## 

UNITED NATIONS<br>ECONOMIC AND<br>SOCIAL COUNCIL



LIMITED
(.). 1


This document is the first part of a more extensive study which is in course of preparation.

74-4-0675

## CONIENTS

Page
RECENT EVOLUTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMODITY MADKET ..... $I$
Sugar. ..... 24
Cocoa beans ..... 32
Coffee ..... 38
Wheat ..... 45
Cotton ..... 51
Nool ..... 57
Non-ferrous metals ..... 63
Petroleum ..... 77
STATISTICAL ANNEX ..... 83

RECENT EVOLUTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMODITY MARKET

Since the hostilities in Korea in the early 1950's there has not been a boom such as that recorded in the international commodity market during the past few months. Most of the major world trade commodities have shown price increases, in some cases fairly sharp ones, and although on the whole these increases were the result of supply limitations (and therefore coincided with a reduction in the total volume of trade), in the case of certain products the prevailing conditions led to higher prices combined with a larger volume of exports.

World market conditions for some products of export interest to the Latin American countries are examined in some detail later on in this study, but first an appraisal should be made of the general conditions under which the commodity markets have developed. The point of departure should undoubtedly be the situation created by what was called the "new economic policy" adopted by the United States in mid-1971, which created a real danger that a process of trade reprisals and increased protectionism might be unleashed but which in reality fortunately led to an understanding among the main trading areas regarding the need for international negotiations to resolve the various complex trade and monetary problems resulting from the new power relations of the 1970's.

Thus, the devaluation of the dollar, the agreement to modify monetary parities and the elimination of the surcharge that was being levied on United States imports - all of which measures were adopted in December 1971 - contributed towards the vigorous renewal of economic expansion in nearly all the developed countries, where a certain weakness had been observable in 1971.

Consequently, together with the renewed expansion of economic activity in 1972, a recovery was also noted in some world commodity prices which had declined in the course of 1971. Among the products of export interest to Latin America in the food and beverages group, for example, there had been reductions in the world prices of sugar for export to the free market, bananas, cocoa, coffee and maize; in
the group of agricultural raw materials there had been fairly sharp declines in the prices of linseed oil and fish meal, while in the non-ferrous metals group the same occurred in the case of copper, tin and lead. From the beginning of 1972 the market for the majority of these products was reactivated, however, so that in the last three months of the year the only Latin American commodities which had not regained their 1970 price levels were bananas, linseed oil and copper. As to the rest, international prices were far above the 1970 levels, and by the end of the year at least three products had reached levels that could not possibly have been foreseen a few months previously. This happened in the case of free market sugar, wheat, and certain types of wool.

It was actually in the first three months of 1973, however, that the boom in the commodity markets became general. It will be seen from the total index of world prices of products of export interest to Latin America (see table 1) that the quarterly variations registered in 1972 - and even the variation between the first and last quarters of the year - were relatively small, waereas from the first three months of 1973 onwards the variations were larger and the increases extended to virtually all commodities. The following are some of the factors which led to and maintained this boom (apart from those strictly related to the situation of each commodity): the recrudescence of monetary instability, which first manifested itself in the floating of the pound sterling at the end of June 1972, persisted with the second official devaluation of the dollar in February 1973, and was shortiy afterwards accentuated when the exchange markets in Europe and Japan closed for several weeks. As a result of this instability, a larger flow of financial resources was channelled into speculating on the markets for primary products, particularly those in which funds could be invested more safely than in other activities. Furthermore, because of rising inflation in the developed countries (which inevitably pushed up the cost of the developing countries' imports), the two devaluations of the dollar and the revaluation of other currencies, the developing countries were faced with the need to defend

Table 1
Indexes of world prices of lapin amenica ts min export commodities
( $\mathrm{P} .50: 1970=1 \mathrm{no}$ )

|  | 1971 |  |  |  | 1972 |  |  |  | 1973 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | II | III | IV | 1 | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |
| I. Food and bevereges | 105.0 | 101.8 | 24.2 | 26.4 | 120.3 | 115.1 | 120.7 | 126.2 | 144.9 | 160.4 | 167.1 | 171.3 |
| A. Tropicel zone | 102.0 | 96.4 | 92.0 | 95.8 | 129.4 | 114.7 | 119.6 | 129.4 | 146.3 | 156.6 | 258.0 | 169.2 |
| Sugar 9/ | 128.4 | 117.6 | 111.2 | 126.3 | 226.9 | 183.0 | 166.2 | 209.8 | 242.3 | 253.2 | 247.9 | 280.6 |
| Sugar b/ | 104.0 | 104.4 | 106.7 | 107.3 | 112.6 | 107.9 | 115.2 | 113.8 | 115.6 | 123.5 | 132.0 | 138.7 |
| Bananas | 101.3 | 113.6 | 87.1 | 82.6 | 105.8 | 104.5 | 97.4 | 94.8 | 112.3 | 129.7 | 105.8 | 93.6 |
| Cocoe | 87.3 | 80.8 | 82.0 | 68.3 | 80.8 | 89.4 | 105.6 | 111.2 | 121.4 | 187.3 | 242.9 | 207.8 |
| Coffee of | 90.1 | 85.6 | 85.1 | 88.8 | 90.8 | 93.6 | 108.2 | 109.4 | 128.0 | 130.0 | 230.7 | 126.6 |
| Copree I/ | 91.8 | 78.9 | 77.8 | 79.3 | 82.2 | 85.9 | 102.8 | 102.6 | 111.4 | 220.2 | 128.4 | 130.4 |
| B. Temperate zone | 116.0 | 121.3 | 102.4 | 98.8 | 109.0 | 116.7 | 124.8 | 114.4 | 139.8 | 174.4 | 199.9 | 200.6 |
| Beef. | 124.0 | 138.8 | 110.8 | 108.8 | 128.7 | 142.4 | 151.3 | 116.3 | 140.4 | 191.0 | 184.3 | 178.8 |
| Maxe | 105.9 | 100.4 | 89.6 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 84.9 | 91.6 | 99.4 | 128.6 | 149.4 | 198.8 | 197.0 |
| Wheat | 115.4 | 114.1 | 111.4 | 110.1 | 109.4 | 110.1 | 120.8 | 169.8 | 185.9 | 189.9 | 292.6 | 339.6 |
| II. Agricultural raw materials | 103.3 | 109.2 | 104.4 | 107.3 | 116.8 | 127.2 | 121.1 | $\underline{230.6}$ | 178.7 | 190.5 | 234.9 | $\underline{265,6}$ |
| Lineeod oil | 91.7 | 82.6 | 85.7 | 83.0 | 88.7 | 83.4 | 88.3 | 100.4 | 125.2 | 149.9 | 324.4 | 392.2 |
| Cotton $9 /$ | 12.4 | 119.6 | 123.3 | 129.7 | 139.9 | 129.3 | 116.2 | 119.9 | 139.1 | 161.7 | 203.8 | $276 \cdot 3$ |
| Cotton $5 /$ | 108.1 | 111.7 | 120.2 | 122.2 | 130.3 | 125.7 | 111.1 | 121.2 | 136.2 | 167.4 | 218.2 | 319.2 |
| Cotton ${ }^{\text {/ } /}$ | 99.1 | 99.6 | 99.1 | 103.1 | 103.1 | 104.4 | 104.4 | 104.4 | - | - | - |  |
| Cattle hides | 105.0 | 122.5 | - | - | 175.0 | 195.0 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Fish meal | 98.4 | 88.8 | 84.0 | 88.8 | 90.4 | - | 99.4 | 121.3 | 217.0 | 226.6 | 253.7 | 228.7 |
| Hool $\mathrm{h} /$ | 95.8 | 104.2 | 108.3 | 108.3 | 139.6 | 166.7 | 168.8 | 210.4 | 287.5 | 270.8 | 310.4 | 316.7 |
| Wool $1 /$ | 87.3 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 84.5 | 87.3 | 211.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Soya | 106.4 | 104.1 | 109.8 | 109.3 | 113.7 | 120.0 | 115.2 | 123.4 | 167.5 | 158.9 | 203.4 | 206.1 |

Table 1 (oonolusion)

|  | 1971 |  |  |  | 1972 |  |  |  | 1973 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | Iv |
| III. Metals | 86.2 | 22.1 | 20.4 | 89.8 | 24.7 | 23.5 | 89.6 | 89.4 | 101.2 | 118.4 | 242.3 | 161.0 |
| Copper | 75.2 | 81.7 | 77.2 | 72.9 | 73.9 | 77.7 | 74.0 | 72.0 | 91.2 | 115.1 | 142.4 | 154.6 |
| T1n | 94.9 | 95.5 | 94.3 | 96.4 | 101.8 | 104.3 | 102.2 | 101.4 | 109.9 | 119.4 | 136.0 | 158.7 |
| Iron ore | 96.0 | 105.8 | 105.9 | 108.0 | 106.7 | 109.7 | 109.6 | 122.6 | 112.6 | 116.4 | 118.6 | 122.4 |
| Lead | 88.6 | 88.9 | 84.4 | 76.2 | 96.9 | 105.4 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 114.7 | 134.5 | 150.6 | 173.4 |
| zino | 96.2 | 100.7 | 108.6 | 119.3 | 134.1 | 131.9 | 125.1 | 127.7 | 146.8 | 196.4 | 313.2 | 504.9 |
| duminium | 100.4 | 100.4 | 101.8 | 105.1 | 109.3 | 98.2 | 87.4 | 87.6 | 90.7 | 95.6 | 101.0 | 96.9 |
| Total | 29.6 | 29.3 | 24.6 | 96.1 | 112.7 | 110.2 | 110.7 | 116.6 | 136.8 | 152.4 | 168.3 | 180,2 |

suțseupand әч7/
the purchasing power of their export earnings. The members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) have done so since early 1972 by establishing a mechanism for adjusting oil prices on the basis of the variations in the exchange rate of the dollar in relation to the developed countries' currencies.l/ In contrast, however, the attempt made by the producer members of the International Coffee Organization to obtain a small increase in the indicative price scale established under the International Coffee Agreement - as a partial compensation for the devaluation of the dollar - encountered firm opposition from the major coffee importing countries and, among other factors, led to the breakdown of the International Coffee Agreement. The exporting countries were therefore compelled to follow the policy of defending coffee prices through suitable regulation of exports of this commodity. Thus, two groups of countries exporting such widely different commodities as petroleum and coffee began to apply what later came to be referred to by the somewhat exaggerated term "producers' power". Although exporting developing countries may not be able to regulate supply in the case of all commodities, it does seem clear that concerted action by means of co-ordinated policies and constant consultations can significantly improve their influence in the international market.

The world pirice indexes presented in table 1 show an increase of 54 per cent between the averages for the last quarter of 1972 and the last quarter of 1973 for the group of primary products listed there. Table 2 shows the annual price indexes, with 1970 as the base year.

$\qquad$ $+$ -

1/ This decision, which originally referred to changes in the parity of the dollar with respect to the currencies of nine developed countries, calculated quarterly, was revised in mid-1973 and the formula for adjusting petroleum prices was modified, the parities being calculated on a monthly basis and the currencies of two other countries being included. The countries concerned are now: Belgium, France, the Federal Republic of Germany, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Sweden, Switzerland, Canada and Australia. See "Petroleum Press Service ${ }^{i ;}$, February 1972, page 64, and July 1973, page 263.

Table 2
INDEXES OF HORLD PRICES OF LATIN AMERICA'S MAIN EXPORT COMMODITIES (Base: $1970=100$ )

|  |  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | Food and beverages | 99.5 | 120.4 | 161.0 |
|  | A. Tropical zone | 96.7 | 121.8 | 156.1 |
|  | B. Temperate zone | 109.9 | 115.8 | 178.6 |
| II. | Agricultural raw materials | 104.7 | 121.7 | 21.7 .3 |
| III. | Metals | 89.7 | 91.7 | 130.4 |
|  | Total | 97.6 | 112.7 | 159.4 |

Source: Table 1.

On the basis of these other figures, the total increase on the international price index in 1973 compared with that of 1972 would be 41 per cent. For purely illustrative purposes the above index for Latin American products can be compared with the index prepared by the UNCTAD secretariat which covers the major export products of all the developing countries, weighted according to the importance of each of the products in the total exports of all the developing countries in 1968-1969 (see table 3).

Table 3
INDEXES OF MARKET PRICES OF THE MAIN PRIMARY COMMODITIES EXPORTED BY THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
(Base: $1970=100.0$ ) a/

|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food and beverages | 91.5 | 105.1 | 141.9 |
| Oilseeds and vegetable oils b/ | 104.7 | 92.1 | 161.1 |
| - Soft oils and oilseeds | 114.0 | 112.0 | 163.6 |
| - Lauric oils and seeds | 86.9 | 64.9 | 144.6 |
| Agricultural raw materials | 102.3 | 106.8 | 185.6 |
| Minerals and metals | 85.6 | 85.3 | 127.5 |
| Total | 92.8 | 99.4 | 148.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Total, deflated in }}{\text { propgrtion to the }} \\ & \text { ciepre } \\ & \text { dollarion of the } \end{aligned}$ | 91.1 | 92.4 | 126.9 |

Source: UNCTAD, Monthly Commodity Price Bulletin, January-February 1974。 a/ The original base year of the index (1968) was changed to 1970. b/ Excluding olive oil.
c/ The deflator is an index of the average value in dollars of the currencies of the developed market economy countries, weighted according to the value of the exports of each of these countries to the developing countries during 1968-1970. See Monthly Commodity Price Bulletin, September 1973.

This latter index includes a greater number of products (with different weightings), and they have been grouped differentiy, but on the whole it reflects the same trends as the index corresponding to Latin American commodities. In short, whether the major products of a group of developing countries such as those in the Latin American region are used, or those of the developing countries as a whole, price increases of some magnitude were recorded in 1973 for practically all the export products of these countries. Calculations based on any
of the indexes mentioned above show that the percentage increase has no parallel in any of the previous years, even taking into account - as was done in one of the indexes - the devaluation of the dollar with respect to other currencies. $]^{\prime}$

Does this mean, then, that the developing countries are the only, or the main, beneficiaries of an exceptional situation?

The question is a pertinent one, for the majority of the news media tend to disseminate this opinion more or less explicitly. It does not, however, correspond to the facts, and the following points should be noted:
(a) First, the share of the different groups of countries in world exports of primary commodities should be established, and for this purpose, broadly speaking, classification by SITC Sections can be used. In order to cover a sufficiently representative period, the averages for the periods 1961-1965 and 1966-1970 were calculated. The results are given in table 4.

