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# THE LATIN AMERICAN AND CARIBBEAN ECONOMIES IN 1989 

## I. MAIN TRENDS

As the 1980s draw to their close under the shadow of the crisis, most of the countries of Latin America and the Caribbean continue to struggle with inflation or seek to consolidate their stabilization efforts against a background of stagnation or even recession, despite the favourable results attained in their efforts to boost their exports.

In 1989, the average per capita product of the region declined for the second year running, falling back to the level already registered in 1977-1978. At the same time, average inflation surged up again for the third year in a row, almost reaching the level of 1 $200 \%$ for the first time in the history of the region. This deterioration in economic conditions took place even though the region maintained its substantial trade surplus, thanks to a generally satisfactory increase in the value of exports and to the slower growth of imports. Despite this considerable surplus, however, there was an increase in the number of countries in arrears with their external debt service commitments (see table 1 and figure 1).

The general setting of stagnation and high inflation in which the region operated was largely determined by the transfer of financial resources abroad -a phenomenon characteristic of this decade- which amounted in 1989 to some US\$26 billion. The poor performance of most of the countries of the region during 1989 -including those of the largest economic size- was particularly strongly influenced, however -as in 1988either by problems stemming from the upsurge in inflation or by the efforts to combat this, rather than by the shortage of foreign exchange for imports. The inflationary pressures, for their part, mainly had their origin in the inability of the fiscal systems to discharge their
essential functions while at the same time keeping up payments on the external debt. As inflation and a shaky external position mutually sustain each other through exchange rate pressures, 1989 witnessed an increase in the number of countries which were obliged to give up fully servicing their external debt, and indeed, these countries included -for the first time together- three of the four biggest debtors: Argentina, Brazil and Venezuela.

In these circumstances, after eight years of struggling to attain adjustment, stabilization, growth, and the restructuring of their systems of production, groaning under the burden of the external debt, and with little access to fresh external finance, most of the countries of the region continue to display a complex syndrome of structural imbalances, fiscal deficits and low levels of investment, which is reflected in a prolonged period of stagnation, frequently accompanied by high inflation and serious deterioration in real wages.

In short, for the region as a whole, the crisis of the 1980 s is as bad as ever. As the decade draws to its close, the average per capita product is almost $8 \%$ below that of 1980 and the countries have had to bear huge social costs. Indeed, in 1989 there were even serious outbreaks of violence in some countries, the origin of which is to be found, at least partly, in the phenomenon just described.

The gross domestic product of the region as a whole increased in 1989 by $1.4 \%$, which was a little more than the year before, when it grew by only $0.8 \%$, but which was less than the growth of the population, so that the per capita product went down for the second year running, this time by $0.7 \%$.

The product did in fact actually go down in absolute terms in seven countries, while in 13
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
others it grew so feebly that their per capita product virtually stagnated or even fell. Significant growth (of more than $2 \%$ per capita) was registered only in the Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Costa Rica, Jamaica, Paraguay, almost all the small countries of the Organization of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS) and above all Chile, where the per capita product rose by almost $8 \%$. Consequently, the slight improvement in the regional average compared with 1988 was due to the fact that Brazil and Mexico -whose economies account for almost two-thirds of the economic activity of the region- registered a $3 \%$ increase in their product during the year: a modest growth rate, but an improvement over the virtual stagnation of the year before.

As already noted, the performance of most of the countries of the region continued to be impaired by inflation or by the stabilization programmes applied in order to control it. Although it is not possible to establish a strict cause-and-effect relationship between levels of inflation and economic growth rates, it is nonetheless true that the biggest drops in the product took place in countries like Peru $(-11 \%)$ and Argentina ( $-5 \%$ ) which were on the verge of hyperinflation, or in nations like Venezuela ( $-8 \%$ ) and Nicaragua ( $-3 \%$ ) which are in the midst of stabilization programmes designed to cope with high levels of inflation. High levels of inflation were also crucial in the slow growth of Uruguay (where the product grew by only a little over $1 \%$ ) and the modest performance of the Brazilian economy, while the stabilization programme underway in Ecuador largely explains the virtual stagnation of that country's economy and the fact that low levels of inflation are still in the course of consolidation in Bolivia and Mexico was a major reason why their economies grew by less than $3 \%$. In contrast, the only significant increases in the per capita product took place in three countries where inflation has consistently been below $30 \%$ per year: Costa Rica, Chile and Paraguay.

The massive upsurges in inflation, on the other hand were eloquent examples of the
persistence of the crisis and its structural nature. Inflation in 1989 broke new records in Argentina (5000\%), Peru (2 800\%) and Brazil (1 800\%). Nicaragua, however, which had registered a rate of inflation of over $30000 \%$ in 1988, managed to bring this down to $1700 \%$, thanks to a drastic stabilization programme. These extraordinarily high rates of inflation were largely due to the inability to control the public deficit -aggravated in some cases by the growing financial burden of high real interest rates on the domestic debt- together with uncertainty about future economic trends and runs on foreign exchange.

Another three countries (Ecuador, Uruguay and Venezuela) had inflation rates of between $50 \%$ and $100 \%$ per year. In the case of Venezuela, although it is true that the rate of price increases in 1989 was over $80 \%$ because of the big initial adjustment involved in the new anti-inflation programme, the rate of inflation in the last six months has in fact averaged 2.5\% per month. Similarly, as Ecuador's anti-inflation programme has been running longer, the 12 month rate of price increase in that country went down from a peak of almost $100 \%$ in March to less than $54 \%$ in December. In Uruguay, however, the annual rate of inflation has been creeping up from less than $70 \%$ in 19,88 to over $90 \%$ in 1989.

On the other hand, in 12 countries inflation remained between just under $10 \%$ and a little over $40 \%$ per year. Four of them -Colombia, El Salvador, Honduras and Trinidad and Tobago- showed no significant variation compared with 1988. In four countries, however, there was a perceptible increase in the rate of inflation: in Paraguay it went up from $17 \%$ to $29 \%$ as a result of the freeing and unification of the exchange rate; in Chile it rose from $13 \%$ to $21 \%$ because of the excessive growth of demand; in Guatemala, the exchange rate devaluation effected in the second half of 1989 pushed it up frpm $11 \%$ to $20 \%$, and in Jamaica the rate went from $9 \%$ to $17 \%$ partly because of the impact which the hurricane of the previous year had on the supply of agricultural goods.

[^0]At the same time, there were significant reductions in inflation in the Dominican Republic (from $58 \%$ to $41 \%$ in 1988), in Costa Rica (from $25 \%$ to less than $10 \%$ ), and in Bolivia (from $22 \%$ to $17 \%$ ). The most striking progress, however, was in Mexico, where the severe control of the fiscal sector and the policy of reconciling prices and wages made it possible to bring down the rate of inflation from $52 \%$ in 1988 to less than $20 \%$ in 1989.

From the foregoing, it can be seen that there has been a marked decline in the number of countries which, until recently, seemed immune to the problem of inflation, especially the Central American nations. Thus, in the present year only Barbados, Haiti, Panama, Trinidad and Tobago and the small OECS countries again registered price increases of less than $10 \%$ per year, thus permitting them to be considered free from significant problems of inflation.

These conditions of economic stagnation and high inflation were accompanied by an external situation which was less favourable than in the previous two years. Thus, although the value of exports rose by nearly $11 \%$, this growth rate was rather lower than in both 1987 and 1988. Moreover, due to the previous rise in international interest rates, payments of interest and profits for the region as a whole increased by US\$3 billion to almost US\$37 billion.

In the case of the petroleum-exporting countries, the increase in exports (US\$6 billion) was sufficient to cover the increase in payments for factor services (US\$1.1 billion), and to leave a substantial balance, the equivalent of $15 \%$ of their respective import bills, which served to boost the latter or reserves. On the other hand, the rise in interest payments on the debt of the non-oil-exporting countries (by an amount of almost US\$2.9 billion) cancelled out most of the modest rise in the exports of these countries, thus further limiting their capacity to increase exports without drawing down their reserves.

In Chile, Ecuador, Mexico, the Dominican Republic and Venezuela, their increased export value was due primarily to increases in the unit value of external sales, since the prices of petroleum, metals and sugar rose significantly in 1989. In Bolivia, there were
substantial increases both in the unit value and in the physical volume of exports. In the remaining countries, however, the increases in export value were due above all to bigger export volumes, especially in the five Central American countries and Peru.

The evolution of imports, for its part, was conditioned not only by the amount of growth of exports or by economic reactivation (as the case may be), but also by tariff liberalization or exchange rate lags (as in Mexico and Brazil) and by adjustment and stabilization programmes which explain the big drops in imports in Argentina ( $-21 \%$ ), Haiti ( $-9 \%$ ), Nicaragua ( $-12 \%$ ), Peru ( $-26 \%$ ), and Venezuela ( $-38 \%$ ). All in all, imports grew a little less than exports ( $7 \%$ ), and as the increase in the value of exports was slightly greater than that of the value of imports, the merchandise trade surplus expanded by US\$4.3 billion to nearly US $\$ 30$ billion.

This surplus represented $81 \%$ of the net commitments in respect of interest and profits ( $76 \%$ in 1988), despite the increase in such payments. Consequently, the current account deficit was reduced to under US\$7 billion, which was covered by a capital inflow of US $\$ 10.5$ billion, an amount far higher than the US $\$ 3.8$ billion of the previous year. However, the increase in net capital inflows into the region was mainly accounted for by inflows into Mexico and, to a lesser extent, Chile. The net capital inflow into Mexico in 1989 represented half of the total net inflow for the region as a whole. This is because, apart from the inflow of resources agreed with the creditor banks, there was repatriation of private capital on account of the attractive domestic interest rates and the climate of exchange stability generated by the agreements. Chile, for its part, benefited from a net capital inflow of nearly US $\$ 1.7$ billion. Of this amount, US $\$ 900$ million was in the form of foreign capital invested through traditional mechanisms, of which two thirds went to the mining sector.

In contrast with the year before -when the countries of the region lost almost US\$6 billion of their reserves- these reserves rose by US $\$ 3.6$ billion in 1989 because the net inflow of capital into the region-including the capitalization of unpaid interest- was greater than the current account deficit. Likewise, because the net
inflow of capital increased faster than payments of interest and profits, the net transfer of resources abroad went down, although it still came to nearly US\$26 billion, equivalent to almost $20 \%$ of the region's exports of goods and services and about $3 \%$ of its gross domestic product. Furthermore, if Mexico is left out of the reckoning, the net transfer of resources abroad by the remaining countries of the region increased from US $\$ 17.7$ billion to almost US $\$ 24$ billion.

The nominal external debt of the region rose slightly in 1989 to some US $\$ 417$ billion, which meant that in real terms it went down for the second year running, this time by some $5 \%$. A number of factors contributed to the reduction of the debt: the revaluation of the dollar (in the case of that part of the debt denominated in currencies other than the dollar); the debt/equity swap operations (although these were on a much smaller scale than in 1988), and the decline in the net capital flows from multilateral and bilateral finance agencies.

Finally, there was only a very limited inflow of voluntary fresh resources from the commercial banks.

In fact, the biggest source of additional "financing" was the build-up of arrears on the external debt service. Thus, only five of the 19 countries for which up-to-date information is available serviced their debt in full and on time; the other 14 (including three of the four main debtors for the first time together) built up still larger arrears during 1989. Indeed, five countries were even in arrears on their debt service commitments to multilateral agencies.

Owing to the way the balance of payments of the region developed, both the debt/exports and the interest/exports ratios went down slightly. Both these indicators, however, still remain at the alarmingly high levels of $300 \%$ and $28 \%$ respectively. This means that even after eight years of adjustment, these indicators are still $50 \%$ above the levels they registered in 1978-1980, before the debt crisis.

Table 1

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989^{b}\end{array}\right]$| Indexes $(1980=$ | $100)$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## Basic economic indicators

Gross domestic product
at market prices
Gross national income
Population (millions of inhabitants)
Per capita gross domestic product
Per capita gross national income

| 99.0 | 96.4 | 99.7 | 103.2 | 107.0 | 110.2 | 111.1 | 112.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 93.4 | 90.6 | 94.1 | 97.2 | 99.6 | 103.0 | 103.4 | 105.1 |
| 374.9 | 3833 | 391.8 | 400.4 | 409.0 | 417.8 | 426.6 | 435.5 |
| 94.6 | 90.0 | 91.9 | 92.3 | 93.6 | 94.3 | 93.1 | 92.5 |
| 89.3 | 84.6 | 86.0 | 86.8 | 87.0 | 88.1 | 86.6 | 86.2 |

## Growth rates

## Short-term economic indicators

Gross domestic product
Per capita gross domestic product
Per capita gross national income
Urban unemployment rate ${ }^{c}$
Consumer prices

| -1.3 | $\mathbf{- 2 . 7}$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | 1.4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{- 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{- 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ |
| 84.6 | 130.8 | 184.8 | 274.7 | 64.5 | 198.3 | 759.4 | 1157.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -12.4 | -1.1 | 4.8 | -4.8 | -10.2 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 2.8 |
| -11.2 | -0.7 | 11.1 | -3.9 | -12.7 | 12.6 | 14.3 | 11.1 |
| -19.9 | -28.5 | 4.0 | -0.3 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 7.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## External sector

Exports of goods and services

| 103.2 | 102.4 | 113.7 | 109.3 | 95.4 | 107.4 | 122.8 | 136.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 104.7 | 75.3 | 78.0 | 78.2 | 80.7 | 89.1 | 101.0 | 108.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{- 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{- 4 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 . 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{- 2 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |
| 331.6 | 356.7 | 373.5 | 385.5 | 399.4 | 426.0 | 419.7 | 416.6 |

Imports of goods and services
Trade balance (goods and services)
Net payment of profits and interest
Balance on current account
Net capital inflow
Balance-of-payments position
Total disbursed external debt
Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The figures given for the gross domestic product and the population correspond to the countries appearing in table 2 (except Cuba), while those given for consumer prices refer to the countries listed in table 12. The data concerning gross national income and the external sector correspond to the countries shown in table 27. Preliminary figures. : Weighted average annual rate for 18 of the 25 largest cities in Latin America. December-to-December variation.

## II. THE INTERNATIONAL CONTEXT ${ }^{1}$

The dramatic political upheavals that occurred in 1989 marked the end of a long period of intense international dispute over the appropriate organization of modern industrial societies. The relaxation of tensions between the super-Powers seemed to reduce the risk of nuclear annihilation. In the longer term, it should open up new opportunities for peaceful co-operation throughout the world. However, the transition that has been set in motion has so far generated much political turmoil and economic crisis, and there is inevitably great uncertainty and apprehension about the way it will unfold.

Although the events in Eastern Europe tended to overshadow other developments in 1989 and early 1990, remarkable changes occurred elsewhere too. The European Community agreed to crown its plans for a single market in 1992 by the institution of a common currency, which will be a step of immense historical significance and wide political implications. Throughout the world there was an important awakening to both local and global environmental threats to future development and the need for joint action. There was also a readiness, which had been growing throughout the 1980s, to re-examine fundamental assumptions about the nature and the objective of economic and social progress, and a reaction against the neglect of the human condition.

If 1989 was rich enough in unexpected and significant events to stand out as a turning point in many respects, it is also important to note what did not happen. The stagnation and decline in much of the developing world were not reversed, after seven years of sustained growth in the industrialized countries, after
solid growth of international trade for three consecutive years, and after major adjustment efforts in Africa and Latin America. It is true that in Latin America, democratic Governments waged new attacks on the deep economic crisis and financial disorder of the continent. In Africa, important signs of change in South Africa and many other countries held out some promise of an eventual way out of the crippling stagnation of the continent. But the persistence of the development crisis is taking its toll, and impatience is giving way to resignation and exodus.

## 1. Trends in global output and policies

Global economic growth slowed in 1989 after an unusually rapid expansion in 1988. Compared to an increase of $4.4 \%$ in 1988, world output grew by $3.1 \%$ in 1989. The slowdown was widespread, affecting all major groups of countries, but was most pronounced in China and Eastern Europe (see table 2). The global pattern of growth broadly conformed with expectations, both overall and in its various parts, but the pace of growth, although slower, was somewhat better than anticipated in the developed market economies and most developing regions.

There were no major unforeseen global economic shocks in 1989. The most important developments for the world economy were political rather than economic. The widespread repercussions of these changes will affect economic events in the medium and long-term more than they did in 1989.

The deceleration in the developed market economies in 1989 involved a substantial
'Taken from chapters I, II and III of the World EconomiאSurvey 1990 (fill 990/55; ST/ESA/218), New York, 1990. United Nations publication, Sales No. E.90.II.C.1.
slowdown in some of the countries that had grown most rapidly in the preceding year, partly offset by stronger growth in some of those that had been making more modest progress. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the countries of Eastern Europe recorded negative growth, partly as a result of the problems associated with the changes being introduced in their systems of economic management. The economic slowdown in the developing countries in 1989 was more pronounced than in the developed market economies but less so than in the economies of Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union.

The overall result for the developing countries disguises the fact that the slowdown was concentrated mostly in a few countries, predominantly in Asia, that are either large (and therefore carry a large weight in the total), or grew rapidly in 1988, or both. The sharp deceleration in China from its rapid pace of expansion of recent years accounted for a substantial part of the overall result. Growth in India was also about three percentage points less than in 1988, although it still exceeded $5 \%$. Finally, while the four Asian newlyindustrializing economies remain among the most dynamic in the world, they grew more slowly than in 1988.

These instances of deceleration in the developing countries were partially offset by a more widespread but less sizeable acceleration in many of the more slowly growing economies. West Asia, in particular, performed better than in 1988, while there were lesser improvements in Africa and Latin America. Even with this recovery, none of these regions increased output sufficiently to keep pace with population growth. However, there were some signs that the deterioration and stagnation that had characterized these regions for most of the decade might be ending and that, over the medium term, a modicum of growth in per capita terms might finally result. Such an outcome will depend heavily on the overall state of the world economy, as well as on the willingness and ability of Governments and their citizens to adhere to ongoing programmes of economic reform.

There was a widespread acceleration in the rate of increase in prices in 1989. Inflation became of greater concern to policy-makers
around the world, although both the magnitude and the tolerance of the problem varied widely: in some of the developed market economies, Governments became concerned at signs that inflation might reach 5\% annually, whereas it exceeded $500 \%$ in a number of developing countries.

The reasons for the acceleration of inflation varied, although over-heating (as manifested in high rates of capacity utilization and large wage demands) stemming from the high rate of growth in 1988 was a widespread factor. This was the case in those developed market economies that grew the fastest in 1988, but it also applied to many of the countries in South and East Asia that have been growing rapidly for the past several years. Reflecting the increasingly advanced economic structures in these countries, their economic challenges are becoming more akin to those of the developed market economies and the appropriate policy responses are correspondingly similar.

For many other countries, the sources of inflation were somewhat different. Particularly in Latin America, the inflationary process is bound up with the region's external debt problem through its repercussions on the fiscal deficit and the domestic money supply. In other regions, economic adjustment and reform is contributing to inflationary pressures: the removal of subsidies and price controls, particularly on essentials, inevitably raises the domestic price level in the short term. Currency depreciation will have a similar effect, depending on the degree of import dependence and the composition of imports. The former type of inflationary pressure has been prevalent in Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union, while both have applied, albeit to varying degrees, in African countries undertaking economic reform and adjustment.

## a) The developed market economies

The unusually long period of economic expansion in the developed market economies continued in 1989, albeit at a slower pace. The slowdown was primarily attributable to a deceleration in those economies that had expanded most vigorously in 1988: in four of the seven major industrial countries, growth in 1989 was at least one percentage point lower
than in 1988. Of this group, only the Federal Republic of Germany registered a significant improvement over its performance the previous year.

The smaller countries fared more evenly, both among themselves and compared to the previous year. Several of these countries slowed down in 1989, but mostly marginally: only Greece decelerated by more than $1 \%$, but it still grew by more than $2.5 \%$. Denmark, Ireland, the Netherlands, New Zealand and Norway increased their growth rate by at least a percentage point, with Denmark, New Zealand and Norway reversing the declines they had suffered the previous year. Spain was able to maintain growth of close to $5 \%$, while Belgium, Finland, Ireland and the Netherlands grew by at least $4 \%$. Overall, the effect of this change in the pattern of growth was almost to eliminate the difference that persisted during the previous years of the decade between growth in the largest developed market economies and in the smaller members of the group.

For most of the 1980s, Japan and North America had higher growth than Western Europe; this last region was generally perceived as acting as something of a brake on global growth. During the last few years of the 1980s, the situation was partially reversed: Western Europe accelerated its growth and seems likely to be able to sustain the higher rate, while Japan and North America have slowed (see figure 2). Japan continues to be the most rapidly growing of the industrialized countries but seems unlikely to continue to expand as rapidly as it did in the later years of the 1980s. This desynchronization of the cyclical elements in the growth of the different regions has been one of the factors contributing to the sustained growth of the developed market economies as a group.

Within Western Europe, investment remained buoyant in 1989 although there was some slowdown from the rapid growth of the previous year. The surge in investment contributed to the growth of exports from the Federal Republic of Germany, because of that country's role as a major supplier of capital goods. Although export demand remained high in the Federal Republic of Germany in 1989, there was a shift towards consumption
demand. In France and Italy, on the other hand, exports began to assume greater importance in 1989. This resulted in an improvement in the French trade balance, but in Italy imports also increased and the trade balance deteriorated.

In almost all the major industrial countries, capacity utilization reached its highest level of the decade either in 1988 or 1989; in addition, unemployment decreased almost universally and labour markets gave signs of being tight in a number of countries. Although unemployment remained high by long-term standards in Western Europe, it fell substantially in several countries. New Zealand was the only developed market economy in which unemployment increased during the year; in several other countries, such as Belgium, Canada, Finland, the Federal Republic of Germany, Portugal, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States, it was at its lowest level of the decade. This was particularly surprising in North America where growth slowed during the year.

In addition to these direct physical limitations on growth, the changed conditions in domestic markets contributed to the slowdown indirectly as the acceleration of inflation induced policy-makers to adopt more restrictive measures. Rising prices for energy, and to a lesser extent food, during the year were common sources of inflationary pressure. The average increase in consumer prices in 1989 was more than $1 \%$ greater than in the previous year.

Anxieties about inflation prompted Governments to tighten policy, particularly in the fastest-growing economies. As for most of the decade, reliance continued to be placed heavily on monetary policy. Short-term interest rates resumed the steady climb that had been in evidence since 1987, with only a brief interruption following the global stock market crash that year (see figure 3). In several instances, the increase in nominal interest rates in 1989 was greater than the rise in inflation, implying an increase in the already-high real rate of interest.

A major concern in the developed market economies for the past two years has been whether it would be possible to achieve a gradual return to a sustainable long-term pattern of economic growth, a "soft landing", or
whether a major disruption in the world economy would result -a "hard landing". Initially, this problem was seen mostly in terms of reducing the external imbalances, the question being whether this would involve a major slowdown in the United States and hence in the world economy generally. More recently, concern about the imbalances has diminished, despite their persistence. Instead, attention has shifted to whether some of the major developed market economies would be able to move from their recent rapid growth, with its incipient inflationary tendencies, to a more modest rate of growth without bringing on an excessive slowdown, possibly a recession, in the process. Here again, attention has focused on the United States, partially because of its key role in the world economy, but also because it was the first of the major industrial countries to go into the upswing. Doubts about the ability to
engineer a soft landing arose essentially because the response to the policy measures that would be adopted was unknown: reliance was placed overwhelmingly on monetary policy.

The United States began to introduce restrictive monetary measures in 1987. By 1989, there was evidence of slowing in the economy, and fears of a recession began to outweigh concerns about inflation. The deceleration, coupled with some relaxation of monetary policy, caused short-term interest rates in that country to decline somewhat as the year progressed. This was contrary to the trend in other countries, most of which either continued to or began to adopt more restrictive monetary postures in 1989. In Australia, short-term interest rates rose from an average of slightly over $15 \%$ in 1988 to almost $18 \%$ during the course of 1989; in Japan, from about $4 \%$ to
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almost $6 \%$; and in the United Kingdom, from $12.5 \%$ to $14.5 \%$.

The economy of the Federal Republic of Germany was not among the most rapidly expanding in 1988, but its greater momentum in 1989 prompted several increases in domestic interest rates: nominal rates almost doubled in the 12 -month period. The result was a widespread ratcheting-up of short-term interest rates throughout Western Europe. Coupled with the increased rates in Japan, this high interest rate régime has made it more difficult to reduce rates in the United States early in 1990, even though the slowing of the domestic economy suggested that it might be prudent to do so.

The external imbalances among the developed market economies remained large in 1989, although they declined in relation to GDP and some of them declined in absolute
value. The Japanese current account surplus fell by more than a quarter in dollar terms. The surplus of the Federal Republic of Germany, on the other hand, increased marginally and seemed to be moving in an upward direction, coming close to that of Japan. The trade deficit of the United States decreased in 1989 by about the same amount in dollars as Japan's surplus. On the other hand, large external imbalances emerged in a number of other countries (notably Australia, Canada and the United Kingdom) in the final years of the 1980s.

The two-way interaction between the trade balance and international flows of capital explains movements in key exchange rates, for example in 1989 and early 1990 (see figure 4), which seem perverse when seen merely from the point of view of trade balances. The narrowing of the Japanese trade surplus in 1989 reduced the net inflow of earnings from foreign
trade, making it insufficient to meet the large Japanese demand for financial capital for portfolio and direct savings in Japan (including savings received as a result of net trade earnings from abroad) was less than the Japanese demand for investment at home and abroad. The result was excess demand for foreign currency, resulting in downward pressure on the yen despite the fact that Japan continued to have a large trade surplus.

## b) Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union

Events in Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union in the course of 1989 and early 1990 demonstrated that the reform of highly centralized economies requires stabilization before it can be launched with a reasonable expectation of success. This stabilization has to address two different sets of issues. The first is to correct the imbalances inherited from the
administrative economic model. The second is the need to formulate an operational co-ordinating mechanism to replace central planning. Implementing the necessary economic reforms involves short-term costs, such as unemployment, as well as potential benefits in the longer term. The size of the costs depends largely on the aims of the reform and the specific problems that policy-makers are trying to address and decisions have to be made on the distribution both among the different segments of society and over time. The lack of a firm socio-political consensus in these countries has made this task difficult.

The rapidly escalating uncertainty in these countries in 1989 was reflected in, inter alia, the macro- and micro-economic performance of each country and in growing obstacles to maintaining the traditional trade and payments régimes of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance (CMEA). Part of the uncertainty was
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the result of totally unexpected developments. There were several forms of social unrest. One was large-scale emigration, particularly from the German Democratic Republic, but also from Bulgaria and the Soviet Union. There were also various types of labour friction, including strikes, particularly in Poland in the first half and in the Soviet Union in the latter part of 1989. On the other hand, Czechoslovakia's economic performance benefited from the peaceful and extraordinarily rapid political transition after 17 November 1989 and the fact that it took place without emigration or substantial social tensions. In the Soviet Union, the lingering after-effects of the earthquake in Armenia in late 1988 and the civil strife and political friction in some of the regions also exerted a negative effect on output.

## c) Developing countries

The international economic environment brought mixed fortunes to the developing countries in 1989. The improvement in the international price of oil over its average in 1988 provided immediate benefit to energy-exporting countries and imposed a cost on energy-importing countries, while the collapse of cocoa and coffee prices was a severe blow to the lower-income countries that rely heavily on those products for their export revenue. As for the past several years, manufactures were the most rapidly expanding component of world trade, but the impetus from that source was less than in 1988, mostly because of the slowdown in the developed market economies.

For most developing countries, the consequences of external indebtedness remained, directly or indirectly, the overriding influence on their economic growth in 1989. On the positive side, the concept of commercial
debt reduction began to be applied, albeit to a limited number of countries, while for the first time, there was a decline (though only marginal) in the developing countries' stock of debt measured in dollars. On the other hand, international interest rates rose for the second consecutive year, posing a further threat to the many developing countries whose debt-servicing capability is already precarious, despite extensive rescheduling.

These changes in the global economic environment in 1989 were insufficient to disturb the underlying regional differences in economic circumstances among developing regions. Different externalities and their differentiated effects are a major reason for the continued diversity in the performance of the developing countries. The rapidly-growing East Asian economies have diversified their economies to the extent that the most important external factor affecting their growth is the world market for manufactures. These economies fared well with the growth in such trade in the 1980s, particularly with the unexpected spurt in the last few years of the decade. The modest slowdown in world trade in 1989 had a negative effect on those countries that were in the vanguard of this export-oriented growth process, although those in the early stages continued to fare well.

The links of other groups of countries with the world economy are in sectors that did not fare so well in the 1980s. Most striking of these were the West Asian countries, all of which, directly and indirectly, rely heavily on the international oil market. The collapse of this market in the 1980s had a negative economic effect on all these countries and neither recovery nor adjustment to the new market conditions are yet complete, despite the direct and immediate benefits of higher oil prices in 1989.

[^2]For the majority of countries in Africa, the dominant link with the rest of the world is through primary commodities. One consequence of the decline in the commodity-intensity of global output has been a decrease in Africa's share of world trade. From Africa's point of view, however, commodity exports remain central to the economic livelihood of many countries. During the 1980s, the prices of many commodities declined to their lowest real levels for several decades, to the detriment of exporting countries. Contrary to previous experience, the acceleration in economic growth and world trade in the latter half of the decade did not have a major favourable impact on the prices of commodities: the prices of minerals, metals and agricultural raw materials picked up with the unusually rapid expansion in 1988, but otherwise there was little improvement. The deterioration in these markets was attributable partly to short-term factors, but mainly to long-term structural trends that are unlikely to be reversed to any significant extent in the foreseeable future. In 1989, this was demonstrated in the collapse of the coffee and cocoa markets: these are a small part of world trade but of paramount importance to many African economies.

## 2. International trade

The proposition that trade is an engine of growth seemed amply confirmed in 1989 when the volume of world merchandise trade, growing at $6.7 \%$, continued to lead the expansion in the world economy. While this represented a slowdown from the $9.0 \%$ recorded in 1988, it nonetheless marked the fifth consecutive year in which the increase in world trade exceeded the increase in world output.

Despite this performance, there are some clouds on the international trade horizon. These include growing concerns about the proliferation of bilateral trade arrangements,
as well as about the formation of trading blocs and their eventual impact on the global trading system. Then, too, there is apprehension about protectionism and the tendency for some countries to substitute "managed trade" for free trade. Tensions are also mounting owing to difficulties in reaching agreement on key items of the Uruguay Round of multilateral trade negotiations.

Moreover, the average growth of exports cloaks large differences between countries and regions. For example, the export volume of the industrial countries grew by $7.3 \%$ in 1989 . Meanwhile the Eastern European economies registered a decline of $1.3 \%$ in their export volume. Exports of the developing countries grew by about $7 \%$ but there was a very wide range of experience among countries: from $3 \%$ in the countries of sub-Saharan Africa to over $9 \%$ in the four newly industrializing Asian economies, all of which continue to achieve great economic success with their export-led growth strategies.

In terms of value, world trade expanded nominally by US $\$ 532.6$ billion in 1989 , or $9.1 \%$, thus pushing the value of both world exports and imports over the US $\$ 3$ trillion mark for the first time. As recently as four years ago, the value of world trade was hovering around the US\$2 trillion level.

