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## CAPITAL FLOWS TO LATIN AMERICA Second Quarter of 2004*

During the second quarter, the prospect of an unexpected U.S. interest rate increase weakened investors' appetite for risk, and capital flows to Latin America faded in response. Latin American spreads increased by 71 basis points during the quarter, up to 607 bps at the end of June, from 536 bps at the end of March. Quarterly issuance in Latin America also suffered, dropping to US\$4.7 billion, a level not seen since the last quarter of 2002. As a result, Latin America moved behind Eastern Europe, which issued US $\$ 6.3$ billion or $39 \%$ of total emerging market issuance, compared to $29 \%$ for Latin America. Moreover, most of the major Latin American stock prices indices fell. The MSCI Latin American Index, for example, fell by $9.2 \%$ during the quarter.

Nevertheless, the increase of U.S. interest rates does not seem to have had a negative effect on economic conditions in many Latin American countries so far. The trade surplus in Brazil is higher than anticipated, the economies in Mexico and Peru are expected to grow by $4.5 \%$ this year, $0.5 \%$ higher than anticipated and the Venezuelan economy continues to be boosted by high oil prices.

Some Latin American countries, however, are concerned about how they will meet their financial needs. Argentina's debt proposal fell short in its efforts to satisfy investors and the country has not yet succeeded to meet its commitments on fiscal reforms, sector regulations and public bank reviews. The Colombian government has not improved the fiscal situation of the country and Ecuador continues to show negative fiscal figures. ${ }^{1}$

In spite of these concerns, the global situation in Latin America looks brighter than anticipated. After the initial shock in April and May, investors became more confident about the Fed's commitment to limit volatility in the market by increasing


[^0]slowly and gradually its short-term interest rate. Spreads started to decrease in June in most of the major Latin American economies and Latin American stocks also began to recover.

## 1. Bond Markets and Debt Management

Chart 1
Spreads on JP Morgan EMBI+ and Latin American Composites January 2003 to June 2004 (without Argentina)

*The Colombia $75 / 8 \%$ due 07 and the Colombia $85 / 8 \%$ due 08 were added at the end of May 99.
Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from "Emerging Markets Bond Index Monitor", JP Morgan.
Spreads increased for all major Latin American markets during the second quarter, except for Venezuela, whose spreads tightened by 20 bps . Argentina and Ecuador showed the biggest spread differentials; their spreads increased by 315 bps and 251 bps respectively (see Chart 2).

Mexico remained overweight in investors' portfolios, since the economy continued to surprise, with an expected growth of $4.5 \%$. Colombia and Peru remained neutral, while Ecuador moved from underweight to neutral. Venezuela finally was downgraded from overweight to neutral because of the impossibility to predict the result of the referendum vote. ${ }^{2}$

According to the Emerging Markets Traders Association (EMTA) ${ }^{3}$, the emerging market trade volume declined to US\$997 billion in the second quarter of 2004, a $5 \%$ drop

[^1]from the $\$ 1.046$ trillion total of the first quarter. This also represents an $8 \%$ decrease compared to the $\$ 1.086$ trillion reported during the second quarter of 2003.

Brazilian debt instruments were the most frequently traded for the second consecutive quarter. With a reported total of US $\$ 325$ billion, Brazilian instruments represented $33 \%$ of total emerging markets trading. This is a $9 \%$ increase from the first quarter and a $21 \%$ increase from the second quarter of 2003. Brazilian local instruments led the increase in Brazil's debt trading, rising from US\$43 billion during the first quarter to US\$126 billion during the second (a 197\% increase).

Mexican debt instruments represented $21 \%$ of total emerging market trading. While still the second most frequently traded behind Brazil, Mexico's debt trading declined by $17 \%$ in the second quarter, from US $\$ 246$ billion in the first quarter to US $\$ 204$ billion. Mexican local instruments fell $24 \%$ from US $\$ 177$ billion to US $\$ 134$ billion.

Argentina's were the fifth most traded debt instruments, amounting to US\$46 billion in trading, up from US $\$ 35$ billion during the first quarter. Finally, with US $\$ 27$ billion traded, Venezuela represented $3 \%$ of total EM volumes, despite a $21 \%$ fall from the first quarter (see Chart 3).

Chart 3
Emerging Markets Debt Trading Volume: Country Shares Trade Volume in Q2: US\$ 997 billion


Source: EMTA

At US\$463 billion, Eurobond trading volume showed a $5 \%$ reduction compared with the US $\$ 486$ billion of the first quarter, representing $46 \%$ of total volume. The Brazilian 2040 bond was the most frequently traded Eurobond, with US\$54 billion, while the Venezuelan 2027 bond attained the fourth spot with US\$8 billion traded. Sovereign issuances represented $85 \%$ of Eurobond trading.