[^0]Table 4
WORLD EXPORTS OF PRIMARY COMMODITIES, BY AREA OF ORIGIN

| SITC sections | Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value in } \\ & \text { millions } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage corresponding to: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Developed countries | Developing countries | Socialist countries |
| Food, beverages and tobacco (sections 0 and 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 1961-1965 \\ & 1966-1970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27250 \\ & 35576 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.1 \\ & 57.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.3 \\ & 31.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ 10.2 \end{array}$ |
| ```Crude materials (section 2) and oils and fats (section 4)``` | $1961-1965$ $1966-1970$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 22 & 468 \\ 28 & 070 \end{array}$ | 54.6 56.9 | 34.0 31.2 | 9.3 11.9 |
| Fuels and lubricants (section 3) | $\begin{array}{r} 1961-1965 \\ 1966-1970 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 15 & 738 \\ 23 & 238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.4 \\ & 24.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.5 \\ & 64.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & 11.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Non-ferrous metals (division 68 of section 6) | $\begin{aligned} & 1961-1965 \\ & 1966-1970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5242 \\ & 9672 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.9 \\ & 62.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.7 \\ & 30.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \\ & 6.9 \end{aligned}$ |

Source: Based on figures published in the United Nations Monthly Bulletin of Statistics, April and July 1972. See also Latin America and the International Development Strategy, First Regional Appraisal document E/CN.12/947/Add.1/Rev.1, page 64.

As these figures show, the share of the developing countries as a whole in world exports of food, beverages and raw materials in general hardly approximates a third of the total. Only in the fuels sector (to be exact, petroleum), where the developing countries provide almost two thirds of the world total, is the picture different. There are, no doubt, a few products which are exported exclusively by developing countries (for example, tropical agricultural products), but as the previous figures show, the dominant position in the trade in primary commodities (including processed agricultural products) is in the hands of the developed countries.

If it is considered that the products exported by the developed countries recorded price increases just like those of the products exported by the developing countries, then the developed countries obviously received a greater share of the total earnings, since they have the greater share of world exports. However, the fact is that the price increases were not similar, but were generally higher for the products of the developed countries, with the important exception of non-ferrous metals. The indexes of export prices calculated by the Statistical Office of the United Nations for various categories of products according to the known groupings into developed and developing countries are given in table 5.

It should be noted first of all that the price indexes for comodities exported by the developed countries consistently maintain higher levels than those for exports from developing countries, with the exception of non-ferrous metals. Secondly, it should also be noted that the quarterly variations in the indexes - usually upwards are lover for products from developing countries, so that the total cumulative variation between the first quarter of 1972 and the third quarter of 1973 shows the products from the developed countries as having the highest increases, although there is some levelling off if the last quarter of 1973 is included. In any event, however, the changes in these indexes show that the developed countries probably enjoyed greater advantages from the upward trend in the commodity markets, exceptions, of course, in the petroleum market.

## Table 5

INDEX OF PRICES OF EXPORTS FROM DEVELOPED AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
(Base: $1963=100$ )


Sourog: Infted Nations, Monthly Bulletin of Statistios Deoember 1973 and Maroh 1974. Speoiel table C.
A: Developed countries.
E: Developing countries.
(b) In order to give a more realistic picture of the situation, this increase in the dollar prices, of primary commodities must be adjusted by two indexes:
(i) The loss in the value of the dollar compared with other currencies of developed countries. The dollar is used to indicate the prices of raw materials, but Latin American countries also have to import goods from other developed countries, and the price index for raw materials should therefore be corrected to show variations in the purchasing power of the dollar compared with that of other currencies. An example of the importance of this adjustment, is shown by table 3, where the total price index was corrected by a deflator which measures the depreciation of the dollar compared to other currencies.
(ii) Even the currencies of developed countries which were revalued (the yen or the mark compared with the dollar, for example) lost and are still losing purchasing pover in real terms, that is to say, in relation to average domestic prices for goods.in the respective countries. Inflation is now an international phenomenon. The increases in domestic prices in the United States, Great Britain, The Federal Republic of Germany, Japan and France have been very considerable and the prices of raw materials expressed in these currencies should therefore be adjusted to reflect the loss of purchasing power of these currencies in real terms. Latin America buys from the developed countries goods whose prices are also increasing in monetary terms.
(c) The prices of many raw materials (expressed in dollars or any other currency) have not increased in monetary terms in the last 20 years, and in many cases they have even fallen. But even before the recent price increases in the industrialized countries, there was a continuous albeit slower increase in these prices over many years. If the prices of primary commodities were expressed in terms of constant purchasing power of the dollar, for example, it is highly possible that the conclusion would be that the recent price increases are doing no more than making up - and in some cases probably not completely - for the loss in the purchasing power of the dollar over
the last 20 or 25 years. To illustrate this, the average annual prices of a small group of exports were compiled for Latin American countries for the period 1948-1973 and then deflated by the index of the unit value of United States exports. As the following figures and the tables in the last part of this document show, the monetary prices of 1973 are in some cases lower in terms of purchasing power, than prices for previous years.

In the first two months of 1974 the market situation was still somewhat unsettled, for whereas some products. (wheat and meat, among others) showed a downward trend in prices, other products such as non-ferrous metals, cocoa and sugar showed an upward trend.

It is obvious that the events of 1973 as regards trade in primary commodities had their poaitive and negative sides for the developing countries, and an effort must be made to evaluate both of these carefully. For example, the high degree of dependence of many of the developing countries on the export of one or a few primary commodities and the necessity for them to import others (particularly foodstuffs or raw materials) could cause the earnings which they obtained from exports to be more or less offset by the higher cost of imports. Furthermore, the situation is affected by the different possibilities which exist for the producing countries to organize common policies to defend prices and markets for specific products, the greater or lesser degree of control which the producer countries have over the marketing of some of their export products, and of course the action that the developed countries can take either as consumers or as producers of competitive products or substitutes. For these reasons, it should be stressed that the time is ripe to give the highest priority to the problems of the international trade in primary commodities.

Figure I
UNITED-STATES: INDEXES OF THE UNIT VALUES OF EXPORTS OF GOODS
$(1950=100)$
Natural scele


Source: See table A of the Statistical Annex
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Figure II
SUGAR: AVERAGE PRICTS OF RAN SUGAR FOR EXPORT TO THE FREE MARKET AND TO THE UNITED STATES (cents per pound)
Semi-legarithmic scale


Source: See table $B$ of the Statistical Annex

Figure III
COCOA BTANS: AVERAGE PRICES CF BAHIA COCOA IN NEW YORK (cents per pound)


Figure IV
COFFEE BEANS: AVERAGE PRICES FOR SANTOS COFFTE NO4 IN NETH YORK, FOR INMEDIATE DELIVERY (cents per pound)..

Semi-logarithmic scale


Figure V
WHEAT: AVERAGE PRICE OF UNTTED STATES N० 2 HARD WINTER WHEAT FOR EXPORT, FOB GULF PORTS (dollars per ton)
Semi-logarithmic scale


Figure VI
COTTON: AVERAGE PRICTS FOR SAO PAULO 5 COTTON, CIF LIVIRPOOL
(cents per pound)


Figure VII
WOOL: AVERAGS PRICE OF URUGKAYAN YOOL 58"-60", CLEAN BASIS, BOST'ON, IN BOND
Semi-logarithmic scale


Figure VIII
ELECTROLYTIC COPPER: AVERAGE PRICES ON THE LONDON METAL EXCHANGR, FOR INMEDIATE DELIVERY (dollars per ton)
Semi-logarithmic scale


Source: See table $H$ of the Statistical Annex

Figure IX
TIN: AVERAGE PRICE ON THE LONDCN METPAL EXCHANGE, FOR INMEDIATE DELIVERY (dollars per ton)

Semi-logarithmic scale


## Figure X

VENEZUELA: AVERAGE PRICE RECEIVED FOR EXPORTS OF CRUDE PETROLEUM AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS (dollars per barrel)
Semi-logarithmic scale


SUGAR

International prices for rav sugar, both for export to the United States and to the free market, have shown a firm upward trend since the beginning of 1972, primarily because of supply shortages caused by a drop in production in some of the exporting countries. The situation changed little during 1973, when prices maintained - with slight fluctuations - the highest levels for the last nine years (figure XI).

The 24 countries of the Latin American region all produce sugar, and the majority of them have surpluses for export. The main countries Which have to import sugar to supplenent their production in order to meet the needs of domestic consumption are Chile and Uruguay. The countries of the region were strongly stimulated to increase their production in the 1960's when the United States market became open to thers after that country banned imports from Cuba, its traditional supplier. In recent years, however, following the redistribution of the total import quota of the United States, incentives for increased production have been limited mainly to the increase in domestic demand and the production required to meet the occasional deficit of other exporters. This was the situation in 1972 and 1973. Attention should, however, be drawn to the outstanding increase in Brazil's production which in the years 1971/1972 and 1972/1973 surpassed that of Cuba, although the latter country is stili the world's leading exporter. It should be noted, however, that Cuba's production of 8.5 million tons in 1969/1970 was exceptional, for its annual average is between 5 and 6 million tons. Table 6 below shows the evolution of Latin American production:

Table 6
RAW SUGAR: PRODUCTI ON OF LATIN AMRRICAN COUNTRIES AND WORLD TOTAL
(Thousands of tons)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 / \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970 / \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 / \\ & 1972 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1972 / \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 / \\ & 1974 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 970 | 908 | 991 | 1295 | 1660 |
| Bolivia | 113 | 82 | 118 | . 123 | 185 |
| Brazil | 4593 | 5118 | 5388 | 6268 | 7230 |
| Chile | 191 | - 206 | 173 | 167 | 107 |
| Colombia | 702 | 688 | 790 | 821 | 880 |
| Costa Rica | 151 | 155 | 179 | 178 | 193 |
| Dominican Republic | 984 | 1.097 | 1138 | 1179 | 1270 |
| Ecuador | 209 | 227 | 260 | 250 | 260 |
| El Salvador | 117 | 158 | 185 | 188 | 222 |
| Guatemala | 185 | 204 | 235 | 270 | 293 |
| Haiti | 60 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 70 |
| Hondures | 53 | 61 | 62 | 59 | 73 |
| Mexico | 2402 | 2476 | 2520 | 2770 | 2878 |
| Nicaragua | 141 | 170 | 166 | 142 | 185 |
| Panama | 76 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 118 |
| Paraguay | 48 | 56 | 55 | 53 | 60 |
| Peru | 789 | 903 | 921 | 915 | 920 |
| Uruguay | 44 | 44 | 58 | 75 | 72 |
| Venezuele | 424 | 492 | 517 | 511 | 556 |
| Subtotal | 12252 | 13196 | 13911 | 15419 | 17.232 |
| Cuba | 8533 | 5924 | 4388 | 5250 | 5500 |
| Total 20 countries | 20.785 | 19120 | 18299 | $20 \quad 669$ | 22 732 |
| Barbados | 158 | 137 | 113 | 118 | 132 |
| Guyana | 316 | 375 | 319 | 295 | 300 |
| Jamaica | 374 | 396 | 384 | 341 | 380 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 220 | 217 | 232 | 187 | 193 |
| Total <br> 24 countries | 21853 | $20 \quad 245$ | 19347 | 21610 | 23737 |
| World total | 71895 | $70 \quad 524$ | 70350 | 76281 | 82298 |

Source: US Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agriculture Circular, Sugar, December, 1973.

Figure XI
SUGAR PRICES
(cents per pound )
Semi-logarithmic sieale


Souproa: UNCTh, Monthly Commodity Price Eulletin

To judge from the production figures, the supply position improved in 1973 in relation to 1972, since the production in the 1972/1973 crop year was 5.9 million tons above the previous year's level. Of this increase, however, only 2.2 million tons are accounted for by Latin American exporting countries, mainly Brazil, Cuba, Argentina and Mexico, and even so the increase obtained by Cuba represents a recovery from the exceptionally small sugar crop in 1971/1972. At all events, demand from importing countries remains active, and although preliminary estimates of the 1973/1974 output indicate a further increase, prices at the beginning of $197^{4}$ remained firm.

It is common knowledge that the international sugar market has certain features that distinguish it substantially from other commodity markets. In fact, there have for many years been special arrangements in the worle sugar trade regulating the imports of certain countries from a specific group of exporting countries. One such arrangement is the United States system of sugar import quotas, through which this country supplements its domestic production by assignirg import quotas to individual countries, mainly in the Latin American region. Another arrangement is the Commonwealth Sugar Agreement by virtue of which the United Kingdom grants preferential access to imports from individual signatory countries of this Agreement within an established quota, at a negotiated price which is revised annually. In the Latin Americen area the English-speaking Caribbean countries are signatories of this Agreement, which expires at the end of 1974. These countries also have an import quota in the United States market. Exports not covered by the United States system of import quotas or by quotas under the Commonvealt? Sugar Agreement go to the "free market", which is thus a residual market.3/ In view of the importance to exporting ccuntries of access to the protected markets, table 7 below shows the exports of Latin Americen countries, broken down by type of market.

3/ The special arrangements also cover exports under the Convention of association between the European Economic Community and the Associated African States and Madagascar, Cuba's exports to the socialist countries, including the Soviet Union, and the position regarding the exports of the latter country which, according to articles 35-39 of the 1968 International Sugar Agreement, has tha status of an importing member.

