 935 |
| Grenada | 87 | 47 | 138 | 203 | 232 | 220 | 155 | 169 | 158 | 180 |
| Guatemala | 1251 | 1359 | 995 | 1096 | 1179 | 1075 | -646 | 92 | 108 | 772 |
| Guyana | -6 | -10 | 143 | 242 | 215 | 350 | 474 | 414 | 389 | 459 |
| Haiti | 5 | 94 | -20 | 201 | 286 | 465 | 479 | 1033 | 589 | 530 |
| Honduras | 94 | 743 | 177 | 149 | 612 | 1530 | -428 | 700 | 605 | 684 |
| Jamaica | -246 | 605 | 623 | 798 | 937 | 2120 | 430 | 871 | 1315 | 1587 |
| Mexico | 4128 | 1089 | 727 | -10998 | 1098 | 7372 | -3 498 | 12113 | 20607 | 7685 |
| Nicaragua | 520 | 616 | 590 | 804 | 1178 | 1315 | 784 | 830 | 1128 | 1158 |
| Panama | -539 | -414 | 418 | -1 198 | 925 | 1562 | -664 | 1455 | 1727 | 2007 |
| Paraguay | 168 | -98 | 72 | 168 | 400 | 486 | 546 | 439 | 747 | 193 |
| Peru | -718 | -1 354 | -4 596 | -7681 | -165 | -288 | -6 619 | 3762 | -5 645 | 5922 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 71 | 43 | 23 | 70 | 88 | 157 | 130 | 113 | 111 | 62 |
| Saint Lucia | 115 | 47 | 40 | 268 | 295 | 264 | 102 | 165 | 179 | 234 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 55 | 99 | 70 | 106 | 168 | 204 | 177 | 231 | 176 | 197 |
| Suriname | 118 | 112 | 83 | -179 | -152 | -271 | -11 | -721 | -340 | -383 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -1418 | -1513 | -2 878 | -7 088 | -4787 | -7 016 | -3 323 | -4 832 | -5 345 | -4 761 |
| Uruguay | 979 | -137 | 84 | -52 | 710 | 3045 | 929 | -1 123 | 2334 | 2456 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -8 679 | -17 037 | -22 225 | -22 603 | -20 155 | -24 408 | -19 968 | -25 312 | -35 543 | -28 598 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The net resource transfer is calculated as total net capital income minus the income balance (net payments of profits and interest). Total net capital income is the balance on the capital and financial accounts plus errors and omissions, plus loans and the use of IMF credit plus exceptional financing. Negative figures indicate resources transferred outside the country.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimates.

Table A-10
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NET FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 39790 | 50212 | 57309 | 32519 | 92803 | 99425 | 70740 | 75004 | 125851 | 122486 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 166 | 80 | 221 | 359 | 338 | 174 | 81 | 97 | 59 | 63 |
| Argentina | 878 | 3449 | 3954 | 3099 | 4969 | 8335 | 3307 | 6090 | 7183 | 6401 |
| Bahamas | 190 | 274 | 563 | 706 | 746 | 860 | 664 | 862 | 667 | 520 |
| Barbados | 58 | -16 | 119 | 200 | 256 | 223 | 218 | ... | ... | ... |
| Belize | -11 | 111 | 126 | 108 | 139 | 167 | 108 | 96 | 93 | 83 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 195 | 83 | -291 | 284 | 362 | 508 | 426 | 672 | 859 | 525 |
| Brazil | 9894 | 8339 | 12550 | -9 380 | 27518 | 24601 | 36033 | 36917 | 67690 | 65612 |
| Chile | 2625 | 5096 | 4962 | 5214 | 7720 | 6367 | 5654 | 6351 | 5477 | 4864 |
| Colombia | 783 | 2873 | 5590 | 5558 | 8136 | 8366 | 4049 | 184 | 5546 | 13771 |
| Costa Rica | 548 | 733 | 904 | 1371 | 1634 | 2072 | 1339 | 1441 | 2099 | 2200 |
| Dominica | 31 | 26 | 19 | 26 | 40 | 57 | 41 | 24 | 25 | 31 |
| Dominican Republic | 613 | 909 | 1123 | 1085 | 1667 | 2870 | 2165 | 1896 | 2371 | 3771 |
| Ecuador | 872 | 837 | 493 | 271 | 194 | 1056 | 305 | 161 | 640 | 578 |
| El Salvador | 123 | 366 | 398 | 268 | 1455 | 824 | 366 | 117 | 385 | 258 |
| Grenada | 89 | 65 | 70 | 90 | 157 | 142 | 103 | 60 | 40 | 66 |
| Guatemala | 218 | 255 | 470 | 552 | 720 | 737 | 574 | 782 | 967 | 1064 |
| Guyana | 26 | 30 | 77 | 102 | 110 | 178 | 164 | 270 | 308 | 350 |
| Haiti | 14 | 6 | 26 | 161 | 75 | 30 | 38 | 150 | 181 | 124 |
| Honduras | 391 | 553 | 599 | 669 | 926 | 1007 | 505 | 971 | 997 | 1059 |
| Jamaica | 604 | 542 | 581 | 797 | 751 | 1361 | 480 | 169 | 180 | $\ldots$ |
| Mexico | 17301 | 20389 | 17899 | 14248 | 23057 | 25731 | 8940 | 5911 | 8685 | -4 730 |
| Nicaragua | 201 | 250 | 241 | 287 | 382 | 626 | 434 | 508 | 968 | 859 |
| Panama | 818 | 1019 | 918 | 2547 | 1899 | 2147 | 1259 | 2350 | 2790 | 2823 |
| Paraguay | 22 | 32 | 47 | 167 | 178 | 272 | 194 | 340 | 483 | 239 |
| Peru | 1275 | 1599 | 2579 | 3467 | 5425 | 6188 | 5165 | 7062 | 8119 | 17874 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 76 | 56 | 93 | 110 | 134 | 178 | 131 | 120 | 142 | 69 |
| Saint Lucia | 106 | 77 | 78 | 234 | 272 | 161 | 146 | 110 | 76 | 138 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 55 | 66 | 40 | 109 | 130 | 159 | 97 | 103 | 135 | 110 |
| Suriname | -76 | -37 | 28 | -163 | -247 | -234 | -93 | -248 | 73 | 66 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 583 | 973 | 599 | 513 | 830 | 2101 | 709 | 549 | 1110 | 1688 |
| Uruguay | 401 | 315 | 811 | 1495 | 1240 | 2117 | 1512 | 2349 | 2629 | 2768 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 722 | 864 | 1422 | -2 032 | 1587 | 45 | -4 374 | -1462 | 4875 | -759 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Corresponds to direct investment in the reporting economy after deduction of outward direct investment by residents of that country. Includes reinvestment of profits.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimates. Includes an adjustment due to a lack of data.

Table A-11
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS EXTERNAL DEBT ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars, end-of-period stocks)

|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | Public | 768566 | 764433 | 674963 | 667847 | 739891 | 754048 | 815411 | 9705731 | 0798981 | 104214 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Public | 497 | 532 | 317 | 321 | 481 | 436 | 416 | 431 | 444 |  |
| Argentina | Total | 164645 | 171205 | 113768 | 108839 | 124542 | 124916 | 115537 | 129333 | 140655 | 141996 |
| Bahamas | Public | 364 | 345 | 338 | 334 | 337 | 443 | 767 | 898 | ... | ... |
| Barbados | Total | 2475 | 2435 | 2695 | 2991 | 3130 | 3487 | 4009 | 4485 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Belize | Public | 822 | 913 | 970 | 985 | 973 | 958 | 1016 | 1009 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Total | 7734 | 7562 | 7666 | 6278 | 5403 | 5930 | 5801 | 5875 | 6298 | 6283 |
| Brazil | Total | 214929 | 201373 | 169451 | 172589 | 193219 | 198340 | 198192 | 256804 | 298204 | 302921 |
| Chile | Total | 43067 | 43515 | 46211 | 49497 | 55733 | 64318 | 74041 | 86738 | 98579 | 101875 |
| Colombia | Total | 38065 | 39497 | 38507 | 40103 | 44553 | 46369 | 53719 | 64723 | 75903 | 76255 |
| Costa Rica | Total | 5575 | 5766 | 6763 | 7191 | 8444 | 9105 | 8238 | 9189 | 10714 | 11228 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Public | 11300 | 5806 | 5898 | 7794 | 8908 | ... | ... | ... |  |  |
| Dominica | Public | 223 | 209 | 221 | 225 | 241 | 234 | 222 | 242 | 248 |  |
| Dominican Republic | Public | 5987 | 6380 | 5847 | 6295 | 6556 | 7219 | 8215 | 9947 | 11625 | 12498 |
| Ecuador | Total | 16756 | 17211 | 17237 | 17099 | 17445 | 16900 | 13514 | 13914 | 15210 | 15017 |
| El Salvador | Total | 7917 | 8211 | 8877 | 9692 | 9349 | 9994 | 9882 | 9698 | 10670 | 10825 |
| Grenada | Public | 279 | 331 | 401 | 481 | 469 | 481 | 512 | 538 | 514 |  |
| Guatemala | Public | 3467 | 3844 | 3723 | 3958 | 4226 | 4382 | 4928 | 5562 | 5605 | 6238 |
| Guyana | Public | 1199 | 1189 | 1215 | 1043 | 718 | 834 | 933 | 1043 | 1111 |  |
| Haiti | Public | 1316 | 1376 | 1335 | 1484 | 1628 | 1917 | 1272 | 353 | 727 | 957 |
| Honduras | Total | 5343 | 6023 | 5135 | 3935 | 3190 | 3464 | 3345 | 3773 | 4188 | 4452 |
| Jamaica | Public | 4192 | 5120 | 5376 | 5796 | 6123 | 6344 | 6594 | 8390 | 8875 | 6270 |
| Mexico | Total | 132524 | 130925 | 128248 | 119084 | 128090 | 129424 | 165932 | 197727 | 209743 | 218252 |
| Nicaragua | Public | 6596 | 5391 | 5348 | 4527 | 3385 | 3512 | 3661 | 3876 | 4073 | 4125 |
| Panama | Public | 6504 | 7219 | 7580 | 7788 | 8276 | 8477 | 10150 | 10439 | 10910 | 11005 |
| Paraguay | Total | 2951 | 2901 | 2700 | 2739 | 2868 | 3256 | 3167 | 3719 | 3817 | 3729 |
| Peru | Total | 29587 | 31244 | 28657 | 28897 | 32894 | 34838 | 35157 | 43674 | 47544 | 53881 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | Public | 316 | 304 | 299 | 310 | 313 | 328 | 306 | 302 | 290 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | Total | 338 | 369 | 388 | 404 | 415 | 364 | 375 | 393 | 370 |  |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | Total | 195 | 219 | 231 | 220 | 219 | 235 | 261 | 305 | 303 |  |
| Suriname | Public | 383 | 384 | 390 | 391 | 298 | 319 | 269 | 334 | ... |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Public | 1553 | 1364 | 1329 | 1261 | 1392 | 1445 | 1422 | 1561 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | Total | 11013 | 11593 | 11418 | 10560 | 12218 | 12021 | 14064 | 14468 | 15024 | 15399 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Total | 40456 | 43679 | 46427 | 44735 | 53855 | 53757 | 69494 | 80831 | 98255 | 101007 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Includes debt owed to the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{b}$ Preliminary figures to June.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ As from 2004 refers only to active external debt; excludes other external debt, $60.2 \%$ of which is official debt owed to the Paris Club.