Looking at the export side, developing countries suffered only a modest decrease in their export revenues, as opposed to the developed countries as a group, which faced a decline from $14.2 \%$ to $8.2 \%$. The Eastern European countries and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics registered a sharp drop in the growth of their exports in value terms, from $5.2 \%$ to $0.6 \%$ (see table 3).

Price movements varied greatly, as seen in unit values. Rising oil prices boosted export unit values of the energy exporters by over $10 \%$ in 1989, compared with a decline of close to $10 \%$ the previous year. For several other developing countries, declining trends in some non-fuel primary commodity prices, notably coffee and cocoa reinforced the effects of weak volume growth. Conversely, price

[^3]developments were favourable for cotton, tea and tobacco. For example, there was an almost $20 \%$ increase in the price of cotton. All in all, divergent trends in commodity prices translated themselves into substantial differences in export and import performance
among developing countries. Meanwhile, dollar export values for manufactures only increased some $3 \%$ in 1989 , less than half than in 1988, so growth in value terms slowed down, even among the dynamic exporters of manufactures in Asia.

Table 2
GROWTH OF POPULATION AND OUTPUT, BY REGION, 1981-1989

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & 1989 \\ & \text { (millions) } \end{aligned}$ | Population growth rate (annual percentage) | Gross domestic product 1985 (billions of 1980 dollars) | Rate of exchange of gross domestic product (annual percentage) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1981- \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| World | 5201 | 1.7 | ... | 2.8 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 3.1 |
| Developed market economies | 807 | 0.5 | 7640 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| North America | 273 | 0.8 | 2866 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 3.1 |
| Western Europe | 356 | 0.2 | 3467 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Developed Asia | 177 | 0.5 | 1060 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 4.7 |
| Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union | 402 | 0.9 |  | 3.2 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 1.2 |
| Developing countries | 3992 | 2.4 | $2217^{\text {c }}$ | 2.7 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.4 |
| Western hemisphere | 439 | 2.1 | 822 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| West Asia | 127 | 3.3 | 343 | -1.2 | -1.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| South and East Asia | 1633 | 2.2 | 606 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 6.2 |
| Africa | 594 | 3.1 | 305 | -0.9 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| Mediterranean | 79 | 1.6 | 141 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| China ${ }^{0}$ | 1120 | 1.4 |  | 9.2 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 3.9 |

Source: Departament of International Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat. Data on population and population growth rates are those published by the Department in World Demographic Estimates and Projections, 1950-2025 (ST/ESA/SER.R/79), New York, 1988. Data were also obtained from the Population Division, United Nations, the International Labour Organisation (ILO) and the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary estimates. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Net material product; data for 1981-1989 are government estimates. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Not including China.

Table 3
WORLD TRADE, 1980 AND 1985-1989
(Percentage change over preceding year)

|  | 1980 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0.5 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 9.0 | 6.7 |
| Volume of world trade | -0.8 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 6.5 |
| $\quad$ Exports | 1.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 9.1 | 6.8 |
| $\quad$ Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of world trade | -1.4 | 1.4 | 10.3 | 17.4 | 13.6 | 8.9 |
| $\quad$ Exports | -0.5 | 1.6 | 9.7 | 16.8 | 14.0 | 9.2 |
| Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of exports | -1.4 | 1.4 | 10.3 | 17.4 | 13.6 | 8.9 |
| $\quad$ World | -2.1 | 3.2 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 14.2 | 8.2 |
| $\quad$ Developed countries | -0.4 | -2.6 | -7.3 | 22.4 | 14.8 | 14.2 |
| $\quad$ Developing countries (including China) | 1.2 | -1.3 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 5.2 | 0.6 |
| $\quad$ Eastern Europe and the USSR | -1.3 | 2.6 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 2.0 |
| $\quad$ Eastern Europe | 3.8 | -4.9 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 2.2 | -0.8 |
| $\quad$ USSR |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Departament of International Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat.

## III. LEVEL OF ACTIVITY *

The economy of Latin America and the Caribbean remained sluggish in 1989, with a growth in gross domestic product of only $1.4 \%$, slightly higher than the year before, but quite a bit below that recorded in the period 1984-1987. Thus the per capita product declined by $0.7 \%$ to a level that was $8 \%$ lower than in 1980 -when it had reached a historical high point-, or the same as the figure for 1978.

Especially influential in that low growth rate were the sharp drops in the level of activity in Peru ( $-11 \%$ ), Venezuela ( $-8 \%$ ), Argentina $(-5 \%)$ and Nicaragua ( $-3 \%$ ), as well as in the slow expansion of most of the rest of the economies of the region. The only countries that recorded significant increases in their real GDP were Costa Rica, Paraguay and, especially, Chile, whose economy grew by more than $9 \%$. Brazil and Mexico showed modest growth (approximately 3\%), although above that of 1988 , which explains the slight increase in the growth rate of the region, in that these two countries together generate almost two thirds of the total product of Latin America and the Caribbean (see table 4).

As a result, the deterioration that has affected living conditions in many of the economies of Latin America and the Caribbean since the beginning of the decade continued to worsen in 1989. For example, between 1980 and 1989, the per capita product fell by $35 \%$ in Nicaragua, $27 \%$ in Bolivia, between 22\% and $25 \%$ in Argentina, Peru and Venezuela, between $16 \%$ and $19 \%$ in El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti and Panama, $12 \%$ in Honduras, $9 \%$ in Mexico and $6 \%$ in Costa Rica and Uruguay. In only three countries was
the per capita product of 1989 significantly higher than that of 1980: Cuba (33\%), Colombia (14\%) and Chile (almost 9\%) (see table 5).

In Argentina, the gross domestic product fell by almost $6 \%$, with a decline in manufacturing production -for the third year in a row- of close to $8 \%$, bringing the industrial product to $20 \%$ below that of 1986 . The inflationary explosion that began in March brought with it a sharp drop in activity, caused both by the slump in the purchasing power of wage earners and by the fact that many enterprises raised selling prices by extremely high precautionary margins and almost completely restricted commercial credit. Beginning with the new stabilization programme put into effect in July, supply tended to become more normal, commercial credit reappeared and domestic demand began to recover in some sectors. The agricultural sector also contracted ( $2 \%$ ), owing to the impact of a long drought that affected a major part of the country. Construction plummeted by more than $30 \%$, heightening the recessive trend observed the previous year as a reflection of collapsing investment. Overall, the goods-producing sectors declined by nearly $8 \%$, while the real product of services fell by $4 \%$ (see table 6).

In Peru, economic activity continued to contract (by $11 \%$, in addition to the $8 \%$ drop the previous year), as a consequence of the flair-up of inflation. On the one hand, this situation reduced real wages by around $50 \%$ during the year, which had a severe impact on private consumption. On the other hand, it

[^4]generated uncertainty, instability and social unrest, which led to a shrinkage of investment. External demand, however, managed to partially mitigate the negative impact on production that resulted from domestic factors. This was made possible, in the first place, by the gradual normalization of mining production, whereby the sector recovered the greater part of its usual export volumes, and in the second place by the greater demand for products from the fishery, agriculture and industry sectors. This second year of recession once again had the strongest repercussions on manufacturing and construction, which after recording their lowest level of production between April and May, slowly began to recover as from the beginning of the second half of the year, owing to measures aimed at reactivating the economy. For the year as a whole, however, levels of activity in industry and construction were $18 \%$ and $16 \%$ lower, respectively, than the previous year. In general, production was affected by frequent terrorist attacks, especially where these resulted in interruptions in the supply of electricity. In addition to the recession in urban activities, the agricultural sector contracted, after having grown steadily during the previous six years. Credit limitations and the sudden increase in the price of inputs at the time of planting were influential in this decline.

Venezuela's economic activity dropped by $8 \%$, after three years of $5 \%$ annual growth. Domestic demand contracted even more, in both consumption and investment. Moreover, the slump in production was widespread, affecting almost all sectors. The main cause of this slowdown was the launching in February of a severe adjustment and stabilization plan, aimed at reducing the macroeconomic imbalances brought on by the sustained expansion of domestic demand during previous years. The large price adjustments and the sharp increase in the cost of credit as a result of the adjustment programme, together with the heavy advance buying that took place the previous year, contributed to a virtual collapse of domestic demand. Productive activity was also negatively affected by the pronounced rise in the cost of imported inputs, the elimination of several subsidies to enterprises and the near paralyzation of public investment.

Construction and manufacturing were the most severely affected sectors, especially the automotive industry, where production almost came to a complete halt. Agriculture, even though continuing to benefit from preferential interest rates and subsidies for the purchase of fertilizers, also contracted markedly, as a reflection of both the negative expectations of producers and the impact of unfavourable weather conditions. Only the petroleum industry and some branches of mining and metallurgy, which were more oriented to supplying external demand, were able to maintain their levels of activity in 1989. Petroleum activity was particularly favoured by the increase in Venezuela's quota in the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) and the rise in the price of crude on the international market.

In spite of the jump in inflation, gross domestic product grew by $3.6 \%$ in Brazil, a recovery with respect to 1988 , when the economy had remained virtually stagnant. This improved performance was partially associated with the economic agents' attempt to avert probable losses of assets by buying goods to protect themselves from the persistent decline of the national currency. The growth of GDP was based on the strong expansion in construction (almost $8 \%$ ) and, to a lesser extent, in manufacturing (3\%). Agriculture, for its part, grew only slightly ( $2 \%$ ), despite excellent soya, maize and manioc harvests, which were offset by unfavourable trends in the harvesting of beans, cotton, wheat and rice. The moderate growth in industry represented a recovery compared to the drop recorded in 1988. During the last months of that year, a clear recession in manufacturing activity had begun to appear, as a consequence of the decline in real income that resulted from the sharp price increases that took place in anticipation of a new freeze. Demand was even further weakened by the Summer Plan, owing to the rise in real interest rates. In April, however, a change of direction in industrial activity emerged, stimulated by a growing demand from businesses wanting to restock their inventories. In March, when the monetary authorities reduced interest rates, funds accumulated by individuals in the financial system began to be used for the purchase of
goods. The positive trend in the demand for goods held up after the Summer Plan was dropped, since the new wage policy established in June made it possible for the real income of workers to be maintained. Notwithstanding, demand weakened once again during the last months of 1989 , mainly owing to the sharp acceleration of inflation and uncertainty about the future economic policy.

The return to economic growth, together with the control of inflation and the transfer of less resources abroad, was one of the basic characteristics of the evolution of the economy of Mexico during 1989. Gross domestic product climbed by $3 \%$, with a rising trend as the year progressed, causing the per capita product to increase somewhat after three years of decline. That happened largely because of the favourable expectations resulting from the stabilization strategy, which in turn served as the basis for the negotiations to reduce the burden of the external debt. The agreement reached with the creditor banks was decisive for economic recovery, inasmuch as it made it possible, besides facilitating the increase in imports necessary to expand external trade, to attract more foreign investment and to induce the return of Mexican capital. Private investment -foreign and national- turned out to be the agent that stimulated economic activity despite high domestic interest rates, since public investment remained limited for budgetary reasons. Domestic consumption also showed signs of a slight recovery. However, the various sectors displayed marked differences in their trends. The deepening agricultural crisis stands out in this respect, as a lack of incentives to producers and poor weather conditions adversely affected production. Mining activity, for its part, continued to decline. Manufacturing, on the contrary, recovered sharply, growing by $6 \%$ despite lower tariffs. The most dynamic subsectors were those oriented towards the exterior, such as the in-bond assembly plants and capital-goods production. Construction, on the other hand, which had been sunk in a prolonged recession, recovered slightly.

Colombia's growth rate was over $3 \%$, slightly less than in the previous year, with the per capita product increasing by only $1.4 \%$. This decline, after the significant expansion in

1986 and 1987, was in response to the restrictive policy put into effect at the beginning of the previous year to slow down inflation. The situation was also made much worse by the problems of public disorder associated with the struggle against the illicit drug traffic, which created a climate of uncertainty and had negative repercussions on investments. The restrictive policy had a strong impact especially on industry and construction. The growth rate for most of the industrial branches, which had begun to slacken in the second half of 1988, was negative or below that of the previous year. Only export activities showed a favourable evolution, and external sales offset the contraction of the domestic market. Construction, which had considerably expanded the previous year, was affected by the restrictions imposed at the beginning of the year on the operations of savings and housing institutions. The agricultural sector, in contrast, showed a more favourable evolution (5\%) than the year before, which made it possible to mitigate the negative impact on real income caused by the recession in industry and construction. Mining grew sharply ( $10 \%$ ), thanks to the expansion of the production of petroleum, gold and coal. Petroleum production, however, continued below normal, as a consequence of the attacks against the pipeline and its terminal (see table 6).

Bolivia grew by $2.4 \%$, continuing the moderate recovery begun in 1987. Nevertheless, the per capita product declined slightly. The performance of the different sectors was very uneven. Mining acquired a new impetus ( $16 \%$ ), due to the rationalization of many mining establishments, a growing dynamism in exploiting new minerals and a relative improvement in international prices. Construction continued its solid recovery (7\%), although it remained below the levels attained before the crisis. Agricultural activity, on the other hand, shrunk by some $3 \%$, mainly due to the drought which led to smaller harvests than in the previous season for rice, potatoes, maize and cotton. Soya, however, expanded significantly, owing to an increase of area planted and stimulated by the high prices the previous year on the world market.

In Ecuador, gross domestic product increased hardly at all, after recovering
significantly by $15 \%$ in 1988 , when petroleum transportation was re-established after having been affected by the earthquake of 1987. In 1989, the petroleum sector contracted by $5 \%$, after a strong expansion the previous year, because of the lack of investments in the exploration of new wells and in the recovery of the secondary and tertiary sectors. Non-petroleum activities continued to grow slowly ( $2 \%$ ). The fishing sector fell by $26 \%$, owing to the difficulty of collecting shrimp and extracting seafood products; this caused the agricultural sector, which includes fisheries, to decline ( $-1.6 \%$ ). The agricultural subsector, however, grew by $4.5 \%$, recovering from the contraction of the previous year. This behaviour was mainly due to the increase in the supply of commodities for domestic consumption, except that of rice and wheat. Industry and construction remained depressed, in response to the restrictions imposed on aggregate demand and to the decline in real wages. Services, on the other hand, recorded a moderate rate of expansion.

In Uruguay, the economy grew slightly in 1989 (1\%), following the stagnation of the previous year. That took place within a highly unstable subregional context, the adverse effects of which were added to those of a persistent drought, which affected a good part of the country throughout most of the year. The instability of the neighbouring economies greatly conditioned the Uruguayan economy, with periods of favourable conditions alternating with highly negative situations. Thus, after having obtained satisfactory income from tourism -mainly from Argentina- in the first few months the terms of trade with that country deteriorated rapidly up to the middle of the year, owmg to the pronounced decline of the austral on the open market, leading to a large volume of contraband and border purchases which offset previous earnings. The terms of trade with Brazil deteriorated in the area of services and border trade, due to the greater gap in exchange rates in that country, but was very favourable for Uruguay in the goods trade recorded. This allowed for counteracting the adverse effects stemming from the differences between the official and parallel exchange rates of both neighbouring economies. Most of the increase in economic
activity again originated in the services sector. In particular, the financial system maintained its upward trend, stimulated by offshore operations, and communications grew by over $7 \%$. Construction expanded by $12 \%$, thanks to public investment, since residential construction rose by only $2 \%$, owing to the reduced amount of long-term financing available. At the same time, the persistent drought, which affected a wide region for most of the year, caused significant declines in agricultural production and in the generation of hydroelectricity. Nevertheless, the agricultural sector grew by slightly over $1 \%$, especially owing to the increase in crop yields. Manufacturing contracted for the second year running because of the drop in domestic demand occasioned by the fact that purchases were diverted to Argentina. The rate of unemployment, for its part, fell slightly, continuing the decline observed since 1985.

In Chile, economic activity displayed exceptional growth. Gross domestic product grew by more than $9 \%$, with the per capita product surpassing for the first time, and by a considerable margin, the high point reached before the crisis of 1982-1983. Expansion was widespread. Thus, industry increased by $10 \%$ and fishing, commerce and transport even more. Mining and construction also grew at very high rates while agriculture increased by nearly $5 \%$. The Chilean economy thus achieved its sixth year of recovery and growth, stimulated by a sharp rise in domestic demand, as well as by higher export earnings. The huge expansion of domestic demand was due to a notable recovery of private-sector expenditures, both in consumption and in investment. Influential in this was the sustained growth of economic activity, which had progressively raised the expectations of secure earnings on the part of many economic agents, the beginning of some large investment projects (in mining and the paper and paperboard industries), the delayed effect of the expansionary monetary policy of 1988 and the growth of employment. The expansion in fixed investment was notable (by almost one third), continuing the solid recovery of the last few years.

The gross domestic product of Paraguay grew by over $6 \%$, thus continuing the significant expansion of the preceding two
years. This growth was based mainly on a strong expansion in agriculture of close to $8 \%$. Unprecedented production figures were obtained in the main export sectors, owing partly to excellent weather conditions and partly to an increase in the area planted. In addition, with the exception of rice and sweet potatoes, most commodities for domestic consumption registered large increases in production. The most dynamic livestock sector was that of beef, as a result of the reopening of the European Economic Community market. Industry repeated its good performance of the year before, with iron and steel registering an outstanding increase of $30 \%$.

The economic performances of the Central American countries showed a good deal of disparity among them. Thus, Costa Rica had an outstanding performance with a $5.5 \%$ growth, and Guatemala continued to grow at $3.5 \%$, while Honduras showed less growth ( $2.1 \%$ ). In contrast, recession continued in El Salvador, and Nicaragua again suffered a contraction in its product, with the result that the levels of real product remained $5 \%$ and $12 \%$ respectively below the levels reached at the beginning of the decade. The growth of Central America as a whole amounted to nearly $3 \%$, and the per capita product declined slightly, falling to $17 \%$ below its 1980 level.

The high growth rate of Costa Rica was due to the remarkable expansion of the construction sector, the sustained increase of agricultural production and the continuing dynamism of non-traditional agricultural products for export and the in-bond assembly industry. In spite of the unstable subregional situation, gross capital formation also rose considerably, easily recovering from the contraction observed the year before. Guatemala's growth was mainly due to the sustained expansion in construction, which recorded an increase of $10 \%$ in 1989, stimulated by the strong and continuous growth in gross capital formation and services. Agricultural production accompanied the expansionary trend to a lesser extent, while industrial activities continued their natural growth. Honduras's economic activity decelerated in 1989, causing a negative variation in the per capita product. The October floods damaged zones that produce
bananas and some non-traditional export products. To that was added the unfavourable effects of the drought in the centre and south of the country which affected the first harvests of basic grains.

The recent deepening of the military conflict in El Salvador, which for several weeks largely paralyzed industry and services, contributed to heightening the recessive trends in circumstances in which the level of activity was already recording a slight reduction, as a consequence of the lower volume of coffee exported. Construction was the only sector that expanded significantly. Manufacturing remained at barely the same level, owing to the fact that sales to the Common Market picked up again during the year. Also the agricultural sector remained in a state of stagnation, following the serious decline of the year before. Nicaragua's gross domestic product fell once again, this time by $3 \%$. This was due to the sharp drop in construction ( $-15 \%$ ) and in manufacturing ( $-7 \%$ ), affected by the contractive measures adopted to confront runaway inflation, in the context of the serious economic and political crisis that the country has suffered from for years. Agriculture, in contrast, grew by $3 \%$-following the $10 \%$ drop the previous year- owing to the excellent performance of the export-oriented subsectors. After the collapse recorded in 1988, Panama's economic activity stagnated at that low level, as a consequence of the political conflicts in the international sphere the previous year, which continued having a negative impact on the country. The sector most affected was construction, whose sharp drop $(-26 \%)$ followed the slump of 1988 , when it plummeted to one fifth of its 1980 level. In contrast, manufacturing recovered partially (5\%).

The evolution of economic activity was also generally unsatisfactory in the bigger countries of the Caribbean, even though there were important differences among them. Cuba's economic activity increased less than in the previous year (1\%), with the overall per capita social product stagnating. Added to the enormous difficulties of external financing in freely convertible currencies, which had been present during almost the whole decade, were new problems in the productive sphere, in large
part owing to the fact that the reforms taking place in several socialist countries in Europe have hindered the normal regularity, timeliness and quantity of the supply of materials and equipment from that area. For its part, the gross domestic product of Haiti remained practically stagnant, reducing the per capita product. The two key sectors of the Haitian economy -agriculture and subcontractingdeclined, while industry and construction slightly increased their levels of activity. For its
part, the Dominican Republic grew by over $4 \%$, more than doubling that of the previous year. This recovery was basically due to investment, which rose by $15 \%$. The government has been gradually expanding public investment to stimulate economic recovery. Private investment in turn experienced a strong rebound, mainly in the tourism sector and free zones. The small increase in exports of goods and services was also due to tourist activities and free zones.

Table 4
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROWTH OF TOTAL GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT


Table 5

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROWTH OF PER CAPITA GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT

|  | Annual[growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1981-1989" |
| Latin America and the Caribbean (excluding Cuba) | -4.8 | 12 | 12 | 1.4 | 0.8 | -13 | -0.7 | -7.5 |
| Oil-exporting countries | -6.8 | 02 | -03 | -32 | -0.6 | -0.7 | -2.7 | -13.4 |
| Bolivia | -9.0 | -3.0 | -2.8 | -5.6 | -0.7 |  | -0.4 | -26.6 |
| Ecuador | -3.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.7 | -9.4 | 12.1 | -2.2 | -0.7 |
| Mexico | -6.5 | 1.2 | 0.2 | -6.0 | -0.8 | -1.1 | 0.7 | -9.3 |
| Peru | -14.3 | 2.1 | -0.3 | 6.0 | 5.3 | -10.3 | -13.1 | -24.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 17.9 | -2.2 | -3.3 | -2.0 | -8.8 | -5.6 | -3.4 | -11.8 |
| Venezuela | -8.1 | -4.2 | -2.5 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 3.1 | -10.4 | -23.6 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries (excluding Cuba) | -3.5 | 1.9 | 13 | 4.4 | 1.6 | -1.7 | 0.5 | -4.0 |
| Argentina | 1.2 | 1.0 | -5.7 | 4.6 | 0.8 | -4.0 | -6.1 | -22.1 |
| Bahamas | 1.5 | 5.1 | 3.5 | -0.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 13.7 |
| Barbados | - | 3.2 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 9.7 |
| Belize | -3.9 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 18.8 |
| Brazil | -5.6 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 1.5 | -2.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Colombia | -0.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 14.2 |
| Costa Rica | -0.3 | 4.8 | -2.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.8 | -6.0 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.9 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | -4.8 | 1.4 | - | 30.6 |
| Chile | -4.1 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 8.6 |
| El Salvador | -0.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | -1.1 | 0.8 | -0.9 | -1.6 | -16.6 |
| Guatemala | -5.4 | -2.8 | -3.3 | -2.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | -18.5 |
| Guyana | -11.7 | 0.3 | -0.8 | -1.6 | -1.1 | -4.5 | -6.7 | -35.2 |
| Haiti | -1.2 | -1.4 | -1.4 | -0.9 | -2.1 | -2.1 | -1.4 | -18.5 |
| Honduras | -3.6 | -1.2 | -1.5 | -0.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -1.1 | -12.1 |
| Jamaica | 0.4 | -2.2 | -6.8 | 0.7 | 5.1 | -0.4 | 4.7 | 0.6 |
| Nicaragua | 1.2 | -4.8 | -7.3 | -4.3 | -4.0 | -13.9 | -6.1 | -35.1 |
| Panama | -2.2 | -2.6 | 2.6 | 1.3 | -0.1 | -18.2 | -2.8 | -17.8 |
| Paraguay | -6.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | -3.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| Suriname | -4.8 | -3.3 | -0.3 | -0.1 | -9.3 | -1.3 | 0.7 | -15.9 |
| Dominican Republic | 2.5 | -2.0 | -4.1 | 0.8 | 4.7 | -0.7 | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| Uruguay | -6.6 | -1.9 | -0.4 | 7.2 | 5.8 | -0.4 | 0.7 | -6.5 |
| OECS countries ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 23 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 423 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 4.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 54.5 |
| Dominica | 0.7 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 35.8 |
| Grenada | 0.2 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 36.5 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -1.1 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 43.0 |
| Saint Lucia | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 27.9 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 55.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Refers to total social product. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ OECS $=$ Organization iof Eastern Caribbean States.

Table 6
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT BY BRANCHES OF ECONOMIC ACTTVnY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES (Annual growth rates)

|  | Agriculture |  | Mining and quarrying |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Subtotal (goods) |  | Basic services |  | Other services |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 1.5 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 1.9 | -1.5 | 1.6 | -2.5 | 03 | - | 13 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Argentina | -0.6 | -2.0 | 9.5 | 23 | -6.9 | -7.7 | -14.5 | -31.7 | -5.1 | -7.7 | -0.5 | -4.0 | -1.4 | -2.9 |
| Barbados | -7.1 | 7.4 | -83 | -4.5 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| Bolivia | 0.9 | -3.2 | 20.9 | 15.9 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 12.1 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 23 | -0.7 | 1.0 |
| Brazil | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 3.9 | -3.4 | 3.0 | -3.0 | 7.5 | -2.1 | 3.4 | 63 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 3.0 |
| Colombia | 2.6 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 10.7 | 2.2 | 23 | 8.6 | 13 | 3.0 | 43 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 3.5 |
| Costa Rica | 4.6 | 5.5 |  |  | $2.2{ }^{\text {C }}$ | $53^{\text {e }}$ | 1.6 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.3 | -0.5 | - 22 | $0!9^{\text {e }}$ | 3.2 | 03 | 9.5 | 11.9 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.4 | $-13{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $-1.2{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Chile | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 6.1 | 12.7 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 113 | 6.2 | 7.8 |
| Ecuador | 9.1 | -1.6 | $88.1{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $-5.0^{\text {g }}$ | 4.1 | 0.5 | -8.5 | 1.4 | 33.4 | -2.7 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| El Salvador | -1.0 | 0.2 | 6.8 | - | 3.0 | 0.8 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Guatemala | 4.3 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 33 | 3.6 |
| Guyana | -9.0 | -1.1 | -10.7 | -27.0 | -4.7 | -11.0 | - | -33 | -73 | -7.9 | 4.2 | -6.2 | 1.7 | -13 |
| Haiti | -0.9 | -1.6 | - | 3.8 | -0.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.2 | -0.6 | -0.4 | 6.8 | 33 | -0.2 | 0.9 |
| Honduras | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 16.9 | 8.4 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 1.6 |
| Jamaica | -5.4 | -43 | -4.7 | 37.9 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 14.7 | 193 | 1.2 | 13.2 | 0.5 | 53 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| Mexico | -1.1 | -3.1 | 0.4 | -0.9 | 2.2 | 6.0 | -33 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 33 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 23 |
| Nicaragua | -10.5 | 2.7 | -8.7 | 41.2 | -28.8 | -7.0 | -7.0 | -15.0 | -19.5 | -2.6 | 2.2 | 3.8 | -23 | -4.5 |
| Panama | -7.5 | 2.4 | -37.0 | -29.4 | -23.4 | 53 | -60.7 | -25.8 | -24.4 | 0.5 | -7.8 | -7.1 | -15.9 | 1.5 |
| Paraguay | 12.1 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 9.2 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 53 |
| Peru | 7.9 | -2.9 | -17.2 | 1.7 | -10.4 | -17.8 | -5.5 | -15.9 | -7.2 | -9.5 | -4.6 | -9.6 | -93 | -12.0 |
| Dominican Republic | -13 | 2.2 | -7.0 | 3.5 | -3.6 | 1.8 | 93 | 14.1 | -0.6 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -9.2 | 55.0 | -3.2 | 0.9 | -7.6 | -4.1 | -1.5 | -7.0 | -3.6 | 1.5 | -4.9 | -2.8 | -5.2 | -11.9 |
| Uruguay | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 6.9 | -3.7 | -2.1 | 3.9 | 11.7 | -2.0 | -0.1 | 3.2 | -0.8 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| Venezuela | 4.6 | -5.7 | 5.1 | - | 6.9 | -9.5 | 8.7 | -30.1 | 5.9 | -6.3 | 8.0 | -4.0 | 5.8 | -5.8 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Excluding Cuba.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Including mining and quarrying. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Refers to social product. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Including metallurgy ,
L. productive activities in the non-material sphere. ${ }^{E}$ Excluding oil refining, which official figures include under crude oil extraction.

## IV. TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

## 1. Regional trends

In 1989, the crisis and adjustment processes which the region has been going through in recent years once again took the form of a slow growth rate for the gross domestic product, total supply and domestic availability of goods and services.

Total supply barely rose by $1.6 \%$ in 1989 , the same rate as the year before but much lower than in the period 1984-1987, when supply had increased by approximately $3.5 \%$ annually (see table 7). Average volume of imports expanded by $3.2 \%$, i.e., more than double the growth rate of the product, although in half of the countries volume declined. Indeed, its overall increase was fundamentally due to the extraordinary rise in the imports of Chile, Mexico, Panama, Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic.

The volume of exports, for its part, rose by $3.5 \%$, substantially less than in the two previous years, and this phenomenon was not at all widespread, since volume declined in six countries. As the growth of exports slightly exceeded that of imports, domestic availability of goods and services in the region increased by only $1.2 \%$, somewhat less than the increase in the product. This meant that it barely surpassed its 1980 level, and in per capita terms was $17 \%$ lower than the level of that year.

The increment in domestic demand was based on the weak expansion of consumption (1.9\%), since gross fixed capital formation in the region continued to shrink. In contrast, both variables had contracted the previous year.

Net factor payments to the rest of the world continued to rise in real terms, this year by nearly $4 \%$. However, real gross national income grew somewhat more than the product, by virtue of the partial recovery of the terms of trade. Even so, national per capita income in 1989 was $14 \%$ less than in 1980 and $7 \%$ lower
than the per capita product, as a result of the intensification of external factor payments and the deterioration of the terms of trade which occurred during this time (see table 7).

## 2. Behaviour of demand

The scant growth of the regional product in 1989 was mainly due to the expansion of private consumption, representing one percentage point of the product, and, less importantly, to the slight increase in exports over imports (the region's trade balance contributed positively to the growth rate by 0.3 percentage points). The stimuli for the growth recorded this year differed from those which affected the situation in 1988, when the slower expansion of the product essentially corresponded to the positive trade balance. This time, the driving forces were more similar to those of the recovery period of 1984-1987, although less intense than those registered at that time, when the partial recovery of investment also had a positive effect on growth, which averaged 3.5\% annually (see table 8).

It should be noted that this analysis of the relative contributions of components of demand to changes in the product does not include the effect of variations in the terms of trade, since it is based on the accounting of the real flows that make up final demand and supply and not on the accounting of real income. For this same reason, variations in each of the components of domestic demand do not reflect the real effect of changes in relative prices, which have acquired importance in the current phase of adjustment processes.

