## Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean

UNITED NATIONS

## Thank you for your interest in this ECLAC publication



Please register if you would like to receive information on our editorial products and activities. When you register, you may specify your particular areas of interest and you will gain access to our products in other formats.

## Register



## www.cepal.org/en/suscripciones

## in2017

## Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean

UNited NATIONS


## Alicia Bárcena

Executive Secretary
Mario Cimoli
Deputy Executive Secretary a.i.

## Daniel Titelman

Chief, Economic Development Division
Ricardo Pérez
Chief, Publications and Web Services Division

The Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean is an annual publication prepared by the Economic Development Division of the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC). This 2017 edition was prepared under the supervision of Daniel Titelman, Chief of the Division, while Jürgen Weller, Senior Economic Affairs Officer, was responsible for its overall coordination.

In the preparation of this edition, the Economic Development Division was assisted by the Statistics Division, the ECLAC subregional headquarters in Mexico City and Port of Spain, and the Commission's country offices in Bogota, Brasilia, Buenos Aires, Montevideo and Washington, D.C.

The regional report was prepared with inputs provided by the following exerts: Cecilia Vera, Claudia de Camino and José Antonio Sánchez (global economic trends and external sector), Claudio Aravena (economic activity), Ramón Pineda and Alejandra Acevedo (prices and monetary and exchange-rate policies), Jürgen Weller (employment and wages), and Michael Hanni, Juan Pablo Jiménez, Ivonne González and Ignacio Ruelas (fiscal policy). Pablo Carvallo, Cecilia Vera and Claudio Aravena were responsible for the economic projections, with the assistance of the ECLAC subregional headquarters and national offices. Sonia Albornoz, Cristóbal Budnevich, Rodrigo Contreras, Luis Rodrigo Díaz and Luis Méndez collaborated as research assistants and in the preparation of statistical data and graphical presentations.

The country notes are based on studies conducted by the following experts: Olga Lucía Acosta, Dillon Alleyne, Anahí Amar, Martín Brum, Martín Cherkasky, Marcos Chiliatto, Cameron Daneshvar, Claudia de Camino, Randolph Gilbert, Sonia Gontero, Enrique González, Michael Hendrickson, Álvaro Lalanne, Jesús López, Ricardo Mayer, Sheldon McLean, Rodolfo Minzer, Yaddi Miranda, Carlos Mussi, Ramón Padilla, Machel Pantin, Esteban Pérez, Juan Carlos Ramírez, Juan Carlos Rivas, Indira Romero, José Antonio Sánchez, Jesús Santamaría, Nyasha Skerrette and Francisco Villarreal. Michael Hanni and Albert Klein reviewed the Caribbean country notes. Georgina Cipoletta collaborated in the review of the country notes for the Latin American countries.

United Nations publication
ISBN: 978-92-1-121977-7 (print)
ISBN: 978-92-1-058615-3 (pdf)
ISBN: 978-92-1-358076-9 (ePub)
Distr.: General
Sales No.: E.18.II.G. 2
LC/PUB.2017/28-P
Copyright © United Nations, 2018
All rights reserved
Printed at United Nations, Santiago S.17-01282

## Explanatory notes

- Three dots (...) indicate that data are missing, are not available or are not separately reported.
- A dash (-) indicates that the amount is nil or negligible.
- A full stop (.) is used to indicate decimals.
- The word "dollars" refers to United States dollars unless otherwise specified.
- A slash (/) between years (e.g., 2013/2014) indicates a 12 -month period falling between the two years.
- Individual figures and percentages in tables may not always add up to the corresponding total due to rounding.

This publication should be cited as: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean, 2017 (LC/PUB.2017/28-P), Santiago, 2018.

Applications for authorization to reproduce this work in whole or in part should be sent to the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Publications and Web Services Division, publicaciones@cepal.org. Member States and their governmental institutions may reproduce this work without prior authorization, but are requested to mention the source and to inform ECLAC of such reproduction.
Executive summary ..... 7
Chapter I
Global economic trends ..... 15
Global economic growth rose in 2017 ( $2.9 \%$ ) and is also expected to increase in 2018 ( $3.0 \%$ ), albeit in a context of low dynamism in the medium term ..... 17
As the global economy has strengthened, so have international trade volumes, although their growth rates remain below the levels seen before the global financial crisis. ..... 19
Commodity prices are expected to be an average of $13 \%$ higher in 2017 than in 2016; no major changes are expected in 2018, with forecasts indicating average levels similar to those of this year. ..... 20
During 2017, despite some short-lived spikes, financial market volatility has remained low, capital flows into emerging markets have continued and prices of stock market assets have generally been on the increase .....  21
The elimination of some of the monetary stimulus in developed countries could have an impact on the dynamics of exchange rates and relative interest rates ..... 23
Chapter II
Global liquidity ..... 25
Global liquidity showed signs of faster growth in 2017 ..... 27
Global banking has partly recovered from the effects of the global financial crisis. ..... 27
The international bond market is still an important source of financing for emerging economies, including those of Latin America and the Caribbean. ..... 28
The policy of quantitative easing reversal may be a source of risk, albeit limited, for emerging economies, including in Latin America and the Caribbean. ..... 30
Chapter III
The external sector ..... 33
The balance-of-payments current account deficit is forecast to narrow in 2017 owing mainly to Brazil ..... 35
The terms of trade are expected to improve for the region as a whole in 2017 and to remain stable next year ..... 35
The trade balance will improve in 2017 thanks to stronger exports ..... 36
Deficits in the services and income accounts are projected to grow in 2017 while the transfer balance is expected to reflect a bigger surplus thanks to stronger migrant remittance inflows ..... 39
Net financial flows to the region will be slightly lower in 2017 than in 2016, but will be more than enough to finance the smaller current account deficit. ..... 41
External bonds issued by Latin American and Caribbean countries grew by almost 9\% in the first 10 months of 2017 compared with the year-earlier period and posted record volumes since the data series began ..... 42
In 2017, sovereign risk levels in the region maintained the downward trend that began in February 2016. ..... 43
Chapter IV
Economic activity ..... 47
The recovery of economic growth in Latin America is slowly strengthening. ..... 49
Economic activity is expanding, driven by the recovery of domestic demand and export growth. ..... 50
After declining for 13 consecutive quarters and thanks to the rebound in South America, gross fixed capital formation expanded in Latin America, while it fell in Mexico and Central America. ..... 51
Agriculture, commerce and manufacturing are the sectors driving economic growth ..... 53
Chapter V
Domestic prices ..... 55
Inflation has fallen in the region, with clearly differentiated patterns between subregions ..... 57
Food price inflation fell more quickly than core inflation and inflation slowed more rapidly in tradable goods than in non-tradables. ..... 59
Chapter VI
Employment and wages ..... 61
Unemployment rose on account of weak job creation and a rebound in entries into the labour market. ..... 63
There is a slight reduction in differentials in employment and participation between men and women, but not in the unemployment gap ..... 65
Regional wage employment began to grow, but still very slowly, and average job quality fell again ..... 67
Real wages increased moderately in most countries, bolstering household consumption ..... 70
Chapter VII
Macroeconomic policies ..... 73
A. Fiscal policy. ..... 75
Latin America's primary deficit shrank in 2017, but rising interest payments drove up the total deficit in many countries ..... 75
Public debt, measured as a percentage of GDP, was fairly stable in 2017 ..... 76
The upturn in economic activity in South America is reflected in higher tax revenues ..... 80
Fiscal consolidation is mainly taking the form of the containment of public expenditure ..... 82
B. Monetary and exchange-rate policies ..... 86
Differences in monetary policies widen between the region's south and north ..... 86
Lending rates are headed downwards, while domestic credit for the private sector is increasing in Latin America but not in the Caribbean countries .....  88
The region's currencies were more stable in 2017 than in 2016 ..... 90
After the depreciation seen in 2016, the real effective extraregional exchange rate appreciated in 2017 ..... 91
International reserves continue to rise ..... 92
Chapter VIII
Outlook and challenges for 2018 ..... 93
Statistical annex ..... 99
Recent ECLAC publications ..... 133
Tables
Table I. 1 Annual variation in international commodity prices, 2016-2018 ..... 20
Table V. 1 Latin America and the Caribbean: 12-month rates of change in consumer price indices (CPI), October 2015-October 2017 ..... 58
Table VII. 1 Latin America and the Caribbean (19 countries): nominal depreciations and appreciations in dollar exchange rates, annualized variations, 2014-November 2017 ..... 90
Table VIII. 1 Latin America and the Caribbean: annual growth in gross domestic product (GDP), 2012-2018 ..... 97
Table A1.1 Latin America and the Caribbean: main economic indicators. ..... 101
Table A1.2 Latin America and the Caribbean: annual growth rates in gross domestic product ..... 102
Table A1.3 Latin America and the Caribbean: per capita gross domestic product ..... 103
Table A1.4 Latin America and the Caribbean: gross fixed capital formation ..... 104
Table A1.5 Latin America and the Caribbean: balance of payments ..... 105
Table A1.6 Latin America and the Caribbean: international trade of goods ..... 108
Table A1.7 Latin America: terms of trade for goods f.o.b./f.o.b. ..... 109
Table A1.8 Latin America and the Caribbean (selected countries): remittances from emigrant workers ..... 109
Table A1.9 Latin America and the Caribbean: net resource transfer ..... 110
Table A1.10 Latin America and the Caribbean: net foreign direct investment ..... 111
Table A1.11 Latin America and the Caribbean: gross external debt. ..... 112
Table A1.12 Latin America and the Caribbean: sovereign spreads on EMBI+ and EMBI global ..... 113
Table A1.13 Latin America and the Caribbean: sovereign risk premiums on five-year credit default swaps ..... 113
Table A1.14 Latin America and the Caribbean: international bond issues ..... 114
Table A1.15 Latin America and the Caribbean: stock exchange indices. ..... 114
Table A1.16 Latin America and the Caribbean: gross international reserves ..... 115
Table A1.17 Latin America and the Caribbean: real effective exchange rates ..... 116
Table A1.18 Latin America and the Caribbean: participation rate ..... 117
Table A1.19 Latin America and the Caribbean: open urban unemployment. ..... 118
Table A1.20 Latin America and the Caribbean: employment rate ..... 119
Table A1.21 Latin America: real average wages ..... 120
Table A1.22 Latin America and the Caribbean: monetary indicators ..... 121
Table A1.23 Latin America and the Caribbean: domestic credit ..... 124
Table A1.24 Latin America and the Caribbean: monetary policy rates ..... 125
Table A1.25 Latin America and the Caribbean: representative lending rates ..... 126
Table A1.26 Latin America and the Caribbean: consumer prices ..... 127
Table A1.27 Latin America and the Caribbean: fiscal balances. ..... 128
Table A1.28 Latin America and the Caribbean: central government revenues composition ..... 129
Table A1.29 Latin America and the Caribbean: central government expenditure composition. ..... 130
Table A1.30 Latin America and the Caribbean: central government gross public debt. ..... 131
Table A1.31 Latin America and the Caribbean: non-financial public sector gross public debt ..... 132
Figures
Figure I. $1 \quad$ Selected regions and countries: gross domestic product growth, 2016-2018. ..... 17
Figure I. 2 World: year-on-year variation in trade volume, three-month rolling averages, 2003-2017 ..... 19
Figure I. 3 Implied market volatility indices, May 2006 to October 2017 ..... 21
Figure I. 4 12-month cumulative portfolio capital flows to emerging markets, January 2008-July 2017. ..... 22
Figure I. $5 \quad$ Stock market indices (MSCI), 30-day rolling averages, February 2016-November 2017 ..... 22
Figure I. 6 Nominal dollar exchange rate against major world currencies, January 2013-September 2017 ..... 23
Figure II. 1 Rate of change in global liquidity, March 2014-June 2017 ..... 27
Figure II. 2 Return on equity in the financial sector, 2016 ..... 28
Figure II. 3 Foreign-exchange volatility in emerging economies and interest rate spread between emerging and developed economies, January 2000-April 2017. ..... 29
Figure II. 4 Share in total bond issues by developing economies, second quarter 2017 ..... 29
Figure II. $5 \quad$ Yield curve for United States treasury bonds (5-year bonds with respect to 30 year-bonds), December 2016-November 2017 ..... 31
Figure III. 1 Latin America (19 countries): balance-of-payments current account by component, 2007-2017 ..... 35
Figure III. 2 Latin America and the Caribbean (selected countries and country groupings): variation in the terms of trade, 2015-2017 ..... 36
Figure III. 3 Latin America (selected countries and groupings): projected variation in goods exports, by volume and price, 2017 ..... 37
Figure III. 4 Latin America (selected countries and groupings): projected variation in goods imports, by volume and price, 2017 ..... 39
Figure III. 5 Latin America: income balance and energy and non-energy commodity price indices, 1980-2017 ..... 40
Figure III. $6 \quad$ Latin America and the Caribbean (selected countries): year-on-year variation in income from migrant remittances, 2015-2017 ..... 40
Figure III. 7 Latin America (selected countries): net direct investment flows and other financial flows, first quarter of 2008-second quarter of 2017 ..... 41
Figure III. 8 Latin America and the Caribbean: bonds issued in international markets in the first 10 months of each year, 2006-2017 ..... 42
Figure III. 9 Latin America (15 countries): debt issuance in international markets, January-October 2016 and 2017. ..... 43
Figure III. 10 Latin America (13 countries): sovereign risk according to the Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBIG), January 2012-October 2017 ..... 43
Figure IV. 1 Latin America: year-on-year change in quarterly GDP, weighted averages, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017 ..... 49
Figure IV. 2 Latin America: GDP growth rates and contribution by expenditure components to growth, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017 ..... 50
Figure IV. 3 Mexico, Central America and South America: GDP growth rates and contribution by expenditure components to growth, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017 ..... 52
Figure IV. 4 Latin America: changes in gross fixed capital formation on the same quarter the previous year, weighted averages, first quarter of 2014-third quarter of 2017 ..... 53
Figure IV. 5 Latin America: value added growth rates and contribution by economic sectors, first quarter of 2015-second quarter of 2017 ..... 54
Figure V. 1 Latin America and the Caribbean: consumer price index (CPI), weighted average 12-month rates of variation, January 2014-October 2017 ..... 57
Figure V. 2 Latin America and the Caribbean: consumer price index (CPI), weighted average 12-month rates of variation in headline, core, food, goods and services inflation, January 2014-October 2017. ..... 60
Figure VI. 1 Latin America and the Caribbean: contributions of changes in the rates of participation and employment to changes in the urban unemployment rate, 2012-2017 ..... 64
Figure VI. 2 Latin America and the Caribbean (11 countries): urban participation, employment and unemployment rates (rolling years) and year-on-year changes (weighted averages), first quarter of 2014 to third quarter of 2017 ..... 64
Figure VI. 3 Latin America and the Caribbean (18 countries): year-on-year changes in participation, employment and unemployment rates by sex, median values, first three quarters 2017 ..... 66
Figure VI. 4 Latin America and the Caribbean (12 countries): economic growth and job creation dynamics, weighted averages, 2014-2017 ..... 67
Figure VI. 5 Latin America (9 countries): year-on-year variation in registered employment, 2015-2017 ..... 68
Figure VI. $6 \quad$ Latin America and the Caribbean (13 countries): year-on-year variation in employment by sector of activity, median values and weighted averages, first three quarters of 2017 ..... 69
Figure VI. 7 Latin America (10 countries): breakdown of year-on-year variation in real wages by subregions, simple average for 2016 and first three quarters of 2017 ..... 70
Figure VII. 1 Latin America and the Caribbean: overall and primary balances and interest payments, 2015-2017 ..... 75
Figure VII. 2 Latin America and the Caribbean: gross public debt of the central government, 2016-2017 ..... 77
Figure VII. 3 Latin America: gross public debt, 2008-2017. ..... 78
Figure VII. 4 Latin America ( 12 countries): change in the non-financial public sector's and central government's gross public debt, 2016-2017 ..... 79
Figure VII. 5 Latin America and the Caribbean: interest payments on the central government's gross public debt, 2017. ..... 79
Figure VII. 6 Latin America (selected countries): 12-month cumulative year-on-year variation in real value added tax receipts, 2015-2017 ..... 80
Figure VII. 7 Latin America and the Caribbean: breakdown of total central government revenues, 2015-2017 .....  82
Figure VII. $8 \quad$ Latin America (selected countries): 12-month cumulative year-on-year variation in real central government primary expenditure, 2015-2017 ..... 83
Figure VII. 9 Latin America and the Caribbean: breakdown of total central government expenditure, 2015-2017 ..... 84
Figure VII. $10 \quad$ Latin America (selected countries): monetary policy interest rates in countries where they are used as the main policy instrument, January 2013-October 2017 ..... 87
Figure VII. 11 Latin America and the Caribbean (selected country groupings): evolution of the monetary base in countries where aggregates are the main monetary policy instrument, first quarter of 2010-third quarter of 2017 ..... 88
Figure VII. 12 Latin America and the Caribbean (selected country groupings): average lending rates, January 2010-April 2017. ..... 89
Figure VII. 13 Latin America and the Caribbean (selected country groupings): evolution of domestic private-sector credit in real terms, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017 ..... 89
Figure VII. 14 Latin America and the Caribbean: real effective extraregional exchange-rate index, annual averages, by subregion, 2010-2017 ..... 91
Figure VII. 15 Latin America and the Caribbean: international reserves, 2010-2017 ..... 92
Boxes
Box III. 1 Growth of trading partners and Latin American export volumes ..... 37
Box III. 2 Link between terms of trade, capital flows and economic activity in the region. ..... 44

## Executive summary

A. International context
B. External sector
C. Economic activity
D. Employment and wages
E. Macroeconomic policies

1. Fiscal policy
2. Prices and exchange-rate and monetary policies
F. Outlook and challenges for 2018

## A. International context

In 2017, the external context was more favourable in both real and financial terms for Latin America and the Caribbean.

In real terms, the global economy will grow at a rate of 2.9\%, up from 2.4\% in 2016. This improvement in growth took place across the board and benefited developed and emerging economies alike, both of which saw faster rates of growth over the current year. The estimated 2017 growth rate for the former group is $2.1 \%$ (compared to $1.6 \%$ in 2016), while the estimate for the latter is $4.5 \%$ (over $4.0 \%$ in 2016). This stronger growth is expected to carry over into 2018.

Forecasts for 2018 place global economic growth at 3.0\%, with a more buoyant performance expected for emerging economies. Developed economies will continue to grow at around $2 \%$, while growth in emerging economies will accelerate to $4.8 \%$. By country, the United States is expected to maintain its economic upturn in 2018, with an estimated growth rate of $2.4 \%$, while the eurozone will post rates similar to those of 2017. China's growth looks likely to drop by three tenths of a percentage point to $6.5 \%$, while India stands to grow by $7.2 \%$ in 2018, up from $6.7 \%$ in 2017.

One of the weakest aspects of the external context is the sluggish growth in global trade volumes: while higher growth was posted in 2017 than in 2016, it remains below historical averages. For 2017 a growth rate of around $3.6 \%$ is estimated, more than double the figure of $1.4 \%$ recorded in 2016, and that level is expected to continue into 2018. Among the factors driving this faster growth are rising global aggregate demand and, in particular, higher levels of global investment, which is import-intensive.

Commodity prices -a key factor for the economies of Latin America and the Caribbean - are performing positively. After a $4 \%$ decline in 2016, they are expected to rise by almost $13 \%$ in 2017 on average. Energy products -whose prices fell most sharply in 2016- will see the largest increases, along with metals and minerals. By contrast, prices for agricultural product prices will edge up slightly. In 2018, barring geopolitical conflicts, commodity prices are expected to remain, on average, similar to those of this year. If that is the case, prices in 2018 would still be more favourable than they were in 2015 and 2016 ( $7 \%$ and 12\% higher, respectively).

In the financial sphere, Latin America and the Caribbean continues to benefit from low international interest rates, reduced financial volatility and declining risk perceptions.

In line with the more benign international financial conditions and changes in investors' appetite for risk, capital inflows to bond and equity markets in emerging markets -including those of Latin America and the Caribbean- have recovered over the course of the year, although they remain far below the levels seen between 2010 and 2013. This was also reflected in the prices of financial assets, particularly in stock market prices, which generally followed an upward trend over the year.

## B. External sector

While higher economic growth in Latin America and the Caribbean in 2017 has led to a rise in imports of about $8 \%$ over their 2016 level, that increase has been more than offset by exports, which rose by almost $11 \%$ after declining for four consecutive years. The performance of exports may be explained by higher commodity prices and the recovery not only of economic activity but also of trade at both the global and intraregional levels.

The recovery in prices for the region's main exports in 2017 will boost the terms of trade by an average of almost $3 \%$ over 2016 levels. This marks the first increase after five years of decline. Hydrocarbon-exporting countries will benefit the most this year, with a $12 \%$ increase in their terms of trade. Exporters of mining products will also gain from higher prices, which are expected to boost their terms of trade by $6 \%$. Meanwhile, trends in agricultural prices have been less favourable and point to a $1 \%$ decline in exporters' terms of trade in 2017, owing to higher prices for energy products and other intermediate goods.

Across the region, all countries will see their exports grow, led in particular by Brazil, which will post an increase of $17 \%$. In the first nine months of the year, exports of iron ore and oil derivatives soared, up by $62 \%$ and $100 \%$, respectively, owing to strong price increases. Soybean exports also climbed sharply (20\%) thanks to improved harvests, as did exports of manufactured products, including automobiles and heavy goods vehicles. Those countries whose exports comprise mainly minerals and hydrocarbons will experience sharp increases of $15 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively, in their 2017 exports.

Improved trade balances in 2017, combined with inflows of remittances from migrants, will result in an improvement of the region's current account deficit, which is expected to amount to $1.6 \%$ of GDP in 2017, lower than the $1.9 \%$ calculated for 2016.

In 2017, overall net financial flows to the region are expected to be around $4 \%$ lower than in 2016, but they will still be enough to cover the reduced current account deficit and, additionally, to accumulate international reserves of about US\$ 28 billion, which will mean an increase of $3.2 \%$ in reserves between 2016 and 2017.

Within the financial account, data to June point to a degree of stability in the region's net foreign direct investment (FDI) flows. This stems from a considerable increase in FDI flows in Brazil (up 23\% year-on-year at the end of the third quarter), combined with declines in all the other countries. So far in 2017, non-FDI flows (basically, portfolio and other types of investment flows) have posted declines in the region overall.

## C. Economic activity

After two years of contraction of regional GDP, economic growth turned positive in 2017 $(1.3 \%)$, as a result of increases in both domestic demand and export volumes. Domestic demand rose by an average of $1.5 \%$ over the first three quarters of 2017. That was on account of increases of $2.3 \%$ in investment and $1.7 \%$ in private consumption and, to a lesser extent, of a $0.1 \%$ uptick in public consumption. Export growth also picked up.

The economies of South America will grow by $0.8 \%$ this year, after two years of economic downturn. For the group comprising the economies of Central America, Cuba, the Dominican Republic and Haiti, a growth rate of $3.3 \%$ is expected this year, a level similar to the 2016 result. Forecasts for the English- and Dutch-speaking Caribbean indicate average growth rates of $0.1 \%$ in 2017, a figure that reflects the damage wrought by Hurricanes Irma and María in some of the subregion's countries.

## D. Employment and wages

Despite the slow economic recovery in 2017, the average urban unemployment rate in Latin America and the Caribbean will rise from 8.9\% in 2016 to an estimated 9.4\%. As a result, the cumulative increase in unemployment since 2014 will be 2.5 percentage
points, or 7 million people (from 15.8 million to 22.8 million) in the region's urban areas. This spike in urban unemployment is the result of a decline in the employment rate and an increase in the number of people seeking work (participation rate).

Amid the generally sluggish performance of employment, wage employment creation was particularly weak and declined as a percentage of total employment. Given that wage employment is characterized by higher levels of quality than other job categories, average employment quality deteriorated again in the region.

Real wages in formal employment evolved positively, with a median growth rate of $2 \%$. This increase was the result of falling inflation atop a moderate increase in nominal wages. At the same time, earnings in many countries received a boost from minimum wage policies aimed at improving the situation of lower-income workers.

## E. Macroeconomic policies

## 1. Fiscal policy

Fiscal consolidation continued to mark the tenor of fiscal policy in the region in 2017. Accordingly the primary deficit for the region on average should narrow from $-1.0 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to -0.8\% in 2017, mainly owing to a slowdown in primary public expenditure. However, an increase in public debt servicing costs offset the improvement in the primary balance, and the overall balance therefore held steady at $-3.1 \%$ of GDP. The adjustment of the primary deficit had the effect of reducing the contribution of general government consumption to GDP growth to near zero in 2017.

Fiscal situations vary within the region. On the one hand, at -0.2\% of GDP in 2017 for the second year in a row, the average primary balance for Central America, the Dominican Republic, Haiti and Mexico was nearly at equilibrium. Higher interest payments -which rose from an average of $2.0 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $2.2 \%$ in 2017- pushed up the total deficit in those countries from -2.1\% of GDP in 2016 to -2.4\% in 2017.

In South America, conversely, the average primary deficit narrowed for the first time in five years, falling from $-1.9 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to -1.5\% of GDP in 2017; despite the drop, however, the level remains high. The impact that the reduction in the primary deficit had on the overall deficit (which fell from -4.2\% of GDP in 2016 to -3.9\% in 2017) was undone to some extent by the increase in the service on the public debt, which rose from $2.3 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $2.4 \%$ of GDP in 2017.

In the Caribbean, the average primary surplus held at $1.2 \%$ of GDP in 2017 for the second year running, but the fiscal deficit rose slightly -from $-2.2 \%$ of GDP to $-2.3 \%$ of GDP—owing to higher interest payments. The need to generate large primary surpluses in order to cope with these countries' hefty public debts continues to constrain the role of fiscal policy in driving the subregion's growth.

In the third quarter of 2017, Latin America's gross public debt amounted to 38.4\% of GDP, which was similar to the level recorded at the close of 2016. Although the simple average of the public debt hardly changed at all, the number of countries that witnessed an increase in their level of debt rose; this was offset by a decline in debt levels in 7 of the 19 countries of the region, however. Brazil continues to be the country with the largest public debt, at $73.9 \%$ of GDP, followed by Argentina, with a debt equivalent to $53.7 \%$ of GDP, and Honduras, with a debt amounting to $47.8 \%$ of GDP. At the other end of the spectrum, Paraguay has the smallest public debt in the region $(20.8 \%$ of GDP), followed by Peru ( $21.2 \%$ of GDP) and Guatemala ( $23.5 \%$ of GDP).

In the Caribbean, as of the third quarter of 2017, central government debt amounted to $70.9 \%$ of GDP, down 1.5 percentage points from its 2016 level. The overall trend is towards a downturn in the rate of public indebtedness, with only 4 out of the 13 reporting countries seeing an upswing in debt levels. Barbados and Jamaica still have debt levels of over $100 \%$ of GDP (102.7\% and $109.5 \%$ of GDP for 2017, respectively), but they both reduced their indebtedness substantially, as did Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica and Saint Kitts and Nevis.

## 2. Prices and exchange-rate and monetary policies

During the first 10 months of 2017, average inflation in Latin America and the Caribbean continued the downward trend that started in mid-2016, falling from 8.2\% at October 2016 to $5.3 \%$ at October 2017. Current inflation levels in the region are the lowest they have been since the end of 2013. Also noteworthy is the rapid drop in inflation since mid-2016: between May 2016, when it peaked at 8.9\%, and October 2017, regional inflation fell by 390 basis points, at a little over 20 basis points per month for a total decrease of $40 \%$. In spite of that downward trend, however, a slight increase has been recorded since July 2017, ending 13 consecutive months of declining inflation in the region.

A sharper divergence in inflationary patterns between the different subregions of Latin America and the Caribbean also emerged during 2017. Between October 2016 and October 2017, the inflation rate in South America fell from $10.6 \%$ to $4.9 \%$, while in the Caribbean it fell from $7.2 \%$ to $3.7 \%$; in the Central America and Mexico subregion, however, inflation rose 2.6 percentage points, from $3.4 \%$ in 2016 to $6.0 \%$ in 2017. While different factors contributed to these subregional disparities, there is little doubt that exchange rate dynamics and anti-inflationary monetary policies are the most significant.

Inflation continues to define the margins available to monetary authorities for stimulating aggregate domestic demand. In South America and the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean, falling inflation rates are providing space for more expansionary monetary policies, whereas in Central America and Mexico, the management of monetary policy is geared towards controlling increases in general prices.

The economies of South America that use policy rates as their main monetary policy instruments reported downward trends during 2017, with cuts in Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Paraguay and Peru. The dynamics are more diverse among the economies of Central America and Mexico: in Costa Rica and Mexico, the monetary authorities decided to increase their benchmark interest rates, while Guatemala and Honduras held theirs steady.

In the region's economies that use aggregates as the main policy instrument, the monetary base slowed its pace of growth. Latin America's monetary base has expanded by $8.5 \%$ on average since the fourth quarter of 2016, a rate 7 percentage points lower than the average for the period between the first quarter of 2015 and the third quarter of 2016. The situation is similar in the economies of the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean, whose monetary base has grown 4.0 percentage points more slowly, on average, in the first two quarters of 2017 than in the same period of 2016.

In 2017, there has been a slight increase in the rate of growth of real private sector credit in the economies of Latin America. The contraction of domestic credit continued in the economies of the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean, and data obtained for the second quarter of 2017 point to an annualized drop of $3.2 \%$.

Most of the region's countries reported more moderate fluctuations in their exchange rates in 2017 than in 2016. Likewise, over the course of the year, the real
effective extraregional exchange rate appreciated by $0.3 \%$ for the economies of Latin America and the Caribbean as a whole, contrasting with the depreciation recorded in 2016. This dynamic in the real effective extraregional exchange rate was on account of the appreciation posted in the economies of South America; while lower than the 2016 result, it offset the real-term depreciation recorded in the economies of Central America, Mexico and the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean.

## F. Outlook and challenges for 2018

The context for the region's performance in 2018 will continue to be favourable in both real and monetary areas. The global economy is expected to continue to grow at rates close to those recorded in 2017, and emerging economies are poised to outperform developed ones. On the monetary front, liquidity will continue to expand, and international interest rates will remain low. This opens up a promising opportunity for Latin American and Caribbean countries to expand their economic policy space in a way that will sustain the expansionary phase of the business cycle.

Nevertheless, the international situation poses certain challenges for the consolidation of global growth over the medium term.

Efforts to normalize monetary policy, notably the reversal of the quantitative easing policies that is already under way or has been announced by the Federal Reserve of the United States, the European Central Bank and the Bank of Japan, are creating uncertainties in the financial markets.

These financial risks are coupled with others that are associated with developed countries' domestic policies. Examples include the move being made to reduce financial regulation and specifically to roll back the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and the Consumer Protection Act in the United States, which could make the financial system more vulnerable in the medium term. In addition, the tax reform bill now moving through the legislature in the United States could ease the corporate tax burden and bolster capital flows to that country.

Finally, there are a number of geopolitical risks, including those arising from the growing protectionism being observed in some countries, from rising nationalism -evidenced by the growing support for anti-globalization parties in some European countries- and last year's vote in favour of Brexit in the United Kingdom. Meanwhile, the rhetoric used by the United States in the latest rounds of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) talks suggests that the dissolution of that treaty is a less remote possibility than it used to be, especially in light of that country's withdrawal from the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP).

Together with the boost the region will receive from rising external demand, domestic demand will play an important role in accelerating growth, although with differences among components. Thanks to the upswing in gross fixed capital formation, investment will make a greater contribution to the economy. Private consumption continues to drive domestic demand. Fiscal consolidation efforts are expected to continue in 2018, making it unlikely that fiscal policy will contribute significantly to GDP growth.

Estimates put the GDP growth rate for Latin America and the Caribbean at 2.2\% for 2018, which is substantially higher than the rate for 2017 (1.3\%). More robust economic growth in Brazil (2.0\%) will be one of the factors behind this upturn. In addition, economic activity is expected to strengthen in a number of countries that have been growing at moderate rates up to now, including Chile (2.8\%), Colombia (2.6\%) and Peru $(3.5 \%)$. Panama will be the Latin American country with the highest growth rate (5.5\%), followed by the Dominican Republic (5.1\%) and Nicaragua (5.0\%). With
the exception of Cuba (1.0\%), Ecuador (1.3\%) and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela $(-5.5 \%)$, all the other economies in Latin America will expand by between $2 \%$ and $4 \%$.

At the subregional level, South American countries are expected to post stronger growth in 2018 (at $2.0 \%$, up from $0.9 \%$ in 2017). The economies of Central America, Cuba, the Dominican Republic and Haiti are projected to attain a growth rate of $3.6 \%$, above their 2017 rate of $3.3 \%$. The average growth rate for the English- and Dutch-speaking Caribbean is projected at $1.5 \%$ for 2018, fostered, among others, by expenditure on reconstruction in the aftermath of Hurricane Irma and Hurricane Maria in some countries of the subregion.


## Global economic trends

Global economic growth rose in 2017 (2.9\%) and is also expected to increase in 2018 (3.0\%), albeit in a context of low dynamism in the medium term

As the global economy has strengthened, so have international trade volumes, although their growth rates remain below the levels seen before the global financial crisis

Commodity prices are expected to be an average of $13 \%$ higher in 2017 than in 2016; no major changes are expected in 2018, with forecasts indicating average levels similar to those of this year

During 2017, despite some short-lived spikes, financial market volatility has remained low, capital flows into emerging markets have continued and prices of stock market assets have generally been on the increase

The elimination of some of the monetary stimulus in developed countries could have an impact on the dynamics of exchange rates and relative interest rates

## Global economic growth rose in 2017 (2.9\%) and is also expected to increase in 2018 (3.0\%), albeit in a context of low dynamism in the medium term

After expanding by only $2.4 \%$ in 2016, the world economy showed greater dynamism in 2017 and is set to achieve an average growth rate of $2.9 \%$ at the close of the year. This trend towards greater dynamism is expected to continue in 2018, with growth of 3.0\% (see figure I.1).

Figure l. 1
Selected regions and countries: gross domestic product growth, 2016-2018 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of United Nations, "World Economic Situation and Prospects, 2018", forthcoming; International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Economic Outlook. Seeking Sustainable Growth: Short-Term Recovery, Long-Term Challenges, Washington, D.C., October 2017; Capital Economics, Global Economic Outlook, October 2017; Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), OECD Economic Outlook, vol. 2017, No. 2, November 2017, and data from Consensus Economics.
Note: GDP at market prices is used for world growth rates.
a Figures for 2017 and 2018 are projections.

The emerging economies have been accelerating in 2017 and should post a growth rate of $4.5 \%$ at the end of the year. For 2018, a growth of $4.8 \%$ is expected.