Table A-12
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: SOVEREIGN SPREADS ON EMBI+ AND EMBI GLOBAL
(Basis points to end of period)

|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | EMBI+ | 5632 | 4703 | 504 | 216 | 410 | 1704 | 660 | 496 | 925 | 1066 |
| Belize | EMBI Global | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 591 | 1790 | 1177 | 725 | 1391 | 2325 |
| Brazil | EMBI+ | 463 | 382 | 311 | 192 | 221 | 428 | 192 | 189 | 223 | 158 |
| Chile | EMBI Global | 90 | 74 | 80 | -84 | 151 | 343 | 95 | 115 | 172 | 126 |
| Colombia | EMBI+ | 431 | 332 | 238 | 161 | 195 | 498 | 196 | 172 | 195 | 122 |
| Dominican Republic | EMBI Global | 996 | 824 | 378 | 196 | 281 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 367 | 597 | 348 |
| Ecuador | EMBI+ | 799 | 690 | 669 | 920 | 614 | 4731 | 769 | 913 | 846 | 824 |
| El Salvador | EMBI Global | 281 | 245 | 239 | 159 | 199 | 854 | 326 | 323 | 478 | 385 |
| Jamaica | EMBI Global | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 384 | 1185 | 719 | 394 | 637 | 681 |
| Mexico | EMBI+ | 199 | 166 | 126 | 98 | 149 | 376 | 164 | 149 | 187 | 137 |
| Panama | EMBI+ | 335 | 290 | 246 | 153 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 201 | 130 |
| Peru | EMBI+ | 312 | 220 | 206 | 118 | 178 | 509 | 165 | 163 | 216 | 118 |
| Uruguay | EMBI Global | 507 | 327 | 298 | -185 | 243 | 685 | 238 | 188 | 213 | 136 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | EMBI+ | 593 | 411 | 318 | 182 | 506 | 1862 | 1017 | 1044 | 1197 | 926 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from JP Morgan, Emerging Markets Bond Index Monitor.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures as of October.

Table A-13
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: RISK PREMIA ON FIVE-YEAR CREDIT DEFAULT SWAPS
(Basis points to end of period)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Argentina | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 367 | 203 | 462 | 4041 | 914 | 602 | 922 |
| Brazil | 404 | 305 | 225 | 100 | 103 | 301 | 123 | 111 | 162 |
| Chile | 54 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 32 | 203 | 68 | 84 | 132 |
| Colombia | 441 | 341 | 167 | 114 | 130 | 309 | 143 | 113 | 156 |
| Ecuador | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 849 | 557 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2300 |
| Mexico | 122 | 80 | 63 | 41 | 69 | 293 | 134 | 114 | 154 |
| Panama | 282 | 211 | 148 | 81 | 118 | 302 | 134 | 9 | 102 |
| Peru | 292 | 204 | 221 | 91 | 116 | 304 | 124 | 113 | 172 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 566 | 289 | 221 | 129 | 452 | 3218 | 1104 | 1016 | 928 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures as of October.

Table A-14
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: INTERNATIONAL BOND ISSUES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 37806 | 36383 | 45188 | 45064 | 41515 | 18913 | 64750 | 90183 | 91687 | 98425 |
| National issues | 37806 | 36383 | 44404 | 44247 | 40976 | 18466 | 61950 | 88657 | 89022 | 96037 |
| Argentina | 100 | 200 | 540 | 1896 | 3256 | 65 | 500 | 3146 | 2193 | 663 |
| Bahamas | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 300 | - | - | - |
| Barbados | - | - | 325 | 215 | - | - | 450 | 390 | - | - |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | - | 108 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 |
| Brazil | 19364 | 11603 | 15334 | 19079 | 10608 | 6400 | 25745 | 39305 | 38624 | 46203 |
| Chile | 3200 | 2350 | 1000 | 1062 | 250 | - | 2773 | 6750 | 6049 | 7200 |
| Colombia | 1545 | 1545 | 2435 | 3177 | 3065 | 1000 | 5450 | 1912 | 6411 | 7459 |
| Costa Rica | 490 | 310 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 250 | 250 |
| Dominican Republic | 600 | - | 160 | 675 | 605 | - | - | 1034 | 750 | - |
| El Salvador | 349 | 286 | 375 | 925 | - | - | 800 | 450 | 654 | - |
| Guatemala | 300 | 380 | - | - | - | 30 | - | - | 150 | 1400 |
| Honduras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | - |
| Jamaica | - | 814 | 1050 | 930 | 1900 | 350 | 750 | 1075 | 694 | 1750 |
| Mexico | 7979 | 13312 | 11703 | 9200 | 10296 | 5835 | 15359 | 26882 | 21026 | 24222 |
| Panama | 275 | 770 | 1530 | 2076 | 670 | 686 | 1323 | - | 897 | 1100 |
| Paraguay | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | 200 |
| Peru | 1250 | 1305 | 2675 | 733 | 1827 | - | 2150 | 4693 | 2155 | 5090 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | - | - | 100 | 500 | - | - | 850 | - | 175 | - |
| Uruguay | - | 350 | 1062 | 3679 | 999 | - | 500 | - | 1693 | - |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 2354 | 3050 | 6115 | 100 | 7500 | 4000 | 5000 | 3000 | 7200 | - |
| Supranational issues | - | - | 784 | 817 | 539 | 447 | 2800 | 1526 | 2665 | 2388 |
| Central American Bank for Economic Integration | - | - | 200 | 567 | - | - | 500 | 151 | - | 250 |
| Caribbean Development Bank | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 175 | - |
| Foreign Trade Bank of Latin America | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 400 |
| Andean Development Corporation | - | - | 584 | 250 | 539 | 447 | 1000 | 1375 | 1240 | 1738 |
| NII Holdings | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1300 | - | 1250 | - |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from Merrill-Lynch, JP Morgan and Latin Finance.
a Includes sovereign, bank and corporate bonds.
${ }^{b}$ Figures as of October.

Table A-15
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: STOCK EXCHANGE INDICES
(National indices to end of period, 31 December 2005=100)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Argentina | 69 | 89 | 100 | 135 | 139 | 70 | 150 | 228 | 160 |
| Brazil | 66 | 78 | 100 | 133 | 191 | 112 | 205 | 207 | 170 |
| Chile | 76 | 91 | 100 | 137 | 155 | 121 | 182 | 251 | 213 |
| Colombia | 25 | 46 | 100 | 117 | 112 | 79 | 122 | 163 | 133 |
| Costa Rica | 87 | 78 | 100 | 177 | 217 | 207 | 142 | 118 | 121 |
| Ecuador | 65 | 79 | 100 | 130 | 121 | 128 | 107 | 126 | 128 |
| Jamaica | 65 | 108 | 100 | 96 | 103 | 77 | 80 | 82 | 91 |
| Mexico | 49 | 73 | 100 | 149 | 166 | 126 | 180 | 217 | 208 |
| Peru | 51 | 77 | 100 | 268 | 365 | 147 | 295 | 487 | 406 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 65 | 101 | 100 | 91 | 92 | 79 | 72 | 78 | 95 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 109 | 147 | 100 | 256 | 186 | 172 | 270 | 320 | 574 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1763 |  |  |  |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures as of October.

Table A-16
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS INTERNATIONAL RESERVES
(Millions of dollars, end-of-period stocks)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 197847 | 225943 | 262402 | 319242 | 459464 | 512611 | 567421 | 655993 | 774230 | 829390 |
| Antigua and Barbuda ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 114 | 120 | 127 | 143 | 144 | 138 | 108 | 136 | 147 | $178{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Argentina | 13820 | 19299 | 27262 | 31167 | 45711 | 46198 | 47967 | 52145 | 46376 | 45274 |
| Bahamas | 484 | 668 | 579 | 500 | 454 | 563 | 816 | 861 | 897 | $757^{\text {d }}$ |
| Barbados | 752 | 595 | 618 | 597 | 775 | 680 | 829 | 805 | 805 | $751{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Belize | ... | ... | ... | 95 | 99 | 156 | 210 | 216 | 242 | $272^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 1096 | 1272 | 1798 | 3193 | 5319 | 7722 | 8580 | 9730 | 12018 | 13772 |
| Brazil | 49296 | 52935 | 53799 | 85839 | 180334 | 193783 | 238520 | 288575 | 352012 | 377753 |
| Chile | 15851 | 16016 | 16963 | 19429 | 16910 | 23162 | 25371 | 27864 | 41979 | 38943 |
| Colombia | 10608 | 13220 | 14634 | 15109 | 20607 | 23672 | 24992 | 28464 | 32303 | 36402 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1839 | 1922 | 2313 | 3115 | 4114 | 3799 | 4066 | 4627 | 4756 | 5366 |
| Dominica ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 48 | 42 | 49 | 63 | 60 | 55 | 64 | 66 | 74 | $84^{\text {c }}$ |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 279 | 825 | 1929 | 2251 | 2946 | 2662 | 3307 | 3765 | 4098 | 3347 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{9}$ | ... | ... | 2147 | 2023 | 3521 | 4473 | 3792 | 2622 | 2958 | 4033 |
| El Salvador | 1910 | 1893 | 1833 | 1908 | 2198 | 2545 | 2987 | 2883 | 2504 | 2519 |
| Grenada ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 83 | 122 | 94 | 100 | 110 | 104 | 112 | 103 | 105 | $105^{\text {c }}$ |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2932 | 3529 | 3783 | 4061 | 4310 | 4659 | 5213 | 5954 | 6188 | 6804 |
| Guyana | 272 | 225 | 251 | 277 | 313 | 356 | 628 | 780 | 798 | $827{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Haiti | 112 | 166 | 187 | 305 | 494 | 587 | 733 | 1283 | 1343 | $1400^{\circ}$ |
| Honduras ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1609 | 2159 | 2526 | 2824 | 2733 | 2690 | 2174 | 2775 | 2880 | $2524{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Jamaica | 1196 | 1882 | 2169 | 2399 | 1906 | 1795 | 1752 | 2979 | 2820 | 1990 |
| Mexico | 59028 | 64198 | 74110 | 76330 | 87211 | 95302 | 99893 | 120587 | 149209 | $165590{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Nicaragua | 504 | 670 | 730 | 924 | 1103 | 1141 | 1573 | 1799 | 1892 | 1856 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1046 | 699 | 1245 | 1379 | 2094 | 2637 | 3222 | 2843 | 2514 | $1971^{\text {d }}$ |
| Paraguay | 983 | 1168 | 1293 | 1703 | 2462 | 2864 | 3861 | 4169 | 4984 | $4838{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Peru | 10206 | 12649 | 14120 | 17329 | 27720 | 31233 | 33175 | 44150 | 48859 | 62212 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 65 | 78 | 71 | 89 | 96 | 110 | 123 | 156 | 232 | $259{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Saint Lucia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 105 | 130 | 114 | 132 | 151 | 140 | 151 | 182 | 190 | $192^{\text {c }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 50 | 74 | 69 | 78 | 86 | 83 | 75 | 111 | 88 | $91^{\text {c }}$ |
| Suriname ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 106 | 129 | 126 | 215 | 401 | 433 | 659 | 639 | 941 | 970 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | ... | 2539 | 4015 | 5134 | 6674 | 9380 | 8652 | 9070 | 9823 | $9326{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Uruguay | 2087 | 2512 | 3078 | 3091 | 4121 | 6360 | 7987 | 7743 | 10302 | 13120 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 21366 | 24208 | 30368 | 37440 | 34286 | 43127 | 35830 | 27911 | 29892 | 25864 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures as of October.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Net international reserves.
c Figures as of March.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Figures as of September.
e Figures as of August.
${ }^{f}$ Serie corresponding to the harmonized monetary and financial statistics.
${ }^{g}$ Freely available International reserves.
${ }^{h}$ Does not include gold.