Table 7

SUGAR: EXPORTS OF COUNRRIES IN LATIN ANERICLN REGION AND WORLD TOTAL
(Thousands of tons)

| Country | Destination | 2970 | 1971 | 1972 | Period |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1972 | 1973 a/ |
| hrgentina | United States | 71.3 | 63.6 | 77.8 |  | . |
|  | Other | 49.5 | 57.5 | 89.3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 120.8 | 121.1 | 167.1 | -•• | -** |
| Braz11 | United States | $60 \% .0$ | 597.7 | 621.2 | 425.0 | 172.0 |
|  | Other | 522.8 | 632.7 | 2016.3 | 1131.0 | 1354.0 |
|  | Total. | 1129.8 | $\underline{1230.4}$ | 2637.5 | 1546.0 | 1-526.0 (7) |
| Colombia | United States | 61.6 | 53.6 | 71.2 |  |  |
|  | Other | 68.1 | 107.5 | 131.7 |  |  |
|  | Total | 122.7 | $\underline{161.1}$ | 202.2 | -•• | -•• |
| Costa Rica | United States | 67.4 | 83.1 | 76.3 |  | . |
|  | Other | . | - | - |  |  |
|  | Total | 62.4 | 83.1 | 26.3 | -• | -• |
| Dominican Republic | United States | 660.2 | 665.0 | 692.6 | 351.0 | 364.0 |
|  | Other | 132.6 | 346.2 | 448.7 | 335.0 | 285.0 |
|  | Total | 792.8 | 1011.2 | 1141.3 | 686.0 | 649.0 (6) |
| Eouador | United States | 81.4 | 78.7 | 85.6 |  |  |
|  | Other | - | 9.1 | 10.6 | . |  |
|  | Total | 81.4 | 87.8 | 96.2 | -** | -** |
| El Selvador | Undted States | 41.7 | 35.1 | 44.9 |  | . |
|  | Other | 6.5 | 36.0 | 89.5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 48.2 | 21.1 | 234.4 | ** | ** |
| Guatemala | United States | 56.8 | 66.8 | 70.1 |  |  |
|  | Other | - | 11.0 | 32.8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 56.8 | 77.8 | 102.2 | -** | $\cdots$ |
| Haiti | United States | 19.6 | 20.9 | 20.4 | . |  |
|  | Other | - | 4.0 | - |  |  |
|  | Total | 19.6 | 24.9 | 20.4 | -** | -* |
| Mexico | United States | 611.9 | 551.1 | 598.4 |  |  |
|  | Other | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | Total | 611.9 | 251.1 | 598.4 | 581.2 | 212.9 (30) |
| Misaragua | United States | 69.4 | 64.3 | 72.8 |  |  |
|  | Other | - | 14.0 | 35.7 |  |  |
|  | Total | 69.4 | 78.3 | 103.5 | -•• | -•• |
| Panama | United States | 34.0 | 42.9 | 37.8 |  |  |
|  | Other. | - | $\therefore$ - | - |  | . |
|  | Total | 34.0 | 42.9 | 27.8 | $\cdots \cdot$ | ** |
| Peru | United States | 403.2 | 428.6 | 393.9 | , |  |
|  | Other | - | - | 87.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 403.2 | 428.6 | 480.2 | ** | *** |

Taklo 7 (comn?usion)

| Country | Destination | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | Period |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1972 | 1973 2/ |
| Venezuela | Undted States | 32.0 | 25.9 | 65.3 |  |  |
|  | Other | 4.6 | 7.0 | 95.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 36.6 | 32.9 | 160.3 | - | -•• |
| Subtotel It oountrias | United States | 2817.5 | 2777.3 | 2928.3 |  |  |
|  | Other | 784.1 | 1225.0 | 3036.6 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3601.6 | 4002.3 | 2964.9 | -• | $\cdots$ |
| Barbados | United Kingdom | 138.6 | 120.7 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 103.8 |
|  | United States | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
|  | Other | 6.6 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 4.3 |
|  | Total | 148.1 | 127.5 | 102.1 | 102.1 | 109.6 (9) |
| Cuba | Cnited States | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | Other | 6906.3 | 5510.9 | 4139.6 |  |  |
|  | Total | 6906.3 | 5510.9 | 4139.6 | ** | *** |
| Guyana | United Kingdora | 171.1 | 257.8 | 228.1 | 145.5 | 125.0 |
|  | United States | 112.6 | 97.2 | 91.5 | 75.1 | 4.7 |
|  | . Other | 24.4 | 6.6 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 308.1 | 361.6 | 312.6 | 220.6 | 129.1 (9) |
| Jemaloe | United Kingdom | 237.1 | 239.5 | 243.2 | 232.2 | 270.9 |
|  | United Stetes | 65.6 | 70.7 | 42.9 | 33.3 | - |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 302.7 | 310.2 | 286.1 | 265.5 | 270.9 (9) |
| Trinidad and Tobago | United Kingdom | 149.2 | 139.9 | 156.6 | 255.9 | 133.1 |
|  | United States | 19.7 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 8.7 |
|  | Other | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 | - | - |
|  | Total | 171.1 | 158.7 | 182.8 | 182.1 | 341.7 (9) |
| Subtotal fix Barbados, | United Kingdom | 696.0 | 757.9 | 725.2 | 544.0 | 584.1 |
| Guyana, Jamat on and | United Stetes | 200.8 | 196.5 | 162.3 | 77.9 | 10.2 |
| Trinided and Tobago | Other | 33.1 | 13.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
|  | Total | 230.0 | 268.0 | 890.6 | 624.9 | 296.8 (9) |
| Overall total for 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exporting oountries |  | 11437.9 | 10.481 .2 | 10.995 .1 | -** | *** |
| Total world exports |  | 22 959.5 | 20805.6 | 21.786.6 | -** | -•• |

Sourges: International Sugar Organization, Sugar Yearbook 1971, and Statistsogl Allieting June and Deoember 1973.

日/ Exports for the number of months indioated in parentheses.

This table shows that between 1970 and 1972 there was a substential increase in exports to the free market axea by the group of 14 Latin American countries listed first, particularly Brazil and to a lesser degree Argentina, Colombia, El Salvador, Guatemala, Peru, the Dominican Republic and Venezuela. The increase ir Brazil's exports - 1.4 million tons more in 1972 than in 1971 - is largely attributable to purchases by the Soviet Union ( 325,000 tons) and China ( 411,000 ), to which Brazil had not exported sugar in previous years. The Soviet Union's sugar purchases from Brazil and other Latin Anerican countries were a result of the sharp reduction in Cuba's exports to that country from 3.1 million tons in 1970 to 1.6 mililion in 1971 and 1.1 million in 1972.

The information on exports in 1973 is still very incomplete, but the partial data for some covntries indicate a decline in the total volume of exports. The critical supply position, which was due to the reduction in stocks in the hends of exporting countries, still persisted at the end of December 1973, when the price of sugar in London for export to the free market area once again increased. Admittedly, part of this increase also reflected the rise in transport costs; for example, at the beginning of 1973 the freight rate per ton of sugar was $\mathcal{E} 6$, while by the end of that year it had risen to $\mathscr{L} 18$. This situation does not, of course, apply exclusively to sugar, since the rise in petroleum prices and the restrietions in the surply of this fuel were undoubtedly reflected in a general increase in transport costs.

Exports from the English-speaking Caribbean countries go mainly to the Jnited Kingdom under the preferential arrangements referred to above. The volume of exports of the fcur English-speaking Caribbean countries declined in 1972, and the same trend is reflected in the figures for the first nine months of 1973. Because of the contractual character of the existing arrangements witli the United Kingdom, the exporting Caxibbean countries had to send that country the bulk of their export's even though the current price was considerably below
that obtained by other exporting countries not bound by contractual arrangements concerning quotas and negotiated prices. In 1972; prices in the free market area were higher than the "negotiated price": under the Commonwealth Agreement, but in many other years the reverse had been the case. In any event, it must be borne in mind that for producers in the Caribbean countries it was essential to maintain their position in the United Kingdom market, since the Commonwealth Sugar Agreement expires at the end of 1974 , and the norms regulating the United Kingdom's imports within the enlarged EPC were to be adopted in the course of 1973.

The sugar market boom in 1972 and 1973 should not, however, conceal the significant failure of the conference convened to negotiate a new international agreement to regulate sugar transactions in the free market area. It may be recalled that during the $1960^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$, when there were no international regulations governing this market, export prices dropped to extraordinarily low levels. The market dic not achieve relative stability until after the negotiation of a new international agreement, which entered into force at the beginning of 1969 and expired in December 1973. This is the third international commodity agreement (the others being the wheat and coffee agreements) regarding which the exporting and importing countries were unable to reach a reasonable compromise for its renewal with appropriate amenciments, and they subsist merely as administrative organizations for the exchange of information and publication of statistics. On the other hand, some of the main exporting countries have already taken steps to maintain consultations that will enable them to adopt a price defence policy, along much the same lines as the coffee producers did after the efforts to renew the coffee agreement had failed.

COCOA BEANS

In July 1973 the monthly average pice in New York for Bahia cocoa for immediate delivery rose to the unprecedented level of 85 US cents per pound, as the culmination of a brief period of continuous price increases beginning in February o: that year. Prices declined steadily during the next few months, bu; even so tise average for 1973 remained one of the highest figures in the past 10 years. The 1973 average for the indicator price used in the International Cocoa Agreement 4/ was 76 per cent higher than the average for 1972 and 67 per cent higher than that recorded for 1970 (figure XII).

As on previous occasions, the wide price fluctuations - both upward and downward - are mainly due to significant variations in world output, and particularly that of the major producers in West Africa. Prolonged drought reduced the yields of the 1972/1973 crop in the principal African producing countries, and this coincided with a world-wide increase in cocoa grinding as a result of low prices in 1971 and the major part of 1972. No doubt these were not the only factors determing price trends, since the devaluation of the dollar, speculation, and the boom in other commodity markets must also have helped to push up prices, but the effect of these latter factors cannot be estimated with any reasonable degree of accuracy. In any case, the reduction seems small in comparison with the impact it had on world market prices. The figures for the Latin American countries and the world total are given in table 8.

[^1]Table 8
COCOA BTANS: PRODUCTION OF LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRITS AND WORLD TOTAL
(Thousands of tons) a/

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1969 / \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970 / \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 / \\ & 1972 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1972 / \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1973 / \\ & 1974 \mathrm{~b} / \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 200 | 182 | 167 | 161 | 249 |
| Colombia | 19 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 25 |
| Costa Rica | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| Dominican Republic | 42 | 25 | 40 | 28 | 34 |
| Ecuador | 55 | 61 | 67 | 43 | 57 |
| Haiti | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3. |
| Jamaica | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mexico | 25 | 25 | 36 | 30 | 23 |
| Triniciad and Tobago | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Venezuela | 19 | 18 | 29 | 16 | 18 |
| Latin Ameirca | 379 | 345 | 366 | 315 | 422 |
| Africa | 1015 | 1101 | 1163 | 1021 | 983 |
| World total c/ | 1441 | 1501 | 1587 | 1386 | 1469 |
| Percentage variation | 16.1 | 4.2 | 5.7 | -12.7 | 6.0 |

Source: Gill \& Duffus, Cocoa Market Report No 254, London, 17 December 1973.
a/ The original figures in long tons were converted into metric tons.
b/ Provisional estimates.
c/ Another source, the FAO publication Cocoa Statistics, № 4, October 1973; indicates a 6.9 per cent decine in world production in 1972/1973 compared with the previous crop year, but this lover estimate was probably made some months before that given in the source of this table.
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Souroe: UNCTHD, Monthly Commodity Prise Butiotin

Froduction estimates for 1973/1974 are still very provisional, but they all point to a partial recovery from the previous year's decline, although the sharp reduction in stocks during 1973 could help to make the process of downward price adjustment slower than it might otherwise have been. In this respect, it should be taken into account that the existence of the International Cocoa Agreement (which includes the operation of a buffer stock) introduces a new factor into the international marketing of this commodity, although the average price levels prevailing during the major part of 1973 are far below the maximum price envisaged in the Agreement. The characteristics of the Agreement are examined later in this study.

Over the past three years, the volume of world exports of cocoa beans has shown successive increases, which are mainly attributable to the African exporting countries. The group of Latin American countries as a whole, which generally accounts for 18 to 20 per cent of total world exports, shows relatively little change in its export figures, even though the annual variations in exports of individual countries are sometimes very wide. However, this situation, may change next year, in view of the strong expansion expected in Brazil's production. The figures in table 9 illustrate this situation.

Table 9
COCOA BEANS: EXPORTS OF LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES AND WORLD TOTAL
(Thousands of tons)

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | $1972$ | riod: 1973a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 120 | 119 | 102 | 15 | 9 (3) |
| Costa Rica | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 (6) |
| Dominican Republic | 34 | 28 | 30 | 。 | - |
| Ecuador | 36 | 5 | 46 | 29 | 19 (6) |
| Mexico | 5 | 4 | 15 | . | . |
| Jamaica | 1 | 1 | 2 | 。 | . |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 6 | 4 | 5 | . | . |
| Venezuela | 12 | 11 | 10 | . | . |
| Latin Anerica | 219 | 223 | 218 | $\cdots$ | - |
| Africa | 866 | 915 | 970 | . | . |
| World totel | 1121 | 1183 | 1238 | - | - |
| Percentage veriation | 12.3 | 5.5 | 4.6 | -• | -• |

Source: FAO, Cocoa Statistics, No. 4: October 1973.
a/ Exports for the number of monthe indicated in pareatheses.

The rather exceptional world market conditions for cocoa during 1973 offer a sharp contrast to those prevailing during the major part of the last two decades, when -ong periods of drostic price declines prompted the main exporters to discuss the bases for internationel action designed to provide a certain measure of stability for the international cocoa market. In Octoiser 1972, after nearly 16 years of persistent effort, the majo: expontirg and importing countries successfully negotiated an international. Cocoa Agreement, the mein points of thich are as follows:

- The quotations of future trading months in London and Ney York shall serve as the basis for determining a daily price and an indicator price, the indicator price being the average of the daily prices over a period of 15 consecutive market days;
- The aim is to keep prices of cocoa beans between a minimum price of 23 US cents per pound and a maximum price of 32 US cents per pound. The International Cocoa Council may revise these prices, provided a range of 9 US cents is maintained between them;
- A system of basic export quotas is establishec, calculated for the first quota year on the basis of the highest annual production figure for each country beginning with and including the 1964/1965 crop year. The mechanisms for adjusting the basic quotas is geared to the price scale, for which purpose the range of 9 US cents between the minimum price and the maximum price is divided into areas requiring an automatic increase or decrease in the basic quotas, their suspension or the buffer stock's intervention either automatically or at Manager's discretion. The Latin American countries eligible for the quota system were to be Brazil (12.7 per cent), the Dominican Républic ( 3 per cent) and Mexico (1.7 per cent). However, the last two countries finally decided not to participate in the Agreement. The rest of the quotas are for countries in other regions;
- There will be no basic quota for the exporting countries producing less than 10,000 tons a year. These countries are listed in annex $B$ to the Agreement and include Bolivia, Cuba, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua and Peru, in addition to countries in other regions;
- The provisions concerning export quotas and contributions for financing the buffer stock shall not apply to countries exportinc fine or flavour cocoa: These countries, which are listed in annex $C$ to the Agreement, include Ecuador, Jamaica, Panama, Trinidad and Tobago and Venezuela, in addition to countries in other regions;
- A buffer stock with a maximum capacity of 250,000 tons is established to supplement the export quota mechanism and contribute towards reel market stability. The buffer stock will be financed with a contribution of one US cent per pound of cocoe exported. It is estimated that some 25 million dollars can be obtained in this
way in the first year of operation of the buffer stock. If necessary, however, the Council may decide to obtain funds from another source. In this respect, the International Monetary Fund stated in April 1973 that the existing IMF regulations are applicable to the Intermational Cocoa Agreement in that the governments which so desire are eligible for credit to finance their contribution to the buffer stock.

The International Cocoa Council met for the first time early in August 1973, once the required number of exporting and importing countries had expressed their clecision to ratify the Agreement. In the Iight of existing conditions and prospects for the near future, it is not considered necessary to apply export regulations, so that no decisions were taken with regard to basic quotas. It was decided not to collect contributions for financing the buffer stock until October 1973.