Particularly notable within this group is China, with an expected growth rate of $6.8 \%$ this year, which is more than both the 2016 result and the mid-year forecast of $6.5 \%$. The expected slowdown in the Chinese economy did not materialize this year, but it is expected to happen in 2018 -a growth rate of $6.5 \%$ is forecast for next year- in line with the Government's more restrictive economic policies.

Brazil and the Russian Federation, two other large emerging economies, are expected to post positive rates of growth this year ( $0.9 \%$ and $1.9 \%$ respectively), after drops in 2016 ( $-3.5 \%$ and $-0.2 \%$, respectively).

In India, however, everything indicates that the economy will grow less this year than the forecasts of a few months ago predicted. The impact of the banknote demonetization initiative and the launch of the goods and services tax (GST) resulted in weak levels of economic activity during the first half of the year: year-on-year GDP grew by $5.7 \%$ in the second quarter, the lowest result in three years. Consequently, the growth rate for the year as a whole will probably be below $7 \%$. More dynamic growth
$(7.2 \%)$ is expected in 2018 , given that over the second half of 2017 the effects of the Government policies referred to above were already beginning to dissipate.

The developed economies are expected to report $2.1 \%$ growth in 2017, five tenths of a percentage point above their 2016 result.

In the United States, economic activity showed signs of strength during the first three quarters of 2017. While the hurricanes that struck during the second half of the year caused some disruption, their impact on overall activity was not significant and the economy is moving towards a growth rate of $2.2 \%$ this year, which would be seven tenths of a percentage point higher than its 2016 result. Additional growth, rising to $2.4 \%$, is expected for 2018.

Japan is another developed economy that will post a significant acceleration in 2017: it is expected to close the year with a growth rate of $1.6 \%$, six tenths of a percentage point higher than its result in 2016. This would be Japan's best result since 2013 and would occur after more than four years of economic stimulus policies under the Government of Prime Minister Shinzo Abe. The dynamism of the country's economic activity has rebounded in recent quarters: GDP growth in the third quarter of 2017 ( $1.4 \%$ compared to the previous quarter, in annualized terms) was the seventh consecutive positive result, something that had not been seen since the start of the century. However, the expansion of the Japanese economy is forecast to weaken in 2018 , with a growth rate of $1.2 \%$. First, fiscal policy is expected to be more restrictive than in 2017 and, second, a part of the increased external demand and private investment related to the 2020 Tokyo Olympic Games is likely to be offset by higher imports.

As in Japan and the United States, the eurozone is expected to report accelerated expansion in 2017 and end the year with a growth rate of $2.2 \%$, up from $1.8 \%$ in 2016. Despite higher levels of political uncertainty fuelled by certain events in the single-currency area's countries over the course of the year, consumer- and business-confidence indicators suggest that to date, those uncertainties have not filtered through to the economic arena. Thus, the year-on-year growth rate in the third quarter of 2017 was $2.5 \%$, the best result since the beginning of 2011. Private consumption has shown strength while investment is on the upswing, in a context of better expectations and favourable financing conditions.

Finally, mention must be made of the United Kingdom, where lower growth is expected this year than in 2016 (1.5\%, compared to $1.8 \%$ last year) and forecasts indicate an even lower result for 2018 (1.4\%). Growth over the first three quarters of 2017 reflected the impact of sterling's depreciation on inflation and, consequently, on real incomes and private consumption. The Government itself, upon presenting its proposed budget in November, revised its growth forecasts for 2017 and 2018 downward, on account of weak productivity growth and a worse investment performance than expected. ${ }^{1}$ In the less immediate future, the evolution of the United Kingdom economy will be determined by the impact of the negotiations for the country's departure from the European Union in the Brexit process.

[^0]
## As the global economy has strengthened, so have international trade volumes, although their growth rates remain below the levels seen before the global financial crisis

Global trade, after growing by only $1.4 \%$ in 2016, began a rebound in November of that year in line with the quickening pace of global economic activity.

In its September 2017 report, the World Trade Organization (WTO) forecast a trade volume growth of $3.6 \%$ for the year. ${ }^{2}$ That figure stands at the higher end of the range of between $1.8 \%$ and $3.6 \%$ forecast in the previous report. The WTO had stated that the uncertainty created by the Brexit process and the possible tightening of trade policies pointed to "a significant risk that trade expansion in 2017 will fall into the lower end of the range." ${ }^{3}$ However, those risks did not materialize this year and, in the last month for which data are available (August 2017), trade volumes reported year-on-year growth of $5 \%$. In addition, according to the WTO, export orders have increased, which would point to+ continued trade growth over the second half of the year.

For 2018, WTO forecasts a trade growth rate of $3.2 \%$, only four tenths of a percentage point lower than in 2017 (see figure I.2), on the assumption that the developed countries will adopt less expansive monetary policies and that "fiscal expansion and easy credit in China are likely to be reined in to prevent the economy from overheating".4 However, the WTO forecast for 2018 covers a broad range (from $1.4 \%$ to $4.4 \%$ ), reflecting the prevailing uncertainty whereby, in the Organization's words, recovery could be undermined by "downside risks, including trade policy measures, monetary tightening, geopolitical tensions and costly natural disasters." ${ }^{5}$ Those risks could bring the trade growth rate down to levels closer to the lower end of the range.

Figure I. 2
World: year-on-year variation in trade volume, three-month rolling averages, 2003-2017 (Seasonally adjusted percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures provided by Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis (CPB), and World Trade Organization (WTO), "WTO upgrades forecast for 2017 as trade rebounds strongly" [online] https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/ pres17_e/pr800_e.htm.

[^1]Table I. 1
Annual variation in international commodity prices, 2016-2018a
(Percentages)

Commodity prices are expected to be an average of 13\% higher in 2017 than in 2016; no major changes are expected in 2018, with forecasts indicating average levels similar to those of this year

After a 4\% decline in 2016, commodity prices are expected to rise by an average of almost $13 \%$ in 2017. Energy products (i.e. the goods with the sharpest price drops in 2016) will see the largest increases, along with minerals and metals. In contrast, agricultural product prices will rise only slightly in 2017 (see table I.1).

|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural and livestock products | 4.7 | 1.1 | -0.7 |
| Foods, tropical beverages and oilseeds | 5.8 | 0.71 | -0.5 |
| Foods | 9.3 | 1.3 | -1.2 |
| Tropical beverages | 0.6 | 0.6 | -0.3 |
| Oils and oilseeds | 2.6 | -0.20 | 0.3 |
| Forestry and agricultural raw materials | 0.3 | 2.8 | -1.2 |
| Minerals and metals | -0.9 | 19.9 | -2.4 |
| Energy | -16.3 | 19.5 | 1.3 |
| Crude oil | -15.7 | 19.0 | 2.3 |
| Petroleum products | -24.1 | 19.0 | 0.0 |
| Coal | 14.5 | 30.0 | -9.5 |
| Natural gas | -4.6 | 20.0 | 2.3 |
| Total commodities | -4.2 | 12.5 | -0.6 |
| Total commodities (excluding energy) | 2.1 | 9.5 | -1.5 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures provided by Bloomberg, the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and The Economist Intelligence Unit.
a Figures for 2017 and 2018 are projections.

Barring unforeseen geopolitical or other contingencies, the commodity price forecast agencies predict no major changes in 2018. Instead, commodity prices are expected to remain, on average, similar to their 2017 values. If that is the case, prices would ultimately be more favourable in 2018 than they were in 2015 and 2016 (which they would exceed by $7 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively).

In terms of individual products, in 2018 the oil market expects prices to rise slightly (by $2.3 \%$ ) over their 2017 average, as future production cuts by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) ${ }^{6}$ and recovering demand could be partly offset by increased output from non-OPEC countries that have not agreed to reduce their production, particularly the United States.

In the case of industrial metals, which include copper and iron, a slight price correction is expected $(-2.4 \%)$ with respect to the 2017 average, which might have been influenced by excessive optimism about Chinese demand, which is expected to slow next year.

Finally, for agricultural products as a whole, favourable prospects for harvests would mean a slight downward pressure ( $-0.7 \%$ ) on prices in 2018. Buoyant soybean stocks and the good harvests expected will keep prices down at their 2017 levels; for maize, in

[^2]contrast, a fall in global stocks looks likely to lead to slightly higher prices. At the same time, coffee prices are likely to remain depressed, on account of forecasts indicating increased output from the main producers (Brazil and Viet Nam). Sugar production is expected to remain buoyant, which would lead to downward pressures on its price.

## During 2017, despite some short-lived spikes, financial market volatility has remained low, capital flows into emerging markets have continued and prices of stock market assets have generally been on the increase

Over the year, volatility in financial markets has been on the decline, interrupted only by specific, short-duration episodes. At the end of October 2017, the indices normally used to measure this volatility —particularly, the VIX index in the United States and its counterparts for the European market (the V2X index) and for emerging markets (the VXEEM index) - stood at levels not seen since before the global financial and economic crisis (see figure l.3).

Figure 1.3
Implied market volatility indices, May 2006 to October 2017


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of Bloomberg.
Note: The VIX Index is prepared by the Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) from S\&P 500 call and put option prices, and measures expected volatility over the next 30 days. Following the same logic, the CBOE also produces the VXEEM index, which measures volatility in emerging markets, while Deutsche Börse and Goldman Sachs produce the V2X index, which does the same for the eurozone.

In line with the kinder international financial conditions and changes in investors' appetites for risk, capital inflows into emerging country bond and equity markets —including those of Latin America and the Caribbean- have recovered over the course of the year, although they still remain far below the levels reported between 2010 and 2013 (see figure 1.4). This was also reflected in the prices of financial assets, particularly in stock market prices, which generally followed an upward trend over the year (see figure I.5).

Figure I. 4
12-month cumulative portfolio capital flows to emerging markets, January 2008-July 2017a (Billions of dollars)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of Bloomberg and Central Reserve Bank of Peru, "Reporte de inflación: panorama actual y proyecciones macroeconómicas 2017-2019" [online] http:// www.bcrp.gob.pe/docs/Publicaciones/Reporte-Inflacion/2017/setiembre/reporte-de-inflacion-setiembre-2017presentacion.pdf.
a Monthly indicator of portfolio capital flows prepared by the Institute of International Finance (IIF). Includes flows into bond and stock markets.

Figure l. 5
Stock market indices (MSCI), a 30-day rolling averages, February 2016-November 2017
(Index: 1 January 2016=100)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of Bloomberg.
${ }^{a}$ Market capitalization weighted index, Morgan Stanley Capital International.

## The elimination of some of the monetary stimulus in developed countries could have an impact on the dynamics of exchange rates and relative interest rates

After a series of three increases starting in December 2016, the United States Federal Reserve kept its policy interest rate unchanged in September 2017, with which it remains in a range between $1.0 \%$ and $1.25 \%$. The most important outcome of that meeting, however, was the widely expected announcement that the gradual unwinding of its quantitative easing programme would start in October. Together with the perceptions that existed regarding a likely increase in interest rates in December, this fuelled an appreciation of the dollar, which had been declining over the course of the year (see figure I.6).


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of Bloomberg.
Note: The Bloomberg DXY index (dollar spot index) tracks the performance of a basket of ten leading currencies against the dollar. The currencies that are included in the basket and their weights within it are determined annually depending on their share of international trade and their liquidity on foreign exchange markets. The figure shows the opposite of the index published by Bloomberg, whereby an increase in the index indicates a depreciation of the dollar and a drop in the index shows an appreciation of the dollar against the other currencies.

The Bank of England increased its policy rate for the first time in a decade in early November 2017, while the European Central Bank announced, at the end of October, that it would begin to reduce the monthly security purchases of its quantitative easing programme in January 2018. According to the announcements, the key aspects of the bank's future monetary policy are to be the following: (i) the European Central Bank will begin (in January 2018) to reduce the amount of its net security purchases (tentatively planned to reach zero in September 2018, but possibly later than that if deemed necessary), (ii) upon completion of the net security purchases, the bank will continue to reinvest the capital of maturing securities (for an extended period), and (iii) the bank will begin to reduce the size of its balance sheet. Given the time frame indicated, it is possible that this final phase will not start before 2020.7 At the same time, the monetary authority left interest rates unchanged and said there would be no increases until the net security purchases were completed: in other words, not before September 2018 at the earliest.

[^3]Figure I. 6
Nominal dollar exchange rate against major world currencies, January 2013-September 2017 (DXY index, 1 January 2013=100)

Even taking these monetary policy decisions into account, however, the perception still exists that levels of global liquidity will remain high at least in the medium term and that efforts to reduce the size of the global monetary base will be unable to commence for at least two years. This is partly because although the Federal Reserve has begun to reduce its balance sheet, the elimination of monetary stimulus by the United States will be a slow process. In addition, no reduction is forecast in Europe until 2020, while in Japan, although the monetary authority (the Bank of Japan) has reduced the rate of its security purchases, it is not expected to begin reducing the size of its balance sheet for a couple of years.

Thus, prevailing global financial conditions in 2018 should not significantly affect the capital flows available to emerging markets, including those of Latin America. It is true that the process towards normalizing interest rates that is already underway in the United States will increase the costs of financing for countries and lead to changes in the structures of portfolios but, given the gradual rate increases expected, flows of external financing into the emerging economies should not be too severely affected.


## Global liquidity

Global liquidity showed signs of faster growth in 2017
Global banking has partly recovered from the effects of the global financial crisis
The international bond market is still an important source of financing for emerging economies, including those of Latin America and the Caribbean

The policy of quantitative easing reversal may be a source of risk, albeit limited, for emerging economies, including in Latin America and the Caribbean

## Global liquidity showed signs of faster growth in 2017

Global liquidity, including banking and debt markets, grew faster in 2017 than the previous year $(5.4 \%$ in the second quarter of 2017 , compared with $1 \%$ in the same quarter of 2016) (see figure II.1). This was driven essentially by the international bond markets, although bank lending was also up.


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), 2017.
Note: Liquidity comprises total lending by the banking systems of the United States, Europe and Japan and outstanding debt issues on international markets there.

Global liquidity was channelled mostly to developed economies, with less going to developing economies: $77 \%$ and $23 \%$ of the total for the second quarter of 2017 , respectively. In that same quarter, the breakdown of global lending by subregion shows that the emerging economies of Asia-Pacific received $9.3 \%$ of the total, followed by the developing economies of Europe ( $6.0 \%$ ) and Latin America and the Caribbean ( $4.5 \%$ ).

Analysis by type of instrument shows that the bond market has led the liquidity upturn in the United States, with bank lending and bonds up by $1.3 \%$ and $9.1 \%$, respectively, in the second quarter of 2017. Conversely, Europe and Japan have seen bank credit climb strongly, with rates of $11.3 \%$ and $8.3 \%$ year-on-year in the second quarter (compared with $1.7 \%$ and $5.9 \%$ for the second quarter of 2016).

## Global banking has partly recovered from the effects of the global financial crisis

Global banking is showing signs of partial recovery from the effects of the global financial crisis, with recapitalization and cost profile improvements. However, the return on equity (ROE) in the banking system is in the range of $8 \%-10 \%$, half the figures seen before the global financial crisis and only just enough to cover the cost of capital.

As a result, revenue growth has tended to fall. The evidence available shows that revenue growth in global banking slipped from $16.8 \%$ in the period 2002-2007 to $6 \%$ in 2010-2014, and to $3.9 \%$ in 2015-2017. This has eroded banks' capacity to extend credit and the loans-to-deposits ratio has in fact fallen on average from $124.6 \%$ in 2002-2007 to $105.7 \%$ in 2012-2016.

Figure II. 1
Rate of change in global liquidity, March 2014-June 2017 (Percentages)

Figure II. 2
Return on equity in the financial sector, 2016 (Percentages)

Falling revenue growth has affected developed countries in particular, and developing countries to a lesser extent. For the period 2015-2017, North America, Western Europe and Japan recorded revenue growth rates of $0.9 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $4.4 \%$, respectively. Conversely, in the developing economies, including those of Latin America and the Caribbean, banking revenue growth has been quite high: $8.1 \%$ in emerging Asia and 7.5\% in Latin America in 2015-2017.

In addition, the banking industry has higher rates of return in Latin America and the Caribbean than in other developing and developed regions. The evidence shows that for 2016, the return on assets was $8.6 \%$ for banking globally, 22.4\% for Latin America and the Caribbean, $14.2 \%$ for China and $12.3 \%$ for Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Africa (see figure II.2)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of McKinsey Global Institute (MGI), 2017.

## The international bond market is still an important source of financing for emerging economies, including those of Latin America and the Caribbean

International bond market activity has been favourable for emerging economies, partly thanks to the low international interest rates that have offered them a beneficial spread since 2013. Moreover, foreign-exchange volatility has eased for the emerging economies since the global financial crisis (see figure II.3).

Bond issues by emerging economies (which represent approximately 10\% of the total) increased by $12 \%$ in 2017 , almost twice as much as in 2016 . By region, Latin America and the Caribbean accounted for the largest share of emerging economy bond issues (34.3\%), followed by Asia-Pacific (29.1\%) (see figure II.4).

Figure II. 3
Foreign-exchange volatility in emerging economies and interest rate spread between emerging and developed economies, January 2000-April 2017
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of Bloomberg and the International Monetary Fund (IMF).


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), 2017.

In the first 10 months of 2017, bond issuance by Latin America and the Caribbean stood at US $\$ 127$ billion, up $9 \%$ from 2016. By country, Argentina, Brazil and Mexico accounted for $75 \%$ of the issuance on international bond markets. By sector, government was the largest issuer, with $46 \%$ in the second quarter of 2017 , followed by the non-financial corporate sector, with $39 \%$. Meanwhile, the financial sector issued $15 \%$ of the total for that period.

Figure II. 4
Share in total bond issues by developing economies, second quarter 2017
(Percentages)

In the first quarter of 2017, non-financial corporate sector debt represented $88 \%$ and $104 \%$ of GDP, respectively, for developed and developing economies, slightly less than in the year-earlier period ( $90 \%$ and $105 \%$, respectively). Among the developed economies, the countries and territories with the highest level of non-financial corporate sector borrowing include Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Ireland and Belgium ( $243 \%, 226 \%$ and $157 \%$ of their respective GDP for the first quarter of 2017).

China stands out among the developing economies with a figure of $165 \%$ of GDP and, if household borrowing is added to the estimate, China's total private sector debt comes to $258 \%$ of GDP for the first quarter of 2017.

Within Latin America and the Caribbean, the country with the highest level of corporate borrowing is Chile ( $100.5 \%$ of GDP), followed by Brazil ( $42.4 \%$ ) and Colombia ( $40.5 \%$ ), in the first quarter 2017 figures. Chile and Colombia also showed the largest credit-to-GDP gaps, albeit smaller than in 2016 in both cases: ${ }^{1} 10.5 \%$ in first-quarter 2017 compared with $15.9 \%$ in first-quarter 2016 for Chile and $8.4 \%$ compared with $12.2 \%$ for Colombia in the same periods.

## The policy of quantitative easing reversal may be a source of risk, albeit limited, for emerging economies, including in Latin America and the Caribbean

One of the main questions of 2018 and the coming years, in terms of global economic performance, is the impact that may be expected from the reversal of quantitative easing announced by the Federal Reserve, the European Central Bank and the Bank of Japan.

The Federal Reserve started to reduce its balance sheet in October 2017 and the European Central Bank will begin to reduce its bond purchases in 2018. The Bank of Japan, is also expected to cut back its bond purchases next year.

On the one hand, the resulting rise in international interest rates will likely aid banking yields, which could boost lending and the monetary multiplier, both of which fell significantly in the wake of quantitative easing. ${ }^{2}$ These expectations are reflected in the yield curve on United States treasury bonds as from September 2017, which began to rise after holding steady earlier in the year (see figure II.5).

Moreover, the rising interest rates brought by quantitative easing will produce a negative wealth effect by reducing bond values, which could act as a drag on international bond market growth. At the same time, higher interest rates will erode the relative returns on the assets of emerging economies, ${ }^{3}$ which could trigger outflows from emerging economies to developed countries.

[^4]

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) [online] https://fred.stlouisfed.org/ and Lynch \& Allen, November, 2017.

For the developing economies, the consequences of this scenario will depend in part on the composition of the financial inflows to the region and the weight of the components that are more sensitive to international interest rate variations.

The evidence for Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{4}$ shows a change in the composition of the balance-of-payments financial account, specifically in portfolio flows, with accrued annual bond flows exceeding those of bank loans. This could have a significant impact given that bonds are more sensitive to shifts in external conditions. A recent study by the Bank for International Settlements $(2017)^{5}$ found that a 25 -basis-point rise in the federal funds rate translates into a fall of 57 basis points in the growth of cross-border lending, but a drop of 125 basis points in the growth of international bond issuance.

[^5]Figure Il. 5
Yield curve for United States treasury bonds (5-year bonds with respect to 30 year-bonds),
December 2016-
November 2017


## The external sector

The balance-of-payments current account deficit is forecast to narrow in 2017 owing mainly to Brazil The terms of trade are expected to improve for the region as a whole in 2017 and to remain stable next year

The trade balance will improve in 2017 thanks to stronger exports
Deficits in the services and income accounts are projected to grow in 2017 while the transfer balance is expected to reflect a bigger surplus thanks to stronger migrant remittance inflows

Net financial flows to the region will be slightly lower in 2017 than in 2016, but will be more than enough to finance the smaller current account deficit

External bonds issued by Latin American and Caribbean countries grew by almost 9\% in the first 10 months of 2017 compared with the year-earlier period and posted record volumes since the data series began

In 2017, sovereign risk levels in the region maintained the downward trend that began in February 2016

## The balance-of-payments current account deficit is forecast to narrow in 2017 owing mainly to Brazil

The regional current account deficit is expected to amount to $1.6 \%$ of GDP in 2017, lower than the $1.9 \%$ seen in 2016 (see figure III.1), owing mainly to the decline in Brazil's deficit, by almost one percentage point of GDP, thanks to strong export growth. Excluding Brazil, the regional current account deficit will remain steady at about $2.3 \%$ of GDP.

Figure III. 1
Latin America (19 countries): balance-of-payments current account by component, 2007-2017ª (Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The figures for 2017 are projections.

Most countries posted an improvement in their trade balances and transfers, owing especially to migrants' remittances, which were partly offset by larger interest payments abroad and the repatriation of profits by transnational firms.

## The terms of trade are expected to improve for the region as a whole in 2017 and to remain stable next year

The recovery in prices for the region's main exports in 2017 will boost the terms of trade by $3 \%$ compared with 2016 (see figure III.2). This increase is the first after five years of declines. Hydrocarbon-exporting countries will benefit the most this year, with a $12 \%$ increase in their terms of trade. Exporters of mining products have also gained from higher prices, which are expected to boost their terms of trade by $6 \%$. Meanwhile, trends in agricultural goods prices have been less favourable, and point to a $1 \%$ decline in exporters' terms of trade in 2017, owing to higher prices for energy products and other intermediate goods. The situation will be similar for Central American countries $(-1 \%)$ and the Caribbean excluding Trinidad and Tobago ( $-0.1 \%$ ), which are generally net importers of energy and food products, and which are expected to post a decline in their terms of trade this year.

Figure III. 2
Latin America and the Caribbean (selected countries and country groupings): variation in the terms of trade, 2015-2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The figures for 2017 are projections.

## The trade balance will improve in 2017 thanks to stronger exports

Higher commodity prices, along with an improvement in global and intraregional trade activity and volumes, are reflected in an increase Latin American exports, which are expected to grow for the first time after four years of contractions (see box III.1). ${ }^{1}$ Year-on-year growth of $11 \%$ is expected at the end of 2017 , including an $8 \%$ increase in prices and a 3\% rise in volumes (see figure III.3). All of the region's countries posted growth in exports, particularly Brazil, which is forecast to record a jump of $17 \%$ in 2017. In the first nine months of the year, exports of iron ore and oil derivatives soared, up by $62 \%$ and $100 \%$, respectively, owing to strong price increases. Soybean exports also climbed sharply ( $20 \%$ ) thanks to improved harvests, as did exports of manufactured products, including automobiles and heavy goods vehicles. The countries which mainly export minerals and hydrocarbons saw big increases of $15 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively, in their exports. This trend stemmed primarily from higher prices for these products, which offset weaker export volumes in some cases. Assuming that the prices and export volumes of agricultural products will remain fairly steady this year, the value of exports for the countries concerned is expected to rise by 3\%, on average. The improvement in Mexico's manufacturing exports to the United States and Latin America, along with higher crude oil prices, is expected to boost that country's exports by $9 \%$. The other Central American countries are projected to record an increase of $6 \%$ in exports owing mainly to greater volumes, particularly in Honduras and Nicaragua, where exports are expected to grow by $6 \%$ and $9 \%$, respectively, thanks to stronger coffee, sugar and fruit production.

[^6]Figure III. 3
Latin America (selected countries and groupings): projected variation in goods exports, by volume and price, 2017 (Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC).
Box III. 1
Growth of trading partners and Latin American export volumes

The export volumes of Latin American countries are strongly linked to the economic activity of the region's trading partners -both intraregional and extraregional- as this activity is a proxy for the level of demand for exports from each country in the region.

The weighted average GDP growth of the region's trading partners, compared with the region's export volumes, points to a correlation coefficient of 0.9 for 2000-2017.

Latin America: indicator of trading partners' GDP growth and variation in export volumes ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Year-on-year percentage variation)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC).
a The growth indicator was calculated on the basis of trading partners' growth rates weighted according to each partner's share of the goods exported by the region's countries.

Bearing this in mind, and assuming that commodity prices remain stable, regional exports are likely to grow in 2018, owing primarily to export volumes. If current projections are confirmed, GDP growth for the region's trading partners is expected to be stronger in 2018 than in 2017 for virtually all countries, both in South and Central America.

Latin America: indicator of trading partners' growth, 2013-2018
(Percentages)

|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South America | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Argentina | 3.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Brazil | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Chile | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Colombia | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| Ecuador | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Peru | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Paraguay | 2.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Uruguay | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Central America and Mexico | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| Costa Rica | 2.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Dominican Republic | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| El Salvador | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Guatemala | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Honduras | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Mexico | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Nicaragua | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Panama | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Latin America | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from the United Nations Commodity Trade Database (COMTRADE), for trade partner weightings, and International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Economic Outlook, October 2017 [online database], https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ $\mathrm{ft} /$ weo/2017/01/weodata/index.aspx, for GDP growth projections.

In line with the improvement in the region's economies and higher commodity prices, goods imports are expected to reflect year-on-year growth of $8 \%$ at the end of 2017, with an increase of $4 \%$ in prices and in volumes (see figure III.4). All countries in the region are forecast to post increases in imports except the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. The most notable examples are Argentina, Ecuador and Paraguay, with growth of around $20 \%$. In the first two cases, imports improved against the backdrop of a return to economic growth after declines in 2016. In Ecuador, in addition to the improved economic performance, imports were also boosted considerably by the elimination of "safeguards" at the end of the first half of 2017. ${ }^{2}$ The region's two largest economies, Brazil and Mexico, are projected to post growth of 8\% in imports in 2017. Meanwhile, the rise in commodity prices, particularly of energy products, along with stronger volumes, explains the expected $7 \%$ jump in imports into Central America.

Latin America's trade balance improved in both 2016 and 2017: in the first case, owing to a contraction in imports coinciding with weaker regional GDP growth and in the second case, to stronger exports. As a result, the region moved from a deficit representing $1 \%$ of GDP in 2015 to surpluses equivalent to $0.1 \%$ and of $0.6 \%$ of GDP in 2016 in 2017, respectively.

[^7]Figure III. 4
Latin America (selected countries and groupings): projected variation in goods imports, by volume and price, 2017 (Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC).

## Deficits in the services and income accounts are projected to grow in 2017 while the transfer balance is expected to reflect a bigger surplus thanks to stronger migrant remittance inflows

In 2017, the services account deficit is expected to remain the same as in 2016, at around $0.9 \%$ of GDP. However, in nominal terms it is forecast to expand slightly, from US\$ 47.4 billion to US\$ 50.3 billion. The increase in goods imports will lead to higher volumes and freight costs, thus raising transport payments. ${ }^{3}$ Meanwhile, the economic upturns have boosted spending on travel and other services. Services exports will post growth of 7\% in 2017, owing to stronger tourism income as well as to transport and other services in general. ${ }^{4}$

With respect to the income account, the improvement in commodity prices this year implies an expansion of the deficit, from $2.5 \%$ of GDP to $2.7 \%$, given the higher level of repatriated earnings from foreign investment in natural resources. ${ }^{5}$ Since the beginning of the 2000s, the income account deficit has been closely linked to commodity price trends, as that period saw considerable foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, with a large share directed to the natural resources sector (see figure III.5). ${ }^{6}$

By contrast, the current transfer balance, which is a historically positive account, is expected to maintain its surplus of around $1.4 \%$ of GDP, although, measured in dollars, it is set to grow by $7 \%$ in 2017. In the first nine months of the year, migrant remittance flows, which are the main component of transfers, climbed by $9 \%$ on average in the region, thanks to stronger economic growth in the originating countries (see figure III.6). Notable examples are the Dominican Republic and Guatemala, where remittance inflows grew by $15 \%$, as well as Colombia, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua and Paraguay, where they climbed by more than $10 \%$.

[^8]Figure III. 5
Latin America: income balance and energy and non-energy commodity price indices, 1980-2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Indices: base=2010)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures and World Bank Commodity Price Data (Pink Sheet). a The figures for 2017 are projections.

Figure III. 6
Latin America and the Caribbean (selected countries): year-on-year variation in income from migrant remittances, 2015-2017 ${ }^{\text {b }}$
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Figures for 2017 refer to the period from January to October for El Salvador and Guatemala, and from January to September for Brazil, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Mexico, Nicaragua and Paraguay. The figures for Costa Rica, Ecuador, Honduras, Peru and the Plurinational State of Bolivia refer to the period from January to June. Figures for Jamaica refer to the period from January to April.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ - The United States is the main country of origin of remittances for all the countries considered, except for Paraguay and the Plurinational State of Bolivia, for which Spain is the main country of origin of remittances.

## Net financial flows to the region will be slightly lower in 2017 than in 2016, but will be more than enough to finance the smaller current account deficit

In 2017, overall net financial flows to the region will be 4\% lower than in 2016, but will still be enough to cover the current account deficit and even to accumulate international reserves. The stock of these reserves is expected to increase by about 4.2\% between 2016 and 2017.

Within the financial account, data to June point to stability in the region's net FDI flows. However, this stability stems from a considerable increase in FDI flows in Brazil (up 23\% year-on-year at the end of the third quarter), combined with a decline in FDI for the rest of the region (see figure III.7).

Non-FDI flows (basically, portfolio and other types of investment flows) have fallen so far this year in the region as a whole. Nonetheless, this trend also reflects two different realities for Brazil (increasing net outflows) and for the rest of the region (growing net inflows).

Figure III. 7
Latin America (selected countries): net direct investment flows and other financial flows, first quarter of 2008-second quarter of 2017
(Millions of dollars)

## A. Latin America ( 16 countries), excluding Brazil


---- Net direct investment

- Total capital and financial account balance
— Other financial flows


## B. Brazil



- Total capital and financial account balance
- Other financial flows
---- Net direct investment

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

# External bonds issued by Latin American and Caribbean countries grew by almost $9 \%$ in the first 10 months of 2017 compared with the year-earlier period and posted record volumes since the data series began 

Bond issues in international markets by the countries of the region continued to grow, and were up by almost $9 \%$ in the first 10 months of 2017 (compared with the year-earlier period). This is the result of a stronger appetite for emerging market assets and more favourable economic conditions in the region. Moreover, for the period from January to October 2017, total bond issues amounted to roughly US\$ 127 billion, a record since the data series began (see figure III.8). The banking and private corporate sectors posted the strongest increases in bond issues over the period, while those of the other sectors fell year-on-year. ${ }^{7}$

Figure III. 8
Latin America and the Caribbean: bonds issued in international markets in the first 10 months of each year, 2006-2017 (Millions of dollars)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the Latin Finance Bonds Database.
The largest increases between January and October in 2017 were posted by Chile and Peru, where, despite weaker economic performances, sovereign risk levels remained low and demand for these countries' bonds on international markets was solid (see figure III.9). By contrast, bonds issued by Argentina and Mexico recorded the strongest declines compared with 2016, although in both cases this performance stemmed from a particularly demanding comparison base in 2016. For Argentina, 2016 was the year the country returned to the bond markets after reaching an agreement with holdout creditors, which resulted in record bond issues during the first half of the year. In Mexico, bond issues by PEMEX were exceptionally high in 2016, as the State-owned oil company sought to pre-finance its needs for 2017 ahead of presidential elections in the United States. ${ }^{8}$

[^9]
## In 2017, sovereign risk levels in the region maintained the downward trend that began in February 2016

Sovereign risk levels in almost all the countries of the region have been declining since early 2016, in line with calmer global financial markets and greater financing volumes in the region's bond markets.

Between 1 January and 31 October 2017, the Emerging Market Bond Index Global (EMBIG), which reflects regional sovereign risk, fell by 70 basis points to 403 basis points (see figure III.10). The notable exception to this trend was the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, where risk levels stood at 3,171 basis points at the end of October, and even shot up to more than 5,000 basis points following the Government's announcement that it would be restructuring its debt and the country's failure to meet interest payment deadlines, which resulted in a downgrade to selective default by credit rating agencies.

Figure III. 9
Latin America (15 countries): debt issuance in international markets, January-October 2016 and 2017 (Millions of dollars)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the Latin Finance Bonds Database.
Figure III. 10
Latin America (13 countries): sovereign risk according to the Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBIG),
January 2012-October 2017
(Basis points)


| EMBIG level at: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { December } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \text { December } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { October } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |
| Argentina | 438 | 455 | 361 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 250 | 83 | 204 |
| Brazil | 548 | 330 | 237 |
| Chile | 253 | 158 | 118 |
| Colombia | 317 | 225 | 183 |
| Dominican Republic | 421 | 407 | 278 |
| Ecuador | 1266 | 647 | 563 |
| Mexico | 315 | 296 | 247 |
| Panama | 214 | 187 | 124 |
| Paraguay | 338 | 281 | 196 |
| Peru | 240 | 170 | 136 |
| Uruguay | 280 | 244 | 154 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 2807 | 2168 | 3171 |
| Latin America | 605 | 473 | 403 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from JP Morgan.

Box III. 2
Link between terms of trade, capital flows and economic activity in the region

Commodity price trends have a strong impact on terms of trade in the region, particularly for a number of South American countries where commodities and the manufactured products derived from them account for a large portion of the export basket. Also, global financial market conditions (degree of liquidity and financial tensions) determine the availability of external financing for the countries of the region. The impact of these two external factors on these countries' economic growth has changed over time.

In the 1990s, capital flows, particularly portfolio flows (investments by non-residents in stocks and bonds in the region's countries) were closely linked to regional growth, but this link weakened considerably from 2000 onwards (see figure 1).