Table A-17
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REAL EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE RATES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Indices: 2005=100, average values for the period)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2012^{\text {b c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 107.1 | 106.8 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 94.3 | 88.5 | 87.9 | 85.0 | 82.8 | 80.7 |
| Argentina | 96.9 | 100.2 | 100.0 | 101.9 | 101.4 | 97.2 | 99.3 | 98.5 | 99.5 | 94.8 |
| Barbados | 97.9 | 101.4 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 98.2 | 97.7 | 86.8 | 89.3 | 86.0 | 83.2 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 86.9 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 102.3 | 101.3 | 92.5 | 84.3 | 88.1 | 86.9 | 82.4 |
| Brazil | 128.9 | 122.6 | 100.0 | 89.0 | 82.5 | 79.9 | 81.5 | 70.6 | 67.2 | 74.3 |
| Chile | 113.1 | 105.6 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 97.2 | 96.9 | 100.9 | 95.4 | 94.5 | 92.7 |
| Colombia | 124.5 | 113.1 | 100.0 | 101.9 | 91.5 | 87.9 | 91.9 | 79.8 | 80.5 | 77.0 |
| Costa Rica | 96.8 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 96.5 | 93.4 | 91.8 | 81.7 | 79.6 | 77.0 |
| Dominica | 94.5 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 101.8 | 104.7 | 105.5 | 108.1 | 106.8 | 110.1 | 112.0 |
| Dominican Republic | 145.1 | 139.0 | 100.0 | 106.0 | 106.1 | 108.6 | 110.4 | 108.8 | 110.1 | 112.0 |
| Ecuador | 92.0 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 107.0 | 108.7 | 101.9 | 100.1 | 102.3 | 99.7 |
| El Salvador | 98.2 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 100.7 | 101.7 | 103.0 | 100.4 | 101.9 | 102.8 | 102.9 |
| Guatemala | 111.7 | 108.5 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 96.6 | 91.7 | 94.6 | 94.2 | 90.4 | 88.8 |
| Honduras | 98.5 | 100.2 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 97.5 | 93.8 | 87.0 | 85.9 | 85.1 | 83.7 |
| Jamaica | 109.7 | 107.7 | 100.0 | 102.1 | 105.0 | 99.2 | 111.1 | 98.5 | 96.2 | 96.0 |
| Mexico | 99.9 | 103.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.8 | 103.3 | 117.9 | 108.9 | 108.9 | 112.8 |
| Nicaragua | 97.3 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 100.3 | 97.6 | 103.7 | 101.2 | 106.2 | 108.0 |
| Panama | 93.6 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 101.7 | 103.2 | 101.5 | 97.0 | 98.1 | 98.2 | 94.2 |
| Paraguay | 95.8 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 88.5 | 81.6 | 72.9 | 80.3 | 77.9 | 69.7 | 70.9 |
| Peru | 98.4 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 101.9 | 102.6 | 99.3 | 97.7 | 94.1 | 95.9 | 89.3 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 98.1 | 100.3 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 94.8 | 90.7 | 82.6 | 78.2 | 78.8 | 73.3 |
| Uruguay | 109.8 | 111.8 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 98.8 | 91.5 | 90.7 | 78.7 | 76.6 | 75.1 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 97.7 | 98.2 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 83.1 | 67.4 | 51.2 | 77.9 | 68.1 | 57.5 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the International Monetary Fund and national sources.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ A country's overall real effective exchange rate index is calculated by weighting its real bilateral exchange rate indices with each of its trading partners by each partner's share in the country's total trade flows in terms of exports and imports. The extraregional real effective exchange rate index excludes trade with other Latin American and Caribbean countries. A currency depreciates in real effective terms when this index rises and appreciates when it falls.
b Preliminary figures, weighted by trade in 2010.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Figures as of October.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Simple average of the extraregional real effective exchange rate for 20 countries.

Table A-18
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PARTICIPATION RATE
(Average annual rates)

|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | January to October |  |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 61.7 | 61.7 | 61.2 | 61.4 | 61.5 | 61.8 | 61.9 | 61.6 | 61.7 | ... | ... |
| Argentina | Urban areas | 60.2 | 60.2 | 59.9 | 60.3 | 59.5 | 58.8 | 59.3 | 58.9 | 59.5 | 59.6 | $59.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Barbados | Nationwide total | 69.3 | 69.4 | 69.6 | 67.9 | 67.8 | 67.6 | 67.0 | 66.6 | 67.6 | 68.1 | $66.7^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Departamental capitals ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 67.6 | 64.9 | 62.8 | 66.3 | 64.81 | $\ldots$ | 56.9 | 57.3 | ... | $\ldots$ |  |
| Brazil | Six metropolitan areas | 57.1 | 57.2 | 56.6 | 56.9 | 56.9 | 57.0 | 56.7 | 57.1 | 57.1 | 57.1 | 57.2 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Nationwide total | 54.4 | 55.0 | 55.6 | 54.8 | 54.9 | 56.0 | 55.91 | 58.5 | 59.8 | 59.8 | $59.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Colombia | Nationwide total | 62.9 | 61.5 | 60.5 | 59.1 | 58.3 | 58.5 | 61.3 | 62.7 | 63.7 | 63.4 | 64.6 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{9}$ | Nationwide total | 55.5 | 54.4 | 56.8 | 56.6 | 57.0 | 56.71 | 60.4 | 59.1 | 60.7 | 60.7 | $60.1{ }^{\text {n }}$ |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Nationwide total | 70.9 | 71.0 | 72.1 | 72.1 | 73.7 | 74.7 | 75.4 | 74.9 | 76.1 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic | Nationwide total | 54.7 | 56.3 | 55.9 | 56.0 | 56.1 | 55.6 | 53.8 | 55.0 | 56.2 | 56.2 | $56.5^{\text {j }}$ |
| Ecuador | Urban total | 58.2 | 59.1 | 59.5 | 59.1 | 61.3 | 60.1 | 58.9 | 56.9 | 55.2 | 55.1 | $56.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| El Salvador ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | Nationwide total | 53.4 | 51.7 | 52.4 | 52.61 | 62.1 | 62.7 | 62.8 | 62.5 | 62.7 | ... | ... |
| Honduras | Nationwide total | 50.0 | 50.6 | 50.9 | 50.7 | 50.7 | 51.0 | 53.1 | 53.6 | 51.9 | ... |  |
| Jamaica | Nationwide total | 64.4 | 64.3 | 64.2 | 64.7 | 64.9 | 65.4 | 63.5 | 62.4 | 62.3 | 62.4 | 62.41 |
| Mexico | Nationwide total | 57.1 | 57.7 | 57.9 | 58.8 | 58.8 | 58.7 | 58.6 | 58.4 | 58.6 | 58.6 | 59.3 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{9}$ | Nationwide total | 53.7 | 53.1 | 53.8 | 51.4 | 53.4 | 53.31 | 66.9 | 72.1 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Panama | Nationwide total | 62.8 | 63.3 | 63.6 | 62.6 | 62.7 | 63.9 | 64.1 | 63.5 | 61.9 | 61.9 | 63.5 m |
| Paraguay | Nationwide total ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 59.8 | 63.4 | 61.8 | 59.4 | 60.8 | 61.7 | 62.9 | 60.5 | 60.71 | 62.2 | $62.7^{\text {c }}$ |
| Peru | Metropolitan Lima | 67.4 | 68.0 | 67.1 | 67.4 | 68.9 | 68.1 | 68.4 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | $69.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Nationwide total | 61.6 | 63.0 | 63.7 | 63.9 | 63.5 | 63.5 | 62.7 | 62.1 | 61.3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | Nationwide total ${ }^{\circ}$ | 58.1 | 58.5 | 58.51 | 60.8 | 62.7 | 62.5 | 63.2 | 62.9 | 63.9 | 64.0 | 63.3 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Nationwide total | 69.3 | 68.5 | 66.3 | 65.4 | 64.9 | 64.9 | 65.1 | 64.6 | 64.4 | 64.4 | 64.0 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-October.
b The data relating to the different countries are not comparable owing to differences in coverage and in the definition of the working age population.
The regional series are simple averages of national data (excluding Nicaragua and the Plurinational State of Bolivia) and include adjustments for lack of information and changes in methodology.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-September.
${ }^{d}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-June.
e Up to 2007, urban areas.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ New measurements have been used since 2010; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
${ }^{9}$ New measurements have been used since 2009; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement of July.
i The working-age population is measured as follows: for males, 17 to 59 years and for females, 15 to 54 years.
${ }^{j}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement of April.
${ }^{k}$ New measurements have been used since 2007; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
I The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-July.
${ }^{m}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement of August.
${ }^{n}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to Asunción and urban areas of the Departamento Central.

- Up to 2005, urban total.