The above-mentioned trends in regional aggregates, moreover, conceal very considerable changes in the components of demand in most of the countries. Domestic
demand, expressed in percentage points of GDP, continued to contract in Argentina, again by nearly seven points, influenced in nearly equal parts by the shrinkage of private consumption and the lower investment rate. Domestic demand also fell off -slightly- in Bolivia and Haiti. It continued to plummet in Nicaragua (by another 14 points) and Peru (nearly 19 points); in the first case, however, the decline was concentrated on government consumption while in the second it included all the components of demand. In addition, it fell in Venezuela by more than 20 points ( 15 in private consumption and more than five in investment). In all these cases, the recession in the level of economic activity was attenuated by the positive effect of greater trade balances.

In a number of countries domestic demand again expanded, which mainly resulted in an acceleration in growth, although part of the buoyancy filtered outside the region as a consequence of lower trade balances than the year before. This occurred in Brazil, where domestic demand grew by nearly five points, backed by the expansion of all its components. Something similar occurred in Costa Rica. In Mexico, the expansion that had begun the previous year gathered strength, despite the contraction of government consumption. Also in the Dominican Republic, the domestic demand began to expand again, since the sharp reduction in government consumption was amply offset by the vitality of private consumption and, especially, of investment. In Uruguay, on the other hand, there was a modest recovery, based equally on both private and public consumption. In Panama, the sharp, widespread contraction during the previous year was followed by a recovery of private consumption (over six points) which was, however, neutralized by the steady drop in the other components of domestic demand and by the worsening of the trade balance (see table 8).

On the other hand, in other countries the momentum of domestic demand weakened. In Colombia, the vigorous expansion during the previous year slowed down to less than two percentage points of the product, owing to the increment in private consumption and the steady rise in government consumption; thus, unlike the previous year, the level of economic
activity was sustained as a result of the significant increase in net exports ( 1.5 points). A similar phenomenon occurred in Paraguay, where only half of the considerable increase in the product is attributable to the expansion of domestic demand. In Guatemala also, growth shifted to the external sector, since domestic demand was maintained solely on the basis of the increase ( 1.4 points) in investment. In Ecuador and Honduras, domestic demand virtually stagnated. In the former case, this result was due to the sustained contraction in public consumption and investment, which counteracted the moderate expansion of private consumption. In the latter case, on the other hand, it was due to the contraction of private consumption.

Only Chile and El Salvador recorded a greater expansion in domestic demand than in 1988. In the former, this increase rose to nearly 12 percentage points of the product (compared to 9 points the previous year), of which more than 8 corresponded to private consumption and 3.3 to investment. In the latter country, all the components of domestic demand expanded, bringing the growth rate to nearly six points; however, the deterioration in the trade balance kept the level of activity at a near standstill (see table 8).

## 3. Liberalization, transfers abroad and changes in the structure of demand

The adjustment processes set in motion by the countries of the region in the 1980s have significantly modified the structure of demand. For the present, external demand has taken on greater importance. Thus, the share of exports of goods and services in the region's product rose by nearly five percentage points between 1981, the year prior to the crisis, and 1989, when it reached $21 \%$. On the other hand, the share of imports has been reduced -both by contraction and by substitution- by nearly five points during the same period, falling to $12.5 \%$ of the product in 1989, even after the slow recovery that took place between 1983 (when the coefficient fell to $11 \%$ ) and last year (see table 9).

Both the increase in exports and the reduction in imports have been fairly
widespread phenomena in the region (see figure 5). The export coefficient has risen thus far in the decade in 11 of the 19 countries for which data are available, including the three biggest economies in the region. The rise was higher than five points in nearly all of them, while in Brazil it was somewhat less than this figure and in Colombia it was only two points. In the eight remaining countries -Central America (except Costa Rica), Bolivia, Haiti and Peru- this coefficient has remained stable or has dropped. The decline in imports has been not only universal but also significant, amounting to four points or more in eight countries.

This increase in exports and reduction in imports has significantly changed the region's trade balance, which rose from practically zero in 1981 to a positive figure equivalent to almost nine percentage points of the product in 1989. A good part (nearly five points) of the purchasing power provided by this greater trade balance has been absorbed by the deterioration in the terms of trade which occurred between 1981 and 1989. To this must be added the net factor payments to the rest of the world, which have remained above $4 \%$ of the regional product. In short, in 1989 Latin America and the Caribbean have transferred current resources (at constant 1980 prices) representing almost $10 \%$ of their product, through unfavourable terms of trade and factor payments (mainly interest payments) to the rest of the world. Consequently, real gross national income is lower than the real product by this same amount (see table 9).

A large part of this greater external transfer of current resources was financed by a reduction in final consumption, whose share of the product declined to $75 \%$. Per capita consumption remained stagnant in 1989, continuing at a $10 \%$ lower level than that of 1980, as a result of the drops recorded in previous years. In fact, between 1981 and 1989, private per capita consumption shrank in nearly all the countries of the region; in some, such as Uruguay, Honduras, El Salvador, Dominican Republic, Costa Rica and Guatemala, between $15 \%$ and $20 \%$; in others, including Haiti, Bolivia, Argentina, Venezuela and Nicaragua, by more than $20 \%$. Colombia is the only country where private per
capita consumption grew significantly (see figure 6).

However, two thirds of the turnaround in current transfer payments since the beginning of the crisis were financed by a sharp drop in investment. The latter again declined in 1989, bringing the share of fixed gross investment in the regional product down to $16.2 \%$ (see table9).

## 4. Investment and its financing

The persistence in 1989 of a low regional investment coefficient with respect to the product -as has been recorded since 1983results from opposing trends, however. Argentina, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru and Venezuela showed significant reductions in their investment coefficients. On the other hand, Costa Rica, Chile, Guatemala and Mexico raised the share of investment in the product significantly (in the case of Chile, by more than 1.5 points) (see table 10 ).

Thus, only a few countries managed to reestablish, in 1987-1989, the same or higher ratios of gross fixed investment to the product as in 1979-1981, namely, the Dominican Republic (the only country that significantly exceeded that level), Haiti, Nicaragua, Chile and El Salvador. At the other extreme, countries such as Argentina, Panama and Uruguay have reduced their investment coefficients to half or less than half of what they were in 1979-1981, whereas Bolivia, Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru and Venezuela still maintain investment coefficients between $25 \%$ and $50 \%$ below those registered in that period (see figure 7).

Although investment remained low, domestic saving continued at a high level in 1989. Despite a slight decline, its share of the product hovered near $25 \%$, practically the same level as the five-year peak reached in 1971-1975. Gross national saving was also maintained at a level of nearly $16 \%$ of the product. External saving, on the other hand, dropped from $1.2 \%$ to $0.7 \%$ of the product (see table 11).

The difference between the high level of domestic saving and the low investment rate corresponds to the loss of income attributable

Figure 5
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: EXPORTS AND IMPORTS AS PERCENTAGES OF GROSS DOMESTC PRODUCTS



Sane: ECLAC, an fw basa of official figures.
a Countries ore listed In the order of their export coefficients for the period 1979-1981.

Figure 6
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PER CAPITA PRIVATE CONSUMPTION (Cumulative percentage variation 1981-1989)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

Figure 7
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS FIXED CAPITAL INVESTMENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCTa

## D Average 1979-1981 0 Average 1987-1989

```
    Paraguay W///////////S/////M^^^
Venezuela 5íS*ísí5í$í$$Síi<í15ííííN$5íí$$$5$$$$ií
```



```
    Panama W///////////W//////////////&.
        Peru v///////^^////////////////\/////////////А.
Costa Rica V//////////M/////M^^^^
Dominican V//////////////////////////////////M^^^
Republic
        Ecuador v///////////////y////y//y/////////m^
        LATIN W///////////y////////////////jW7^77?L.
        AMERICA.
            V/////y////////////////////////////7^77^.
            Brazil
            V;;////M;M/S///////M//////////;^^^^
    Argentina
            V/////A W/////////////////k.
```



```
    Nicaragua w//////////////////^^^^^^
Guatemala W;;//M//;//S///;;;;;;;;;/;;/;A-
```



```
    Chile V/////////////////////////////////Z\^^
    Uruguay Y////////////////İZZ2ZZ2^
    Bolivia W/////////////^7P7777L.
El Salvador 100^^00M000000ifl^
    % 12 12 16 
```

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures. a Countries are listed in the order of their Investment coefficients for the period 1979-1981.
to the deterioration in the terms of trade since 1980, higher net payments of interest and profits and the virtual collapse of net flows of external saving. Indeed, the worsening of the terms of trade, which represents more than $5 \%$ of the real product, absorbs over one fifth of the domestic saving effort in real terms. In addition, flows of interest and profits to the rest of the world continue to account for another
$17 \%$ of gross domestic saving. Thus, some $40 \%$ of domestic saving is channelled outside the region, which explains why, in the absence of a significant inflow of capital, domestic saving rose to over $50 \%$ higher than gross capital formati on in 1989. This represents an unmistakable sign of how the crisis not only has affected living standards but also has compromised future growth.

Table 7
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TOTAL SUPPLY, DOMESTIC DEMAND AND GROSS NATIONAL INCOME ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indexes } \\ (1980=100) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  | Cumulative variation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1981-1989 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1. Total supply $(2+3)$ | 1053 | 107.0 | 108.7 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 8.7 |
| 2. Gross domestic product at market prices | 110.3 | 111.2 | 112.8 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 12.7 |
| 3. Imports of goods and services | 75.9 | 81.9 | 84.5 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 3.2 | -15.5 |
| 4. Exports of goods and services | 137.7 | 149.6 | 154.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 3.5 | 54.8 |
| 5. Domestic availability of goods and services $(2+3-4)=$ domestic demand (6+7) | 100.5 | 100.6 | 101.8 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| 6. Final consumer expenditure ${ }^{0}$ | 107.7 | 107.5 | 109.5 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 1.7 | -0.2 | 1.9 | 9.5 |
| 7. Gross fixed capital formation | 81.1 | 80.6 | 80.3 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 2.7 | -0.7 | -0.3 | -19.7 |
| 8. Net factor payments to rest of world | 166.3 | 170.7 | 177.4 | -4.1 | -3.6 | -11.2 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 77.4 |
| 9. Real gross national income (2-8) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 103.0 | 103.4 | 105.1 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | $\underline{0.4}$ | 1.7 | 5.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nineteen countries. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures. 'Includes variation in stocks. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Also includes terms-of-trade effect.

Table 8
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CONTRIBUTIONS TO CHANGES IN TOTAL SUPPLY
(Average annual percentage variations in GDP)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Country } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { period } \end{gathered}$ | Private consumption ${ }^{8}$ | Government consumption | Gross fixed investment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Domes- } \\ & \text { tic } \\ & \text { demand } \end{aligned}$ | Exports of goods and services | Total demand | Total supply |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gross domestic product | Imports of goods and services |
| Latin America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -2.0 | 0.2 | -2.3 | -4.1 | 0.8 | -3.3 | -1.3 | -2.0 |
| 1984-1987 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 1988 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1989 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Argentina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -3.9 | -0.5 | -3.4 | -7.8 | 1.4 | -6.4 | -3.4 | -3.0 |
| 1984-1987 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | -0.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| 1988 | -4.6 | - | -2.1 | -6.6 | 2.8 | -3.8 | -2.8 | -1.0 |
| 1989 | -3.7 | - | -3.0 | -6.8 | 0.3 | -6.4 | -4.9 | -1.5 |
| Bolivia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -2.3 | 0.6 | -1.4 | -3.1 | -1.2 | -4.3 | -3.3 | -1.0 |
| 1984-1987 | 2.2 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 1.7 | -0.7 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 1.4 |
| 1988 | -3.6 | -0.5 | 0.2 | -3.9 | 0.7 | -3.2 | 2.8 | -6.0 |
| 1989 | -1.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 |
| Brazil 0.0 e 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -2.4 | 0.1 | -2.4 | -4.7 | 0.9 | -3.8 | -2.4 | -1.4 |
| 1984-1987 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 0.1 |
| 1988 | -1.3 | -0.1 | -0.7 | -2.2 | 2.0 | -0.2 |  | -0.2 |
| 1989 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 4.9 | -0.2 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 11 |
| Colombia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 3.3 | -1.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| 1984-1987 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 5.0 | -0.2 |
| 1988 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 5.0 | - | 5.0 | 3.7 | 1.3 |
| 1989 | 1.5 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 33 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| Costa Rica -5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -5.1 | -0.7 | -33 | -9.1 | 1.9 | -7.1 | -2.3 | -4.8 |
| 1984-1987 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| 1988 | 0.4 | 0.5 | -0.5 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 1989 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 12.1 | 5.5 | 6.7: |
| Chile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -4.5 | -0.3 | -2.1 | -6.8 | 0.4 | -6.4 | -3.1 | -3.3 |
| 1984-1987 | 1.9 | -0.1 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 1.3 |
| 1988 | 6.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 8.8 | 1.6 | 10.5 | 7.4 | 3.1 |
| 1989 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 11.7 | 2.9 | 14.6 | 9.4 | 5.2 |
| Ecuador 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -2.4 | -2.6 | 0.4 | -2.2 | 1.2 | -3.4 |
| 1984-1987 | 1.9 | -0.3 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 2.6 |
| 1988 | 4.2 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 9.3 | 15.0 | -5.7 |
| 1989 | 1.0 | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.5 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | -0.1 |
| El Salvador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -3.1 | 0.2 | -1.2 | -4.2 | -1.7 | -5.9 | -4.5 | -1.4 |
| 1984-1987 | -0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 |
| 1988 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.7 | -4.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | -0.8 |
| 1989 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.7 | -4.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Guatemala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981-1983 | -1.5 | 0.1 | -1.7 | -3.1 | -1.5 | -4.6 | -1.7 | -2.9 |
| 1984-1987 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 1988 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 0.8 |
| 1989 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 15 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 2.0 |



Table 9
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: RELATIVE SHARES OF COMPONENTS OF GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT AND OF GROSS NATIONAL INCOME, At 1980 MARKET PRICES ${ }^{\text {a }}$

$$
(G D P=100)
$$

|  | Total <br> final <br> consumer <br> expend- <br> iture | Gross <br> fixed <br> capital <br> formation | Domestic <br> demand | Exports <br> of goods <br> and <br> services | Imports <br> of goods <br> and <br> services | Terms <br> of-trade <br> effect | Net <br> factor <br> payments <br> to rest <br> of world | Real <br> gross <br> national <br> income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1971-1975$ | 76.4 | 20.7 | 97.1 | 17.6 | 14.7 | -4.1 | 1.9 | 94.0 |
| $1976-1980$ | 77.9 | 22.6 | 100.5 | 15.2 | 15.7 | -0.9 | 2.3 | 96.8 |
| 1981 | 78.5 | 22.5 | 101.0 | 16.2 | 17.2 | -0.8 | 3.9 | 95.5 |
| 1982 | 77.5 | 20.0 | 97.5 | 16.6 | 14.1 | -2.7 | 5.5 | 91.9 |
| 1983 | 76.2 | 16.5 | 92.7 | 18.4 | 11.1 | -3.1 | 53 | 91.7 |
| 1984 | 76.3 | 15.9 | 92.2 | 19.2 | 11.3 | -2.6 | 5.5 | 92.1 |
| 1985 | 76.0 | 16.2 | 92.2 | 18.8 | 11.0 | -3.3 | 5.1 | 91.8 |
| 1986 | 76.2 | 16.8 | 93.0 | 18.3 | 11.3 | -4.9 | 4.7 | 90.6 |
| 1987 | 75.7 | 16.7 | 92.4 | 19.1 | 11.5 | -5.2 | 4.1 | 91.0 |
| 1988 | 75.3 | 16.5 | 91.7 | 20.6 | 12.3 | -5.6 | 4.2 | 90.5 |
| $1989^{\text {c }}$ | 75.3 | 16.2 | 91.5 | 21.0 | 12.5 | -5.3 | 4.3 | 90.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nineteen countries. Includes variation in stocks. Preliminary figures.

Table 10
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: INVESTMENT COEFFICIENTS
(Gross fixed investment as a percentage of gross domestic product)

|  | 1980 | 1982 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latin America and <br> the Caribbean | 22.8 | 20.0 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 16.5 | 16.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 22.2 | 15.2 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 11.3 | 8.8 |
| Bolivia | 14.3 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 10.1 |
| Brazil | 22.9 | 19.6 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 19.1 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 17.9 |
| Colombia | 16.8 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 15.2 |
| Costa Rica | 23.9 | 14.4 | 17.7 | 18.5 | 19.7 | 20.7 | 19.5 | 20.5 |
| Chile | 16.7 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 17.6 |
| Ecuador | 23.7 | 21.1 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 16.7 | 13.9 | 13.6 |
| El Salvador | 13.6 | 12.7 | 11.6 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 14.9 |
| Guatemala | 16.5 | 16.2 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 13.8 |
| Haiti | 17.3 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 21.2 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 18.6 |
| Honduras | 24.3 | 15.7 | 22.3 | 20.0 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 15.5 |
| Mexico | 24.8 | 22.2 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 16.9 | 17.4 |
| Nicaragua | 14.6 | 18.1 | 18.8 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 17.5 |
| Panama | 24.4 | 26.3 | 19.1 | 19.5 | 20.6 | 20.3 | 11.5 | 9.4 |
| Paraguay | 27.3 | 24.3 | 20.1 | 19.4 | 20.1 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 16.7 |
| Peru | 23.5 | 25.5 | 18.2 | 15.8 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 18.2 | 16.2 |
| Dominican Republic | 23.7 | 17.9 | 19.8 | 19.0 | 20.5 | 26.5 | 28.4 | 31.5 |
| Uruguay | 16.8 | 15.2 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 8.7 |
| Venezuela | 25.3 | 25.6 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 17.9 | 17.4 | 17.9 | 13.6 |

[^5]Table 11

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: FINANCING OF GROSS CAPITAL FORMATION

1975" 1980 " $1981 \quad 198219831984 \quad 1985 \quad 1986 \quad 1987 \quad 1988$ 1989a
Coefficients in respect of gross domestic product

| 1. Gross domestic savings | 24.9 | 23.0 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 23.6 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 24.4 | 25.2 | 24.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Net external factor <br> payments | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| 3. Unrequited private external <br> transfer payments | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 4. Terms-of-trade <br> effect | -4.1 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -2.7 | -3.1 | -2.6 | -3.3 | -4.9 | -5.2 | -5.6 | -5.3 |
| 5. Gross national |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| savings $(1-2+3+4)$ | 19.0 | 19.8 | 18.0 | 14.7 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| 6. External savings | 3.1 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 7. Gross capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| formation $(5+6)$ | 22.1 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 20.3 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 16.3 |

Domestic savings/
gross capital
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { formation } & 112.9 & 98.0 & 96.2 & 112.3 & 146.8 & 150.3 & 147.6 & 142.7 & 145.2 & 149.1 & 152.1\end{array}$
Deterioration in terms of
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { trade/domestic savings } & 16,5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 11.8 & 13.5 & 11.0 & 13.6 & 20.9 & 21.3 & 22.2 & 21.4\end{array}$
Net external factor payments/
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { domestic savings } & 7.6 & 10.0 & 17.3 & 24.1 & 23.1 & 23.3 & 21.1 & 20.1 & 16.8 & 16.7 & 17.3\end{array}$
Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ At constant 1980 prices.

## V. INFLATION

Inflation surged in 1989 for the third year running. Although only nine countries experienced inflationary spirals, the majority of these were among the most heavily populated countries of the region and, as a result, the average increase in consumer prices as weighted by population jumped from the already strikingly high rate of $760 \%$ in 1988 to nearly $1200 \%$ in 1989 , and, in most cases, real wages suffered accordingly. On the other hand, in another six countries the rate of inflation dropped significantly, while in the remaining four the variations in the rate of price increases were slight (see table 12). Large increases were seen in almost all the countries in which high inflation is chronic, whereas inflation slowed considerably in those where it had only recently become a problem and in which stabilization programmes were already under way. In the remaining countries, i.e., those which customarily have moderate or low rates of inflation, the results were mixed, but the predominant trend was upward; nonetheless, the basic pattern of inflation did not change significantly in almost any of these countries.

Among the countries which chronically suffer from high inflation, ${ }^{1}$ four experienced inflationary surges which raised their rates to four digits. The largest increase occurred in Argentina, where the annual rate skyrocketed from $390 \%$ in 1988 to a record level of almost $5000 \%$; this figure was largely a result of the
uncontrolled rates seen during the first half of the year, prior to the implementation of a new stabilization programme in July. Inflation also hit new all-time highs in Peru and Brazil, where it reached $2800 \%$ and $1800 \%$, respectively. In Nicaragua, however, whose hyperinflationary process had brought the rate up to $43000 \%$ for the 12 months ending in January, the Draconian stabilization programme launched early in the year held inflation down to an annualized rate of around $400 \%$ during the second half of 1989. Overall, however, because of the sharp increases recorded in the first quarter, prices rose by $1700 \%$ during the year. Finally, although in Uruguay inflation did not reach the extremes observed in the other four countries in this group, the rate nonetheless followed a distinctly upward trend, rising to nearly $90 \%$ (see figures 8 and 9 ).

In the countries in which high inflation is a more recent phenomenon, the rates of price increases showed clear signs of moving downward. Although the annual rate was over $80 \%$ in Venezuela (a record high), monthly rates declined following the initial jump that occurred when prices were deregulated as part of the stabilization plan early in the year. In Ecuador, the programme to fight inflation which had been launched in August 1988 was successful in lowering inflation from $86 \%$ in 1988 to monthly rates on the order of $2.5 \%$, for an overall rate of increase of $54 \%$ in 1989. For

[^6]Figure 8
MONTHLY VARIATIONS IN THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX IN ARGENTINA, BRAZIL, NICARAGUA AND PERU


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
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## LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): TWELVE-MONTH VARIATIONS IN THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX




Source: ECLAC. on the baste of official flgureB.
its part, Mexico, where the rate had been $52 \%$ in 1988 and over $160 \%$ in 1987, reduced its inflation to $20 \%$. Finally, in the Dominican Republic inflation dropped from $58 \%$ to $41 \%$, although this was still high in comparison to its historical pattern in that country (see figure 10).

In those countries which have had a moderate inflationary pattern, the annual rates of price increases ranged from $10 \%$ to $30 \%$, but followed differing trends. Although these are relatively moderate rates within the regional context, they represent a persistent inflationary process in some countries which had enjoyed relatively stable prices until the 1980s (including Costa Rica, El Salvador and Guatemala). Significant increases were seen in four of these countries: Paraguay (from 17\% to $29 \%$ ), Chile (from 13\% to 21\%), El Salvador (from $18 \%$ to $24 \%$ ), and Guatemala (from $11 \%$ to $20 \%$ ). Two other countries experienced sizeable decreases: Bolivia (from $22 \%$ to $17 \%$ ) arid Costa Rica (from $25 \%$ to $10 \%$ ), while in Colombia inflation slowed slightly but nonetheless remained above $25 \%$ (see figures 11 and 12).

Finally, among those countries which have traditionally had low inflation, Haiti and Honduras registered rates of over $10 \%$ in 1989, while Panama continued to exhibit the price stability which has been characteristic of that country in recent years. In fact, in 1989 its rate was even below the international rate of inflation, since prices remained virtually unchanged as a result of the serious economic and liquidity crisis in the country. Very low rates of inflation were also recorded in a number of small Caribbean countries, as will be discussed in section IX of Part One (see table 12).

## 1. Inflationary surges and stabilization efforts

In 1989 stabilization programmes were instituted in the four countries which
experienced rampant inflation. The most far-reaching of these initiatives were those of Nicaragua, which launched its programme early in the year, and of Argentina, which implemented its plan midway through 1989. The monthly rates of price increases plunged from over $100 \%$ to less than $10 \%$ in these countries, although in Argentina prices began to spiral upward once again in mid-December. Brazil embarked upon a new stabilization plan in January which was similar to the programmes implemented in 1986 and 1987. This initiative was successful in bringing inflation down to less than $10 \%$ per month between March and May, but in June the rate sped up again and Brazil ended the year with a monthly rate of over $50 \%$. Peru also managed to reduce its monthly inflation from $45 \%$ in the first four months of the year to $25 \%$ from May onward; however, this was chiefly a result of the progressively greater lag in controlled prices and charges, the drop in real wages and the recession affecting the country.

During the first half of the year Argentina experienced a burst of inflation which raised the rate from $390 \%$ as of the end of 1988 to nearly $4000 \%$ in August 1989. The year had begun with monthly rates of under $10 \%$, but in February the country's protracted fiscal and external imbalances as well as the increasingly volatile expectations prompted by the approach of national elections began to exert overpowering pressures on the exchange rate. The steep decrease in reserves occasioned by the rush to convert domestic assets into foreign exchange led to explosive increases in the exchange rate. In its turn, this virtually uncontrolled surge in the exchange rate (which rose to between 12 and 25 times the original rate in the first half of the year) ushered in an acceleration of price increases, and the monthly rate climbed from $33 \%$ in April to $79 \%$ in May and to $115 \%$ in June. As a result, real money holdings shrank, real transactions plummeted, the level of economic activity dropped off sharply and real wages tumbled
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
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(falling by more than $20 \%$ in the first half of the year).

At the beginning of July the new authorities announced a stabilization programme based on the following elements: a) a reduction of the fiscal deficit in the short term, to be accomplished by means of increases of up to $600 \%$ in public rates and charges, a sustained restriction of wages and public investment, and the suspension of $50 \%$ of the tax benefits provided for by industrial promotion schemes and of the reimbursement of export subsidies; b) the announcement of more permanent measures for restructuring the public sector, including tax reforms and the privatization of a wide range of State enterprises; c) the establishment of a fixed exchange rate following a sharp initial adjustment of $116 \%$; and d) the negotiation with a group of large firms of a pricing agreement under which these companies would, following a large initial increase, refrain from readjusting their prices for 90 days while steps were taken to ensure that the wage increases negotiated by means of collective bargaining did not exceed government guidelines.

Despite the steep increases which were initially sparked by these measures $(200 \%$ in July and, because of statistical carry-overs, $38 \%$ in August), the programme brought about a favourable change in expectations. The nominal interest rate fell immediately; the gap between the parallel and official exchange rates was eliminated; the conversion of foreign exchange was sped up, which helped the country to rebuild its depleted reserves; and the monthly rate of inflation dropped to $9 \%$ in September and to about $6 \%$ in October and November. However, the perception of the tax reforms as being controversial and uncertainty as to whether the fiscal adjustment would be sufficient to curb the growth of the domestic debt, in combination with an expanding money supply which may have been beginning to outstrip demand, caused the gap between the (frozen) official exchange rate and the parallel rate to widen to nearly $60 \%$ by the beginning of December.

The persistence of this gap spurred growing expectations of a devaluation and of the inflationary flare-ups such a step would entail, and in mid-December the authorities
responded by making a further adjustment in relative prices: the exchange rate was raised by over $50 \%$; gasoline prices and public rates and charges were increased by around $60 \%$; wages were hiked by 34000 australes per month in the public sector and by 24000 australes in the private sector; and a portion of the domestic public debt was rescheduled over a two-year term. These measures immediately prompted an adverse reaction on the part of the public. There was a steep drop in deposits, which fled to real assets and foreign exchange, with the result that -despite the sharp devaluation- the gap between the parallel and official exchange rates remained above $50 \%$. This situation obliged the authorities to take additional steps during the final week of the year, including the unification of foreign currency markets, and to resort to measures never before used in the country, such as that of freezing bank deposits.

In Peru, inflation shot up in 1989 from the already unusually high rate of $1700 \%$ as of the end of 1988 to $5700 \%$ in August 1989, which was the highest rate ever recorded in the country's history. Thereafter the rate began to decline, but the increase in the consumer price index for 1989 nonetheless amounted to $2800 \%$. As a consequence of this hyperinflationary episode and of the economic contraction which ensued, real wages plummeted by $50 \%$.

The inflationary spiral was fueled by the drastic adjustments made during the final four months of 1988, which included: a) a sharp devaluation of the inti in order to forestall the depletion of the country's reserves; and b) huge increases in the prices and rates charged for goods and services produced by public enterprises and in controlled prices, as well as deep cuts in many fiscal subsidies -especially those applying to food and fuels- in an attempt to reduce the fiscal deficit. The initial direct effect of these corrective measures was a steep increase in prices; moreover, as a result of growing skepticism as to the continuity of economic policy, the expectations of economic agents exerted an upward pressure that carried over these initial effects into the following months. The outcome was that between January and April the average monthly increase in the consumer price index amounted to $45 \%$.

From May onward, however, the monthly rate slowed to $25 \%$. Some of the factors which helped to bring about this decrease were the progressively greater lag in controlled prices and charges, the prolonged downward slide of wages and the ongoing economic recession. Late in the year, however, upward pressures were generated once again by the increase in the flow of credit to the public sector, which boosted the expansion of the money supply, and the lowering of interest rates, which discouraged investment in financial instruments. The combined effect of these policies put pressure on the parallel exchange rate, which trebled between September and early December. The impact of this latter factor was temporarily counteracted, however, when the authorities re-applied the official exchange rate to imports of inputs.

Brazil experienced four-digit inflation for the first time in its history as the pace of price increases soared from $933 \%$ as of the end of 1988 to an annual rate of almost $1800 \%$ in 1989. The year opened with the launching of the Summer Plan, which was the government's third stabilization effort in four years. This plan, as had others before it, provided for an across-the-board freeze on prices and wages, following initial corrective adjustments (particularly of the exchange rate and public rates and charges) and the elimination of indexation mechanisms. Unlike the stabilization plans of 1986 and 1987, it also included the introduction of a tight monetary policy which pushed the real interest rate up to $15 \%$ per month. Following corrective monthly increases of around $30 \%$ during the first two months, the monthly increase in the consumer price index remained below $10 \%$ until May.

Starting in June, however, inflation returned with a vengeance. One of the reasons for this was that the authorities' inability to win the legislature's backing for its fiscal restructuring proposal -which included the elimination of a number of public agencies, staff cuts, the privatization of State companies and the limitation of government outlays to the amount of funds on hand- raised doubts among economic agents as to the fulfilment of the Summer Plan. Another factor was that although at first the high real interest rate helped to discourage spending, this policy was
untenable in the long run because it raised public expenditures on real interest payments -i.e., above and beyond monetary correctionon the domestic debt to levels that were virtually impossible to finance ( $5 \%$ of GDP). Finally, the fact that the exchange rate was frozen for over three months during a period of rampant inflation caused the parallel exchange rate to rise to more than twice the official rate.

This build-up of pressures obliged the authorities to lift the price freeze in June. The currency was devalued by $12 \%$ and indexation mechanisms were reintroduced, with provision being made for periodic readjustments of most contracts, the exchange rate, wages, and public rates and charges. Inflation rebounded to $25 \%$ in June and to $29 \%$ in July and August. Then, as the elections approached and uncertainty grew, an agreement was reached with the major firms to limit price readjustments for 135 products to $90 \%$ of the increase in prices of the preceding month in order to ward off an inflationary spiral. Although these measures did slow the increase in the rate of inflation, it accelerated again in November, reaching 54\% in December.

Nicaragua began the year in a state of outright hyperinflation; indeed, the rate was the highest ever recorded in Latin America: $43000 \%$ in the 12 months ending in January 1989, which signified an average monthly rate of over $60 \%$ during 1988. This skyrocketing rate of inflation was a consequence of the country's deepening fiscal and monetary deficits, which stemmed, in their turn, from the enormous subsidies that were being provided -especially in the form of credit at highly negative real interest rates- and from the fact that the rising rate of inflation itself sharply reduced real tax receipts by heightening the losses occasioned by the unavoidable lag in tax collection.