Figure 1
Latin America: economic growth, terms of trade and capital flows
A. Latin America and the Caribbean: variation in GDP and net portfolio inflows
(Percentages of GDP)

C. Latin America and the Caribbean: variation in GDP and annual variation in terms of trade
(Percentages of GDP)

— ToT $(y-0-y) \quad$---- GDP, constant prices $(y-0-y)$

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures and International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Economic Outlook Database, October 2017 [online] https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2017/01/weodata/index.aspx.

Meanwhile, at the beginning of the analysis period the correlation between the terms of trade and regional economic growth was weak, but grew much stronger from 2000 onwards. The latter trend stemmed partly from stronger FDI in the same period, as a large share of this FDI - particularly in South America - was focused on the natural resources sector (see figure 2). In the 1990s, the crises in the region involved sudden stops in portfolio flows, while in the past few years, the shocks in terms of trade were the main cause -in terms of external factors-of declining growth in several commodity-exporting countries, and thus, of the weak average economic growth in the region.

Figure 2
South America: net FDI flows, by sector
(Percentages)

## A. South America


B. South America, excluding Brazil
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## Economic activity

The recovery of economic growth in Latin America is slowly strengthening
Economic activity is expanding, driven by the recovery of domestic demand and export growth

After declining for 13 consecutive quarters and thanks to the rebound in South America, gross fixed capital formation expanded in Latin America, while it fell in Mexico and Central America

Agriculture, commerce and manufacturing are the sectors driving economic growth

## The recovery of economic growth in Latin America is slowly strengthening

In 2016, economic activity in the countries of Latin America and the Caribbean recorded its worst performance since the global financial crisis, with the region's GDP posting a drop of $1.0 \%$. Nevertheless, as early as the first quarter of 2016, Latin America's GDP showed the first sign of a possible reversion of the downward trend, when the region's GDP marked a turning point and after which it has maintained an upward trend, with the highest rate of growth in three years being recorded in the third quarter of 2017 (see figure IV.1).

Figure IV. 1
Latin America: year-on-year change in quarterly GDP, weighted averages, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017
(Percentages, on the basis of dollars at constant 2010 prices)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

During the first three quarters of 2017, the regional growth rate rose by $1.2 \%$ over the same period the previous year, compared with $-0.5 \%$ of GDP in the last quarter of 2016. In Argentina (2.5\%), Brazil ( $0.6 \%$ ), Colombia (1.5\%), Ecuador ( $2.8 \%$ ), Honduras ( $5.1 \%$ ), Nicaragua ( $5.4 \%$ ), Panama ( $5.8 \%$ ) and Uruguay ( $3.6 \%$ ), the semi-annual economic activity growth rates show an increase in the rate of expansion of economic activity compared to the levels of dynamism posted in the second half of 2016. ${ }^{1}$ In Brazil, GDP growth over the two most recent quarters ( $0.4 \%$ and $1.4 \%$, respectively) has been considerable, since over the previous 12 quarters the economy had been growing at negative rates.

After the contraction registered in 2016, the GDP of Latin America and the Caribbean will grow by $1.3 \%$ in 2017, although, as is typical in the region, the performances of certain specific countries and subregions will diverge significantly from the general trend. The 2017 growth in the region's GDP will translate into an increase of 0.3\% in the region's per capita GDP. While this increase is modest, it is outstrips the growth rates recorded over the past two years, when, on average, annual drops of 1.7\% were reported. A rebound in the region's economic dynamics is expected in 2018, with a higher rate of average growth. The economies of South America will grow

[^11]by $0.8 \%$ this year, after two years of economic downturn. For the group comprising the economies of Central America, Cuba, the Dominican Republic and Haiti, a growth rate of $3.3 \%$ is expected this year, a level similar to the 2016 result. Forecasts for the English- and Dutch-speaking Caribbean indicate average growth rates of 0.1\% in 2017, a figure that reflects the damage wrought by Hurricanes Irma and María in some of the subregion's countries.

## Economic activity is expanding, driven by the recovery of domestic demand and export growth

After the downturn posted in 2016, the GDP of Latin America and the Caribbean will grow by $1.3 \%$ in 2017. This improvement in GDP performance comes on the back of rising domestic demand, for both consumption and investment, as well as rising external demand. Domestic demand rose by $1.5 \%$ over the first three quarters of 2017. That was on account of increases of $2.3 \%$ in investment and $1.7 \%$ in private consumption and, to a lesser extent, of a $0.1 \%$ rise in public consumption.

The growth in investment is the result of larger changes in inventories, which in the fourth quarter of 2016 embarked on a positive path that increased their contribution to GDP (amounting to 0.3 percentage points of GDP in the fourth quarter of 2016 and 0.8 percentage points of GDP in the first three quarters of this year). This result can be explained by the positive contribution made by changes in inventories in all the economies of South America, with the exception of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (see figure IV.2).

Figure IV. 2
Latin America: GDP growth rates and contribution by expenditure components to growth, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017
(Percentage points)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

The positive evolution of real wages -since the low inflation rates recorded more than offset the slower growth in nominal wages- and the recovery of migrant remittances in some countries are behind the increase in private consumption seen so far this year. This positive effect on private consumption offset the relatively low dynamism of domestic credit and the worsening employment situation (the urban unemployment rate in Latin America and the Caribbean rose over last year's result). Meanwhile, the fiscal adjustments implemented by several of the region's governments continued to weigh on public consumption.

Moving on to foreign trade in goods and services, the improved performance of domestic demand and the more encouraging international context allowed imports and exports to expand. Thus, the contribution of exports to GDP was three times the 0.4 percentage point result posted in 2016. However, since import volumes rose faster than exports, net exports over the first three quarters of 2017 made a negative contribution of -0.9 percentage points to GDP.

The quarterly dynamics show that at the subregional level -certain outliers notwithstanding - the clear contrast in trends that had existed between the South America and the group comprising Central America, the Dominican Republic and Mexico since 2013 evaporated in the third quarter of 2017. While South America hit a turning point in the second quarter of 2016 and began to post improvements, the Central America, Dominican Republic and Mexico group continue along the path they have followed over the past few years. In South America, the expenditure components that contributed to GDP growth in 2017 were, initially, the recovery of investment, through increased inventories, and, at a later point, an uptick in private consumption. In the third quarter, however, both in South America and in the group comprising Central America, the Dominican Republic and Mexico, GDP growth was on account of the contribution made by private consumption and exports (see figure IV.3).

## After declining for 13 consecutive quarters and thanks to the rebound in South America, gross fixed capital formation expanded in Latin America, while it fell in Mexico and Central America

After falling for 13 consecutive quarters at an average annual rate of $4.4 \%$, the region's gross fixed capital formation rose by $0.7 \%$ in the third quarter of 2017. The downturn was attributable in its entirety to the drop in gross fixed capital formation in South America, particularly in Brazil. However, in the third quarter of 2017, this decline in South America was reversed, when an increase of $1.0 \%$ was reported. Over the same period, however, gross fixed capital formation in the group comprising Central America, the Dominican Republic and Mexico fell by 0.9\% (see figure IV.4).

Figure IV. 3
Mexico, Central America and South America: GDP growth rates and contribution by expenditure components to growth, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017
(Percentage points)

## A. Central America, Dominican Republic and Mexico



## B. South America



Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Figure IV. 4
Latin America: changes in gross fixed capital formation on the same quarter the previous year, weighted averages, first quarter of 2014-third quarter of 2017 (Percentages)


- Central America,

Dominican Rep. and Mexico
---- Latin America

- South America

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

## Agriculture, commerce and manufacturing are the sectors driving economic growth

Activity in the commercial sector, which partly reflects the evolution of private consumption and which had been falling since the fourth quarter of 2015, reported a modest spike in the fourth quarter of 2016, with further increases in the first and second quarters of 2017. This behaviour in the region's commercial activity was on account of an uptick in private consumption. Likewise, the recovery of the external sector, coupled with greater dynamism in domestic demand, was reflected in the growth of the transport and communications sectors and manufacturing industry. The contribution of the region's agricultural sector also rose by around half a percentage point thanks to the higher rate of growth in the sector posted by Brazil.

In contrast, the poor performance of the construction sector activity, which reflects investment dynamics, continues to make a negative contribution to growth. Similarly, mining and quarrying contribute negatively to GDP growth and, because of their falling levels of activity, this is the sector with the largest negative impact (see figure IV.5).

Figure IV. 5
Latin America: value added growth rates and contribution by economic sectors,
first quarter of 2015-second quarter of 2017
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.


## Domestic prices

Inflation has fallen in the region, with clearly differentiated patterns between subregions
Food price inflation fell more quickly than core inflation and inflation slowed more rapidly in tradable goods than in non-tradables

## Inflation has fallen in the region, with clearly differentiated patterns between subregions

During the first 10 months of 2017, average inflation in Latin America and the Caribbean continued the downward trend that started in mid-2016, falling by 2.9 percentage points year-on-year (from $8.2 \%$ at October 2016 to $5.3 \%$ at October 2017). Figure V. 1 clearly shows that current inflation levels in the region are the lowest they have been since the beginning of 2014.

Figure V. 1
Latin America and the Caribbean: consumer price index (CPI), weighted average 12-month rates of variation,
January 2014-October 2017
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Figure V. 1 illustrates the speed of the disinflation the region has seen in recent months. Between May 2016 -when it peaked at $8.9 \%$ - and October 2017, regional inflation fell by 360 basis points, at about 20 basis points per month; this represented a $40 \%$ drop. Nevertheless, there has been a slight increase since July 2017, bringing an end to 13 consecutive months of declining inflation in the region.

Figure V. 1 also points to a sharper divergence in inflation patterns between the different subregions of Latin America and the Caribbean. Between October 2016 and October 2017, inflation dropped by 5.7 percentage points in South America and by 3.5 percentage points in the Caribbean, falling from $10.6 \%$ to $4.9 \%$ and $7.2 \%$ to $3.7 \%$, respectively. At the same time, in Central America and Mexico, inflation rose by 2.6 percentage points, from $3.4 \%$ in 2016 to $6.0 \%$ in 2017 (see table V.1). Although different factors contributed to these subregional disparities, exchange-rate dynamics and anti-inflationary monetary policies were certainly the most significant. While currency appreciation in the economies of the south of the continent has helped to drive down prices, the depreciation of currencies in the Central America and Mexico group has sparked an increase in inflation. Furthermore, the adoption of policies aimed at disinflation in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia and Suriname has led to a sharp decline in inflation.

## Table V. 1

Latin America and the Caribbean: 12-month rates of change in consumer price indices (CPI), October 2015-October 2017 (Percentages)

|  | ${ }_{2015}^{\text {At October }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { At October } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | At October | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At December } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At December } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.2 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
| South America ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.5 | 10.6 | 4.9 | 10.6 | 9.1 |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 22.4 | 43.4 | 22.9 | 27.5 | 38.5 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Brazil | 9.9 | 7.9 | 2.7 | 10.7 | 6.3 |
| Chile | 4.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 2.7 |
| Colombia | 5.9 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 5.7 |
| Ecuador | 3.5 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| Paraguay | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
| Peru | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 3.2 |
| Uruguay | 9.2 | 8.5 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 8.1 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 156.1 | ... | ... | 180.9 | $\ldots$ |
| Central America and Mexico | 2.7 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 3.7 |
| Costa Rica | -0.9 | 0.6 | 2.3 | -0.8 | 0.8 |
| Dominican Republic | 1.2 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| El Salvador | -0.2 | -0.9 | $1.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.0 | -0.9 |
| Guatemala | 2.2 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| Haiti | 11.7 | 13.3 | $15.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12.5 | 14.3 |
| Honduras | 2.5 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| Mexico | 2.5 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 3.4 |
| Nicaragua | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Panama | -0.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| The Caribbean | 1.4 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 5.4 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 1.2 | -1.1 | $2.2{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.9 | -1.1 |
| Bahamas | 1.5 | 0.5 | $2.7{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| Barbados | -2.4 | 2.0 | $1.2{ }^{\text {f }}$ | -2.3 | 3.2 |
| Belize | -0.8 | 0.7 | $0.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -0.6 | 1.1 |
| Dominica | -0.6 | 0.2 | $1.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -0.5 | 0.6 |
| Grenada | 0.7 | 1.0 | $1.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Guyana | -1.4 | 1.2 | $1.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -1.8 | 1.4 |
| Jamaica | 2.0 | 1.8 | $4.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.7 | 1.7 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -4.0 | 1.2 | $0.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -2.4 | 0.0 |
| Saint Lucia | -3.1 | -2.1 | $-0.3{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -2.6 | -2.8 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | -2.0 | 0.7 | 1.98 | -2.1 | 1.0 |
| Suriname | 4.1 | 75.6 | $16.2^{\text {d }}$ | 25.2 | 49.2 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 3.1 | 2.5 | $1.2^{\text {c }}$ | 1.5 | 3.1 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Excludes the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela owing to the lack of official information from December 2015.
${ }^{-}$For 2017, the series was spliced with that of the consumer price index of the Greater Buenos Aires Province for the purposes of year-on-year comparison.

- Data to September 2017.
d Data to August 2017.
- Data to March 2017.
+ Data to June 2017.

At the country level, between October 2016 and October 2017, inflation dropped in 14 of the region's economies, 8 of which are in South America. Significant declines -by more than 20 percentage points- were posted in Argentina and Suriname. However, inflation picked up in 16 economies: 7 in the Central America and Mexico subregion and 9 in the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean. While inflation quickened in the economies of the Central America and Mexico group, only in Mexico was it outside of the target range set by monetary authorities. In the Caribbean countries that saw a pick-up in inflation, only Jamaica registered rates higher than $4 \%$.

With regard to the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, although neither the Central Bank nor the National Institute of Statistics have published information on prices since December 2015, Central Bank figures for 2016 released by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) show inflation reaching 302.7\% that year. In 2017, the National Assembly published data on monthly inflation using a national index, which showed inflation climbing over the year, from 18\% in January to $45.5 \%$ in October. The data point to cumulative inflation of $825 \%$.

## Food price inflation fell more quickly than core inflation and inflation slowed more rapidly in tradable goods than in non-tradables

In 2017, inflation in foods and non-alcoholic beverages in the region fell by 6.7 percentage points year-on-year from $10.2 \%$ in October 2016 to $3.5 \%$ in October 2017; the drop was 4.8 percentage points when compared with December 2016 ( $8.3 \%$ ). In the South American economies, food price inflation was down by 10.9 percentage points, from $13.2 \%$ in October 2016 to $2.3 \%$ in October 2017. Of the eight countries in which this occurred, Argentina and Brazil recorded double-digit falls. In Central America and Mexico, food price inflation was down in only two countries (Haiti and Panama), but picked up in the other economies; as a result, the average for this subregion rose by 1.7 percentage points between October 2016 ( $4.3 \%$ ) and October 2017 ( $6.0 \%$ ).

Core inflation in Latin America and the Caribbean also fell in that period. In South America, it dropped from 9.9\% in October 2016 to $5.7 \%$ in the same month of 2017, representing a fall of 4.2 percentage points, while in Central America and Mexico, it rose by 0.2 percentage points, from $3.5 \%$ to $3.7 \%$. The countries that recorded the largest year-on-year declines in core inflation in October 2017 were Argentina (18.1 percentage points) and Haiti (15 percentage points); the greatest increase occurred in Mexico (see figure V.2)

As with the other components of headline inflation, average tradable goods inflation fell in Latin America and the Caribbean, from 9.9\% in October 2016 to 3.8\% in the same month of 2017. Once again, the fall in South America (down 9.8 percentage points from $13 \%$ in October 2016) was responsible for the drop in the regional average since, by contrast, this category of inflation in Central America and Mexico rose by 1.3 percentage points, climbing from 4.0\% in October 2016 to $5.3 \%$ in October 2017. Inflation eased the most in the economies of Argentina, Brazil and Haiti, while Costa Rica, Mexico and the Dominican Republic showed the largest increases.

Figure V. 2
Latin America and the Caribbean: consumer price index (CPI), weighted average 12-month rates of variation in headline, core, food, goods and services inflation, January 2014-October 2017
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

## Employment and wages

Unemployment rose on account of weak job creation and a rebound in entries into the labour market

There is a slight reduction in differentials in employment and participation between men and women, but not in the unemployment gap

Regional wage employment began to grow, but still very slowly, and average job quality fell again

Real wages increased moderately in most countries, bolstering household consumption

The urban unemployment rate in Latin America and the Caribbean is forecast to rise from $8.9 \%$ in 2016 to an average of $9.4 \%$ in 2017. That will give an cumulative increase of 2.5 percentage points since 2014 and a rise of 7 million unemployed people (from 15.8 million to 22.8 million) in the region's urban areas between 2014 and 2017. It is the highest rate since 2005, which means that a considerable part of the significant progress the region made during the 2000s and the first years of the 2010s will have been lost. Over the year, however, the year-on-year decline eased gradually, and the first reduction in the urban unemployment rate since 2014 is expected in 2018.

## Unemployment rose on account of weak job creation and a rebound in entries into the labour market

This new increase in urban unemployment was due, first, to the persistent weakness of job creation, since the urban employment rate has been falling steadily in year-onyear terms since the second quarter of 2014. However, as occurred with the urban unemployment rate, this decline became less pronounced as the year progressed and, for the region as a whole, the average employment rate is expected to fall only slightly during 2017, by around 0.1 of a percentage point. As will be shown below, this drop in the employment rate was again related to the weak creation of wage employment, reflecting slow economic growth and the correspondingly lacklustre demand for labour; however, in terms of the number of people employed, this was partially offset by the increase in unwaged employment.

At the same time, weak job creation was accompanied by a relatively large increase in the unemployment rate. This was caused by a significant expansion of the labour supply, seen in a rise of some 0.3 percentage points in the urban participation rate.

Figure VI. 1 shows how, since 2016, the dynamics of the labour supply and job creation have reinforced each other to raise the unemployment rate, while in the preceding two years, a falling participation rate had eased the impact of falling employment on unemployment figures. ${ }^{1}$ The greatest contribution to increased urban unemployment made by changes in the labour participation rate -almost 0.5 percentage points- was registered in 2016. While the influence of the labour supply fell in 2017 and its impact on the rise in the unemployment rate was approximately 0.4 percentage points, this year it had a greater relative impact than weak job creation.

Up until 2013, the change in the employment rate helped reduce the unemployment rate; since then, the region's low (in 2014 and 2017) and negative (in 2015 and 2016) economic growth caused a drop in the proportion of the population of working age who were employed, with the corresponding impact on unemployment. That impact peaked in 2016, when it had an influence of 1.1 percentage points on the unemployment rate; in contrast, in 2017 it will have a lower influence than the labour supply, with an impact of around 0.1 of a percentage point.

[^12]Figure VI. 1
Latin America and the Caribbean: contributions of changes in the rates of participation and employment to changes in the urban unemployment rate, 2012-2017
(percentage points)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
Note: Since the figure depicts the contributions of the employment and participation rates to changes in the unemployment rate, increases in the employment rate are indicated by negative figures, while drops in the employment rate are shown by positive figures.
a Estimates.

Figure VI. 2
Latin America and the Caribbean (11 countries): urban participation, employment and unemployment rates (rolling years) and year-on-year changes (weighted averages), first quarter of 2014 to third quarter of $2017^{a}$
(Percentages and percentage points)

Over the course of the year, in line with the gradual reactivation of the region's economy, both the employment and unemployment rates improved, after both indicators posted the largest year-on-year deteriorations in the second quarter of 2016. As shown in figure VI.2, in one group of 11 Latin American and Caribbean countries, the year-on-year drop in the urban employment rate declined gradually and, in the third quarter of 2017, improved very slightly compared to the same quarter the previous year. At the same time, the increase in the urban unemployment rate slowed continuously and, in the third quarter of 2017, the year-on-year increase was minimal. Taking into account the dynamics of economic growth up to the end of 2017 and the forecasts for 2018, these trends indicate that both indicators will post modest improvements next year.
A. Urban participation, employment and unemployment rates, rolling years (percentages)


## B. Year-on-year change

(percentage points)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The countries covered are Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Jamaica, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay. Some estimates based on incomplete data are included.

- Preliminary data.

As in 2016, the region's 2017 results were strongly influenced by the performance of the Brazilian labour market where, while the deterioration eased over the year, the unemployment rate rose again quite sharply: the average for the first three quarters increased from $11.3 \%$ to $13.1 \%$ nationally, and from $12.8 \%$ to $14.7 \%$ in the 20 largest metropolitan regions. However, deteriorating labour indicators were a relatively widespread phenomenon. This was especially true in South America, where the unemployment rate increased -albeit moderately-in six of the eight countries with available data (Chile, Colombia, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay, in addition to Brazil); in contrast, Argentina and Ecuador posted falling unemployment rates. The preliminary results were somewhat more favourable in the Caribbean -with unemployment falling in the Bahamas and Jamaica but rising in Barbados, Belize and Trinidad and Tobago- and, most particularly, in Mexico and Central America: among the countries of that subregion for which information is available, only Panama reported a worsening unemployment rate; the figures remained stable in Guatemala and fell in Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Mexico and Nicaragua. ${ }^{2}$

## There is a slight reduction in differentials in employment and participation between men and women, but not in the unemployment gap

The main employment variables for men and women evolved unevenly in the region's countries over the year. Figure VI. 3 shows the median year-on-year variations in the rates of participation, employment and unemployment for men and women in the countries with national total data available for the first three quarters of 2017. The figures indicate that the increase in the median value of the participation rate was on

[^13]Figure VI. 3 Latin America and the Caribbean (18 countries): year-on-year changes in participation, employment and unemployment rates by sex, median values, first three quarters 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Percentage points)
account of the stronger labour insertion of women: the female participation rate rose by 0.4 percentage points, while that of men posted a slight decrease. The result of this is a continuation of the long-term trend towards a reduced gender gap in labour participation; in absolute terms, however, the gap remains wide. ${ }^{3}$


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The countries covered are Argentina, the Bahamas, Barbados, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Trinidad and Tobago and Uruguay. Not all the countries have complete information for all three quarters.

In contrast to the weighted average variation in urban employment rates, the median figures for variations in the national employment rate remained unchanged. As with the participation rate, there is a contrast between the sexes: the median figure for the women's employment rate rose by more than 0.2 percentage points, while the rate for men remained largely unchanged. ${ }^{4}$

Finally, in the median of those countries where information is available, the unemployment rate remained virtually stable for both men and women. ${ }^{5}$ Among men, however, this was the result of largely unchanged participation and employment rates, while among women it occurred in a context of simultaneous increases in both variables. In any case, the severe gap between male and female unemployment rates remains in place, underscoring the difficulties many women face in trying to enter the labour market. ${ }^{6}$

[^14]
## Regional wage employment began to grow, but still very slowly, and average job quality fell again

Since 2013, own-account work has grown faster than wage employment, with particularly sharp differentials reported between 2014 and 2016. ${ }^{7}$ This divergence reflects, first, the weakness of the demand for labour and thus of wage employment creation in private companies and the public sector. Second, it was the result of pressure from the labour supply, given that many households were forced to seek earnings from sources other than waged employment and to self-generate paid work, generally with precarious and volatile incomes and without social protection; thus, this increase indicates a deterioration in average job quality.

This trend continued in 2017: while the creation of wage jobs showed moderate levels of dynamism in some countries, in general those new jobs were not created in sufficient numbers to meet the needs of labour market entrants and, again, ownaccount work increased more rapidly than wage employment. Thus, in the weighted average of 12 countries for the third quarter of 2017, wage employment rose by $0.4 \%$ in comparison with the period between the first and third quarters of 2016, while the number of own-account workers increased by $1.1 \%$ and employment as a whole by $0.8 \%$ (see figure VI.4). ${ }^{8}$


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The countries covered are Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay and Peru.
b The growth rates for the employment categories are averages for the January-September period, while the economic growth figures are projections for the year as a whole.

[^15]Figure VI. 4
Latin America and the Caribbean (12 countries): economic growth and job creation dynamics, weighted averages, 2014-2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)

Registered employment, ${ }^{9}$ which is used as an indicator of formal, better quality jobs, evolved along lines similar to the patterns analysed above: (i) the creation of jobs of this kind was weak, (ii) the situation improved as the year progressed, and (iii) countries in the north of the region posted more favourable results than the countries of South America.

Among South American countries in particular, the expansion of this type of employment remains very weak, with rates of growth in the third quarter generally not exceeding 1\%. On the other hand, there was a clear upturn in Argentina and Brazil, with modest increases in the number of formally employed persons over the year, although the year-on-year change in Brazil remained negative. Despite the positive rates reported, the evolution of registered employment in Chile, Peru and Uruguay shows that the formal sector's demand for labour remains depressed, especially compared to the expansion recorded in employment of this kind up until 2013 (see figure VI.5).

At the same time, three of the four economies in the north of Latin America for which monthly data are available posted increases in formal employment at rates in excess of $2 \%$. Particularly notable in this regard were Mexico and Nicaragua, which are implementing programmes to formalize pre-existing jobs. ${ }^{10}$

Figure VI. 5
Latin America (9 countries): year-on-year variation in registered employment, 2015-2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data indicate wage-earners contributing to social security systems, except in the case of Brazil where they refer to private sector wage-earners reported by firms to the General Registry on Employment and Unemployment, and Peru, where the figures represent employment reported in formal, non-agricultural small, medium and large firms.

- The year-on-year variation for 2017 refers to the averages for January-July in the case of Uruguay, January-August for Costa Rica and El Salvador, and JanuarySeptember for Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Mexico, Nicaragua and Peru.

[^16]The 2017 evolution of hourly underemployment ${ }^{11}$ broadly reflected the uneven evolution of employment in the north and south of Latin America already outlined above. Among the countries in the north of the region with information available, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Mexico and Nicaragua reported improvements and only in Guatemala did hourly underemployment increase compared to the previous year. In contrast, among the South American countries, the hourly underemployment rate rose in Brazil, Chile, Ecuador and Peru, while it remained largely unchanged in Paraguay and Uruguay and fell slightly in Argentina and Colombia.

Employment by branch of activity also evolved unevenly, but the predominant pattern, indicated by the median growth rate figures, showed changes in some branches but not in others, compared to previous years. Agricultural activities, which had contracted in recent years, enjoyed a relatively healthy expansion, as indicated by the median values of those countries for which data are available. As a result, the sector increased its share of employment, led by some countries where it already accounts for a relatively high share, such as Ecuador and Guatemala; this might be the result of increases in the numbers of people engaged in small-scale farming caused by weak job creation in more productive and better paid activities (see figure VI.6). Another area where employment grew more than in previous years was manufacturing industry, where job growth between 2012 and 2016 reported very low -or even, in 2016, negative- results. In keeping with the sector's reactivation across the region in 2017, relatively large increases were recorded. The situation was similar in the construction sector, where employment expanded only slowly between 2013 and 2016 and where, in several countries, there is now a degree of recovery. However, in other countries, such as Brazil, Colombia, Chile and Guatemala, employment in this sector declined from the 2016 level, so the increase in question was not a region-wide phenomenon.

Figure VI. 6
Latin America and the Caribbean (13 countries): year-on-year variation in employment by sector of activity, median values and weighted averages, first three quarters of $2017^{\circ}$
(Percentages)


[^17][^18]Employment in financial services, real estate and business services tends to be markedly procyclical and, in recent years, it has risen much more slowly than the rates recorded since the mid-2000s, with 2016 posting a contraction. In 2017, several countries again lost jobs in those sectors but, in line with the rising output of those activities in the region, most countries reported relatively strong improvements. At the same time, commerce, transport, and community, social and personal services continued to grow at rates similar to those seen in the preceding years.

As can be seen in figure VI.6, the weighted average of changes in employment by sector shows some pronounced differences from the median value; this was caused, in particular, by weak job creation and the major changes in certain sectors in Brazil. Thus, in the weighted average, employment in the agricultural and construction sectors fell, whereas the median reports increases of different magnitudes.

## Real wages increased moderately in most countries, bolstering household consumption

The evolution of the real wages for registered employment was shaped by the combined impact of the constrained demand for labour (and its implications for nominal wages) and the evolution of inflation. As shown in figure VI.7, in the group of countries from the northern subregion (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Mexico and Nicaragua), the nominal wage growth rate increased, but steeper inflation caused a slightly slower growth in real wages than in 2016. Lower increases in real wages were reported in Costa Rica and Nicaragua, while in Mexico, the acceleration of inflation caused a decline. In contrast, a combination of low inflation and a sharp rise in nominal wages -the result of a major increase in the minimum wage - led to a real-term gain of more than $4 \%$ in the average wages of social security contributors in El Salvador.

Figure VI. 7
Latin America (10 countries): breakdown of year-on-year variation in real wages by subregions,
simple average for 2016 and first three quarters of $2017^{a}$
(Percentages)


[^19]In contrast to the prevailing trend in the north of the region, the sharp drop in inflation in the South American countries for which figures are available (Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay) had a favourable impact on real wages that more than offset the slower growth in nominal wages. This was a key factor in stabilizing household purchasing power and thus driving consumption, and that was a major element in the 2017 revitalization of economic growth. In any event, real increases in wages were limited and, with the exception of Uruguay, did not exceed 2\%.

As in previous years, the evolution of average wages in most of the countries was supported by wage policies that aimed at ensuring moderate increases in real-term minimum wages. Thus, the median real-term minimum wage for 18 Latin American and Caribbean countries rose by $2.8 \%$.

In 2018, the return to economic growth is expected to have a positive impact on overall demand and job creation and, as a result, the region's urban employment rate will increase slightly, for the first time since 2013. The impact of this increased job creation will be limited, however, as the trend of a moderately rising participation rate is expected to continue. Consequently, the region's urban unemployment rate is forecast to fall slightly, by 0.2 percentage points, and to stand at an average of $9.2 \%$ in 2018. At the same time, because of the modest increase in the demand for labour and because inflation rates are generally being held in control, the trend of gradually rising real wages is expected to continue.


## Macroeconomic policies

## A. Fiscal policy

Latin America's primary deficit shrank in 2017, but rising interest payments drove up the total deficit in many countries
Public debt, measured as a percentage of GDP, was fairly stable in 2017
The upturn in economic activity in South America is reflected in higher tax revenues
Fiscal consolidation is mainly taking the form of the containment of public expenditure
B. Monetary and exchange-rate policies

Differences in monetary policies widen between the region's south and north Lending rates are headed downwards, while domestic credit for the private sector is increasing in Latin America but not in the Caribbean countries
The region's currencies were more stable in 2017 than in 2016
After the depreciation seen in 2016, the real effective extraregional exchange rate appreciated in 2017
International reserves continue to rise

## A. Fiscal policy

## Latin America's primary deficit shrank in 2017, but rising interest payments drove up the total deficit in many countries

Preliminary estimates for 2017 indicate that the primary deficit for the 17 reporting countries of Latin America declined from its 2016 level of $-1.0 \%$ of GDP to -0.8\% of GDP, as a result of a slowdown in public expenditure and the countries' efforts to boost fiscal revenues (see figure VII.1). However, an upturn in the service on the public debt offset the improvement in the primary balance, and the overall balance therefore held steady at $-3.1 \%$ of GDP. Given these factors, the adjustment of fiscal accounts had the effect of reducing the contribution of general government consumption to GDP growth to around zero, according to the corresponding national accounts.

Figure VII. 1
Latin America and the Caribbean: overall and primary balances and interest payments, 2015-2017 ${ }^{\text {ab }}$
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Simple averages. The figures for 2017 are official estimates taken from 2018 budget documents. The figures for Mexico correspond to the federal public sector, while those shown for Peru correspond to the general government. The data do not include figures for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela or for the Plurinational State of Bolivia.
b The averages shown for the Caribbean do not include figures for Dominica, which registered a fiscal surplus of $35.1 \%$ of GDP in 2016 owing to the receipt of windfall gains.

However, as may be seen from figure VII.1, the fiscal performance of the various countries in Latin America varied substantially. On the one hand, at $-0.2 \%$ of GDP in 2017 for the second year in a row, the average primary balance for Central America, the Dominican Republic, Haiti and Mexico was nearly at equilibrium. This trend towards smaller primary deficits is largely attributable to the efforts of these countries' governments to overcome the fiscal challenges that they faced in the wake of the 2008-2009 global crisis, and this was particularly true of the Dominican Republic and Honduras. Some of the countries in this group did have large primary deficits, however, as was the case of Costa Rica ( $-2.9 \%$ of GDP in 2017) and Panama ( $-2.4 \%$ of GDP). On the other hand, higher interest payments -which rose from an average of 2.0\% of GDP in 2016 to $2.2 \%$ of GDP- pushed up the total deficit from $-2.1 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $-2.4 \%$ of GDP in 2017.

South America's average primary deficit, although still significant, narrowed for the first time in five years, falling from -1.9\% of GDP in 2016 to $-1.5 \%$ of GDP in 2017. This downward trend was quite widespread: of the eight countries reporting these figures, the primary balance improved in five of them. Nevertheless, the size of the primary deficit remained significant in 2017 in a number of countries, including Argentina ( $-2.5 \%$ of GDP), Brazil ( $-2.2 \%$ of GDP), Chile ( $-1.9 \%$ of GDP), Ecuador ( $-2.4 \%$ of GDP) and Peru $(-1.7 \%$ of GDP). The impact that the reduction in the primary deficit had on the overall deficit (which shrank from -4.2\% of GDP in 2016 to -3.9\% of GDP) was undone to some extent by the increase in the service on the public debt, which climbed from $2.3 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $2.4 \%$ of GDP.

In the Caribbean, the average primary surplus held at $1.2 \%$ of GDP in 2017 for the second year running. However, the need to generate large primary surpluses in order to cope with these countries' hefty public debts continues to constrain the role of fiscal policy in driving the subregion's growth. Although the average primary balance for the subregion is relatively stable, an upward trend in the primary deficit has been observed in some countries that specialize in the production of raw materials, such as Guyana (from $-3.5 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $-4.5 \%$ of GDP in 2017) and Trinidad and Tobago (from -2.9\% in 2016 to $-5.4 \%$ of GDP).

## Public debt, measured as a percentage of GDP, was fairly stable in 2017

In the third quarter of 2017, Latin America's gross public debt amounted to $38.4 \%$ of GDP, which was similar to the level recorded at the close of 2016. Although the simple average of the public debt hardly changed at all, the number of countries that witnessed an increase in their level of debt rose; this was offset by a decline in debt levels in 7 of the 19 countries of the region, however. In many of the countries, the upswing in debt levels was primarily fueled by increased sovereign debt issues undertaken in an effort to find new sources of financing.

Brazil continues to be the country with the largest public debt, at $73.9 \%$ of GDP, followed by Argentina, with a debt equivalent to $53.7 \%$ of GDP, and Honduras, with a debt amounting to $47.8 \%$ of GDP. At the other end of the spectrum, Paraguay has the smallest public debt in the region ( $20.8 \%$ of GDP), followed by Peru ( $21.2 \%$ of GDP) and Guatemala (23.5\% of GDP) (see figure VII.2).