Table A-19
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: OPEN URBAN UNEMPLOYMENT ${ }^{a}$ (Average annual rates)

|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 11.1 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.4 |
| Argentina | Urban areas | 17.3 | 13.6 | 11.6 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 7.2 | $7.3{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Nationwide total | 10.8 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 14.2 | ... | 13.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Nationwide total | 11.0 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 11.2 | $12.2{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Belize ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Nationwide total | 12.9 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 13.1 | ... | ... | ... |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Departamental capitals ${ }^{9}$ | 9.2 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 6.71 | 7.9 | 6.1 | 5.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil | Six metropolitan areas | 12.3 | 11.5 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 9.5 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 9.71 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 6.4 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Thirteen metropolitan areas | 17.1 | 15.8 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 11.3 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Thirteen metropolitan areas | 15.7 | 14.4 | 13.1 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 10.9 | 10.7 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Urban total | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 4.81 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.8 |
| Cuba | Nationwide total | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.2 | ... |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Nationwide total | 16.7 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 14.9 | 14.3 | 14.6 | $14.3{ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Nationwide total | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 6.4 | $6.4{ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Urban total | 11.6 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 6.0 | $4.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Urban total | 8.4 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 4.9 | $4.3{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| El Salvador | Urban total | 6.2 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | ... |
| Guatemala | Urban total | 5.4 | 4.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| Honduras | Urban total | 7.6 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 6.8 | ... |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {e }}$ h | Nationwide total | 11.4 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 11.41 | 12.4 | 12.6 | $13.7{ }^{1}$ |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 5.3 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 7.51 | 8.0 | 8.3 | $9.0^{1}$ |
| Mexico | Urban areas | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| Nicaragua | Urban total | 10.2 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 9.7 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Urban total | 15.9 | 14.1 | 12.1 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 5.4 | $4.8{ }^{\text {m }}$ |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Urban total | 13.7 | 11.4 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 m |
| Paraguay | Urban total | 11.2 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 6.5 | ... |
| Peru | Metropolitan Lima | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Nationwide total | 10.5 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.1 | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | Urban total | 16.9 | 13.1 | 12.2 | 11.4 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Nationwide total | 18.0 | 15.3 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 8.0 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of household surveys.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unemployed population as a percentage of the economically active population.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimates based on data from January to October.
c Weighted average adjusted for lack of information and differences and changes in methodology.
The data relating to the different countries are not comparable owing to differences in coverage and in the definition of the working age population.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Estimate based on data from January to September.
${ }^{\ominus}$ Includes hidden unemployment.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Promedio de enero a junio. January-June average.
${ }^{g}$ Up to 2008, urban areas.
${ }^{h}$ New measurements have been used since 2010; the data are not comparable with the previous series
i Excluye el desempleo oculto. Incluye un ajuste a las cifras de población económicamente activa.
j New measurements have been used since 2009; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
${ }^{k}$ Figure for April.
${ }^{1}$ Promedio de enero a julio. January-July average.
${ }^{m}$ Figure for August.

Table A-20
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: EMPLOYMENT RATE ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Average annual rates)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $20112012^{b}$ <br> January to October |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  |  |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 52.4 | 52.9 | 53.3 | 53.7 | 54.2 | 54.5 | 54.2 | 54.9 | 55.4 | ... | ... |
| Argentina | Urban total | 49.8 | 52.0 | 52.9 | 54.1 | 54.5 | 54.2 | 54.2 | 54.4 | 55.2 | 55.2 | $54.9{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bahamas | Nationwide total | 69.7 | 68.0 | 68.5 | 69.4 | 70.2 | 69.7 | 63.0 | ... | 62.4 | ... | ... |
| Barbados | Nationwide total | 61.6 | 62.7 | 63.2 | 61.9 | 62.7 | 62.1 | 60.3 | 59.4 | 60.0 | 60.5 | $58.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Departamental capitals ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 54.9 | 55.0 | 51.2 | 54.0 | 52.71 | ... | 52.4 | 53.6 | ... | ... | ... |
| Brazil | Six metropolitan areas | 50.1 | 50.6 | 51.0 | 51.2 | 51.6 | 52.5 | 52.1 | 53.2 | 53.7 | 53.6 | 54.0 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Nationwide total | 49.3 | 49.5 | 50.4 | 50.5 | 51.0 | 51.7 | 50.51 | 53.7 | 55.5 | 55.5 | $55.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Colombia | Nationwide total | 54.1 | 53.1 | 53.4 | 52.0 | 51.8 | 51.9 | 53.9 | 55.4 | 56.8 | 56.3 | 57.8 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 51.8 | 50.9 | 53.0 | 53.3 | 54.4 | 53.91 | 55.4 | 54.8 | 56.0 | 56.0 | $55.4{ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Nationwide total | 69.2 | 69.7 | 70.7 | 70.7 | 72.4 | 73.6 | 74.2 | 73.0 | 73.6 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Dominican Republic | Nationwide total | 45.4 | 46.0 | 45.9 | 46.9 | 47.4 | 47.7 | 45.8 | 47.1 | 48.0 | 48.0 | $48.4{ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| Ecuador | Urban total | 51.5 | 53.5 | 54.4 | 54.3 | 56.8 | 56.0 | 53.9 | 52.6 | 51.9 | 51.6 | $53.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| El Salvador ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | Nationwide total | 49.7 | 48.2 | 48.3 | 49.21 | 58.1 | 59.0 | 58.2 | 58.1 | 58.6 | ... | ... |
| Honduras | Nationwide total | 47.4 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 49.0 | 49.2 | 49.4 | 51.5 | 51.5 | 49.7 | ... | ... |
| Jamaica ${ }^{9}$ | Nationwide total | 57.1 | 56.8 | 57.0 | 58.0 | 58.6 | 58.5 | 56.31 | 54.6 | 54.4 | 54.5 | $54.1{ }^{\text {m }}$ |
| Mexico | Nationwide total | 55.3 | 55.4 | 55.8 | 56.7 | 56.7 | 56.3 | 55.4 | 55.3 | 55.6 | 55.4 | 56.4 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 49.5 | 49.6 | 50.8 | 48.8 | 48.6 | 50.11 | 61.8 | 66.8 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Panama | Nationwide total | 54.6 | 55.9 | 57.3 | 57.2 | 58.7 | 60.3 | 59.9 | 59.4 | 59.1 | 59.1 | $61.0^{n}$ |
| Paraguay | Nationwide total ${ }^{\circ}$ | 55.0 | 58.8 | 58.2 | 55.4 | 57.4 | 58.2 | 58.9 | 57.1 | 57.31 | 57.5 | 57.6 |
| Peru | Metropolitana Lima | 61.1 | 61.6 | 60.7 | 61.8 | 63.0 | 62.4 | 62.7 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.4 | $64.2{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Nationwide total | 55.2 | 57.8 | 58.6 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 60.6 | 59.4 | 58.4 | 58.2 | ... | $\cdots$ |
| Uruguay | Nationwide total ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 48.3 | 50.9 | 51.41 | 54.2 | 56.8 | 57.7 | 58.5 | 59.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 59.5 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Nationwide total | 56.8 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 58.9 | 59.4 | 60.2 | 60.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 58.8 | 58.8 |

[^27]Table A-21
LATIN AMERICA: REAL AVERAGE WAGES ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Indices 2005=100)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 85.2 | 93.1 | 100.0 | 108.9 | 118.8 | 129.2 | 144.3 | 163.0 | 196.1 | $231.8^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 101.0 | 103.7 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 86.8 | 80.1 | 81.9 | 84.5 | 83.4 |  |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 99.6 | 100.4 | 100.0 | 103.5 | 105.0 | 107.2 | 108.6 | 110.9 | 113.6 | $117.7^{\text {d }}$ |
| Chile ${ }^{9}$ | 96.4 | 98.1 | 100.0 | 101.9 | 104.8 | 104.6 | 109.61 | 112.0 | 114.8 | $118.5^{\text {d }}$ |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 96.9 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 104.0 | 103.8 | 102.3 | 103.6 | 106.4 | 106.5 | $107.5^{\text {d }}$ |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 104.7 | 101.9 | 100.0 | 101.6 | 102.9 | 100.9 | 108.6 | 110.9 | 117.2 | 119.0 |
| Cuba | 83.2 | 88.5 | 100.0 | 111.6 | 109.9 | 110.0 | 115.1 | 118.5 | 118.8 |  |
| El Salvador ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 106.1 | 102.4 | 100.0 | 100.4 | 98.0 | 94.9 | 98.2 | 99.3 | 96.4 |  |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 106.5 | 104.2 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 97.3 | 94.8 | 94.9 | 97.6 | 98.0 |  |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 96.5 | 98.1 | 100.0 | 101.6 | 103.1 | 103.3 | 102.3 | 101.4 | 102.2 | 102.3 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 102.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 99.6 | 95.9 | 101.5 | 102.8 | 102.9 | 103.1 |
| Panama | 102.0 | 101.2 | 100.0 | 102.0 | 103.4 | 99.1 | 101.8 | 103.7 | 109.2 | $113.5^{\text {k }}$ |
| Paraguay | 97.3 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.6 | 103.0 | 102.3 | 106.8 | 107.6 | 110.6 | $110.9{ }^{\text {l }}$ |
| Perum ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 100.9 | 102.0 | 100.0 | 101.2 | 99.4 | 101.6 | 104.8 | 107.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | 95.6 | 95.6 | 100.0 | 104.3 | 109.3 | 113.2 | 121.4 | 125.5 | 130.5 | 135.7 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 97.2 | 97.5 | 100.0 | 105.1 | 106.4 | 101.5 | 94.8 | 89.9 | 92.5 | $97.5^{\text {d }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
a Figures deflated by the official consumer price index of each country.
b Estimates based on data from January to October.
${ }^{c}$ Registered private-sector workers.
${ }^{d}$ Estimate based on data from January to September
e Private-sector average wage index.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Private-sector workers covered by social and labour legislation.
g General index of hourly remuneration. New measurements have been used since 2010; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
${ }^{n}$ Manufacturing.
i Average wage declared by workers covered by social security.
; Gross salary.
${ }^{k}$ Estimate based on data from January to June.
${ }^{1}$ Figure for June.
$m$ Private-sector workers in the Lima metropolitan area

Table A-22
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: MONETARY
(Percentage variation with respect to the year-earlier period)