Finally, Brady Bonds accounted for $8 \%$ of overall emerging market trading, slightly declining to US\$80 billion in the second quarter (from US\$86 billion during the first quarter).

## A. Spreads

Emerging market spreads widened slightly during the second quarter, with the EMBI + increasing by 61 bps . The downward trend started in October 2002 ended abruptly in April 2004, as appetite for risk faded and investors turned toward mature market bonds. Increases in spreads were especially severe during April and May (see Chart 4), because of the price readjustment of credit risk and uncertainty about the growth prospects of emerging markets, combined with the fact that the political risk in some important emerging markets was increasing. By the end of May 2004, the emerging market spreads
were 125 bps higher than their lowest point during January, although the situation improved in June as investors became more comfortable with the idea that the Fed would limit market volatility by increasing its rates gradually.

Chart 4
Spreads on JP Morgan EMEl+ and Latin American Composites Q2 2004
(without Argentina)


Source: ECLAC, onthebasisof datafrom "EmergingMarket sBond Index Monitor",JP Morgan.

The Latin American component of the EMBI+ increased by 90 bps in the second quarter, from 536 bps at the end of March to 626 bps at the end of June. It followed the same path of the EMBI+, and after a steady increase in April and May, it slowed down in June. Spreads widened for all Latin American countries in our sample, except Venezuela (see Chart 5).



Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from JP Morgan.

In the case of Ecuador, spreads widened by 251 bps in the second quarter. They increased by 224 bps in April, the largest monthly increase in the quarter, as the government continued to look for financing sources to cover its US\$535 million deficit. The situation improved marginally in May, but spreads increased again in June as the country's financial situation worsened, underscored by a Congressional vote on a nonbudgeted hike in the minimum pension. Furthermore, the exceptional financing expected from multilateral agencies did not materialize.

Brazil was also hit by the April-May diversion of capital flows to mature markets, partly because of its large fiscal deficit and increased doubts about its public sector solvency. Spreads in Brazil peaked at 800 bps on May 10, almost twice as high as its January low, although it fell back to 700 bps by the end of May.

Spreads in Colombia and Peru improved in June after rising substantially in April and May. Fundamentals have been relatively good in both countries, although there has been some concern about Colombia's 2005 financial needs and Peru's political situation. Mexican spreads remained relatively stable increasing by only 32 bps during the second quarter, as growth forecasts showed a better picture than expected. Finally, Venezuela experienced the only spread reduction in the second quarter among the main Latin American markets, moving from 667 bps at the end of March to 647 at the end of June. The Electoral Council finally announced that the referendum to recall President Chavez would be held on August 15, what temporarily reassured investors.

## B. Issuance

According to Merrill Lynch, emerging market issuance in the second quarter of 2004 fell to US $\$ 17.6$ billion from US $\$ 24.6$ billion in the first quarter. This reduction was expected because the first quarter issuances were boosted by expectations of an interest rate rise during the second quarter.

Latin American issuance, following the same pattern, also decreased in the second quarter, with bond issuers placing a total of US\$5 billion in international capital markets, a sharp decrease from the almost US\$12 billion issued in the first quarter (see chart 7).

Primary market access became more difficult in late April, but improved towards

Latin American Issuance by Quarter
 the end of June, when Brazil launched a well received US $\$ 750$ million five-year floating rate note (FRN). According to IMF's Global Financial Stability Report, "the FRN capitalized on the growing appetite of investors for protection against rising interest rates. Sovereign and corporate issuers in Chile, Mexico and Venezuela also issued FRNs." ${ }^{4}$

Mexico took a proactive stance with respect to its liability management process in the second quarter. At the end of April, the country completed the first debt exchange by an emerging market of global bonds for other global bonds. ${ }^{5}$ The Mexican government exchanged portions of three older bonds (maturing in 2019, 2022 and 2026) with a market value of US $\$ 3.05$ billion and a face value of US $\$ 2.34$ billion (see Appendix C 1 ), for US $\$ 2.87$ billion of two newer bonds (maturing in 2014 and 2033), which actually were already-existing issues that were reopened. Through the exchange Mexico was able to generate savings of US\$50 million in net present value terms and to extend the average maturity of the exchanged debt by almost 4 years. The exchange was well received by investors and analysts, and by offering investors an opportunity to trade out of these older bonds, it actually contributed to lower issuance costs in the future. For countries with similar favorable conditions, a bond exchange similar to Mexico's could lead to savings in the present value of outstanding debt and lower the cost of subsequent debt issues.