At the subregional level, South America's gross public debt expanded by 0.8 percentage points of GDP to $38.7 \%$ of GDP, on average, with the sharpest upswings being recorded for Brazil ( 4.0 points), Chile (2.5 points) and Ecuador (1.5 points). In the group comprising Central America, Haiti, the Dominican Republic and Mexico, the level of indebtedness slipped by 0.2 percentage points of GDP to an average of $38.0 \%$ of GDP, despite increases in Costa Rica (2.6 points), Honduras (2.3 points) and the Dominican Republic (2.1 points). South America's level of indebtedness has climbed so sharply since 2015 that it overtook the average level of public debt in Central America and other economies in the north of the region in 2017.

In the Caribbean, as of the third quarter of 2017, central government debt amounted to $70.9 \%$ of GDP, for a drop of 1.5 percentage points from its 2016 level. The overall trend is towards a downturn in the rate of public indebtedness, with only 4 out of the 13 reporting countries seeing an upswing in debt levels. Barbados and Jamaica still have debt levels of over 100\% of GDP ( $102.7 \%$ and $109.5 \%$ of GDP for 2017, respectively), but they both reduced their levels of indebtedness substantially, as did Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica and Saint Kitts and Nevis (see figure VII.2B).

Figure VII. 2
Latin America and the Caribbean: gross public debt of the central government, 2016-2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Percentages of GDP)
A. Latin America (19 countries) ${ }^{\text {b }}$

B. The Caribbean ( 13 countries) ${ }^{\text {c }}$


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The figures for 2017 cover the first three quarters, except for Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Chile, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Haiti and Uruguay, for which they cover the first two quarters.
${ }^{\circ}$ In the case of Brazil, the coverage corresponds to general government. For 2017 debt is $69.9 \%$ of GDP for the federal government, $3.4 \%$ of GDP for state governments and $0.5 \%$ of GDP for the municipal governments.
c The figures shown for Guyana and Jamaica correspond to the public sector.

The relative stability of public debt in Latin America, despite the persistence of sizeable fiscal deficits, can be better understood by analyzing the components of public debt trends. ${ }^{1}$ As depicted in figure VII.3, the slight increase in public debt in South America in $2017-0.6$ percentage points of GDP— can be accounted for, in large part, by the steep

[^20]adjustment in the value of assets (the stock-flow effect) and the strengthening real growth rate. This counteracted the effect that variations in the interest rate and the primary deficit had on the expansion of public debt. Something similar occurred in the northern Latin American countries, where a greater stock-flow effect in 2017 curbed the growth of the public debt.

These trends in central government indebtedness are not necessarily reflected in the behaviour of non-financial public-sector gross debt. As can be seen in figure VII.4, in 2017 borrowing by public enterprises slowed -as it also did in the rest of the general government, although, in most of the countries, this subdivision accounts for no more than a small fraction of the non-financial public-sector debt. The level of indebtedness of public enterprises in Ecuador and Peru was on the rise, however. In Peru, the increase in the indebtedness of public enterprises is largely accounted for by the issue of US\$ 2 billion in PetroPeru bonds in June 2017.

Figure VII. 3
Latin America: gross public debt, 2008-2017
(Percentages of GDP)

## A. South America ${ }^{\text {a }}$



## B. Central America, Dominican Republic, Haiti and Mexico



[^21]Figure VII. 4
Latin America (12 countries): change in the non-financial public sector's and central government's gross public debt, 2016-2017
(Percentage points of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
The cost of the public debt has been edging upward. The average cost for the region reached $2.3 \%$ of GDP in 2017, for a 0.2 percentage-point increase over the figure for 2016. At the country level, Brazil continued to have the largest interest-rate bill at $5.7 \%$ of GDP, followed by Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic, with $3.2 \%$ of GDP each. Chile and Paraguay, on the other hand, had debt costs of below $1 \%$ of GDP. At the subregional level, South America's debt costs averaged $2.4 \%$ of GDP, while those of Central America, Haiti, the Dominican Republic and Mexico amounted to $2.2 \%$ of GDP. In the Caribbean, the cost of the public debt was equivalent to $3.5 \%$ of GDP, with Barbados and Jamaica shouldering the heaviest debt burdens on fiscal accounts (7\% of GDP) (see figure VII.5).

Figure VII. 5
Latin America and the Caribbean: interest payments on the central government's gross public debt, 2017a (Percentage points of GDP)

## A. Latin America (17 countries)



Figure VII. 5 (concluded)

## B. The Caribbean ( $\mathbf{1 2}$ countries)



Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The figures for Peru correspond to the general government and the figures for Mexico correspond to the federal public sector.

## The upturn in economic activity in South America is reflected in higher tax revenues

The reactivation of economic activity in South America has spurred an upswing in tax receipts. As shown in figure VII.6, revenues from the value added tax began to climb -and their year-on-year growth rate moved into the black-in a number of South American countries in 2017 after having plunged in 2016. This trend is especially clear in Argentina and Brazil, although it should be noted that the year-on-year variation in both of these countries was still hovering around zero as of the third quarter. In Colombia, the tax reform approved in late 2016, which raised the general value added tax (VAT) rate from $16 \%$ to $19 \%$ as of February 2017, had a strong impact.

Figure VII. 6
Latin America (selected countries): 12-month cumulative year-on-year variation in real value added tax receipts,
2015-2017
(Percentages)
A. South America ${ }^{\text {a }}$


Figure VII. 6 (concluded)
B. Central America, Dominican Republic and Mexico


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The VAT figures given for Brazil are the sum of receipts from the federal manufactures tax (IPI) and the state tax on merchandise and services (ICMS).
In contrast, rates of increase in value added tax receipts in Central America, the Dominican Republic and Mexico slowed somewhat. As shown in figure VII.6, the real growth rates recorded by almost all of these countries in the third quarter of 2017 were lower than their end-2016 levels. This is chiefly accounted for by a slight slowdown in GDP growth and in private consumption, in particular, together with the statistical effects of a high basis of comparison in some countries, such as Nicaragua and Mexico.

In line with these trends, total central government revenues in Latin America are expected to have remained fairly stable in 2017 at around $18.2 \%$ of GDP (see figure VII.7). Tax receipts (excluding receipts associated with asset regularization programmes) edged up to $15.6 \%$ of GDP in 2017 from 15.5\% of GDP in 2016. Other income, composed primarily of non-tax revenues and grants and donations, remained at 2.6\%, on average.

Although total revenues were fairly stable for Latin America as a whole, the average figure is the result of countervailing trends in the subregions. On the one hand, the slowdown in tax receipts in the northern part of the region was reflected in a slight decrease in the central government tax ratio, which slipped from $14.1 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $14.0 \%$ of GDP in 2017 (see figure VII.7). Particularly sharp decreases were seen in Honduras ( -0.4 percentage points of GDP) and Mexico ( -0.9 percentage points of GDP). As a result, total revenues dropped by one tenth of a point (from $16.6 \%$ of GDP to 16.5\%).

In South America, on the other hand, although total revenues are projected to hold steady at $20.2 \%$ of GDP, the tax take (excluding receipts associated with asset regularization programmes) rose to $17.4 \%$ of GDP in 2017 from $17.2 \%$ in $2016 .{ }^{2}$ While this uptick did not completely make up for the absence of the windfall gains registered in 2016 ( $0.3 \%$ of GDP, on average) it marked a significant trend change for the subregion. Particularly notable increases in tax receipts were seen in Chile ( 0.2 percentage points of GDP, or 0.5 percentage points if the revenues from asset registration in 2016 are excluded), Colombia ( 0.6 percentage points of GDP), Ecuador ( 0.8 percentage points of GDP) and Uruguay ( 0.7 percentage points of GDP).

[^22]Figure VII. 7
Latin America and the Caribbean: breakdown of total central government revenues, 2015-2017 ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Simple averages. The figures for 2017 are official estimates taken from 2018 budget documents. The figures for Mexico correspond to the federal public sector, while those shown for Peru correspond to the general government. The data do not include figures for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela or the Plurinational State of Bolivia.
b The averages for the Caribbean do not include data for Dominica.
In the Caribbean, total revenues climbed from $27.4 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $27.7 \%$ of GDP in 2017, although these averages are the sum total of sharply varying results at the national level. Substantial upswings in the tax take are projected for Antigua and Barbuda ( 1.9 percentage points of GDP) and Belize ( 1.1 percentage points of GDP), thanks to new tax enforcement measures -especially in the case of property taxesand the introduction of new taxes, such as the tax now being levied on the earnings of international banks in Antigua and Barbuda. On the other hand, total revenues for Trinidad and Tobago slid from $30.8 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $25.0 \%$ of GDP in 2017 owing to steep decreases in both tax receipts ( -2.0 percentage points of GDP) and non-tax income ( -3.8 percentage points of GDP).

Fiscal (both tax and non-tax) revenues from non-renewable natural resources in the region also rose in step with the upswing in raw material prices, although price levels are still far below what they were in the wake of the international economic and financial crisis of 2008-2009. For example, income from mining in Chile and Peru is expected to double, but will still remain at levels well below those of the raw materials boom. Oil revenues are also on the rise overall, but widely disparate trends are to be observed at the national level, with upswings in Brazil and Ecuador and slight downturns in Mexico and in Trinidad and Tobago.

## Fiscal consolidation is mainly taking the form of the containment of public expenditure

The persistence of public-sector deficits has put greater pressure on the region's governments to adopt fiscal consolidation measures. The deterioration of primary balances in recent years in South America and in some countries in Central America, in particular, has brought the issue of the sustainability of the public debt to the fore. Despite this pressure, however, it is very difficult for these governments to make cuts at a time of relatively slow economic growth in a region that is still marked by such striking structural gaps.

These tensions are most apparent in South America, where many countries have restricted the expansion of public spending but few have managed to make any substantial cuts. As shown in figure VII.8, the growth rate in total primary expenditure, which excludes interest payments, was reined back sharply in 2016. In 2017, spending picked up somewhat in some countries, but nonetheless climbed at a slower rate than in earlier years. Noteworthy developments in this respect included reductions in spending in real terms in Colombia and Peru and the near-zero growth of expenditure in Brazil.

Figure VII. 8
Latin America (selected countries): 12-month cumulative year-on-year variation in real central government primary expenditure, 2015-2017
(Percentages)

## A. South America



## B. Central America and Mexico
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Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

In the northern part of Latin America, trends in public expenditure have been less uniform and reflect sharply differing situations across those countries. As may be seen from figure VII.8B, the real growth rate of public expenditure in Costa Rica and EI Salvador remained fairly even in the first three quarters of 2017, whereas it slumped in Nicaragua -following a steep increase in 2016- and in Mexico, where it actually contracted.

These trends notwithstanding, average total expenditure in Latin America is projected to hold steady at $21.3 \%$ of GDP for 2017 (see figure VII.9). But while the level of spending is stable, its composition is changing. On the one hand, the public debt service jumped from $2.1 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $2.3 \%$ in 2017, thus reaching its highest level since 2005. On the other hand, a reduction in primary current expenditure (from $15.5 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $15.4 \%$ in 2017) is projected, along with a smaller contraction in capital expenditure.

Figure VII. 9
Latin America and the Caribbean: breakdown of total central government expenditure, 2015-2017 ab
(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Simple averages. The figures for 2017 are official estimates taken from 2018 budget documents. The figures for Mexico correspond to the federal public sector, while those shown for Peru correspond to the general government. The data do not include figures for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela or for the Plurinational State of Bolivia.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The averages shown for the Caribbean do not include figures for Dominica.

Interest payments are on the rise in both northern and southern Latin America. This is attributable not only to the expansion of public debt in recent years but also to trends in the local currencies' dollar exchange rates, in interest rates (especially on variable-rate instruments) and in domestic financial conditions. As a result, the average debt service for Central America, the Dominican Republic, Haiti and Mexico climbed from $2.0 \%$ of GDP in 2016 to $2.2 \%$ of GDP in 2017, while South America's debt servicing obligations rose from $2.3 \%$ of GDP to $2.4 \%$.

Average capital expenditure for the countries of Central America, the Dominican Republic, Haiti and Mexico remained at the level of $3.7 \%$ of GDP that had been reached the year before. This occurred despite a sizeable cut in this category of expenditure in Mexico because of the high basis of comparison afforded by the exceedingly large financial investments made in 2016 (transactions between the federal government and
a number of State-owned enterprises). In the other countries in this group, substantial increases in capital expenditure are expected, although, in some of these countries, this category of expenditure includes large capital transfers made to State-owned companies that have been operating at a loss.

In South America, capital expenditure continues to decline in most of the countries, with the average falling to $3.6 \%$ of GDP in 2017 and thus slipping below the level registered in northern Latin America. This situation points to the importance of a prudent management of public investment, especially during times of fiscal consolidation, in order to avoid dampening the growth of aggregate demand in the short run or of potential GDP growth in the medium and long terms.

Primary current expenditure was down in both subregions, although the reduction was bigger in South America, where it dropped from 18.3\% of GDP in 2016 to $18.1 \%$ in 2017. This is due in large part to declines relative to GDP owing to the slow rise of expenditure in Argentina and near-zero growth in Brazil. In the northern portion of Latin America, primary current expenditure is expected to slip from 13.0\% of GDP in 2016 to $12.9 \%$ in 2017 , with the decrease being led by reductions of around $1 \%$ of GDP in Honduras and Mexico.

In the Caribbean, the rise in total public spending from $29.6 \%$ of GDP to $30.0 \%$ of GDP was coupled with changes in its composition. A part of that shift was associated with the reconstruction work needed in some of the Caribbean countries in the aftermath of the passage of Hurricane Matthew in October 2016. Increases are projected in capital expenditure, which is expected to stand at $4.5 \%$ of GDP for 2017, owing to spending hikes in Saint Kitts and Nevis and in Saint Lucia. Primary current expenditure, on the other hand, is set to edge downward from $22.4 \%$ of GDP to $22.0 \%$ of GDP, mainly as a result of changes in spending levels in Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, Saint Kitts and Nevis, and Suriname.

## B. Monetary and exchange-rate policies

## Differences in monetary policies widen between the region's south and north

Inflation continues to define the margins available to monetary authorities for stimulating aggregate domestic demand. In South America and the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean, falling inflation rates are providing space for a more expansionary monetary policy, whereas in Central America and Mexico, the management of monetary policy is geared towards controlling increases in general prices.

The section below describes the policy actions taken throughout the region. The countries are classified by region and by their principal policy instruments, and the analysis shows how each group makes use of the manoeuvring room created by inflationary dynamics or deals with the reduced margins they generate.

Among the economies that use monetary policy rates as their main instrument, decreases in those rates during 2017 were posted by Brazil ( 625 basis points), Chile (100 basis points), Colombia (250 basis points), Paraguay ( 25 basis points) and Peru (75 basis points) (see figure VII.10).

These cuts in benchmark monetary policy interest rates occurred after a series of increases -in Brazil, since 2013; in Chile, since 2016; and in Colombia, since 2015- as part of efforts to reduce inflationary pressures, particularly in Brazil and Colombia, where inflation rates and forecasts had exceeded the official targets. After controlling those pressures, the central banks have been able to reduce their benchmark rates and, by so doing, to attempt to stimulate aggregate demand.

In September 2016, in a bid to reduce the prevailing inflation rate, the Central Bank of the Argentine Republic announced the adoption of a floating inflation targeting regime. This plan has established a track of descending inflation targets for 2017 (from 17\% down to $12 \%$ ) and 2018 ( $10 \%$, with a tolerance of plus or minus 2 percentage points), with the ultimate objective of setting a 2019 target of $5 \%$ plus or minus 1.5 percentage points. Since current inflation is not in line with the target, the bank has increased the benchmark interest rate by 459 basis points.

Among the economies of Central America and Mexico, the dynamics are more diverse. In Costa Rica and Mexico, the monetary authorities decided to increase their benchmark interest rates by 275 and 125 basis points, respectively.

In the case of Mexico, the policymakers' actions were in response to rising inflation, which has reached levels above the established target range, but they were also intended to temper the volatility that the Mexican peso has displayed since mid-2016. In Costa Rica's case, expanding dollar deposits -a side effect of increased exchange rate volatility and uncertainty about the sustainability of public finances- were the reason behind the hike in the benchmark policy rate.

In both Guatemala and Honduras, the authorities left the benchmark rates unchanged, arguing that improvements in the international climate and domestic conditions were expected on schedule, including levels of inflation within the established target ranges. In the Dominican Republic, following the increase of 25 basis points in April 2017, the benchmark interest rate was revised downwards in July, on the grounds that inflation was within the range set by the monetary authority for the year.

## A. Countries that maintained or lowered their rates


B. Countries that increased their rates


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

In the region's economies that use monetary aggregates as their main policy instrument, the monetary bases reported a slowdown in their pace of growth (see figure VII.11). In the economies of Latin America, the growth rate of the monetary base has averaged $8.5 \%$ since the fourth quarter of 2016 , which represents a drop of 7 percentage points from the average recorded between the first quarter of 2015 and the third quarter of 2016. The situation is similar in the economies of the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean, with the monetary base growing an average of 4.0 percentage points less in the first two quarters of 2017 than in 2016. Despite this slowdown, in 2017 the monetary base reported average growth rates in excess of $10 \%$ in the Bahamas, Barbados, Dominica, Ecuador, Haiti, Jamaica and Suriname. In the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, the monetary base has grown at quarterly rates of above $200 \%$ in 2017 and, in the third quarter of the year (the latest period for which data are available), the monetary base expanded by 686\%.

Figure VII. 10 Latin America (selected countries): monetary policy interest rates in countries where they are used as the main policy instrument, January 2013-October 2017 (Percentages)

Figure VII. 11
Latin America and the Caribbean (selected country groupings): evolution of the monetary base in countries where aggregates are the main monetary policy instrument, first quarter of 2010-third quarter of 2017
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

# Lending rates are headed downwards, while domestic credit for the private sector is increasing in Latin America but not in the Caribbean countries 

Nominal interest rates on loans have fallen in the different subregions identified for the analysis, with average drops of 20 basis points in the countries where interest rates are the main monetary policy instrument and in the economies of the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean, and of 60 basis points in those economies that use aggregates as their primary monetary policy instrument (see figure VII.12). At the country level, particularly notable were the falls in average annual interest rates in Argentina ( 685 basis points), Brazil (275 basis points), Haiti (180 basis points), Jamaica (150 basis points), Paraguay (110 basis points) and Uruguay (170 basis points). While nominal interest rates fell in 24 of the region's economies, there were increases in annual average interest rates in 7 countries: most notably, rates rose 120 basis points in Chile, 90 basis points in Suriname and 60 basis points in Honduras and Peru. ${ }^{3}$ At the same time, even though there have been widespread drops in the lending rate, falling inflation across the region means that real-term interest rates have increased.

During 2017, with the exception of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, the economies of Latin America —both those that use policy interest rates as their main monetary policy instruments and those that use aggregates- posted slight increases in the private-sector credit growth rate. The contraction of domestic credit continued in the economies of the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean, and data obtained for the second quarter of 2017 point to an annualized drop of $3.2 \%$. Another country where the private-sector credit crunch worsened is the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, where the variable has now posted decreases for nine consecutive quarters (see figure VII.13).

[^23]Figure VII. 12
Latin America and the Caribbean (selected country groupings): average lending rates, January 2010-April 2017 (Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Figure VII. 13
Latin America and the Caribbean (selected country groupings): evolution of domestic private-sector credit in real terms, first quarter of 2013-third quarter of 2017
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

## The region's currencies were more stable in 2017 than in 2016

Following significant fluctuations in a number of the region's currencies in 2016, exchange rate variations were more moderate in 2017. Whereas in 2016 the currencies of Argentina, Haiti, Mexico and Suriname posted depreciations of more than $10 \%$ and the Brazilian real appreciated by $17.8 \%$, the largest depreciation in 2017 was posted by Argentina $(10.2 \%)$ and the greatest appreciation was that of the Mexican peso (-8.6\%). Meanwhile, economies such as those of Brazil, Colombia, Honduras, Jamaica, the Plurinational State of Bolivia, Trinidad and Tobago and Uruguay reported only minor currency fluctuations (less than 1\%) in 2017. The currencies of Chile, Guatemala, Mexico, Paraguay and Peru posted nominal appreciations against the dollar in 2017 (see table VII.1).

Table VII. 1
Latin America and the Caribbean (19 countries): nominal depreciations and appreciations in dollar exchange rates, annualized variations, 2014-November $2017^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)

| Country | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | As of 21 November 2017 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 29.8 | 52.8 | 22.8 | 10.2 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.4 | -0.3 |
| Brazil | 12.5 | 49.0 | -17.8 | 0.2 |
| Chile | 15.4 | 16.8 | -5.4 | -5.3 |
| Colombia | 23.2 | 33.6 | -5.4 | 0.2 |
| Costa Rica | 7.6 | -0.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| Dominican Republic | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| Guatemala | -3.1 | 0.5 | -1.4 | -2.6 |
| Haiti | 8.1 | 20.0 | 18.7 | -5.4 |
| Honduras | 3.8 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 0.4 |
| Mexico | 13.2 | 16.6 | 20.5 | -8.6 |
| Nicaragua | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Paraguay | 0.8 | 24.7 | -0.8 | -1.2 |
| Peru | 6.5 | 14.6 | -1.7 | -3.5 |
| Uruguay | 13.1 | 23.0 | -2.5 | 0.6 |
| The Caribbean |  |  |  |  |
| Belize | 1.0 | -0.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Jamaica | 7.9 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 0.2 |
| Suriname | 3.8 | 16.8 | 85.4 | -2.2 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -0.6 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 0.6 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg. a Positive values indicate depreciations, while negative values show appreciations.

Factors such as expectations of recovery in the region's economies, rebounding commodity prices and reduced uncertainties related to the normalization of monetary policy in developed countries (especially those of Europe) allowed the currencies of the region to enjoy greater stability.

Economies such as Argentina, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic and Nicaragua saw their currencies depreciate against the dollar as a result of several factors -including rising inflation expectations, replacement of assets in favour of others denominated in foreign currencies and doubts about possible fiscal consolidation processes- that are behind exchange-rate dynamics.

In the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, the exchange rate mechanism changed in May 2017, when it was announced that sales of foreign currency would use the DICOM exchange rate (complementary exchange rate for imports, used to finance priority, non-essential imports), while maintaining the DIPRO protected rate (exchange rate for protected activities), used for public sector imports. If the DICOM exchange rate is used as the benchmark, the depreciation of the bolívar would total 396\% between January and November 2017, but if the parallel exchange rate is used as the benchmark, total depreciation reached $2,468 \%$.

## After the depreciation seen in 2016, the real effective extraregional exchange rate appreciated in 2017

During 2017, the real effective extraregional exchange rate appreciated by $0.3 \%$ in real terms for the economies of Latin America and the Caribbean as a whole, contrasting with the depreciation recorded in 2016 (see figure VII.14). This dynamic in the region-wide real effective extraregional exchange rate was on account of the appreciation posted in the economies of South America ( $1.1 \%$ ); while this result was lower than the 8.1\% recorded in 2016, it offset the real-term depreciation posted by the economies of Central America, Mexico and the non-Spanish-speaking Caribbean.

Figure VII. 14
Latin America and the Caribbean: real effective extraregional exchange-rate index, annual averages, by subregion, 2010-2017
(Base year: 2005=100)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

As with the nominal exchange rates, the variations in 2017 were lower than those recorded in 2016. Of the region's currencies included in the sample basket, only the appreciating Mexican peso underwent a change of more than $10 \%$ in its real effective extraregional exchange rate. In addition, eight of the economies included in the sample posted appreciations of the real effective extraregional exchange rate in 2017 (one fewer than in 2016), while 11 economies reported depreciations in their real effective extraregional exchange rates.

Figure VII. 15
Latin America and the Caribbean: international reserves, 2010-2017 (Billions of dollars and percentages of GDP)

## International reserves continue to rise

In 2017, the international reserves of Latin America and the Caribbean grew by $4.2 \%$ compared to the total posted at the end of 2016 (see figure VII.15). That increase was equal to an accumulation of US\$ 34.671 billion in reserves over the year, some US\$ 15.041 billion more than the amount accumulated in 2016. Although reserves rose in 20 economies, the variation seen in the region as a whole during 2017 was essentially on account of the accumulation observed in Argentina (US\$ 15.791 billion) and Brazil (US\$ 16.040 billion).


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

In December 2015, the Central Bank of the Argentine Republic adopted a flexible exchange rate regime, consistent with its inflation targeting model and, at the same time, it introduced a policy of currency interventions, buying foreign exchange to strengthen the position of its reserves and to provide leeway for its management of exchange rate policy. In Brazil's case, the performance of its reserve assets and the completion of the buy-backs (repos) made earlier to stabilize the exchange rate were the causes of the increased reserves.

Over the same period, the international reserves of 12 countries posted declines. The countries with the largest drops in their international reserves were Panama ( $20.0 \%$ ), Barbados ( $19.8 \%$ ), Belize ( $18.9 \%$ ), Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela ( $11.1 \%$ ) and Antigua and Barbuda (10.0\%).

With this, Trinidad and Tobago and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela have posted drops in their international reserve balances for three consecutive years, since 2015.

As regards the ratio of international reserves to GDP, the data recorded th the end of 2017 show a decline from 2016 levels, with 17 economies reporting a drop in the ratio. The most significant declines were posted in Belize (4.7 percentage points of GDP), Antigua and Barbuda ( 3.5 percentage points of GDP), Trinidad and Tobago ( 2.7 percentage points of GDP), Saint Vincent and the Grenadines ( 2.7 percentage points of GDP) and Panama (2.1 percentage points of GDP). At the same time, the ratio of international reserves to GDP rose in 15 countries, including Guatemala ( 2.5 percentage points of GDP), Jamaica (2.1 percentage points of GDP), Honduras ( 2.0 percentage points of GDP), Argentina ( 1.9 percentage points of GDP) and Paraguay ( 1.6 percentage points of GDP).

Outlook and challenges for 2018

Monetary factors and the situation in the production sector will continue to provide a supportive environment for the region's economies in 2018. The global economy is expected to continue to grow at rates close to those recorded in 2017, and emerging economies are poised to out-perform developed ones. On the monetary front, liquidity will continue to expand, and international interest rates will remain low. This opens up a promising opportunity for the Latin American and Caribbean countries to expand their economic policy space in a way that will buoy the expansionary phase of the business cycle.

Nevertheless, the international situation poses certain challenges for the consolidation of global growth over the medium term.

The ramifications of such a prolonged period of slow growth are still being felt globally in the areas of investment and capital stock, labour markets and productivity. Potential GDP growth in many countries is now below the levels seen in the years leading up to the global financial crisis; this poses a challenge whose scope goes beyond that of the cyclical recovery of economic activity that is expected for 2017 and 2018.

The situation is much the same in terms of international trade. Growth rates for trade volumes have been rebounding since late 2016, but they are starting off from such a low point that volumes are still below their pre-crisis levels. The slowdown in trade was a result not only of cyclical factors -such as the slump in trade-intensive activity (particularly investment), which is slated to pick up this year and the next-but also of more structural factors whose longer-term trends are difficult to predict. ${ }^{1}$ The uncertainty surrounding the future trend in trade volumes also has to do with the growing protectionism being observed in some countries. The mounting support for anti-globalization political parties in some European countries and the vote in favour of Brexit in the United Kingdom in 2016 are just two examples. Meanwhile, the rhetoric used by the United States in the latest rounds of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) talks suggests that the dissolution of that treaty is a less remote possibility than it used to be, especially in view of that country's withdrawal from the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP).

Over the course of the year, the financial markets have become less volatile and, in general, asset prices have risen in line with rising profit expectations and the improved economic outlook. However, a prolonged period of low volatility, as has been occurring recently, may encourage further risk-taking and make financial systems more fragile. ${ }^{2}$ Moreover, the fact that the price-to-earnings ratios in the United States and the eurozone are currently higher than the historical averages points to a possible overvaluation which will probably give way to an adjustment in the future.

One of the main unanswered questions in financial markets has to do with the potential impact over the medium term of the reversal of the quantitative easing policies that is already under way or has been announced by the Federal Reserve of the United States, the European Central Bank and the Bank of Japan. Although liquidity is expected to remain high in the immediate future, there are no prior experiences from which to draw lessons about the pass-through mechanisms and potential ramifications that may arise when a substantial reduction actually begins to be seen on the balance sheets of these monetary authorities. Meanwhile, sharper increases in interest rates in developed economies will have a negative wealth effect, since this will drive down bond prices and could dampen activity on international bond markets. In addition, rising interest rates will make the securities of emerging economies less profitable, and this may,

[^24]in turn, reduce the flow of financing to those economies, as well as making it difficult for monetary policymakers in the countries of the region to know which way to turn, especially if this occurs at a time when their countries are in the downswing of the business cycle. The consequences of this state of affairs will depend, in part, on the composition of financial flows and on the relative weight of the components of those flows that are most sensitive to changes in international interest rates.

Mounting debt levels -an area in which China is a stand-out example, with a total debt equivalent $260 \%$ of GDP- in the private sector, in particular, at a time of low inflation are reawakening fears of the appearance of a debt-deflation cycle. China's situation is also compounded by the vulnerability of both its parallel financial system (the so-called "shadow" financial system) and its formal financial sector, which is carrying a large percentage of poor-quality debt. The Chinese authorities have been taking steps to eliminate these risks and to curb the country's growing debt and are expected to continue to do so in the future. These measures are at the root of projections of a cooling of that economy in 2018.

These financial risks are coupled with others that are associated with developed countries' domestic policies. Examples include the move being made to reduce financial regulation and specifically to roll back the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in the United States, which could make the financial system more vulnerable in the medium term. In addition, the tax reform bill now moving through the legislature in the United States could ease the corporate tax burden and bolster capital flows to that country. In addition to the possible redistributive effects of that bill, the cuts in corporate tax rates and the repatriation of capital may not only have a direct effect on capital flows, but may also change the rules of the game in international taxation. This could trigger other reductions in corporate taxes (in what is known as a "race to the bottom") that could have an impact on the tax systems of other countries, including those of the region.

In all probability, stronger external demand will spur economic activity in Latin America and the Caribbean, while domestic demand will play an important role in accelerating growth, although the pace of growth will differ from one component of the economy to the next. Thanks to the upswing in gross fixed capital formation, investment will make a greater contribution to the economy than before. Private consumption continues to drive domestic demand. The governments of the region are expected to continue to pursue their fiscal consolidation efforts during 2018, and it is therefore unlikely that fiscal policy will make any significant contribution to GDP growth.

Estimates put the GDP growth rate for Latin America and the Caribbean at 2.2\% for 2018 , which is substantially higher than the rate for 2017 ( $1.3 \%$ ) (see table VIII. 1). More robust economic growth in Brazil (2.0\%) will be one of the factors behind this upturn. In addition, economic activity is expected to strengthen in a number of countries that have been growing at moderate rates up to now, including Chile ( $2.8 \%$ ), Colombia ( $2.6 \%$ ) and Peru $(3.5 \%)$. Panama will be the Latin American country with the highest growth rate ( $5.5 \%$ ), followed by the Dominican Republic ( $5.1 \%$ ) and Nicaragua ( $5.0 \%$ ). With the exception of Cuba ( $1.0 \%$ ), Ecuador ( $1.3 \%$ ) and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela $(-5.5 \%)$, all the other Latin American economies will expand by between $2 \%$ and $4 \%$.

At the subregional level, South America is expected to turn in a stronger showing in 2018 (2.0\% on average, up from $0.8 \%$ in 2017). The economies of Central America, Cuba, the Dominican Republic and Haiti are projected to attain a growth rate of $3.6 \%$, thus outdoing their 2017 rate of $3.3 \%$. The average growth rate for the English- and Dutch-speaking Caribbean is projected at $1.5 \%$ for 2018, fostered, among other things, by expenditure on reconstruction in the aftermath of Hurricane Irma and Hurricane María in some countries of the subregion.

Table VIII. 1
Latin America and the Caribbean: annual growth in gross domestic product (GDP), 2012-2018 (Percentages, based on dollars at constant 2010 prices)

|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2018{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | -1.0 | 2.4 | -2.5 | 2.6 | -2.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 5.1 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Brazil | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.5 | -3.5 | -3.5 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| Chile | 5.5 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.8 |
| Colombia | 4.0 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| Costa Rica | 4.8 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| Cuba | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Dominican Republic | 2.8 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 5.1 |
| Ecuador | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 0.2 | -1.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| El Salvador | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Guatemala | 3.0 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| Haiti | 2.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| Honduras | 4.1 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Mexico | 3.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Nicaragua | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| Panama | 9.2 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| Paraguay | -1.2 | 14.0 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Peru | 6.1 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| Uruguay | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 5.6 | 1.3 | -3.9 | -5.7 | -9.7 | -9.5 | -5.5 |
| Subtotal for Latin America | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | -0.2 | -0.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 3.5 | -0.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 5.8 |
| Bahamas | 3.1 | -0.6 | -1.2 | -3.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Barbados | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Belize | 3.7 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | -0.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Dominica | -1.1 | -0.6 | 4.4 | -2.5 | 2.6 | -8.3 | 7.6 |
| Grenada | -1.2 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| Guyana | 4.8 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| Jamaica | -0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -0.6 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| Saint Lucia | 0.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Suriname | 2.7 | 2.9 | 0.3 | -2.6 | -5.1 | -0.7 | 0.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 1.3 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 1.5 | -6.0 | -2.3 | 0.5 |
| Subtotal for the Caribbean | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | -0.2 | -0.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| South America (10 countries) | 2.5 | 3.3 | 0.5 | -1.6 | -2.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| Central America, Cuba, Dominican Republic and Haiti (9 countries) | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.

- Projections.