COFTEE

Since the 1964/1965 crop year, Brazil's exportable coffee productio: has not only averaged less than in the previous 10 years, but there have been more frequent and more extensive losses caused by frost in the rain producing areas. In view of the importance of Brazil's output in the world total and its influence on general market concitions and world coffee prices, it seems usefur to give the relevant figures in onder to show the substantial change that has taken place in this commodity market (see table 10).

The ten years ending with the $1963 / 1964$ crop year were a period of accunulating coffee surpluses and steadily declining world coffee prices: a situation that finally led to the regotiation of the International Coffee Agreenent, which entered intc force precisely in the 1963/1964 trading year. In the next few years the maintenance of export quotas geared to specific indicator prices introduced a certain measure of stability into the market, without eliminating the tendency of prices to seek their long-term level of equilibrium. This was possible, too, because the availability of surpluses accumulated in the previous decade helped to attenuate the sharp fluctuations in world coffee supplies in certain years.

Table 10
BRAZIL: EXPORTABLE COFFEE PRODUCTION (Millions of 60-kilogramme bags)

| Crop year | Crop year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $1954 / 55$ | 14.2 | $1964 / 65$ | 3.0 |
| $1955 / 56$ | 21.3 | $1965 / 66$ | 30.2 |
| $1956 / 57$ | 11.7. | $1966 / 67$ | 12.0 |
| $1957 / 58$ | 20.8 | $1967 / 68$ | 14.7 |
| $1958 / 59$ | 26.0 | $1963 / 69$ | 8.0 |
| $1959 / 60$ | 37.0 | $1969 / 70$ | 10.3 |
| $1960 / 61$ | 22.0 | $1970 / 71$ | 1.5 |
| $1961 / 62$ | 28.0 | $1971 / 72$ | 14.8 |
| $1962 / 63$ | 20.0 | $1972 / 73$ | 16.5 |
| $1963 / 64$ | 21.2 | $1973 / 74$ | 6.8 |
| Average | 22.2 | Average | 11.7 |

Source: United States Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agriculture Circular FCOF 1-74, January 1974, and publications from previous years.

Sharp annual variations in the exportable production of coffee occur, of course, in practically all the producing countries. Table 11 presents figures for the Latin American producers in the past five years, highlighting the different incidence of fluctuations in Brasil's production (or Colombia's in some cases), compared with the other producers.

Table 11
COFFED BEANS: EXPCRTABLE PROLUCTION OF LATIN AMERICAM COUNTRIES AND WORLD TOTAL

## (Thousards of 60-kilogramme bags)

|  | 1969/70 | 1970/\%1 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolivia | 55 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Coste Rica | 1250 | 20.5 | 1190 | 1165 | 1235 |
| Dominican Republic | 450 | 50.5 | 490 | 490 | 515 |
| Ecuacor | 450 | 100 c | - 875 | 860 | 650 |
| El Salvacor | 2350 | 202.5 | 2440 | 1935 | 1830 |
| Guatemala | 1510 | 1590 | 1.845 | 1990 | 1930 |
| Haiti | 260 | 350 | 370 | 345 | 395 |
| Honclures | 445 | 460 |  | 64.5 | 565 |
| Mexico | 1575 | 1630 | 1835 | 2100 | 1800 |
| Nicaragua | 495 | 570 | 615 | 400 | 555 |
| Paneme | 18 | 9 |  | 20 | 27 |
| Paraguay | 25 | 33 | 43 | 38 | 40 |
| Peru | 720 | 750 | 800 | 800 | 770 |
| Venezuela | 285 | 255 | 300 | 430 | 4.50 |
| Total | 2888 | 10457 | 11.466 | 9 428 | 10877 |
| Brazil | 10250 | 1500 | 14850 | 16500 | 6000 |
| Colomia | 7080 | 6390 | 5.750 | 7100 | 7950 |
| Latin Anerica | 27218 | 1837 | 32066 | 33028 | 24327 |
| Africa | 18387 | 18531 | 13600 | 19734 | 17304 |
| World total b/ | 48078 | 40110 | 53051 | 57.903 | 45.748 |

Source: Foreign Agriculture Circular FCOF 1-74, January 1974, op. cit. a/ Estimates from the source indicated.
b/ Including other producers, principaily Asian.

The two aspects referred to above, i.e., the smaller volume of Brazil's output in the period 1964/1965-1973/1974 (50 per cent less on average than in the period $2954 / 1955-1963 / 1964$ ) and the opportunity this offered of selling its accumulatec surpluses, have largely determined the new conditions under which the international coffee market is developing and account for the rising trend in export prices since mid-1972. Undoubtedly, these are not the only causes: it will be remembere, for example, that since early 1972 the producer countries had been proposing a rise of a few points in the indicator price scale used to adjust the export quotas (under the terms of the International Coffee Agreement), as a necessary measure to offset the officiel devaluation of the dollar in December 1971, but this suggestion was rejected by some of the major importing countries. The position adopted by the importing countries prompted a graup of exporting countries to take concerted action to defend prices through the properly regulated use of their respective export quotas. The further Cevaluation of the dollar in February 1973 and the smaller supplies available for export in the $1973 / 1974$ trading year subsequently strengthened the already firm position with regard to worle prices, which remained throughout 1973 at their highest levels since 1960. However, the average 1973 price of mild Colombian coffee icereased by only 28 per cent and that of unwashed arabicas (Brazilian) by 32 per cent: over the respective 1972 averages (see figure XIII). Moreover, since the annual average quotations for both these types of coffee in 1972 were approximately the same as in 2970 , the percentage increase achieved in 1973 may be regarded as negligible, inasmuch as the dollar suffere two official devaluations in the period 1970-1973 which togethe: represent a crop of 19 per cent and a much greater depreciation in terms of purchasing power than the percentage devaluation. Bven at best, therefore, the exporting countries' only achievement in 1973 was the recovery of part of their losses caused by the devaluation of the doller in December 1971 and the sharp drop in coffee quotations in the course of that year.

Figure XIII
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In terns of volume, both Latin American exports and the world total reached their highest figures in recent years in 1972: 3 per cent above the previous peak recorded in 1969 (see table 12).

Table 12
COFFEE: EXPORTS OF LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES AND WORLD TOTAL

## (Thousands of 60-kilogramme bags)

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolivia | 62 | 64 | 68 |  |
| Costa Rica | 1142 | 1.034 | 1254 |  |
| Dominican Republic | 487 | 421 | 525 |  |
| Ecuador | 879 | 777 | 896 |  |
| El Salvador | 1865 | 1641 | 1911 |  |
| Guatemela | 1599 | 1685 | 1884 |  |
| Haiti | 259 | 394 | 404 |  |
| Hondures | 423 | 417 | 51.5 |  |
| Mexico | 1413 | 1621 | 1724 |  |
| Nicarague. | 503 | 530 | 580 |  |
| Panama. | 29 | 30 | 40 |  |
| Paraguay | 27 | 25 | 69 |  |
| Peru | 734 | 709 | 9.3 |  |
| Venezuela | 273 | 329 | 318 |  |
| Total | 9695 | 9.677 | 11103 |  |
| Brazil | 17085 | 18399 | 19214 |  |
| Colombia | 6509 | 6569 | 6528 |  |
| Latin America | 33289 | 34645 | 36845 |  |
| Africa | 16883 | 16215 | 17794 |  |
| Worla total b/ | 52656 | 53280 | 57606 |  |

Source: Pan American Coffee Bureau, Coffee Statistics Nos. 35 and 36 , New York.

Note: The export figures for Bolivia, Panama and Paraguay are higher than the figures for exportable production, which may be partly attributable to under-estimation of exportable production (or over-estimation of domestic consumption) and partly to border trade, taking advantage of the fact that exporters of less than 100,000 bags annually are not subject to export quotas.
a/ No figures are available for coffee exports in 1973.
b/ Including countries not listed here.

Although a smaller volume of exporis is to be expected in 1973, particularly in Brazil, there is no doubt that the improvement in prices that year will offset wholly or partially - according to the country the decrease in volume.

The end of the $1972 / 1973$ trading year on 30 September coincided wit, the expiry of the International Coffee Agreement, which for ten years had regulated this commodity market with varying degrees of success. During that period the coffee exporting and mirorting countries achieved a minimum basis of agreement for the implementation of a policy of co-operetion which not only helped to meke the market reasonably stable, but was extended to other fields suci as the work being done in connexior with the Diversification Fund. As in the case of other commodities, the administrative organization has been maintained to continue assembling and publishing statistical. information and to serve as a basis for future intergovermentil. consultations. 7 . This new phase of the world coffee market was initiated at a time wien supply limitations had pushed up export prices to their highest levelis since 1960. Nevertheless, the present more balanced relaticnship between exportable production and world demand makes this relative price stability particularly vulnerable, and it is therefore all the more imperasive for producer countries to continue the close co-operation they hare hitherts maintained. Agood example of this is the export policy they adopted in the last few months in which the Agreement was legally in force, when no support was forthcoming from the importing countries for the establishment of export quotas. Another example, which may have unforeseeable projections, is the decision of four major exporting cointries ~ later joined by others to set up a multinational coffee marketing enterprise which could operate as a coffee buffer stock and thus achieve some of the objectives of the defunct International Coffee Agreenent.

[^2]
## WHEAT

The international prices of wheat, which showed a pronounced upward trend as from early in the trade year 1972/1973, continued to increase during the first months of the $1973 / 1974$ season, when they reached the highest levels for the last 20 years. The continued price increases in July-December 1973 (the first half of the 1973/X974 trade year), when the markets for many other commodities were showing signs of weakening or had experienced price falls, were an indication of the particularly critical situation of the international wheat market in the last year and of the conditions under which the market has been developing since the clauses on prices and supplies were deleted when the International Wheat Agreement was renewed in 1971. It may be recalled that international trade in wheat was governed between 1949 and 1971 by successive international agreements which stipulated minimum and maximum prices and provided for guaranteed purchases and sales between importing and exporting countries. These regulations were deleted when the Agrecment was renewed in the year in question but turned into an organization for the mere exchange of information and compilation of statistics.

The upward trend in prices was caused mainly by tze serious drop in the wheat production of the Soviet Union in 1972/1973. The harvest was 13 per cent lower than that of the previous year, with the result that this country, which in recent years had been exporting somewhat more than 5 million tons annually, became an imporiter of large quantities of wheat and other cereals. The drop in total world wheat production was barely 3 per cent, due mainly to the fall in the production of the Soviet Union, a 4 per cent decrease in the production of the United States, and a 28 per cent drop in the case of Australia (see table 13).

Table 13
WHEAT: PRODUCTION OF SELECTED COUNM?IES AND WORLD TOTAL (In thousands of tons, crop years Srom July to June)

|  | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 1100 | 1732 | 2027 | 680 | 1900 |
| Chile | 1300 | 1307 | 1368 | 1195 | 780 |
| Colombia | 75 | 50 | 78 | 91 | 50 |
| Mexico | 2169 | 2159 | 1682 | 1644 | 1900 |
| Peru | 145 | 125 | 136 | 141 | 200 |
| Uxuguay | $4: 03$ | 388 | 320 | 180 | 150 |
| Subtotal | 5192 | 5761 | 5611 | 3931 | 4980 |
| Argentina | 7020 | 4920 | 5680 | 8100 | 5440 |
| Latin America | 12.212 | 10681 | - 1291 | 12031 | 10420 |
| Australia | 10547 | 7890 | 8644 | 6232 | 11750 |
| Canada | 18623 | 9022 | 14412 | 14514 | 17010 |
| Uzited States | 39263 | 36783 | $1: 4029$ | 42042 | 47014 |
| Soviet Union | 79917 | 99664 | 78760 | 85950 | 95000 |
| People's Republic of China | 22300 | 24500 | $\geq 4000$ | 23500 | 27000 |
| World total | 308187 | 311879 | $3+3711$ | 332767 | 348.620 |
| Variation (\%) | $-5.5$ | 1.2 | 10.2 | $-3.2$ | 4.8 |

Source: For the period 1969/70-1972/73: International Wheat Council,
Worla wheat statistics 1973. TIe preliminary entimates for
1973/74 were talsen from US Departan of Agriculture, Foreign
Agriculture Circular FG-13-73, Norember 1973.

According to non-official sources, purchases of cereals by the Soviet Union during the $1972 / 197 \mathrm{i}$ season cane to 19 million tons, of which wheat accounted for 12 million tons; it is estimated in market circles that the total purcinases of that country in the 1973/1974 season were in the region of 7.5 million tons, i.e., a good deal lower than the previous year iut still of considerable importance in the world market. Reports according to which a major part of the expontable balances of the United States and other exporting countries have alreany jeen pledged within the
first three months of the current comercial year, confirm that the demand for wheat is as dynamic as it was in the past season, notwithstanding the fact that the preliminary estimates of harvests for 1973/1974 indicate a complete recovery from the shortfalls experienced in 1972/1973. What is clear is that in February 1974, i.e., more than half way through the $1973 / 1974$ trade year, international prices have risen above the levels reached in several months of 1972/1973.

Wheat is a product exported by a small group of developed countries, among which Canada and the United States alone accounted for 58 per cent of the total tonnage exported in each of the years 1970/1971 and 1971/1972. The position of Argentina, the only Latin American country to export wheat, has been deteriorating continuously in recent years because of poor harvests, but there was a substantial recovery in 1972/1973, as the figures in the previous table show. The trend in world exports by major countries is shown in table 14.

> Table 14
> WHEAT AND WHEAT FIOUR: WORLD EXPORTS (Thousands of tons, trade years July-June)

|  | 1968/69 | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 2785 | 2108 | 1704 | 1328 | 3300 |
| Australia | 5369 | 7250 | 9492 | 8736 | 5400 |
| Canada | 8700 | 8999 | 11561 | 13716 | 15700 |
| EEC b/ | 5020 | 7167 | 3105 | 4656 | ... |
| Spain | 881 | 499 | 116 | 168 | -.. |
| United States | 14693 | 16480 | 19821 | 16907 | 32000 |
| Sweden | 365 | 274 | 228 | 135 | -.. |
| Soviet Union | 5397 | 5887 | 7072 | 5478 | 2500 |
| Others | 1785 | 1593 | 607 | 1319 | ... |
| World total | 44.995 | 50257 | 53706 | 52443 | 69000 |
| Variation (\%) | -11.5 | $11.7{ }^{\prime}$ | 6.9 | -2.4 | 31.6 |

## Source: World Wheat Statistics 1973, opocit.

a/ Preliminary estinates published in US Department of Agriculture: Wheat situation, Noveraber 1973.
b/ Excluding intra-Community trade.