Latin American issuance was partly low because of repayment of outstanding international debts by borrowers. Mexican and Brazilian corporations reimbursed US\$2 billion and US\$1.1 billion of their outstanding international debt respectively, while Brazil refinanced only US\$750 million of a US\$2 billion matured bond, repaying the remainder with its foreign exchange reserves.

With 39.9\% of total emerging market issuance year to date (ytd), Latin America continued to have the largest share of total net issuance in 2004, just ahead of Central and

[^2]Eastern Europe (CEE), which issued US\$7 billion in the second quarter and has a share of $37.9 \%$ of total EM issuance ytd (Chart 8).

Brazil, Mexico and Venezuela were the biggest issuers during the quarter, with as much as $86.4 \%$ of Latin American issuance.


Source: Merrill Lynch, Emerging Debt Markets Monthly Issuance was nearly divided in half between corporates and sovereign. Corporate issuance was US\$2.29 billion dollars, which represented 44\% of Latin American issuance, while sovereign issuance amounted to US $\$ 2.91$ billion or $56 \%$ of Latin American issuance. Among the principal sovereign issuers were the governments of Brazil, Mexico and Venezuela, amounting to US\$750 million, US\$535 million and US\$1 billion respectively, while Mexico's Pemex issued US $\$ 1.5$ billion (see appendix C).

## II. Portfolio Equity Flows into Latin America

During the second quarter of 2004, Latin American stocks lost $9.2 \%$ of its value according to the Morgan Stanley's MSCI (Morgan Stanley Capital International) Index. ${ }^{6}$ The decrease in the MSCI EM Latin American Index was mostly driven by the decline of the Stock Price Index in Peru, Brazil and Argentina, which fell by $15.4 \%, 12.8 \%$ and $18.1 \%$ respectively. Among the seven biggest Latin American economies, only Venezuela saw an increase of its Stock Price Index, which moved up by 7\%, thanks to the historic high oil prices.

It is worth to point out that the Latin American equity markets were especially hit during April and May, with the Latin American Index falling by $12 \%$. The Index reached its lowest peak so far this year (942.2) on May 10, compared to 1169.6 at the end of the first quarter, a $19.4 \%$ fall. This decline coincided with a broad sell off in global financial markets, in part due to the prospect that U.S. interest rates would increase sooner than later. ${ }^{?}$

| Table 1 <br> Variation of the Stock Price Indexes March $31^{\text {st }}$ to June, $30^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Latin America | -9.2\% |
| Argentina | -18.1\% |
| Brazil | -12.8\% |
| Chile | -2.2\% |
| Colombia | -4.6\% |
| Mexico | -5.7\% |
| Peru | -15.4\% |
| Venezuela | 7\% |

Favorable earning prospects and strengthened credit quality boosted asset prices in mature and emerging markets from 2003 to the first quarter of 2004, but price increase

[^3]
quarter ${ }^{9}$.
was also partially due to speculations that short-time U.S. interest rates would stay at a low level. Consequently, market movements since March 2004 reflected a partial unwinding of these speculative positions. ${ }^{8}$ In addition, the increase in risk aversion ignited by the March attacks in Madrid appeared to also have weighed on stock markets during the second

## III. Bank Lending

Latin America experienced a net outflow of US\$9.1 billion for the first quarter of 2004, according to the latest available information on actual bank lending. ${ }^{10}$ This eighth consecutive net outflow resulted from the US $\$ 13.4$ billion increase in deposits placed abroad by Latin American borrowers, which was higher than the US $\$ 4.3$ billion in new credits. The increase of claims in the region in the first quarter ended a period of 10 consecutive quarterly declines, raising the stock of claims to US $\$ 272.3$ billion compared to US $\$ 266.7$ at the end of December 2003. At the same time, liabilities increased to US\$285.8 from US\$ 273.1 billion, an increase of US $\$ 12.7$ billion.