## Statistical annex

Table A1.1
Latin America and the Caribbean: main economic indicators

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.0 | -1.8 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | -0.2 | -0.8 | 1.3 |
| Per capita gross domestic product ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.7 | -3.0 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | -1.3 | -1.9 | 0.3 |
| Consumer prices ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.0 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban open unemployment | 7.8 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 9.4 |
| Total gross external debt/GDPde | 30.0 | 31.2 | 28.7 | 27.4 | 30.6 | 32.3 | 34.9 | 37.7 | 39.4 | 38.6 |
| Total gross external debt/exports of goods and services | 79.5 | 111.7 | 108.1 | 98.4 | 106.8 | 117.4 | 133.7 | 154.2 | 170.9 | 161.7 |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance of payments ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current account balance | -38484 | -32 050 | -96994 | -115 143 | -133 867 | -162 508 | -184 107 | -174943 | -91779 | ... |
| Exports of goods f.o.b. | 903604 | 703807 | 892283 | 1107548 | 1128259 | 1118963 | 1087410 | 926951 | 865465 | $\ldots$ |
| Imports of goods f.o.b. | 863741 | 652643 | 847567 | 1041388 | 1087430 | 1116580 | 1103753 | 984239 | 872283 | $\ldots$ |
| Services trade balance | -23 044 | -25 431 | -39 928 | -54 828 | -57 880 | -62 884 | -62 859 | -55610 | -35 634 | ... |
| Income balance | -110 908 | -103927 | -151489 | -173 524 | -161585 | -147 311 | -155 962 | -131808 | -124888 | ... |
| Net current transfers | 67209 | 57997 | 61031 | 63159 | 63636 | 64253 | 67770 | 69763 | 75302 | ... |
| Capital and financial balance ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | 79739 | 79050 | 181414 | 219673 | 190721 | 178266 | 221475 | 147744 | 116669 |  |
| Net foreign direct investment | 105870 | 73348 | 114189 | 147195 | 149794 | 147413 | 142516 | 135781 | 130094 | ... |
| Other capital movements | -26 131 | 5702 | 67225 | 72478 | 40927 | 30853 | 78960 | 11935 | -13 428 | ... |
| Overall balance | 41255 | 46999 | 82420 | 104529 | 56854 | 15757 | 37369 | -27 198 | 26459 | ... |
| Variation in reserve assets ${ }^{9}$ | -41995 | -50 801 | -87095 | -104551 | -59 154 | -14 568 | -35 171 | 26522 | -26258 | ... |
| Other financing | 741 | 3801 | 4675 | 1611 | 1081 | 422 | 445 | 681 | -201 | ... |
| Net transfer of resources | -31 135 | -22 083 | 33646 | 46247 | 28110 | 28765 | 63749 | 15833 | -8420 | ... |
| International reserves | 511960 | 566708 | 657005 | 773789 | 835902 | 830204 | 857634 | 811913 | 831542 | 866213 |
| Percentages of GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal sector ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall balance | -0.4 | -2.7 | -1.9 | -1.4 | -1.9 | -2.6 | -2.8 | -2.9 | -3.1 | -3.1 |
| Primary balance | 1.3 | -0.9 | -0.3 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -0.9 | -1.0 | -0.9 | -1.0 | -0.8 |
| Total revenue | 18.4 | 17.3 | 18.0 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 18.2 |
| Tax revenue | 14.6 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| Total expenditure | 18.8 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.3 |
| Capital expenditure | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Central government public debt ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 28.5 | 30.7 | 29.4 | 28.8 | 30.0 | 31.8 | 33.0 | 35.6 | 38.0 | 38.3 |
| Public debt of the non-financial public sector ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 30.6 | 33.2 | 32.4 | 31.0 | 32.2 | 34.1 | 35.5 | 38.5 | 41.3 | 41.3 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Preliminary figures.
b Based on official figures expressed in 2010 dollars.

- December - December variation. Weighted average, does not include the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Estimates based on figures denominated in dollars at current prices.
- Does not include the Caribbean, Cuba and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.
${ }^{\ddagger}$ Includes errors and omissions.
g A minus sign (-) indicates an increase in reserve assets.
${ }^{n}$ Coverage corresponds to the central government. Simple averages for 17 countries. Does not include the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Cuba or the Plurinational State of Bolivia.
Simple averages for 19 countries. Does not include Cuba.

Table A1. 2
Latin America and the Caribbean: annual growth rates in gross domestic product
(Constant prices)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.0 | -1.8 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | -0.2 | -0.8 | 1.3 |
| Latin America | 4.0 | -1.8 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 | -0.2 | -0.8 | 1.3 |
| Argentina | 4.1 | -5.9 | 10.1 | 6.0 | -1.0 | 2.4 | -2.5 | 2.6 | -2.2 | 2.9 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 6.1 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| Brazil | 5.1 | -0.1 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.5 | -3.5 | -3.5 | 0.9 |
| Chile | 3.7 | -1.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Colombia | 3.5 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Costa Rica | 2.7 | -1.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.9 |
| Cuba | 4.1 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 0.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 3.2 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 4.9 |
| Ecuador | 6.4 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 0.2 | -1.5 | 1.0 |
| El Salvador | 1.3 | -3.1 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Guatemala | 3.3 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Haiti | 0.8 | 3.1 | -5.5 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Honduras | 4.2 | -2.4 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| Mexico | 1.1 | -5.3 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 |
| Nicaragua | 3.4 | -3.3 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| Panama | 8.6 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 11.8 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Paraguay | 6.4 | -4.0 | 13.1 | 4.3 | -1.2 | 14.0 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Peru | 9.1 | 1.1 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| Uruguay | 7.2 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 5.3 | -3.2 | -1.5 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 1.3 | -3.9 | -5.7 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| The Caribbean | 1.2 | -3.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | -1.6 | 0.1 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 0.0 | -12.1 | -7.2 | -2.1 | 3.5 | -0.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 4.5 |
| Bahamas | -2.3 | -4.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 3.1 | -0.6 | -1.2 | -3.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Barbados | 0.1 | -4.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Belize | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | -0.5 | 2.5 |
| Dominica | 7.1 | -1.2 | 0.7 | -0.2 | -1.1 | -0.6 | 4.4 | -2.5 | 2.6 | -8.3 |
| Grenada | 1.0 | -6.6 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -1.2 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Guyana | 2.0 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| Jamaica | -0.8 | -4.3 | -1.5 | 1.7 | -0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 6.3 | -3.0 | -2.2 | 2.4 | -0.6 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Saint Lucia | 5.7 | 1.2 | -1.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 3.4 | $-0.2$ | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 1.6 | -2.1 | -3.4 | -0.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Suriname | 4.1 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 0.3 | -2.6 | -5.1 | -0.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 3.4 | -4.4 | 3.3 | -0.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 1.5 | -6.0 | -2.3 |

[^25]Table A1.3
Latin America and the Caribbean: per capita gross domestic product
(Annual growth rates)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.7 | -3.0 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | -1.3 | -1.9 | 0.3 |
| Latin America | 2.7 | -3.0 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | -1.3 | -1.9 | 0.3 |
| Argentina | 3.0 | -6.9 | 9.0 | 4.9 | -2.1 | 1.3 | -3.5 | 1.6 | -3.2 | 2.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 4.3 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Brazil | 3.9 | -1.2 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 2.0 | -0.4 | -4.4 | -4.3 | 0.1 |
| Chile | 2.5 | -2.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Colombia | 2.3 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Costa Rica | 1.3 | -2.3 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| Cuba | 4.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 1.8 | -0.4 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 3.8 |
| Ecuador | 4.6 | -1.1 | 1.8 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.4 | -1.3 | -2.9 | -0.5 |
| El Salvador | 0.9 | -3.5 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Guatemala | 1.0 | -1.6 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Haiti | -0.7 | 1.5 | -6.9 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Honduras | 2.4 | -4.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Mexico | -0.5 | -6.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Nicaragua | 2.1 | -4.5 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Panama | 6.7 | -0.1 | 4.0 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| Paraguay | 4.9 | -5.2 | 11.6 | 2.9 | -2.6 | 12.5 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Peru | 7.8 | -0.1 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 1.3 |
| Uruguay | 6.8 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 3.6 | -4.7 | -2.9 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 0.0 | -5.1 | -6.9 | ... | ... |
| The Caribbean | 0.5 | -4.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -2.2 | -0.4 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | -1.1 | -13.1 | -8.2 | -3.1 | 2.4 | -1.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| Bahamas | -4.1 | -5.8 | -0.2 | -1.0 | 1.5 | -2.0 | -2.6 | -4.3 | -1.0 | 0.1 |
| Barbados | -0.3 | -4.4 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Belize | 0.6 | -1.7 | 0.9 | -0.3 | 1.4 | -1.5 | 1.9 | 0.7 | -2.6 | 0.4 |
| Dominica | 7.0 | -1.3 | 0.4 | -0.6 | -1.5 | -1.0 | 3.9 | -3.0 | 2.2 | -8.7 |
| Grenada | 0.6 | -7.0 | -0.8 | 0.3 | -1.6 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Guyana | 1.6 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| Jamaica | -1.3 | -4.8 | -1.9 | 1.3 | -1.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 5.0 | -4.2 | -3.4 | 1.2 | -1.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Saint Lucia | 4.1 | -0.2 | -2.8 | -0.4 | -0.7 | 2.6 | -0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 1.5 | -2.2 | -3.4 | -0.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Suriname | 3.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | -0.6 | -3.4 | -6.0 | -1.5 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 2.9 | -4.8 | 2.8 | -0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | -0.7 | 1.1 | -6.3 | -2.6 |

[^26]Table A1.4
Latin America and the Caribbean: gross fixed capital formationa (Percentages of GDP)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 20.3 | 19.1 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 20.7 | 19.5 | 18.7 | 17.9 |
| Argentina | 17.6 | 14.5 | 16.6 | 18.4 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 16.2 | 16.7 |
| Bahamas | 28.1 | 26.4 | 26.2 | 27.6 | 30.1 | 27.0 | 28.8 | 23.8 | 25.1 | ... |
| Belize | 24.9 | 20.1 | 15.3 | 14.9 | 15.7 | 18.3 | 20.2 | 23.8 | 25.1 | $\ldots$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.6 | 19.5 | 19.0 | 19.9 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 20.3 |
| Brazil | 19.1 | 18.7 | 20.5 | 21.1 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 20.4 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 16.6 |
| Chile | 23.3 | 20.7 | 21.9 | 23.7 | 25.1 | 24.7 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 21.7 |
| Colombia | 22.3 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 24.4 | 24.6 | 25.0 | 26.3 | 26.0 | 24.6 | 24.6 |
| Costa Rica | 22.1 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 19.8 | 19.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 27.6 | 23.3 | 25.1 | 23.7 | 23.0 | 22.4 | 23.3 | 26.3 | 27.4 | 27.2 |
| Ecuador | 24.1 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 26.1 | 27.3 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 27.0 | 25.2 | 25.9 |
| El Salvador | 15.8 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 14.8 | 14.3 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 15.0 | 14.6 | 14.5 |
| Guatemala | 18.0 | 15.6 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 15.2 | 16.3 |
| Haiti | 25.6 | 25.7 | 25.4 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Honduras | 33.3 | 22.1 | 21.6 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 23.1 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 21.8 | 21.7 |
| Mexico | 23.2 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 21.7 | 21.5 |
| Nicaragua | 26.4 | 20.6 | 21.2 | 24.3 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 27.3 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 31.3 |
| Panama | 29.5 | 28.2 | 30.2 | 33.7 | 37.3 | 42.2 | 43.7 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Paraguay | 15.2 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 16.9 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 16.9 |
| Peru | 21.9 | 20.9 | 23.5 | 24.3 | 26.3 | 26.2 | 25.1 | 22.7 | 21.0 | 20.3 |
| Uruguay | 19.6 | 17.7 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 19.3 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 20.7 | 19.6 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 21.9 | 19.6 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 19.3 | ... |

[^27]Table A1.5
Latin America and the Caribbean: balance of payments (Millions of dollars)

|  | Exports of goods f.o.b. |  |  | Exports of services |  |  | Imports of goods f.o.b. |  |  | Imports of services |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 926951 | 865465 | ... | 153765 | 152283 | ... | 984239 | 872282 | ... | 209375 | 187918 | ... |
| Latin America | 909789 | 852984 | 948433 | 141156 | 142991 | 152640 | 960160 | 853000 | 928956 | 200436 | 180811 | 195372 |
| Argentina | 56813 | 57784 | 58922 | 13219 | 12812 | 14134 | 57176 | 53243 | 63610 | 19005 | 20956 | 23680 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 8684 | 7000 | 7840 | 1243 | 1245 | 1307 | 9004 | 7888 | 8573 | 2835 | 2841 | 2898 |
| Brazil | 190092 | 184453 | 215810 | 33778 | 33300 | 34632 | 172422 | 139416 | 150569 | 70696 | 63747 | 68209 |
| Chile | 62183 | 60597 | 67599 | 9636 | 9500 | 10070 | 58718 | 55341 | 60875 | 13054 | 12638 | 13270 |
| Colombia | 38263 | 33382 | 37967 | 7424 | 8012 | 8334 | 52051 | 43239 | 46266 | 12193 | 11171 | 11898 |
| Costa Rica | 9432 | 10166 | 10836 | 7693 | 8648 | 9080 | 14059 | 14587 | 15317 | 3085 | 3533 | 4035 |
| Dominican Republic | 9442 | 9860 | 10346 | 7542 | 8305 | 8886 | 16907 | 17484 | 18183 | 3174 | 3344 | 3503 |
| Ecuador | 19049 | 17425 | 19888 | 2391 | 2140 | 2172 | 20699 | 15858 | 19236 | 3197 | 3194 | 3276 |
| El Salvador | 4381 | 4186 | 4463 | 2337 | 2477 | 2570 | 9384 | 8823 | 9352 | 1532 | 1721 | 1787 |
| Guatemala | 10824 | 10581 | 11114 | 2823 | 2784 | 2840 | 16381 | 15767 | 16871 | 3162 | 3026 | 3193 |
| Haiti | 1024 | 995 | 988 | 724 | 607 | 613 | 3449 | 3183 | 3693 | 1042 | 1013 | 1044 |
| Honduras | 8188 | 7841 | 8317 | 1104 | 1181 | 1217 | 11097 | 10559 | 11142 | 1794 | 1791 | 1827 |
| Mexico | 380976 | 374296 | 409734 | 22903 | 24597 | 26566 | 395573 | 387368 | 417825 | 32657 | 33549 | 37910 |
| Nicaragua | 3859 | 3772 | 4111 | 1254 | 1394 | 1506 | 6405 | 6384 | 6518 | 1021 | 1148 | 1056 |
| Panama | 12765 | 11705 | 12641 | 14337 | 14613 | 16065 | 22487 | 20513 | 22564 | 4758 | 4423 | 4738 |
| Paraguay | 10898 | 11155 | 11754 | 860 | 883 | 895 | 10317 | 9789 | 11649 | 1104 | 1104 | 1181 |
| Peru | 34414 | 37020 | 44424 | 6236 | 6312 | 7070 | 37331 | 35132 | 38118 | 8276 | 8287 | 8508 |
| Uruguay | 11145 | 10766 | 11680 | 4488 | 4181 | 4683 | 9801 | 8427 | 8595 | 4077 | 3325 | 3358 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 37357 | ... | ... | 1163 | ... | ... | 36901 | ... | ... | 13774 | ... | ... |
| The Caribbean | 17162 | 12481 | ... | 12609 | 9292 | ... | 24079 | 19281 | ... | 8939 | 7107 | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 66 | 78 | ... | 968 | 955 | ... | 460 | 503 | ... | 388 | 424 | ... |
| Bahamas | 527 | ... | ... | 2737 | ... | ... | 2953 | ... | ... | 1271 | ... | ... |
| Barbados | 483 | 517 | ... | 1471 | 1565 | ... | 1537 | 1540 | ... | 494 | 495 | ... |
| Belize | 538 | ... | ... | 496 | ... | ... | 961 | ... | ... | 221 | ... | ... |
| Dominica | 34 | 26 | ... | 234 | 255 | ... | 188 | 188 | ... | 126 | 126 | ... |
| Grenada | 41 | 38 | ... | 537 | 555 | ... | 327 | 315 | ... | 238 | 238 | ... |
| Guyana | 1170 | ... | ... | 143 | ... | ... | 1475 | ... | ... | 423 | ... | ... |
| Jamaica | 1255 | 1195 | 1222 | 3059 | 3218 | 2900 | 4449 | 4169 | 4200 | 2161 | 2167 | 2200 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 49 | 51 | ... | 482 | 467 | ... | 302 | 308 | ... | 216 | 206 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | 187 | 166 | ... | 853 | 811 | ... | 502 | 576 | ... | 330 | 320 | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 46 | 47 | ... | 233 | 239 | ... | 295 | 295 | ... | 117 | 119 | ... |
| Suriname | 1652 | 2149 | ... | 204 | 203 | ... | 2028 | 1966 | ... | 674 | 803 | ... |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 11114 | 8214 | ... | 1192 | 1025 | ... | 8602 | 9422 | ... | 2277 | 2210 | ... |

Table A1.5 (continued)

|  | Goods and services balance |  |  | Income balance |  |  | Current transfers balance |  |  | Current account balance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | -112897 | -42 452 | ... | -131808 | -124888 | ... | 69763 | 75302 | ... | -174943 | -91 777 | ... |
| Latin America | -109 651 | -37 837 | -23 255 | -129861 | -123 367 | -141 103 | 66908 | 72772 | 77739 | -172 604 | -88 430 | -86619 |
| Argentina | -6 148 | -3603 | -14235 | -12 105 | -12 105 | -13710 | 1083 | 1176 | 1092 | -17 170 | -14533 | -26853 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | -1911 | -2 485 | -2 324 | -1127 | -616 | -900 | 1171 | 1194 | 1253 | -1868 | -1907 | -1971 |
| Brazil | -19 249 | 14590 | 31664 | -42910 | -41 080 | -46000 | 2724 | 2960 | 2398 | -59 434 | -23530 | -11938 |
| Chile | 47 | 2119 | 3524 | -6576 | -7 117 | -10 249 | 1858 | 1424 | 2300 | -4670 | -3574 | -4 425 |
| Colombia | -18557 | -13016 | -11862 | -5650 | -5113 | -6 400 | 5430 | 5823 | 6118 | -18777 | -12305 | -12 144 |
| Costa Rica | -19 | 694 | 564 | -2380 | -2864 | -3150 | 457 | 473 | 480 | -1942 | -1698 | -2 106 |
| Dominican Republic | -3097 | -2663 | -2 454 | -2936 | -3 364 | -3900 | 4753 | 5049 | 5454 | -1280 | -978 | -901 |
| Ecuador | -2 455 | 513 | -453 | -1731 | -1 856 | -2 290 | 2078 | 2780 | 2836 | -2 108 | 1438 | 92 |
| El Salvador | -4 198 | -3881 | -4 107 | -1 091 | -1 225 | -1287 | 4363 | 4576 | 5066 | -926 | -531 | -328 |
| Guatemala | -5896 | -5428 | -6110 | -1399 | -1 507 | -1550 | 7199 | 7959 | 8914 | -96 | 1023 | 1254 |
| Haiti | -2743 | -2595 | -3136 | 41 | 48 | 50 | 2437 | 2464 | 2830 | -266 | -83 | -256 |
| Honduras | -3598 | -3328 | -3 434 | -1380 | -1 491 | -1614 | 3835 | 4009 | 4473 | -1 144 | -810 | -575 |
| Mexico | -24351 | -22025 | -19435 | -29 268 | -27 152 | -30 000 | 24131 | 26527 | 28192 | -29 489 | -22 648 | -21 243 |
| Nicaragua | -2313 | -2366 | -1957 | -345 | -354 | -394 | 1515 | 1586 | 1665 | -1 144 | -1 133 | -685 |
| Panama | -143 | 1382 | 1404 | -4 025 | -4385 | -4 245 | -106 | -157 | -116 | -4 274 | -3160 | -2958 |
| Paraguay | 337 | 1146 | -181 | -1311 | -1506 | -1490 | 672 | 775 | 869 | -301 | 415 | -802 |
| Peru | -4956 | -86 | 4867 | -7544 | -9 184 | -11021 | 3331 | 3967 | 3712 | -9 169 | -5303 | -2 441 |
| Uruguay | 1755 | 3195 | 4410 | -2 327 | -2 494 | -2953 | 176 | 187 | 204 | -395 | 888 | 1661 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -12 155 | .. | ... | -5798 | ... | ... | -197 | ... | ... | -18150 | ... | ... |
| The Caribbean | -3246 | -4 614 | ... | -1947 | -1521 | ... | 2854 | 2530 | ... | -2 340 | -3 347 | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 185 | 106 | ... | -81 | -98 | ... | -11 | -7 | ... | 93 | 2 | ... |
| Bahamas | -960 | ... | ... | -403 | ... | ... | -46 | ... | ... | -1 409 | ... | ... |
| Barbados | -78 | 47 | ... | -213 | -221 | ... | 2 | -33 | ... | -289 | -207 | ... |
| Belize | -149 | ... | ... | -95 | ... | ... | 70 | ... | ... | -175 | ... | ... |
| Dominica | -47 | -33 | ... | -19 | -20 | ... | 55 | 57 | ... | -10 | 5 | ... |
| Grenada | 13 | 40 | ... | -58 | -61 | ... | 7 | -12 | ... | -38 | -34 | ... |
| Guyana | -585 | ... | ... | 25 | ... | ... | 417 | 0 | ... | -144 | 0 | ... |
| Jamaica | -2 296 | -1922 | -2 278 | -440 | -570 | -280 | 2306 | 2389 | 2200 | -430 | -103 | -358 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 13 | 4 | ... | -81 | -81 | ... | -17 | -26 | ... | -85 | -102 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | 208 | 81 | ... | -111 | -118 | ... | 15 | 6 | ... | 112 | -31 | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | -132 | -127 | ... | -14 | -25 | ... | 33 | 30 | ... | -113 | -122 | ... |
| Suriname | -846 | -417 | ... | -27 | -69 | ... | 65 | 71 | ... | -808 | -157 | ... |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 1428 | -2 392 | ... | -429 | -258 | ... | -42 | 53 | ... | 957 | -2598 | ... |

Table A1.5 (concluded)

|  | Capital and financial balance ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Overall balance |  |  | Reserve assets (variation) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | Other financing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 147716 | 116666 | ... | -27 225 | 26459 | ... | 26559 | -26 245 | ... | 681 | -201 | ... |
| Latin America | 146730 | 114817 | 115569 | -25 872 | 26388 | 28951 | 25249 | -26 174 | -28952 | 638 | -201 | ... |
| Argentina | 12237 | 28844 | 39965 | -4 933 | 14311 | 13112 | 4933 | -14 311 | -13112 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 248 | -1 138 | 1961 | -1 620 | -3 046 | -9 | 1620 | 3046 | 9 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Brazil | 61003 | 32767 | 27105 | 1569 | 9237 | 15167 | -1569 | -9 237 | -15167 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Chile | 4881 | 5379 | 1802 | 211 | 1805 | -2 623 | -211 | -1805 | 2623 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Colombia | 19192 | 12470 | 12986 | 415 | 165 | 842 | -415 | -165 | -842 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Costa Rica | 2586 | 1463 | 1356 | 644 | -235 | -750 | -644 | 235 | 750 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic | 2050 | 1870 | 1029 | 770 | 892 | 129 | -407 | -780 | -129 | -363 | -112 | ... |
| Ecuador | 620 | -231 | -1626 | -1489 | 1207 | -1 533 | 1453 | -1763 | 1533 | 36 | 556 | ... |
| El Salvador | 1039 | 983 | 850 | 113 | 453 | 523 | -113 | -453 | -523 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Guatemala | 572 | 368 | 853 | 475 | 1392 | 2107 | -475 | -1392 | -2 107 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Haiti | 81 | 164 | 321 | -185 | 81 | 65 | 141 | -142 | -65 | 49 | 61 | ... |
| Honduras | 1437 | 864 | 1172 | 293 | 53 | 597 | -293 | -53 | -597 | 10 | 13 | ... |
| Mexico | 13821 | 22515 | 17704 | -15667 | -136 | -3539 | 15667 | 136 | 3539 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Nicaragua | 1341 | 1077 | 1077 | 197 | -57 | -57 | -197 | 57 | -332 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Panama | 3290 | 4487 | 2057 | -984 | 1327 | -901 | 78 | -609 | 901 | 907 | -718 | ... |
| Paraguay | -258 | 543 | 1632 | -560 | 957 | 830 | 560 | -957 | -830 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Peru | 9242 | 5472 | 5118 | 73 | 168 | 2677 | -73 | -168 | -2 677 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Uruguay | -1 281 | -3 076 | 265 | -1 677 | -2 189 | 1926 | 1677 | 2189 | -1926 | 0 | ... | ... |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 14632 | ... | ... | -3 518 | ... | ... | 3518 | ... | ... | 0 | ... | ... |
| The Caribbean | 986 | 1849 | ... | -1 353 | 71 | ... | 1310 | -71 | ... | 43 | 0 | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | -35 | -27 | ... | 58 | -24 | ... | -58 | 24 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Bahamas | 1437 | ... | ... | 28 | ... | ... | -28 | ... | ... | 0 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Barbados | 226 | 84 | ... | -63 | -123 | ... | 63 | 123 | ... | 0 | ... | ... |
| Belize | 71 | ... | ... | -104 | ... | ... | 104 | ... | . | 0 | ... | ... |
| Dominica | 36 | 91 | $\ldots$ | 26 | 96 | ... | -26 | -96 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Grenada | 67 | 44 | ... | 29 | 10 | ... | -29 | -10 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Guyana | 169 | ... | ... | 25 | ... | ... | -68 | ... | ... | 43 | ... | ... |
| Jamaica | 870 | 482 | 210 | 440 | 379 | 148 | -440 | -379 | -148 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 47 | 147 | ... | -38 | 44 | ... | 38 | -44 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | -51 | 18 | ... | 61 | -13 | ... | -61 | 13 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 128 | 142 | ... | 15 | 20 | $\cdots$ | -15 | -20 | ... | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Suriname | 542 | -1262 | .. | -266 | 150 | $\ldots$ | 266 | -150 | .. | 0 | 0 | ... |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -2 521 | 2130 | ... | -1564 | -467 | ... | 1564 | 467 | ... | 0 | ... | ... |

[^28]Table A1. 6
Latin America and the Caribbean: international trade of goods (Indices: 2010=100)

|  | Exports of goods. f.o.b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value |  |  | Volumen |  |  | Unit value |  |  |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America | 104.0 | 105.6 | 117.4 | 116.5 | 122.7 | 127.6 | 89.3 | 86.0 | 92.0 |
| Argentina | 83.2 | 84.6 | 86.3 | 84.1 | 90.0 | 89.1 | 98.9 | 94.0 | 96.9 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 135.6 | 109.3 | 122.5 | 143.3 | 133.9 | 131.6 | 94.7 | 81.7 | 93.1 |
| Brazil | 94.4 | 91.6 | 107.2 | 112.1 | 115.9 | 121.1 | 84.2 | 79.0 | 88.5 |
| Chile | 87.4 | 85.2 | 95.1 | 109.3 | 108.8 | 107.7 | 80.0 | 78.3 | 88.2 |
| Colombia | 93.9 | 81.9 | 93.1 | 143.6 | 142.7 | 144.1 | 65.4 | 57.4 | 64.6 |
| Costa Rica | 125.9 | 135.7 | 146.0 | 134.3 | 147.1 | 154.4 | 93.7 | 92.2 | 94.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 138.5 | 144.7 | 151.8 | 150.0 | 160.1 | 164.1 | 92.3 | 90.3 | 92.5 |
| Ecuador | 105.0 | 96.1 | 109.7 | 125.2 | 123.1 | 124.4 | 83.9 | 78.0 | 88.2 |
| El Salvador | 126.1 | 120.5 | 128.5 | 118.2 | 115.3 | 121.7 | 106.7 | 104.5 | 105.6 |
| Guatemala | 126.8 | 124.0 | 130.2 | 146.7 | 139.9 | 141.3 | 86.4 | 88.6 | 92.2 |
| Haiti | 176.3 | 171.3 | 170.2 | 170.7 | 172.2 | 163.6 | 103.2 | 99.4 | 104.0 |
| Honduras | 130.7 | 125.2 | 132.8 | 154.6 | 148.0 | 150.9 | 84.5 | 84.6 | 88.0 |
| Mexico | 127.5 | 125.2 | 137.1 | 130.3 | 133.8 | 141.4 | 97.8 | 93.6 | 96.9 |
| Nicaragua | 141.6 | 138.4 | 150.8 | 132.5 | 136.7 | 146.0 | 106.8 | 101.2 | 103.3 |
| Panama | 100.7 | 92.3 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 94.5 | 100.1 | 101.1 | 97.7 | 99.6 |
| Paraguay | 104.0 | 106.5 | 112.2 | 107.9 | 113.8 | 118.3 | 96.4 | 93.6 | 94.8 |
| Peru | 96.1 | 103.4 | 124.1 | 108.2 | 121.0 | 132.0 | 88.8 | 85.5 | 94.0 |
| Uruguay | 138.8 | 134.1 | 145.4 | 131.3 | 135.0 | 143.1 | 105.7 | 99.3 | 101.6 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 55.8 | ... | ... | 80.2 | ... | ... | 69.6 | ... |  |
|  | Imports of goods. f.o.b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Value |  |  | Volume |  |  | Unit value |  |  |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America | 116.0 | 108.6 | 118.3 | 115.2 | 112.6 | 117.8 | 100.8 | 96.5 | 100.4 |
| Argentina | 105.6 | 98.3 | 117.5 | 107.5 | 111.8 | 128.5 | 98.2 | 87.9 | 91.4 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 161.1 | 141.1 | 153.4 | 100.3 | 89.0 | 92.6 | 160.6 | 158.6 | 165.7 |
| Brazil | 94.3 | 76.3 | 82.4 | 95.7 | 85.0 | 87.6 | 98.5 | 89.7 | 94.0 |
| Chile | 106.3 | 100.2 | 110.2 | 114.6 | 113.0 | 118.1 | 92.8 | 88.7 | 93.3 |
| Colombia | 135.5 | 112.6 | 120.5 | 143.4 | 134.8 | 140.1 | 94.5 | 83.5 | 86.0 |
| Costa Rica | 127.3 | 132.1 | 138.7 | 132.1 | 138.9 | 140.1 | 96.4 | 95.1 | 99.0 |
| Dominican Republic | 111.2 | 114.9 | 119.5 | 117.8 | 125.8 | 125.8 | 94.3 | 91.4 | 95.0 |
| Ecuador | 105.4 | 80.7 | 97.9 | 100.4 | 78.0 | 90.3 | 104.9 | 103.5 | 108.4 |
| El Salvador | 125.2 | 117.7 | 124.8 | 123.9 | 122.3 | 124.6 | 101.0 | 96.3 | 100.1 |
| Guatemala | 127.9 | 123.1 | 131.7 | 131.3 | 130.0 | 134.5 | 97.4 | 94.7 | 98.0 |
| Haiti | 103.6 | 95.6 | 111.0 | 87.7 | 83.1 | 94.8 | 118.1 | 115.2 | 117.0 |
| Honduras | 124.6 | 118.5 | 125.1 | 124.6 | 122.5 | 124.3 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 100.7 |
| Mexico | 131.1 | 128.4 | 138.4 | 126.7 | 124.7 | 129.7 | 103.5 | 102.9 | 106.7 |
| Nicaragua | 141.9 | 141.5 | 144.4 | 150.4 | 160.8 | 157.9 | 94.3 | 87.9 | 91.5 |
| Panama | 130.6 | 119.1 | 131.0 | 125.5 | 114.7 | 122.4 | 104.1 | 103.8 | 107.1 |
| Paraguay | 107.5 | 102.0 | 121.4 | 106.5 | 103.1 | 118.3 | 101.0 | 99.0 | 102.7 |
| Peru | 129.6 | 121.9 | 132.3 | 128.0 | 124.2 | 128.6 | 101.3 | 98.2 | 102.9 |
| Uruguay | 114.5 | 98.5 | 100.4 | 124.0 | 119.8 | 117.5 | 92.4 | 82.2 | 85.5 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 87.0 | ... | ... | 82.2 | ... | ... | 105.8 | ... | ... |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Estimates.

Table A1.7
Latin America: terms of trade for goods f.o.b./f.o.b.
(Indices: 2010=100)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2017{ }^{\text {a b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America | 97,0 | 89,7 | 100,0 | 107,9 | 104,5 | 102,0 | 97,7 | 88,6 | 89,2 | 91,6 |
| Argentina | 95,7 | 96,8 | 100,0 | 110,4 | 115,2 | 107,6 | 104,9 | 100,7 | 107,0 | 106,0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 99,0 | 95,2 | 100,0 | 118,1 | 112,3 | 94,5 | 79,9 | 59,0 | 51,5 | 56,2 |
| Brazil | 88,5 | 86,2 | 100,0 | 107,8 | 101,5 | 99,5 | 96,1 | 85,5 | 88,1 | 94,1 |
| Chile | 78,4 | 82,0 | 100,0 | 101,3 | 94,8 | 91,9 | 90,1 | 86,2 | 88,3 | 94,6 |
| Colombia | 91,5 | 86,2 | 100,0 | 114,6 | 108,2 | 100,5 | 91,6 | 69,1 | 68,7 | 75,1 |
| Costa Rica | 100,8 | 104,1 | 100,0 | 96,3 | 95,8 | 96,1 | 97,0 | 97,3 | 97,0 | 95,5 |
| Dominican Republic | 96,0 | 103,8 | 100,0 | 94,7 | 93,8 | 91,5 | 93,3 | 97,9 | 98,9 | 97,3 |
| Ecuador | 103,7 | 86,7 | 100,0 | 112,4 | 112,1 | 113,2 | 106,7 | 80,0 | 75,4 | 81,3 |
| El Salvador | 94,1 | 105,9 | 100,0 | 97,5 | 97,1 | 94,5 | 96,7 | 105,6 | 108,6 | 105,4 |
| Guatemala | 92,6 | 100,5 | 100,0 | 99,1 | 93,7 | 91,8 | 92,3 | 88,7 | 93,5 | 94,1 |
| Haiti | 79,9 | 103,4 | 100,0 | 83,0 | 86,0 | 80,6 | 83,1 | 87,4 | 86,4 | 88,9 |
| Honduras | 91,1 | 97,3 | 100,0 | 108,4 | 94,6 | 88,6 | 90,4 | 84,5 | 87,4 | 87,4 |
| Mexico | 104,6 | 92,9 | 100,0 | 106,8 | 102,9 | 102,8 | 97,6 | 94,5 | 90,9 | 90,8 |
| Nicaragua | 90,2 | 98,3 | 100,0 | 106,6 | 106,5 | 98,2 | 100,1 | 113,3 | 115,1 | 112,9 |
| Panama | 97,3 | 101,9 | 100,0 | 97,8 | 98,2 | 97,7 | 99,7 | 97,1 | 94,1 | 93,1 |
| Paraguay | 102,3 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 102,4 | 103,4 | 102,8 | 103,3 | 95,5 | 94,6 | 92,4 |
| Peru | 84,6 | 82,6 | 100,0 | 107,2 | 105,0 | 99,0 | 93,6 | 87,7 | 87,0 | 91,4 |
| Uruguay | 94,1 | 100,5 | 100,0 | 102,4 | 106,3 | 108,1 | 112,3 | 114,5 | 120,8 | 118,9 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 115,5 | 84,1 | 100,0 | 120,2 | 121,4 | 118,9 | 111,8 | 65,7 | .. |  |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The regional figure does not include the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

- Estimates.

