NACIONES UNIDAS

LA BIBLIOTA



CENERAL E/CN.12/AC.47/SR.6 12 May 1961

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH





ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR LATIN AMERICA Ninth Session Santiago, Chile

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

PROVISIONAL SUMMARY RECORD OF THE SIXTH MEETING
Held at Santiago on Thursday, 11 May 1961, at 6.10 p.m.

CONTENTS:

Consideration of draft resolutions submitted to the Committee (Conference Room Papers Nos. 8, 9, 3, 14 and 31)

Corrections to this record should be written in one of the two working languages (English or Spanish) and delivered to the Editorial Section, Fourteenth Floor, within twenty-four hours. Corrections should bear the symbol of the record to which they refer and should be enclosed in an envelope marked "URGENT". Delegations are requested to make their corrections on mimeographed copies of the record.

/PRESENT:

PRESENT:

<u>Chairman:</u> Mr. de ALMEIDA (Brazil)

<u>Rapporteur:</u> Mr. SALGADO Ecuador

Members: Mr. MORALES Argentina

Mr. ZALLES Bolivia
Mr. REZENDE Brazil

Mr. ESCOBAR Chile

Mr. VOLLERT Colombia

Mr. NOYOLA Cuba

Mr. CUELLAR El Salvador

Mr. LETONDOT France

Mr. PAREDES REGALADO Honduras

Mr. FERRIER Kingdom of the Netherlands

Mr. GARCIA REYNOSO Mexico
Mr. GUERRERO Nicaragua

Mr. CERRO CEBRIAN Peru

Mr. SIMPSON United Kingdom of Great

Britain and Northern

Ireland

Mr. JOHNSON United States

Mr. PEREZ DEL CASTILLO Uruguay
Mr. FERNANDEZ Venezuela

Associate member of the Commission:

Mr. ROWACHEE

British Guiana

ALSO PRESENT:

Observers from States Members
Of the United Nations not

members of the Commission:

Mr. BRUNNER Austria
Mr. TREMBLAY Canada

Mr. ALMASY Czechoslovakia

Mr. ANDO Japan Mr. CHABASINSKI Poland

Mr. PAMFIL Romania

Mr. KOSSAREV Union of Soviet Socialist

Republics

/Representatives of

Representatives of specialized agencies:

Mrs. FIGUEROA

International Labour Organisation

Mr. SANTA CRUZ

Food and Agriculture Organization

Mr. VERA

United Nations Educational,

Scientific and Cultural

Organization

Mr. del CANTO

International Monetary Fund

Mr. MEYER

International Bank for

Reconstruction and Development

Representative of the International Atomic Energy Agency:

Mr. FREEMAN

Representatives of intergovernmental organizations:

Mr. MARQUEZ

Centro de Estudios Monetarios

Latinoamericanos

Mr. CARDENAS

Inter-American Development Bank

Mr. LAGO CARBALLO

Inter-governmental Committee

for European Migration

Secretariat:

Mr. PREBISCH

Executive Secretary

Mr. HEURTEMATTE

Commissioner for Technical

Assistance

Mr. LARA

Secretary of the Economic and

Social Development Committee

CONSIDERATION OF DRAFT RESOLUTIONS SUBMITTED TO THE COMMITTEE (Conference Room Papers Nos. 8, 9, 3, 14 and 31)

The CHAIRMAN invited the Committee to comment on the draft resolution submitted by Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela on regional seminars and technical meetings on programming (Conference Room Paper No. 8).

Mr. LETONDOT (France) was entirely in agreement with the substance of the draft resolution. As a matter of information, however, he would like to know whether the Latin American countries alone, or all the members of ECLA, would be invited to send representatives of national programming and budget offices and qualified experts to the regional seminars and technical meetings mentioned in operative paragraph 2.

The CHAIRMAN said that, while the main objective of the draft resolution was to assist the Latin American countries, officials and experts from all members of ECLA could undoubtedly make a substantial contribution to the seminars and meetings and would be invited to them.

Mr. SAIGADO (Ecuador) said that seminars and meetings in which ideas on practical methods of planning economic and social development policy were exchanged had proved very useful. Accordingly, Ecuador had co-sponsored the draft resolution before the Committee, the objective of which was simply to promote the organization of such seminars and meetings.