In both absolute and relative terms the increase in world exports of wheat and wheat flour reached the highest figure ever in 1972/1973. This increase reflected, es already stated, the extraordinary volume of wheat importec. by the Soviet Union, which is usually a net exporter in the international wheat trade, but which became a net importer in 1972/ 973 inen it imported approximately fifteen million tons, of wheat. This increased demand was satisfied in the main by the United States, whose exports in 1972/1973 increased spectacularly by 89 per cent over those of the previous year. In relative terms, Argentina's exports iacicased by an even larger amount ( 148 per cent), and although tai:s Es explained by the extraordinarily low.volume of exports i: 1971/1972, the increase in the volume of exports and the improvemeat in prices helped to generate a substantial increase in the suantry's foreign earnings.

The events in the monetary field apparently had little influence on developments in the interne:ional wheat market, unlike what happened in the case of othe: products such es metals. On the other hand, there seems bo be no doubt that the massive purchases of cereals by the Soviet Union and to a lesser extent by the People's Republic cf China were made possible by the United States policy of rapprochement with these countries, which facili.tated the negotistion of trade agreements. Furthermore, the fact that a large part of the supplies available for export by the Uni.ted States in the commercial year 1973/1974 is already pledged may be due partly to the fact that the Soviet Union wishes $t$., import substantial quantities of wheat to meet its expcrt ommitments and partly to hedging by commodity dealers as $\varepsilon$. means of protecting themselves against the possibility of tie introduction of export control regulations such as were brougl : in temporarily during the trade year 1972/1973 in the case cf soya and other products. In any event, there is no doubt that the level of stocks of wheat in the major exporting countries at the end of the trade
year 1972/1973is lower than it has been for the last 20 years, and indeed this level could be considered critical, particularly in the case of the United States, in view of the current production and export for forecasts for 1973/1974 (see table 15).

Table 15
WHEAT: STOCKS IN THE MAJOR EXPORTING COUNTRIES (Millions of tons, as at 30 June of each year)

|  | Argentina | Australia Canada | United <br> States | Total 4 <br> countries |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 3.2 | 12.3 | 29.1 | 24.1 | 68.7 |
| 1971 | 2.9 | 8.4 | 22.1 | 19.9 | 53.3 |
| 1972 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 18.1 | 23.5 | 49.6 |
| 1973 a | 2.8 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 11.6 | 29.4 |
| 1974 b | 2.6 | 4.6 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 24.4 |

Source: US Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Circular FG-11-73; 24 August 1973.
a/ Estimates.
b/ Projections.

At all events, the fact that at the end of 1973 (i.e., at the end of the first half of the trade year 1973/1974) the export prices of wheat were still at the high levels shown in figure XIV, suggests that the demand for wheat is still very dynamic and tends to confirm present forecasts to the effect that world exports in 1973/1974 will only suffer a slight reduction from the maximum figure reached in 1972/1973. Although the Soviet Union may well reduce its purchases considerably, it is likely to continue to be a substantial importer, while the People's Republic of China is likely to continue increasing its imports. Details of main world imports, by major areas, are given in table 16.
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Table 16
WHEAT AND WHEAT FLOUR: WORLD IMPORTS (Millions of tons)

|  | $1970 / 71$ | $1971 / 72$ | $1972 / 73 \mathrm{a} /$ | $1973 / 74 \mathrm{~b} /$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Japan | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Western Europe c/ | 13.8 | 12.2 | 13.0 | 13.5 |
| Eastern Europe | 6.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| Soviet Union | 0.3 | 3.4 | 14.9 | 5.5 |
| People's Republic | 3.5 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 6.5 |
| of China | 20.5 | 20.6 | 22.3 | 27.7 |
| Developing countries | 56.3 | 55.5 | 73.5 | 70.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Source: US Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agriculture Circular FG-12-73, 26 October 1973.
a/ Provisional figuves.
b/ Forecasts.
c/ Including intra-Community trade by the 9 EEC members.

The greatest increase in imports is expected to be recorded by the group of developing countries in south-east Asia (Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, India, Indonesia, and Pakistan) and by certain African countries. In Latin America, imports increased significantly in 1972 / 1975 because of the substantial fall in Brazil's producion. Although imports for $1973 / 1974$ could show a moderate fall, they are likely to continue to exceed 6 million tons annually.

COTTON
By the end of 1973, the increase in international cotton prices had reached levels comparable with those prevailing at the beginning of the Korean war, which had not been repeated since then. The upward trend began in the latter half of 1972 and
/reached its
reached its peak around the middle of ig7; having been touched off initially by the resumption of production of the textile industry in a large number of countries and later accentuated by the shortage of supplies available for export. The sharpness of the rises can be judged by the fact that tile orices for most types of cotton doubled between January and September of 1973 (see figure XV). Althougin there has been no significan: drop in world production - on the contrary, this has increased morerately in recent years some exporting countries have experieccec. drops in their production which have affected to a greater or lesser extent the surpluses available for export. The totel production of the countries of the Latin American region dropped by approximately 20 per cent in 1970/1971 compared with the previous year, the countries which experienced the most serious drops in production being Brazil and Mexico (the two majo: exporters of the region), together with Argentina. Eable 17 shows the changes which occurred.
is ney be scen fon the table, "olwown the detorioration in Latin American production in 1970/197, there was some recovery two subsequent years and previous levels of production were restored, except in Argentina whose production has usually been subject to wide fluctuations. The restoration of these levels of production in Breail and Mexico did not mean that they had recovered their previous export capacity, however, since comestic consumption had reached a higher level in the meantime. Indeed, in Brazil it was even necessary to introduce temporary export restrictions in order to give priority to domestic supply.

Although it would appear, if wo look at the figures for recent years, that the Central Ame:ican countries - El Salvador, Guatemala and Nicaraçua - have increased their production considerably, the fact is that these countries have rerely been recovering levels of production already reached during the past decade. Bolivia is the only country where the cultivation of cotton, rising from an insignificant level 10 years ago, has accumulated small exportable surpluses in the past 3 years.
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Table 17
RAW COTTOI: PRODUCTION OF :ARIN AMERICAN COUNTEIES AND WORLD . 3 OTAL
(Mhousands of tos)

|  | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 145 | 85 | 87 | 127 | $\cdots$ |
| Bolivia | 4 | 10 | 15 | 26 | 28 |
| Brazil | 672 | 499 | 672 | 640 |  |
| Colombia | 128 | 117 | 128 | 136 | 150 |
| Ecuador | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| El Salvador | 46 | 55 | 68 | 70 | 76 |
| Guatemela | 56 | 57 | 81 | 93 | 98 |
| Mexico | 379 | 312 | 372 | 386 | 340 |
| Nicaragua | 67 | 78 | 104 | 105 | 119 |
| Paraguay | 13 | 7 | 13 | 22 | 24 |
| Feru | 85 | 77 | 87 | 65 | -边 |
| Venezuela | \% 4 | 16 | 21. | 18 | 18 |
| Latin America | 1614 | 1317 | 1654 | 1693 | $\cdots$ |
| Africa | 1335 | 1256 | 1304 | 1233 | 1263 |
| United States | 2181 | 2218 | 2280 | 2983 | 2845 |
| Wor ${ }^{\text {d total }}$ | 11.366 | 1136 | 12415 | 12927 | 13060 |
| Source: "Cotton: World Statistics", Quarserly Bulietin of the |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 18
RAW COTTON: EXPORTS FROM LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES AND WORLD TOTAL
(Thousands of tons)

|  | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 12.1 | 45.5 | 0.2 | - |
| Bolivia | 1.1 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 15.2 |
| Brazil | 420.6 | 220.1 | 306.8 | 290.3 |
| Colombia | 48.6 | 44.5 | 52.3 | 49.9 |
| EI Salvador | 43.8 | 48.6 | 54.6 | 58.1 |
| Guatemala | 46.0 | 53.8 | 71.1 | 83.5 |
| Mexico | 264.7 | 163.9 | 196.2 | 186.2 |
| Nicaragua | 61.6 | 82.8 | 94.5 | 91.1 |
| Paraguay | 11.5 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 17.3 |
| Peru | 76.5 | 58.8 | 58.3 | 43.4 |
| Latin Anerica | 986.5 | 728.4 | 843.4 | 835.0 |
| Africa | 9876.0 | 959.2 | 886.8 | 926.5 |
| United States | 626.5 | 848.6 | 737.1 | 1154.8 |
| World total | $38 \quad 320.0$ | 3827.8 | 4031.8 | 4455.7 |

Source: Cofton: World Statistics, opocit.
a/ Provisional ficgures.

The increase in prices which began in the $1973 / 1974$ season can partly be explained by the export figures for the United States and the level of its stichs, for as shown in the previous table, world exports in 1972/1973 showed an increase of 424,000 tons ( 10.5 per cent) over tine figure for the previous year, and the United States provicied 418,000 tons of this increase. Concurrently, the level of cotton stocks in the United States, which on 1 August 1966 stood at 3.65 million tons, fell to 1.25 million tons by 1 August 1970 and to 0.7 million tons by 1 August 1972.

It may also be useful to describe the special features of the recent situation from the point of view of the importers. The
greatest demand came from two countries, the People's Republic of China and Japan, which betveen them acconted for more than 309,000 tons of the 468,000 tons increass in world imports in 1972/1973. The remainder was accounted :or mainly by bigger imports by Western Europe (see table 19).

Table 19
RAN COTTON: IMPORTS BY IPRINCIPAI, COUNTRIES AND AREAS (Thousends of tors)

|  | 1969/70 | 15970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Europe | 1332.1 | 1285.7 | 1292.7 | 1421.5 |
| Soviet Union | 260.2 | 238.5 | 173.5 | 173.5 |
| Eastern Europe b/ | 574.8 | 586.9 | 562.0 | 585.6 |
| People's Republic of China | 75.9 | 97.6 | 151.8 | 390.3 |
| Japan | 750.6 | 798.8 | 774.0 | 845.4 |
| Rest of Asia | 715.3 | 320.9 | 752.8 | 778.2 |
| Wor? total $9 /$ | 3919.5. | $4063 . ?$ | 3972.6 | 4440.3 |

Source: Cotton: Worla Statistios, popaito
a/ Provisional fiçures.
b/ Inciudes Albania, Bulgaria, Gzechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, IIungary, Poland anc. Forsaia.
c/ Also includes otier areas not mentioned.

During the final months of 1973 (that is, at the beginning of the second quarte: of the trading year 1973/1974), international cotton prices stayed at the lighest levels recorded in the last twenty years. If suci a situation had occurred some years back, it would have provolsec the most serious soncern, because of the need to maintain a favourable cotton/aynthetic fibre ratio so that there would be no incentives to encourage greater substitution of natural fibre by synthetics, but the aigher prices for raw materials for the petrochemical industry has introduced a new
factor which makes it necessary to review the whole problem of competition between natural and synthetic products, not only in the light of the new prices being established, but also from the point of view of the alternative use of resources which are more or less scarce.

WOOL
Following a long period of depressed prices, which was at first worst between tie trading years 1966/1967 and 1971/1972, international prices. for the various types of vool began to rise, and in the trading year 1972/1973 they reached levels which had not been recorded during the last twenty years (see figure XVI).

World production of wool has shown a continuous decline since $1968 / 1969$, including the $1972 / 1973$ season, and the estimate for 1973/2974 is the lowest for the last 10 years. The persistent fall in prices and the severe drought in some of the producing countries led to reduced flocks and smaller fleece yields. In such conditions, a reactivation of world consumption like that experienced in the calendar year 1972 rapidly consumed the available stocks held by the principal exporting countries and it is probable that tine total consumption in 1973 (although expected to be lower than in 1972) will cause a deficit in view of the insufficient production.
$-20-$
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## Table 20

WOOL: WORLD PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION, CLEAN BASIS (Millions of pounds)

| Years | Production $a /$ | Consumption $b /$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1964 | 3263 | 3203 |
| 1965 | 3291 | 3281 |
| 1966 | 3 | 323 |
| 1967 | 3470 | 3249 |
| 1968 | 3571 | 353 |
| 1969 | 3543 | 3535 |
| 1970 | 3508 | 3462 |
| 1971 | 3430 | 310 |
| 1972 | 3250 | 3524 |
| 1973 | 3228 | 3525 c |

Source: US Department of Agriculture, Wool Situation, November 1973.
a/ Trading year.
b/ Calendar year:
c/ Estimate from tire source indicated.

Although wool is produced by many countries, the main sources of exports are five countries (Argentina, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa and Uruguay). Variations in production and stocks in these countries are therefore one of the decisive factors in international market trends, together with consumption and demand trends in general. Tabie 21 gives the recent production figures for wool.

In the Latin American region, Argentina's production has been fluctuating since $1962 / 1963$ around the figures indicated in table 21. Uruguay's production has shown a slight declining trend for the greater part of tine last decade, and the years 1971/1972 and 1972/1973 recorded the lowest production since 1960/1961. In the remaining countries of the region which are small producers of wool, only Chile showed a marked drop in production in recent years. From the point of view of the international
marlet, the marlied drop in Australian production in the years 1972/1973 and 1973/1974, the persistent lecline in South Africa (falling since 1966/1967) and the motercte drop in New Zealand in the last two seasons are all worthy of rote。

Tak' 21
WOOL: PRODUCTION OF SEI PED COUU IIRIES AND WORLD TOTAL (Actual weigh millivs of pounds)

|  | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 44.5 | 44.1 | 417 | 428 | 448 |
| Brazil | 83 | 89 | 76 | 82 | 85 |
| Chile | 57 | 49 | 40 | 36 | 31 |
| Mexico | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Peru | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Uruguay | 176 | 172 | 119 | 132 | 148 |
| Latin America | 793 | 781. | 680 | 706 | 741 |
| Australia | 2035 | 1953 | 1929 | 1667 | 1625 |
| New Zealand | 723 | 736 | 710 | 681 | 661 |
| South Africa | 320 | 279 | 251 | 238 | 223 |
| United States | 194 | 187 | 181 | 174 | 157 |
| United Kingdom | 106 | 101 | 104 | 104 | 106 |
| Soviet Union and Eastern Europe | 1235 | 1299 | 1323 | 1303 | 1329 |
| Others | 725 | 735 | 755 | 747 | 745 |
| Worl d total | 6131 | 6053 | 5933 | 5620 | 5587 |

Source: US Department of Agriculture, 21 Situation, November 1973, op.cit. and Vorld Apricultural Eoduction and Trade, September $19 \%$.

The United States, the whole of Testern Europe (with the exception of Ireland), the Soviet Unio: and the countries of Eastern Europe are all net importers o: wool.

The recent evolution of wool exports is shown in table 22. The figure for $1969 / 1970$ was the highest for the past decade, the average prices for wool in that year having reached some of their highest levels, but this upward trend became even more pronounced in 1970/1971, and this was certainly one of the causes of the drop in exports recorded in that year. A further drop in total exports, this time less marked, vas recorded in 1971/1972. This continued until the 1972/1973 season in which, as already stated, production fell steeply just as world demand was showing signs of rapid reactivation, with the result that much of the exporting countries' stocks was absorbed and conditions favourable to the increase in prices already mentioned were created.