Table 2
Cross-border bank flows to Latin America

| Exchange rate adjusted changes in amounts outstanding, in billions of US\$ dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banks | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |  |  | 2004 | Stocks at |
|  | Position* | Year | Year | Year | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | end-march 2004 |
| Latin America | Claims | -3.5 | -26.3 | -15.8 | -11.4 | -7.9 | -0.9 | -0.1 | -4.4 | -10.3 | 4.3 | 272.3 |
|  | Liabilities | -1.9 | -26.9 | 25.0 | -8.5 | -8.2 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 13.4 | 285.8 |
| Argentina | Claims | -5.8 | -11.8 | -8.5 | -4.5 | -2.3 | -1.9 | 0.9 | -5.4 | -2.1 | -2.5 | 21.2 |
|  | Liabilities | -16.7 | -0.1 | -0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | -2.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 25.0 |
| Brazil | Claims | 0.9 | -11.2 | -7.2 | -3.5 | -6.3 | 2.2 | -1.7 | 1.4 | -9.1 | 1.8 | 85.5 |
|  | Liabilities | 0.4 | -8.0 | 14.4 | -1.4 | -4.3 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 7.9 | -3.4 | 4.9 | 61.7 |
| Chile | Claims | 0.2 | 0.5 | 22.4 | -0.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 22.1 |
|  | Liabilities | -1.0 | -1.1 | -2.6 | -0.8 | 0.3 | -1.0 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.3 | 1.4 | 15.6 |
| Mexico | Claims | 2.0 | 3.1 | -0.8 | -1.9 | 0.0 | -0.5 | -0.1 | 0.8 | -0.9 | 6.4 | 71.6 |
|  | Liabilities | 8.8 | -11.4 | 6.2 | -0.3 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 2.2 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 3.1 | 65.1 |
| Venezuela | Claims | -0.4 | 1.1 | -1.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | -0.5 | -0.5 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -0.2 | 13.9 |
|  | Liabilities | -0.1 | 0.5 | -3.6 | -0.6 | 0.9 | -2.5 | -1.3 | -0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 29.1 |

Source: BIS Quarterly Review, September 2004

* External on-balance sheet positions of banks in the BIS reporting area. Liabilities mainly comprise deposits.

An increase in claims represents an inflow into Latin American economies; an increase in liabilities an outflow.

[^4]The net outflows from Latin America have been mostly driven by movement vis-àvis Brazil and Argentina. Banks in Brazil have deposited US $\$ 4.9$ billion abroad, accompanying a rise in foreign exchange reserves. Although these movements have been partially offset by purchases of international debt securities issued by the banking sector, the growth in deposits led to an outflow of US\$3.1 billion. In Argentina, the decrease in credit to the banking sector probably reflected the continued write-down of loan positions following the country's default (Table 2).

During the second quarter of 2004, the overall volume of announced syndicated lending for Latin American and the Caribbean reached US $\$ 5.3$ billion, about one-fifth of the total borrowed by emerging market entities (US $\$ 27.8$ billion), and an increase of US $\$ 2.1$ billion from the US $\$ 3.2$ billion borrowed during the first quarter.


Table 3
Announced syndicated lending and securities issuance (in billions of US dollars)

|  | Syndicated Credit Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001Q4 | 2002Q1 | 2002Q2 | 200203 | 2002Q4 | 2003Q1 | 2003 Q2 | 2003 Q3 | 2003 Q4 | 2004 Q1 | 2004 Q2 |
| Latin America | 8.8 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 3.2 | 5.3 |
| Argentina | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | - |
| Brazil | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | - | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Chile | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Colombia | 0.1 | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.3 |
| Mexico | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 3.6 |
| Venezuela | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | - |

Source: BIS Quarterly Review, September 2004

[^5]
## IV. Prospects

In the second quarter, the driving force behind movements in capital markets was the prospect that U.S. interest rates would start to rise sooner than expected. International investors reacted strongly to the release of some macroeconomic indicators, such as the positive data concerning the American labor market. Anxiety that higher-than-expected new job creation in the United States would lead to an accelerated tightening in policy interest rates escalated. Moreover, risk aversion increased sharply in April and May, with investors dramatically reducing their market exposures. This lead to a broad sell-off in global financing markets in April and early May, leading to major price drops in government bonds, emerging market debts and equity markets. Emerging markets bond spreads increased as a result. Uncertainty about growth prospects for some emerging countries also affected financial flows.

However, in spite of these concerns, towards the end of the quarter investors became more confident about the Fed's commitment to increase its short-term interest rate slowly and gradually in order to limit volatility. Spreads started to decrease in June in most of the major Latin American economies and Latin American stocks also began to recover.

## APPENDIX

A. Credit Ratings in Latin America
B. Latin American Spreads
C. New Latin American Debt Issuance

## A. Credit Ratings

Table 1:

| Credit Ratings in Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Moody's |  | S\&P |  | Recent Moody's Action |  | Recent S\&P Action |  |
|  | Rating | View | Rating | View | Action | Date | Action | Date |
| Argentina | Caa1 | - | SD |  | Upgrade, stable | 20-Aug-03 | Downgrade | 6-Nov-01 |
| Barbados | Baa2 | - | A- |  | Upgrade, stable | 8 -Feb-00 | Affirmed, stable | 2-May-02 |
| Bolivia | Caa1 | - | B. | -0 | Downgrade, stable | 16-Apr-03 | Downgrade, O/L $(-)$ | 20-Oct-03 |
| Brazil | B2 | - | B+ | 0 | Downgrade, stable | 12-Aug-02 | Affirmed, OLL (+) | 13-Jan-04 |
| Chile | Baa1 | - | A |  | Affirmed, stable | 1-Mar-00 | Upgrade, stable | 14-Jan-04 |
| Colombia | Ba2 | $\infty$ | BB | - | O/L changed to ( - ) | 27-Mar-02 | Affirmed, stable | 24-Dec-03 |
| Costa Rica | Ba1 | 00 | BB | 00 | Of changed to $(-)$ | 16-Apr-03 | Affirmed, O/L (-) | 24-Jun-04 |
| Cuba | Caal | - | nr | - |  |  |  |  |
| Dominican Repubic | B3 | $\bigcirc 0$ | CC | $\bigcirc$ | Downgrade $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{L}(-)$ | 30-Jan-04 | Affirmed, O/L (-) | 5-Feb-04 |
| Ecuador | Caal | - | CCC+ | - | Upgrade, stable | 24-Feb-04 | Affirmed, stable | 23-Dec-03 |
| El Salvador | Baa3 |  | BB+ | - | O/L changed to $(-)$ | 18-Dec-03 | Affirmed, stable | 7-Jun-04 |
| Guatemala | Ba 2 | - | BE- | - | Affirmed, stable | 1-Mar-00 | Affirmed, stable | 30-Jul-03 |
| Honduras | B2 | - | nr | - | Affirmed, stable | 3-Feb-00 |  |  |
| Jamaica | B1 | - | B | 00 | Downgrade, stable | 27-May-03 | Downgrade, O/L (-) | 28-Jul-03 |
| Mexico | Baa2 | 0 | BBB- | - | O/L changed to ( ${ }^{+}$) | 12-Mar-03 | Affirmed, stable | 11-May-04 |
| Nicaragua | B2 | - | nr | - | Affirmed, stable | 30-Mar-00 |  |  |
| Panama | Ba1 | - | BB | 00 | Affirmed, stable | 57/2003 | O/L changed to ( - ) | 10-Mar-03 |
| Paraguay | Caa1 | - | so | 00 | Downgrade, stable | 28-Apr-03 | Affirmed, O/L (-) | 27-Apr-04 |
| Peru | Ba3 | - | BB | - | Affirmed, stable | 28-Oct-02 | Upgrade, stable | 8-Jun-04 |
| Trinidad \& Tobago | Baa3 | - | BBB + | 0 | Affirmed, stable | 30-Aug-00 | Upgrade, O/L (-) | 16-Jun-04 |
| Uruguay | B3 | 00 | B- | - | Affirmed, O/L ( - ) | 9-May-03 | Affirmed, stable | 11-Jun-03 |
| Venezuela | Caa1 | . | B- | - | $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{L}$ changed to stable | 27-May-03 | Upgrade, stable | 30-Jul-03 |

- stable outlook; o positive outlook; oo negative outlook

Note: Moody's ratings are qualified by outlooks and reviews while S\&P ratings are qualified by outlooks and watches.
A review/watch is indicative of a likely short-term development.
An outlook suggests that a review/watch or long/intermediate-term movement is likely.
Source: JP Morgan, Emerging Markets Outlook, July 1, 2004.