|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Monetary base | 13.0 | 6.8 | 17.2 | 4.2 | 10.0 | 2.0 | -10.5 | 0.9 | 20.1 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 4.3 | 34.8 | 28.8 | 10.8 | 16.4 | 6.7 | -14.2 | -7.3 | -6.6 | $-1.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | M2 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 11.3 | 7.6 | -2.9 | -3.1 | -1.1 | 2.0 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 9.5 | 15.5 | 30.4 | 15.9 | 32.0 | -0.5 | 45.4 | -1.8 | 3.2 | -6.3 |
| Argentina | Monetary base | 69.5 | 28.2 | 10.5 | 23.7 | 29.0 | 19.1 | 5.4 | 25.1 | 37.1 | 34.0 |
|  | Money (M1) | 40.8 | 37.2 | 27.0 | 23.8 | 27.2 | 17.4 | 7.7 | 27.1 | 58.6 | $31.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 29.9 | 25.3 | 24.4 | 21.6 | 26.3 | 18.6 | 3.0 | 29.3 | 49.3 | 30.8 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 51.2 | 143.8 | 21.2 | 37.9 | 27.8 | 36.4 | 61.6 | 35.9 | 8.7 | -22.1 |
| Bahamas |  | 7.3 | 31.9 | -8.1 | -3.0 | 17.2 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 26.8 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 4.3 | 24.0 | 16.0 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 2.8 | 6.2 | $8.4$ |
|  | M2 | 1.9 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 13.2 | 1.7 | 22.6 | 13.8 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 8.4 | 0.1 | -2.7 | 11.1 |
| Barbados | Monetary base | 15.8 | -3.3 | -15.8 | 4.8 | 26.8 | 9.2 | -13.9 | 3.4 | 7.7 | -5.8 b |
|  | Money (M1) | 19.5 | 23.4 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 11.9 | 7.5 | -5.4 | 2.2 | -1.0 | $-22.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | M2 | 7.7 | 15.5 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 15.8 | 8.7 | -1.1 | -1.1 | -0.4 | -9.6 |
| Belize | Monetary base | -1.1 | 3.4 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 15.1 | 11.5 | 11.9 | -1.2 | 8.2 | $17.8{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 12.0 | 14.8 | 7.3 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 9.2 | -1.9 | -0.9 | 9.1 | $22.9{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Monetary base | 10.5 | 5.0 | 27.4 | 44.3 | 48.2 | 53.8 | 19.6 | 32.4 | 11.6 | $20.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 15.2 | 15.7 | 31.4 | 45.1 | 55.2 | 50.2 | 9.4 | 24.1 | 27.2 | $18.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | M2 | 16.7 | 19.4 | 39.8 | 53.6 | 68.1 | 59.6 | 18.4 | 34.6 | 34.0 | 32.8 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | $4.1$ | -4.6 | 4.8 | -2.8 | 11.2 | -9.2 | 20.4 | 4.7 | -12.8 | -4.7 |
| Brazil | Monetary base | 17.1 | 10.7 | 15.3 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 17.5 | 11.0 | 9.0 |
|  | Money (M1) | 7.0 | 18.9 | 13.8 | 15.4 | 23.3 | 11.8 | 7.5 | 17.3 | 6.2 | 4.3 |
|  | M2 | 11.1 | 12.8 | 18.4 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 30.3 | 22.2 | 11.0 | 21.0 | 14.2 |
| Chile | Monetary base | 6.4 | 12.6 | 17.0 | 15.8 | 20.5 | 10.3 | 11.8 | 17.7 | 14.7 | 14.6 |
|  | Money (M1) | 19.3 | 21.2 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 17.9 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 28.7 | 11.3 | 10.6 |
|  | M2 | 3.1 | 8.1 | 20.8 | 19.1 | 20.2 | 19.1 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 13.2 | 20.3 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 4.0 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 17.4 | 11.5 | 47.3 | -0.6 | 6.5 | 13.0 | 8.6 |
| Colombia | Monetary base | 16.3 | 16.6 | 19.6 | 23.2 | 18.1 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 12.4 | 15.1 | 9.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 16.0 | 15.1 | 18.5 | 20.6 | 13.5 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 14.7 | 16.2 | 7.1 |
|  | M2 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 20.1 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 14.6 | 13.2 | 6.9 | 14.8 | $17.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Costa Rica | Monetary base | 27.2 | 22.1 | 27.2 | 30.2 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 11.7 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 16.4 | 14.4 | 18.1 | 25.2 | 41.1 | 21.7 | -3.4 | 9.4 | 19.4 | $9.1^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
|  | M2 | 23.4 | 16.6 | 28.4 | 29.9 | 34.9 | 22.9 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 11.1 | 13.4 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 22.5 | 34.5 | 24.5 | 17.0 | 7.2 | 10.7 | 36.8 | -1.9 | -5.4 | -0.5 |
| Dominica |  | -3.6 | 12.5 | -10.5 | 9.9 | 6.5 | -0.1 | -4.6 | 9.7 | 8.5 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 5.1 | 30.4 | 24.7 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 4.4 | -1.3 | -1.5 | -2.1 | $8.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | M2 | 3.5 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 6.7 | 10.5 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 6.3 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -44.7 | 149.5 | -33.2 | -32.1 | -0.6 | 19.0 | 15.9 | 30.2 | 38.8 | 22.7 |
| Dominican Republic | Monetary base | 56.0 | 32.4 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 18.4 | 12.3 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 9.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 58.0 | 35.0 | 5.6 | 29.7 | 26.7 | 11.0 | -1.1 | 17.7 | 4.9 | 6.5 |
|  | M2 | 53.2 | 36.8 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 10.6 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 8.8 | 12.4 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 103.2 | 26.7 | -7.8 | 16.9 | 11.0 | 14.9 | 4.6 | 18.7 | 17.8 | 19.0 |
| Ecuador | Monetary base | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 16.4 | 18.1 | 24.1 | 9.9 | 14.0 d |
|  | Money (M1) | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 44.5 | 38.0 | 16.1 | 15.5 | $13.3 \mathrm{~d}$ |
|  | M2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 33.0 | 22.0 | 18.6 | 20.0 | 19.1 |
| El Salvador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 0.1 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 19.8 | 10.4 | $6.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 9.1 | 15.0 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | -2.1 | 0.4 |
| Grenada | Monetary base | 9.0 | 19.9 | 0.1 | -11.1 | 9.2 | 3.5 | -8.5 | 6.0 | 7.2 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 12.2 | 36.6 | 18.6 | -5.8 | 7.1 | 3.1 | -12.9 | 3.8 | -7.3 | $2.1^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | M2 | 7.4 | 15.9 | 10.9 | -0.9 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 16.4 | 1.6 | 4.2 | -18.7 | 26.0 | 2.7 | 17.4 | -3.9 | -5.5 | 13.5 |
| Guatemala | Monetary base | 10.8 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 10.1 | 4.5 |
|  | Money (M1) | 13.9 | 10.4 | 15.1 | 17.9 | 17.6 | 3.4 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 5.5 |
|  | M2 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 13.5 | 19.9 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 10.6 | 9.2 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 92.9 | 298.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 9.9 | 18.1 | 11.6 | 4.9 | 2.7 |
| Guyana |  | 9.3 | $9.1$ | 12.3 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 16.5 | 10.6 | $17.7$ | 17.4 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 7.5 | 19.8 | 10.0 | 14.2 | 20.5 | 18.6 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 21.9 | $15.9^{\text {c }}$ |


|  |  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haiti | Monetary base | 34.5 | 20.2 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 34.1 | 18.1 | $7.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 28.7 | 12.5 | 15.2 | 8.8 | 3.5 | 21.3 | 9.2 | 27.0 | 14.4 | $5.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | M2 | 29.1 | 15.5 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 5.3 | 13.7 | 6.9 | 17.4 | 10.0 | 3.8 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 59.7 | 11.5 | 17.6 | 15.9 | 3.2 | 22.1 | 14.4 | 22.5 | 18.4 | 7.2 |  |
| Honduras | Monetary base | 2.8 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 14.9 | 31.3 | 24.8 | 11.6 | -13.8 | 10.7 | 12.8 | c |
|  | Money (M1) | 13.7 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 22.0 | 18.4 | 11.5 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 17.7 | 4.7 | c |
|  | M2 | 11.3 | 13.6 | 17.1 | 26.5 | 19.4 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 17.1 | 9.2 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 9.2 | 15.8 | 20.5 | 12.7 | 10.5 | 20.3 | -1.0 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 14.4 |  |
| Jamaica | Monetary base | 7.4 | 13.2 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 9.5 | 22.8 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 6.1 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 3.5 | 20.6 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 18.8 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 4.3 | b |
|  | M2 | 2.3 | 13.7 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 14.3 | 7.9 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 3.0 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 33.6 | 21.4 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 18.2 | 10.9 | 17.5 | -0.9 | -4.8 | 4.8 |  |
| Mexico | Monetary base | 15.7 | 14.0 | 12.1 | 16.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 14.4 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 13.2 | 12.3 | 12.9 | 35.9 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 14.6 |  |
|  | M2 | 12.1 | 6.9 | 11.6 | 19.8 | 7.5 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 5.8 | 12.4 | 11.0 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -4.4 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 24.9 | -6.4 | 2.8 | 20.7 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 16.2 |  |
| Nicaragua | Monetary base | 14.0 | 25.7 | 21.3 | 25.5 | 18.3 | 15.2 | 0.7 | 24.0 | 20.5 | 19.3 | c |
|  | Money (M1) | 11.1 | 25.5 | 23.3 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 32.9 | 4.4 | 21.4 | 24.8 | 21.4 |  |
|  | M2 | 11.1 | 25.5 | 23.3 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 32.9 | 4.4 | 21.4 | 24.8 | 21.4 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 9.6 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 10.2 | 5.3 | 25.8 | 7.8 | 21.5 |  |
| Panama | Monetary base | -15.6 | 10.4 | 12.7 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 17.7 | 11.2 | 7.5 | 27.1 | 11.8 | c |
|  | Money (M1) | -4.2 | 15.2 | 9.5 | 24.5 | 29.2 | 26.5 | 17.4 | 19.2 | 21.5 | 17.5 | c |
|  | M2 | -0.5 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 14.8 | 22.4 | 17.1 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 10.5 |  |
| Paraguay | Monetary base | 41.3 | 35.0 | 2.3 | 8.7 | 31.2 | 27.6 | 30.7 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 11.7 | c |
|  | Money (M1) | 28.2 | 28.9 | 22.2 | 16.5 | 34.4 | 30.5 | 6.6 | 28.7 | 7.8 | 8.3 | c |
|  | M2 | 19.8 | 23.5 | 20.8 | 12.9 | 34.2 | 38.4 | 13.3 | 26.4 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 12.7 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 9.3 | 21.1 | 40.1 | 16.4 | 13.5 | 15.0 |  |
| Peru | Monetary base | 7.2 | 19.6 | 27.8 | 17.1 | 25.2 | 38.2 | 2.1 | 24.2 | 31.3 | 30.3 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 10.9 | 22.9 | 29.0 | 17.1 | 30.6 | 31.3 | 8.8 | 28.0 | 19.9 | 18.6 |  |
|  | M2 | 10.3 | 17.1 | 28.9 | 7.7 | 37.7 | 48.5 | -2.2 | 27.8 | 18.8 | 22.7 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -1.5 | -3.3 | 2.5 | 11.4 | 7.9 | 11.2 | 23.1 | -0.1 | 14.1 | 0.9 |  |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | Monetary base | -9.1 | 5.5 | 16.1 | 8.1 | 15.7 | 7.3 | 48.3 | -3.2 | 36.1 | $\ldots$ |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 12.7 | 16.1 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 17.4 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 19.5 | 25.7 | 20.6 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 6.0 | 15.6 | 12.4 | 6.7 | 12.5 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 9.6 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 11.9 | 11.6 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 16.4 | -9.2 | -7.0 | -9.2 | -0.7 | -0.7 |  |
| Saint Lucia | Monetary base | 12.3 | 8.1 | -10.0 | 7.8 | 14.4 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 16.3 | $\ldots$ |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 21.6 | 26.5 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 5.0 | 7.1 | -2.4 | -4.3 | 4.0 | 2.6 | b |
|  | M2 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 3.8 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 35.3 | 42.0 | 54.6 | 32.5 | 47.8 | 8.9 | 9.3 | -13.2 | 16.4 | 13.6 |  |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | Monetary base | 4.3 | 12.5 | -7.7 | 14.3 | 4.5 | 2.0 | -3.2 | 11.9 | 0.8 | ... |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 6.1 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 6.8 | -1.4 | -8.3 | -0.5 | -3.9 | -2.3 | c |
|  | M2 | ... | ... | 4.1 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | -0.1 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | ... | 32.7 | 7.4 | 102.1 | 1.5 | -6.5 | -7.7 | 30.8 | -0.8 |  |
| Suriname | Monetary base | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 39.7 | 30.2 | 22.1 | 13.0 | 3.2 | 24.4 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 26.7 | 21.3 | 26.3 | 16.7 | 5.3 | 14.5 | c |
|  | M2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 30.2 | 21.0 | 25.1 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 17.6 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 25.7 | 24.3 | 12.0 | 7.9 | 39.1 | 14.4 |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Monetary base | 9.9 | -7.8 | 14.4 | 41.5 | 19.0 | 32.3 | 37.6 | 24.7 | 14.1 | 20.7 | b |
|  | Money (M1) | 12.2 | 8.8 | 24.2 | 21.8 | 7.4 | 17.6 | 24.0 | 25.5 | 17.2 | 16.5 | b |
|  | M2 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 23.7 | 24.8 | 13.2 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 8.4 | 12.1 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -5.1 | 33.1 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 36.4 | 21.1 | 32.2 | 7.9 | -4.0 | 2.2 |  |
| Uruguay | Monetary base | 6.1 | 3.8 | 24.6 | 23.5 | 28.9 | 28.6 | 6.1 | 12.9 | 23.1 | 23.5 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | 24.6 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 28.5 | 23.0 | 22.4 | 13.1 | 24.6 | 19.6 | 21.1 | c |
|  | M2 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 19.6 | 29.5 | 22.8 | 26.6 | 11.1 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 19.4 |  |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 7.9 | 13.5 | -15.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 25.7 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 15.0 |  |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Monetary base | 48.1 | 54.0 | 48.0 | 61.7 | 65.5 | 39.5 | 18.3 | 24.5 | 27.0 | 35.9 | c |
|  | Money (M1) | 61.5 | 60.6 | 50.2 | 105.5 | 66.8 | 24.3 | 28.8 | 27.5 | 44.8 | 61.9 |  |
|  | M2 | 43.7 | 54.0 | 52.6 | 61.9 | 60.2 | 16.9 | 28.3 | 18.0 | 37.6 | 57.0 |  |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Figures as of October.
b Figures as of August.
${ }^{c}$ Figures as of September.
${ }^{d}$ Figures as of July.

