CARIBBEAN DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION COMMITTEE

EVALUATION OF REGIONAL WORKSHOP ON METHODOLOGY FOR INVENTORY OF DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION UNITS
BRIDGETOWN, BARBADOS
19-24 October 1981
With a view to improving future seminars, and undertaking follow-up activity related to your place of action, the development of information infrastructure in the Caribbean, we would ask you to answer the following questions. (The questionnaire is divided into three sections:-

A. Additional information on participants

B. Sections of the workshop

C. General features of the workshop

1. The Role of surveys of information units in the planning of information infrastructures - Lancelot Busby, Statistician, ECLAC

2. Conceptual design of survey research: indicators - Julio Cubillo, Project Adviser, CLADES

3. CLADES' Survey results: the Development Information Infrastructure The Caribbean - Julio Cubillo

4. Design of Policies for Information Infrastructure Development - Library and Information Training Officer, Caribbean Information System

5. Workshop on data processing techniques; manual vs. mechanized procedures; statistical information analysis vs. non-statistical (textual) information analysis

6. Elements of a Caribbean Data Base of Development information units

Working Groups on: Elements of a Caribbean Data Base and Programming of national surveys for implementing the data base
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT PARTICIPANTS:

Documentation Centre

TYPE OF INFORMATION UNIT

Public

Special

University

Schools

Other Specify

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS:

MLS

ALA

Dip. Lib.

Other specify

BLS
PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE RELEVANT TO SURVEYS AND THE PLANNING OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS

SECTION NO. ____________________________________________________________

NAME OF SECTION ______________________________________________________

A. PRESENTATION

1. The new information which you acquired during the presentation was:
   very great   Great   Moderate   Very little
   □          □          □          □

2. The clarity of the presentation was:
   Very great   Great   Moderate   Very small
   □          □          □          □

3. The usefulness of the content of the presentation was:
   Very great   Great   Moderate   Very small
   □          □          □          □

4. The time devoted to the presentation was:
   Too great   Adequate   Too little
   □          □          □

5. The document that supported the presentation of this section was in your opinion:
   Very good   Good   Satisfactory   Unsatisfactory
   □          □          □          □

B. WORKING GROUPS

The topics discussed in the working groups was:
   Very interest   Moderately interesting   Of little interest
   □          □          □
The working group sessions were:

- [ ] Highly structured
- [ ] Moderately structured
- [ ] Unstructured

The quality of the support material; question sheets, diagrams, etc. was:

- [ ] Very good
- [ ] Good
- [ ] Satisfactory

The time provided for discussion and preparation of the answers was:

- [ ] Adequate
- [ ] Too long
- [ ] Too short

In your opinion, the answers and recommendations generated by the groups were:

- [ ] Comprehensive
- [ ] Satisfactory
- [ ] Unsatisfactory

**General Questions Related to this Section:**

Your expectations about this section of the seminar were:

- [ ] Fully
- [ ] Partially
- [ ] Not at all

In the cases where your expectations were not met, indicate any other areas or activities which you think should have been included. Add any other comment which can help to improve this section in the future:

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

4
GENERAL:

SUPPORT SERVICES:

1. Were the support services provided by the organisers of the seminar:
   - [ ] Very good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Satisfactory
   - [ ] Poor

2. Was the time allocated to the workshop:
   - [ ] Adequate
   - [ ] Too long
   - [ ] Too short

3. Was the hotel accommodation:
   - [ ] Very good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Satisfactory
   - [ ] Unsatisfactory

4. Was the Convention Centre:
   - [ ] Comfortable
   - [ ] Adequate
   - [ ] Unsatisfactory

GENERAL COMMENTS: ____________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________