## B. Latin American Spreads

## Table 2:

|  | EMBI + | Argentina | Brazil | Colombia* | Ecuador | Mexico | Pers | Venezuela | Latin America |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31-Jul-98 | 633 | 454 | 608 | n.a. | 1371 | 461 | 515 | 829 | 554 |
| 31-Aug-98 | 1524 | 1278 | 1421 | n.a. | 2077 | 941 | 941 | 2575 | 1328 |
| 30-Sep-98 | 1330 | 904 | 1326 | n.a. | 1903 | 911 | 911 | 1558 | 1111 |
| 31-Oct-98 | 1190 | 779 | 1192 | n.a. | 1484 | 819 | 755 | 1372 | 980 |
| 30-Nov-98 | 1070 | 664 | 975 | n.a. | 1221 | 737 | 610 | 1612 | 858 |
| 31-Dec-98 | 1151 | 707 | 1231 | n.a. | 1631 | 741 | 612 | 1283 | 941 |
| 31-Jan-99 | 1288 | 858 | 1507 | n.a. | 2055 | 801 | 743 | 1463 | 1106 |
| 28-Feb-99 | 1330 | 794 | 1376 | n.a. | 2405 | 722 | 663 | 1393 | 1028 |
| 31-Mar-99 | 1171 | 683 | 1041 | n.a. | 1973 | 600 | 562 | 1121 | 839 |
| 30-Apr-99 | 1010 | 596 | 873 | n.a. | 1553 | 532 | 396 | 789 | 709 |
| 28-May-99 | 1157 | 786 | 1066 | 671 | 1862 | 647 | 603 | 1108 | 880 |
| 30-Jun-99 | 1070 | 758 | 957 | 667 | 2113 | 623 | 609 | 896 | 832 |
| 30-Jul-99 | 1147 | 853 | 1053 | 691 | 2473 | 677 | 610 | 1024 | 919 |
| 31-Aug-99 | 1166 | 776 | 1124 | 700 | 3402 | 644 | 700 | 1174 | 931 |
| 30-Sep-99 | 1098 | 663 | 984 | 613 | 4764 | 596 | 635 | 925 | 823 |
| 29-Oct-99 | 1010 | 635 | 851 | 505 | 3705 | 535 | 613 | 836 | 743 |
| 30-Nov-99 | 927 | 650 | 806 | 549 | 3093 | 449 | 526 | 940 | 715 |
| 31-Dec-99 | 824 | 533 | 636 | 423 | 3353 | 363 | 443 | 844 | 597 |
| 31-Jan-00 | 904 | 594 | 758 | 482 | 4033 | 438 | 482 | 894 | 682 |
| 20-Feb-00 | 816 | 551 | 688 | 524 | 3227 | 364 | 432 | 792 | 616 |
| 31-Mar-00 | 798 | 568 | 679 | 547 | 3111 | 354 | 518 | 879 | 623 |
| 28-Apr-00 | 708 | 572 | 742 | 740 | 3350 | 385 | 512 | 952 | 654 |
| 31-May-00 | 784 | 702 | 792 | 739 | 4499 | 438 | 611 | 985 | 737 |
| 30-Jun-00 | 712 | 676 | 722 | 722 | 3926 | 381 | 546 | 895 | 679 |
| 31-Jul-00 | 680 | 650 | 712 | 662 | 2846 | 353 | 522 | 837 | 654 |
| 31-Aug-00 | 643 | 681 | 672 | 686 | 1340 | 321 | 496 | 780 | 618 |
| 29-Sep-00 | 677 | 675 | 705 | 722 | 1261 | 318 | 664 | 798 | 634 |
| 31-Oct-00 | 745 | 815 | 758 | 768 | 1331 | 365 | 759 | 860 | 707 |
| 30-Nov-00 | 805 | 879 | 829 | 818 | 1441 | 385 | 772 | 902 | 759 |
| 29-Dec-00 | 756 | 773 | 749 | 755 | 1415 | 392 | 687 | 958 | 706 |
| 31-Jan-01 | 674 | 663 | 677 | 697 | 1230 | 363 | 674 | 838 | 631 |
| 28-Feb-01 | 748 | 803 | 753 | 646 | 1268 | 428 | 637 | 850 | 710 |
| 30-Mar-01 | 784 | 960 | 811 | 645 | 1366 | 414 | 650 | 874 | 763 |
| 30-Apr-01 | 773 | 1039 | 812 | 634 | 1482 | 366 | 824 | 833 | 766 |
| 31-May-01 | 751 | 993 | 858 | 600 | 1366 | 326 | 774 | 852 | 761 |
| 29-Jun-01 | 766 | 1050 | 847 | 541 | 1303 | 310 | 632 | 847 | 803 |
| 31-Jul-01 | 940 | 1599 | 972 | 585 | 1454 | 360 | 661 | 925 | 1016 |
| 31-Aug-01 | 885 | 1430 | 954 | 540 | 1411 | 354 | 601 | 916 | 959 |
| 28-Sep-01 | 1005 | 1615 | 1165 | 626 | 1516 | 431 | 669 | 995 | 1103 |
| 31-Oct-01 | 1073 | 2162 | 1163 | 628 | 1558 | 412 | 651 | 1034 | 1055 |
| 30-Nov-01 | 1069 | 3372 | 976 | 545 | 1393 | 357 | 572 | 1055 | 1119 |
| 31-Dec-01 | 731 | 4372 | 863 | 514 | 1233 | 308 | 521 | 1130 | 711 |
| 31-Jan-02 | 713 | 4379 | 856 | 586 | 1144 | 304 | 468 | 1254 | 837 |
| 28-Feb-02 | 644 | 4276 | 785 | 651 | 1147 | 272 | 474 | 1046 | 765 |
| 28-Mar-02 | 598 | 5062 | 718 | 536 | 1037 | 251 | 419 | 890 | 713 |
| 30-Apr-02 | 619 | 5004 | 849 | 578 | 1000 | 255 | 492 | 873 | 763 |
| 31-May-02 | 650 | 5979 | 981 | 567 | 1184 | 265 | 512 | 933 | 829 |
| 28-Jun-02 | 799 | 7074 | 1548 | 613 | 1262 | 323 | 628 | 1111 | 1063 |
| 31-Jul-02 | 991 | 7008 | 2341 | 930 | 1780 | 390 | 865 | 1226 | 1350 |
| 30-Aug-02 | 886 | 6430 | 1630 | 898 | 1704 | 360 | 774 | 1028 | 1131 |
| 30-Sep-02 | 1041 | 6553 | 2395 | 1084 | 1975 | 436 | 880 | 1162 | 1399 |
| 31-Oct-02 | 862 | 6192 | 1742 | 841 | 1854 | 372 | 742 | 1068 | 1153 |
| 29-Nov-02 | 778 | 6240 | 1606 | 694 | 1696 | 311 | 636 | 943 | 1054 |
| 31-Dec-02 | 765 | 6391 | 1446 | 645 | 1801 | 331 | 610 | 1127 | 1007 |
| 31-Jan-03 | 730 | 6022 | 1319 | 703 | 1524 | 329 | 613 | 1275 | 977 |
| 28-Feb-03 | 707 | 6736 | 1182 | 676 | 1522 | 324 | 547 | 1406 | 943 |
| 31-Mar-03 | 671 | 6165 | 1048 | 602 | 1372 | 291 | 478 | 1412 | 869 |
| 30-Apr-03 | 576 | 5225 | 822 | 465 | 1103 | 227 | 407 | 1215 | 727 |
| 31-May-03 | 553 | 5343 | 799 | 483 | 1128 | 236 | 443 | 1056 | 707 |
| 30-Jun-03 | 547 | 4554 | 801 | 451 | 1178 | 237 | 491 | 1002 | 697 |
| 31-Jul-03 | 532 | 5046 | 801 | 452 | 1147 | 230 | 462 | 828 | 678 |
| 31-Aug-03 | 504 | 4882 | 703 | 421 | 1153 | 220 | 383 | 820 | 639 |
| 30-Sep-03 | 506 | 5484 | 698 | 478 | 1121 | 212 | 355 | 828 | 641 |
| 31-Oct-03 | 470 | 5752 | 605 | 490 | 978 | 202 | 304 | 742 | 595 |
| 28-Nov-03 | 455 | 6260 | 533 | 460 | 910 | 208 | 319 | 693 | 570 |
| 31-Dec-03 | 418 | 5632 | 463 | 431 | 799 | 199 | 312 | 593 | 521 |
| 30-Jan-04 | 432 | 5764 | 493 | 430 | 714 | 204 | 343 | 641 | 536 |
| 27-Feb-04 | 449 | 5815 | 579 | 426 | 762 | 189 | 356 | 733 | 568 |
| 31-Mar-04 | 432 | 4873 | 559 | 379 | 701 | 183 | 343 | 667 | 536 |
| 30-Apr-04 | 478 | 4628 | 663 | 443 | 925 | 201 | 393 | 692 | 598 |
| 28-May-04 | 508 | 4964 | 701 | 523 | 909 | 208 | 473 | 666 | 626 |
| 30-Jun-04 | 493 | 5188 | 650 | 486 | 952 | 215 | 439 | 647 | 607 |
|  | EMBI+ | Argentina | Brazil | Colombia* | Ecuador | Mexico | Peru | Venezuela | Latin America |