Table A-23
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: DOMESTIC CREDIT
(Percentage variation with respect to the year-earlier period)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 7.6 | -0.5 | 2.6 | 9.3 | 17.3 | 12.5 | 19.9 | 0.5 | -4.0 | $-2.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Argentina | 5.2 | 3.8 | -3.1 | -6.2 | 1.7 | 23.9 | 2.3 | 51.3 | 59.5 | $31.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Bahamas | 0.7 | 5.1 | 12.9 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 0.8 | $4.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Barbados | ... | 12.0 | 20.4 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 6.6 | 0.9 | -2.3 | $4.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Belize | 6.9 | 16.1 | 4.9 | 15.9 | 13.6 | 9.3 | 5.6 | -0.3 | -1.6 | $0.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 5.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | -3.2 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 10.9 | 13.0 | 18.8 | 22.7 e |
| Brazil | 18.3 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 19.4 | 19.9 | 19.5 | 14.1 | 18.6 | 18.4 | 16.0 |
| Chile | 6.3 | 10.6 | 12.4 | 10.5 | 15.6 | 18.4 | 6.6 | -0.1 | 12.1 | $18.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Colombia | 13.8 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 14.3 | 20.9 | 15.0 | $16.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Costa Rica | 19.7 | 20.1 | 14.4 | 16.6 | 22.1 | 21.1 | 19.1 | 4.6 | 12.4 | $13.9{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Dominica | -10.1 | -1.2 | 0.3 | 3.7 | -9.3 | 4.9 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 13.7 | $8.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Dominican Republic | 64.7 | 28.2 | 5.9 | 21.4 | 12.2 | 17.7 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 10.6 |
| Ecuador | -11.5 | 8.2 | 13.6 | 9.3 | 18.2 | 1.7 | 20.8 | 33.6 | 31.5 | $22.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| El Salvador | 6.0 | 8.7 | 43.1 | 8.6 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 10.2 |
| Grenada | 5.9 | -0.3 | -3.1 | 12.5 | 15.4 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 4.9 b |
| Guatemala | 8.9 | 26.7 | 11.5 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 15.1 | 11.3 |
| Guyana | -4.1 | 20.5 | 24.1 | -6.7 | 28.9 | 15.8 | 4.5 | -0.8 | 34.5 | $49.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Haiti | 31.0 | 11.4 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 9.7 | -22.9 | -17.0 | $0.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Honduras | 19.9 | 11.7 | -6.9 | 34.4 | 49.0 | 27.1 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 10.9 | $19.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Jamaica | 24.5 | 12.1 | 7.7 | -1.5 | 12.4 | 16.3 | 15.0 | -3.4 | -4.1 | $10.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Mexico | 16.9 | 6.9 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 21.6 | 8.7 | 16.7 | 10.6 | 11.3 | $10.8{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Nicaragua | 7.3 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 11.1 | 10.1 | -2.1 | -3.9 | -7.3 | $22.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Panama | -3.3 | 8.8 | 6.1 | 16.0 | 10.7 | 15.9 | 1.2 | 9.5 | 18.8 | $18.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\dagger}$ | -17.2 | -4.1 | 10.0 | 13.9 | 25.2 | 51.5 | 31.8 | 36.1 | 28.2 | 22.6 |
| Peru | 8.8 | 12.1 | 6.1 | 23.2 | 38.0 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 23.9 | 12.3 | 8.9 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -0.4 | 17.5 | 21.3 | 16.7 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 6.7 | -0.3 | $-7.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | -6.2 | 4.6 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 29.6 | 21.1 | 4.6 | -0.3 | 2.9 | $6.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | -3.7 | 10.0 | 2.4 | 11.9 | 16.5 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 1.5 | -7.2 | -4.2 b |
| Suriname | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 20.7 | 18.5 | 16.9 | 21.4 | 20.8 | $9.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 1.3 | -3.3 | -5.3 | -40.0 | 90.1 | 6.5 | 35.5 | 36.6 | 9.3 | $5.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Uruguay | -10.7 | -24.3 | -27.2 | 3.9 | 8.9 | 3.2 | -2.6 | 13.9 | 39.9 | $19.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 37.3 | 62.8 | 59.3 | 82.7 | 51.6 | 22.0 | 28.4 | 13.7 | 36.0 | $56.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

[^28]Table A-24
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: MONETARY POLICY RATES

| (Average rates) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 a |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Argentina | 9.3 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 12.7 |
| Bahamas | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| Barbados | 7.5 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | $7.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Belize | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 11.0 | $11.0{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | ... | ... | ... | 5.0 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Brazil | 23.8 | 16.4 | 19.1 | 15.4 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 8.8 |
| Chile | 2.7 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Colombia | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 9.8 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 5.1 |
| Costa Rica | ... | ... | ... | 8.8 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 5.0 |
| Dominica | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Dominican Republic | ... | 25.0 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 9.0 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 |
| Grenada | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Guatemala | ... | $\ldots$ | 3.3 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Guyana | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 5.4 | $5.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Haiti | 26.3 | 15.6 | 12.7 | 18.2 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Honduras | ... | ... | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.6 |
| Jamaica | 14.6 | 14.2 | 12.8 | 12.3 | 11.7 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 9.0 | 6.6 | $6.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Mexico | 6.2 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Paraguay | 15.5 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 9.7 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 8.0 | 6.1 |
| Peru | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.3 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Uruguay | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 7.4 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 8.8 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 19.7 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | $6.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Figures as of October.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Figures as of July.
c Figures as of September.

Table A-25
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REPRESENTATIVE LENDING RATES (Average rates)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antigua and Barbuda ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 13.4 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 10.1 | $9.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 16.8 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 19.8 | 21.3 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 19.4 |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 12.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 11.0 | $10.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10.2 | 9.9 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 9.3 | $8.7{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Belize ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 14.3 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 13.4 | $12.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 21.0 | 17.2 | 12.1 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.7 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 49.8 | 41.1 | 43.7 | 40.0 | 34.5 | 38.8 | 40.4 | 38.5 | 40.7 | 33.5 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 13.0 | 11.0 | 13.5 | 14.4 | 13.6 | 15.2 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 13.5 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15.2 | 15.1 | 14.6 | 12.9 | 15.4 | 17.2 | 13.0 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 12.7 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 26.2 | 23.4 | 24.0 | 22.7 | 17.3 | 16.7 | 21.6 | 19.4 | 17.6 | 18.9 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.3 | ... | ... |
| Dominica ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11.8 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 8.7 | $8.8{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{1}$ | 27.8 | 30.3 | 21.4 | 15.7 | 11.7 | 16.0 | 12.9 | 8.3 | 11.7 | 12.6 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 12.6 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 |
| El Salvador ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| Grenada ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 10.4 | $9.8{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15.0 | 13.8 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.5 |
| Guyana ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 16.6 | 16.6 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 14.7 | $14.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Haiti ${ }^{\circ}$ | 30.7 | 34.1 | 27.1 | 29.5 | 31.2 | 23.3 | 21.6 | 20.7 | 19.8 | 19.5 |
| Honduras ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 20.8 | 19.9 | 18.8 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 17.9 | 19.4 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.3 |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 25.1 | 25.1 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 20.3 | 18.3 | 17.9 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 7.0 | 7.4 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15.5 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 11.6 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 10.8 | 12.1 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 8.9 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 30.5 | 21.2 | 15.3 | 16.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 13.2 | 16.3 | 15.6 |
| Perus | 21.0 | 24.7 | 25.5 | 23.9 | 22.9 | 23.7 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 19.2 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 12.0 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 9.0 | $8.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Saint Lucia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15.3 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.2 | $8.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 12.0 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.0 | $9.2{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Suriname ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 21.0 | 20.4 | 18.1 | 15.6 | 13.8 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.8 | $11.7{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago ${ }^{\prime}$ | 11.0 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| Uruguay ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 56.6 | 26.0 | 15.3 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 13.1 | 16.6 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 25.7 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 16.7 | 22.8 | 20.6 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 16.3 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures as of October.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Weighted average of the system lending rates.
c Figures as of June.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Local-currency loans at fixed or renegotiable rates, signature loans of up to 89 days.
${ }^{e}$ Figures as of September.
${ }^{f}$ Figures as of July.
g Nominal local-currency rate for 60-91-day operations.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Preset lending rates for legal persons.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ Lending rates for $90-360$ days, non-adjustable operations.
) Average lending rate.
${ }^{k}$ Corporate lending rate in convertible pesos.
${ }^{1}$ Prime lending rate.
${ }^{m}$ Effective benchmark lending rate for the corporate commercial segment.
${ }^{n}$ Basic lending rate for up to 1 year.

- Average of minimum and maximum lending rates.
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Weighted average rate of private debt issues of up to 1 year, expressed as a 28 -day curve. Includes only stock certificates.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Short-term loans rate, weighted average
r Interest rate on 1-year trade credit.
s Market lending rate, average for transactions conducted in the last 30 business days
${ }^{t}$ Average bank lending rate in local currency.
${ }^{4}$ Business credit, 30-367 days.
${ }^{v}$ Average rate for loan operations for the six major commercial banks.