Table A1.8
Latin America and the Caribbean (selected countries): remittances from emigrant workers (Millions of dollars)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 1097 | 1023 | 939 | 1012 | 1094 | 1182 | 1164 | 1179 | 1204 | $605^{\text {b }}$ |
| Brazil | 2913 | 2224 | 2518 | 2550 | 2191 | 2124 | 2128 | 2459 | 2365 | 1 685 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Colombia | 4785 | 4090 | 3996 | 4064 | 3970 | 4401 | 4093 | 4635 | 4858 | $3993{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Costa Rica | 584 | 489 | 505 | 487 | 527 | 561 | 559 | 518 | 515 | 259b |
| Dominican Republic |  |  | 3683 | 4008 | 4045 | 4262 | 4571 | 4961 | 5261 | $4485{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Ecuador | 3083 | 2736 | 2591 | 2672 | 2467 | 2450 | 2462 | 2378 | 2602 | $1325{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| El Salvador | 3742 | 3387 | 3455 | 3628 | 3880 | 3938 | 4133 | 4270 | 4576 | 4112 |
| Guatemala | 4315 | 3912 | 4127 | 4378 | 4783 | 5105 | 5544 | 6285 | 7160 | 6825 |
| Honduras | 2707 | 2403 | 2526 | 2750 | 2842 | 3093 | 3437 | 3726 | 3946 | $2179{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Jamaica | 2021 | 1792 | 1906 | 2025 | 2037 | 2065 | 2157 | 2226 | 2291 | $732{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Mexico | 25145 | 21306 | 21304 | 22803 | 22438 | 22303 | 23647 | 24792 | 26972 | 21 224 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Nicaragua | 818 | 768 | 823 | 912 | 1014 | 1078 | 1136 | 1193 | 1264 | 1 020 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Paraguay | 202 | 201 | 274 | 451 | 528 | 519 | 422 | 462 | 547 | $432{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Peru | 2444 | 2409 | 2534 | 2697 | 2788 | 2707 | 2637 | 2725 | 2884 | $1476{ }^{\text {b }}$ |

[^29]Table A1.9
Latin America and the Caribbean: net resource transfer ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Millions of dollars)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | -31 135 | -22 083 | 33646 | 46247 | 28110 | 28765 | 63749 | 16590 | -8420 | ... |
| Latin America | -29 096 | -21 109 | 36665 | 49576 | 31743 | 31645 | 64098 | 17509 | -8747 | -25 534 |
| Argentina | -14 438 | -16227 | -8767 | -15841 | -14921 | -11864 | -1745 | 132 | 16738 | 26255 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | -177 | -1 094 | -707 | 923 | -1 888 | -1840 | -1336 | -880 | -1755 | 1061 |
| Brazil | -9 401 | 37269 | 57870 | 65194 | 38810 | 36374 | 62844 | 18094 | -8313 | -18895 |
| Chile | -1523 | -13599 | -15522 | 3006 | -2 493 | -486 | -3829 | -1 695 | -1738 | -8447 |
| Colombia | -516 | -2 270 | 649 | -1950 | 1759 | 5218 | 11676 | 13542 | 7358 | 6586 |
| Costa Rica | 1644 | -180 | 589 | 979 | 3065 | 1064 | 226 | 206 | -1402 | -1794 |
| Cuba | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |  |
| Dominican Republic | 2462 | 1248 | 2563 | 2420 | 933 | 735 | -882 | -1249 | -1606 | -2 031 |
| Ecuador | -2 246 | -2 264 | -625 | -522 | -1 611 | 1427 | -1 441 | -1 076 | -1530 | -3916 |
| El Salvador | 1477 | 179 | -302 | 79 | 1039 | 267 | 145 | -52 | -242 | -436 |
| Guatemala | 906 | -762 | 142 | 313 | 693 | 989 | -105 | -827 | -1139 | -697 |
| Haiti | 960 | 699 | 3188 | 1798 | 788 | 625 | 325 | 170 | 273 | 371 |
| Honduras | 1532 | -429 | 546 | 521 | 32 | 894 | 225 | 67 | -615 | -2 189 |
| Mexico | 8982 | -1985 | 13556 | 22125 | 9719 | 11229 | 9631 | -15446 | -4 638 | -12296 |
| Nicaragua | 1258 | 873 | 749 | 980 | 802 | 967 | 812 | 996 | 723 | 624 |
| Panama | 1732 | -664 | 1223 | 2854 | 1667 | 2096 | 4134 | 171 | -616 | -2 189 |
| Paraguay | -915 | -767 | -1036 | -603 | -1 184 | -1 127 | -279 | -1 569 | -964 | 142 |
| Peru | -288 | -6728 | 3531 | -5 495 | 7602 | 1079 | -3 146 | 1698 | -3712 | -5902 |
| Uruguay | 3045 | 929 | -1 131 | 2248 | 1609 | 1900 | -465 | -3608 | -5571 | -2690 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -23589 | -15337 | -19853 | -29 453 | -14681 | -17901 | -12691 | 8834 | ... | ... |
| The Caribbean | -2 039 | -974 | -3 019 | -3 329 | -3632 | -2 880 | -349 | -919 | 328 | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 292 | 108 | 146 | 88 | 140 | 191 | -9 | -116 | -124 | ... |
| Bahamas | 1246 | 909 | 627 | 992 | 1162 | 1096 | 1542 | 1035 | ... | ... |
| Barbados | 140 | 242 | 96 | 150 | 139 | -38 | 188 | 13 | -137 | ... |
| Belize | 38 | 15 | -107 | -60 | -30 | 72 | 78 | -24 | ... | ... |
| Dominica | 108 | 118 | 70 | 67 | 81 | 23 | 29 | 18 | 71 | ... |
| Grenada | 201 | 160 | 154 | 177 | 157 | 223 | 6 | 9 | -17 | ... |
| Guyana | 262 | -51 | 101 | 341 | 311 | 568 | 471 | 236 | ... | ... |
| Jamaica | 2120 | 430 | 871 | 1326 | 400 | 860 | 1472 | 430 | -88 | -70 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 183 | 172 | 142 | 129 | 52 | 50 | -7 | -35 | 66 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | 257 | 125 | 195 | 231 | 158 | 84 | -86 | -162 | -100 | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 204 | 189 | 221 | 163 | 208 | 247 | 182 | 114 | 117 | ... |
| Suriname | -96 | -68 | -720 | -569 | -175 | -84 | 196 | 514 | -1331 | ... |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -6995 | -3 324 | -4816 | -6 364 | -6236 | -6 173 | -4 411 | -2950 | 1872 | ... |

[^30]Table A1.10
Latin America and the Caribbean: net foreign direct investment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Millions of dollars)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 105870 | 73348 | 114189 | 147195 | 149794 | 147413 | 142516 | 135781 | 130094 | ... |
| Latin America | 100118 | 70351 | 111689 | 145481 | 149208 | 146561 | 140053 | 133664 | 129200 | ... |
| Argentina | 8335 | 3306 | 10368 | 9352 | 14269 | 8932 | 3145 | 10884 | 1474 | ... |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 509 | 420 | 651 | 859 | 1060 | 1750 | 690 | 564 | 242 | ... |
| Brazil | 24601 | 36033 | 61689 | 85091 | 81399 | 54744 | 71135 | 61200 | 65351 | ... |
| Chile | 9476 | 6733 | 6693 | 4122 | 10006 | 11204 | 11211 | 3726 | 5101 | ... |
| Colombia | 7479 | 4530 | 947 | 6227 | 15646 | 8557 | 12265 | 7414 | 9210 | ... |
| Costa Rica | 2240 | 1340 | 1589 | 2328 | 1803 | 2401 | 2818 | 2541 | 2440 | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 2870 | 2165 | 2024 | 2277 | 3142 | 1991 | 2209 | 2205 | 2407 | ... |
| Ecuador | 1057 | 309 | 166 | 644 | 567 | 727 | 772 | 1322 | 755 | ... |
| El Salvador | 824 | 366 | -226 | 218 | 484 | 176 | 311 | 399 | 374 | ... |
| Guatemala | 738 | 574 | 782 | 1009 | 1205 | 1262 | 1282 | 1104 | 1068 | ... |
| Haiti | 39 | 57 | 186 | 114 | 156 | 162 | 99 | 106 | 105 | ... |
| Honduras | 1007 | 505 | 971 | 1012 | 851 | 992 | 1315 | 1113 | 880 | ... |
| Mexico | 28256 | 8300 | 12931 | 11948 | -1603 | 34757 | 22160 | 24315 | 27799 | ... |
| Nicaragua | 608 | 463 | 475 | 929 | 704 | 665 | 790 | 905 | 860 | ... |
| Panama | 2196 | 1259 | 2363 | 2956 | 3254 | 3612 | 4130 | 3966 | 5041 | ... |
| Paraguay | 263 | 71 | 462 | 581 | 697 | 245 | 412 | 306 | 320 | ... |
| Peru | 6188 | 6020 | 8189 | 7194 | 11710 | 9663 | 3640 | 8144 | 6560 | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | 2117 | 1512 | 2349 | 2511 | 2179 | 2793 | 2373 | 842 | -784 | $\ldots$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 1316 | -3613 | -918 | 6110 | 1679 | 1928 | -704 | 2609 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| The Caribbean | 5752 | 2997 | 2500 | 1714 | 586 | 853 | 2462 | 2115 | 894 | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 159 | 81 | 97 | 65 | 133 | 95 | 40 | 96 | 42 | $\ldots$ |
| Bahamas | 860 | 664 | 872 | 667 | 526 | 382 | 251 | 76 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Barbados | 468 | 352 | 329 | 83 | 565 | -62 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Belize | 167 | 108 | 95 | 95 | 193 | 92 | 138 | 59 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Dominica | 57 | 42 | 43 | 35 | 59 | 23 | 14 | 23 | 32 | ... |
| Grenada | 135 | 103 | 60 | 43 | 31 | 113 | 58 | 89 | 91 | ... |
| Guyana | 178 | 164 | 198 | 247 | 278 | 201 | 238 | 117 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Jamaica | 1361 | 480 | 169 | 144 | 411 | 631 | 584 | 921 | 564 | -148 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 178 | 131 | 116 | 110 | 108 | 136 | 158 | 132 | 89 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | 161 | 146 | 121 | 81 | 74 | 92 | 19 | 75 | 116 | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 159 | 110 | 97 | 86 | 115 | 160 | 108 | 48 | 90 | $\ldots$ |
| Suriname | -231 | -93 | -248 | 73 | 173 | 188 | 163 | 276 | -284 | ... |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 2101 | 709 | 549 | -13 | -2 080 | -1 197 | 689 | 205 | 153 | ... |

[^31]Table A1.11
Latin America and the Caribbean: gross external debt
(Millions of dollars, end-of-period stocks)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 823047 | 917268 | 1107491 | 1238188 | 1373967 | 1506024 | 1681512 | 1687969 | 1761323 | 1811714 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 809488 | 902907 | 1090706 | 1220283 | 1356029 | 1486815 | 1661238 | 1665716 | 1737090 | 1787117 |
| Argentina | Total | 154091 | 149359 | 144653 | 156300 | 156478 | 155489 | 158742 | 167412 | 181170 | 204818 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Total | 5930 | 5801 | 5875 | 6298 | 6625 | 7756 | 8543 | 9445 | 10717 | 12036 |
| Brazil | Total | 289573 | 333607 | 452780 | 516030 | 570831 | 621439 | 712655 | 665101 | 676647 | 667763 |
| Chile | Total | 63534 | 72617 | 86570 | 100973 | 122668 | 136351 | 152135 | 157764 | 163789 | 173565 |
| Colombia | Total | 46436 | 53779 | 64792 | 75622 | 78784 | 92073 | 101404 | 110502 | 120153 | 124770 |
| Costa Rica | Total | 8827 | 8276 | 9527 | 11286 | 15381 | 19629 | 21671 | 24030 | 25470 | 26232 |
| Dominican Republic | Public | 7219 | 8215 | 9947 | 11625 | 12872 | 14919 | 16074 | 16029 | 17567 | 18819 |
| Ecuador | Total | 16900 | 13514 | 13914 | 15210 | 15913 | 18788 | 24112 | 27720 | 34181 | 36151 |
| El Salvador | Total | 11143 | 11307 | 11399 | 11858 | 13353 | 14035 | 14800 | 15217 | 16253 | 16405 |
| Guatemala | Total | 11163 | 11248 | 12026 | 14021 | 15339 | 17826 | 20031 | 20885 | 21651 | 22267 |
| Haiti | Public | 1917 | 1278 | 353 | 727 | 1126 | 1503 | 1875 | 1993 | 2019 | 2124 |
| Honduras | Total | 3499 | 3365 | 3785 | 4208 | 4861 | 6709 | 7184 | 7456 | 7506 | 8392 |
| Mexico | Total | 123626 | 160427 | 194766 | 210713 | 226492 | 259977 | 286624 | 298398 | 316194 | 323423 |
| Nicaragua | Public | 3512 | 3661 | 4068 | 4263 | 4481 | 4724 | 4796 | 4804 | 5042 | 5341 |
| Panama | Public | 8477 | 10150 | 10439 | 10858 | 10782 | 12231 | 14352 | 15648 | 16689 | 18273 |
| Paraguay | Total | 3220 | 3177 | 3713 | 3970 | 4563 | 4776 | 6126 | 6513 | 7122 | 7876 |
| Peru | Total | 34997 | 35157 | 43674 | 47977 | 59376 | 60823 | 69215 | 73274 | 74651 | 80200 |
| Uruguay | Total | 15425 | 17969 | 18425 | 18345 | 36104 | 37767 | 40898 | 43527 | 40268 | 38662 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Total | 66727 | 84602 | 88652 | 103140 | 113112 | 112103 | 117217 | 120204 | ... | ... |
| The Caribbean | Public | 13559 | 14361 | 16785 | 17905 | 17938 | 19209 | 20274 | 22253 | 24233 | 24596 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Public | 436 | 416 | 432 | 467 | 445 | 577 | 560 | 581 | 590 | 649 |
| Bahamas | Public | 443 | 767 | 916 | 1045 | 1465 | 1616 | 2095 | 2185 | 2371 | 2311 |
| Barbados | Public | 1089 | 1321 | 1366 | 1385 | 1322 | 1434 | 1521 | 1490 | 1538 | 1507 |
| Belize | Public | 958 | 1017 | 1021 | 1032 | 1029 | 1083 | 1127 | 1177 | 1202 | 1234 |
| Dominica | Public | 234 | 222 | 232 | 238 | 263 | 273 | 278 | 283 | 270 | 278 |
| Grenada | Public | 481 | 512 | 528 | 535 | 535 | 562 | 578 | 602 | 601 | 607 |
| Guyana | Public | 834 | 933 | 1043 | 1206 | 1358 | 1246 | 1216 | 1143 | 1162 | 1201 |
| Jamaica | Public | 6344 | 6594 | 8390 | 8626 | 8256 | 8310 | 8659 | 10314 | 10244 | 10184 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | Public | 312 | 325 | 296 | 320 | 317 | 320 | 280 | 213 | 197 | 189 |
| Saint Lucia | Public | 364 | 373 | 393 | 417 | 435 | 488 | 526 | 509 | 529 | 555 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | Public | 229 | 262 | 313 | 328 | 329 | 354 | 385 | 399 | 465 | 474 |
| Suriname | Public | 319 | 269 | 334 | 601 | 707 | 878 | 942 | 1156 | 1869 | 1957 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Public | 1515 | 1351 | 1522 | 1706 | 1478 | 2068 | 2109 | 2203 | 3194 | 3451 |

[^32]Table A1.12
Latin America and the Caribbean: sovereign spreads on EMBI+ and EMBI global (Basis points to end of period)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America | EMBI + | 722 | 328 | 305 | 410 | 317 | 410 | 491 | 584 | 483 | 437 |
| Argentina | EMBI + | 1704 | 660 | 496 | 925 | 991 | 808 | 719 | 438 | 455 | 361 |
| Belize | EMBI Global | ... | ... | 617 | 1391 | 2245 | 807 | 819 | 822 | 1837 | 717 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | EMBI Global | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 289 | 277 | 250 | 83 | 204 |
| Brazil | EMBI + | 428 | 192 | 189 | 223 | 142 | 224 | 259 | 523 | 328 | 243 |
| Chile | EMBI Global | 343 | 95 | 115 | 172 | 116 | 148 | 169 | 253 | 158 | 118 |
| Colombia | EMBI + | 498 | 196 | 172 | 195 | 112 | 166 | 196 | 321 | 227 | 185 |
| Dominican Republic | EMBI Global | $\ldots$ | ... | 322 | 597 | 343 | 349 | 381 | 421 | 407 | 278 |
| Ecuador | EMBI Global | 4731 | 769 | 913 | 846 | 826 | 530 | 883 | 1266 | 647 | 563 |
| El Salvador | EMBI Global | ... | ... | 302 | 478 | 396 | 389 | 414 | 634 | 536 | 432 |
| Jamaica | EMBI Global | ... | ... | 427 | 637 | 711 | 641 | 485 | 469 | 375 | 286 |
| Mexico | EMBI + | 376 | 164 | 149 | 187 | 126 | 155 | 182 | 232 | 232 | 186 |
| Panama | EMBI + | 540 | 171 | 162 | 201 | 129 | 199 | 189 | 218 | 186 | 119 |
| Paraguay | EMBI Global | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 240 | 291 | 338 | 281 | 196 |
| Peru | EMBI + | 509 | 165 | 163 | 216 | 114 | 159 | 181 | 246 | 175 | 114 |
| Uruguay | EMBI Global | 685 | 238 | 188 | 213 | 127 | 194 | 208 | 280 | 244 | 154 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | EMBI + | 1862 | 1017 | 1044 | 1197 | 773 | 1093 | 2295 | 2658 | 2138 | 3267 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from JPMorgan, Emerging Markets Bond Index Monitor.
a Figures as of October.

Table A1.13
Latin America and the Caribbean: sovereign risk premiums on five-year credit default swaps (Basis points to end of period)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 4041 | 914 | 602 | 922 | 1442 | 1654 | 2987 | 5393 | 419 | 239 |
| Brazil | 301 | 123 | 111 | 162 | 108 | 194 | 201 | 495 | 281 | 171 |
| Chile | 203 | 68 | 84 | 132 | 72 | 80 | 94 | 129 | 83 | 55 |
| Colombia | 309 | 143 | 113 | 156 | 96 | 119 | 141 | 243 | 164 | 113 |
| Mexico | 293 | 134 | 114 | 154 | 98 | 92 | 103 | 170 | 156 | 102 |
| Panama | 302 | 134 | 99 | 150 | 98 | 111 | 109 | 182 | 127 | 70 |
| Peru | 304 | 124 | 113 | 172 | 97 | 133 | 115 | 188 | 108 | 71 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 3218 | 1104 | 1016 | 928 | 647 | 1150 | 3155 | 4868 | 3750 | $5542^{\text {b }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg.
a Figures as of November.

- Figures as of October.

Table A1.14
Latin America and the Caribbean: international bond issues ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Millions of dollars)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 19848 | 64750 | 90183 | 91687 | 114241 | 123332 | 133056 | 78606 | 129364 | 126246 |
| National issues | 19401 | 63250 | 88657 | 90272 | 111757 | 121518 | 129743 | 75436 | 124528 | 122456 |
| Argentina | 65 | 500 | 3146 | 2449 | 663 | 1025 | 1941 | 3586 | 33783 | 21318 |
| Bahamas | 100 | 300 | - | - | - | - | 300 | - | - | - |
| Barbados | - | 450 | 390 | - | - | - | 2500 | 320 | - | - |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | - | - | - | - | 500 | 500 | - | - | - | 1000 |
| Brazil | 6520 | 25745 | 39305 | 38369 | 50255 | 37262 | 45364 | 7188 | 20481 | 27325 |
| Chile | - | 2773 | 6750 | 6049 | 9443 | 11540 | 13768 | 7650 | 5336 | 13861 |
| Colombia | 1000 | 5450 | 1912 | 6411 | 7459 | 10012 | 9200 | 6400 | 4061 | 7614 |
| Costa Rica | - | - | - | 250 | 1250 | 3000 | 1000 | 1000 | 500 | 300 |
| Dominican Republic | - | - | 1034 | 750 | 750 | 1800 | 1500 | 3500 | 1870 | 2017 |
| Ecuador | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2000 | 1500 | 2750 | 5500 |
| El Salvador | - | 800 | 450 | 654 | 800 | 310 | 800 | 300 | - | 951 |
| Guatemala | 30 | - | - | 150 | 1400 | 1300 | 1100 | - | 700 | 1330 |
| Honduras | - | - | 20 | - | - | 1000 | - | - | - | 850 |
| Jamaica | 350 | 750 | 1075 | 694 | 1750 | 1800 | 1800 | 2925 | 364 | 869 |
| Mexico | 6000 | 16659 | 26882 | 22276 | 28147 | 41729 | 37592 | 30075 | 41539 | 25188 |
| Panama | 686 | 1323 | - | 897 | 1100 | 1350 | 1935 | 1700 | 2200 | 3021 |
| Paraguay | - | - | - | 100 | 500 | 500 | 1000 | 280 | 600 | 500 |
| Peru | - | 2150 | 4693 | 2155 | 7240 | 5840 | 5944 | 6407 | 1960 | 8462 |
| Suriname | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 636 | - |
| Trinidad and Tobago | - | 850 | - | 175 | - | 550 | - | - | 1600 | - |
| Uruguay | - | 500 | - | 1693 | 500 | 2000 | 2000 | 2605 | 1147 | 2350 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 4650 | 5000 | 3000 | 7200 | - | - | - | - | 5000 | - |
| Supranational issues | 447 | 1500 | 1526 | 1415 | 2484 | 1814 | 3313 | 3171 | 4837 | 3790 |
| Central American Bank for Economic Integration (CABEI) | - | 500 | 151 | - | 250 | 520 | 505 | 521 | 887 | 382 |
| Caribbean Development Bank (CDB) | - | - | - | 175 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Foreign Trade Bank of Latin America (BLADEX) | - | - | - | - | 400 | - | - | - | 73 | - |
| Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) | 447 | 1000 | 1375 | 1240 | 1834 | 1294 | 2808 | 2650 | 3376 | 3408 |
| Inter-American Investment Corporation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 | - |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Merrill Lynch, J.P. Morgan and Latin Finance.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes sovereign, bank and corporate bonds.
b Figures as of October.
Table A1.15
Latin America and the Caribbean: stock exchange indices
(National indices to end of period, 31 December 2005=100)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 70 | 150 | 228 | 160 | 185 | 349 | 556 | 757 | 1096 | 1743 |
| Brazil | 112 | 205 | 207 | 170 | 182 | 154 | 149 | 130 | 180 | 215 |
| Chile | 121 | 182 | 251 | 213 | 219 | 188 | 196 | 187 | 211 | 255 |
| Colombia | 79 | 122 | 163 | 133 | 155 | 137 | 122 | 90 | 106 | 114 |
| Costa Rica | 207 | 142 | 118 | 121 | 129 | 190 | 211 | 191 | 250 | - |
| Ecuador | 128 | 107 | 126 | 128 | 135 | 148 | 168 | 161 | 150 | 182 |
| Jamaica | 77 | 80 | 82 | 91 | 88 | 77 | 73 | 144 | 184 | 263 |
| Mexico | 126 | 180 | 217 | 208 | 246 | 240 | 242 | 241 | 256 | 265 |
| Peru | 147 | 295 | 487 | 406 | 430 | 328 | 308 | 205 | 324 | 410 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 79 | 72 | 78 | 95 | 100 | 111 | 108 | 109 | 113 | 120 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 175 | 275 | 325 | 585 | 2355 | 13685 | 19295 | 72940 | 158525 | 6599350 |

[^33]Table A1.16
Latin America and the Caribbean: gross international reserves (Millions of dollars, end-of-period stocks)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 511960 | 566708 | 657005 | 773789 | 835902 | 830204 | 857634 | 811913 | 831542 | 866213 |
| Latin America | 498078 | 552701 | 641017 | 756685 | 820023 | 813981 | 839372 | 795049 | 814669 | 849881 |
| Argentina | 46198 | 47967 | 52145 | 46376 | 43290 | 30599 | 31443 | 25563 | 38772 | 54563 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 7722 | 8580 | 9730 | 12019 | 13927 | 14430 | 15123 | 13056 | 10081 | 10742 |
| Brazil | 193783 | 238520 | 288575 | 352012 | 373147 | 358808 | 363551 | 356464 | 365016 | 381056 |
| Chile | 23162 | 25373 | 27864 | 41979 | 41650 | 41094 | 40447 | 38643 | 40483 | 38033 |
| Colombia | 24041 | 25365 | 28464 | 32303 | 37474 | 43639 | 47328 | 46740 | 46683 | $47417{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Costa Rica | 3799 | 4066 | 4627 | 4756 | 6857 | 7331 | 7211 | 7834 | 7574 | 6837 |
| Dominican Republic | 2662 | 3307 | 3765 | 4098 | 3559 | 4701 | 4862 | 5266 | 6047 | 6345 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4473 | 3792 | 2622 | 2958 | 2483 | 4361 | 3949 | 2496 | 4259 | 4018 |
| El Salvador | 2544 | 2985 | 2882 | 2503 | 3175 | 2745 | 2693 | 2787 | 3238 | $3683{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4659 | 5213 | 5954 | 6188 | 6694 | 7273 | 7333 | 7751 | 9160 | $11494{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Haiti | 587 | 733 | 1284 | 1344 | 1337 | 1690 | 1163 | 977 | 1105 | $1245{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Honduras | 2690 | 2174 | 2775 | 2880 | 2629 | 3113 | 3570 | 3874 | 4100 | $4742^{\text {d }}$ |
| Mexico | 95302 | 99893 | 120587 | 149209 | 167050 | 180200 | 195682 | 177597 | 178025 | $176441^{\text {b }}$ |
| Nicaragua | 1062 | 1490 | 1708 | 1793 | 1778 | 1874 | 2147 | 2353 | 2296 | 2540 |
| Panama | 2637 | 3222 | 2561 | 2234 | 2441 | 2775 | 3994 | 3911 | 4511 | 3609 e |
| Paraguay | 2864 | 3861 | 4168 | 4984 | 4994 | 5871 | 6891 | 6200 | 7144 | 8020 |
| Peru | 31233 | 33175 | 44150 | 48859 | 64049 | 65710 | 62353 | 61537 | 61746 | $63595{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Uruguay | 6360 | 7987 | 7656 | 10302 | 13605 | 16290 | 17555 | 15634 | 13436 | 15734 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 42299 | 35000 | 29500 | 29889 | 29887 | 21478 | 22077 | 16367 | 10992 | 9767 |
| The Caribbean | 13882 | 14007 | 15988 | 17104 | 15879 | 16223 | 18262 | 16863 | 16874 | 16332 |
| Antigua and Barbuda ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 138 | 108 | 136 | 147 | 161 | 202 | 297 | 356 | 330 | 297 |
| Bahamas | 563 | 816 | 861 | 892 | 812 | 740 | 787 | 808 | 902 | $1065{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Barbados | 523 | 563 | 575 | 587 | 630 | 516 | 467 | 434 | 315 | $253{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Belize | 156 | 210 | 216 | 242 | 289 | 402 | 483 | 432 | 371 | 300 |
| Dominica ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 55 | 64 | 66 | 75 | 92 | 85 | 100 | 125 | 221 | $240{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Grenada ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 104 | 112 | 103 | 106 | 104 | 135 | 158 | 189 | 201 | $207{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Guyana | 356 | 628 | 780 | 798 | 862 | 777 | 666 | 599 | 616 | $580^{\text {d }}$ |
| Jamaica | 1795 | 1752 | 2979 | 2820 | 1981 | 1818 | 2473 | 2914 | 3291 | $3659{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 110 | 123 | 157 | 233 | 252 | 291 | 318 | 280 | 313 | $327{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Saint Lucia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 140 | 151 | 184 | 192 | 208 | 168 | 235 | 298 | 275 | $296{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 83 | 75 | 111 | 88 | 109 | 133 | 156 | 165 | 191 | $180^{f}$ |
| Suriname | 433 | 659 | 639 | 941 | 1008 | 779 | 625 | 330 | 381 | $411^{\text {b }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9425 | 8746 | 9181 | 9983 | 9371 | 10176 | 11497 | 9933 | 9466 | $8517^{\text {b }}$ |

[^34]Table A1.17
Latin America and the Caribbean: real effective exchange rates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ b (Indices: 2005=100, average values for the period)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {c d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 89.9 | 90.5 | 85.5 | 83.8 | 82.1 | 81.9 | 82.9 | 84.1 | 85.3 | 83.6 |
| Barbados | 97.5 | 93.1 | 89.2 | 90.3 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 87.9 | 84.4 | 83.0 | 84.1 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 93.4 | 85.5 | 89.9 | 89.8 | 87.0 | 81.5 | 74.9 | 65.6 | 62.5 | 64.7 |
| Brazil | 80.4 | 82.3 | 72.0 | 69.2 | 77.6 | 83.0 | 85.4 | 106.1 | 101.6 | 92.5 |
| Chile | 97.3 | 101.6 | 96.3 | 95.3 | 94.0 | 95.2 | 105.4 | 109.4 | 108.4 | 105.2 |
| Colombia | 87.8 | 91.8 | 79.3 | 79.5 | 76.5 | 80.1 | 84.5 | 104.3 | 108.4 | 103.6 |
| Costa Rica | 94.1 | 92.8 | 82.4 | 79.7 | 76.6 | 74.1 | 77.4 | 73.5 | 75.0 | 78.8 |
| Dominica | 105.1 | 107.6 | 106.1 | 109.3 | 108.7 | 110.5 | 111.6 | 110.3 | 109.8 | 110.7 |
| Dominican Republic | 105.9 | 110.5 | 108.9 | 110.3 | 112.3 | 115.8 | 119.0 | 115.9 | 116.9 | 120.9 |
| Ecuador | 108.4 | 100.7 | 99.6 | 102.1 | 98.1 | 96.5 | 93.3 | 85.1 | 83.7 | 86.1 |
| El Salvador | 101.7 | 99.6 | 101.2 | 102.4 | 103.1 | 104.0 | 104.6 | 103.7 | 103.8 | 105.9 |
| Guatemala | 91.2 | 94.4 | 93.5 | 89.5 | 88.3 | 87.2 | 83.3 | 77.9 | 73.4 | 69.8 |
| Honduras | 94.2 | 87.1 | 86.1 | 85.4 | 83.8 | 84.8 | 82.8 | 82.6 | 84.0 | 85.5 |
| Jamaica | 99.1 | 110.7 | 98.1 | 96.2 | 95.2 | 99.8 | 106.0 | 104.9 | 113.9 | 120.2 |
| Mexico | 103.4 | 117.9 | 109.1 | 109.1 | 112.6 | 106.8 | 108.0 | 122.2 | 140.8 | 137.9 |
| Nicaragua | 94.9 | 103.4 | 100.8 | 105.8 | 103.4 | 100.1 | 105.3 | 100.8 | 103.2 | 109.2 |
| Panama | 106.5 | 103.5 | 103.0 | 103.9 | 94.4 | 92.2 | 89.0 | 85.5 | 84.6 | 85.6 |
| Paraguay | 73.8 | 81.6 | 80.1 | 71.7 | 73.0 | 68.3 | 66.0 | 67.1 | 69.7 | 71.6 |
| Peru | 99.5 | 97.8 | 94.4 | 96.6 | 90.1 | 90.5 | 93.1 | 94.9 | 96.3 | 92.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 90.5 | 82.5 | 78.8 | 79.4 | 73.7 | 70.7 | 67.1 | 61.2 | 61.9 | 63.7 |
| Uruguay | 93.2 | 91.3 | 79.9 | 77.9 | 76.3 | 70.7 | 74.3 | 74.1 | 74.5 | 70.7 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ A country's overall real effective exchange rate index is calculated by weighting its real bilateral exchange rate indices with each of its trading partners by each partner's share in the country's total trade flows in terms of exports and imports. The extraregional real effective exchange rate index excludes trade with other Latin American and Caribbean countries.
${ }^{-}$A currency depreciates in real effective terms when this index rises and appreciates when it falls.

- Preliminary figures.
${ }^{-}$Figures as of September.
- Simple average of the extraregional real effective exchange rate for 18 countries. Does not include countries with multiple exchange rates.

Table A1.18
Latin America and the Caribbean: participation rate (Average annual rates)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | January to September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 62.2 | 62.5 | 62.3 | 62.2 | 62.2 | 62.1 | 61.9 | 61.8 | 61.9 | ... | ... |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Urban areas | 58.8 | 59.3 | 58.9 | 59.5 | 59.3 | 58.9 | 58.3 | $57.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 57.5 | $57.8{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $57.4{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Bahamas | Nationwide total | $\ldots$ | 73.4 | $\ldots$ | 72.3 | 74.6 | 73.2 | 73.7 | 74.3 | 76.99 | 76.99 | 80.09 |
| Barbados | Nationwide total | 67.6 | 67.0 | 66.6 | 67.6 | 66.2 | 66.7 | 63.9 | 65.1 | 66.5 | $66.6{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $65.4{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Belize | Nationwide total | 59.2 |  | . | $\ldots$ | 65.8 | 64.0 | 63.6 | 63.2 | 64.0 | $63.7{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $64.3{ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Nationwide total | 64.9 | 65.1 | $\ldots$ | 65.8 | 61.2 | 63.4 | 65.9 | 61.0 | 65.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | Nationwide total ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 57.0 | 56.7 | 57.1 | 57.1 \| | 61.4 | 61.3 | 61.0 | 61.3 | 61.4 | 61.4 | 61.7 |
| Chile ${ }^{1}$ | Nationwide total | 56.0 | 55.9 \| | 58.5 | 59.8 | 59.5 | 59.6 | 59.8 | 59.7 | 59.5 | 59.4 | 59.6 |
| Colombia | Nationwide total | 58.5 | 61.3 | 62.7 | 63.7 | 64.5 | 64.2 | 64.2 | 64.7 | 64.5 | 64.2 | 64.2 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {j m }}$ | Nationwide total | 56.71 | 60.4 | 59.1 | 60.71 | 62.5 | 62.2 | 62.6 | 61.2 | 58.4 | 57.8 | 59.4 |
| Cuba | Nationwide total | 74.7 | 75.4 | 74.9 | 76.1 | 74.2 | 72.9 | 71.9 | 67.1 | 65.2 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Dominican Republic | Nationwide total | 63.7 | 61.4 | 62.6 | 63.8 | 64.7 | 64.2 | 64.8 | 64.9 | 65.2 | $62.1{ }^{\text {n }}$ | $62.0{ }^{\text {n }}$ |
| Ecuador ${ }^{1}$ | Nationwide total ${ }^{0}$ | 67.7 | 66.31 | 63.7 | 62.5 | 63.0 | 62.9 | 63.2 | 66.2 | 68.2 | 68.5 | 68.8 |
| El Salvador | Nationwide total | 62.7 | 62.8 | 62.5 | 62.7 | 63.2 | 63.6 | 62.8 | 62.1 | 62.2 |  | .. |
| Guatemala | Nationwide total | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 61.8 | 65.4 | 60.6 | 60.9 | 60.7 | 60.8 | $61.5^{p}$ | $61.0^{\text {p }}$ |
| Honduras | Nationwide total | 51.0 | 53.1 | 53.6 | 51.9 | 50.8 | 53.7 | 56.0 | 58.3 | 57.5 | $\ldots$ | .. |
| Jamaica | Nationwide total | 65.4 | 63.5 | 62.4 | 62.3 | 61.9 | 63.0 | 62.8 | 63.1 | 64.8 | 64.8 | 65.3 |
| Mexico | Nationwide total | 58.7 | 58.6 | 58.4 | 58.6 | 59.2 | 60.3 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 59.3 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | Nationwide total | 53.3 \| | 66.6 | 71.2 | 75.6 | 76.8 | 75.8 | 74.0 | 72.4 | 73.6 | $73.1{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $73.7{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Panama | Nationwide total | 63.9 | 64.1 | 63.5 | 61.9 | 63.4 | 64.1 | 64.0 | 64.2 | 64.4 | $64.7{ }^{9}$ | 64.79 |
| Paraguay | Nationwide total | 62.2 | 63.1 | 60.8 | 61.1 | 64.4 | 63.3 | 62.3 | 62.1 | 62.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Peru | Nationwide total | 73.8 | 74.0 | 74.1 | 73.9 | 73.6 | 73.2 | 72.3 | 71.6 | 72.2 | 72.4 | 72.5 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Nationwide total | 63.5 | 62.7 | 62.1 | 61.3 | 61.8 | 61.3 | 61.9 | 60.6 | 59.7 | $60.1{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 59.8 |
| Uruguay | Nationwide total | 62.7 | 63.4 | 62.9 | 64.8 | 64.0 | 63.6 | 64.7 | 63.8 | 63.4 | 63.4 | 62.9 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Nationwide total | 64.9 | 65.1 | 64.6 | 64.4 | 63.9 | 64.3 | 65.1 | 63.7 | $62.9{ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | $\ldots$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Preliminary figures.
b The regional series are weighted averages of national data (excluding Belize and Nicaragua) and include adjustments for lack of information and changes in methodology. The data relating to the different countries are not comparable owing to differences in coverage and in the definition of the working-age population.
c The National Institute of Statistics and Censuses (INDEC) of Argentina does not recognize the data for the period 2007-2015 and has them under review. These data are therefore preliminary and will be replaced when new official data are published.
d The figures refer to the average from the first to the third quarter.
e The figures refer to the average from the second to the fourth quarter.
${ }^{f}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the second quarter.
g Figures as of May.
${ }^{n}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the average for the first and second quarters.
The figures in the last two columns refer to the mesurement for April.
New measurements have been used since 2012; the data are not comparable with the previous series.