Table 22
WOOL: EXPORTS OF SELECTED COUNTRIES AND WORLD TOTAL (Actual veight, in millions of pounds)

| Countries | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | $1971 / 72$ | 1972/73a/ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Argentina | 212 | 195 | 163 | 235 |
| Brazil | 43 | 33 | 30 |  |
| Chiie | 24 | 19 | 9 |  |
| Peru | 6 | 5 | - |  |
| Uruguay | 88 | 104 | 61 |  |
| $\quad$ LatinAnerica | 373 | 356 | 263 |  |
| Australia | 1662 | 1507 | 1612 | 1548 |
| New Zealand | 668 | 649 | 696 | 643 |
| South Afrios | 247 | 169 | 244 | 179 |
| Others | 438 | 424 | 238 |  |
| World total | 3388 | 3105 | 3053 |  |

Source: US Department of Agriculture, Wool Situation, November 1973, opocit., and Foreign Agriculture Circular FW-1-73, April 1973.
a/ Preliminary information.

On the basis of peeliminary infor artion, Argentina's exports in the 1972/1973 season increased by $4 l$ jer cent over 1971/1972, thus recovering a level comparable with that of the years 1966/1967 to 1968/1969, which had been followed by a sharp decline as shown in the previous table. On tie other hand, the exports of Australia, South Africa, and to a lesser extent New Zeal and fell in 1972/1973 compared with the preceding year.

Although information on wool imorits for 1972/1973 is not yet available, it is understood that the ircreased activity in the market was due largely to the increase firi Japan's purchases. Japan is at present the woricis largest impiser of wool, a position held not so many years ago by the United Kil. dom, which is at present the world's second largest importer.

## Table 2;

WOOL: IMPORTS FROM SELECTED COU: RRIES AND WORLD TOTAL (Actual veight, in milings of pounds)

| Countries | 1969,7 | $1970 / 71$ | $1971 / 72$ | $1972 / 73$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EEC (9 countries) | 1632 | 1563 | 1425 |  |
| Rest of Western Europe | 109 | 125 | 108 |  |
| Soviét Union | 157 | 182 | 190 | 188 |
| Eastern Europe | 174 | 203 |  |  |
| Japan | 696 | 707 | 679 |  |
| United States | 250 | 202 | 161 |  |
| Others | 253 | 265 | 254 |  |
| Wor.s.totsl | 3351 | 3232 | 3020 |  |

Due note should be taken of the cecline in United States imports, which continues in spite of the reduction of its domestic production in recent years. Substansjal changes are apparently taking place in the use of the varicur natural and artificial fibres in that country.

## NON-FERROUS METALS


#### Abstract

(a) Copper

In the last two months of 1973 the prices of copper on the London Metal Exchange reached $\$ 1.03$ and $\$ 2.04$ per pound. In January of the same year the price was 50.8 cents per pound, so that between the beginning and the end of 1973 the prices for this metal increased by a little over 100 per cent. This is clearly a situation without precedent, even allowing for the fact that during the years 1971 and 1972 the international copper market was continually depressed and prices were lower than those reached during 1969 and 1970 (see figure XVII).

This development was due to a combination of factors, the most important of which were: (1) The interrupted flow of supplies from some of the major producing countries (in particular Chile and Zambia), because of strikes in one case, and in the other, the border dispute between Zambia and Rhodesia which caused ships to be diverted to other ports. However, not only Chile and Zambia, but other countries too, were obliged to have recourse on several occasions to the "force majeure" clause to cover shortfalls in contracted deliveries; (2) The reactivation of industrial activity in the majority of the developed countries meant that world consumption of refined copper increased substantially during 1972 and 1973; (3) The stocks of copper in the hands of producers and users as well as on the London Metal Exchange dropped rapidly during 1973. Thus, whereas stocks on the London Metal Exchange in January of that year were 168,000 tons, by September they had fallen to 41,000 tons; (4) Monetary instability caused financial resources to be diverted to the markets for certain products, such as copper, which offered better short-term prospects.

Some of the highlights of the international copper market during the past year were the 7 and 10 per cent increases in consumption in 1972 and 1973, respectively, and the deficit of 240,000 tons between the production and consumption of refined copper in 1973 (which explains the sharp reduction in stocks during that year).




Table 24

REFINED COPPER a/: WORLD PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION (Thousands of tons)

| Years | Production | Consumption |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 6654 | 6442 |
| 1969 | 7171 | 7062 |
| 1970 | 7543 | 7178 |
| 1971 | 7339 | 7238 |
| 1972 | 7869 | 7772 |
| 1973 | $8300 \mathrm{~b} /$ | 8540 b |

Source: Yearbook of the American Bureau of Metal Statistics,
New York, June 1973 (for the 1968-1972 figures).
a/ Including primary and secondary copper.
b/ Preliminary estimates.

In the Latin American region the major producers of copper are Chile and Peru, followed by Mexico and Bolivia, the latter producing relatively marginal quantities. Production figures are given in table 25.

The total volume of production of the four Latin American countries in table 25 increased in recent years at a slower rate than world production. As a result of this, their share in the world production of copper is steadily declining - a trend which has been noticeable for many years. Thus in 1972 these countries accounted for only 15 per cent of world production, compared with 18 per cent in 1964.

This situation could be completely changed in the next few years should prospecting projects for big copper deposits in Colombia and Panama prove successful.

Table 2.5

COPPER: MINING PFODUCTION OF SWECTED COUNTRIES AND WORLD TOI:A:
(Thousands of tors: a/

|  | 1969 | 197 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 b/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolivia | 8.0 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 8.4 | - |
| Chile | 688.1 | 691.6 | 708.3 | 716.8 | 736.0 |
| Mexico | 66.1 | 61.0 | 63.1 | 78.7 |  |
| Peru | 198.8 | 220.3 | 207.4 | 217.9 | 225.0 |
| Total | 961.0 | 982.0 | 986.6 | 1021.8 |  |
| South Africa | 126.2 | 149.2 | 157.5 | 161.9 |  |
| Zaire | 362.2 | 335.5 | 405.8 | 428.2 | 490.0 |
| Zambia | 719.3 | 688.0 | 651.0 | 717.7 | 709.0 |
| Australia | 122.8 | 156.9 | 172.4 | 181.4 |  |
| United States | 1392.6 | 1560.1 | 1380.9 | 1490.3 | 1560.0 |
| Canada | 520.0 | 6.10 .3 | 654.5 | 726.3 | 780.0 |
| Soviet Union | 870.9 | 898.1 | 916.3 | 952.6 |  |
| World Total | 5850.6 | 6301.3 | 6278.9 | 6793.0 |  |

Source: Yearbook of the American Burea: I Metal Statistics, opocit.
a/ The original figures, which were in gort tons, have been cunverited to metric tons.
b/ Preliminary estimates.

The importance of copper in the esparts of Latin American countries veries from an insignificant ercentage in Mexico to a relatirely high one in Chile. The volidie and value of these exports in recent years are shown in table 26.

Table 26
NON-MANUFACTURED COPPER: EXPORTS FROM LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES

|  | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Volume (thousands |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia | 7.9 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| Chile | 656.5 | 668.8 | 684.0 | 630.8 |
| Mexico | 11.1 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 25.7 |
| Peru | 200.5 | 215.6 | 193.5 | 218.5 |
| B. Value (millions of |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia | 10.9 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 8.8 |
| Chile | 793.5 | 976.5 | 685.5 | 629.5 |
| Mexico | 9.3 | 8.1 | 11.2 | 27.8 |
| Peru | 259.4 | 269.2 | 170.1 | 188.5 |
| C. Percentage of value of total exports of eaph country |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.6 |  |
| Chile | 73.8 | 78.2 | 71.2 | 73.6 |
| Mexico | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Peru | 30.0 | 25.8 | 19.1 | 20.0 |

Source: Official foreign trade statistics of the respective countries.

Information on 1973 exports is far from complete. Although a slight reduction in the volume of exports, particularly from Chile and Peru, is expected, the higher price levels in 1973 should mean that these countries will receive higher earnings than in the previous two years. The inevitable question that comes to mind is, what are the prospects that the recent copper prices will remain at their present level? There can be no doubt that the increases in the second half of 1973 were the result of a combination of very special circumstances, which are changing as solutions are found to the export
problems of Chile and Zanbia. Furthermor?, it must not be forgotten that aluminium is a copper substitute for some applications and that certain price relations between the two retals could prove an incentive to such substitution. This jis owe of the immediate problems facing the copper market, since prices of aluminium have not risen to the same extent as those of copper.

During the greater part of 1973 the international copper market was awaiting the decision to be taken by the United States Congress concerning authorization to sell copper from that country's stategic reserves. The decision was finally taker towards the middle of December 1973, when Congress passed a lav authorizing the sale of various metals, including 251,600 tons of copper, according to a sales programme whose details had still zet been made public half way through January. According to some inforcation sources, some 80,000 tons of the quantity authorized for sale will ke used for minting coins, so that the net quantity available for sine in trade circles may be reduced to some 170,000 tens.

## (b) Tin

In early 1973 the situation in the international tin market was rather weak, reflecting chiefly a ce:tain degree of oversupply and the uncertainty caused ty the progralne for selling metal from the United States strategic reserves. Is still did not at the time show a drop in prices, whick kad partial ly recovered in the second half of 1972 from the losses suffered during 971 , thanks to the intervention of the buffer stock in the market. Howerbr, the level of the buffer stock had already passed the ceiling for the application of export restrictions and a new period of smport controls was introduced as from early in January 1973. These certrols were due to end on 31 March, but they were extended up to 3 : September.

Figure XVIII
TIN PRICES
(£ per ton)
Semi-logarithmic scale


Souroe: UNCTAD, Monthly Commodity Exioo Bulletin

The introduction of expcrt controls was a decisive factor in the defence and improvement of tin prices taring 1973, for the sale of surplus tin from the United States strenegic reserves, which proceeded relatively slowly in the early :nths of that year, threatened later to become an insettling :actor in the market when the Government requested the avthorizatio: of Congress to reduce the strategic reserve from 232,000 to 40,500 ns, thus making 191,500 tons available for sale - a quantity equal to rold consumption for one year. As on previous occasions, the Internation: Tin Council took the initiative and organized consultations wi $H$ the United States Goverament on the terms and conditions fo: the sale of the tin surpluses, so as to attenuate the possibl: unfavourable effects on the level of prices and on market stability.

The outstanding producer and experta of tin in Latin America is Bolivia, although Argentina, Brazil ancl Haxico also produce small quantities. The world total and Bolivia's production in recent years are given in table 27.

Table 27
TIN: PRODUCTION OF CONCENTRATEB, FINE CONTENT
(Thousands of tors)


At the end of 1973 Bolivia obtained foreign credit to finance the expansion of its existing tin amelting plant. The expansion project aims to increase the plant's annual capacity from the present figure of 7,500 tons to 22,000 tons, and will probably be completed in 1976.

Table 28 shows the evolution of tin exports in recent years.

Table 28

BOLIVIA: VOLUME AND VALUE OF TIN EXPORTS

| Years | Mineral <br> concen- <br> trattes, tons | Value, <br> thousands <br> of dollars, | Metallic <br> tin, tons | Value, <br> thousands <br> of dollars | Total <br> value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | 29962 | 102450 | - | - | 102450 |
| 1970 | 27836 | 101907 | - | - | 101907 |
| 1971 | 23463 | 82004 | 6814 | 23874 | 105878 |
| 1972 | 24315 | 90426 | 6257 | 23110 | 113536 |
| 1972 January-June 11388 | 42940 | 2932 | 10875 | 53815 |  |
| 1973 January-June | 12219 | 50768 | 3372 | 13931 | 64699 |

Source: Central Bank of Bolivia, Statistical Bulletin, op.cit.
(c) Lead and zinc

Prices for lead and zinc on the London Metal Exchange rose steadily during 1973, particularly in the case of zinc, prices for which reached unprecedented levels in the closing months of the year (see figures XIX and XX). These prices do not reflect the situation of the entire world market, since for lead and zinc, as for copper, producers' prices in the United States and in other parts of the world rose less than on the London Metal Exchange, but the prices prevailing on the Exchange are a good indication of the relation existing between demand and available supply.


[^3]Figure XX
ZINC PRICES
Semi-logarithmic scale


Souroe: UNGTAD, Monthly Commodity Prioe Aulletin

World production of refined metal cill not show any significant drops: indeed, monthly refjaing averages :ir both metals in the first half of 1973 easily survassed the monthy averages for 1971 and 1972. However, consumption - on at least demarc :or imports for stockpiling increased more rapidly during 1973 thar i. the two previous years, and this touched off the share price increesta; together with distortions in producers' prices in some regions, notwithstanding the fact that during the year significant; chantitien cf. lead and zinc were sold from the United States strategic reservers.

Several Latin American countries aluce lead and zinc, but only two of them - Mexico and Peru - procrse significant quantities. Table 29 shows their share in recent jea:a in the world total.

From the point of view of the foneign market, the position of the Latin American countries is very weal: since they export the major part of their production of lead and zinc in the form of concentrates (see table 30).

At the end of 1973 it was announced that the new programme of sales from the United States strategic reserver would include 357,300 tons of zinc; a quantity which commodity dealess considered unlikely to have any significant effect on the marizel; primarily because of the great existing demand, and secondly, becouse the sales programme was to be implemented gradually, as was done in 1973.7/

6/ No complete details are available an the volume of these sales for the whole of 1973, but information for the period AugustOctober indicates that the followiag quantities were sold by the government department responsibls far these operations in the United States:

|  | Lead | Zinc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30343 | tons |
| August 1973 | 13104 | 21546 tons |
| September | 5265 | 8399 |
| October |  | 27323 |

Source: Ketals Week, New Yrok, 8 October and 5 Noveriber 1973.

7/ Besed on opinions expressed in Tetals Week, 10 December 1973.

Table 29
LEAD AND ZINC: MINING PRODUCTION, FINE CONTENT (Thousands of tons)

|  |  | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Argentina | 35.0 | 37.0 | 36.0 | 40.0 | 37.0 |
|  | Bolivia | 25.0 | 26.0 | 23.2 | 20.4 | 22.0 |
|  | Brazil | 22.2 | 18.8 | 22.8 | 25.0 | 24.0 |
|  | Mexico | 166.4 | 171.6 | 173.7 | 177.8 | 164.0 |
|  | Peru | 155.0 | 164.0 | 147.4 | 160.0 | 179.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 403.6 | 417.4 | 403.1 | 423.2 | 426.0 |
|  | World total b/ | 2426.0 | 2566.8 | 2547.6 | 2606.5 | 2570.0 |
|  | Percentage for Latin America | 16.6 | 16.3 | 15.8 | 16.2 | 16.6 |
| B. Zinc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Argentina | 32.0 | 39.0 | 38.0 | 36.2 | 35.0 |
|  | Bolivia | 34.2 | 47.0 | 46.0 | 40.0 | 37.0 |
|  | Mexico | 251.6 | 263.0 | 261.2 | 269.4 | 268.0 |
|  | Peru. | 315.0 | 329.0 | 311.4 | 320.0 | 380.0 |
|  | Subtotal | 632.8 | 678.0 | 656.6 | 665.6 | 720.0 |
|  | World total | 4221.5 | 4359.9 | 4286.1 | 4396.4 | 4400.0 |
|  | Percentage for Latin America | 15.0 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 15.1 | 16.3 |

Source: International Lead and Zinc Study Group, Monthly Bulletin, December 1973.
a/ Preliminary estimates based on figures for 9 months.
b/ Excluding countries with centrally-planned economies.