Table A-26
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CONSUMER PRICES
(12-month percentage variation)

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8.2 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 5.8 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | $1.9{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Argentina | 3.7 | 6.1 | 12.3 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 10.2 |
| Bahamas | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 3.2 | $2.3{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Barbados | 0.3 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 9.6 | $4.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Belize | 2.3 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 4.4 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | $0.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 4.3 |
| Brazil | 9.3 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.5 |
| Chile | 1.1 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 7.8 | 7.1 | -1.4 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 2.9 |
| Colombia | 6.5 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
| Costa Rica | 9.9 | 13.1 | 14.1 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 13.9 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | -3.8 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 10.6 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 1.5 | 2.7 | $2.1{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Dominica | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 d |
| Dominican Republic | 42.7 | 28.7 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 8.9 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 2.8 |
| Ecuador | 6.1 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 4.9 |
| El Salvador | 2.5 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.5 | -0.2 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 1.0 |
| Grenada | 1.6 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 5.2 | -2.3 | 4.2 | 3.5 | $1.3{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Guatemala | 5.9 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 5.8 | 8.7 | 9.4 | -0.3 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 3.3 |
| Guyana | 5.0 | 5.5 | 8.2 | 4.2 | 14.1 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.3 | $1.9{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Haiti | 35.8 | 19.1 | 15.3 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 6.8 |
| Honduras | 6.8 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Jamaica | 13.8 | 13.6 | 12.6 | 5.6 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 6.0 | 7.2 |
| Mexico | 4.0 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.6 |
| Nicaragua | 6.6 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 16.2 | 12.7 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 6.8 |
| Panama | 1.4 | -0.2 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 5.3 |
| Paraguay | 9.3 | 2.8 | 9.9 | 12.5 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 3.4 |
| Peru | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 3.2 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 3.0 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 2.9 | $2.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 8.7 | -1.6 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 1.9 d |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 0.5 | 3.5 | 5.2 | -0.5 | 6.8 | 3.4 | -3.1 | 4.2 | 4.8 | $3.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Suriname | ... | ... | 15.8 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 10.3 | 15.3 | $3.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 3.0 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 14.5 | 1.3 | 13.4 | 5.3 | $7.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Uruguay | 10.2 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 9.1 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 27.1 | 19.2 | 14.4 | 17.0 | 22.5 | 31.9 | 26.9 | 27.4 | 29.0 | 18.5 |

[^29]Table A-27
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT BALANCE
(Percentages of GDP, end-of-period stocks)

|  | Primary balance |  |  |  | Overall balance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.4 | -3.4 | -2.3 | -2.2 | -2.9 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -0.9 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.3 | -2.7 | -1.7 | -1.6 | -2.0 |
| The Caribbean ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -0.2 | 0.7 | -0.1 | -0.5 | -4.2 | -2.9 | -3.6 | -4.0 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | -8.0 | 1.4 | -2.7 | -2.3 | -10.9 | -1.2 | -5.3 | -4.5 |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1.4 | 1.5 | -0.1 | 0.5 | -0.8 | -0.1 | -2.3 | -1.6 |
| Bahamas | -0.9 | -1.1 | 0.1 | -1.2 | -3.2 | -3.8 | -2.6 | -3.8 |
| Barbados ${ }^{\dagger}$ | -3.5 | -2.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | -8.3 | -7.8 | -5.2 | -5.8 |
| Belize | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.9 | -2.8 | -1.6 | -0.3 | -2.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Brazil | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | -3.5 | -1.7 | -2.6 | -2.2 |
| Chile | -3.7 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | -4.2 | -0.4 | 1.3 | -0.2 |
| Colombia | -1.1 | -1.1 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -4.1 | -3.9 | -2.8 | -2.4 |
| Costa Rica | -1.3 | -3.0 | -1.9 | -2.2 | -3.4 | -5.2 | -4.1 | -4.5 |
| Cuba | -3.8 | -2.2 | ... | ... | -4.9 | -3.6 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Dominica | -1.0 | 3.0 | -8.0 | -8.2 | -2.1 | 1.3 | -9.8 | -9.9 |
| Dominican Republic | -1.6 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -4.3 | -3.5 | -2.5 | -2.6 | -6.8 |
| Ecuador | -3.5 | -1.2 | -0.8 | -2.3 | -4.3 | -2.2 | -1.8 | -3.4 |
| El Salvador | -1.2 | -0.4 | -0.1 | 2.0 | -3.7 | -2.7 | -2.3 | 0.1 |
| Grenada | -2.7 | 0.2 | -0.7 | -1.2 | -4.9 | -1.7 | -3.0 | -3.5 |
| Guatemala | -1.7 | -1.8 | -1.3 | -0.6 | -3.1 | -3.3 | -2.8 | -2.2 |
| Guyana | -2.1 | -1.2 | -1.6 | -3.4 | -3.7 | -2.9 | -3.1 | -4.6 |
| Haiti | -0.7 | 1.8 | 0.2 | ... | -1.3 | 1.3 | -0.1 | $\ldots$ |
| Honduras | -5.5 | -3.8 | -1.7 | -1.7 | -6.2 | -4.8 | -2.9 | -2.9 |
| Jamaica | 6.3 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 3.1 | -11.4 | -6.4 | -5.9 | -6.2 |
| Mexico ${ }^{9}$ | -0.4 | -1.0 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -2.3 | -2.8 | -2.5 | -2.4 |
| Nicaragua | -0.7 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | -1.7 | -0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | -0.4 | -1.0 | -1.9 | -2.2 | -2.8 |
| Paraguay | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 | -2.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | -2.8 |
| Peru | -0.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | -1.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 6.0 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 8.8 | -0.6 | -4.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| Saint Lucia | 0.8 | 2.2 | -3.2 | -3.0 | -2.1 | -0.6 | -6.0 | -6.4 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 0.0 | -0.8 | -1.2 | 0.5 | -2.7 | -3.7 | -3.6 | -2.0 |
| Suriname | 5.2 | -3.7 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 3.7 | -5.2 | -0.2 | -0.8 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -3.2 | 2.2 | -0.8 | -2.2 | -6.0 | -0.2 | -2.8 | -4.4 |
| Uruguay | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | -1.5 | -1.2 | -0.6 | -2.3 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -3.7 | -2.1 | -1.8 | -1.3 | -5.0 | -3.6 | -4.0 | -3.8 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures prepared on the basis of information from budgets for 2013.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Simple averages of the figures for 33 countries.
c Simple averages. Includes information on 19 countries of Latin America and the Caribbean: Argentina, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay.
${ }^{d}$ Simple averages. Includes information on 13 Caribbean countries: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname and Trinidad and Tobago.
${ }^{e}$ National public administration.
${ }^{f}$ Non-financial public sector.
${ }^{9}$ Public sector.

Table A-28
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT REVENUES
(Percentages of GDP, end-of-period stocks)

|  | Total revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Preliminary figures prepared on the basis of information from budgets for 2013.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Simple averages of the figures for 33 countries.
c Simple averages. Includes information on 19 countries of Latin America and the Caribbean: Argentina, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil,
Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay.
${ }^{d}$ Simple averages. Includes information on 13 Caribbean countries: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname and Trinidad and Tobago.
${ }^{e}$ National public administration.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Non-financial public sector.
${ }^{9}$ Public sector.

Table A-29
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURE
(Percentages of GDP, end-of-period stocks)

|  | Total expenditure |  |  |  | Public-debt interest payments |  |  |  | Capital expenditure |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26.9 | 26.1 | 26.4 | 27.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 21.9 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.1 |
| The Caribbean ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 32.2 | 30.7 | 31.9 | 32.2 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 29.2 | 23.6 | 25.9 | 24.1 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 21.8 | 22.6 | 24.1 | 25.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Bahamas | 20.1 | 22.3 | 22.8 | 24.4 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| Barbados ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 36.0 | 35.4 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Belize | 28.8 | 29.2 | 28.3 | 29.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 4.7 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 44.9 | 43.1 | 44.7 | 49.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 12.8 | 10.7 | 13.3 | 16.0 |
| Brazil | 26.2 | 26.0 | 26.4 | 26.6 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 4.8 | ... |
| Chile | 23.2 | 22.1 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Colombia | 19.4 | 17.7 | 18.1 | 18.6 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Costa Rica | 17.4 | 19.6 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.5 | ... |
| Cuba | 53.9 | 49.0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 1.1 | 1.3 | ... | ... | 8.2 | 6.1 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Dominica | 33.8 | 31.0 | 39.7 | $\ldots$ | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | ... | 11.6 | 6.9 | 14.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic | 16.9 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 20.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 6.0 |
| Ecuador | 23.0 | 28.0 | 27.3 | 30.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 8.6 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 13.3 |
| El Salvador | 17.6 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 16.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Grenada | 25.5 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 22.9 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
| Guatemala | 14.2 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.1 |
| Guyana | 30.8 | 28.9 | 28.7 | 33.0 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 13.2 |
| Haiti | 13.3 | 14.4 | 14.3 | ... | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | 2.2 | 3.7 | 2.3 | ... |
| Honduras | 23.8 | 22.2 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| Jamaica | 39.5 | 33.7 | 32.0 | 31.0 | 17.7 | 11.1 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.9 | $\ldots$ |
| Mexico ${ }^{9}$ | 26.0 | 25.6 | 25.4 | 26.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| Nicaragua | 18.2 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 18.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 27.0 | 27.3 | 27.0 | 28.6 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 8.6 | $\cdots$ |
| Paraguay | 17.5 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| Peru | 17.1 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 34.0 | 35.7 | 38.5 | 37.7 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 3.9 |
| Saint Lucia | 27.2 | 26.6 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 8.2 | 7.6 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 31.2 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 28.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| Suriname | 45.4 | 44.3 | 43.2 | 45.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 10.1 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 7.3 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 36.7 | 32.7 | 35.8 | 35.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Uruguay | 22.6 | 22.4 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 26.5 | 22.9 | 26.4 | 22.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.6 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Preliminary figures prepared on the basis of information from budgets for 2013.
b Simple averages of the figures for 33 countries.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Simple averages. Includes information on 19 countries of Latin America and the Caribbean: Argentina, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay.
${ }^{d}$ Simple averages. Includes information on 13 Caribbean countries: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname and Trinidad and Tobago.
${ }^{e}$ National public administration.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-financial public sector.
${ }^{9}$ Public sector.