* Up to 2011, the figures refer to six metropolitan areas.

New measurements have been used since 2010; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
m New measurements have been used since 2009; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
${ }^{n}$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the average for the first and second quarters. Owing to methodological changes, these are not comparable with the annual data.

- Up to 2009, urban areas.
p The figures in the last two columns refer to the average for February-March.
a The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement for March.
r The figures in the last two columns refer to the first quarter
s The figure refers to average from January to April.

Table A1.19
Latin America and the Caribbean: open urban unemployment ${ }^{a}$
(Average annual rates)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 7.8 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 9.4 |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Urban areas | 7.9 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.5 | $8.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 9.09 |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 8.7 | 14.2 | ... | 15.9 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 12.2 | $9.9{ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 8.1 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 10.49 |
| Belize ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 8.2 | 13.1 | 12.5 | ... | 15.3 | 13.2 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 9.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Urban total | 6.7 | 6.8 | ... | 3.8 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.9 | ... |
| Brazilk | Twenty metropolitan areas ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 7.9 | 8.1 | 6.7 | $6.0 \mid$ | 8.2 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| Chilem | Urban total | ... | 11.3 \| | 8.5 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Municipal capitals | 12.1 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 10.6 |
| Colombian | Municipal capitals | 11.4 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 10.0 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{0}$ | Urban total | 4.8 \| | 8.5 | 7.1 | $7.7 \mid$ | 9.8 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.6 | $8.9{ }^{\text {p }}$ |
| Cuba | Nationwide total | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | ... |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{9}$ | Nationwide total | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.1 | $6.4 \mid$ | 7.3 | 7.1 | 5.69 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Urban total | 6.9 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 5.5 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Urban total | 5.4 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 4.9 |
| El Salvador | Urban total | 5.5 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.9 | ... |
| Guatemala | Urban total | ... | ... | ... | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | $4.0{ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| Honduras | Urban total | 4.1 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 9.0 | ... |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 10.6 | 11.4\| | 12.4 | 12.6 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 11.1 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Nationwide total | 6.9 | 7.51 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 9.0 | $8.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Mexico | Urban total | 4.3 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 3.8 |
| Nicaragua | Urban total | 8.0 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 6.3 | $5.2{ }^{9}$ |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Urban total | 6.5 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 6.4 | $6.4{ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Urban total | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | $5.4{ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| Paraguay | Asuncion and urban areas of Central Department ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 7.4 | $8.2 \mid$ | 7.4 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 8.79 |
| Peru | Urban total | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.4 |
| Trinidad and Tobago ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | $4.5{ }^{\text {u }}$ |
| Uruguay | Urban total | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 8.4 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Nationwide total | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.0 | $7.5{ }^{\text {v }}$ | ... |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of household surveys.
a Unemployed population as a percentage of the economically active population.
b Estimate based on data from January to September.

- Weighted average adjusted for lack of information and differences and changes in methodology. The data relating to the different countries are not comparable owing to differences in coverage and in the definition of the working age population.
${ }^{d}$ The National Institute of Statistics and Censuses (INDEC) of Argentina does not recognize the data for the period 2007-2015 and has them under review. These data are therefore preliminary and will be replaced when new official data are published.
- The figure refers to average from the first to the third quarter.
${ }^{f}$ The figure refers to the average for the second to the fourth quarter.
9 Figures as of first semester.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Includes hidden unemployement.
Figures as of May.
Figures as of April.
* New measurements have been used since 2012; the data are not comparable with the previous series.

Up to 2011, the figures refer to six metropolitan areas.
${ }^{m}$ New measurements have been used since 2010; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
${ }^{n}$ Includes an adjustment to the figures for the economically active population to exclude hidden unemployment.

- New measurements have been used since 2009; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
p January-September average.
a New measurements have been used since 2015; the data are not comparable with the previous series.
r The figure refers to the measurement for February and March.
s Figures as of March.
t Up to 2009, urban total.
u Figures as of first quarter.
v January-April average.

Table A1.20
Latin America and the Caribbean: employment rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Average annual rates)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Jan |  |
| Latin America and the Caril | bbean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 58.1 | 57.7 | 57.7 | 57.9 | 58.2 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 57.7 | 57.1 | ... | ... |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Urban areas | 54.2 | 54.2 | 54.4 | 55.2 | 55.0 | 54.7 | 54.0 | 53.9 e | $52.6{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 52.49 | 52.49 |
| Bahamas | Nationwide total | 69.7 | 63.0 | ... | 60.6 | 64.1 | 61.6 | 62.8 | 64.3 | $67.1{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $67.1{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $72.1{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Barbados | Nationwide total | 62.1 | 60.3 | 59.4 | 60.0 | 58.5 | 58.9 | 56.0 | 57.7 | 60.0 | $60.1{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 58.6 |
| Belize | Nationwide total | 54.3 | ... | ... | ... | 55.7 | 55.7 | 56.3 | 56.8 | 57.9 | $58.7{ }^{\text {j }}$ | 58.5 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Nationwide total | 63.1 | 63.0 | ... | 64.2 | 59.7 | 61.5 | 64.3 | 58.9 | 63.4 | ... | ... |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | Nationwide total ${ }^{1}$ | 52.5 | 52.1 | 53.2 | 53.71 | 56.9 | 56.9 | 56.8 | 56.1 | 54.3 | 54.4 | 53.6 |
| Chilem | Nationwide total | 51.7 | 50.51 | 53.7 | 55.5 | 55.7 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 55.6 | 55.5 | 55.5 |
| Colombia | Nationwide total | 51.9 | 53.9 | 55.4 | 56.8 | 57.9 | 58.0 | 58.4 | 59.0 | 58.5 | 58.0 | 58.0 |
| Costa Ricak ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | Nationwide total | 53.9 \| | 55.4 | 54.8 | $56.0 \mid$ | 56.2 | 56.4 | 56.6 | 55.4 | 52.8 | 52.3 | 54.1 |
| Cuba | Nationwide total | 73.6 | 74.2 | 73.0 | 73.6 | 71.6 | 70.5 | 70.0 | 65.4 | 63.8 | ... | ... |
| Dominican Republic | Nationwide total | 54.7 | 52.3 | 53.6 | 54.5 | 55.2 | 54.6 | 55.4 | 55.8 | 56.6 | $57.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $58.8{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | Nationwide total ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 63.1 | 60.7 \| | 60.1 | 59.6 | 60.4 | 60.3 | 60.4 | 63.3 | 64.6 | 64.8 | 65.9 |
| El Salvador | Nationwide total | 59.0 | 59.2 | 58.1 | 58.6 | 59.4 | 59.9 | 58.4 | 57.8 | 57.9 | .. |  |
| Guatemala | Nationwide total | ... | ... | ... | 59.2 | 63.5 | 58.7 | 59.1 | 59.2 | 59.2 | $59.6{ }^{9}$ | $59.0{ }^{9}$ |
| Honduras | Nationwide total | 49.4 | 51.5 | 51.5 | 49.7 | 48.9 | 51.6 | 53.1 | 54.0 | 53.2 | ... | ... |
| Jamaica | Nationwide total | 58.5 | 56.3 \| | 54.6 | 54.4 | 53.3 | 53.4 | 54.2 | 54.6 | 56.2 | 56.2 | 57.4 |
| Mexico | Nationwide total | 56.3 | 55.4 | 55.3 | 55.5 | 56.3 | 56.2 | 56.9 | 57.2 | 57.4 | 57.3 | 57.3 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Nationwide total | 50.1 \| | 61.3 | 65.6 | 71.2 | 72.3 | 71.5 | 69.1 | 68.1 | 70.2 | $69.3{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $70.8{ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| Panama | Nationwide total | 60.3 | 59.9 | 59.4 | 59.1 | 60.8 | 61.5 | 60.9 | 60.9 | 60.8 | $61.1{ }^{1}$ | $61.1{ }^{1}$ |
| Paraguay | Nationwide total | 58.7 | 59.1 | 57.3 | 57.7 | 61.5 | 60.1 | 58.6 | 58.7 | 58.9 | ... | ... |
| Peru | Nationwide total | 70.4 | 70.7 | 71.1 | 70.9 | 70.8 | 70.3 | 69.6 | 68.9 | 69.1 | 69.0 | 69.2 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Nationwide total | 60.6 | 59.4 | 58.4 | 58.2 | 58.8 | 59.1 | 59.9 | 58.5 | 57.4 | $57.8{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $57.1{ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| Uruguay | Nationwide total | 57.7 | 58.5 | 58.4 | 60.7 | 59.9 | 59.5 | 60.4 | 59.0 | 58.4 | 58.4 | 57.8 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Nationwide total | 60.2 | 60.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 58.7 | 59.3 | 60.4 | 59.2 | $58.2{ }^{\text {t }}$ | ... |  |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Employed population as a percentage of the working-age population.

- Preliminary figures.
c The regional series are weighted averages of national data (excluding Belize and Nicaragua) and include adjustment for lack of information and changes in methodology. The data relating to the different countries are not comparable owing to differences in coverage and in the definition of the working age population.
${ }^{d}$ The National Institute of Statistics and Censuses (INDEC) of Argentina does not recognize the data for the period 2007-2015 and has them under review. These data are therefore preliminary and will be replaced when new official data are published.
e The figure refers to average from the first to the third quarter.
${ }^{\dagger}$ The figure refers to average from the second to the fourth quarter.
$g$ The figures in the last two columns refer to the second quarter.
${ }^{n}$ Figures as of May.
The figures in the last two columns refer to the average for the first and second quarters.
i The figures in the last two columns correspond to the measurement for April.
* New measurements have been used since 2012; the data are not comparable with the previous series.

Up to 2011, the figures refer to six metropolitan areas.
${ }^{m}$ New measurements have been used since 2010; the data are not comparable with the previous series
${ }^{n}$ New measurements have been used since 2009; the data are not comparable with the previous series.

- The figures in the last two columns refer to the average for the first and second quarters. Owing to methodological changes, these are not comparable with the annual data.
p Up to 2009, urban total.
a The figures in the last two columns refer to the average for February-March.
The figures in the last two columns refer to the measurement for March.
s The figures in the last two columns refer to the first quarter.
${ }^{t}$ The figure refers to average from January to April.

Table A1.21
Latin America: real average wages ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Index 2010=100)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 94.4 | 96.5 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 99.3 | 100.3 | 101.8 | 108.8 | 110.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazild ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 96.3 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 104.9 | 107.1 | 108.8 | 105.3 | 103.8 | 105.8 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 93.4 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 102.5 | 105.8 | 109.9 | 111.9 | 113.9 | 115.4 | 117.8 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 96.1 | 97.3 | 100.0 | 100.3 | 101.3 | 104.0 | 104.5 | 105.4 | 103.8 | 105.9 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{9}$ | 90.9 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 105.7 | 107.1 | 108.5 | 110.7 | 115.2 | 119.7 | $121.4{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Cuba | 95.3 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.2 | 100.7 | 101.2 | 124.0 | 143.1 | 154.8 | $\ldots$ |
| El Salvador ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 95.6 | 98.9 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 97.3 | 97.8 | 98.5 | 100.9 | 102.3 | $107.9{ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| Guatemala ${ }^{9}$ | 97.1 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 100.4 | 104.4 | 104.3 | 106.8 | 110.4 | 108.2 | ... |
| Mexico ${ }^{9}$ | 101.9 | 100.9 | 100.0 | 100.8 | 101.0 | 100.9 | 101.3 | 102.8 | 103.8 | 102.6 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{9}$ | 93.3 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 100.1 | 100.5 | 100.7 | 102.4 | 105.2 | 106.4 | 108.6 |
| Panama | 90.9 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 100.1 | 103.5 | 103.8 | 109.5 | 113.1 | 118.0 | ... |
| Paraguay | 95.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 102.8 | 103.5 | 105.7 | 107.0 | 107.5 | 108.2 | 109.9k |
| Peu' | 100.0 | 103.1 | 100.0 | 108.4 | 111.0 | 114.7 | 117.9 | 117.5 | 119.5 | 118.4 |
| Uruguay | 90.2 | 96.8 | 100.0 | 104.0 | 108.4 | 111.7 | 115.4 | 117.3 | 118.9 | 122.6 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 112.1 | 105.6 | 100.0 | 103.0 | 109.1 | 104.3 | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Figures deflated by the official consumer price index of each country.
b Estimate based on data from January to September.
c Private sector average wage index.
d Private sector workers covered by social and labour legislation.
e General index of hourly remuneration.
${ }^{f}$ Manufacturing.
${ }^{9}$ Average wage declared by workers registered with and paying into social security.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Estimate based on the average for January-August.
Average taxable wage.
Interannual variation of the period from January to August.
k Estimate based on interannual grwoth of average for January-June.
Payroll workers in the Lima metropolitan area. Until 2010, formal private sector workers in the Lima metropolitan area.

Table A1.22
Latin America and the Caribbean: monetary indicators
(Percentage variation with respect to the year-earlier period)

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | Monetary base | 19.1 | 5.4 | 25.1 | 37.1 | 34.9 | 30.2 | 19.7 | 33.2 | 27.9 | 32.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 16.7 | 13.0 | 24.1 | 32.4 | 33.3 | 29.5 | 26.1 | 31.6 | 20.2 | 30.9 |
|  | M2 | 18.1 | 5.9 | 27.6 | 36.9 | 32.4 | 30.9 | 23.1 | 33.2 | 23.9 | 28.9 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 36.4 | 61.6 | 35.9 | 8.7 | -22.6 | -6.1 | 51.7 | 38.5 | 172.5 | 130.6 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Monetary base | 53.8 | 19.6 | 32.4 | 11.6 | 18.2 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 19.2 | 3.9 | $-5.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 50.2 | 9.4 | 24.1 | 27.2 | 18.3 | 13.5 | 15.4 | 9.4 | 9.6 | $0.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 59.6 | 18.4 | 34.6 | 34.0 | 31.3 | 22.6 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 12.5 | $6.0{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -9.2 | 20.4 | 4.7 | -12.8 | -5.0 | -4.1 | -3.4 | 3.7 | -1.0 | $-3.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Brazil | Monetary base | 12.5 | 8.0 | 17.5 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 5.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 11.8 | 7.4 | 17.5 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 10.7 | 4.7 | -1.6 | -0.3 | 3.3 |
|  | M2 | 30.3 | 22.1 | 11.1 | 21.0 | 13.4 | 9.3 | 11.7 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Chile | Monetary base | 7.0 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 16.3 | 5.3 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 7.4 |
|  | Money (M1) | 11.1 | 14.1 | 27.7 | 10.9 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 12.1 | 14.3 | 6.4 | 7.9 |
|  | M2 | 18.5 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 16.0 | 18.3 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 5.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 40.7 | 2.6 | 8.5 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 18.7 | 29.0 | 18.7 | 8.0 | -3.1 |
| Colombia | Monetary base | 14.3 | 10.3 | 12.4 | 15.1 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 16.7 | 15.0 | 8.8 | 0.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 8.0 | 9.7 | 14.7 | 16.2 | 6.7 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 10.4 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
|  | M2 | 14.6 | 13.2 | 6.9 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 12.9 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Costa Rica | Monetary base | 25.7 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 11.7 | 12.1 | 14.1 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 10.1 | $6.9{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 34.2 | -3.5 | 11.0 | 17.7 | 8.4 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 9.6 | 17.8 | $3.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 28.2 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 10.8 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 8.9 | 4.1 | $1.2^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 19.3 | 37.1 | -3.2 | -6.5 | -0.6 | 0.8 | 15.9 | 0.8 | 1.4 | $12.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Dominican Republic | Monetary base | 12.3 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 9.0 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 22.1 | 9.1 | 3.6 |
|  | Money (M1) | 11.0 | -1.1 | 17.5 | 4.9 | 7.3 | 12.1 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 4.7 |
|  | M2 | 10.9 | 7.6 | 13.5 | 8.8 | 12.1 | 8.0 | 11.2 | 10.7 | 12.2 | 6.9 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 15.0 | 4.4 | 18.9 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 16.1 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 8.9 | 10.4 |
| Ecuador | Monetary base | 16.4 | 18.1 | 24.1 | 9.9 | 16.1 | 23.3 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 22.8 | 15.4 |
|  | Money (M1) | 44.5 | 38.0 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 14.1 |
|  | M2 | 33.0 | 22.0 | 18.6 | 20.0 | 17.8 | 13.4 | 14.5 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 14.6 |
| El Salvador | Monetary base | 8.1 | 10.8 | 0.4 | -1.3 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 8.8 |
|  | Money (M1) | 8.5 | 7.6 | 19.8 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.2 |
|  | M2 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 6.1 |
| Guatemala | Monetary base | 4.1 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 12.1 | 9.7 | 10.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 3.4 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 6.1 | 7.2 |
|  | M2 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 8.1 | 11.5 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 9.9 | 18.1 | 11.6 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 4.2 | -1.9 |
| Haiti | Monetary base | 16.1 | 14.2 | 34.1 | 18.1 | 9.2 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 15.4 | 26.2 | $17.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 21.4 | 9.2 | 26.9 | 14.4 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 12.7 | 6.0 | $18.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 13.7 | 6.9 | 17.4 | 11.5 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 12.5 | 8.5 | $15.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 22.1 | 14.4 | 22.5 | 18.4 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 18.5 | 27.7 | $21.9{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Honduras | Monetary base | 24.8 | 11.6 | -13.8 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 4.0 | 9.7 | 16.6 | 14.9 | $21.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 11.5 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 17.7 | 2.1 | -5.0 | 8.4 | 18.9 | 10.2 | $20.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 17.2 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 8.9 | 12.7 | 10.5 | $14.9{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 20.3 | -1.0 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 15.3 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 11.3 | 8.2 | $16.0^{\text {c }}$ |

Cuadro A1.22 (continuación)

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | $2016{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexico | Monetary base | 12.6 | 15.9 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 13.9 | 6.3 | 13.5 | 20.1 | 15.9 | 11.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 8.5 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 7.5 | 13.9 | 16.1 | 12.0 | 10.5 |
|  | M2 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 5.8 | 12.4 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 11.0 | 13.5 | 10.2 | 10.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 2.8 | 20.7 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 26.6 | 40.0 | 30.4 | 33.6 |
| Nicaragua | Monetary base | 15.2 | 0.7 | 24.0 | 20.5 | 18.3 | 6.3 | 12.9 | 17.4 | 11.3 | $5.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 32.9 | 4.4 | 21.4 | 24.8 | 17.6 | 8.5 | 16.5 | 21.0 | 9.5 | $8.0^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | M2 | 32.9 | 4.4 | 21.4 | 24.8 | 17.6 | 8.5 | 16.5 | 21.0 | 9.5 | $8.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 10.2 | 5.3 | 25.8 | 7.8 | 21.2 | 13.6 | 20.4 | 16.3 | 14.8 | $13.2{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Panama | Monetary base | 17.7 | 11.2 | 7.5 | 27.1 | 12.7 | 16.0 | -1.2 | 28.5 | 7.9 | 1.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 26.5 | 17.4 | 19.2 | 21.5 | 17.1 | 6.9 | 15.1 | -0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
|  | M2 | 17.1 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 10.8 | 6.3 | 13.3 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| Paraguay | Monetary base | 27.6 | 30.7 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 11.8 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 11.3 | 2.7 | 9.8 |
|  | Money (M1) | 30.5 | 6.6 | 28.7 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 15.6 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 3.1 | 13.6 |
|  | M2 | 38.4 | 13.3 | 26.4 | 14.0 | 13.7 | 17.4 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 3.9 | 12.5 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 21.1 | 40.1 | 16.4 | 13.5 | 14.9 | 15.8 | 29.3 | 22.3 | 13.9 | 2.1 |
| Peru | Monetary base | 38.2 | 2.1 | 24.2 | 31.3 | 31.2 | 21.1 | -8.6 | -0.9 | 3.3 | 5.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 31.3 | 8.8 | 28.0 | 19.7 | 18.7 | 14.3 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 6.7 |
|  | M2 | 48.5 | -2.2 | 27.8 | 18.7 | 23.2 | 18.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 10.2 | 11.2 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 11.2 | 23.1 | -0.1 | 13.8 | 0.4 | 16.3 | 21.4 | 17.3 | 5.9 | -10.5 |
| Uruguay | Monetary base | 28.6 | 6.1 | 12.9 | 23.1 | 21.8 | 15.3 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 15.4 |
|  | Money (M1) | 22.4 | 13.1 | 24.6 | 19.6 | 18.4 | 11.7 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 12.9 |
|  | M2 | 26.1 | 11.3 | 25.8 | 26.0 | 17.4 | 12.4 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 15.3 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 3.1 | 24.1 | 2.3 | 10.7 | 19.6 | 14.8 | 25.8 | 26.6 | 17.2 | -8.4 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | Monetary base | 39.5 | 18.3 | 24.5 | 27.0 | 40.8 | 61.1 | 86.5 | 95.2 | 144.2 | 503.7 |
|  | Money (M1) | 24.3 | 28.8 | 27.5 | 44.8 | 62.0 | 66.1 | 69.5 | 85.1 | 116.6 | $302.2^{\text { }}$ |
|  | M2 | 16.9 | 28.3 | 18.0 | 37.6 | 57.5 | 65.4 | 69.1 | 84.9 | 116.4 | $298.1^{\text {c }}$ |
| The Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Monetary base | 2.0 | -10.5 | 0.9 | 20.1 | 29.4 | 13.2 | 20.0 | 14.4 | 11.5 | $-10.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 6.7 | -14.2 | -7.3 | -6.6 | -2.1 | 3.1 | 11.5 | 4.4 | 12.0 | $12.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | M2 | 7.6 | -2.9 | -3.1 | -1.1 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 4.9 d |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -0.5 | 39.9 | -45.2 | 5.8 | -12.8 | 0.9 | 20.0 | 17.0 | 17.3 | $12.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bahamas | Monetary base | 6.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 26.8 | -7.8 | 2.2 | 13.8 | -1.8 | 24.7 | $12.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 0.3 | -0.2 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 18.7 | 9.0 | 16.9 d |
|  | M2 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 | -0.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 2.7 | $6.0^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 15.9 | 8.4 | 0.1 | -2.7 | 11.6 | 15.8 | -1.5 | -19.9 | 1.2 | $49.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Barbados | Monetary base | 9.2 | -13.9 | 3.4 | 7.7 | -0.9 | 10.6 | 5.8 | 31.5 | 24.1 | 16.6 |
|  | Money (M1) | 7.7 | -5.3 | 1.7 | -0.5 | -20.3 | 5.5 | 9.4 | 14.1 | 15.0 | $10.2^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 9.3 | -1.0 | -0.8 | 0.3 | -5.7 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 4.3 | $2.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Belize | Monetary base | 11.5 | 11.9 | -1.2 | 8.2 | 17.5 | 19.2 | 18.8 | 24.6 | 12.6 | -12.0 |
|  | Money (M1) | 9.2 | -1.9 | -0.9 | 9.1 | 24.0 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 14.6 | 10.3 | -7.6 |
| Dominica | Monetary base | -0.1 | -4.6 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 19.1 | 40.7 | $70.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 4.4 | -1.3 | -1.5 | -2.1 | 9.8 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 7.8 | 18.1 | $8.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | M2 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 6.0 | $5.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 19.0 | 15.9 | 30.2 | 38.8 | 25.4 | -6.1 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 3.2 | $-7.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Grenada | Monetary base | 3.5 | -8.5 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 21.1 | 6.1 | 3.8 | $7.2{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 3.1 | -12.9 | 3.8 | -7.3 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 24.1 | 20.6 | 11.1 | $1.4{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | M2 | 8.3 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 1.7 | $0.1{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 2.7 | 17.4 | -3.9 | -5.5 | 5.5 | -18.8 | 7.8 | 17.4 | 35.9 | $6.1{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Guyana | Monetary base | 16.5 | 10.6 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 7.2 |
|  | Money (M1) | 18.6 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 21.9 | 16.1 | 6.7 | 10.1 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 9.5 |

Cuadro A1.22 (conclusión)

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jamaica | Monetary base | 9.5 | 22.8 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 9.9 | 15.5 | 17.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 9.1 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 15.7 | 22.4 | $25.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | M2 | 7.9 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 9.9 | 15.5 | $23.2{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 10.9 | 17.5 | -0.9 | -4.8 | 6.8 | 28.5 | 9.2 | 15.6 | 27.5 | $36.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | Monetary base | 7.3 | 48.3 | -3.2 | 36.1 | 13.7 | 22.2 | 10.5 | -14.5 | 15.8 | $-1.0^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 7.2 | 9.2 | 17.0 | 31.3 | 17.3 | 10.8 | 1.5 | 10.8 | -0.7 | -9.9 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | M2 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 12.7 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 0.2 | $-5.7^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -9.2 | -7.0 | -8.8 | 4.5 | 15.1 | 18.4 | 46.4 | 16.3 | -6.3 | $-2.0^{\text {d }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | Monetary base | 10.2 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 16.3 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.2 | 2.5 | $-1.4{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 7.1 | -2.4 | -4.3 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 6.5 | $10.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | M2 | 10.7 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.5 | -1.0 | 1.6 | 3.1 | $2.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 8.9 | 9.3 | -13.2 | 16.4 | 14.0 | -10.1 | 45.0 | 20.1 | 11.1 | $9.2{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | Monetary base | 2.0 | -3.2 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 11.8 | 26.2 | 16.9 | 8.3 | 13.3 | $3.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Dinero (M1) | -1.4 | -8.3 | -0.5 | -3.9 | -0.4 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 8.6 | 10.0 | $6.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | M2 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 4.6 | $3.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 1.5 | -6.5 | -7.7 | 30.8 | -7.3 | 28.9 | 15.8 | 17.6 | 6.4 | $-5.2^{\text {d }}$ |
| Suriname | Monetary base | 30.2 | 22.1 | 13.0 | 3.2 | 27.0 | 13.8 | -7.2 | -6.2 | 30.3 | 23.5 |
|  | Money (M1) | 21.3 | 26.3 | 16.7 | 5.3 | 17.0 | 11.3 | 5.4 | -5.1 | 14.9 | 12.8 |
|  | M2 | 21.0 | 25.1 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 17.7 | 8.1 | -2.8 | 12.4 | 11.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 24.3 | 12.0 | 7.9 | 39.1 | 13.6 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 85.5 | 25.2 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Monetary base | 32.3 | 37.6 | 24.7 | 14.1 | 15.4 | 19.5 | 8.0 | -7.9 | -7.3 | -8.2 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 17.6 | 24.0 | 25.5 | 17.2 | 15.4 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | $-1.2^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | M2 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 8.4 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 3.8 | 2.8 | -0.9c |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 21.1 | 32.2 | 7.9 | -4.0 | 4.7 | 12.6 | -5.7 | 1.6 | 7.3 | $-0.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
Figures as of September.

- Figures as of July.
c Figures as of August.
d Figures as of June.
e Figures as of February.

Table A1.23
Latin America and the Caribbean: domestic credit
(Percentage variation with respect to the year-earlier period)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| América Latina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 23.9 | 2.3 | 51.3 | 59.5 | 33.0 | 40.8 | 24.7 | 36.2 | 25.0 | 26.1 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 7.5 | 10.9 | 13.0 | 18.8 | 22.7 | 21.6 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 18.5 | $18.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Brazil | 15.8 | 11.3 | 18.0 | 17.6 | 16.8 | 11.9 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 7.8 |
| Chile | 18.4 | 6.6 | -0.1 | 12.1 | 15.1 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 8.5 | $4.2^{\text {c }}$ |
| Colombia | 15.7 | 14.4 | 25.9 | 19.0 | 15.7 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 16.6 | 8.4 | $8.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Costa Rica | 23.6 | 13.0 | 0.4 | 8.1 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 20.0 | 9.9 | 7.1 | $10.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Dominican Republic | 17.4 | 12.3 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 8.4 |
| Ecuador | 1.7 | 20.8 | 33.6 | 31.5 | 21.5 | 16.7 | 16.2 | 10.1 | 5.6 | 14.1 |
| El Salvador | 11.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 9.6 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 4.0 |
| Guatemala | 10.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 15.2 | 11.3 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 2.6 |
| Haiti | 7.8 | 9.7 | -23.0 | -17.1 | 11.4 | 70.0 | 30.4 | 18.2 | 10.2 | $11.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Honduras | 27.1 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 18.3 | 9.3 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 5.9 | $8.4{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Mexico | 8.7 | 16.8 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 12.6 | 14.1 | $8.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Nicaragua | 16.7 | 0.7 | -4.5 | -6.2 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 13.2 | $14.8{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Panama | 15.9 | 1.2 | 14.4 | 15.5 | 19.7 | 13.0 | 15.9 | 5.8 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| Paraguay | 33.5 | 12.7 | 36.3 | 25.5 | 28.4 | 20.8 | 12.0 | 26.0 | 5.9 | -2.2 |
| Peru | 9.4 | 9.9 | 24.1 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 6.6 | 18.6 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 8.6 |
| Uruguay | ... | ... | 3.9 | 24.7 | 19.4 | 16.5 | 18.6 | 12.9 | 33.4 | 6.1 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 22.0 | 28.4 | 13.7 | 36.0 | 56.1 | 61.9 | 63.8 | 74.5 | 100.1 | $200.0^{\text {d }}$ |
| The Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 12.5 | 19.9 | 0.6 | -3.8 | -3.0 | -4.9 | -0.4 | -5.9 | -10.8 | $8.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Bahamas | 7.5 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | $2.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Barbados | 10.1 | 6.4 | -0.5 | -0.9 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 7.4 | ... |
| Belize | 8.9 | 5.7 | -0.4 | -1.6 | 0.4 | -2.6 | -0.6 | 8.9 | 18.5 | 2.8 |
| Dominica | 5.0 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 13.7 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 1.7 | -1.8 | -24.3 | $-27.5^{\text {b }}$ |
| Grenada | 13.1 | 8.9 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 5.0 | -2.1 | -9.0 | -10.2 | -11.2 | -8.2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Guyana | 15.8 | 4.5 | -0.8 | 34.5 | 40.1 | 26.3 | 16.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 8.7 |
| Jamaica | 16.3 | 15.0 | -3.4 | -4.1 | 11.7 | 16.0 | 14.2 | -2.2 | 4.7 | $17.3{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 3.0 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 3.1 | -6.8 | -25.0 | -18.7 | -2.3 | -8.2 | $-8.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 21.1 | 4.6 | -0.3 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 5.4 | -3.1 | -12.2 | -6.1 | $-7.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 9.5 | 7.1 | 1.5 | -7.2 | -1.0 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 0.3 | $-1.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Suriname | 18.5 | 16.9 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 10.3 | 23.5 | 21.5 | 23.5 | 33.8 | 8.8 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 6.5 | 35.5 | 36.6 | 9.3 | 7.9 | -20.4 | -23.8 | 3.2 | 36.6 | $16.6{ }^{\text {d }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Figures as of September.

- Figures as of June.
- Figures as of July.
d Figures as of August.
e Credit granted by the commercial and universal banks.
Figures as of February.

Table A1.24
Latin America and the Caribbean: monetary policy rates (Average rates)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 11.3 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 14.6 | 26.7 | 27.0 | 28.8 | 26.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 9.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Brazil | 12.4 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 11.0 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 10.4 |
| Chile | 7.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| Colombia | 9.8 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 6.3 |
| Costa Rica | 8.0 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 3.3 |
| Dominican Republic | 9.0 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.5 |
| Guatemala | 6.9 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Haiti | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 12.3 | 14.7 | 12.0 |
| Honduras | 8.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| Mexico | 7.9 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 6.7 |
| Paraguay | 5.9 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Peru | 5.9 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| Uruguay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7.4 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 12.3 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| The Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bahamas | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Barbados | 11.8 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | $7.0^{\text {d }}$ |
| Belize | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Dominica | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5^{\text {d }}$ |
| Grenada | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5^{\text {d }}$ |
| Guyana | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | $5.0^{\text {d }}$ |
| Jamaica | 14.1 | 14.8 | 9.0 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.9 e |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5^{\text {d }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5^{\text {d }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | $6.5^{\text {d }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 8.4 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 4.8 | $4.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Figures as of October.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ As of June 2013, the interest rate was no longer used as an instrument of monetary policy.
c Figures as of July.
a Figures as of September.

- Figures as of April.