Table 30
LEAD AND ZINC: EXPORTS OF LAMTI AMERICAN COUNTRIES (Thousands of tons of fine content)

| 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A. Lead

| Bolivia | Mineral | 25.0 | 26.0 | 23.2 | 20.4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mexico | Metal | 87.2 | 24.0 | 77.6 | 84.8 |
| Peru | Mineral | 81.5 | 95.6 | 145.7 | 165.7 |
| Peru | Metal | 74.7 | 33.5 | 60.5 | 77.2 |

B. Zinc

Bolivia
Mineral
Mineral
Metal
Mineral
Metal
34.2
$47.0 \quad 46.0$
30.0

Mexico
Mexico
Peru
Peru
$\because 3.3 \quad 138.1$
256.2
$38.8 \quad 35.8 \quad 42.0 \quad 44.1$
$253.1 \quad 267.0 \quad 344.5 \quad 399.0$
$57.7 \quad 65.0 \quad 49.7 \quad 54.0$

Source: International Leac and Zinc Stud" Group, Monthly Bulletin, op.cit.

## PETROLEUM

In the last months of 1973 the main oil producing and exporting countries announced a series of increases in the reference prices of crude petroleum, which in some cases meant doubling or tripling the previous prices. "Reference prices" are of course those published by the oil companies and used in some countries as a basis for calculating royalties and taxes. There is no "market price" proper for oil (in the same sense as there is a market price or quotation for coffee, wheat, etc.), so that the "iactual price" concept is generally used as the equivalent of market prices of other commodities, although it is really an "export unit value:" based on the financial statements of the oil companies and checked by the governments concerned. 8/ In any case, "reference prices", which up to a short time ago were the subject of negotiation between the oil companies and the governments of the countries in which they operate, are now fixed unilaterally by the governments, which at the same time determine the "actual" price of the oil and the State's participation in its development.

The increases in the reference prices of petroleum caused enormous anxiety in a great many countries, not only on account of their size but also of other measures adopted simultaneously by some of the major exporting countries, for when some of the latest increases were announced, it was also reported that there would be cutbacks in production and reductions in shipments to certain countries in line with government policy decisions aimed at achieving specific political objectives. Thus at a certain moment the problem of the higher cost of oil imports was aggravated by supply restrictions and the possibility that if such restrictions were maintained for any length of time they would affect the level of economic activity. However, the situation has not deteriorated further in this respect; on the contrary, it seems that the essentially political aspects of the "oil crisis" are in the process of being solved, so that the problem is confined to the new price levels, the new structure of relations between governments and oil companies, and the longer-term problems linked to the various sources of energy.

[^4]In oil, as in other raw materials :oe recent price increases cause one to "forget" what hes happenec. $i$.: the preceding 10 or 12 years. If consideration is given to the "actua.. ?ice" series for Venezuelan crude oil exports in the last few yeark, $\therefore \cdots$ will be noted that the average price in dollars per bancel decinited steadily between 1958 and 1970 (see table 31).

Table 31
VENEZUELA: ACTUAL AVERAGE PRICS GI CRUDE OIL EXPORTS (Dollars per berre])

| Years | Dollars per barrel | y:urs | Dollars per barrel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 2.59 | 1.156 | 1.86 |
| 1950 | 2.48 | 1:67 | 1.84 |
| 1959 | 2.19 | 1:60 | 1.83 |
| 1960 | 2.08 | 1 369 | 1.79 |
| 1961 | 2.10 | 2\% | 1.73 |
| 1962 | 2.06 | a'r | 2.25 |
| 1963 | 2.02 |  |  |
| 1964 | 1.95 |  |  |
| 1965 | 1.89 |  |  |
| urce: | Venezuelan Ministry of Mines ar Hydrocarbons, Petróleo y otros datos estadisticos 197], sæptember 1972, p. 195. |  |  |

It is true that ketween 1966 and 19 ? the decrease in the average price was small; which mainly reaects the efforts of the Venezuelan Government to prevent a furthe expansion of production (as was occurring in scme countries of tel Middle East) and to discourage the granting of price discolnlis by oil companies. The fact remains, however, that the downward tren in the average price of exported crude oil persisted and was onl: reversed in 1971 after Venezuela had adjusted ite reference priss in line with the increases decided upon by the oi.. producing countres in the Persian Gulf and North Africa under the Teheran and Triyo i agreements which these countries negotiated w:th the oil compan es.

During 1972 and the first half of 1973 the rise in the reference prices of Venezuelan crude oil was fairly small; in fact the adjustment in March 1973 was designed to offset the new devaluation of the dollar in February of that year. In the second half of 1973, however, the reference prices were raised more frequently, until the increases which came into force in November. Table 32 shows the reference prices of two different types of crude petroleum.

Table 32

VENEZUBLA: REFERENCE PRICES, FOR TAX PURPOSES, OF 250 AND 350 CRUDE OIL
(Dollars per barrel) a/

| Date of entry into force | Specific gravity 358 | Percentage variation | Specific <br> gravity $358$ | Percentage variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 January 1972 | 2.8856 | - | 3.2110 | - |
| 1 January 1973 | 3.0294 | 5.0 | 3.3621 | 4.7 |
| 13 March 1973 | 3.3175 | 9.5 | 3.7683 | 12.1 |
| 1 August 1973 | 3.7246 | 22.3 | 4.2307 | 12.3 |
| 1 September 1973 | 4.0491 | 8.7 | 4.5993 | 8.7 |
| 1 October 1973 | 4.1858 | 3.4 | 4.7546 | 3.4 |
| 1 November 1973 | 6.5094 | 55.5 | 7.1105 | 49.5 |
| 1 January 1974 | 10.6702 | 63.9 | 11. 2156 | 57.7 |

Source: The Petroleum Economist, London (monthly bulletins covering 1971, 1972 and 1973, and February 1974).
a/ These reference prices exclude the freight premium and the low-sulphur premium.

The total increase in reference prices between $I$ January and 1 November 1973 is 114.9 per cent for each of the types of crude oil indicated in the table,but owing to the gradual scale of the increases and the fact that nearly half the percentage increase is recorded as from 1 November, the effect on Venezuela's total income in 1973 is not as great as might be expected from the total percentage increase.

Crude oil production in Latin Americ: as a whole has failed to keep pace with world outout, so its percon.e.ge share in the total has declined. This trend has been determine. deinly by the production policy followed by Veneziela, which for and years has been regulating its total production volume in order to avod contributing - through a bigger expansion - to a deterioration ir arices, and in order to help conserve its non-renewable natural cerources. The total volume of Venezuela's output increased moderately .n. 1973 compared with the preceding year, and this increase, togethe: with the new and increasing production of Ecuador, raised Latin Americ =.'s total production above the 1972 figure to levels comparable with tiose reached in 1970 and 1971. Production figures for countries and major :egions are shown in table 33.

Table 33
CRUDE PETROLEUM: PRODUCTION OF LATM AMERICAN COUNTRIES AND OTHER SELECTHD IREAS
(Thousands of to:s)

|  | 1970 | $\cdots 971$ | 1972 | 1973 a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 19969 | 2.. 494 | 22105 | 21300 |
| Bolivia | 1124 | $\therefore 714$ | 2028 | 2150 |
| Brazil | 8009 | (: 376 | 8259 | 8500 |
| Ecuador | 191 | 174 | 3835 | 9500 |
| Colombia | 11071 | 1: 127 | 10143 | 9400 |
| Chile | 1620 | $\therefore 652$ | 1615 | 1500 |
| Mexico | 21877 | 2. 920 | 227809 | 23208 |
| Peru | 3450 | $\therefore 048$ | 3182 | 3200 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 7225 | $\therefore 690$ | 7248 | 8000 |
| Total | 24536 | 18195 | 81124 | 86. 758 |
| Venezuela | 193209 | 1.8-921 | 168232 | 175866 |
| Latin America | $2677^{\text {L }} 5$ | 261.116 | 249356 | 262624 |
| United States | 533677 | 33: 385 | 528454 | 513153 |
| Western Europe | 16208 | 1:308 | 15742 | 15544 |
| Midale East | 713835 | 2; 125 | 913782 | 1061079 |
| Africa | 274578 | 25: 645 | 263279 | 279199 |
| Soviet Union and Eastern Europe | 393102 | 39.: 454 | 416197 | 443710 |
| World total | 2336153 | ㄹ.6;606 | 2595060 | 2832746 |
| Percentage variation | 9.4 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 9.1 |

Source: The Petroleum Economist, London, Tanuary 1973, January 1974 and February 1974.

Out of the whole list of Latin American oil-producing countries, only a few have significant balances. To illustrate the situation faced by most of the Latin American countries with regard to petroleum and petroleum products; table 34 presents import and export values for the last few years.

Table 34
LATIN AMERICA: TOTAL VALUE OF IMPORTS (CIF) AND EXPORTS (FOB) OF CRUDE PETROLEUM, PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AND NATURAL GAS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1970 |  | 1971 |  | 1972 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports | Exports | Imports | Exports | Imports | Exports |
| Argentina | 75.0 | 7.8 | 98.6 | 8.0 | 64.2 | 5.2 |
| Bolivia | 1.0 | 13.2 | 1.4 | 23.9 | 1.6 | 41.6 |
| Brazil | 280.0 | 16.7 | 475.4 | 28.6 | 570.1 | 57.4 |
| Colombia | - | 74.2 | - | 74.6 | - | 59.1 |
| Costa Rica | 11.7 | 0.9 | 15.1 | 1.8 | -7 | - |
| Chile | 49.9 | - | 77.6 | - | 68.4 | - |
| Ecuador | 16.8 | 0.8 | 20.6 | 1.5 | 21.0 | 61.0 |
| El Salvador | 4.7 | 1.1 | 13.0 | 1.0 |  | - |
| Honduras | 14.7 | 6.2 | 17.5 | 2.9 | - | - |
| Guatemala | 15.9 | - | 24.3 | - | - | - |
| Jamaica | 32.6 | 8.1 | 34.0 | 8.5 | 52.1 | 9.2 |
| Mexico | 69.6 | 36.6 | 115.2 | 30.8 | 249.7 | 23.0 |
| Panama a/ | 62.1 | 21.5 | 66.2 | 25.1 | 59:3 | 24.0 |
| Paraguay | 6.1 | - | 6.3 | - | 5.9 | - |
| Peru | 26.9 | 7.6 | 53.5 | 5.4 | 51.1 | 7.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 288.0 | 371.3 | 361.4 | 437.2 | 343.9 | 407.0 |
| Venezuela | - | 2398.0 | - | 2980.0 |  | 2923.7 |

Source: ECLA, on the basis of official foreign trade statistics. a/ Imports FOB .
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Pable A
untted states: indexes of wholeshle peices and unit values of exports
$(1950=100)$

| Year |  | Indexes of wholesale prices |  |  | ```Index of unit values of exporta of goods``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General Index | Agrioulturel products and foodstuffs | Industrial produots |  |
| 1948 |  | 101.2 | 108.1 | 98.6 | 110.4 |
| 1949 | $\checkmark$ | 96.2 | 95.4 | 96.5 | 102.7 |
| 1950 |  | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1951 |  | 221.3 | 113.8 | 110.4 | 114.7 |
| 1952 |  | 108.3 | 109.4 | 107.8 | 114.1 |
| 1953 |  | 106.8 | 102.2 | 108.7 | 112.9 |
| 2954 |  | 107.1 | 101.9 | 109.0 | 111.5 |
| 1955 |  | 107.3 | 97.1 | 111.4 | 112.7 |
| 3956 |  | 110.9 | 96.4 | 116.4 | 116.8 |
| 1957 |  | 114.1 | 99.8 | 119.6 | 120.7 |
| 1958 |  | 115.6 | 104.4 | 120.0 | 119.3 |
| 1959 |  | 115.9 | 99.6 | 122.2 | 119.6 |
| 1960 |  | 116.0 | 99.8 | 122,2 | 120.5 |
| 1961 |  | 115.5 | 99.8 | 121.5 | 122.8 |
| 1962 |  | 115.9 | 100.9 | 121.5 | 122.0 |
| 1963 |  | 115.5 | 99.9 | 121.4 | 121.7 |
| 1964 |  | 115.8 | 99.3 | 122.1 | 122.9 |
| 1965 |  | 118.1 | 103.4 | 123.6 | 126.9 |
| 1966 |  | 122.0 | 110.2 | 126.3 | 130.8 |
| 1967 |  | 222.2 | 206.4 | 128.2 | 133.3 |
| 1968 |  | 125.3 | 109.1 | 131.4 | 135.2 |
| 1969 |  | 130.2 | 115.0 | 135.9 | 139.6 |
| 1970 |  | 135.0 | 119.0 | 141.0 | 147.6 |
| 1971 |  | 139.2 | 121.2 | 146.2 | 152.5 |
| 1972 |  | 145.6 . | 130.4 | 151.2 | 156.8 |
| 1973 |  | 165.6 | 169.4 | 162.8 | 180.0 */ |

Souroes: United States Department of Commerce, Businoss Statiatios 2971, Survey of Current Business, November 1973, and Eoonomic Indioators January 1974.

Note: Orisinal base year 1967.
*/ Estimated annual average based on figures for the first 9 months of the year.