Early in 1989, as the only available option for quelling the hyperinflationary spiral, the authorities implemented a new stabilization programme to curb money creation and close the exchange rate gap. Some of the main measures provided for by the programme were the following: a Draconian cut in public expenditures, including defence spending; an aggressive policy of readjustments in State-regulated prices; a steep increase in
interest rates to bring them up to highly positive real levels; the elimination of the main credit subsidies, including those which benefited State marketing enterprises; and a sharp devaluation in January together with periodic devaluations thereafter, which raised the real exchange rate substantially and kept it high, thereby virtually closing the gap between the parallel and official exchange rates.

Except for a strong inflationary flare-up in June and July -owing to another large devaluation in June (110\%)- the monthly rate of price increases has been reduced dramatically, although it is still far from being arrested, since the monthly rate of inflation has continued to be around $15 \%$. Furthermore, the programme has been accompanied by downturns in economic activity, except as regards the production of primary goods, and by decreases in employment and real wages in the public sector.

## 2. Stabilization processes under way

Inflation slowed in 1989, although at differing monthly rates, in a number of countries which have managed to sustain the stabilization processes on which they have embarked fairly recently, following episodes of spiraling inflation during the 1980s. The most striking reduction was in Mexico, where inflation fell from $52 \%$ in 1988 to less than $20 \%$ in 1989. Considerable decreases in inflation were also seen in Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic and Ecuador, thanks to their stabilization plans of earlier years. Bolivia achieved a slight reduction as it continued to pursue the stabilization effort it had initiated towards the end of 1985, when it had found itself on the verge of hyperinflation. In Venezuela price increases dropped to $3 \%$ per month or less during the second half of 1989 , after having shot up during the first six months as a consequence of the introduction of an adjustment programme at the start of the year. Even so, however, its rate of inflation in 1989 was far more than double the 1988 rate.

In Mexico, the monthly rate of inflation was generally below $1.5 \%$, which brought the annual rate down to $20 \%$. This decrease was
the outcome of an anti-inflation pact which had been implemented late in 1987 (when annual inflation had reached $159 \%$ ) and which was broadened and modified during 1988. The changes made in 1988 primarily consisted of adjusting some prices and charges which had been lagging behind, allowing a gradual adjustment of the exchange rate on a daily basis in order to forestall an overvaluation of the peso, and readjusting wages in order to mitigate the erosion of their purchasing power. Fiscal austerity measures were also strengthened, with the result that the primary surplus expanded to around 7\% of GDP. Nevertheless, the sharp rise in the domestic interest rate -in both nominal and real termswhich had occurred since the end of the preceding year raised the Treasury's financial expenses, thereby pressuring it to increase its operating deficit and endangering the stabilization effort. A decisive factor in preventing this outcome was the confidence in the stability of the currency which was produced by the conclusion of an agreement with the country's creditor banks in July. This led to a steep drop in the domestic interest rate and thereby provided the Treasury with considerable relief in terms of its financial burden, since interest payments on the domestic debt alone amounted to $4 \%$ of GDP. Consequently, the public sector's financial deficit is estimated to have fallen from $12 \%$ of GDP in 1988 to $7 \%$ in 1989. Finally, in early December an agreement was reached to extend the pact made by the government, entrepreneurs and trade unions up to July 1990.

A marked reduction in inflation also occurred in Costa Rica, where in the space of one year the rate fell from $25 \%$ to $10 \%$, which was quite close to the stabilization programme's target figure for 1989. One of the factors that helped to make this possible was the authorities' tight monetary policy, which, given the financing requirements of the public sector, had the effect of raising the interest rate. Another was that, because the real exchange rate had risen unusually rapidly in 1988, the authorities were able to slow the pace of devaluations during 1989, which in turn made it possible to curb price increases without widening the narrow gap which existed between the official and parallel exchange rates.

Bolivia continued to pursue the stabilization scheme which it had launched in late 1985 in order to deal with a hyperinflationary situation (the increase in prices during the 12 months ending in September of that year had totalled $23500 \%$ ) and which had lowered its annual inflation rate to $11 \%$ in 1987. However, a flare-up of inflation in 1988 (22\%) made it necessary for the authorities to implement a tight money and wage policy once again in 1989. This policy was successful in bringing down the rate of inflation, and the increase in the price index during the first seven months of the year was just $3 \%$. However, the adjustment of some price lags -especially an increase of about $20 \%$ in fuel prices in August- and the faster pace of devaluation in the third quarter pushed up prices by almost $11 \%$ between August and November. Even so, the country's annual rate of inflation fell to under $17 \%$.

In Ecuador inflation began to decline significantly, thanks to the stabilization programme introduced in August 1988. Although corrections made in the exchange rate and lagging prices caused inflation to rise during the first months of the plan's implementation (in March 1989 it reached a record annual rate of nearly $100 \%$ ), it then began to decrease steadily in April. In fact, the rate for 1989 fell to $54 \%$ (a 30-point drop from its 1988 level), and the average monthly rate of inflation during the second half of the year was on the order of $3 \%$.

This reduction was chiefly the result of the reduction of the fiscal deficit and greater monetary control, which were made possible by the normalization of petroleum-related activities, adjustments in the rates charged for most public services, and private economic agents' expectations of a decline in inflation.

In the Dominican Republic, the annual rate of price increases declined to slightly more than $40 \%$. As the impact of the adjustments made in relative prices in 1988 became diluted, inflation -which had surged to $58 \%$ that yearreturned to its previous level. Enormous real and speculative pressures had raised the exchange rate by $80 \%$ between mid- 1987 and mid-1988 to the highest level in the country's recent history. Thanks to this undervaluation of the peso and to the tight fiscal, wage and monetary policies applied in 1989 -which cut
the public deficit from somewhat over $4 \%$ of GDP to 3\% and slowed the growth of the money supply from a rate of $47 \%$ to $27 \%$ - the nommai exchange rate remained stable and inflation slowed. However, the lag in the real exchange rate opened up a sizeable and widening gap between the official and parallel rates during the second half of the year, and this may bring on another cycle of devaluation and rising inflation -which might also be stimulated by the direct impact of the $67 \%$ increase made in gasoline prices in October when the subsidy applying to this fuel (equivalent to $16 \%$ of its cost) was replaced by an implicit tax equivalent to $39 \%$ of its cost.

A harsh adjustment and stabilization programme was implemented in Venezuela at the start of the year in an effort to reduce the macroeconomic disequilibria caused by the steady expansion of domestic demand during the preceding years. The programme included the unification of exchange markets; an increase in the exchange rate in March (by over $100 \%$ ) and a subsequent freeze until August, followed by mini-devaluations; the elimination of all price controls except those applying to 17 essential consumer goods; increases of between $70 \%$ and $100 \%$ in public rates and charges; and average increases of just $30 \%$ in public sector wages and of $54 \%$ in the minimum wage.

The immediate effect of these measures was an unprecedented surge in inflation which prompted violent protests in the country's major cities. In March alone, consumer prices jumped by $21 \%$, which was a seven-fold increase over the average monthly rate recorded for the preceding 12 months. Thereafter inflation began to decline, however, and by August the rate had dropped to just slightly over $2 \%$. One of the factors that helped to bring this about was the implementation of a tight monetary and credit policy, which resulted in a decrease-during the first half of the year- in the stock of money in nominal terms, a substantial reduction of liquidity and domestic credit in real terms, and a more than twofold increase in domestic interest rates. The authorities' fiscal policy, for its part, proved to have a much stronger contractive effect than planned. On the one hand, government income was augmented by the effect of the devaluation
on oil revenues, the rise in international fuel prices and, to a lesser extent, the increase in public rates and charges. On the other hand, although current expenditure on some items (interest payments and wages) rose, the delay in the approval of the social programmes that had been designed to mitigate the impact of the adjustment on the poorest groups of the population had the effect of holding spending down to less than the amount programmed. As a result, the deficit of the public sector as a whole was reduced from 8\% of GDP in 1988 to about $2.5 \%$ in 1989.

The rate of inflation climbed back up to $3 \%$ in September and October, chiefly as a consequence of agricultural supply problems. However, the subsequent rise in interest rates, together with the combined effect of the recession and the lowering of tariffs, helped to slow the rate of price increases in November and December to less than $2 \%$ per month. Nonetheless, the overall rate of inflation for 1989 amounted to $81 \%$.

## 3. Accelerating inflation

Price increases accelerated in eight countries, most of which have customarily had moderate rates of inflation. At one end of the spectrum there was Uruguay, a country with chronically high inflation, where the annual rate of price increases jumped by 20 percentage points. Paraguay, Guatemala, Chile and El Salvador also saw their annual rates of price increases climb by between 6 and 12 points. In contrast, Colombia, whose rate of inflation had been on an upward trend since 1983, experienced a slight downturn in 1989. Finally, Haiti and Honduras, both of which have traditionally had low inflation, also recorded higher rates than in 1988, with the pace of price increases reaching $11 \%$ in each of these countries.

Uruguay witnessed a steady rise in its rate of inflation from $69 \%$ in 1988 to $89 \%$ in 1989. Because wages were generally readjusted on the basis of past inflation, real wages fell slightly, particularly in the public sector. One of the elements contributing to the country's inflationary spiral was the fact that the monthly rate of devaluation was increased in order to stave off a greater loss of competitiveness,
especially in relation to Argentina, where a steep rise in the real exchange rate prompted a large volume of contraband trade from that country to Uruguay. In addition, the contraction of the most heavily taxed production sectors -particularly agricultureresulted in a drop in tax receipts, and the fiscal deficit therefore grew to around $6 \%$ of GDP. Since the bulk of the Treasury's financing comes from domestic credit, this put greater pressure on prices. Finally, the velocity of the circulation of money also quickened during the year due to the rise in the expected rate of inflation, the lower level of the domestic-currency interest rate as compared to the international rate, and the expected rate of devaluation. As the monetary base consequently shrank in real terms, the increase in the portion of the fiscal deficit financed by currency issue had a greater inflationary impact.

The rise in Paraguay's consumer price index totalled $29 \%$ in 1989, as compared to $17 \%$ in 1988. This was primarily due to the initial impact on prices of the establishment of a free-floating exchange rate (which entailed the unification of exchange rates and a devaluation of over $100 \%$ for imports of intermediate inputs, staple foods and debt servicing) and of increases in public rates and charges that had been lagging behind. Nevertheless, these measures succeeded in diminishing the external and public sector deficits, which made it possible to reduce the amount of credit extended by the Central Bank to the public sector in comparison to the 1988 level, and inflation thus slowed during the final quarter of the year.

Inflation also rose in Chile, from $13 \%$ in 1988 to $21 \%$ in 1989 , thereby reversing the downward trend observed in 1986. This flare-up was due to the over-expansion of domestic spending produced by the delayed effect on demand of the rapid growth of the money supply in 1988, optimistic expectations -which were reflected in large increases in property values and stock quotations- and the initiation of large investment projects, which also put pressure on the demand for non-tradeables.

In Guatemala inflation climbed to $20 \%$, which was almost double what it had been the
year before. The main reasons for the rise were the significant expansion of domestic credit (primarily to the private sector), since this exerted strong pressure on reserves and thus made a mini-devaluation necessary in August, and the subsequent decision to float the exchange rate (entailing an adjustment of about $20 \%$ ), which boosted the rate of inflation towards the end of the year.

The variation in the consumer price index in El Salvador amounted to $24 \%$, in comparison to $18 \%$ in 1988. Factors contributing to this trend included the new exchange-rate policy, which transferred most external transactions to the parallel market (where the exchange rate was $25 \%$ higher than the official rate), the increase in the portion of the public deficit financed by Central Reserve Bank credit, and
the elimination of price controls by the new administration in June.

In Honduras, the sluggish growth of the agricultural sector and a wider gap between the official and parallel exchange rates (the parallel rate is applied to many imports) raised the rate of inflation from $7 \%$ in 1988 to over $11 \%$ in 1989. Although this rate is fairly low, it is relatively high for a country in which inflation had never been higher than the international rate until the 1980s.

Colombia's inflation remained moderate ( $26 \%$ ) and in fact was slightly lower than in 1988, thanks to the somewhat tighter monetary policy which was implemented in mid-1988 and to the strong performance of the agricultural sector.

Table 12

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CONSUMER PRICES

(December-December percentage variations)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 84.6 | 130.8 | 184.8 | 274.7 | 64.5 | 198.3 | 759.4 | 1157.6 |
| Netherlands Antilles |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | 3.3 | $3 . \wedge$ |
| Argentina | 209.7 | 433.7 | 688.0 | 385.4 | 81.9 | 174.8 | 387.7 | 4923.8 |
| Aruba |  |  |  | 3.6 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Bahamas | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.4 |
| Barbados | 6.9 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 2.4 | -0.5 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
| Belize ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 5.8 | -0.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Bolivia | 296.5 | 328.5 | 2177.2 | 8170.5 | 66.0 | 10.7 | 21.5 | 16.6 |
| Brazil | 97.9 | 179.2 | 203.3 | 228.0 | 58.4 | 366.0 | 933.6 | 1779.1 |
| Colombia | 23.9 | 16.7 | 18.3 | 22.7 | 20.7 | 24.6 | 28.3 | 26.1 |
| Costa Rica | 81.7 | 10.7 | 17.3 | 11.1 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 25.3 | 10.0 |
| Chüe | 20.7 | 23.6 | 23.0 | 26.4 | 17.4 | 21.5 | 12.7 | 21.4 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 24.3 | 52.5 | 25.1 | 24.4 | 27.3 | 32.5 | 85.7 | 54.2 |
| El Salvador | 13.8 | 15.5 | 9.8 | 30.8 | 30.3 | 20.1 | 18.2 | 23.5 |
| Guatemala | -2.0 | 15.4 | 5.2 | 31.5 | 25.7 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 20.2 |
| Guyana | 19.3 | 9.6 | 13.3 | 25.2 | 15.0 | 7.8 | 40.6 | $30.8^{\text {g }}$ |
| Haiti | 4.9 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 17.4 | -11.4 | -4.1 | 8.6 | 10.9 |
| Honduras | 8.8 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 11.4 |
| Jamaica | 7.0 | 16.7 | 31.2 | 23.9 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 17.2 |
| Mexico | 98.8 | 80.8 | 59.2 | 63.7 | 105.7 | 159.2 | 51.7 | 19.7 |
| Nicaragua | 22.2 | 32.9 | 50.2 | 334.3 | 747.4 | 1347.3 | 33602.6 | 1689.9 |
| Panama | 3.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 | $0.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Paraguay | 4.2 | 14.1 | 29.8 | 23.1 | 24.1 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 28.7 |
| Peru | 72.9 | 125.1 | 111.5 | 158.3 | 62.9 | 114.5 | 1722.3 | 2775.8 |
| Dominican |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic | 7.2 | 7.7 | 38.1 | 28.4 | 6.5 | 25.0 | 57.6 | 41.2 |
| Suriname | 1.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 15.6 | 30.2 | 52.2 |  |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 10.8 | 15.4 | 14.1 | 6.6 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 111 | 93 |
| Uruguay | 20.5 | 51.5 | 66.1 | 83.0 | 76.4 | 57.3 | 69.0 | 89.2 |
| Venezuela | 7.3 | 7.0 | 18.3 | 5.7 | 12.3 | 40.3 | 35.5 | 81.0 |
| OECS ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dominica |  | 2.6 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 6.3 |
| Grenada |  |  |  | 1.8 | -0.8 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 3.7 |
| Saint Lucia | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 3.6 |

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, and figures provided by the countries.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Corresponds to the variation between September 1988 and September 1989. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Corresponds to the November-November variation. Until 1980, corresponds to the variation in the consumer price index for manual workers; from 1981 onward, corresponds to the variation in the total national index, which includes both manual and non-manual workers. ' Until 1982, corresponds to the variation in the consumer price index for the city of Quito; from 1983 onward, corresponds to the variation in the total national index. 'From 1983 to 1988, corresponds to the nationwide mean annual variation. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Corresponds to the variation between June 1988 and June 1989, nationwide annual mean. ${ }^{h}$ Until 1982, corresponds to the variation in the consumer price index for the city of Santo Domingo; from 1983 onward, corresponds to the variation in the total national index. ' Organization of Eastern Caribbean States.

## VI. EMPLOYMENT AND WAGES

Severe recessions in several Latin American and Caribbean countries during 1989 had a significant impact on employment levels and led to an increase in underemployment. Thus, the mean rates of urban unemployment rose considerably in a number of countries, including Argentina, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru and Venezuela. In others, however, such as Costa Rica, Chile and Guatemala, whose economies experienced steady growth or became over-heated as a result of an excessive expansion of demand, the rate fell off notably, but unemployment also tended to decrease even in countries which had slow or near zero growth rates, such as Brazil, Colombia, Honduras, Mexico and Uruguay. A continued rise in already high rates of open unemployment was seen only in Bolivia and Ecuador (see table 13 and figure 13).

Generally speaking, trends in the region's labour markets in 1989 were similar to those of the preceding year. In countries where economic activity slackened within a context of runaway inflation or severe adjustments, such as Argentina, Nicaragua and Peru, open unemployment continued to rise and real wages dropped steeply once again. The adjustment process upon which Venezuela embarked in 1989 had similar consequences. Countries with very high rates of inflation but which also have wage indexation mechanisms, such as Brazil and Uruguay, were able to hold their employment levels more or less steady while avoiding any significant erosion of real wages; in fact, real wages in São Paulo actually rose once again. Mexico's economic recovery, which was characterized by relatively stable prices, and the steadiness of the Colombian economy led to lower unemployment levels and
higher real wages in these two countries, especially in the former. Economies such as those of Costa Rica, Chile, Guatemala and Paraguay, which continued to grow rapidly (although at the cost of mounting inflationary pressures) once again achieved their twofold objective of reducing unemployment rates while raising real wages -with the exception of Guatemala, where an inflationary spiral caused wages to deteriorate somewhat in real terms (see table 16).

Generally speaking, however, recent trends in real wages have been in line with the persistent downturn observed during the 1980s, and in most of the region's countries wage levels were consequently much lower in 1989 than they had been in 1981. Indeed, in 1989 average real urban or industrial wages were above 1981 levels only in Colombia, Brazil and Paraguay (see table 16). Wage trends in Brazil have been quite mixed, as is illustrated by the fact that in São Paulo real wages in the manufacturing sector in 1989 were $60 \%$ higher than in 1981, whereas in Rio de Janeiro they were somewhat lower than they had been in that year. The legal minimum wage also dropped sharply in most of the countries of the region in real terms. Only in Colombia, Costa Rica and Paraguay were real minimum wages higher in 1989 than in 1981, while in Ecuador, El Salvador, Peru and Mexico, they fell by between $50 \%$ and $75 \%$ during that period, and the decrease is estimated to have been even greater in Nicaragua (see table 17).

The severe recession in Argentina was responsible for the persistence of an excess supply of labour in 1989, although some fluctuations were observed. This was reflected in a higher average unemployment rate $(8 \%)$ and reductions in the utilization of manpower and in the number of hours worked. In
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
addition, real wages plummeted by $24 \%$ in 1989 (-45\% between December 1988 and December 1989) to a record low for the decade. In July, the new administration instituted increases which did raise real wages in the third quarter, thanks to a drop in the rate of inflation, but not enough to restore them to the levels observed at the start of the year. Beginning in October, agreements were reached between most trade unions and the corresponding employers associations, and in December wages were hiked again in response to another inflationary surge, but their purchasing power had nonetheless declined once again by the end of the year.

In Peru, the unemployment rate for metropolitan Lima was similar to that of the preceding year ( $8 \%$ ), but there were indications that unemployment may have risen at the nationwide level. Peru's deep economic depression has, however, had a stronger impact in terms of the spread of underemployment than in an increase in open unemployment. Indeed, in metropolitan Lima the rate of manpower utilization in the formal sector fell by $7 \%$, a figure which was in line with the decline in Peru's GDP. The persistently high rates of inflation recorded during the year made it necessary for the authorities to adopt a policy of monthly adjustments in labour incomes, but in spite of the greater frequency of these increases, real wages plunged by $45 \%$ during the year.

In Venezuela, the rate of open unemployment climbed from $7.5 \%$ as of the end of 1988 to $9.7 \%$ in the first half of 1989. In an effort to lessen the domestic recession's impact on employment levels, dismissals were prohibited for a period of nine months, and late in the year an emergency public employment plan was drawn up. The decline in employment in all activities except services was a reflection of the striking increase in employment in the informal sector (7\%) and its decrease in the
modern sector (-1.5\%). In accordance with the adjustment and stabilization plan implemented since the start of the year, public-sector wages were raised by an average of only $30 \%$ and the minimum wage by $54 \%$. These increases were substantially lower than the adjustments made in the exchange rate and in public rates and charges, and this fact, in combination with the elimination of most price controls, contributed to a steep drop in real wages: the minimum wage for urban areas slid by $13 \%$ and that applying to rural areas fell by $26 \%$, while the average wage per worker dropped by over $25 \%$ to just one-half its 1983 level.

Nicaragua's implementation of an adjustment and stabilization programme has been accompanied by dwindling levels of economic activity and employment -in contrast to earlier trends in these variables- and by a decrease in real wages in the public sector. Moreover, the portion of the work force that was underemployed rose to over $30 \%$. The decline in total employment was due to a reduction in the number of civil servants and, in part, to lay-offs in construction and manufacturing. Agriculture, however, absorbed more manpower in net terms thanks to the fact that it was the fastest-growing sector. The purchasing power of wages in the country's production sectors rose in 1989, but nonetheless continued to be extremely low.

In Panama, open unemployment in the metropolitan region declined slightly but was still very high $(20 \%)$ as a result of the serious deterioration of its economy since 1988. Real wages did not change significantly either, except in the manufacturing sector, where they climbed by almost $8 \%$, thereby regaining the ground they had lost the year before.

In Brazil, the expansion of economic activity resulted in a average annual unemployment rate of $3.3 \%$, which was the lowest of the decade. Under what is known as the Summer Plan, which was launched early in the year, the

[^8]authorities again resorted to a wide-ranging price and wage freeze, after having first made some initial adjustments to correct their levels. Nevertheless, in June the authorities reinstituted wage indexation mechanisms, thereby forestalling decreases in real wages despite a jump in inflation. In fact, real industrial wages in São Paulo actually climbed by around $8 \%$ due to the fact that, thanks to the upturn in economic activity, collective bargaining led to the institution of monthly adjustments that were higher than the indexation level. In the public sector, where wages were also indexed, an increase was observed in payroll expenditures.

In Mexico, the reactivation of the economy generated a larger number of jobs, and open unemployment consequently fell to less than $3 \%$. The manufacturing sector expanded the number of jobs it provides by nearly $2 \%$ while the inbond assembly industry did so by a considerably greater amount ( $17 \%$ ) and continued to represent an important source of manpower absorption. Wages rose by $5 \%$ on average, but sectoral rates were quite uneven: the wages earned by workers in the manufacturing sector climbed by $6 \%$, while wages in the government service and in State companies, which had been one of the sectors that was most severely affected by fiscal austerity measures, improved in real terms for the first time in a number of years, but the purchasing power of the minimum wage fell once again, this time by an annual average of $8 \%$. Thus, the steady downturn in wages which had begun even before the 1980s was checked for the most part, and the purchasing power of wages was largely stabilized, although at a low level in comparison to what it had been before the onset of the crisis in the early 1980s.

In Colombia, the recession in the manufacturing sector did not have a strong effect on employment because business firms refrained from laying off regular staff due to the high costs it would have entailed. The
unemployment rate ( $9.6 \%$ ) was substantially lower than it had been in 1988 and, in fact, was one of the lowest of the decade. However, the slump in the rate of job creation, which was attributable to the downswing in overall economic activity, suggests that the rate of underemployment may have risen. Nevertheless, a small increase was seen in the average real wage, thanks to a slight reduction in inflation and to collective bargaining, although this average rise was a result of very mixed trends within the overall labour force: the rise in average real wages in the manufacturing sector was the largest of all, while wages held steady in the construction industry and dipped slightly in commerce. The legal minimum wage climbed by a small amount but was still below its 1986 level. In the agricultural sector wages again rose by a very moderate sum, thereby continuing to follow the trend observed during the past four years.

In Bolivia, open unemployment was up slightly (to 7\%) in 1989. The unemployment rate, for its part, rose from $11 \%$ to $13 \%$ because a substantial portion of the unemployed labour force was absorbed by the informal sector. The authorities continued to use wage policy as a key variable in maintaining the stability of the economy and therefore did not readjust the nominal minimum wage during 1989 , causing it to fall by $9 \%$ in real terms. Public-sector wages also remained subject to austerity measures, but workers in the private sector managed to upgrade their real wages.

In Ecuador the rate of unemployment climbed slightly as a consequence of the sluggishness of the economy. The authorities' wage policy provided for semi-annual reviews of minimum incomes, with smaller readjustments for public-sector workers. Despite a considerable increase in minimum wages in nominal terms, they deteriorated by an average of $12 \%$ in real terms.

Uruguay's unemployment rate continued on its downward trend, falling to an annual

[^9]average rate of $8.6 \%$ and to less than $8 \%$ in December, when it reached a record low for the decade. Despite the increase in national income, average real wages showed no growth in $198<r$ following three years of successive increases. The downturn in the wages paid by the public sector was the chief reason for this result, since private-sector wages continued to rise.

In El Salvador the rate of open unemployment remained at around $13 \%$. The stability of this rate, despite the slack growth rate of the economy, was probably accounted for by emigration and the demand for labour in the construction industry, which made up for the slow rate of manpower absorption in agriculture and manufacturing. Real wages, however, decreased once again, since nominal minimum wages remained constant, which translated into a drop of $15 \%$ in real terms.

In Honduras the urban unemployment rate held more or less steady at nearly $9 \%$ despite the fact that the official policy continued to be aimed at expanding employment opportunities by means of job-creation and other programmes. Since minimum wages have not been raised since 1981, their real levels have fallen steadily throughout the decade.

The employment situation in Chile improved as a result of the increase in economic activity in the country. The unemployment rate in Santiago fell from $11 \%$ to $9 \%$, and the nationwide rate is estimated to have dropped to $5 \%$ in the final quarter of 1989. The sectors in which employment rose the most were mining ( $17 \%$ ), construction ( $8 \%$ ) and manufacturing (7\%), while employment levels were down in agriculture and fishing, the energy sector, and personal and social community services. As a consequence of the speed-up in inflation, the increase in real wages was modest ( $2 \%$ ) despite the country's burgeoning economy and the steep rise in employment. The minimum wage, however, climbed by $8 \%$, which presumably helped to narrow the wage spread.

Thanks to the strong performance of the Costa Rican economy, job creation far outstripped the growth of the work force, and the rate of unemployment therefore fell to less than $4 \%$, which was a record low for the decade. The decrease in unemployment, which encompassed both urban and rural areas, was particularly marked in labour-intensive sectors such as construction and the inbond assembly industry, among others. Wage adjustments in Costa Rica are indexed on the basis of the projected rate of inflation, and public-sector wages are revised twice each year. The wages paid by the central government climbed the most (by nearly $5 \%$ in real terms), while private-sector wages showed a slight upturn in 1989 after having declined during the two preceding years.

In Guatemala open unemployment decreased to $6.3 \%$ of the economically active population. The largest increases in employment were seen in construction, commerce and other services and were closely linked to the expansion of these sectors in 1989. Nevertheless, unemployment rose to $35 \%$ of the economically active population. Average wages and salaries increased by $9 \%$ in nominal terms, but their purchasing power diminished.

Despite the favourable trend of the economy in Paraguay, open unemployment appears to have risen, partly as a consequence of the decrease in public investment. Due to the faster pace of price increases and the fact that the June increase in minimum wages was only $15 \%$, real minimum wages rose by just one percentage point. However, average wages for manual workers in the Asunción area were up by nearly $6 \%$ in real terms, although in many cases they remained below the legal minimum.

No change was seen in the Dominican Republic's high rate of open unemployment (29\%) in 1989. Moreover, the rising rate of inflation caused real wages to drop despite a number of adjustments. The largest increases were in minimum wages, but even they proved to be smaller than the jump in prices.

[^10]Table 13

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: URBAN UNEMPLOYMENT

(Average annual rates)

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 6.6 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 82 | 7.3 | 62 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Argentina | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 8.0 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.9 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 8.2 | 93 | 11.8 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 9.6 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 9.1 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 3.7 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 11.1 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 193 | 163 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 9.1 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
| Guatemala ' | 1.5 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 6.3 |
| Honduras ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 8.7 | 8.6 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 4.2 | 4.2 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| Panama | 11.8 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 12.4 | 15.6 | 12.6 | 14.1 | 21.1 | 20.0 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 2.2 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| Peru" | 6.8 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| Uruguay" | 6.7 | 11.9 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 8.6 |
| Venezuela ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 6.8 | 7.8 | 11.2 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 9.7 |

Source: ECLAC and PREALC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Weighted average for 20 of the 25 most populous cities in Latin America. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Nationwide urban rate, April-October average; 1986: October only. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Metropolitan areas of Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo, Belo Horizonte, Porto Alegre, Salvador and Recife. Twelve-month average; 1980: June-December average. ${ }^{e}$ Bogotá, Barranquilla, Cali and Medellin. Average for March, June, September and December; 1985: average for March, July, September and December; 1986: average for April, June, September and December. Nationwide urban rate. Average for March, July and November, 1984: average for March and November; 1986: average for March and July; from 1987 onward, July. $\quad{ }^{\text {K }}$ Greater Santiago, four-quarter average. From August 1984 onward, figures refer to the Santiago Metropolitan Region; from October 1985 onward, the figures are not entirely comparable to those for preceding periods due to changes in the design and size of the sample. ' Nationwide, according to official estimates; from 1986 onward, household surveys in Quito, Guayaquil and Cuenca. 'Nationwide, according to SEGEPLAN estimates.
${ }^{j}$ Nationwide, according to official estimates; from 1986 onward, Urban Labour Force Survey; 1987: Centra) District, March.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Up to 1982, metropolitan areas of Mexico City, Guadalajara and Monterrey; from 1983 onward-, average for 16 cities. Four-quarter average. ' Metropolitan region, August of each year, 1980: on the basis of data from the national census taken in February. ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Asunción, Fernando de La Mora, Lambaré and urban areas of Luque and San Lorenzo; 1981: first semester; 1982: first quarter; 1983: average for September, October and November; 1984: average for August, September and October; 1985: average for November and December. ${ }^{n}$ Metropolitan Lima. Montevideo; from 1981 onward, four-quarter average. ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Nationwide urban rate, two-semester average; 1986: second semester.