Source: "Emerging Markets Bond Index Monitors"; JP Morgan
*The Colombia $75 / 8 \%$ due 07 and the Colombia $85 / 8 \%$ due 08 were added at the end of May 99.
EMBI+ composition by market sector (end-June 2004): Brady, 25.27\%; Benchmark Eurobonds, 74.16\%; Loans, $0.56 \%$
by country: Brazil and Mexico account for $43.10 \%$ of the totat weighting
by region: Latin: $59.54 \%$; Non-Latin: $40.46 \%$.

# EMBI+ Composition (as of June 2004) 

Others:
Bulgaria: 1.76
Ecuador: 1.61
South Africa: 1,58 Panama: 1,32


Poland: 1.39 Ukraine: 1.04 Nigeria: 0.69 Egypt: 0.67 Morocco: 0.56

## C. Latin American Debt Issuance

## C1. April 2004

| New Latin American Debt Issuance Second Quarter of 2004 Apr-04 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Issuer | Amount US\$ (mm) | Maturity |
| Brazil | Banco Bradesco SA | 270 | 15-Apr-14 |
| Brazil | Banco Alfa de Investimento SA | 35 | 29-Dec-06 |
| Venezuela | Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela | 1,000 | 20-Apr-11 |
| Argentina | Petrobras Energia SA | 100 | 30-Oct-13 |
| Mexico | United Mexican States | 815 | 15-Jan-14 |
| Mexico | United Mexican States | 2,055 | 8-Apr-33 |
| Mexico | United Mexican States | -2,335 |  |
| Mexico | America Movil SA de CV | 300 | 27-Apr-07 |
| Jamaica | Republic of Jamaica | 125 | 20-Jun-17 |
| Total |  | 2,365 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from Merrill Lynch, "Emerging Markets Daily".