Table 3

SUGAR: AVERAGE PRICES OF han SUGAR TOL 'XPORT TO FREE MABKET
AWD UNITED STATE 3
(U3 ounts par pour:):

| Year | Exports to freo market |  | Exports to United States a/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US oents at ourrent prices | adjusted prise b/ | US sents at ourrent prices | Adjustad price b/ |
| 1948 | 4.24 | 3.54 | 5.84 | 5.02 |
| 1949 | 4.16 | 4.35 | 5.81 | 5.66 |
| 1950 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 5.93 | 5.93 |
| 1951 | 5.67 | 4.94 | 6.06 | 5.28 |
| 1952 | 4.17 | 3.65 | 6.26 | 5.49 |
| 1953 | 3.41 | 3.02 | 6.29 | 5.57 |
| 1954 | 3.26 | 2.92 | 6.09 | 5.46 |
| 1955 | 3.24 | 2.87 | 5.95 | 5.28 |
| 1956 | 3.48 | 2:98 | 6.09 | 5.21 |
| 1957 | 5.16 | 4.27 | 6.24 | 5.17 |
| 1958 | 3.50 | 2.93 | 6.27 | 5.25 |
| 1959 | 2.97 | 2.48 | 6.24 | 5.22 |
| 1960 | 3.14 | 2.60 | 6.30 | 5.23 |
| 1961 | 2.91 | 2.37 | 6.30 | 5.13 |
| 1962 | 2.98 | 2.44 | 6.45 | 5.29 |
| 1963 | 8.50 | 6.93 | 8.18 | 6.72 |
| 1964 | 5.89 | 4.79 | 6.90 | 5.61 |
| 1965 | 2.12 | 1.67 | 6.75 | 5.32 |
| 1966 | 1.86 | 2.42 | 6.99 | 5.34 |
| 1967 | 2.08 | 1.56 | 7.28 | 5.46 |
| 1968 | 2.12 | 1.57 | 7.52 | 5.56 |
| 1969 | 3.49 | 2.50 | 7.83 | 5.61 |
| 1970 | 3.76 | 2.55 | 8.07 | 5.47 |
| 1971 | 4.52 | 2.96 | 8.52 | 5.59 |
| 1972 | 7.43 | 4.74 | 9.09 | 5.80 |
| 1973 | 9.61 | 5.34 | 10.29 | 5.72 |

Souroes: United States Depertment of Agriculture, Sugar Stat; Les and Related Data, Fobruary 1970, and Sugar Reports, January 1974.
a/ Prises of exports to the Unsted States includel import te:s $n$ thet country.
b/ Dollars at ourrent prices, deflated by the index of wift ilues of United States exports, $1950=100$.
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Table C

COCOA BEANS: AVERGGE PRICES OP BAHIA COCOA IN NEW YORK
(US sents per pound)

| Year | US cents at <br> ourrent prises | Adjusted <br> price a/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1948 | 39.0 | 35.3 |
| 1949 | 21.2 | 20.6 |
| 1950 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| 1951 | 35.1 | 30.6 |
| 1952 | 35.8 | 31.4 |
| 1953 | 34.9 | 30.9 |
| 1954 | 55.7 | 49.9 |
| 1955 | 36.2 | 32.1 |
| 1956 | 25.5 | 21.8 |
| 1957 | 30.5 | 25.3 |
| 1958 | 43.3 | 36.3 |
| 1959 | 35.4 | 29.6 |
| 1960 | 26.8 | 22.2 |
| 1961 | 22.4 | 18.2 |
| 1962 | 21.3 | 17.4 |
| 1963 | 26.5 | 21.8 |
| 1964 | 23.2 | 18.9 |
| 1965 | 16.9 | 13.3 |
| 1966 | 23.0 | 17.6 |
| 1967 | 26.4 | 19.8 |
| 1968 | 32.9 | 24.3 |
| 1969 | 43.5 | 31.2 |
| 1970 | 32.3 | 21.9 |
| 1971 | 25.8 | 16.9 |
| 1972 | 31.1 | 19.8 |
| 1973 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 104 |  |  |

Souroe: Gill \& Duffus Group, Cogoa Statistiog, London, Desember 1973.
a/ Dollars at ourrent prices, deflated by the index of unit values of United States oxports, $1950=100$.
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Tabl.e D

COFFEE BEANS: AVERAGE PRICES OF SANTOS YO .. JOFFEE IN NEM YORK, FOR IMYTDLATE DELTVERY
(Us ourts_per poind)

| Year | US cents at <br> ourient prises | Adjusted <br> prise $/ /$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1948 | 27.1 | 24.5 |
| 1949 | 31.8 | 31.0 |
| 1950 | 50.5 | 50.5 |
| 1951 | 54.2 | 47.2 |
| 1952 | 54.0 | 47.3 |
| 1953 | 57.9 | 51.3 |
| 1954 | 78.7 | 70.6 |
| 1955 | 57.1 | 50.7 |
| 1956 | 58.1 | 49.7 |
| 1957 | 56.9 | 47.1 |
| 1958 | 48.4 | 40.6 |
| 1959 | 37.0 | 30.9 |
| 1960 | 36.6 | 30.4 |
| 1961 | 36.0 | 29.3 |
| 1962 | 34.0 | 27.9 |
| 1963 | 34.1 | 28.0 |
| 1964 | 46.7 | 38.0 |
| 1965 | 44.7 | 35.2 |
| 1966 | 40.8 | 31.2 |
| 1967 | 37.8 | 28.3 |
| 1968 | 37.4 | 27.7 |
| 1969 | 40.8 | 29.2 |
| 1970 | 54.6 | 37.0 |
| 1971 | 44.8 | 29.4 |
| 1972 | 51.0 | 32.5 |
| 1973 |  | 36.9 |

Souroe: United Nations, Monthly Bulletin of Statistic. 彐.
g/ Dollars at ourrent prioes, deflatod by the incex es unit values of United States exports, $1950=100$ 。
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Table E

WHRaT: AVERAGE EXPORT PRICE OF UNITED STATES NO 2 HARD WINTER WHEAT, FOB GULF PORTS

| ( Dollare per ton) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Dollars at ourrent prioes | Adjusted prioe a/ |
| 2948 | 88.9 b/ | 80.5 |
| 1949 | $79.0 \mathrm{~b} /$ | 76.9 |
| 1950 | 67.5 | 67.5 |
| 1951 | 72.5 | 63.2 |
| 1952 | 74.3 | 65.1 |
| 1953 | 76.6 | 67.8 |
| 1954 | 69.6 | 62.4 |
| 1955 | 64.3 | 57.0 |
| 1956 | 62.3 | 53.3 |
| 1957 | 62.1 | 51.4 |
| 1958 | 62.1 | 52.0 |
| 1959 | 61.4 | 51.3 |
| 1960 | 61.4 | 50.9 |
| 2961 | 62.1 | 50.6 |
| 1962 | 63.3 | 51.9 |
| 1963 | 64.6 | 53.1 |
| 1964 | 67.4 | 54.8 |
| 1965 | 59.5 | 46.8 |
| 1966 | 62.8 | 48.0 |
| 1967 | 65.8 | 49.4 |
| 1968 | 62.8 | 46.4 |
| 1969 | 58.4 | 41.8 |
| 1970 | 54.7 | 37.0 |
| 1971 | 61.7 | 40.4 |
| 1972 | 69.8 | 44.5 |
| 1973 | 137.8 | 76.5 |

Souroes: International Wheat Council, World Wheat Statistios, 1973 and previous years; UNCTAD, Monthly Commodity Price Bulletin, January-February 1974.
af Dollars at current prices, deflated by the index of unit velues of United States exports, $1950=100$.
b/ Average price of United States $N^{\circ} 2$ Hard Winter wheat, in Kanses City.
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Table F

COTTON: AVERAGE PRICES OR SAO PAULOM IV RON, CIF LIVERPOOL
(US conts per pours)

| Yaar | US oonts et ourrent prices | Ad,justed price a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948/49 | 43.4 b/ | 39.3 |
| 1949/50 | 43.4 b/ | 42.3 |
| 1950 | 46.3 | 46.3 |
| 1951 | 66.1 | 57.6 |
| 1952 | 54.8 | 48.0 |
| 1953 | 41.4 | 36.7 |
| 2754 | 36.9 | 33.1 |
| 1955 | 35.4 | 31.4 |
| 1956 | 30.9 | 26.5 |
| 1957 | 30.2 | 25.0 |
| 1958 | 27.3 | 22.9 |
| 1959 | 25.1 | 21.0 |
| 1960 | 26.3 | 21.8 |
| 1961 | 28.0 | 22.8 |
| 1962 | 26.7 | 21.9 |
| 1963 | 26.5 | 21.8 |
| 1964 | 26.1 | 21.2 |
| 1965 | 26.2 | 20.6 |
| 1966 | 24.4 | 18.6 |
| 1967 | 26.4 | 19.8 |
| 1968 | 27.1 | 20.0 |
| 1969 | 23.4 | 16.8 |
| 1970 | 26.6 | 18.0 |
| 2.971 | 32.3 | 21.2 |
| 1972 | 33.6 | 21.4 |
| 1973 | 51.9\% | 28.8 |

Souroe: International Cotton Acrisory Commitiee, Cotlif: World Stetistios, quarterly bulleting, January 197t and propious 1.ssuss.
a/ Dollacs at ourrent prices, deflatea by the Inclex $c$ unit values of United States exports, $1950=100$.
b/ Anmual averages for the July - June trading yearo

Table G

WOOL: AVERAGE PRICE OF URUGUAYAA WOOL, 58-60, CLEAN BASIS, IN WAREHOUSE, BOSTON, UNTTED STATES
(US oents per pound)
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline \text { Tear } & \text { US oents at ourrent } \\ \text { prioes }\end{array}\right]$

Source: United States Department of Agrioulture, wool Statistics and Related Deta, Statistical Bullotin No 455; Supplement for 1971 to Statistioal Bulletin No 455.
Nota: There are no quotations later then January 1972 for Uruguayan wool.
a/ Dollars at ourrent prioes, deflated by the index of unit velues of United States exports, $1950=100$.
b/ Austrelian $64^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ wool tops.
of Average quotations for 10 months.

Table H

ELECTROLYTIC COPPAR: EVDAGGF PRICES ON THE MIDON METAL EXCHANGE,

(Do.lamper ton)


Souroes: IBRD, Commodity Prioe Trends (19, 11 edition; ; UMI.;AD, Monthly Comodity Price Builetin, January-f'ebruary 1974.
a/ Dollars at ourrent priees, de:lated bs the index of :nit values of United States exports, $1950=100$.

Table I

TIN: AVEAGE PRICE ON THE LONDON METAL EXCHANGE, FOR IMSEDIATE DELIVERY
(Dollars per ton)

| Year | Dollars at current prices | Adjusted price a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948 | 2174.0 | 1969.2 |
| 1949 | 2194.0 | 2136.3 |
| 1950 | 2055.2 | 2055.2 |
| 1951 | 2975.7 | 2594.3 |
| 1952 | 2657.9 | 2329.4 |
| 1953 | 2013.8 | 1783.7 |
| 1954 | 1981.1 | 1776.8 |
| 1955 | 2039.9 | 1810.0 |
| 1956 | 2170.7 | 1858.4 |
| 1957 | 2080.2 | 1723.4 |
| 1958 | 2025.6 | 1697.9 |
| 1959 | 2163.9 | 1809.3 |
| 1960 | 2195.3 | 1821.8 |
| 1961 | 2447.0 | 1992.7 |
| 2962 | 2470.9 | 2025.3 |
| 1963 | 2507.4 | 2060.3 |
| 1964 | 3408.6 | 2773.5 |
| 1965 | 3893.1 | 3067.8 |
| 1966 | 3573.7 | 2732.2 |
| 1967 | 3330.0 | 2498.1 |
| 1968 | 3126.7 | 2312.6 |
| 1969 | 3427.5 | 2455.2 |
| 1970 | 3673.8 | 2489.0 |
| 1971 | 3509.0 | 2301.0 |
| 1972 | 3765.0 | 2401.1 |
| 1973 | 4813.0 | 2673.9 |

Souroes: IBRD, Commodity Price Trends (1971 edition); UNCTAD, Monthly Commodity Prioe Bulletin, January-Fabmazry 1974.
a/ Dollars at ourrent prioes, deflated by the index of unit values of United States exports, $1950=100$.
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riable J

VENEZUELA: AVERUGE ACTUAL, PILGE OF EXFCR:G OF ORUDE PCTROLEUM AND FIT ROLETM PRODUCT:
(DoI: Eresper barre:)

| Year | Averag st | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n dollams } \\ & \text { recis } \end{aligned}$ | Adjuste | a/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948 | 2.16 |  | 1.96 |  |
| 1949 | 2.07 |  | 2.02 |  |
| 1950 | 2.11 |  | 2.11 |  |
| 1951 | 2.05 |  | 1.79 |  |
| 1952 | 2.14 |  | 1.88 |  |
| 1953 | 2.30 |  | 2.04 |  |
| 1954 | 2.31 |  | 2.07 |  |
| 1955 | 2.34 |  | 2.08 |  |
| 1956 | 2.36 |  | 2.02 |  |
| 1957 | 2.65 |  | 2.20 |  |
| 1958 | 2.50 |  | 2.10 |  |
| 1959 | 2.23 |  | 1.86 |  |
| 1960 | 2.12 |  | 1.76 |  |
| 1961 | 2.13 |  | 1.73 |  |
| 1962 | 2.68 |  | 1.70 |  |
| 1963 | 2.04 |  | 1.68 |  |
| 1964 | 1.96 |  | 1.59 |  |
| 2965 | 1.89 |  | 1.49 |  |
| 1966 | 1.87 |  | 1.43 |  |
| 1967. | 1.85 |  | 1.39 |  |
| 1968 | 2.87 | 1.97 b/ | 1.38 |  |
| 1969 | 1.81 | 3.97 | 1.30 | 1. |
| 1970 | 1.85 | 1.97 | 1.25 | 1.3 |
| 1971 | 2.35 | 2.61 | 1.54 | 1.7 |
| 1972 | ** | 3.12 | - | 1.9 |
| 1973 | *** | 4.26 | -** | 2.3 |
| Souroes: 1950-1971: Venezuelen Minilstry of Mines ant Hydrocarbons, Petr6leo y otros dotos estadistioos (1968 ard 19\%1. 1ssugs), |  |  |  |  |
| a/ Dollars at ourrent prices, delletied by the index of unit values of United States exports, $1950=100$. |  |  |  |  |
| b/ Untt values of exports of arude patroleum end petroleum products, oaloulated on the basis of the quantit.eis and values publishec! by the International Monetary Fund in its balance of peyments statistios. |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    2/ It should be borne in mind, however, that the indexes refer to market prices and, that frequently, when the prices reach their highest level, the volume of effective transactions is relatively small. It is obvious that an index of market prices will give different results from an index of unit values of exports, although, in the long run and under certain sonditions, they must approximate to each other.

[^1]:    4/ As mentioned later in this study, the indicator price is based on the quotations of the tiree-month future markets in London and New York.

[^2]:    5/
    The first meetings of the coffeemoducing countries to review the position of the world coffee aarkat and the prospects of the renegotiation of the Agreemeat were held in mid-February 1974.

[^3]:    Sourge: UNCTAD, Monthly Commodity Feloe Bulletin

[^4]:    8/ See a brief discussion on the characteristics of markets and prices of petroleum and petroleum products in La industria del petrobeo en América Latina: Notas sobre su evolución reciente y perspectivas (United Nations publication, Sales No. S.73.II.G.2), chapter III.