Table 14
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: UNEMPLOYMENT RATES IN MAJOR CITIES

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 |  |  |  | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i | ii | HI | iv | i | n | in | iv |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Argentina ${ }^{0}$ | 8.1 | \&2 | 73 | 63. | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 63. | 6.1 | 5,7 | $5 £$ | 6.0 | 6.1 | 53 | 4.9 |
| Buenos Aires | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 7.4 |  | 6.3 |  | 5.7 |  | 7.7 |  | 7.0 |
| Córdoba | 5.0 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 53 | 8.1 |  | 5.0 |  | 6.0 | ... | 8.8 |  | 7.3 |
| Greater Mendoza | 43 | 33 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 |  | 4.7 |  | 4.0 | $\ldots$ | 4.4 |  | 4.1 |
| Greater Rosario | 6.3 | 63 | 10.7 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 10.8 |  | 7.8 |  | 7.4 |  | 14.2 |  | 7.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rio de Janeiro | 6.2 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| São Paulo | 6.8 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 33 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Recife | 8.0 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.2 |
| Porto Alegre | 6.7 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bogotá | 9.4 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 103 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 83 | 73 | 6.4 |
| Ba tranquilla | 13.8 | 13.0 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 9.4 |
| Medellin | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 133 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 113 | 12.8 |
| Cali | 11.6 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 10.4 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 103 | 113 | 7.9 | 11.8 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San José | 8.0 | 6.6 | 63 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 2.7 |  |  | 6.7 |  | ..* |  | 2.7 | ..* |
| Chile* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Santiago | 22.2 | 19.3 | 16.3 | 133 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 13.2 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 9.0 |
| Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico City | 6.3 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Guadalajara | 7.4 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 23 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 13 |
| Monterrey | 9.8 | 15 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 53 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 43 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 2.6 |
| Paraguay' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Asuni }}{ }^{\text {A }}$ (ión | 8.4 | $1 A$ | 5-2 | 6.1 | 53 | 4.7 | 6.1 |  |  | 4.7 |  |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| Peni ${ }^{\text {J }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lima | 9.0 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 |  | 7.9 |  |  |  | 7.9 |  |  |
| Uruguay 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montevideo | 153 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 8.8 | 83 | 8.9 | 83 | 83 | 9.2 | 8.0 |
| Venezuela <br> Caracas | 10.5 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 7.3 |  | 63 |  | 5.0 |  | 7.4 |  | 7.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. "Weighted average for 20 of the most populous cities in Latin America. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Figures for April and October
Twelve-month average.
${ }^{e}$ Figures for March, June, September and December; 1985: March, Jury, September and December; 1986: April, June, September and December. Metropolitan area. Figures for March, Jury and November; 1988 and 1989: Jury. ? Greater Santiago. Figures for March, June, September and December. ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Quarterly averages. ' Includes Fernando de La Mora, Lambaréand the urban areas of Luque and San Fernando. J Metropolitan Lima; 1985: official estimates; 1987: June. ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Four-quarter average. ' Caracas Metropolitan area. Two-semester average; 1985: first semester.

Table 15
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: INDICATORS OF EMPLOYMENT IN MANUFACTURING

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 82.8 | 85.5 | 88.0 | 84.7 | 81.3 | 81.0 | 72.1 | 66.6 |
| Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan areas | 85.3 | 79.0 | 78.1 | 79.1 | 86.9 | 89.7 | 88.2 | 90.6 |
| São Paulo ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 88.5 | 81.6 | 81.5 | 91.7 | 100.9 | 103.0 | 101.0 | 103.1 |
| Rio de Janeiro | 93.2 | 89.1 | 89.0 | 93.4 | 99.5 | 103.3 | 101.5 | 103.8 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 90.4 | 84.4 | 83.4 | 81.6 | 81.3 | 83.8 | 86.1 | 85.7 |
| Costa Rica | 92.7 | 96.2 | 99.3 | 99.8 | 100.4 | 97.7 | 104.4 | 105.0 |
| Cuba | 109.9 | 115.6 | 125.3 | 129.9 | 133.0 | 133.2 | 136.1 | 140.7 |
| Chile | 71.3 | 74.3 | 81.8 | 86.6 | 93.1 | 100.3 | 111.2 | 114.9 |
| Guatemala | 89.0 | 93.7 | 83.7 | 94.1 | 94.2 | 94.4 | 124.5 | 128.7 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 103.0 | 93.1 | 92.2 | 94.3 | 90.5 | 87.4 | 87.5 | 89.1 |
| Mexico | 122.0 | 151.0 | 167.0 | 177.3 | 207.6 | 254.0 | 310.1 | 362.5 |
| Nicaragua | 88.7 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 88.7 | 92.8 |  |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  | 113.4 | 114.6 | 121.4 | 133.6 | 117.0 | 123.1 |
| Peru ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 99.7 | 94.3 | 84.4 | 83.4 | 88.4 | 95.9 | 92.4 | 83.5 |
| Venezuela ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 102.0 | 100.3 | 98.9 | 99.9 | 102.6 | 110.7 | 119.3 | U6.1 |
| Percentage variation (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | -5.3 | 3.3 | 2.9 | -3.7 | -4.0 | -0.4 | -11.0 | -7.6 |
| Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan areas | -6.0 | -7.4 | -1.1 | 1.3 | 9.9 | 3.2 | -1.7 | 2.7 |
| São Paulo | -4.9 | -7.8 | -0.1 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 2.1 | -2.0 | 2.1 |
| Rio de Janeiro | -3.2 | -4.4 | -0.1 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 3.8 | -1.7 | 2.3 |
| Colombia | -5.2 | -6.6 | -1.2 | -2.1 | -0.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | -0.5 |
| CostaRica | -2.0 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | -2.7 | 6.9 | 0.5 |
| Cuba | 4.2 | 5.2 | 8.4 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 |
| Chile | -27.6 | 4.2 | 10.1 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 10.9 | 3.3 |
| Guatemala | -3.7 | 5.3 | -10.7 | 12.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 31.9 | 3.4 |
| Mexico | -2.5 | -9.6 | -1.0 | 2.3 | -4.0 | -3.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Mexico | -6.2 | 23.8 | 10.6 | 6.2 | 17.1 | 22.4 | 22.1 | 16.9 |
| Nicaragua | -14.0 | 4.7 | - | -5.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 4.7 |  |
| Panama |  |  |  | 1.0 | 5.9 | 10.1 | -12.4 | 5.2 |
| Peru | -1.4 | -5.4 | -10.5 | -1.2 | 6.0 | 8.4 | -3.6 | -9.7 |
| Venezuela | 2.0 | -1.7 | -1.4 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 7.8 | -2.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Manual workers employed in manufacturing. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: FIBGE. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ Manual workers in industry, except that of coffee hulling. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Number of persons paying into the social security system. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Persons employed in manufacturing; does not include the inbond assembly industry. Persons employed in the inbond assembly industry (maquiladoras). $\quad{ }^{i}$ Employment in manufacturing production activities according to household surveys.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Persons employed in manufacturing in the Lima Metropolitan area. ' Source: OCEI industrial survey $(1981=100)$.

Table 16

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REAL AVERAGE WAGES

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Average annual indexes $(1980=100)$

| Argentina | 89.4 | D. 1 | 100.5 | 127.1 | 107.8 | 109.5 | 103.0 | 97.3 | 74.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rio de Janeiro ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 108.5 | 121.6 | 112.7 | 105.1 | 112.7 | 121.8 | 102.4 | 107.1 | 107.2 |
| São Paulo ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 104.7 | 107.2 | 94.0 | 96.7 | 120.4 | 150.7 | 143.2 | 152.1 | 164.8 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 101.3 | 104.7 | 110.1 | 118.1 | 114.6 | 120.1 | 119.2 | 117.7 | 119.1 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 88.3 | 70.8 | 78.5 | 84.7 | 92.2 | 97.8 | 89.2 | 85.2 | 85.7 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 108.9 | 108.6 | 97.1 | 97.2 | 93.5 | 95.1 | 94.7 | 101.0 | 102.9 |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 117.6 | 124.7 | 126.2 | 114.8 | 99.2 | 81.0 | 86.5 | 87.6 | 10.9 85.6 |
| Mexico ' | 103.5 | 102.2 | 80.7 | 75.4 | 76.6 | 72.3 | 72.8 | 72.1 | 75.8 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 101.1 | 95.8 | 83.4 | 78.5 | 55.0 | 19.8 | 13.6 | 4.8 | 6.0 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 98.6 | 99.7 | 100.8 | 105.0 | 105.6 | 108.4 | 109.9 | 101.2 | 108.9 |
| Paraguay | 105.3 | 102.4 | 95.2 | 91.8 | 89.8 | 85.9 | 96.5 | 103.9 | 109.8 |
| Peru" ${ }^{1}$ | 101.8 | 110.2 | 93.4 | 87.2 | 77.6 | 97.5 | 101.3 | 74.7 | 40.8 |
| Uruguay ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 107.1 | 106.5 | 84.5 | 71.1 | 68.1 | 71.9 | 75.4 | 76.3 | 76.3 |
| Venezuela ${ }^{\circ}$ | ... | 100.0 | 98.4 | 93.5 | 84.2 | 85.4 | 74.4 | 66.0 | 48.6 |
| Percentage variation ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | -10.6 | -10.4 | 25.5 | 26.4 | -15.2 | 1.6 | -5.9 | -5.5 | -23.8 |
| Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rio de Janeiro | 8.5 | 12.1 | -7.3 | -6.7 | 7.1 | 8.1 | -16.0 | 4.6 | 0.1 |
| São Paulo | 4.7 | 2.4 | -12.3 | 2.9 | 24.4 | 25.2 | -5.0 | 6.2 | 8.3 |
| Colombia | 1.3 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 7.3 | -3.0 | 4.8 | -0.7 | -1.3 | 1.2 |
| Costa Rica | -11.7 | -19.8 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 6.1 | -9.7 | -4.5 | 0.6 |
| Chile | 9.1 | -0.2 | -10.7 | 0.1 | -3.8 | 1.7 | -0.3 | 6.6 | 1.9 |
| Guatemala | 17.6 | 6.0 | -1.2 | -9.0 | -13.6 | -18.3 | 6.8 | 3.5 | -2.3 |
| Mexico | 3.5 | 0.9 | -22.7 | -6.6 | 1.6 | -5.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.1 |
| Nicaragua | 1.1 | -5.2 | -12.9 | -5.9 | -30.0 | -63.9 | -31.4 | -64.5 | 25.0 |
| Panama | -1.4 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.4 | -7.9 | 7.6 |
| Paraguay | 5.3 | -2.8 | -7.0 | -3.6 | -2.2 | -4.3 | 12.3 | 7.7 | 5.7 |
| Peru | 1.8 | 8.3 | -15.2 | -6.6 | -11.0 | 25.6 | 3.9 | -26.3 | -45.4 |
| Uruguay | 7.1 | -0.6 | -20.7 | -15.9 | -4.2 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Venezuela |  |  | -1.6 | -5.0 | -9.9 | 1,4 | -12.9 | -11.3 | -26.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
Preliminary figures. Average total monthly wages in the manufacturing industry, 1989: January-November.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Average wages in basic industry, deflated by the consumer price index for Rio de Janeiro. $\quad{ }^{\text {a }}$ Average wages in the manufacturing industry in the State of São Paulo, deflated by the cost-of-living index for São Paulo. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Wages of manual workers in the manufacturing industry. ' Average remunerations declared by persons covered by the social security system. ${ }^{g}$ Average remunerations of wage earners in non-agricultural sectors. Average wages of persons covered by the social security system. ' Average wages in the manufacturing industry, 1989: January-October. ' Average wages of persons covered by the social security system, deflated by the price index implicit in the gross domestic product. Average industrial wages in Panama City. 'Wage of manual workers in Asunción; average for June and December. ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ Wages of private-sector manual workers in Metropolitan Lima.
${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Real average wage index. $\quad{ }^{\circ}$ Average income per urban worker, deflated by the variation $\mathrm{in}^{\mathrm{n}}$ consumer prices in the Caracas Metropolitan area. ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ In comparison to the same period of the preceding year.

Table 17
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REAL URBAN MINIMUM WAGE

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average annual indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 97.8 | 97.8 | 136.9 | 167.7 | 117.1 | 111.1 | 122.3 | 95.6 | 69.9 |
| Brazil ${ }^{0}$ | 106.0 | 106.8 | 95.9 | 87.4 | 88.9 | 89.0 | 72.6 | 68.7 | 72.1 |
| Colombia | 98.9 | 103.6 | 107.9 | 113.5 | 109.4 | 114.2 | 113.0 | 109.9 | 110.7 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 90.4 | 85.9 | 99.3 | 104.4 | 112.2 | 118.7 | 117.9 | 114.6 | 119.4 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 115.7 | 117.2 | 94.2 | 80.7 | 76.4 | 73.6 | 69.1 | 73.9 | 79.8 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 86.2 | 75.9 | 63.6 | 62.8 | 60.4 | 65.0 | 61.4 | 53.1 | 47.0 |
| El Salvador. ${ }^{11}$ | 96.8 | 86.6 | 76.5 | 76.8 | 66.2 | 57.5 | 46.0 | 43.6 | 37.0 |
| Guatemala ' | 120.9 | 120.7 | 115.3 | 111.4 | 94.0 | 61.1 | 78.5 |  |  |
| Haiti ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 96.3 | 100.8 | 94.0 | 87.1 | 913 | 84.8 | 94.7 | 95A | 95.8 |
| Honduras | 105.6 | 104.5 | 96.5 | 92.1 | 89.1 | 853 | 833 | 79.7 | 72.6 |
| Mexico | 101.9 | 92.7 | 76.6 | 72.3 | 71.1 | 64.9 | 61.7 | 55.2 | 50.8 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 90.2 | 74.4 | 56.7 | 63.6 | 45.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 93.3 | 89.6 | 103.7 | 102.1 | 101.0 | 101.1 | 102.7 | 102.2 | 102.3 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\circ}$ | 103.9 | 101.9 | 94.2 | 93.8 | 99.6 | 108.3 | 122.6 | 135.2 | 136.5 |
| PeruP | 85.0 | 79.6 | 80.6 | 62.3 | 54.4 | 56.4 | 59.7 | 52.0 | 25.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 93.0 | 86.4 | 80.8 | 82.2 | 80.2 | 86.0 | 84.1 | 87.4 | 77.8 |
| Uruguay ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 102.3 | 103.9 | 88.6 | 88.8 | 93.2 | 88.5 | 90.3 | 84.5 | 78.0 |
| Venezuela ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 86.2 | 78.5 | 73.9 | 66.5 | 96.8 | 90.4 | 108.7 | 89.5 | 77.8 |
| Percentage variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | -2.2 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 22.5 | -30.2 | -5.1 | 10.1 | -21.8 | -26.9 |
| Brazil | 6.0 | 0.8 | -10.2 | -8.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | -18.4 | -5.4 | 4.9 |
| Colombia | -1.1 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 5.2 | -3.6 | 4.4 | -1.1 | -2.7 | 0.7 |
| Costa Rica | -9.6 | -5.0 | 15.6 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 5.8 | -0.7 | -2.8 | 4.2 |
| Chile | 15.7 | 1.3 | -19.6 | -143 | -5.3 | -3.7 | -6.1 | 6.9 | 8.0 |
| Ecuador | -13.8 | -11.9 | -16.2 | -1.3 | -3.8 | 7.6 | -5.6 | -13.5 | -11.5 |
| El Salvador | -3.2 | -10.5 | -11.7 | 0.4 | -13.8 | -13.2 | -19.9 | -5.3 | -15.1 |
| Guatemala | 20.9 | -0.2 | -4.5 | -3.4 | -15.6 | -35.0 | 28.5 |  |  |
| Haiti | -3.7 | 4.7 | -6.7 | -7.3 | 4.8 | -7.1 | 11.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Honduras | 5.6 | -1.0 | -7.7 | -4.5 | -3.3 | -4.2 | -2.4 | -4.3 | -8.9 |
| Mexico | 1.9 | -9.0 | -17.4 | -5.6 | -1.7 | -8.7 | -4.9 | -10.5 | -7.9 |
| Nicaragua | -9.8 | -17.5 | -23.8 | 12.2 | -29.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Panama | -6.7 | -4.0 | 15.8 | -1.6 | -1.0 | 0.1 | Ó | -0.4 | 0.1 |
| Paraguay | 3.9 | -1.9 | -7.6 | -0.4 | 6.2 | 8.7 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 1.0 |
| Peru | -15.0 | -6.4 | 1.3 | -22.7 | -12.7 | 3.7 | 5.9 | -12.9 | -51.7 |
| Dominican |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic | -7.0 | -7.1 | -6.5 | 1.7 | -2.4 | 7.2 | -2.2 | 3.9 | -11.0 |
| Uruguay | 2.3 | 1.6 | -14.7 | 0.2 | 5.0 | -5.0 | 2.0 | -6.4 | -7.7 |
| Venezuela | -13.8 | -8.9 | -5.9 | -10.0 | 45.6 | -6.6 | 20.2 | -17.7 | -13.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ National minimum wage. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Minimum wage for the city of Rio de Janeiro, deflated by the corresponding consumer price index. Minimum wage for upper urban sectors. ${ }^{e}$ National minimum wage. ' Minimum income. ${ }^{g}$ Minimum overall living wage, calculated on the basis of the annual minimum living wage and legal supplementary benefits. $\quad{ }^{h}$ Minimum wage for non-agricultural activities in San Salvador.
${ }^{1}$ National minimum wage. Minimum daily wage paid in industrial firms. Minimum wage in the manufacturing sector in the Central District and San Pedro Sula. Minimum wage in Mexico City, deflated by the corresponding consumer price index. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ Minimum wage for industrial workers in the Department of Managua. ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Minimum wage applying to all activities except construction and domestic service. ${ }^{\circ}$ Minimum wage in Asunción and Puerto Stroessner. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Minimum wage in Metropolitan Lima for non-agricultural activities. $\quad{ }^{9}$ National minimum wage.
' National minimum wage for workers over 18 years of age. ${ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ National minimum wage for non-agricultural activities, deflated by the consumer price index corresponding to the lowest income quartile. 'In comparison to the same period of the preceding year.

## VII. THE EXTERNAL SECTOR

The year 1989 saw the continued (though less vigorous) expansion in regional exports, which increased against the backdrop of the growth -also less pronounced- in world trade for the fifth straight year. Prices for the main commodities exported by the region were also more stable than the previous year. The benefits from the recovery in petroleum prices were further consolidated by the sustained rise in the prices of metals and of most cereals; the sharp drop in the prices of a number of tropical products -such as coffee, cocoa and bananas-, however, cancelled out some of the gains from the export performance of most of the Central American and Caribbean countries (see figure 14). Taken together, these developments brought about a slight improvement in the region's terms of trade for the first time in five years. However, the steady increase in international interest rates placed additional burdens on the balance-of-payments situation of the region. While the value of exports from Latin America and the Caribbean increased by nearly $10 \%$, the rate of expansion was lower than that of the previous two years. For their part, imports rose by $7 \%$, although this rate covered widely different performances since some countries recorded dramatic growth while others suffered sharp declines. As a result of the rise in international interest rates, the value of interest and profits increased by US\$3 billion. Since the increase in the trade surplus was higher than the increase in profits and interest, the current account deficit again declined for the third year running. Meanwhile, the net inflow of capital (including the involuntary capitalization of arrears of interest) was in excess of US\$10 billion, thereby recovering from the low level of the previous year and enabling the region as a whole to post a surplus of nearly US\$4 billion on its global balance.

## 1. Foreign trade and the terms of trade

## a) Exports

Merchandise exports from Latin America and the Caribbean totalled some US\$110 billion, which represented an increase of almost $10 \%$ following two years of sustained growth of nearly $14 \%$ per annum.

Both oil-exporting and non-oil-exporting countries increased the value of their exports, the first group by a larger margin (17\%) thanks mainly to the recovery in the international prices of hydrocarbons and to a lesser extent to the increase in the volumes exported/Exports of the second group rose by less than $6 \%$ mainly due to the increase (5\%) in average unit prices, since the volume of their exports rose by less than $1 \%$. Within the latter group, however, the countries* ofCentral America and the Caribbean increased by $9 \%$ the value of their exports through larger volumes since the unit value of their products rose by a mere $2 \%$ (see table 18).

The rise in the value of exports continued to be fairly widespread -with increases of different sizes being registered in 17 of the 19 countries for which information was available. The largest increases were recorded in Bolivia, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru and Venezuela. In Bolivia, it grew by $33 \%$, thanks mainly to higher average prices for tin and zinc, coupled with larger volumes of mineral exports arid substantial increases in exports of soya; in contrast, exports of natural gas to Argentina continued to face serious setbacks. Exports from Peru grew by $32 \%$ thanks to a spectacular increase in volume: almost all products contributed to this result, with the exception of iron and, to a lesser extent, petrol. Exports of non-traditional products overcame the virtual stagnation of the previous few years, rising by $31 \%$ to record their highest historical value,
mainly as a result of the depressed domestic market. In Paraguay exports rose by $32 \%$ thanks to the excellent performance of agriculture, while in Nicaragua growth of $24 \%$ was recorded as a result of larger volumes since the average unit value of exports declined slightly; this signalled a definite change from the poor export performance of 1988 although the value of exports still did not attain the levels reached in the early years of the decade.

Several countries (Colombia, Costa Rica, Chile, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Panama and Uruguay) registered increases of the order of $10 \%$ in the value of their exports. Chile's exports rose by nearly $15 \%$, due more to increases in volume ( $10 \%$ ) than to increases in prices (4\%); as has been the case since 1987, traditional exports again grew more than non-traditional exports thanks to the rise in sales of copper abroad, which in 1989 accounted for half the value of merchandise exports. The value of Colombia's exports rose both as a result of greater volumes and higher
prices for exported hydrocarbons, as well as of increased sales of non-traditional products, coal and nickel, which more than offset the lower value of coffee exports. In Uruguay, the increase (nearly $14 \%$ ) was due to expanded meat exports while in Panama the rise of $16 \%$ was due to increased re-exports through the Colón Free Zone. Costa Rica and Guatemala increased the value of their exports by more than $10 \%$ while in Honduras the latter rose by nearly $9 \%$. The increase in Costa Rica was mainly due to the sustained rise in exports of non-traditional products and bananas, while in Guatemala the substantial rise in the value of sugar exports -thanks to greater volumes and increased prices- and of non-traditional products, together with larger shipments of coffee, more than compensated for the effects of the drop in the price of this product. In Honduras the increase was due to expanded exports of zinc and other minerals as well as of non-traditional items, such as manufactured wooden products and sea shrimp (see table 19).

Figure 14

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PRICE

 INDEXES OF MAIN EXPORT PRODUCTS

Source: ECLAC.

Among oil-exporting countries, the value of Venezuela's exports rose by $26 \%$, that of Mexico by $10 \%$ and that of Ecuador by only $7 \%$. Venezuela benefitted from the considerable rise in the prices of its hydrocarbons and the favourable impact of its currency devaluation as well as the contraction of domestic demand on non-traditional exports. In the case of Mexico, the value of its petroleum exports increased thanks to higher international prices, although volumes did not change significantly. On the other hand, the rate of expansion of exports of non-petroleum products, which in previous years had been extremely high, declined to an annual $5 \%$, due, inter alia, to the recovery in the domestic market, the crisis in the agricultural sector and, in the external ambit, the protectionism of the principal purchasing countries. In Ecuador, the moderate rise in exports was also helped by higher petroleum prices, since volumes declined somewhat and the thriving shrimp-exporting sector experienced difficulties in maintaining its growth owing to increased competition from other suppliers.

Brazil's exports remained at their high level, with their value rising by just under $2 \%$. This was due to the decline in the exports of manufactures -affected by a relative loss in competitiveness-, the slow expansion of agricultural exports and the drop in the value of coffee, all of which factors cancelled out the effect of the marked increase in exports of iron ore and semi-manufactured products. For its part, the value of Argentina's exports, which in 1988 had fully recovered from its earlier contraction, registered a modest increase of close to $4 \%$, as a result of the rise in average prices, since volume fell off slightly. Of special significance was the $24 \%$ increase in the value of non-traditional industrial exports which accounted for nearly $40 \%$ of total exports; the rise in the exports of these products compensated for the lower volumes of agricultural products exported.

A sharp decline ( $18 \%$ ) was recorded in the value of exports from El Salvador owing to the drop in the price of coffee and the decline in the volume exported. Haiti experienced a further decline of $12 \%$ in its already depressed exports, due to shrinking sales abroad of cocoa, sugar and handicraft.

## b) Imports

The value of merchandise imports into Latin America and the Caribbean rose by $7 \%$ in 1989, as a result of higher unit prices ( $4 \%$ ) and increased volumes ( $3 \%$ ), which were still lower, however, than those of the previous two-year period during which it had increased by an annual $7 \%$ (see table 18).

This modest increase in imports by the region has been uneven at the national level, sometimes considerably so. The largest increases took place in Chile, Panama, Brazil, Mexico, Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic. In contrast, sharp declines have occurred particularly in Venezuela, Peru, Argentina and Nicaragua.

In general, where growth -even modest- in the level of economic activity was accompanied by a steady deterioration in effective real exchange rates (sometimes associated with stabilization policies), the volume of imports increased significantly. Such increases were largest in countries in the process of liberalizing their trade régimes.

In Brazil, the value of imports rose dramatically ( $25 \%$ ), spurred by the recovery of growth, the progressive deterioration in the official real exchange rate, the accumulation of stocks of imported goods as a hedge against inflation and, to a lesser degree, the recent liberalization of certain regulations governing imports. A similar situation was observed in Mexico where economic recovery boosted imports by nearly $24 \%$, thus continuing the strong surge begun the previous year with the liberalization of trade and the gradual decline in the real exchange rate. The value of Chile's imports also jumped considerably (35\%) more than double the increase in exports. This expansion was generalized and occurred in the acquisition of capital goods ( $42 \%$ ) as well as consumer ( $37 \%$ ) and intermediate ( $31 \%$ ) goods. A major contributing factor was the revaluation òf the real exchange rate between July 1988 and May 1989, and the overexpansion of aggregate demand. In Costa Rica, this value rose by $23 \%$, thanks to sustained liberalization and economic growth. In these circumstances, imports of consumer goods increased most rapidly. The $26 \%$ rise in imports by Panama reflected the recovery from the low levels of
stocks and the growth of the re-export sector through the Colón Free Zone. The value of imports by the Domimcan Republic rose by $22 \%$, while that of Paraguay increased by nearly $10 \%$. Imports by Colombia continued their modest rise ( $5 \%$ ), which represented a slight decline in real terms. Bolivia and Ecuador also increased the value of their imports ( $5 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively). The same was true of Guatemala (7\%), Honduras (5\%), and El Salvador (9\%) (see table 20).

Economic recession and the various adjustment measures adopted significantly reduced the value of imports by Argentina $(-21 \%)$, Nicaragua ( $-12 \%$ ), Peru ( $-26 \%$ ) and Venezuela ( $-38 \%$ ). In Argentina, the slowdown in economic activity and the sharp rise in real exchange rates were compounded during the period of hyperinflation by a marked preference for liquid foreign exchange. In Nicaragua, the pronounced rise in the real exchange rate used for commercial transactions and the removal of exchange subsidies contributed, against a backdrop of recession, to the marked decline in imports. In Peru, the severe domestic recession was made worse by the impact of the transfer to the free market of most import transactions, with the corresponding increase in cost. In Venezuela, the previous import boom was dramatically reversed as a consequence of the combined effect of the change in the exchange régime, the slowdown in economic activity and the higher stocks of imported goods accumulated the previous year.

## c) Terms of trade and purchasing power of exports

Since the average unit values of exports rose somewhat more than those of imports, the terms of trade for Latin America and the Caribbean improved moderately ( $4 \%$ ) in 1989. However, on account of the steady deterioration the four previous years and the pronounced decline in 1981-1982, the terms of trade of the region as a whole was $22 \%$ less favourable than in 1980 (see table 21 and figure 15).

This development, however, differed in form and scope between the petroleum-
exporting countries and the other economies. While in the latter, the terms of trade improved by barely $1 \%$, in the petroleum-exporting countries the international increase in the price of petroleum improved their terms of trade by $9 \%$, thus partially recovering from the deterioration experienced the previous year (see tables 21 and 22). As a result, the terms of trade of the non-petroleum-exporting countries of South America continued to fluctuate below the level recorded in 1980, which in turn was the lowest of the preceding decade. In the case of the Central American and Caribbean countries, the decline suffered in 1989 left them with terms of trade $15 \%$ less favourable than in 1980, which was also the lowest level of the previous decade. The petroleum-exporting countries, for their part, still experienced terms of trade that were not only greatly inferior to those of 1980 -the year in which it was most favourable-, but also below those recorded in the period 1974-1978 (see figure 15).

The slight increase in the volumes exported by the region together with the improvement in the terms of trade increased the purchasing power of exports by $5 \%$ thus exceeding for the first time the previous highest level, recorded in 1984. This was almost entirely due to the rise in the purchasing power of exports from the petroleum-exporting countries, which increased by $13 \%$ (see table 24). Of particular significance were the increases in the purchasing power of exports recorded in Paraguay (33\%), Bolivia (30\%), Peru (27\%), Venezuela ( $23 \%$ ), Nicaragua ( $16 \%$ ), Guatemala (12\%) and Panama (17\%). All of these increases in purchasing power, with the exception of that recorded by Venezuela, were mainly due to the expansion in volumes exported (see table 25 ).

## 2. Balance of payments

Since the increase in the value of exports was somewhat higher than that of imports, the positive balance on the merchandise trade account increased to US $\$ 30$ billion, compared with the US $\$ 25.5$ billion of the previous year (see table 26).

Figure 15
LAtin America and the caribbean: terms of trade (goods)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

This modest increase is mainly due to the large surpluses recorded in Argentina, Peru and Venezuela, countries hard hit by recession and which also modified their exchange rate régimes. The increases in the positive trade balance of these countries more than offset the reduction of the huge trade surplus of Brazil (US\$16 billion) which continued to account for a substantial portion (more than half) of the region's merchandise trade balance. The most notable case of change in that balance is that of Venezuela, which went from a deficit of US\$1.3 billion to a surplus of US\$5.8 billion. Peru, for its part, moved from a slightly negative balance the previous year to a US $\$ 1.5$ billion surplus. Argentina expanded its positive trade balance by more than US\$1 billion to US $\$ 5.6$ billion. Similar contributions were made by the larger trade balances of Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Uruguay.

Mexico, on the other hand, experienced a negative turnaround in its merchandise trade, moving from a surplus of US\$1.7 billion to a
deficit of over US\$600 million, the first in eight years. The decline in the trade surplus of Chile also served to limit the increase in the region's trade balance. A further contributing factor was the significant increase in the trade deficits of Costa Rica, El Salvador, Panama and the Dominican Republic. At the regional level, the merchandise trade surplus represented more than $80 \%$ of the US $\$ 36$ billion sent abroad in the form of profits and interest, a proportion that was somewhat higher than that of the previous year and which doubtlessly would have been greater if the net flows of profits and interest had not increased by US $\$ 3$ billion, mainly on account of the rise in the interest rates applicable to the debt of the countries of the region,

Since the increase in the trade surplus exceeded that of payments byway of profits and interest, the current account deficit of Latin America and the Caribbean underwent a further decline for the third consecutive year,
from US\$9.8 billion to US\$6.9 billion (see table 27).

However, this improvement in the current account position of the region was mainly due to the fact that the petroleum exporting countries reduced their large deficit from more than US\$10 billion in 1988 to US\$4 billion in 1989. This drop was mainly due to the turnaround in Venezuela, which went from a substantial deficit to a current account surplus of almost US $\$ 2.5$ billion, and the virtual elimination of Peru's deficit of US $\$ 1.7$ billion recorded the previous year. Mexico, on the other hand, increased its deficit from US\$3.1 billion to US $\$ 5.6$ billion. The current account deficit of this group of countries was therefore reduced from $22 \%$ to $7 \%$ of the value of exports of goods and services (see table 28).