## April average maturity: 17.19 years.

| Currency Breakdown <br> (\% of Latin America's Total) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Currency | Apr-04 |
| Dollar | $88.60 \%$ |
| Euro | $11.40 \%$ |
| Yen | $0.00 \%$ |
| GBP | $0.00 \%$ |

## Issuer Type Breakdown

(\% of Latin America's Total)

| Issuer Type | Apr-04 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sovereign* | $70.20 \%$ |
| Corporate** | $29.80 \%$ |
| *Also includes state owned enterprises, |  |
| city and regional governments |  |
| (sovereign-supported and sub-sovereigr |  |
| **Also includes bank issuance. |  |


| New Latin American Debt lssuance Second Quarter of 2004 May-04 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Issuer | Amount US\$ (mm) | Maturity |
| Peru | Republic of Peru | 500 | 3-May-16 |
| Brazil | CP Cimento Participaco | 30 | 10-May-06 |
| Total |  | 530 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from Merrill Lynch, "Emerging Markets Daily".

## May average maturity: $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 3}$ years.

| Currency Breakdown <br> (\% of Latin America's Total) <br> Currency |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dollar | $100.00 \%$ |
| Euro | $0.00 \%$ |
| Yen | $0.00 \%$ |
| GBP | $0.00 \%$ |

Issuer Type Breakdown
(\% of Latin America's Total)

| Issuer Type | May-04 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sovereign* | $94.34 \%$ |
| Corporate** | $5.66 \%$ |
| *Also includes state owned enterprises, |  |
| city and regional governments |  |
| (sovereign-supported and sub-sovereign issues). |  |
| **Also includes bank issuance. |  |

## C3. June 2004

| New Latin American Debt Issuance <br> Second Quarter of 2004 <br> Jun-04 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Country | Issuer | Amount US \$ (mm) | Maturity |
| Brazil | Republic of Brazil | 750 | 29-Jun-09 |
| Brazil | Banco ABN Amro Real SA | 50 | 1 -Jun-05 |
| Mexico | Pemex | 1,500 | $15-$ Jun-10 |
| Total |  | 2,300 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from Merrill Lynch, "Emerging Markets Daily".
June average maturity: 5.57 years.

| Currency Breakdown <br> (\% of Latin America's Total) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Currency | Jun-04 |
| Dollar | $100.00 \%$ |
| Euro | $0.00 \%$ |
| Yen | $0.00 \%$ |
| GBP | $0.00 \%$ |

Issuer Type Breakdown
(\% of Latin America's Total)

| Issuer Type | Jun-04 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sovereign" | $32.61 \%$ |
| Corporate** | $67.39 \%$ |
| "Also includes state owned enterprises, |  |
| city and regional governments |  |
| (sovereign-supported and sub-sovereign issues). |  |
| "*Also includes bank issuance. |  |


[^0]:    * This document has been prepared on the basis of market views and developments. All data and information are from market sources, unless otherwise noted.
    ${ }^{1}$ J.P. Morgan, Emerging Markets Outlook and Strategy, July 1, 2004.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ J.P Morgan, Emerging Markets Outlook and Strategy, July 1, 2004.
    ${ }^{3}$ Emerging Markets Traders Association, EMTA Survey, August 18, 2004

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ IMF, Global Financial Stability Report", September 2004.
    ${ }^{5}$ Global bonds are contrasted with Brady Bonds, which are restructured debt. Mexico was also the first emerging market country to exchange global for Brady bonds, and to include collective action clause (CACs) for global bonds issued under U.S. law.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ The MSCI EM (Emerging Markets) Latin America Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure equity market performance in Latin America. As of June 2004 the MSCI EM Latin America Index consisted of the following 7 emerging market country indices: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Peru and Venezuela.
    ${ }^{7}$ BIS Quarterly Review, June 2004

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ IMF Financial Market Update, June 2004.
    ${ }^{9}$ BIS Quarterly Review, June 2004.
    ${ }^{10}$ BIS Quarterly review, September 2004.

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Syndicated credits data are not necessarily a reliable proxy for future bank lending. The syndicated credits are gross announcements of loan facilities (i.e. loan commitments, which do not need to be drawn fully or immediately), while changes in amounts outstanding in the BIS data are driven mainly by net new lending (actual disbursements). See Blaise Gadanecz and Karsten von Kleist (202): "Do syndicated credits anticipate BIS consolidated banking data?" BIS Quarterly Review, April 2004, pp 65-74.