Table A-30
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS PUBLIC-DEBT
(Percentages of GDP, end-of-period stocks)

|  | Central government |  |  |  | Non-financial public sector |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 51.0 | 51.4 | 50.8 | ... |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 31.0 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 29.9 | 33.7 | 32.6 | 32.5 | ... |
| The Caribbean ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 76.2 | 78.9 | 77.7 | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | ... | ... | ... | ... | 95.7 | 87.1 | 86.7 | ... |
| Argentina | 48.5 | 45.1 | 41.6 | 39.9 | 53.6 | 45.3 | 42.2 | ... |
| Bahamas | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 50.0 | 61.8 | 60.2 | 49.9 |
| Barbados | ... | ... | ... | ... | 84.1 | 98.5 | 95.9 | 106.4 |
| Belize | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 82.2 | 72.3 | 70.7 | 75.5 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 36.3 | 34.5 | 34.4 | 29.1 | 39.5 | 38.1 | 34.5 | 29.2 |
| Brazil | 60.9 | 53.4 | 54.2 | 57.8 | 60.9 | 53.4 | 54.2 | 57.8 |
| Chile | 6.1 | 11.1 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 19.1 | 20.4 | 18.9 |
| Colombia | 35.0 | 35.0 | 33.8 | 32.0 | 45.1 | 46.2 | 43.4 | 39.8 |
| Costa Rica | 27.4 | 29.2 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 34.0 | 35.8 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Dominica | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 66.4 | 73.1 | 70.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic | 28.2 | 29.2 | 30.1 | 31.8 | 28.7 | 29.5 | 30.4 | 32.3 |
| Ecuador | 15.3 | 21.6 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 16.5 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 22.3 |
| El Salvador | 42.6 | 42.5 | 41.9 | 42.2 | 45.2 | 45.1 | 44.3 | 44.6 |
| Grenada | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 90.0 | 91.8 | 86.8 | $\cdots$ |
| Guatemala | 22.9 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 24.5 | 23.3 | 24.4 | 24.3 | 24.7 |
| Guyana | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 60.5 | 61.2 | 78.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Haiti | 34.4 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 26.8 | 35.1 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 26.9 |
| Honduras | 24.5 | 30.0 | 31.9 | 32.1 | 22.9 | 25.4 | 27.6 | 27.7 |
| Jamaica | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 139.8 | 139.0 | 126.0 | 130.5 |
| Mexico | 28.1 | 27.6 | 28.4 | 28.8 | 34.9 | 34.1 | 35.5 | 35.2 |
| Nicaragua | 33.6 | 34.6 | 33.4 | 31.7 | 34.6 | 35.5 | 34.2 | 32.5 |
| Panama | 44.7 | 42.3 | 40.3 | 39.4 | 45.4 | 43.0 | 40.9 | 39.9 |
| Paraguay | 14.4 | 13.9 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 12.5 | 12.2 |
| Peru | 23.4 | 21.3 | 19.0 | 17.1 | 23.7 | 21.5 | 19.2 | 17.8 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 142.0 | 151.4 | 141.1 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 64.0 | 65.5 | 66.3 | $\ldots$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 64.7 | 66.7 | 63.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Suriname | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 18.5 | 21.6 | 24.2 | 27.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 32.9 | 36.2 | 38.4 | 46.6 |
| Uruguay | 44.7 | 39.3 | 40.0 | 39.4 | 49.0 | 42.8 | 43.9 | 43.9 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 18.2 | 20.2 | 25.1 | 21.4 | 18.2 | 20.2 | 25.1 | 21.4 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Preliminary figures to September.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Simple averages of the figures for 33 countries.
c Simple averages. Includes information on 19 countries of Latin America and the Caribbean: Argentina, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Uruguay.
d Simple averages. Includes information on 13 Caribbean countries: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname and Trinidad and Tobago.
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94 El cuidado en acción. Entre el derecho y el trabajo, Sonia Montaño Virreira y Coral Calderón Magaña (coords.), 2010, 236 p.
93 Privilegiadas y discriminadas. Las trabajadoras del sector financiero, Flavia Marco Navarro y María Nieves Rico lbáñez (eds.), 2009, 300 p.

## Cuadernos estadísticos de la CEPAL

40 América Latina y el Caribe: Índices de precios al consumidor. Serie enero de 1995 a junio de 2012. Solo disponible en CD, 2012.
39 América Latina y el Caribe: indicadores macroeconómicos del turismo. Solo disponible en CD, 2010.
38 Indicadores ambientales de América Latina y el Caribe, 2009. Solo disponible en CD, 2010.
37 América Latina y el Caribe: Series históricas de estadísticas económicas 1950-2008. Solo disponible en CD, 2009.
36 Clasificaciones estadísticas internacionales incorporadas en el Banco de Datos de Comercio Exterior de América Latina y el Caribe de la CEPAL (Revisión 3). Solo disponible en CD, 2008.

## Observatorio demográfico / Demographic Observatory

Edición bilingüe (español e inglés) que proporciona información estadística actualizada, referente a estimaciones y proyecciones de población de los países de América Latina y el Caribe. Incluye también indicadores demográficos de interés, tales como tasas de natalidad, mortalidad, esperanza de vida al nacer, distribución de la población, etc.

Desde 2013 el Observatorio aparece una vez al año. Valor por ejemplar: US\$ 15.
Bilingual publication (Spanish and English) proving up-to-date estimates and projections of the populations of the Latin American and Caribbean countries. Also includes various demographic indicators of interest such as fertility and mortality rates, life expectancy, measures of population distribution, etc.

Since 2013, the Observatory appears once a year. Annual. Per issue: US\$ 15.

## Notas de población

Revista especializada que publica artículos e informes acerca de las investigaciones más recientes sobre la dinámica demográfica en la región, en español, con resúmenes en español e inglés. También incluye información sobre actividades cientificas y profesionales en el campo de población.

La revista se publica desde 1973 y aparece dos veces al año, en junio y diciembre.
Suscripción anual: US\$ 20. Valor por cada ejemplar: US\$ 12.
Specialized journal which publishes articles and reports on recent studies of demographic dynamics in the region, in Spanish with abstracts in Spanish and English. Also includes information on scientific and professional activities in the field of population.

Published since 1973, the journal appears twice a year in June and December.
Annual subscription: US\$ 20. Per issue: US\$ 12.

## Series de la CEPAL

Comercio Internacional / Desarrollo Productivo I Desarrollo Territorial / Estudios Estadísticos y Prospectivos / Estudios y Perspectivas (Bogotá, Brasilia, Buenos Aires, México, Montevideo) / Studies and Perspectives (The Caribbean, Washington) / Financiamiento del Desarrollo / Gestión Pública I Informes y Estudios Especiales / Macroeconomía del Desarrollo / Manuales / Medio Ambiente y Desarrollo / Mujer y Desarrollo I Población y Desarrollo I Políticas Fiscales I Políticas Sociales I Recursos Naturales e Infraestructura I Reformas Económicas / Seminarios y Conferencias.

## كيفيـة الحصـول على منشـورات الأمـم المتحــدة <br>  

如何购取联合国出版物
联合国出版物在全世界各地的书店和经倩处均有发倍。请向书店询问或写信到纽约或日内瓦的联合国销隽组。
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[^1]:    1 These growth rates refer to data for the period January-September 2012.
    2 This figure corresponds to the variation in export values for countries which have reported data for both years.

[^2]:    3 Comparison of the daily average nominal exchange rate against the dollar for January-November 2012 with the daily average for the year-earlier period shows a depreciation of $7 \%$ in the Mexico peso and $17.3 \%$ in the Brazilian real.

[^3]:    4 The two economies represent around $41.5 \%$ of the region's GDP.

[^4]:    1 Data up to the third quarter.
    2 Data up to the second quarter.

[^5]:    3 The substantial shifts in flows of net portfolio investment and other net investment liabilities in the case of Mexico were due to a reorientation of its public borrowing strategy. As with other countries in earlier years, preference was given to debt issuance in the local market, reducing exposure to foreign currency-denominated external debt. This translated into a rise in portfolio investment as local bonds were purchased by non-residents. If these resources are used to pay off external borrowings, outflows in the other investment liabilities category increase. The two movements tend to offset each other, albeit not completely if overall debt increases at the same time.

[^6]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates.

[^7]:    1 As a simple average, the inflation rate was $4.5 \%$.

[^8]:    5 Swaps are a type of financial derivative whereby two parties undertake to swap currencies for a set period of time and at a certain price, with an undertaking to repurchase them at the end of the period. In October 2012, outstanding swap operations were worth US $\$ 4.9$ billion.

[^9]:    6 The total effective exchange rate is calculated from a country's trade with all its trading partners. The effective extraregional exchange rate excludes trade with partners in Latin America and the Caribbean.

[^10]:    1 These two economies accounted for approximately $41.5 \%$ of regional GDP.

[^11]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates.

[^12]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Estimates.

[^13]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Estimates.

[^14]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the countries.

[^15]:    2 Rising employment in Brazil at a time when growth was slowing and was significantly lower than in the other countries of the region represents a shift from previous trends. While only partial information is available, since it relates only to the six metropolitan areas, one indicator that would account at least in part for this development is the breakdown of economic growth and new employment, with labour-intensive activities, such as services and construction, accounting for the bulk of new jobs. Moreover, public-sector employment increased more than proportionally in 2012.
    3 In 2011, the open urban unemployment rate, based on the simple average for 19 Latin American and Caribbean countries, was $6.6 \%$ for men and $8.8 \%$ for women.

[^16]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the countries.

[^17]:    4 No information is available from administrative records in Colombia, but household survey data show an increase in the proportion of employed persons who contribute to social-security systems in this country.
    5 Not all new formal jobs mean that new posts have been created, as they may also be the result of labour formalization strategies applied in different countries of the region.

[^18]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

[^19]:    1 Deutsche Bank, Markets Research, 2 December 2012.

[^20]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
    a Estimates.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Based on official figures expressed in 2005 dollars.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ December-December variation.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Estimates based on figures denominated in dollars at current prices
    e Does not include Cuba.
    ${ }^{f}$ Includes errors and omissions.
    ${ }^{g}$ A minus sign (-) indicates an increase in reserve assets.
    ${ }^{h}$ Central government, except for Panama and the Plurinational State of Bolivia, where coverage corresponds to the non-financial public sector, and Mexico, where coverage corresponds to the public sector. Simple averages for 19 countries.

[^21]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on official figures expressed in 2005 dollars.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Based in the new quarterly national accounts figures published by the country, base year 2005.

[^22]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
    a Estimates.
    b Based on official figures expressed in 2005 dollars.
    c Based in the new quarterly national accounts figures published by the country, base year 2005.

[^23]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Based on official figures expressed in 2005 dollars.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimates.

[^24]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{a}$ Estimates.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes errors and omissions.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ A minus sign (-) indicates an increase in reserve assets.

[^25]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates.

[^26]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures as of September.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Figures as of June.

[^27]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Employed population as a percentage of the working-age population.
    b The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-October.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Weighted average adjusted for lack of information and differences and changes in methodology.
    The data relating to the different countries are not comparable owing to differences in coverage and in the definition of the working age population.
    ${ }^{d}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-September.
    e The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-June.
    ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Up to 2007, urban areas.
    ${ }^{9}$ New measurements have been used since 2010; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ New measurements have been used since 2009; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
    i The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement of July.
    ${ }^{j}$ The working-age population is measured as follows: for males, 17 to 59 years and for females, 15 to 54 years
    ${ }^{k}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement of April.
    ${ }^{1}$ New measurements have been used since 2007; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
    ${ }^{m}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the period January-July.
    ${ }^{n}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement of August.

    - The figures in the last two columns refer to Asunción and urban areas of the Departamento Central.
    p Up to 2005, urban total.

[^28]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures as of October.
    b Figures as of August.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Figures as of September.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Figures as of July.
    ${ }^{e}$ Figures as of June.
    ${ }^{f}$ Figures as of May.
    ${ }^{9}$ Credit granted to the private sector by the banking sector.

[^29]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Twelve-month variation to October 2012.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The only English-speaking Caribbean countries included are Barbados, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.
    c Twelve-month variation to September 2012
    d Twelve-month variation to August 2012.
    e Twelve-month variation to June 2012.
    Refers to national-currency markets.