On the contrary, the non-petroleumexporting countries went from a small surplus on current account to a deficit of nearly US\$3 billion. The positive balance of US\$4.9 billion recorded by Brazil the previous year declined to US $\$ 1.4$ billion in 1989. A similar trend was observed with the substantial surplus of Panama. On the other hand, the current account deficits of Costa Rica, Chile and El Salvador rose significantly. As a result of this turnaround in the trade balance, a deficit of $3.5 \%$ of the value of exports of goods and services was recorded.

In 1989 Latin America and the Caribbean recorded a net capital inflow of US\$10.5 billion, following the.drop in such inflows to just under US $\$ 4$ billion in 1988. This is the result of opposing trends, which include both the accounting-as involuntary capital earnings- of arrears on the payment of interest on the external debt, and the flight or return of capital owned by residents, loans related to debt purchase programmes or direct investments. This regional increase is therefore determined above all by the net capital inflow of US\$6 billion into Mexico, a country in which a net outflow of nearly US $\$ 3.7$ billion had been recorded the previous year. This inflow took the form of direct investments, the repatriation of capital by residents and disbursements by multilateral financial institutions. Brazil, for its part, converted its net capital outflow in 1988 to a modest net inflow, reflecting the arrears which it incurred in the payment of interest, the
inclusion of which offset the effective outflow of a somewhat lesser amount. In Argentina, on the other hand, net accounted earnings were negative, despite the arrears in the payment of interest on the external debt, due to heavy capital flight.

Since the net inflow of capital was greater than the deficit on current account, the global balance-of-payments position of the region went from a negative balance of nearly US\$6 billion in 1988 to a positive one of US\$3.6 billion in 1989. This enabled almost all the countries to increase their international reserves, in particular Venezuela, which increased its share by almost US $\$ 1$ billion, and Mexico, which increased it by US $\$ 400$ million, following the heavy declines recorded the previous year. Brazil, Chile and Peru also substantially increased their reserves; Argentina, however, closed the year with a considerable drain on its reserves (see table 27).

## 3. Net transfer of resources abroad

Following its recovery to US\$29 billion in 1988, the region's net transfer of resources abroad again fell in 1988 to almost US $\$ 26$ billion. This was due to the fact that the greater inflow of capital recorded over the past year more than offset the increase in outflows by way of interest and profits. Even if account were taken of the net inflows of capital actually entering the region and the effective payments of interest and profits, the increase in the former was greater than that of the latter in 1989. However, if Mexico were excluded, transfers increased from US $\$ 17.7$ billion to almost US $\$ 24$ billion. At the regional level, therefore, the transfer of resources abroad represented more than $20 \%$ of the value of exports of goods and services, a percentage that was lower than that of the previous year; however, if Mexico were excluded, this transfer would account for $24 \%$ in 1989 as compared with less than $20 \%$ of exports of goods and services the previous year. Nevertheless, it should be noted that six countries -the five Central American countries and Haiti- continued to receive net positive transfers from abroad (see tables 29 and 30).

The year 1989 was therefore the eighth straight year in which the economies of Latin America and the Caribbean were obliged to make huge transfers of resources abroad (see figure 16). The accumulated value since the outbreak of the crisis therefore totalled more than US $\$ 200$ billion, a figure almost half that of its current external debt. For its part, the amount of the annual transfer during this period represented $3.5 \%$ of the regional product, which contrasts with the situation that existed prior to the crisis when the region received transfers from abroad equivalent to about $2.5 \%$ of its gross domestic product. This change of six percentage points of the product in the net transfer of resources seriously restricted the region's economic growth. This emerges clearly when it is noted, on the one
hand, that the size is almost exactly that of the decline in the coefficient of gross capital formation (see table 16). On the other hand, the turnaround in the net transfer of financial resources has severely constricted the capacity to import, which fell to levels of between 55\% and $70 \%$ of the 1980 level. The sustained expansion of the volume of exports of goods and services experienced by Latin America and the Caribbean since 1975 was therefore weakened -after 1980- both by the transfer of real resources as a result of the deterioration in the terms of trade and by the net transfer of resources abroad. As a result of these transfers, despite the 55\% increase in the volumes exported between 1980 and 1989 the region's import capacity declined by $30 \%$ (see figure 17).

Figure 16
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NET INFLOW OF CAPITAL AND NET TRANSFER OF RESOURCES


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the International Monetary Fund.
Figure 17
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: VOLUME OF EXPORTS, PURCHASING POWER OF EXPORTS AND IMPORT CAPACITY a

17D Indexes (1980-100)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data from the International Monetary Fund. a Import capacity refers to the purchasing power of exports of goods and services (Including terms of trade effect) plus net transfers of resources.

Table 18
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: VARIATIONS IN EXPORTS AND IMPORTS OF GOODS
(Grvwth rates)

|  | Exports |  |  | Imports |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Volume | Unit <br> value | Value | Volume | Unit value |
| Latin America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 32.3 | 6.2 | 24.5 | 35.0 | 11.9 | 20.6 |
| 1981 | 7.6 | 8.4 | -0.7 | 8.1 | 2.8 | 5.1 |
| 1982 | -8.8 | 1.7 | -10.4 | 19.9 | -18.9 | -1.2 |
| 1983 | 0.1 | 8.7 | -7.9 | -28.5 | -21.3 | -9.1 |
| 1984 | 11.5 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 8.1 | -3.8 |
| 1985 | -5.6 | 0.2 | -5.7 | -0.3 | 1.3 | -1.4 |
| 1986 | -15.2 | 0.2 | -15.4 | 2.5 | 8.2 | -5.3 |
| 1987 | 13.2 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 5.8 |
| 1988 | 14.5 | 9.9 | 4.3 | 12.9 | 7.1 | 5.6 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.6 | 2.0 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| 1980 Oil-exporting countries 32.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 42.2 | 3.4 | 37.7 | 32.6 | 18.6 | 11.7 |
| 1981 | 9.6 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 20.9 | 16.0 | 4.2 |
| 1982 | -5.5 | 6.6 | -11.5 | -20.2 | -19.1 | -1.2 |
| 1983 | -2.3 | 7.8 | -9.3 | -43.1 | -34.1 | -13.7 |
| 1984 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 0.1 | 15.5 | 17.6 | -1.8 |
| 1985 | -7.9 | -5.8 | -2.4 | 8.8 | 10.2 | -1.1 |
| 1986 | -29.0 | 8.5 | -34.5 | -2.0 | -0.5 | -1.6 |
| 1987 | 19.7 | 4.3 | 14.9 | 11.5 | 7.5 | 3.8 |
| 1988 | -0.2 | 7.9 | -7.6 | 31.6 | 22.1 | 7.7 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16.5 | 3.5 | 12.6 | -1.7 | -5.1 | 3.6 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries (South America) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 21.7 | 6.8 | 13.8 | 35.1 | 6.0 | 27.4 |
| 1981 | 7.1 | 14.2 | -6.2 | -0.7 | -6.0 | 5.6 |
| 1982 | -11.6 | -2.6 | -9.3 | -20.6 | -20.1 | -0.8 |
| 1983 | 4.9 | 12.6 | -6.8 | -19.7 | -14.0 | -6.4 |
| 1984 | 16.5 | 11.0 | 4.9 | -5.1 | 0.8 | -5.8 |
| 1985 | -3.9 | 5.7 | -9.0 | -8.3 | -7.1 | -1.6 |
| 1986 | -5.0 | -6.4 | 1.5 | 8.0 | 18.3 | -8.5 |
| 1987 | 11.0 | 10.9 | - | 13.8 | 4.6 | 8.7 |
|  | 28.2 | 14.1 | 12.5 | 2.1 | -2.1 | 4.3 |
| 1989 a | 5.3 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 15.1 | 10.1 | 4.5 |
| Central America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 38.3 | 19.5 | 15.7 | 43.1 | 16.3 | 23.0 |
| 1981 | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | 1.5 | -4.7 | 6.5 |
| 1982 | -13.0 | -2.3 | -11.0 | -14.7 | -12.6 | -2.4 |
| 1983 | -9.9 | -6.0 | -4.0 | -8.0 | -0.7 | -7.1 |
| 1984 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 8.6 | -0.9 |
| 1985 | -0.5 | 4.2 | -4.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | -0.2 |
| 1986 | 9.1 | -5.8 | 16.0 | -0.3 | 5.7 | -5.8 |
| 1987 | -1.3 | 9.0 | -9.5 | 12.3 | 9.0 | 3.1 |
| 1988 | 2.5 | -6.8 | 10.1 | -4.0 | -6.6 | 2.8 |
| 1989 a | 8.9 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 1.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes Bolivia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru and Venezuela. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay. Includes Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama and the Dominican Republic.

Table 19
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: EXPORTS OF GOODS FOB
(Indexes: $1980=100$ and growth rates)

|  | Value |  |  |  | Unit value |  |  |  | Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Growth rates |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In- } \\ \text { dex } \\ \text { 1989"' } \end{gathered}$ | Growth rates |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { dex } \\ & 1989{ }^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ | Growth rates |  |  |
|  | 1989' | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 125 | 13.2 | 14.5 | 9.6 | 79 | 5.2 | 43 | 7.5 | 158 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 2.0 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 100 | 19.7 | -0.2 | 16.5 | 64 | 14.9 | -7.6 | 12.6 | 155 | 43 | 7.9 | 3.5 |
| Bolivia | 77 | -4.9 | 4.6 | 33.3 | 89 | -3.7 | -0.7 | 10.7 | 86 | -1.3 | 5.4 | 20.4 |
| Ecuador | 93 | -7.5 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 56 | 2.1 | -9.4 | 8.7 | 166 | -9.5 | 20.4 | -1.7 |
| Mexico | 142 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 64 | 10.9 | -6.3 | 9.2 | 222 | 16.2 | 6.7 | 0.9 |
| Peru | 91 | 5.2 | 13 | 31.5 | \% | 11.5 | 19.7 | 18 | 94 | -5.6 | -15.3 | 29.2 |
| Venezuela | 68 | 15.8 | -3.2 | 26.4 | 60 | 26.1 | -13.6 | 20.6 | 113 | -8.2 | 12.1 | 4.8 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 147 | 9.1 | 24.7 | 5.7 | 92 | -1.5 | 11.9 | 4.9 | 160 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 0.8 |
| South America | 158 | 11.0 | 28.2 | 53 | 91 | - | 12.5 | S3 | 174 | 10.9 | 14.1 | 0.1 |
| Argentina | 118 | -7.2 | 44.0 | 3.7 | 92 | 4.7 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 129 | -11.3 | 25.7 | -7.5 |
| Brazil | 171 | 16.7 | 29.2 | 1.8 | 89 | -2.2 | 10.7 | 3.9 | 192 | 19.3 | 16.7 | -2.0 |
| Colombia | 150 | -1.5 | 1.6 | 12.0 | 89 | -19.4 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 168 | 22.2 | -2.8 | 10.1 |
| Chile | 172 | 24.4 | 35.0 | 14.6 | 97 | 17.6 | 28.5 | 4.3 | 177 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 9.9 |
| Paraguay | 274 | 22.7 | 18.2 | 31.9 | 104 | 34.2 | 18.2 | 3.9 | 264 | -8.6 | 0.0 | 27.0 |
| Uruguay | 151 | 8.7 | 18.8 | 13.8 | 105 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 74 | -2.9 | 8.3 | 6.1 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 97 | -13 | 2.5 | 8.9 | 99 | -9.5 | 10.1 | 2.2 | 98 | 9.0 | -6.8 | 6.5 |
| Costa Rica | 132 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 12.2 | 91 | -6.8 | 6.4 | -3.5 | 146 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 16.3 |
| El Salvador | 46 | -24.0 | 3.0 | -18.4 | 72 | -28.4 | 15.8 | -2.2 | 64 | 6.1 | -11.0 | -16.6 |
| Guatemala | 78 | -6.5 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 80 | -18.0 | 8.5 | -6.0 | 99 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 18.1 |
| Haiti | 74 | 10.1 | -14.1 | -12.1 | 90 | 10.9 | -8.6 | -10.7 | 81 | -0.8 | -6.0 | -1.6 |
| Honduras | 114 | -5.3 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 102 | -9.6 | 83 | 0.7 | 111 | 4.9 | -2.4 | 7.5 |
| Nicaragua | 65 | 19.3 | -20.3 | 24.3 | 95 | 3.8 | 15 | -2.3 | 69 | 15.0 | -21.5 | 27.1 |
| Panama | 120 | 5.3 | -5.6 | 15.8 | 122 | -3.5 | 10.1 | 5.3 | 99 | 9.1 | -14.3 | 10.0 |
| Dominican Republic | 96 | -1.5 | 25.6 | 3.4 | 110 | -8.9 | 29.8 | 18.9 | 87 | 8.2 | -3.2 | -13.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 20
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: IMPORTS OF GOODS FOB
(Indexes: $1980=100$ and growth rates)

|  | Value |  |  |  | Unit value |  |  |  | Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In- | Growth rates |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { In- } \\ 1989^{\mathrm{a}} \end{array}$ | Growth rates |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \hline \text { dex } \\ & 1989^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Growth rates |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { dex } \\ 1989^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 90 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12 | 98 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 92 | 63 | 7.1 | 3.4 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 98 | 11.5 | 31.6 | -1.7 | 98 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 99 | 7.5 | 22.1 | -5.1 |
| Bolivia | 91 | 8.3 | -23.4 | 5.2 | 96 | -0.9 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 95 | 9.3 | -28.1 | 3.0 |
| Ecuador | 75 | 25.9 | -21.4 | 4.4 | 89 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 84 | 21.6 | -26.5 | 0.2 |
| Mexico | 124 | 6.5 | 55.3 | 23.6 | 100 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 124 | 1.5 | 44.6 | 20.1 |
| Peru | 66 | 23.1 | -13.4 | -26.3 | 91 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 73 | 20.5 | -17.6 | -28.7 |
| Venezuela | 66 | 12.3 | 31.1 | -38.3 | 97 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 68 | 8.3 | 22.9 | -40.0 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 85 | 133 | 0.5 | 15.1 | 98 | 12 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 86 | 5.6 | -32 | 10.8 |
| South America | 80 | 13.8 | 2.1 | 15.1 | 99 | 8.7 | 43 | 4.5 | 81 | 4.6 | -2.1 | 10.1 |
| Argentina | 41 | 22.6 | -8.9 | -21.1 | 114 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 36 | 14.9 | -15.9 | -25.5 |
| Brazil | 80 | 7.4 | -3.0 | 25.2 | 99 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 82 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 4.9 |
| Colombia | 110 | 11.3 | 19.0 | 4.7 | 100 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 111 | 6.1 | 14.8 | -0.7 |
| Chile | 119 | 28.9 | 21.0 | 34.5 | 98 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 122 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 26.5 |
| Paraguay | 121 | 5.8 | -4.4 | 10.0 | 78 | -2.7 | 4.9 | -1.3 | 156 | 8.8 | -8.8 | 11.4 |
| Uruguay | 68 | 32.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 92 | 8.9 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 74 | 21.7 | 0.1 | -4.3 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 108 | 123 | -4.0 | 14.8 | 97 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 111 | 9.0 | -6.6 | 12.7 |
| Costa Rica | 115 | 19.3 | 2.7 | 23.4 | 99 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 116 | 16.5 | -1.4 | 18.5 |
| El Salvador | 114 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 9.0 | 115 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 99 | -2.2 | -1.8 | 2.9 |
| Guatemala | 103 | 52.1 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 94 | 4.1 | 3.6 | -1.4 | 110 | 46.2 | 2.5 | 8.7 |
| Haiti | 82 | 2.6 | -8.8 | -8.7 | 105 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 78 | 1.1 | -9.0 | -10.0 |
| Honduras | 101 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 117 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 90 | 0.2 | 0.8 | -0.7 |
| Nicaragua | 79 | 1.0 | -2.2 | -11.8 | 103 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 76 | -5.2 | -4.1 | -17.7 |
| Panama | 106 | 5.2 | -17.5 | 26.2 | 92 | 2.3 | 2.0 | -1.2 | 118 | 2.8 | -19.1 | 27.7 |
| Dominican Republic | 129 | 17.7 | 1.0 | 22.1 | 93 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 139 | 12.9 | -1.2 | 16.2 |

Source: BCIAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 21
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TERMS OF TRADE
FOR FOB/FOB (GOODS)
(Indexes 1980-100)

|  | Latin America and the Caribbean |  | Oil-exporting countries* |  | Non-oil-exporting countries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | c il $\%$ Am_ . b South America | Central America and the Caribbean ${ }^{0}$ |  |
|  | Index | Variation |  |  | Index | Variation | Index | Variation | Index | Variation |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 23.2 | 100.0 | -10.6 | 100.0 | -5.8 |
| 1981 | 94.4 | -5.6 | 100.4 | 0.4 | 88.8 | -11.2 | 92.9 | -7.1 |
| 1982 | 85.7 | -9.2 | 90.1 | -103 | 81.2 | -8.6 | 84.8 | -8.7 |
| 1983 | 86.8 | 1.3 | 94.6 | 5.0 | 80.9 | -0.4 | 87.7 | 3.4 |
| 1984 | 92.5 | 6.6 | 96.5 | 2.0 | 90.1 | 11.4 | 92.0 | 4.9 |
| 1985 | 88.4 | -4.4 | 95.3 | -1.2 | 83.2 | -7.7 | 88.0 | -4.3 |
| 1986 | 79.0 | -10.6 | 63.5 | -33.4 | 92.3 | 10.9 | 108.1 | 22.8 |
| 1987 | 78.6 | -0.5 | 70.2 | 10.6 | 85.0 | -7.9 | 95.1 | -12.0 |
| 1988 | 77.6 | -1.3 | 60.2 | -14.2 | 91.6 | 7.8 | 101.8 | 7.0 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 80.4 | 3.6 | 65.8 | 8.8 | 92.3 | 0.8 | 102.1 | 0.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Includes Bolivia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru and Venezuela. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay. ${ }^{c}$ Includes Costa Rica, EI Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama and the Dominican Republic. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 22

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TERMS OF TRADE FOR FOB/CIF GOODS

(Indexes: $1980=100$ and growth rates)

|  | Indexes |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 91 | 87 | 77 | 77 | 76 | 78 | -11.0 | -0.5 | -1.4 | 3.8 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 94 | 93 | 62 | 68 | 59 | 64 | -33.8 | 10.6 | -14.0 | 8.9 |
| Bolivia | 105 | 97 | 88 | 85 | 79 | 86 | -9.0 | -3.2 | -7.4 | 8.1 |
| Ecuador | 92 | 93 | 70 | 69 | 58 | 61 | -25.1 | -1.1 | -15.8 | 4.3 |
| Mexico | 85 | 86 | 64 | 68 | 59 | 63 | -25.7 | 5.6 | -12.7 | 6.1 |
| Peru | 91 | 88 | 84 | 92 | 104 | 102 | -3.8 | 8.5 | 13.6 | -1.6 |
| Venezuela | 112 | 107 | 52 | 63 | 51 | 60 | -51.4 | 21.3 | -18.6 | 17.2 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 88 | 82 | 91 | 84 | 90 | 91 | 11.9 | -8.1 | 13 | 1.1 |
| South America | 89 | 82 | 90 | 83 | 90 | 90 | 10.2 | -7.7 | 13 | 0.8 |
| Argentina | 96 | 80 | 72 | 71 | 75 | 79 | -9.5 | -2.2 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| Brazil | 85 | 83 | 95 | 85 | 91 | 90 | 15.0 | -10.5 | 6.5 | -1.0 |
| Colombia | 99 | 90 | 116 | 90 | 90 | 87 | 28.8 | -23.0 | 0.7 | -3.4 |
| Chile | 76 | 71 | 77 | 81 | 99 | 97 | 8.6 | 4.7 | 22.4 | -1.9 |
| Paraguay | 138 | 118 | 76 | 104 | 115 | 120 | -35.1 | 36.9 | 9.8 | 4.5 |
| Uruguay | 96 | 87 | 100 | 104 | 111 | 111 | 15.0 | 3.5 | 6.4 | 0.6 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 90 | 85 | 105 | 92 | 98 | 98 | 22.7 | -123 | 6.7 | 0.2 |
| Costa Rica | 87 | 85 | 102 | 92 | 94 | 87 | 20.1 | -9.4 | 2.1 | -7.4 |
| El Salvador | 71 | 68 | 87 | 59 | 66 | 61 | 28.0 | -31.8 | 11.9 | -7.5 |
| Guatemala | 86 | 81 | 104 | 82 | 85 | 81 | 29.1 | -21.0 | 3.8 | -5.1 |
| Haiti | 79 | 82 | 94 | 102 | 92 | 80 | 15.1 | 7.7 | -9.7 | -12.2 |
| Honduras | 93 | 80 | 99 | 87 | 92 | 88 | 22.7 | -11.7 | 6.0 | -4.8 |
| Nicaragua | 103 | 94 | 99 | 97 | 96 | 88 | 5.7 | -2.7 | -0.5 | -8.4 |
| Panama | 97 | 98 | 122 | 115 | 125 | 133 | 23.7 | -5.4 | 8.4 | 6.4 |
| Dominican Republic | 93 | 80 | 91 | 79 | 100 | 113 | 14.5 | -13.4 | 26.6 | 13.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 23
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PRICES OF MAIN EXPORT PRODUCTS
(Dolían at current prices)

|  | Annual averages |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  | Cumulative variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1980 \end{aligned}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | $\begin{gathered} 1989 / \\ 1970-1980 \end{gathered}$ |
| Unrefined sugar " | 12.8 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 48.8 | 11.5 | 50.0 | 25.5 |  |
| Coffee (mild) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 121.8 | 192.7 | 112.3 | 135.1 | 107.0 | 32.3 | -41.7 | 20.3 | -20.8 | -12.2 |
| Cocoa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 86.3 | 93.8 | 90.6 | 72.1 | 56.5 | -8.3 | -3.4 | -20.4 | -21.6 | -34.5 |
| Bananas ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.8 | 22.1 | 26.5 | 24.6 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 19.9 | -7.2 | -17.0 | 73.0 |
| Wheat ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 125.1 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 146.0 | 170.0 | -16.7 |  | 27.0 | 16.4 | 35.9 |
| Maize | 127.5 | 112.9 | 109.4 | 135.7 | 140.8 | -16.6 | -3.1 | 24.0 | 3.8 | 10.4 |
| Beef ${ }^{8}$ | 82.2 | 95.0 | 108.2 | 114.2 | 116.5 | -2.8 | 13.9 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 41.7 |
| Fish meal | 354.7 | 321.0 | 383.0 | 544.0 | 408.0 | 14.6 | 19.3 | 42.0 | -25.0 | 15.0 |
| Soya beans | 232.4 | 208.0 | 216.0 | 304.0 | 275.0 | -7.6 | 3.8 | 40.7 | -9.5 | 18.3 |
| Cotton ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 61.2 | 52.9 | 76.1 | 63.4 | 75.9 | -14.3 | 43.9 | -16.7 | 19.7 | 24.0 |
| Wool ${ }^{8}$ | 131.5 | 147.7 | 182.9 | 207.1 | 203.7 | 5.0 | 23.8 | 13.2 | -1.6 | 54.9 |
| Copper ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 69.6 | 62.3 | 80.8 | 117.9 | 129.4 | -3.1 | 29.7 | 45.9 | 9.8 | 85.9 |
| T ü / | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | -51.9 | 19.2 | 6.5 | 19.4 | 10 |
| Iron ore | 17.6 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 24.3 | -1.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 9.0 | 38.1 |
| Lead ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 25,3 | 18.4 | 27.1 | 29.8 | 30.6 | -1.8 4.0 | 47.3 | 10.0 | 2.7 | 21.0 |
| Zinc ${ }^{8}$ | 29.7 | 38.0 | 42.4 | 60.2 | 82.0 | -5.9 | 11.6 | 42.0 | 36.2 | 176.1 |
| Bauxite ${ }^{\text {b d }}$ | 103.5 | 165.2 | 164.8 | 164.8 |  | 0.5 | -0.2 |  |  |  |
| Saudi Arabia | 10.0 | 12.5 | 16.3 | 12.8 | 16.2 | -49.0 | 30.4 | -21.5 | 26.6 | 62.0 |
| Ecuador | - | 15.0 | 18.0 | 15.2 | 17,6 | -43.6 | 20.0 | -15.6 | 15.8 |  |
| Mexico | - | 13.0 | 16.6 | 12.9 | 15.2 | -47.2 | 27.7 | -22.3 | 17.8 |  |
| Venezuela | 10.1 | 12.5 | 16.2 | 12.3 | 15.7 | -47.9 | 29.6 | -24.1 | 27.6 | 55.4 |

Source: UNCTAD, Monthly Bulletin of Commodity Prices, Supplements 1960-1984 and January 1990; International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, Yearbooks 1981 and January 1990; Petroleum Intelligence Weekly, 1984-1990, various issues; Energy Economics Research Limited, Oil and Energy Trends, April 1989 and ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
Note: Unrefined sugar, FOB, Caribbean ports, for export to the free market. Coffee, mild arabica ex-dock New York. Cocoa beans, average of daily prices (futures) New York/London. Central American bananas, CIF North Sea ports. Cotton, Mexican M1-3/32", CIF Northern Europe. Wool, clean, combed, 48"squality, United Kingdom. Beef, frozen, boneless, all sources, United States ports. Fish meal, all sources, $64-65 \%$ protein, CIF Hamburg. Wheat, FOB United States, No. 2, Hard Red Winter. Maize, Argentina, CIF North Sea Ports. Soya beans, United States, No. 2, yellow, in bulk, CIF Rotterdam. Copper, tin, lead and zinc, cash quotation on the London Metal Exchange. Iron ore, Liberia, C $61 \%$ Fe, CIF North Sea ports. Bauxite, Guyana (Baltimore). Oil, Saudi Arabia Heavy-27 (Gulf Coast, United States); Ecuador, Oriente-30 (Gulf Coast, United States); Mexico, Maya Heavy-22 (Gulf Coast, United States); Venezuela, Tía Juana-22 (Caribbean).
" US cents per pound. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Dollars per metric ton. 'Dollars per pound. January-May average, 1988. 'Dollars per barrel.

Table 24
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PURCHASING POWER OF EXPORTS OF GOODS
$($ Indexes $1980=100)$

|  | Latin America and the Caribbean |  | Oil-exporting countries* |  | Non-oil-exporting countries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\overline{\text { south America }} \cdot \mathrm{b}$ |  | Central America and the "Caribbean |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Index | Variation | Index | Variation |  |  | Index | Variation | Index | Variation |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 10.6 | 100.0 | 26.6 | 100.0 | -3.3 | 100.0 | 13.0 |
| 1981 | 102.1 | 2.1 | 105.2 | 5.2 | 100.7 | 0.7 | 92.6 | -7.4 |
| 1982 | 94.2 | -7.7 | 99.8 | -5.1 | 90.5 | -10.1 | 82.1 | -11.3 |
| 1983 | 104.6 | 11.0 | 113.2 | 13.4 | 100.6 | 11.2 | 79.1 | -3.7 |
| 1984 | 118.6 | 13.4 | 122.4 | 8.1 | 122.3 | 21.6 | 82.0 | 3.7 |
| 1985 | 113.6 | -4.2 | 114.2 | -6.7 | 119.9 | -2.0 | 81.3 | -0.9 |
| 1986 | 101.2 | -10.9 | 82.0 | -28.2 | 124.1 | 3.5 | 94.0 | 15.6 |
| 1987 | 108.0 | 6.7 | 94.0 | 14.6 | 127.3 | 2.6 | 90.3 | -3.9 |
| 1988 | 117.1 | 8.4 | 87.7 | -6.7 | 155.6 | 22.2 | 90.1 | -0.2 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 123.4 | 5.4 | 99.3 | 13.2 | 156.0 | 0.3 | 96.7 | 7.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{5}$ Includes Bolivia, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru and Venezuela. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Includes Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama and the Dominican Republic. ${ }^{\dot{a}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 25
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PURCHASING POWER OF EXPORTS OF GOODS
(Indexes: $1980=100$ and growth rates)

|  | Indexes |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 119 | 114 | 101 | 108 | 117 | 123 | -10.9 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 5.4 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 122 | 114 | 82 | 94 | 88 | 99 | -28.2 | 14.6 | -6.7 | 13.2 |
| Bolivia | 80 | 67 | 61 | 58 | 57 | 74 | -9.0 | -4.4 | -2.4 | 30.2 |
| Ecuador | 117 | 136 | 108 | 97 | 98 | 101 | -20.5 | -10.4 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| Mexico | 162 | 150 | 113 | 139 | 130 | 139 | -24.3 | 22.8 | -6.9 | 7.1 |
| Peru | 89 | 90 | 77 | 79 | 76 | 97 | -14.0 | 2.3 | -3.8 | 27.1 |
| Venezuela | 99 | 89 | 54 | 60 | 55 | 68 | -38.9 | 11.5 | -8.8 | 22.9 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 115 | 113 | 119 | 121 | 144 | 145 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 19.2 | 1.0 |
| South America | 122 | 120 | 124 | 127 | 156 | 156 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 22.2 | 03 |
| Argentina | 115 | 115 | 91 | 79 | 104 | 102 | -21.1 | -13.3 | 32.1 | -2.0 |
| Brazil | 136 | 134 | 134 | 143 | 177 | 172 | -0.2 | 6.7 | 24.2 | -2.9 |
| Colombia | 113 | 94 | 149 | 140 | 137 | 146 | 56.3 | -5.8 | -2.1 | 6.3 |
| Chile | 88 | 97 | 112 | 124 | 160 | 172 | 15.8 | 10.7 | 28.6 | 7.8 |
| Paraguay | 115 | 112 | 173 | 217 | 238 | 316 | 55.2 | 25.1 | 9.8 | 32.7 |
| Uruguay | 100 | 90 | 130 | 131 | 151 | 161 | 44.4 | 0.4 | 15.3 | 6.7 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 82 | 81 | 94 | 90 | 90 | 97 | 15.6 | -3.9 | -02 | 73 |
| Costa Rica | 104 | 96 | 116 | 116 | 118 | 127 | 21.1 | -0.4 | 2.1 | 7.7 |
| El Salvador | 63 | 58 | 70 | 51 | 51 | 39 | 20.2 | -27.6 | -0.3 | -22.9 |
| Guatemala | 75 | 71 | 75 | 68 | 71 | 80 | 6.2 | -9.8 | 4.7 | 12.1 |
| Haiti | 96 | 101 | 84 | 89 | 76 | 65 | -17.2 | 6.9 | -15.2 | -13.6 |
| Honduras | 82 | 83 | 100 | 92 | 95 | 98 | 19.9 | -7.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| Nicaragua | 93 | 71 | 59 | 66 | 52 | 60 | -16.1 | 11.8 | -21.8 | 16.4 |
| Panama | 76 | 91 | 117 | 121 | 112 | 131 | 28.3 | 3.3 | -7.1 | 17.1 |
| Dominican Republic | 98 | 84 | 87 | 82 | 100 | 98 | 3.8 | -6.4 | 22.6 | -1.6 |