Table A1.25
Latin America and the Caribbean: representative lending rates
(Average rates)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 19.8 | 21.3 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 19.3 | 21.6 | 29.3 | 28.2 | 33.3 | 26.4 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8.9 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| Brazild ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 54.1 | 47.5 | 42.9 | 44.7 | 39.9 | 39.1 | 45.0 | 49.5 | 53.7 | 50.9 e |
| Chile ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 15.2 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 11.6 |
| Colombia ${ }^{9}$ | 19.6 | 15.6 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 14.7 | 14.0 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 16.7 | 21.6 | 19.8 | 18.1 | 19.7 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 14.7 | $14.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 15.5 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 15.1 | $14.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.7 | $8.0^{\text {e }}$ |
| El Salvador ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 7.9 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 13.4 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 13.8 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| Haiti | 23.3 | 21.6 | 20.7 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 19.7 | 17.9 e |
| Honduras ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 17.9 | 19.4 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.4 | 20.1 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 19.3 | $20.0^{\text {e }}$ |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | ... | ... | ... | 29.9 | 28.6 | 27.9 | 28.6 | 28.5 | 26.8 | $27.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 13.2 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 13.5 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 10.8 |
| Panama ${ }^{0}$ | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | $7.5^{\text {e }}$ |
| Paraguay ${ }^{0}$ | 13.5 | 14.6 | 12.5 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 14.4 | 15.6 | $14.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Perup | 23.7 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 15.7 | 16.1 | 16.5 | $17.0^{\text {e }}$ |
| Uruguay ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.1 | 16.6 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 17.6 | $15.9{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 22.8 | 20.6 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 17.2 | 20.0 | 21.5 | $21.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| The Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbudas | 10.1 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 9.2 | $9.0{ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 11.0 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 12.5 | $12.0^{\text {e }}$ |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 9.3 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.7 | $6.7{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Belizev | 14.1 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 10.3 | 9.8 | $9.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Dominicas ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 9.4 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.2 | $8.1^{\text {t }}$ |
| Grenadas | 9.4 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 8.5 | $8.3{ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| Guyana ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.9 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 10.7 | $10.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Jamaicav | 21.4 | 21.5 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 15.0w |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 8.5 | $8.5{ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| Saint Lucias | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.2 | $8.1^{\text {t }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 9.5 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.1 | $8.8{ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| Suriname ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 12.0 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 13.5 | $14.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago ${ }{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12.4 | 11.9 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 9.0 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Figures as of October.
a Local-currency loans to the non-financial private sector, at fixed or renegotiable rates, signature loans of up to 89 days.
c Nominal local-currency rate for 60-91-day operations.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Interest rate on total consumer credit.

- Figures as of September.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-adjustable 90-360 day operations.
- Weighted average of consumer, prime, ordinary and treasury lending rates for the working days of the month.
${ }^{n}$ Weighted average of the system lending rates in local currency.
Market lending rate, average for transactions conducted in the last 30 business days.
Effective benchmark lending rate for the corporate commercial segment.
* Basic lending rate for up to one year.

Average of minimum and maximum lending rates.
${ }^{m}$ Average interest rate for credit cards from commercial banks and the TAC rate (Total Annual Cost).
${ }^{n}$ Weighted average of short-term business lending rates in local currency.

- Interest rate on one-year trade credit.
p Commercial lending rate, local currency.
a Business credit, 30-367 days.
Average rate for loan operations for the six major commercial banks.
s Weighted average of lending rates.
${ }^{t}$ Figures as of June.
- Weighted average of lending and overdraft rates.
v Rate for personal and business loans, residential and other construction loans; weighted average.
w Figures as of July.
$\times$ Average of lending rates.

Table A1.26
Latin America and the Caribbean: consumer prices
(12-month percentage variation)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8.3 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 16.5 | ... | ... |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.0 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 7.2 | 7.7 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 23.9 | 27.5 | 38.5 | 22.9 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 11.9 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Brazil | 5.9 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 2.7 |
| Chile | 7.1 | -1.4 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 1.9 |
| Colombia | 7.7 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.0 |
| Costa Rica | 13.9 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 5.1 | -0.8 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -0.1 | -0.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.8 | ... | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.5 |
| Ecuador | 8.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 1.1 | -0.1 |
| El Salvador | 5.5 | -0.2 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | -0.9 | $1.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Guatemala | 9.4 | -0.3 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Haiti | 10.1 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 12.5 | 14.3 | $15.6{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Honduras | 10.8 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| Mexico | 6.5 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| Nicaragua | 12.7 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| Panama | 6.8 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Paraguay | 7.5 | 1.9 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 4.9 |
| Peru | 6.7 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
| Uruguay | 9.2 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 6.0 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 31.9 | 25.1 | 27.2 | 27.6 | 20.1 | 56.2 | 68.5 | 180.9 | ... |  |
| The Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 0.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | -1.1 | $2.2{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Bahamas | 4.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | $2.7{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Barbados | 7.3 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.3 | -2.5 | 3.2 | $1.2{ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| Belize | 4.4 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 1.1 | $0.7{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Dominica | 2.0 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | -0.4 | 0.5 | -0.5 | 0.6 | $1.3{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Grenada | 5.2 | -2.3 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 1.8 | -1.7 | -0.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | $1.5{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Guyana | 6.4 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | -1.8 | 1.4 | $1.8{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Jamaica | 16.9 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 3.7 | 1.7 | $4.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 6.5 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | -0.5 | -2.4 | 0.0 | $0.5{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 3.4 | -3.1 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 5.0 | -0.7 | 3.7 | -2.6 | -2.8 | $-0.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 8.7 | -1.6 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -2.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 h |
| Suriname | 9.4 | 1.3 | 10.3 | 15.3 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 25.2 | 49.2 | $16.2^{\dagger}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 14.5 | 1.3 | 13.4 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 3.1 | $1.2^{\text {e }}$ |

[^35]Table A1.27
Latin America and the Caribbean: fiscal balances
(Percentages of GDP)

|  | Primary balance |  |  |  | Overall balance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -2.8 | -2.8 | -2.7 | -2.8 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -1.0 | -0.9 | -1.0 | -0.8 | -2.8 | -2.9 | -3.1 | -3.1 |
| Argentina | -2.3 | -1.9 | -2.2 | -2.5 | -4.2 | -3.7 | -5.9 | -5.5 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -1.7 | -3.6 | -2.4 | ... | -2.5 | -4.5 | -3.0 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil | -0.3 | -1.9 | -2.5 | -2.2 | -5.0 | -9.0 | -7.6 | -7.8 |
| Chile | -1.0 | -1.5 | -2.0 | -1.9 | -1.6 | -2.1 | -2.7 | -2.7 |
| Colombia | -0.4 | -0.8 | -1.6 | -0.6 | -2.4 | -3.0 | -4.0 | -3.6 |
| Costa Rica | -3.0 | -3.0 | -2.4 | -2.9 | -5.6 | -5.7 | -5.2 | -6.1 |
| Cuba | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0.6 | -0.5 | ... | ... |
| Dominican Republic | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | -2.6 | -2.4 | -2.4 | -2.4 |
| Ecuador | -4.9 | -2.0 | -3.6 | -2.4 | -6.3 | -3.8 | -5.6 | -4.7 |
| El Salvador | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 | -1.6 | -1.1 | -0.8 | 0.9 |
| Guatemala | -0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | -0.5 | -1.9 | -1.4 | -1.1 | -1.9 |
| Haiti | -0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | ... | -0.9 | 0.1 | 0.6 | ... |
| Honduras | -2.1 | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.5 | -4.4 | -3.0 | -2.9 | -3.6 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -1.2 | -1.3 | -0.3 | 1.4 | -3.2 | -3.4 | -2.6 | -1.3 |
| Nicaragua | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | -0.3 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.9 |
| Panama | -2.3 | -2.1 | -2.5 | -2.4 | -4.0 | -3.9 | -4.3 | -4.5 |
| Paraguay | -0.7 | -1.1 | -0.7 | -0.9 | -1.1 | -1.8 | -1.4 | -1.5 |
| Perud | 0.8 | -1.1 | -1.3 | -1.7 | -0.3 | -2.2 | -2.4 | -2.9 |
| Uruguay | 0.0 | -0.5 | -1.0 | -0.1 | -2.3 | -2.8 | -3.7 | -2.8 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 1.1 | -0.2 | ... | ... | -1.9 | -1.4 | ... | ... |
| The Caribbean ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | -2.8 | -2.5 | -2.2 | -2.3 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | -0.1 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 5.0 | -2.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | -1.7 | -0.4 | -2.6 | -0.3 | -4.4 | -3.5 | -5.7 | -3.5 |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {h i }}$ | -0.6 | -2.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | -8.1 | -9.8 | -5.6 | -4.4 |
| Belize ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | -1.2 | -5.1 | -1.6 | 3.2 | -3.8 | -7.5 | -4.6 | 0.2 |
| Dominica | 0.1 | 0.0 | ... | ... | -1.7 | -1.8 | ... | ... |
| Grenada | -1.2 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 4.8 | -4.7 | -1.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Guyana | -4.5 | -0.4 | -3.5 | -4.5 | -5.5 | -1.4 | -4.4 | -5.6 |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 7.6 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 6.8 | -0.5 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.9 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 13.9 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 10.5 | 6.2 | 4.5 | -0.1 |
| Saint Lucia | 0.2 | 1.4 | 3.3 | -1.3 | -3.7 | -2.4 | -0.6 | -5.7 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 0.3 | 2.0 | 3.8 | ... | -2.1 | -0.2 | 1.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Suriname ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | -3.8 | -8.1 | -6.7 | -2.5 | -5.6 | -10.2 | -7.9 | -6.0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | -0.8 | 0.5 | -2.9 | -5.4 | -2.6 | -1.8 | -5.5 | -8.4 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Preliminary figures established on the basis of information from official budgets and estimates.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Simple averages for the 33 countries reported. Coverage corresponds to central government.
c Simple averages for 17 countries. Does not include Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Cuba or Plurinational State of Bolivia.
d General government.

- Federal public sector.
+ Simple averages for 17 countries. Does not include Dominica.
g Fiscal years, from 1 July to 30 June.
n Fiscal years, from 1 April to 31 March.
Non-financial public sector.
Includes statistical discrepancy.
k Fiscal years, from 1 October to 30 September.

Table A1.28
Latin America and the Caribbean: central government revenues composition
(Percentages of GDP)

|  | Total revenue |  |  |  | Tax revenue |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 21.9 | 22.2 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 18.2 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 15.3 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| Argentina | 20.6 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 18.6 | 17.3 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 16.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 37.7 | 36.1 | 31.4 | ... | 22.3 | 23.2 | 20.7 | ... |
| Brazil | 21.1 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 20.3 | 19.9 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 18.8 |
| Chile | 20.6 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 19.1 | 18.8 | 19.0 |
| Colombia | 16.6 | 16.2 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 13.6 | 14.2 |
| Costa Rica | 13.9 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 13.8 | 13.7 |
| Cuba | 31.3 | 35.7 | ... | ... | 19.4 | ... | ... | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 14.8 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 13.9 |
| Ecuador | 19.9 | 20.3 | 18.6 | 21.0 | 14.1 | 15.6 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
| El Salvador | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.4 | 16.9 | 15.1 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 15.9 |
| Guatemala | 11.5 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 11.0 |
| Haiti | 13.1 | 13.5 | 14.4 | ... | 12.0 | 13.2 | 13.7 | ... |
| Honduras | 18.7 | 19.6 | 20.9 | 20.4 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 19.1 | 18.7 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 23.1 | 23.5 | 24.9 | 22.7 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 13.0 |
| Nicaragua | 17.6 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| Panama | 14.4 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 9.9 |
| Paraguay | 17.9 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 17.9 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 14.0 |
| Perud | 22.5 | 20.5 | 19.1 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 17.7 | 16.6 | 16.1 |
| Uruguay | 27.6 | 27.2 | 27.9 | 28.7 | 25.3 | 25.2 | 25.6 | 26.3 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 33.0 | 17.5 | ... | ... | 17.9 | 12.8 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| The Caribbean ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 27.2 | 27.8 | 27.4 | 27.7 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 21.8 | 22.0 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 19.8 | 23.8 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 18.5 |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 19.7 | 21.8 | 22.0 | 23.4 | 17.4 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 21.3 |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ | 27.7 | 27.8 | 30.3 | 31.0 | 25.1 | 25.6 | 27.5 | 28.6 |
| Belize ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 29.3 | 28.3 | 31.2 | 32.7 | 24.7 | 24.5 | 27.3 | 28.5 |
| Dominica | 31.8 | 31.0 | ... | ... | 22.1 | 23.6 | ... | ... |
| Granada | 24.5 | 24.8 | 26.9 | 27.3 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 20.4 |
| Guyana | 23.6 | 25.7 | 26.0 | 26.4 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 21.3 | 21.5 |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 26.6 | 27.8 | 30.1 | 29.6 | 24.0 | 25.2 | 27.3 | 26.9 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 43.4 | 40.2 | 34.2 | 33.2 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 19.5 | 20.0 |
| Saint Lucia | 25.7 | 26.2 | 27.4 | 29.7 | 22.9 | 23.6 | 25.5 | 24.6 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 29.3 | 28.8 | 30.7 | ... | 22.2 | 22.3 | 24.2 | ... |
| Suriname | 21.7 | 20.4 | 15.0 | 18.4 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| Trinidad and Tobago ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 34.8 | 38.1 | 30.8 | 25.0 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 20.4 | 18.4 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Preliminary figures established on the basis of information from official budgets and estimates.

- Simple averages for the 33 countries reported.
c Simple averages for 17 countries. Does not include Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Cuba or Plurinational State of Bolivia.
${ }^{〔}$ General government.
${ }^{\bullet}$ Federal public sector.
${ }^{\text {t }}$ Simple averages for 17 countries. Does not include Dominica.
- Fiscal years, from 1 July to 30 June.

Fiscal years, from 1 April to 31 March.
Non-financial public sector.
Fiscal years, from 1 October to 30 September.

Table A1.29
Latin America and the Caribbean: central government expenditure composition
(Percentages of GDP)


[^36]Table A1.30
Latin America and the Caribbean: central government gross public debt (Percentages of GDP)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 41.4 | 45.2 | 44.6 | 45.2 | 46.9 | 48.2 | 48.9 | 50.0 | 52.0 | 51.6 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 28.5 | 30.7 | 29.4 | 28.8 | 30.0 | 31.8 | 33.0 | 35.6 | 38.0 | 38.4 |
| Argentina | 39.2 | 39.6 | 36.1 | 33.3 | 35.1 | 43.5 | 44.7 | 53.5 | 54.2 | 53.7 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 34.0 | 36.3 | 34.6 | 34.5 | 29.1 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 29.5 | 31.5 | 31.7 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 57.5 | 59.6 | 52.0 | 50.8 | 55.2 | 56.7 | 58.9 | 66.5 | 69.9 | 73.9 |
| Chile | 5.1 | 5.7 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 14.9 | 17.4 | 21.3 | 23.8 |
| Colombia | 36.2 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 36.5 | 34.6 | 37.2 | 40.0 | 43.9 | 43.9 | 44.0 |
| Costa Rica | 24.1 | 26.5 | 28.4 | 29.8 | 34.3 | 35.9 | 38.5 | 40.9 | 44.6 | 47.2 |
| Dominican Republic | 23.2 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 28.8 | 32.2 | 38.1 | 37.1 | 34.7 | 36.4 | 38.6 |
| Ecuador | 15.1 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 13.6 | 16.2 | 19.1 | 24.6 | 26.1 |
| El Salvador | 34.4 | 42.6 | 42.6 | 41.7 | 45.7 | 44.4 | 44.8 | 44.7 | 44.8 | 43.6 |
| Guatemala | 19.9 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 24.0 | 23.5 |
| Haitid ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 42.3 | 34.3 | 22.8 | 23.9 | 28.0 | 30.5 | 35.1 | 39.0 | 40.8 | 35.9 |
| Honduras | 20.1 | 23.9 | 30.4 | 32.5 | 34.4 | 43.1 | 44.4 | 44.6 | 45.5 | 47.8 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 24.0 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 28.2 | 29.8 | 31.7 | 34.1 | 38.1 | 35.7 |
| Nicaragua | 28.6 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 31.9 | 31.5 | 30.8 | 30.2 | 29.9 | 30.7 | 31.0 |
| Panama | 42.0 | 40.7 | 39.6 | 36.7 | 35.2 | 35.0 | 36.8 | 38.5 | 38.8 | 39.0 |
| Paraguay | 13.4 | 13.9 | 12.1 | 9.8 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 15.8 | 17.6 | 19.9 | 20.8 |
| Peru | 23.1 | 22.8 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 17.3 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 22.6 | 21.2 |
| Uruguay | 44.5 | 53.3 | 39.9 | 38.4 | 40.2 | 36.9 | 39.2 | 47.2 | 46.4 | 44.2 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 15.2 | 25.2 | 29.0 | 25.1 | 27.5 | 32.9 | 28.5 | 31.7 | 44.6 | 47.4 |
| The Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 60.3 | 66.4 | 66.9 | 69.3 | 71.6 | 72.3 | 72.1 | 71.0 | 72.5 | 70.9 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 72.6 | 79.6 | 74.2 | 77.1 | 72.2 | 77.9 | 82.1 | 71.8 | 70.1 | 68.0 |
| Bahamas | 39.0 | 50.0 | 54.3 | 55.3 | 59.6 | 65.6 | 72.9 | 75.3 | 79.3 | 79.7 |
| Barbados | 55.7 | 63.2 | 71.9 | 78.0 | 83.9 | 96.4 | 100.1 | 105.2 | 108.2 | 102.7 |
| Belize | 79.4 | 82.2 | 72.3 | 70.7 | 72.8 | 78.5 | 75.6 | 78.4 | 88.8 | 91.7 |
| Dominica | 57.1 | 53.7 | 56.7 | 54.6 | 64.6 | 65.0 | 62.9 | 65.0 | 56.9 | 51.4 |
| Grenada | 73.3 | 83.4 | 86.1 | 89.7 | 93.2 | 94.3 | 89.5 | 80.0 | 76.2 | 74.4 |
| Guyana ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 62.9 | 67.0 | 68.0 | 66.7 | 63.6 | 58.1 | 51.8 | 48.7 | 47.6 | 46.2 |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 112.3 | 126.3 | 131.7 | 131.4 | 133.9 | 135.5 | 131.8 | 128.1 | 125.1 | 109.5 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 100.2 | 108.3 | 98.1 | 114.1 | 108.7 | 76.9 | 64.8 | 53.9 | 51.2 | 47.9 |
| Saint Lucia | 46.2 | 47.4 | 48.3 | 53.5 | 61.6 | 63.6 | 65.2 | 63.0 | 64.5 | 75.2 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 45.9 | 51.0 | 55.5 | 58.5 | 57.1 | 59.1 | 68.7 | 67.6 | 74.5 | 73.6 |
| Suriname | 15.7 | 15.7 | 18.6 | 20.1 | 21.6 | 29.9 | 26.8 | 42.8 | 47.2 | 50.1 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 23.8 | 35.9 | 34.0 | 31.2 | 37.9 | 38.6 | 45.3 | 43.9 | 52.6 | 51.7 |

[^37]Table A1.31
Latin America and the Caribbean: non-financial public sector gross public debt
(Percentages of GDP)

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | $2017{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 45.7 | 50.0 | 50.5 | 50.0 | 51.5 | 52.9 | 53.4 | 54.5 | 55.9 | 55.3 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 30.6 | 33.2 | 32.4 | 31.0 | 32.2 | 34.1 | 35.5 | 38.5 | 41.3 | 41.3 |
| Argentina | 39.2 | 39.6 | 36.1 | 33.3 | 35.1 | 43.5 | 44.7 | 53.5 | 54.2 | 53.7 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 37.2 | 39.5 | 38.1 | 33.7 | 31.3 | 30.4 | 30.0 | 31.6 | 34.3 | 34.3 |
| Brazild ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 57.5 | 60.9 | 53.6 | 50.8 | 55.2 | 56.7 | 58.9 | 66.5 | 69.9 | 73.9 |
| Chile | 11.6 | 12.1 | 14.7 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 24.0 | 27.6 | 30.7 | 30.9 |
| Colombia | 42.6 | 45.1 | 46.2 | 43.1 | 40.7 | 43.1 | 46.0 | 50.1 | 54.9 | 54.0 |
| Costa Rica | 29.0 | 34.0 | 35.7 | 37.1 | 41.5 | 44.1 | 46.9 | 49.2 | 54.8 | 57.0 |
| Dominican Republice | 23.2 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 28.8 | 32.2 | 38.1 | 37.2 | 35.4 | 37.3 | 39.1 |
| Ecuador | 16.6 | 16.3 | 19.6 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 14.7 | 18.3 | 21.2 | 27.2 | 29.1 |
| El Salvador | 36.9 | 45.2 | 45.1 | 44.1 | 47.9 | 46.3 | 46.7 | 47.0 | 47.6 | 46.1 |
| Guatemala | 20.1 | 23.3 | 24.4 | 23.9 | 24.5 | 24.7 | 24.5 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 23.6 |
| Haitief | 42.3 | 34.3 | 22.8 | 23.9 | 28.0 | 30.5 | 35.1 | 39.0 | 40.8 | 35.9 |
| Honduras ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 20.1 | 23.9 | 30.4 | 32.5 | 34.4 | 43.1 | 44.4 | 44.6 | 45.5 | 47.8 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 26.5 | 34.3 | 31.7 | 34.4 | 33.9 | 36.8 | 40.1 | 44.2 | 50.8 | 46.7 |
| Nicaragua | 29.4 | 34.2 | 34.8 | 32.6 | 32.2 | 31.5 | 30.7 | 30.4 | 32.1 | 31.5 |
| Panama | 42.6 | 45.4 | 43.0 | 37.3 | 35.7 | 35.5 | 37.1 | 38.8 | 39.3 | 39.3 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 15.5 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 11.5 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 17.6 | 20.0 | 22.8 | 23.7 |
| Peru | 26.9 | 23.7 | 23.5 | 22.1 | 20.4 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 23.3 | 23.8 | 23.0 |
| Uruguay | 48.9 | 49.4 | 43.5 | 43.4 | 45.7 | 41.5 | 44.6 | 52.2 | 50.4 | 47.7 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)e | 15.2 | 25.2 | 29.0 | 25.1 | 27.5 | 32.9 | 28.5 | 31.7 | 44.6 | 47.4 |
| The Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 67.9 | 74.5 | 77.1 | 77.9 | 79.8 | 80.3 | 79.4 | 78.0 | 77.3 | 75.7 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 79.8 | 89.5 | 84.0 | 92.2 | 86.9 | 100.1 | 97.8 | 85.7 | 82.6 | 78.0 |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 39.0 | 50.0 | 54.3 | 55.3 | 59.6 | 65.6 | 72.9 | 75.3 | 79.3 | 79.7 |
| Barbados | 67.2 | 76.0 | 88.1 | 89.8 | 91.0 | 100.2 | 106.1 | 106.7 | 101.4 | 96.2 |
| Belize | 79.4 | 82.2 | 72.3 | 70.7 | 72.8 | 78.5 | 75.6 | 78.8 | 89.2 | 92.1 |
| Dominica | 69.2 | 65.0 | 69.0 | 67.5 | 77.6 | 77.7 | 75.6 | 75.3 | 63.3 | 65.2 |
| Grenada | 82.1 | 93.0 | 95.4 | 100.8 | 103.5 | 103.4 | 96.8 | 85.8 | 80.5 | 79.1 |
| Guyana | 62.9 | 67.0 | 68.0 | 66.7 | 63.6 | 58.1 | 51.8 | 48.7 | 47.3 | 46.2 |
| Jamaica | 112.3 | 126.3 | 131.7 | 131.4 | 133.9 | 135.5 | 131.8 | 128.1 | 125.1 | 109.5 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 128.0 | 135.1 | 145.1 | 140.1 | 137.5 | 99.4 | 77.5 | 66.9 | 63.2 | 58.9 |
| Saint Lucia | 54.0 | 55.4 | 55.9 | 60.3 | 67.3 | 68.5 | 69.1 | 66.2 | 67.0 | 76.7 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 58.8 | 64.7 | 67.3 | 69.9 | 68.7 | 71.4 | 80.4 | 79.2 | 81.5 | 76.3 |
| Suriname ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 15.7 | 15.7 | 18.6 | 20.1 | 21.6 | 29.9 | 26.8 | 42.8 | 47.0 | 49.8 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 34.5 | 49.0 | 52.9 | 48.0 | 53.2 | 56.2 | 70.6 | 74.4 | 77.2 | 75.9 |
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[^0]:    1 See Office for Budget Responsibility, Economic and Fiscal Outlook, November 2017 [online] http://cdn.budgetresponsibility.org. uk/Nov2017EFOwebversion.pdf.

[^1]:    2 See World Trade Organization (WTO), "WTO upgrades forecast for 2017 as trade rebounds strongly" [online] https://www.wto. org/english/news_e/pres17_e/pr800_e.htm.
    3 See WTO, "Trade recovery expected in 2017 and 2018, amid policy uncertainty" [online] https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/ pres17_e/pr791_e.htm.
    4 See WTO, "WTO upgrades forecast for 2017 as trade rebounds strongly" [online] https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/ pres17_e/pr800_e.htm.
    5 lbid.

[^2]:    6 At its meeting of 30 November 2017, OPEC decided to maintain the cuts in output in 2018.

[^3]:    7 See Capital Economics, "Global Economics Update", 27 October 2017 [online] https://www.capitaleconomics.com/publications/ global-economics/global-economics-update/global-qe-to-slow-only-gradually/.

[^4]:    1 The credit-to-GDP gap refers to the percentage difference between the debt-to-GDP ratio and its long-term trend estimated using a Hodrick-Prescott filter.
    2 To put things in perspective, between January 2006 and August 2008 the M 1 and M 2 multipliers averaged 1.63 and 8.48 , respectively (with a standard deviation of 0.01 and 0.29 ). After the collapse of Lehman Brothers in September 2008, the two multipliers fell from 1.33 and 7.20 , respectively, in October to 1.09 and 5.75 in November. After quantitative easing began in December, the multiplier for the narrow money supply ( M 1 ) fell below 1 , suggesting that it became a monetary divisor. The multiplier of the broad money supply (M2) averaged 3.6 after quantitative easing began, with a top and bottom range of 5.2 and 2.8 .
    3 This effect is known as the portfolio rebalancing effect and it is a key channel for quantitative easing transmission. Portfolio rebalance refers to a decrease in an asset's risk premium (the spread between its expected yield and the risk-free interest rate) caused by changes in its net supply. In the case of treasury bonds, the risk premium is accounted for mainly by the maturity, i.e. by the extra return that investors require to offset the interest rate risk inherent in holding bonds for a longer period as opposed to short-term holdings. Accordingly, long-term bond prices are more sensitive to interest rate variations than short-term bond prices. A number of studies have found evidence of a negative relationship between large-scale asset purchases and the maturity premium of treasury bonds, and a positive relationship between large-scale asset purchases and the yield on other assets such as bonds in general, corporate bonds and, to some extent, prices and returns on raw materials. In fact, the evidence available indicates that large-scale asset purchases did boost the bond market.

[^5]:    4 E. Pérez Caldentey, N. Favreau Negront and L. Mendez, "Deuda del sector corporativo no-financiero en América Latina y sus posibles implicaciones macroeconómicas", document presented at the workshop "¿Cómo dinamizar el crecimiento económico de América Latina y el Caribe?", Santiago, 3-4 October 2017.
    5 S. Avdjiev and others, "The shifting drivers of global liquidity", BIS Working Papers, vol. 644, Bank for International Settlements (BIS), 2017.

[^6]:    1 See Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), International Trade Outlook for Latin America and the Caribbean, 2017 (LC/PUB.2017/22-P), Santiago, 2017.

[^7]:    2 In March 2015, the Ecuadorian Government implemented tariff surcharges between 5\% and 45\% to around 3,000 tariff lines ( $38 \%$ of the total). The safeguards were eliminated on 1 June 2017.

[^8]:    3 The Baltic Dry Index (BDI) average for 2017 is $37 \%$ higher than the 2016 average. This index measures the price of shipping major commodities (metals, grains, fuels and more) along the main global sea routes, and is produced by the London-based Baltic Exchange.
    4 Between January and August 2017, tourist arrivals by subregion grew 4.4\% in the Caribbean, 3.7\% in Central America and $6.7 \%$ in South America (World Tourism Organization, WTO), UNTWO World Tourism Barometer, vol. 15, October 2017.
    5 The income account has long been structurally negative in the region, as a result of outward remittances of profits on FDI and interest on external debt.
    6 Between 2003 and 2012, FDI flows into the region grew almost fivefold in nominal terms, from US $\$ 42.502$ billion in 2003 to US\$ 203.5 billion in 2012.

[^9]:    7 The quasi-sovereign sector includes public sector development banks and State-owned enterprises, among other entities. The supranational sector includes regional development banks, such as the Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) and the Central American Bank for Economic Integration (CABEI).
    8 See PEMEX, "Pemex coloca el bono en euros más grande en la historia de los mercados emergentes"[online, in Spanish] http:// www.pemex.com/saladeprensa/boletines_nacionales/Paginas/2017-011-nacional.aspx and EI Economista, "Emisión de deuda del sector público rompe récord en el 2016" [online, in Spanish] https://www.eleconomista.com.mx/economia/Emision-de-deuda-del-sector-publico-rompe-record-en-el-2016-20170228-0071.html.

[^10]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC).

[^11]:    1 The semi-annual growth rate figures for Argentina, Brazil and Colombia indicate growth over three quarters.

[^12]:    1 This breakdown of the variation in the urban unemployment rate is based on the equation $U_{t}=\left(P_{t}-O_{t}\right) / P_{t}$, where, in year $t, U_{t}$ represents the unemployment rate (unemployed persons as a proportion of the labour force), $P_{t}$ is the participation rate (labour force as a proportion of the working age population) and $E_{t}$ is the employment rate (people employed as a proportion of the working age population). To distinguish the impact of changes in the rates of participation and employment on the unemployment rate, the breakdown $u_{t}=\left(P_{t-1}-E_{t}\right) / P_{t-1}+\left(P_{t}-E_{t-1}\right) / P_{t}$ is used, where the first term on the right represents the impact of the change in the employment rate and the second term indicates the impact of the change in the participation rate.

[^13]:    2 The countries posting increases in their unemployment rates outnumbered those reporting decreases. If Brazil were excluded from the calculations, however, the region's weighted average unemployment rate would fall, on account of the more favourable results in Argentina and Mexico

[^14]:    3 In 2016, the weighted average national participation rate in 24 Latin American and Caribbean countries was $75.1 \%$ for men and $49.8 \%$ for women (calculations based on CEPALSTAT database).
    4 In 2016, the regional employment rate (expressed as the weighted average of national rates) was $70.0 \%$ for men and $45.3 \%$ for women (calculations based on CEPALSTAT database).
    5 Note that this exercise uses nationwide rates, whereas the previous discussions centred on the evolution of the urban unemployment rate. The prevailing economic circumstances are better reflected in the open unemployment figure in urban areas than in rural areas.
    6 In 2016, the region's urban unemployment rate was $7.9 \%$ for men and $10.2 \%$ for women (calculations based on CEPALSTAT database).

[^15]:    7 In 2013, own-account work grew slightly more than wage employment. However, other categories of non-wage work expanded only weakly. Thus, the share of wage jobs in total employment increased, thereby maintaining the prevailing trend that started in 2004
    8 The unusually low growth of own-account work was mainly due to its contraction during the first half of the year in Brazil, a phenomenon for which the causes are not apparent.

[^16]:    9 In most cases, indicated by the numbers contributing to social security or its components.
    10 The high growth rates in registered employment - in these cases, clearly above the rate of economic growth - can only be explained by these policies. Indeed, it is unlikely that formal businesses will create new jobs with those levels of dynamism over lengthy periods, as that would imply a continuous decline in average labour productivity.

[^17]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a The countries covered are Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Jamaica, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay and Peru.

[^18]:    11 Hourly underemployment refers to employed persons who work less than a minimum number of hours set in each country, who wish to work more hours and who are available to do so.

[^19]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a The countries covered are Costa Rica, El Salvador, Mexico and Nicaragua in the northern subregion, and Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Paraguay, Peru and Uruguay in the southern subregion.

[^20]:    1 For a further analysis of debt patterns, see box II. 1 in Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean 2016: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the challenges of financing for development (LC/G.2684-P), Santiago, 2016.

[^21]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Excluding figures for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

[^22]:    2 For further information on regularization programmes, see box I. 1 in Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean 2017 (LC/PUB.2017/17-P), Santiago, 2017.

[^23]:    3 Nevertheless, despite the increase in average lending rates, the monthly values of those rates as of September or October 2017 in Chile, Peru and Suriname show a decline from the levels posted at the start of the year.

[^24]:    1 See Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean, 2016 (LC/G.2698-P), Santiago, 2016
    2 See Office of Financial Research (OFR), "The volatility paradox: tranquil markets may harbor hidden risks", Markets Monitor, second quarter, 2017.

[^25]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
    ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary figures.

    - Based on official figures expressed in dollars at constant 2010 prices.

[^26]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Preliminary figures.
    b Based on official figures expressed in dollars at constant 2010 prices.

[^27]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Based on official figures expressed in dollars at constant 2010 prices.

    - Preliminary figures.

[^28]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

    - Includes errors and omissions.

    A minus sign (-) indicates an increase in reserve assets.

[^29]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Figures as of October.

    - Figures as of June.
    - Figures as of September.
    ${ }^{d}$ Figures as of April.

[^30]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
    a The net resource transfer is calculated as total net capital income minus the income balance (net payments of profits and interest).
    Total net capital income is the balance on the capital and financial accounts plus errors and omissions, plus loans and the use of
    IMF credit plus exceptional financing. Negative figures indicate resources transferred outside the country.

    - Preliminary figures.

[^31]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Corresponds to direct investment in the reporting economy after deduction of outward direct investment by residents of that country.
    Includes reinvestment of profits.

    - Preliminary figures.

[^32]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Preliminary figures.

    - Includes debt owed to the International Monetary Fund.
    - Does not include the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

[^33]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg.
    a Figures as of November.

[^34]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Figures as of November.

    - Figures as of October.
    - Net international reserves.
    d Figures as of September.
    - Figures as of August.

    Figures as of March.

[^35]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Twelve-month variation to October 2017.

    - Weighted average.
    - Weighted average, does not include the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.
    ${ }^{d}$ Refers to national-currency markets.
    - Twelve-month variation to September 2017
    ${ }^{4}$ Twelve-month variation to August 2017.
    - Up to 2008, national consumer price index.
    - Twelve-month variation to March 2017.

    Twelve-month variation to June 2017

[^36]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
    a Preliminary figures established on the basis of information from official budgets and estimates.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Simple averages for the 33 countries reported.

    - Simple averages for 17 countries. Does not include Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Cuba or Plurinational State of Bolivia.
    d General government.
    e Federal public sector.
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[^37]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Preliminary figures.
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    c General government.
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[^38]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    a Preliminary figures.

    - Simple averages.
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    $\therefore$ General government.
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