Mr. NOYOLA (Cuba) considered the draft resolution satisfactory, provided that the third and fourth preambular paragraphs and the operative paragraphs were interpreted in the spirit of the statement made by the representative of British Guiana at the first meeting of the Committee on General Business E/CN.12/AC.49/SR.1). His delegation was prepared to vote in favour of the draft resolution but would reserve its position with respect to the reference, in operative paragraph 2, to the Organization of American States, for reasons that were well known to the members of the Committee.

The draft resolution on regional seminars and technical meetings on programming submitted by Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela (Conference Room Paper No.8) was approved unanimously.

The draft resolution on programming methods and experience submitted by Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela (Conference Room Paper No. 9) was approved unanimously.

The CHAIRMAN invited the Committee to comment on the Chilean draft resolution on fiscal policy (Conference Room Paper No. 3).

Mr. LETONDOT (France) suggested that the experts appointed by ECIA to carry out the research on the tax systems in force in the Latin American countries should be experienced in programming methods also, in conformity with the spirit of the two resolutions the Committee had just approved.

Mr. NOYOLA (Cuba) said that he would vote against the draft resolution, since Cuba's present fiscal system was predicated on principles very different from those employed in other Latin American countries or those which could be studied in a United States educations institution or in such organizations as the Inter-American Development Bank and the Organization of American States.

Mr. GUTRRERO (Nicaragua) felt that the draft resolution was of great importance for Central America, especially since the countries of the area had recently approved the common market programme. There was an urgent need for a revision of fiscal policies. The large national revenue obtained from import duties could be used to support economic development programmes. His delegation wholeheartedly supported the draft resolution.

Mr. CUELLAR (El Salvador) said that his delegation would support the draft resolution, in the conviction that substantial progress could be achieved with the aid of the studies proposed in it.

Mr. PEREZ DEL CASTILLO (Uruguay) also supported the draft resolution. He placed particular stress on the importance of fiscal policy for capital formation and as an instrument for carrying out land reform.

Mr. FERNANDEZ (Venezuela) said that his delegation would vote in favour of the draft resolution. The Venezuelan Government was revising its fiscal system and was well aware of the need for guidance in facings its fiscal difficulties.

/The Chilean

The Chilean draft resolution on fiscal policy (Conference Room Paper No.3) was approved by 17 votes to 1.

The CHAIRMAN invited comments on the draft resolution on statistics submitted by Brazil. El Salvador and Peru (Conference Room Paper No. 14).

Mr. MORALES (Argentina) pointed out that the words "the possibilities of designing" in the English text of the third preambular paragraph did not correspond to the words "la disponibilidad de diseñar" in the Spanish text.

The CHAIRMAN suggested that the phrase "la conveniencia de realizar" should be used in the Spanish text.

Mr. NOYOLA (Cuba) said that his delegation would vote in favour of the draft resolution, with which it was in entire agreement, since it held that good basic statistics were a prerequisite of rational economic planning.

The draft resolution on statistics submitted by Brazil, El Salvador and Peru (Conference Room Paper No. 14) was approved unanimously.

The CHAIRMAN invited comments on the draft resolution on comparative prices and the purchasing power of currencies, submitted by Colombia, Mexico and Peru (Conference Room Paper No. 31).

Mr. FERRIER (Kingdom of the Netherlands) proposed that the words "of the region" should be inserted between the words "countries" and "of Latin America" in the first sentence of operative paragraph 1, so that the Netherlands Antilles and Surinam would certainly be covered by the study.

Mr. SIMPSON (United Kingdom) supported that proposal.

The CHAIRMAN said that, there being no objection, the Netherlands amendment was approved.

Mr. NOYOLA (Cuba) proposed that the third preambular paragraph should be amended to read: "Considering that, given the present prevalence of monopoly markets in Latin America, prices and wages in the majority of cases do not represent the most rational use of national resources and that this tends to introduce distortions into the evaluation of development projects from the standpoint of national economies".

Mr. ESOBAR (Chile) thought that the wording of the text proposed by the Cuban delegation was more limited than that of the original draft resolution. There were other elements besides monopoly markets which affected the prices of the factors of production.

Mr. CERRO CEBRIAN (Peru) agreed that the term "market" was much broader than the expression "monopoly markets".

The Cuban amendment was rejected by 17 votes to 1.

The draft resolution on comparative prices and the purchasing power of currencies submitted by Colombia, Mexico and Peru (Conference Room Paper No.31), as amended, was approved.

The meeting rose at 7 p.m.

* •

A Section 1985 Annual Contraction of the Contractio