[^11]${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 26
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TRADE BALANCE
(Millions of dollars)

|  | Exports of goods FOB |  |  | Imports of goods FOB |  |  | Merchandise trade balance |  |  | Net service payments ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Trade balar' ${ }^{\text {\%**** }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean (19 countries) | 88528 | 101318 | 111047 | 67080 | 75784 | 81242 | 21448 | 25533 | 29805 | 3496 | 4016 | 2678 | 17953 | 21517 | 27125 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 36417 | 36324 | 42323 | 26909 | 35387 | 34790 | 9507 | 936 | 7533 | 130 | -5 | -993 | 9378 | 941 | 8526 |
| Bolivia | 519 | 543 | 723 | 646 | 495 | 521 | -128 | 47 | 202 | 139 | 113 | 120 | -267 | -66 | 82 |
| Ecuador | 2021 | 2203 | 2354 | 2054 | 1614 | 1685 | -33 | 589 | 669 | 315 | 245 | 213 | -348 | 344 | 456 |
| Mexico | 20649 | 20648 | 22765 | 12199 | 18945 | 23410 | 8450 | 1703 | -645 | -2 083 | -2 426 | -2648 | 10533 | 4129 | 2003 |
| Peru | 2661 | 2696 | 3546 | 3178 | 2752 | 2029 | -517 | -56 | 1517 | 423 | 452 | 367 | -940 | -508 | 1150 |
| Venezuela | 10567 | 10234 | 12935 | 8832 | 11581 | 7145 | 1735 | -1347 | 5790 | 1336 | 1611 | 955 | 400 | -2958 | 4835 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 52111 | 64994 | 68724 | 40171 | 40397 | 46452 | 11941 | 24597 | 22272 | 3366 | 4021 | 3671 | 8575 | 20576 | 18599 |
| South America | 44879 | 57578 | 60646 | 30081 | 30716 | 35334 | 14798 | 26862 | 25312 | 4269 | 4944 | 4906 | 10529 | 21916 | 20405 |
| Argentina | 6359 | 9160 | 9500 | 5384 | 4907 | 3870 | 975 | 4253 | 5630 | 719 | 722 | 630 | 256 | 3531 | 5000 |
| Brazil | 26156 | 33789 | 34392 | 15050 | 14605 | 18281 | 11106 | 19184 | 16111 | 2361 | 3018 | 2777 | 8745 | 16166 | 13334 |
| Colombia | 5254 | 5340 | 5978 | 3794 | 4515 | 4726 | 1460 | 825 | 1252 | 790 | 548 | 702 | 670 | 277 | 550 |
| Chile | 5224 | 7052 | 8080 | 3994 | 4833 | 6502 | 1230 | 2219 | 1578 | 463 | 645 | 772 | 767 | 1574 | 806 |
| Paraguay | 704 | 832 | 1097 | 779 | 744 | 819 | -75 | 88 | 278 | -25 | 37 | 57 | -51 | 50 | 221 |
| Uruguay | 1182 | 1405 | 1599 | 1080 | 1112 | 1136 | 102 | 293 | 463 | -39 | -26 | -32 | 142 | 318 | 494 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 7232 | 7416 | 8078 | 10090 | 9681 | 11118 | -2 857 | -2 265 | -3040 | -903 | -923 | -1235 | -1954 | -1340 | -1806 |
| Costa Rica | 1109 | 1181 | 1325 | 1245 | 1279 | 1578 | -136 | -98 | -253 | 47 | -11 | -10 | -183 | -87 | -243 |
| El Salvador | 591 | 609 | 497 | 925 | 937 | 1021 | -334 | -328 | -524 | -47 | -17 | -17 | -287 | -311 | -507 |
| Guatemala | 980 | 1073 | 1191 | 1330 | 1413 | 1514 | -350 | -340 | -323 | 108 | 124 | 80 | -459 | -464 | ${ }^{\wedge} 03$ |
| Haiti | 210 | 180 | 159 | 311 | 284 | 259 | -101 | -104 | -100 | 80 | 103 | 102 | -181 | -206 | -203 |
| Honduras | 844 | 893 | 967 | 894 | 917 | 964 | -50 | -24 | 3 | 69 | 79 | 83 | -118 | -102 | -80 |
| Nicaragua | 295 | 235 | 292 | 734 | 718 | 633 | -439 | ^ 83 | -341 | 129 | 98 | 77 | -568 | -581 | -418 |
| Panama | 2492 | 2352 | 2723 | 3059 | 2525 | 3185 | -566 | -173 | -462 | -804 | -814 | -796 | 238 | 641 | 334 |
| Dominican Republic | 711 | 893 | 924 | 1592 | 1608 | 1964 | -881 | -715 | -1040 | -485 | ${ }^{\wedge}$ »85 | -754 | -396 | -230 | -286 |

[^12](Millions of dollars)

|  | Trade balance |  |  | Net payments of profits and interest* |  |  | Balance on current account ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Balance on capital account ${ }^{0}$ |  |  | Total balance" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean (19 countries) | 17953 | 21517 | 27125 | 30906 | 33584 | 36592 | -10 863 | -9 791 | 4864 | 14601 | 3849 | 10512 | 3738 | -5 942 | 3648 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 9378 | 941 | 8526 | 10779 | 11781 | 12912 | -1130 | -10498 | -4 024 | 4969 | J91 | 6613 | 3839 | -10 889 | 2589 |
| Bolivia | -267 | -66 | 82 | 277 | 263 | 255 | -526 | -316 | -151 | 478 | 274 | 9 | -48 | -42 | -142 |
| Ecuador | -348 | 344 | 456 | 915 | 1001 | 996 | -1263 | -657 | -540 | 1163 | 671 | 994 | -100 | 14 | 454 |
| Mexico | 10533 | 4129 | 2003 | 7195 | 7713 | 8123 | 3721 | -3130 | -5 647 | 1951 | -3666 | 6043 | 5672 | -67\% | 3\% |
| Peru | -940 | -508 | 1150 | 1017 | 1226 | 1273 | -1959 | -1734 | -123 | 1297 | 1766 | 1051 | -662 | 32 | 928 |
| Venezuela | 400 | -2958 | 4835 | 1375 | 1579 | 2265 | -1103 | -4661 | 2437 | 80 | 564 | -1484 | -1023 | -4 097 | 953 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 8575 | 20576 | 18599 | 20127 | 21803 | 23680 | -9 733 | 707 | -2840 | 9632 | 4240 | 3899 | -101 | 4947 | 1059 |
| South America | 10529 | 21916 | 20405 | 18642 | 20404 | 22331 | 4928 | 2645 | -514 | 7255 | 2099 | 1527 | 327 | 4744 | 1013 |
| Argentina | 256 | 3531 | 5000 | 4478 | 5125 | 6000 | $\wedge$ ^230 | -1594 | -1000 | 2281 | 3497 | -800 | -1949 | 1903 | -1800 |
| Brazil | 8745 | 16166 | 13334 | 10315 | 11371 | 12155 | -1456 | 4889 | 1424 | 3587 | -3184 | 470 | 2131 | 1705 | 1894 |
| Colombia | 670 | 277 | 550 | 1692 | 1597 | 1838 | -13 | -346 | -180 | -99 | 777 | 210 | -112 | 431 | 30 |
| Chile | 767 | 1574 | 806 | 1702 | 1919 | 1950 | -867 | -282 | -1086 | 1005 | 1106 | 1654 | 138 | 824 | 568 |
| Paraguay | -51 | 50 | 221 | 174 | 87 | 39 | -223 | -34 | 183 | 260 | -103 | 24 | 37 | -137 | 207 |
| Uruguay | 142 | 318 | 494 | 281 | 305 | 349 | -139 | 12 | 145 | 221 | 6 | -31 | 82 | 18 | 114 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | -1954 | -1340 | -1806 | 1487 | 1399 | 1350 | -2 805 | -1938 | -2 326 | 2377 | 2141 | 2372 | -428 | 203 | 46 |
| Costa Rica | -183 | -87 | -243 | 297 | 352 | 347 | ${ }^{\wedge}$ »41 | -399 | -546 | 480 | 623 | 686 | 39 | 224 | 140 |
| El Salvador | -287 | -311 | -507 | 147 | 146 | 141 | -233 | -231 | ${ }^{\wedge} 06$ | 182 | 295 | 344 | -51 | 64 | -62 |
| Guatemala | $\wedge$ ^59 | -464 | $\wedge 403$ | 172 | 166 | 180 | -528 | -477 | -388 | 474 | 411 | 450 | -54 | -66 | 62 |
| Haiti | -181 | -206 | -203 | 21 | 27 | 26 | -146 | -170 | -169 | 152 | 187 | 154 | 6 | 17 | -15 |
| Honduras | -118 | -102 | -80 | 239 | 265 | 263 | -341 | -350 | -325 | 406 | 369 | 350 | 65 | 19 | 25 |
| Nicaragua | -568 | -581 | ${ }^{\wedge} 18$ | 208 | 151 | 153 | -766 | -732 | -571 | 504 | 562 | 511 | -262 | -170 | -60 |
| Panama | 238 | 641 | 334 | 97 | -26 | 8 | 92 | 641 | 291 | -129 | -647 | -300 | -37 | -6 | -9 |
| Dominican Republic | -396 | -230 | -286 | 306 | 318 | 232 | ${ }^{\wedge}$ 》42 | -220 | -212 | 308 | 341 | 177 | -134 | 121 | -35 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Excluding labour and ownership. Including net unrequited private transfers.
${ }^{\circ}$ Including long- and short-term capital, unrequited official transfers, and errors and
omissions. Total balance is equal to variation in international reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 28
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: RATIO OF THE BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS DEFICIT ON CURRENT ACCOUNT TO THE VALUE OF EXPORTS OF GOODS AND SERVICES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 39 J | 7.1 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 17.3 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 5.0 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 26.7 | -16.9 | -14.5 | -73 | 15J | 2.5 | 22.2 | 73 |
| Bolivia | 22.2 | 23.8 | 29.4 | 47.5 | 69.9 | 80.9 | 47.1 | 17.4 |
| Ecuador | 46.6 | 1.1 | 5.8 | -2.1 | 25.4 | 6.7 | 24.9 | 19.1 |
| Mexico | 24.1 | -19.8 | -13.8 | -1.5 | 8.3 | -13.5 | 10.8 | 17.2 |
| Peru | 43.6 | 29.3 | 11.4 | 3.6 | 41.7 | 54.5 | 47.0 | 2.7 |
| Venezuela | 24.0 | -28.1 | -27.6 | -23.7 | 14.9 | 9.8 | 41.7 | -17.3 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 52.7 | 30.2 | 14.3 | 12.1 | 18.8 | 15.7 | -0.9 | 3.5 |
| South America | 583 | 31.9 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 19.5 | 13.5 | -4.1 | 0.7 |
| Argentina | 25.6 | 26.2 | 26.0 | 9.5 | 33.9 | 53.3 | 14.4 | 8.6 |
| Brazil | 743 | 29.0 | -0.1 | 1.3 | 21.5 | 5.2 | -13.6 | -3.8 |
| Colombia | 69.1 | 79.9 | 27.3 | 40.6 | -7.4 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 2.5 |
| Chile | 51.1 | 25.1 | 42.2 | 30.0 | 23.1 | 13.8 | 3.4 | 11.5 |
| Paraguay | 61.4 | 54.4 | 42.0 | 21.2 | 46.6 | 23.3 | 3.1 | -13.1 |
| Uruguay | 15.9 | 5.0 | 10.8 | 10.4 | -2.8 | 9.0 | -0.7 | -7.3 |
| Central America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and the Caribbean | 27.1 | 21.7 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 15.4 | 27.0 | 18.2 | 19.8 |
| Costa Rica | 24.6 | 28.8 | 20.5 | 24.4 | 13.8 | 30.4 | 24.7 | 30.0 |
| El Salvador | 33.0 | 22.5 | 27.2 | 26.8 | 11.4 | 25.8 | 26.0 | 51.7 |
| Guatemala | 31.3 | 19.2 | 30.8 | 20.6 | 3.1 | 46.4 | 37.5 | 25.8 |
| Haiti | 69.8 | 60.4 | 56.7 | 56.7 | 49.6 | 45.6 | 61.8 | 69.0 |
| Honduras | 32.5 | 31.7 | 45.6 | 37.4 | 29.0 | 35.2 | 34.5 | 29.7 |
| Nicaragua | 124.8 | 134.9 | 168.8 | 235.6 | 271.6 | 235.0 | 266.2 | 166.5 |
| Panama | 4.2 | -10.5 | -2.5 | -4.4 | -6.3 | -2.5 | -18.2 | -7.6 |
| Dominican Republic | 40.1 | 35.3 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 28.4 | 12.5 | 9.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Negative figures indicate a surplus on the balanceof-payments current account. ' Preliminary figures.

Table 29
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NET INFLOW OF CAPITAL AND TRANSFER OF RESOURCES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Billions of dollars and percentages)

|  | Effective net | Unregistered transactions (2) | Net inflow of capital (1+2) (3) | $2 / 1$ <br> (4) | Net payments of profits and interest (5) | Transfer of resources |  | Exports of goods and services <br> (8) | 6/8 <br> (9) | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | of capi- <br> (1) |  |  |  |  | $(1-5)$ <br> (6) | $(3-5)$ <br> (7) |  |  | (10) |
| 1980 | 35.1 | -5.8 | 29.3 | -16.5 | 18.1 | 17.0 | 11.2 | 104.2 | 16.3 | 10.7 |
| 1981 | 48.5 | -10.8 | 37.7 | -22.2 | 27.5 | 21.0 | 10.2 | 112.4 | 18.7 | 9,1 |
| 1982 | 303 | -10.2 | 20.1 | -33.7 | 38.8 | -8.5 | -18.7 | 99.6 | -8.5 | -18.8 |
| 1983 | 6.1 | -3.0 | 3.1 | -49.2 | 34.6 | -28.5 | -31.5 | 99.4 | -28.7 | -31.7 |
| 1984 | 11.4 | -1.3 | 10.1 | -11.4 | 36.8 | -25.4 | -26.7 | 110.8 | -22.9 | -24.1 |
| 1985 | 53 | -2.6 | 2.7 | -49.1 | 35.3 | -30.0 | -32.6 | 106.0 | -28.3 | -30.8 |
| 1986 | 10.0 | -0.2 | 9.8 | -0.2 | 32.5 | -22.5 | -22.7 | 91.8 | -24.5 | -24.7 |
| 1987 | 13.0 | 1.7 | 14.7 | 13.1 | 30.8 | -17.8 | -16.1 | 103.7 | -17.2 | -15.5 |
| 1988 | 6.2 | -1.7 | 4.5 | -27.9 | 33.6 | -27.5 | -29.1 | 119.3 | -23.1 | -24.4 |
| 1989 d |  |  | 10.8 |  | 36.6 |  | -25.8 | 132.4 |  | -19.5 |

Source: 1980-1987: ECIAC, on the basis of data from the International Monetary Fund; 1988 and 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from national sources.
' Eighteen countries. Does not include Panama. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Equivalent to net inflow of capital minus unregistered transactions. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Corresponds to balance-of-payments item 'errors and omissions'. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Preliminary estimates.

Table 30
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NET TRANSFER OF RESOURCES ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Net transfer of resources (millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  | Relation between the net transfer of resources and exports of goods and services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1982-1989 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{c}$ | -32 610 | -22 562 | -16 087 | -29 116 | -25 773 | -203 006 | -30.7 | -24.6 | -15.5 | -24.4 | -19.5 |
| Oil-exporting countries | -18818 | -10124 | -5 818 | -12 172 | -6 299 | -106156 | -37.0 | -26.2 | -12.8 | -25.8 | -11.4 |
| Bolivia | -66 | 277 | 201 | 11 | -246 | -180 | -9.2 | 41.6 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 28.4 |
| Ecuador | -981 | -402 | 247 | -330 | -2 | -2822 | -29.8 | -15.5 | 38.0 | -49.2 | -0.2 |
| Mexico | -12213 | -6192 | -5 244 | -11378 | -2 080 | -71614 | -44.5 | -283 | -19.0 | -39.2 | -63 |
| Peru | -837 | 106 | 278 | 540 | -222 | -87 | -22.1 | 3.1 | 7.7 | 14.6 | -4.9 |
| Venezuela | -4 721 | -3 913 | -1294 | -1015 | -3749 | -31453 | -54.7 | -38.7 | -11.5 | -9.1 | -26.6 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | -13 792 | -12438 | -10 269 | -16 944 | -19 474 | -96 850 | -25.0 | -23.4 | -17.7 | -23.5 | -25.2 |
| South America | -15 117 | -13080 | -11387 | -18 307 | -20 805 | -105 974 | -30.9 | -28.1 | -22.1 | -28.2 | -30.0 |
| Argentina | -3 385 | -2240 | -2197 | -1630 | -6 800 | -27 756 | -33.6 | -26.6 | -27.0 | -14.7 | -58.6 |
| Brazil | -11378 | -9119 | -6 728 | -14555 | -11685 | -68 038 | -41.2 | -37.6 | -23.9 | -40.4 | -31.1 |
| Colombia | 389 | -806 | -1791 | -820 | -1628 | -3 893 | 8.7 | -12.4 | -28.0 | -123 | -22.2 |
| Chile | -655 | -957 | -697 | -813 | -296 | -5175 | -14.7 | -18.7 | -11.0 | -9.8 | -3.1 |
| Paraguay | 62 | 74 | 86 | -190 | -16 | 770 | 5.7 | 93 | 9.0 | -17.0 | -1.1 |
| Uruguay | -150 | -32 | -60 | -299 | -380 | -1882 | -12.0 | -2.1 | -3.9 | -17.0 | -19.1 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 1325 | 642 | 1118 | 1363 | 1331 | 9124 | 21.4 | 9.7 | 16.8 | 19.2 | 16.8 |
| Costa Rica | 74 | 12 | 183 | 271 | 339 | 842 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 12.6 | 16.8 | 18.6 |
| El Salvador | 153 | 41 | 35 | 149 | 203 | 904 | 16.8 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 16.8 | 25.9 |
| Guatemala | 180 | -61 | 304 | 245 | 270 | 1530 | 15.4 | -5.2 | 26.7 | 193 | 18.0 |
| Haiti | 176 | 154 | 131 | 160 | 129 | 1238 | 52.2 | 52.7 | 40.9 | 58.2 | 52.6 |
| Honduras | 112 | 99 | 167 | 104 | 87 | 815 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 7.9 |
| Nicaragua | 590 | 360 | 2\% | 411 | 358 | 3608 | 168.0 | 122.4 | 90.8 | 149.5 | 104.4 |
| Dominican Republic | 40 | 37 | 2 | 23 | -55 | 187 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 13 | -2.6 |

[^13]
## VIII. THE EXTERNAL DEBT

## 1. Main trends

The external debt of Latin America and the Caribbean declined slightly (less than $1 \%$ ) in 1989, dropping to a level of about US $\$ 417$ billion by the end of the year. Nevertheless, if world inflation is taken into account, the external debt decreased in real terms by approximately $4 \%$, following a similar real contraction in 1988 (see table 31).

Stabilization of the total debt was the net result of quite different factors. On the one hand, the revaluation of the dollar against the yen contributed to reducing the debt by more than US $\$ 3$ billion. Net amortization payments paid by some countries to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and other official creditors exerted influence in the same direction. National debt-conversion programmes likewise enabled some external obligations to be reduced, although by a much smaller amount than in 1988, owing to the tendency of many countries to restrict the scope of these financial operations. On the other hand, with the exception of one medium-term loan and another short-term one to Colombia and Venezuela, respectively, the private international banks did not make any new loans. Thus the factor that contributed most to increasing debt in a number of countries of the region was the accumulation of interest arrears. In fact, the only Latin American countries that avoided serious arrears during the course of the year were Colombia, Chile, Mexico and Uruguay. Among the creditors affected by the delay in debt service payments were private banks and some governments of industrialized countries; in addition, five countries of the region were in arrears significantly with multilateral financial institutions. The irregularity of payments also affected the continuous price fall, in secondary markets, of external bank promissory notes; the
weighted average price of the region's debt towards the end of the year was US $\$ 0.28$ to the dollar, whereas at the end of 1988 and during 1986 it had been US\$0.38 and US\$0.64, respectively (see table 38).

During 1989, the total debt declined in seven of the Latin American and Caribbean countries, in absolute terms, after having decreased in nine of them in 1988. The largest reductions took place in Bolivia (15\%), Chile (8\%), Venezuela (7\%) and Mexico (5\%). In Bolivia, the reduction was made possible by a new buyback of overdue debt with the commercial banks, at the price of US\$0.11 per dollar, and to the reciprocal debt-reduction agreement with Argentina. In Chile, the contraction of external obligations is attributable to the sustained dynamism of its debt-conversion programme. In Venezuela, the reduction of more than US\$2 billion worth of debt was the result of partial payment of interest arrears and short-term credits contracted during the preceding year. In Mexico, on the other hand, the debt reduction was arranged under the Brady Plan.

Countries that had a significant increase in their debt were El Salvador (11\%), Guyana and Uruguay (7\%), Argentina (6\%) and Nicaragua (5\%). Uruguay increased its debt through the public sector's contraction of obligations in foreign currency, in local financial markets, with residents or persons from neighbouring countries. In other cases, arrears in interest payments were an important factor in the increase in external obligations. There was a slight increase in the Brazilian debt (1\%), the net effect of very diverse situations. On the one hand, obligations increased because of the accumulation of arrears, while, on the other, net amortization payments to some official creditors, combined with the realization of informal debt-conversion operations, resulted in a sharp contraction.

The interest/exports ratio for the region as a whole declined for the third consecutive year, dropping to $28 \%$. This was due to the fact that, while total interest paid was greater -because of the rise in the effective interest rate on the debt- this increase was virtually offset by the rise in the value of external sales. However, the apparent stability of the average regional index resulted from opposing trends in the oil-exporting and non-oil-exporting countries. The increase in the value of exports of the first group resulted in a two-percentage point decline in the interest/exports ratio, whereas in the group of non-oil-exporting countries it remained at $28 \%$, with a slight decrease being noted in the Central American countries (see figure 18 and table 33).

One of the sharpest drops in the coefficient took place in Bolivia; there the increase in the value of exports and the contraction of interest payments which caused this result were attributable to debt-reduction operations. In Peru, likewise, the coefficient decreased, owing to the marked expansion of the value of exports. On the other hand, the most significant deterioration of this index was observed in Argentina, where the coefficient increased from $42 \%$ in 1988 to $47 \%$ in 1989 , the highest in the region, mainly as a result of the notable increase in interest payments.

As the amount of the debt slightly declined and exports rose, the debt/exports ratio for the region decreased from $334 \%$ in 1988 to $299 \%$ in 1989. The drop was greater in the oil-exporting countries, due to the better performance of their exports. Among the non-oil-exporting countries, Chile registered an additional significant decline in this coefficient, for the fourth consecutive year, by combining total debt reduction with export growth. The only countries where an important increase in the debt/exports ratio was observed were Haiti, El Salvador and Argentina. While the indexes for the external debt contracted by the region have improved in recent years, the decade has ended with a discouraging picture. In most countries the interest/exports ratio, like the debt/product ratio, continued at a higher level than would conventionally be considered acceptable.

## 2. Renegotiation of the external debt

The presentation of the Brady Plan in March 1989 gave a new impetus to the international debt-management strategy, which had begun to falter at the end of 1988. The new plan marked the fourth stage in the official management of the problem of highly-indebted developing countries, most of which are Latin American.

The first stage of the international debt-management strategy was launched in 1982. Since it was taken for granted, in the diagnosis phase, that the debtor countries' problem was only one of liquidity, the remedy recommended was austerity, together with the rescheduling of debt-service payments and the concession of involuntary loans, all under conditions that would not entail accounting losses for the lenders, mainly the commercial banks. The second stage began in 1985 with the advent of the Baker Plan. This time the diagnosis did not refer to short-term liquidity difficulties but rather to the existence of a prolonged structural problem. Policies to deal with this problem likewise changed: recommendations no longer advocated austerity, but rather "structural adjustment with growth". Moreover, in order to encourage growth, the plan proposed the obtention, over a period of three years, of US\$20 billion in new net bank commitments and US\$9 billion of net official credit for 17 countries, with a view to complementing rescheduling exercises already under way. Once more transactions would be realized at market rates, in order to avoid book losses for the banks.

The third stage of the strategy came into being in 1987, when the Baker Plan underwent a significant change. Since the strategy had not made it possible to obtain the amounts promised by way of net loans on the part of the banks, the Baker Plan created a new mechanism called the "market menu". In reality, although the banks were still formally urged to provide new resources as part of the reprogramming agreements, the Plan permitted the application of voluntary debt-reduction mechanisms, such as the conversion of debt at a discount, into new financial instruments or corporate capital, direct buybacks in the secondary market and others. Thus, for the first time, public
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the International Monetary Fund.
management of the problem admitted the existence of a debt overhang and the possibility that at least part of the outstanding obligations might remain unpaid.

During these first three stages, the international official sector remained in the background, providing general orientation, urging debtors and lenders to adjust to the general lines of the strategy, granting relatively low-risk loans to serve as a bridge for debtors who needed provisional financing prior to concluding rescheduling agreements, restructuring the debt with the Paris Club and providing direct credits, generally within the framework of official adjustment programmes. Great efforts were made to limit the intervention of the public sector, in order to support private solutions based on the market
and to avoid costs for taxpayers in creditor countries.

Of course, dealing with a systemic debt problem as though it were mainly a private affair between debtors and banks gave rise to impediments from the standpoint of possible efficient solutions at the social level. This contradiction perhaps reached its peak in the market menu approach proposed in the Baker Plan, which, in practice, removed all control over any type of special transactions for reducing the debt between debtor countries and creditor banks. In fact, as explained in detail in the Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean, 1988, the criterion of abiding by market options turned out to be very inefficient, and did not permit the creation of agreements that would generate significant
financing, at the macroeconomic level, for debtor countries' adjustment processes. This failure, together with the continued deterioration of the debtor countries' economies, led to the proposal of the Brady Plan.

## a) The scope of the Brady Plan

Although the Brady Plan was still in the formative stage in 1989, for the first time since 1982 international debt-management strategy was incorporating certain essential elements of a truly public solution. This was so because the initiative was destined to cause a direct, wide-ranging impact from international public financial and institutional resources on the private market, for the purpose of alleviating the debt service burden of the most highly indebted countries. Moreover, in recognition of the debt overhang that exists in a number of countries, the Plan primarily focused on debt reduction.

The initiative contains four central proposals of an innovative nature:

In the first place, it proposes that the banks suspend, for a period of three years, clauses that impede debt-reduction operations. These clauses, through which an effort is made to ensure equal treatment for all lenders, are included in almost all loan agreements of international banks. However they delay debt-reduction negotiations, since the initiation of debt buyback operations, either at a discount or through conversion into bonds, requires the prior approval of most of the banks in question.

In the second place, it calls on creditor governments to explore ways of reducing tax, accounting and regulatory impediments, in order that the banks may participate in debt-reduction programmes.

The third proposal contemplates, for the first time, openly committing public resources to supporting the debt-reduction process. With this aim in view, it recommends that the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund and other official credit sources grant loans to debtor countries that may be used to collateralize new bond issues, either in order to replace existing debt, at a discount, or as a means for recovering international reserves used in buyback operations. Public financing of debt reduction would then serve to overcome one of the obstacles that impeded this strategy in the second stage of the Baker Plan: the lack of resources on the part of the debtor countries for the repurchase or conversion of their external obligations.

The fourth proposal in the Brady initiative is that, when circumstances justify, the International Monetary Fund could be authorized to disburse loans for supporting the country's adjustment programme, even in cases were it might not have previously reached agreement on financing with the commercial creditor bank; this would mean a turnabout in the general policy applied by the Fund since 1982.

The proposals presented in the Brady Plan gradually began to be implemented over the course of the year. About US\$30 billion of official funds were committed for debt reduction. The Fund and the World Bank committed about US $\$ 12$ billion each and Japan agreed to provide the rest. During 1989, the governments of several countries, including the United States, France, Japan and the United Kingdom, applied their tax rules and regulations in a manner designed to facilitate the participation of the banks in debtreduction schemes. Likewise, the Fund showed willingness to disburse resources without having a prior agreement with the commercial banks, when conditions would so justify. Thus,

[^14]for example, although debt-reduction negotiations were still under way, in 1989 the Fund agreed to grant credits corresponding to the first stages of adjustment programmes in Argentina, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela. This was not the first time that the Fund proceeded in this way; however, the application of the new policy in the cases of three of the main third-world debtors represented a real advance in international debt-management strategy. Another achievement in 1989 was the conclusion of the first debt-reduction agreements, in principle, between the commercial banks and Mexico, Costa Rica and the Philippines.

## b) Brady-style debt reduction agreements

i) Mexico, The country started negotiating with the commercial banks in April 1989. In July it finally reached agreement with the Advisory Committee, through which commercial creditors had three options for tackling the eligible medium-term debt of US\$48 billion, out of a total bank debt of close to US\$68 billion. The first option consisted of converting debt, at $35 \%$ discount, into a single-maturity 30 -year bond, with an interest rate of $0.81 \%$ over the London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR). Through the second option, debt could be converted into a 30 -year par bond, likewise with a single maturity date, at a fixed interest rate of $6.25 \%$, in contrast to the over $9 \%$ commercial rate in effect in 1989. The third option offered to the banks included providing fresh funds during the next four years, in an amount equivalent to $25 \%$ of their exposure in Mexico; the conditions of the loans were set with a spread of $0.81 \%$ over LBOR, and for a maturity of 15 years, with a seven-year grace period. In addition, the banks could invoke a clause that would permit them, starting in 1996, to gradually increase the annual yield of their bonds up to a maximum of three percentage points if the price of oil exceeded, over a three-month period, US\$14 a barrel in real terms. They would also have the right to limited amounts of debt/equity swaps for the equivalent of US\$1 billion annually, over the following three and a half years.

Mexico committed itself to upgrade both types of bonds offered -discount and par-
through special guarantees. The principal of the bonds was backed up by the acquisition of a zero-coupon United States Treasury bond. In addition, a renewable collateral was granted on 18 months of interest. Another incentive for the banks consisted of a commitment, on the part of Mexico, not to request refinancing for the payment of interest.
ii) Costa Rica. The second initiative for applying the Brady Plan in Latin America occurred in Costa Rica, at the end of October. In principle, the agreement referred to US $\$ 1.5$ billion of medium-term bank debt and to US\$325 million of interest arrears accumulated since 1986. The agreement offered the banks two alternatives: the reduction of the debt and its servicing, without the option of providing new resources. Costa Rica would sell its debt at 16 cents on the dollar. An offer was made to the banks that were selling $60 \%$ or more of their portfolio to convert the rest of it into 20-year bonds (with 10 years of grace), at a fixed interest rate of $6.5 \%$, denominated series A bonds in reference to the principal. The banks whose sales were less than $60 \%$ of their portfolio would receive 25-year bonds, with 15 years of grace, at a fixed rate of $6.25 \%$ (series B bonds in reference to the principal).

Interest arrears on debt remaining after buy-back operations would be eliminated through a cash payment of $20 \%$ and the conversion of the balance into 15 -year bonds without a grace period, at a rate of $0.81 \%$ over LIBOR. These bonds likewise were denominated either series $A$ or series $B$, according to whether interest arrears corresponded to series A or series B principal. The banks would in addition have a clawback clause for the bonds, which would give them a greater yield when the gross domestic product exceeded by $20 \%$, in real terms, its 1989 level. Another section of the agreement provided that the government would sponsor debt/equity swaps for a minimum amount of US\$20 million a year for five years.

Special guarantees were offered by Costa Rica for the purpose of motivating participation in the buyback option. Banks that obtained series A (principal) bonds would receive a renewable guarantee of one year on the interest, while series B (principal) bonds
would be extended without guarantee. On the other hand, whereas series A (interest) bonds in arrears would have a three-year renewable guarantee, series B (interest) bonds in arrears would have no guarantee.

## c) The effects of the agreements

i) Mexico. The bank steering committee originally estimated that such institutions would select their options in such a way that $20 \%$ of the eligible debt would be converted into discount bonds, $60 \%$ into par bonds and $20 \%$ into fresh resources. However, the selection actually made by the banks was something different: $47 \%$ was converted into par bonds, $41 \%$ into discount bonds and $12 \%$ into new resources.

In this context, Mexico needed to finance nearly US $\$ 7.3$ billion worth of collateral on principal and interest. Its financing scheme has been the following: IMF (US\$1.7 billion), World Bank (US\$2 billion), Japan (US\$2.1 billion), Mexico (US\$1.3 billion), in addition to the temporary capitalization of interest that initially will be paid on the trust fund that backs the interest guarantee on the Mexican bonds (US\$2 billion). Official multilateral financing was distributed between the reallocation, for debt reduction, of loans from "existing" lending programmes and "additional" funds granted especially for guaranteeing the interest of new instruments.

The effects of the debt-reduction agreement have been nil in terms of conventional accounting. The discount-bond option reduced the debt by US\$7 billion but, on the other hand, the option of obtaining new resources and official loans for financing guarantees increased the country's debt by the same amount. It should be noted, however, that about US $\$ 3.5$ billion of official financing was earmarked for the purchase of a 30-year zero-coupon United States Treasury bond, that served as collateral for the principal of the 30 -year Mexican discount and par bonds, which were issued to the banks for a total of US $\$ 35$ billion. Thus, the principal of the

US\$35 billion debt overhang is actually paid off in advance.

The agreement made it possible to reduce Mexico's scheduled debt service by an average of US $\$ 3.8$ billion a year for the period 1990 to 1994, with US\$1.6 billion corresponding to interest reduction and the rest to decrease or postponement of amortization payments (see table 36). This is on the assumption that all of the amortization payments would have to be made on time, which does not always occur, since rescheduling of the principal has become almost a habit.

The net global effect of the agreement on cash flow is less than the reduction of the debt service. This is due to the relatively limited commitment of the banks to provide new resources and the amounts required for financing guarantees. Bank loans of fresh resources reached an average of US\$288 million per year, but after deducting the corresponding interest payments (US $\$ 122$ million), the cash flow probably reached an average of US\$166 million annually. On the other hand, the financing of guarantees generated a negative cash flow of over US $\$ 800$ million a year. Firstly, for debt-reduction purposes, official loans originally scheduled for the balance of payments (US\$570 million) were reallocated and, secondly, the country's international reserves were used to finance guarantees (see table 36).

The net effect of the funds flow agreement consequently amounted to US $\$ 950$ million annually for the period 1990 to 1994, i.e., about $0.5 \%$ of the gross domestic product (see table 36). This effect totals US $\$ 3.1$ billion annually if amortization payments are included, although these, as already mentioned, probably would have been rescheduled anyway.

Thus the agreement resulted in only a modest contribution to Mexico's cash flow situation. Moreover, its beneficial effects could be annulled by an increase of two points in international interest rates, or by a reduction of US\$2 in the price of the country's oil exports. Moreover, the transformation of the major

[^15]portion of the debt into bonds could complicate future debt management, if problems related to servicing the debt should continue, in view of the fact that the bonds do not allow for requesting new resources for refinancing interest payments. In addition, the danger of non-compliance makes it impossible to generate involuntary emergency financing, since private creditors can recur, for an 18-month period, to funds interest-guarantee in order to cover the scheduled payments. Finally, official default on the obligations created by the bonds can entail difficulties, since the bond holders at the international level have shown themselves to be traditionally susceptible and disposed to take legal action against the borrowers.

However, the benefits of the agreement cannot be evaluated only in terms of cash flow. Debt reduction also offers another important benefit: it has positive effects on private-sector expectations and investment-risk incentives. In fact, the first effects of the agreement, in terms of confidence, are considered to be attractive, in part because domestic interest rates in Mexico (on the three-months Treasury bonds) were reduced from $55 \%$ in July 1989 to $36 \%$ in September of the same year. This drop of almost $20 \%$ in domestic rates represented a potential annual saving equivalent to $4 \%$ of the gross domestic product in interest payments on the public sector's domestic indebtedness.
ii) Costa Rica. The final agreement seems to have covered a smaller proportion of the debt than that which was being considered when the agreement in principle between Costa Rica and its creditor banks was announced. Preliminary information indicates that the "eligible" debt reached a total of US\$1.6 billion (US\$1.2 billion in original debt plus US\$400 million in interest arrears), of which $62 \%$ was purchased at US $\$ 0.16$ per dollar. The remaining eligible debt, which totalled almost US $\$ 600$ million, was converted into bonds according to the following options: series A, US\$240 million, corresponding to the principal, and US\$50 million to interest arrears; series $B$, US\$230 million corresponding to the principal and US\$60 million to interest arrears.

The agreement contemplates US\$225 million in financing for repurchase, a cash
payment of $20 \%$ of interest arrears and guarantees on series A bond interest. The government had arranged financial commitments with a network of diverse bilateral sources, which included, among others, Taiwan (US\$40 million), United States (US\$63 million), Canada (US\$5 million) and the Netherlands (US\$5 million), as well as with IMF and the World Bank, from whose lending programmes US $\$ 60$ million were expected. However, shortly before the agreement was signed, IMF, the Bank and some bilateral sources withheld their support, since the country did not succeed in reaching a three-month fiscal goal in an adjustment programme with IMF. In spite of this, the agreement was concluded, since Costa Rica was able to cover the deficit through a US\$70 million loan from Mexico and Venezuela. Of total financing, only US\$120 million corresponded to external loans; the rest of the resources consisted of donations and financing from national sources.

As a result of the buyback, the commercial bank debt was reduced by US $\$ 990$ million, i.e., by slightly over $60 \%$. However, the net reduction was less (US $\$ 870$ million, or $19 \%$ of total external obligations), since US\$120 million in new official loans were contracted in order to finance the operation.

Paradoxically, the debt agreement increased the burden of servicing it which Costa Rica must pay. The country had agreed to a partial moratorium in 1986, limiting bank debt service to interest payments of between US\$30 and US\$35 million annually. Payments to the banks, however, had to be increased to US\$44 million in 1989, as part of the requisites of the adjustment programme arranged with IMF. Thus, the agreement with the banks basically formalized the partial moratorium of 1989, limiting bank debt service to an average of about US\$46 million annually at the beginning of the 1990s. However, if this is added to the cost of servicing official debts for financing guarantees, the average total debt service increased to US\$52 million (see table 37). The agreement permitted the country's outflow of debt-service payments to increase by $75 \%$ with respect to the amounts registered in the years of the moratorium. However, these are one third less than the US\$175 million in
annual interest paid on the old bank debt. Moreover, if the new debt service programme can be sustained, the cancellation of overdue bank loans could stimulate new flows of internal and external investment.

Finally, it should be pointed out that the debt-reduction agreement had an implicit element of forgiveness. Under normal conditions, the buyback tends to take place at prices that are equal to or higher than those of the secondary market. Whereas the Costa Rican debt was being transacted at US\$0.19 shortly before the new agreement, in accepting a price of US\$0.16 (lower than the market price) in the buyback operation, the banks discreetly cancelled part of the debt overhang.

## c) Other aspects

In addition to the agreements already mentioned, Venezuela participated actively in various negotiations with commercial banks during most of 1989, for the purpose of reaching a debt-reduction agreement. As a result of these negotiations, the government obtained a provisional short-term loan from the commercial banks of US $\$ 600$ million, to help to settle about US $\$ 900$ million worth of interest arrears which had been accumulating since the beginning of the year. The loan conditions included an amortization period of six months and an interest rate of $0.875 \%$ over LBOR. During the second half of 1989 , Argentina, Ecuador and Uruguay also initiated talks with their commercial-bank creditors about the possibility of adopting a Brady-style debt-reduction scheme.

In May of the same year, Bolivia arranged a discrete buyback of US\$106 million of debt arrears with the commercial bank, at a price of US $\$ 0.11$. This operation followed the one implemented in 1988, when bilateral donations were used to acquire almost half the bank debt at this same price. Through these two transactions Bolivia retired almost two thirds of its bank debt overhang, whereas the rest remained unpaid. The Government of Chile, for its part, repurchased US $\$ 140$ million worth of bank debt in November 1989, at a price of US\$0.58. At the Latin American regional level, a reciprocal debt-reduction agreement was arranged for US $\$ 800$ million in Bolivian
obligations and US\$300 million in Argentine debt.

In 1989, domestic debt-swapping operations did not play as important a role as in the preceding year, since many governments decided to limit the scope of their programmes. Chile was an exception, continuing to sponsor the most ambitious debt-conversion programme in the entire region, at a value of more than US $\$ 2$ billion in 1989. When this is added to figures for previous periods, starting in 1985 when the programme was initiated, the total is more than US\$8 billion. Venezuela, for its part, recently inaugurated a debt-swapping programme under which about US $\$ 325$ million of its pending obligations were converted during the year. Other programmes of this type were applied in Uruguay (US\$20 million), Peru (US $\$ 100$ million) and Jamaica (US $\$ 60$ million).

Negotiations between Honduras and its foreign bank creditors led to the rescheduling of US\$60 million worth of debt overhang in 1989, with payment periods of 14 to 20 years. In addition, $95 \%$ of the US $\$ 16$ million of interest in arrears was cancelled.

Colombia was the only country that obtained new medium-term bank loans in 1989. Following arduous negotiations, it concluded an agreement with creditor banks covering a group of loans in the amount US $\$ 1.7$ billion, for the purpose of refinancing most of its bank-debt payments due in 1989 and 1990. Of this amount, US $\$ 1.5$ billion corresponded to a 12 -year loan with a spread of $0.875 \%$ over LBOR. The other US $\$ 200$ million came from a bond issue with a term of eight years and a spread of $1.5 \%$ over LBBOR.

In addition to bank debts, certain obligations with the Paris Club were rescheduled in 1989. Mexico restructured US $\$ 2.6$ billion of principal and interest payments falling due over the next three years, at 10 years with a six-year grace period. Guyana also renegotiated US $\$ 150$ million of obligations with the Paris Club; at the same time, it rescheduled balances in arrears corresponding to medium-term debt payments at 20 years with 10 years of grace, and rescheduled interest arrears at 10 years with six years of grace. Trinidad and Tobago also
rescheduled US $\$ 470$ million of bilateral debt at 10 years with a five-year grace period.

The accumulation of obligations in arrears continued to be an important mechanism for reducing debt service in Latin America during 1989. These balances accumulated over the year in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Nicaragua,

Panama, Paraguay, Peru and Venezuela. The last-named country eliminated them in September, through the above-mentioned provisional agreement with the private banks.

Lastly, at the end of the year Peru began to straighten out its situation with IMF through the earmarking of US\$40 million for payment of obligations in arrears with that organization, amounting to almost US\$800 million.

Table 31

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TOTAL DISBURSED EXTERNAL DEBT

|  | End-of-year balance in millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  | Annual growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \wedge 79- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1984- \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ | 19g8 | ${ }_{99}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 373476 | 383543 | 399429 | 426024 | 419073 | 416598 | 23.0 | 11.1 | 4.5 | -1.6 | -0.6 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 156243 | 158050 | 162356 | 168398 | 167954 | 160420 | 24.6 | 10.4 | 2.4 | -03 | -4.5 |
| Bolivia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3208 | 3294 | 3536 | 4162 | 4066 | 3456 | 13.3 | 9.4 | 7.0 | -2.3 | -15.0 |
| Ecuador | 7596 | 8110 | 9076 | 10217 | 10574 | 11039 | 21.0 | 18.3 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| Mexico | 96700 | 97800 | 100500 | 102400 | 100400 | 95100 | 30.2 | 11.9 | 2.2 | -2.0 | -5.3 |
| Peru | 13338 | 13721 | 14477 | 15373 | 16493 | 16720 | 1.0 | 13.8 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 1.4 |
| Trinidad and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tobago | 1539 | 1763 | 1870 | 2048 | 2150 | 2098 | 29.3 | 16.3 | 9.5 | 5.0 | -2.4 |
| Venezuela | 33862 | 33362 | 32897 | 34198 | 34271 | 32007 | 25.1 | 4.0 | -0.4 | 0.2 | -6.6 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 217233 | 225493 | 237073 | 257626 | 251119 | 256178 | 21.8 | 11.7 | 6.0 | -2.5 | 2.0 |
| South America | 189451 | 195590 | 205261 | 223752 | 215495 | 219392 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 5.9 | -3.7 | 1.8 |
| Argentina | 46191 | 49326 | 51422 | 58324 | 58473 | 62000 | 41.9 | 12.4 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 6.0 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 104926 | 105126 | 111045 | 121174 | 113296 | 114813 | 14.4 | 10.1 | 5.6 | -6.5 | 13 |
| Colombia | 12350 | 14063 | 14987 | 15663 | 16434 | 16249 | 28.0 | 16.0 | 8.1 | 4.9 | -1.1 |
| Chile | 19659 | 20403 | 20716 | 20660 | 18960 | 17518 | 30.5 | 7.6 | 3.5 | -8.2 | -7.6 |
| Paraguay | 1654 | 1772 | 1853 | 2043 | 2002 | 2027 | 12.3 | 24.5 | 8.6 | -2.0 | 1.2 |
| Uruguay | 4671 | 4900 | 5238 | 5888 | 6330 | 6785 | 35.9 | 21.2 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 7.2 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 27782 | 29903 | 31812 | 33874 | 35624 | 36786 | 19.0 | 16.1 | 12 | 5.2 | 33 |
| Costa Rica | 3752 | 3742 | 3922 | 4194 | 4100 | 4000 | 12.8 | 14.7 | 4.4 | -2.2 | -2.4 |
| El Salvador | 1949 | 1980 | 1928 | 1880 | 1913 | 2127 | 17.7 | 8.4 | -0.1 | 1.8 | 11.2 |
| Guatemala | 2495 | 2694 | 2674 | 2700 | 2599 | 2732 | 19.0 | 24.8 | 5.8 | -3.7 | 5.1 |
| Guyana | 1114 | 1308 | 1477 | 1736 | 2391 | 2570 | 28.1 | 17.8 | 15.9 | 37.7 | 7.5 |
| Haiti ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 607 | 600 | 696 | 752 | 778 | 811 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 4.2 |
| Honduras | 2392 | 2794 | 3018 | 3105 | 3338 | 3351 | 17.5 | 16.7 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 0.4 |
| Jamaica | 3207 | 3355 | 3590 | 4014 | 4002 | 4035 | 22.6 | 14.9 | 8.3 | -0.3 | 0.8 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4362 | 4936 | 5760 | 6270 | 7220 | 7570 | 27.1 | 21.5 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 4.8 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 4368 | 4774 | 4935 | 5324 | 5400 | 5500 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Dominican |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic | 3536 | 3720 | 3812 | 3899 | 3883 | 4090 | 24.2 | 14.0 | 4.2 | -0.4 | 5.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes debt owed to the International Monetary Fund. ${ }^{D}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {c }}$ Public debt. $\quad{ }^{a}$ Total debt according to official figures and data from international financial agencies. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Up to 1984, corresponds to World Bank data. 'World Bank figures.

Table 32
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NET TRANSFER OF RESOURCES WITH MULTILATERAL LENDING AGENCIES
(Billions of dollars)

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 7.5 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 1.1 | -2.3 | -2.9 | -2.6 |
| International Monetary | 5.7 | 2.7 | 0.6 | -0.8 | -1.7 | -2.1 | -1.7 |
| Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| World Bank | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 | -0.5 | -0.7 | -1.1 |
| Inter-American Development <br> Bank | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures from the above three institutions. The 1989 figure for the International Monetary Fund is based on data from the Latin American Economic System (SELA).

Table 33
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: RATIO OF TOTAL INTEREST TO EXPORTS OF GOODS AND SERVICES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)

| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 2}$ | 35.7 | $\mathbf{3 6 . 3}$ | 30.2 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Oil-exporting countries | $\mathbf{3 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4}$ | 30.4 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4}$ |
| Bolivia | 43.4 | 39.8 | 49.8 | 46.8 | 42.1 | 38.4 | 40.8 | 28.6 |
| Ecuador | 30.3 | 27.4 | 30.7 | 25.5 | 30.0 | 32.7 | 33.5 | 31.6 |
| Mexico | 47.3 | 37.5 | 39.1 | 37.3 | 38.4 | 29.6 | 30.8 | 28.5 |
| Peru | 25.1 | 29.9 | 34.8 | 31.4 | 31.7 | 29.1 | 33.2 | 29.5 |
| Venezuela | 21.0 | 21.6 | 24.0 | 25.3 | 31.1 | 23.7 | 27.0 | 27.1 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | $\mathbf{4 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2}$ |
| South America | $\mathbf{5 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9 . 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 53.6 | 58.4 | 57.6 | 51.2 | 51.0 | 50.9 | 42.2 | 47.4 |
| Brazil | 57.1 | 43.5 | 39.6 | 40.3 | 42.4 | 33.1 | 29.4 | 29.2 |
| Colombia | 25.9 | 26.7 | 22.8 | 28.9 | 20.2 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 21.1 |
| Chile | 49.5 | 38.9 | 42.1 | 43.5 | 37.9 | 26.4 | 21.8 | 19.0 |
| Paraguay | 13.5 | 14.3 | 10.1 | 83 | 18.5 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 8.6 |
| Uruguay | 22.4 | 24.8 | 34.8 | 34.2 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 23.8 | 27.7 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6}$ |
| $\quad$ Costa Rica | 36.1 | 33.0 | 26.6 | 24.9 | 21.8 | 21.2 | 22.1 | 19.9 |
| El Salvador | 11.9 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 11.1 | 10.1 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 15.8 |
| Guatemala | 7.8 | 8.7 | 123 | 14.6 | 173 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 13.9 |
| Haiti | 2.4 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 9.2 |
| Honduras | 22.4 | 16.4 | 17.9 | 16.1 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 20.0 | 18.2 |
| Nicaragua | 41.8 | 44.8 | 56.1 | 72.0 | 83.4 | 64.1 | 55.6 | 46.6 |
| Dominican Republic | 22.7 | 24.5 | 18.1 | 18.7 | 18.9 | 20.4 | 18.7 | 113 |

Source: 1982-1987: ECIAC, on the basis of data from the International Monetary Fund (IMF); 1988-1989: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Includes interest payments actually made and interest due but not paid. Services do not include factor services.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Eighteen countries. Does not include Panama.

Table 34
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: RATIO OF TOTAL DISBURSED EXTERNAL DEBT TO EXPORTS OF GOODS AND SERVICES
(Percentages)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean (19 countries) | 317 | 343 | 323 | 345 | 411 | 389 | 334 | 299 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 271 | 302 | 285 | 307 | 416 | 365 | 351 | 287 |
| Bolivia | 308 | 370 | 392 | 458 | 530 | 640 | 606 | 399 |
| Ecuador | 201 | 279 | 262 | 246 | 351 | 414 | 401 | 391 |
| Mexico | 335 | 345 | 321 | 357 | 459 | 371 | 345 | 289 |
| Peru | 281 | 334 | 349 | 362 | 430 | 428 | 447 | 371 |
| Venezuela | 183 | 219 | 203 | 214 | 326 | 303 | 307 | 227 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 362 | 383 | 358 | 378 | 408 | 407 | 324 | 308 |
| South America | 398 | 412 | 378 | 399 | 441 | 435 | 332 | 317 |
| Argentina | 475 | 485 | 481 | 490 | 610 | 717 | 527 | 534 |
| Brazil | 418 | 413 | 363 | 381 | 458 | 431 | 314 | 306 |
| Colombia | 232 | 303 | 239 | 314 | 230 | 245 | 247 | 222 |
| Chüe | 370 | 390 | 455 | 457 | 405 | 327 | 229 | 185 |
| Panama | 110 | 149 | 148 | 145 | 134 | 142 | 145 | 144 |
| Paraguay | 195 | 317 | 214 | 163 | 234 | 214 | 179 | 145 |
| Uruguay | 276 | 324 | 362 | 391 | 349 | 381 | 359 | 340 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 203 | 243 | 252 | 267 | 261 | 270 | 276 | 257 |
| Costa Rica | 286 | 312 | 294 | 306 | 281 | 289 | 254 | 220 |
| El Salvador | 208 | 211 | 218 | 219 | 188 | 209 | 215 | 271 |
| Guatemala | 144 | 184 | 203 | 231 | 229 | 237 | 208 | 189 |
| Haiti | 152 | 191 | 190 | 178 | 238 | 235 | 283 | 331 |
| Honduras | 259 | 270 | 282 | 309 | 299 | 320 | 328 | 306 |
| Nicaragua | 703 | 804 | 1012 | 1405 | 1959 | 1923 | 2625 | 2207 |
| Dominican Republic | 260 | 267 | 258 | 281 | 271 | 251 | 210 | 191 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures and data from the International Monetary Fund (IMF).
" Preliminary figures.

Table 35
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: RATIO OF TOTAL EXTERNAL DEBT TO GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1980- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | n | $\begin{gathered} ,-1982- \\ 1983 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1986- \\ & 1987 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1988- \\ & 1989^{\text {b }} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 30 |  | 50 |  | 55 | 57 | 49 |
| Oil-exporting countries | 31 | ,:H | 56 |  | 57 | 71, • | ; 57 |
| Bolivia | 61 |  | 112 |  | 70 | 117 | 85 |
| Ecuador | 38 |  | 54 |  | 67 | 89 | 106 |
| Mexico | 25 |  | 58 |  | 55 | 76 | ..---52 |
| Peru | 43 | $\cdots$ | 52 |  | 68 | 45, | , 52 |
| Venezuela | 49 |  | 51 |  | 56 | 67 | 66 |
| Non-oil-exporting countries | 29 |  | 47 |  | 53 | 50 | 45 |
| South America | 28 |  | 45 |  | 51 | 48 | ..'.... -, 42 |
| Argentina | 25 |  | 68 |  | 56 | 62 | 71 |
| Brazil | 29 |  | 39 |  | -47 | 40 | 32 |
| Colombia | 22 |  | 29 |  | 36 | 43 | 38 |
| Chile | 47 |  | 83 |  | 119 | 117 | 77 |
| Paraguay | 20 |  | - 25 • |  | 38 | 56 | 60 |
| Uruguay | 24 |  | 59 |  | 91 | 78 | 80 |
| Central America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  | ,77 | 78 | 82 |
| Costa Rica | 74 | $\bullet$ e | 121 |  | 98 | ,89 | -82 |
| El Salvador | 40 |  | 49 |  | ,48 | 43 | 35 |
| Guatemala | 15 |  | 23 |  | 35 | 37 | 33 |
| Haiti | 35 |  | 46 |  | 43 | 47 | 53 |
| Honduras | 55 |  | 69 |  | 75 | 76 | 70 |
| Nicaragua | \% |  | 134 |  | 187 | 238 | 366 |
| Panamá | 85 |  | 96 |  | 97 | 98 | 119 |
| Dominican Republic | $43^{\prime \prime}$ |  | ' ' 58 | '•• | 88 | 74 | 85 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and data from the International Monetary Fund (IMF).
*• Dollar estimates of gross domestic product were arrived at on the basis of GDP data expressed in local currency and the exchange rate applying to exports of goods and services. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary estimates.

Table 36
MEXICO: ESTIMATE OF FINANCIAL EFFECTS OF THE AGREEMENT REACHED UNDER THE BRADY PLAN
(Millions of dollars)

| 1) Gross savings on interest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1629 | $2222{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1481 | 1481 | 1481 | 1481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bonds at face value | 884 | 1207 | 804 | 804 | 804 | 804 |
| Discount bonds | 745 | 1015 | 677 | 677 | 677 | 677 |
| 2) Savings or deferral of amortization | 2154 | 2545 | 1873 | 2431 | 2430 | 1492 |
| 3) Total gross savings on debt service ( $1+2$ ) | 3783 | 4767 | 3354 | 3912 | 3911 | 2973 |
| 4) New bank resources ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 288 | 750 | 346 | 346 |  |  |
| 5) Interest on new bank resources ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 122 | 74 | 108 | 142 | 142 | 142 |
| 6) Official disbursements of balance-of-payments loans allocated for debt reduction | 573 | 955 | 955 | 955 |  |  |
| 7) Guarantees financed by country | 269 | 1343 |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Cash flow, including effect of amortization savings or deferral (3+4-5-7-8) | 3107 | 3145 | 2637 | 3161 | 3764 | 2831 |
| 9) Cash flow, excluding effect of amortization savings or deferral (9-2) | 953 | 600 | 764 | 730 | 1339 | 1339 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" Assuming a LIBOR rate of $9 \%$ on commercial bank loans. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes "retroactive savings" since July 1989.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Disbursement of US\$1.44 billion by banks under the new resources option. d Official loans made available by the World Bank, IMF and Japan for balance-of-payments purposes which are reassigned to guarantee new debt instruments. The calculation of Japanese set aside agreements is based on the assumption that one-half of these funds would in any case have been made available to Mexico under regular Japanese co-financing arrangements.

Table 37
COSTA RICA: ESTIMATE OF DEBT SERVICING COSTS ACCORDING TO THE AGREEMENT REACHED UNDER THE BRADY PLAN ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

| Type of debt | Amount | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | Average 1990- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1994 |
| 1) Series A bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On principal ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 237 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| On interest arrears ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 54 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| 2) Series B bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On principal ${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 227 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| On interest arrears ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 61 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| 3) Subtotal ( $1+2\}$ | 579 | 48 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 46 |
| 4) Official loans ${ }^{6}$ e | 120 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 5) Total (3+4) | «. | 54 | 52 | 52 | 51 | 49 | 52 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{11}$ Preliminary figures. Because of the grace period for new bonds and loans, only the debt service corresponding to interest payments is included. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ At an interest rate of $6.25 \%$. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Assuming a LIBOR rate of $\mathbf{9 \%}$. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Interest rate of $5 \%$ on official loans. Based on the assumption that all such loans are additional and that the country will therefore not draw on its set aside agreements with the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

Table 38

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: PRICES OF EXTERNAL DEBT PAPER ON SECONDARY MARKETS

| (As a percentage of face value) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1987 |  |  | 1988 |  |  | 1989 |  |  |
|  | January | June | $\begin{gathered} \text { De- } \\ \text { cem- } \\ \text { ber } \end{gathered}$ | January | June | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cem- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | January | June | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cem- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | January |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { cem- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ |
| Argentina | 62 | 65 | 66 | 64 | 52 | 35 | 32 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 13 | 13 |
| Bolivia | ... | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Brazil | 75 | 74 | 74 | 72 | 62 | 46 | 46 | 51 | 41 | 37 | 31 | 22 |
| Colombia | 82 | 81 | 86 | 86 | 85 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 57 | 56 | 57 | 64 |
| Costa Rica | ... | 48 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 17 |
| Chile | 65 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 70 | 61 | 61 | 60 | 56 | 60 | 61 | 59 |
| Ecuador | 68 | 64 | 65 | 65 | 50 | 37 | 35 | 27 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 14 |
| Guatemala |  | 52 | 60 | 61 | 67 | 77 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honduras | ... | 40 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 17 | 20 |
| Jamaica |  | 45 | 45 | 45 | 38 | 33 | 33 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 40 |
| Mexico | 69 | 59 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 43 | 40 | 40 | 36 |
| Nicaragua | ... | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Panama |  | 69 | 68 | 68 | 67 | 39 | 39 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 10 | 12 |
| Peru | 25 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| Dominican Republic |  | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 13 |
| Uruguay | ... | 63 | 66 | 68 | 74 | 60 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 57 | 50 |
| Venezuela | 80 | 76 | 74 | 75 | 71 | 58 | 55 | 55 | 41 | 38 | 37 | 34 |
| Average ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 64.9 | 64.2 | 63.7 | 58.5 | 46.5 | 45.1 | 45.4 | 37.7 | 35.2 | 31.9 | 28.0 |

Source: United Nations, Department of International Economic and Social Affairs, on the basis of asked prices compiled by the High Yield Department of Salomon Brothers.

* Weighted by the amount of bank debt.


## IX. ECONOMIC TRENDS IN THE CARIBBEAN IN 1989

## 1. Main trends

The economies of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean subregion had a modest overall growth rate in 1989 (1\%) (see table 40). The per capita product, in turn, showed a small decrease, in keeping with the downward trend in the 1980s. In fact, the gross domestic product (GDP) of the subregion as a whole was $6 \%$ less in 1989 than in 1980, representing a per capita contraction of about $20 \%$. Construction and tourism continued to be the activities yielding the best results in terms of production.

The performance of the countries was very uneven. Several of the smaller ones again had high growth rates (in five of them they were 5\% or more, although in general they were lower than those for 1988). Jamaica's GDP grew by more than $6 \%$, in contrast to the small increase of the preceding year. Guyana and Trinidad and Tobago continued to suffer prolonged recessions in 1989, with declines of 5\% and 2\% respectively.

As in 1988, the region was ravaged by natural disasters which seriously damaged agricultural crops, buildings and the economic infrastructure, causing great losses of physical capital and affecting not only the gross domestic product for 1989 but also future land capability. However, the negative impact of these phenomena was partially attenuated by the inflow of external assistance to the countries in crisis. Likewise, the rehabilitation efforts stimulated construction and the manufacture of building materials,
and promoted trade among the countries of the subregion. On the other hand, unlike the situation in 1988, international prices for Caribbean commodities declined, and this fact, in combination with the devaluation of the currencies of the subregion's main trade partners, affected export income.

The rate of domestic price increase was moderate, but higher than in 1988, with the notable exception of Trinidad and Tobago, which significantly reduced its inflation rate. For most of the countries, the price rise was the result of the elimination of subsidies as called for by structural adjustment programmes, and of devaluations, the shortage of goods following the hurricane and the price increase for imported goods, especially petroleum.

In the external sector, the excellent performance of exports of goods and the income derived from tourism were counteracted by the increase in imports and payments of profits and interest, which resulted in negative balances on current account for most of the countries. Capital inflow, which contributed to an increase in the external debt in some cases, was insufficient to eliminate the balance-ofpayments deficit, and consequently many countries showed a loss of international reserves in 1989. The heavy burden of the external debt continued to weigh on the subregion, and attempted strategies to reduce it, following the example of other regions, have had little result as yet.

[^16]
## 2. Growth of the main sectors

In spite of certain difficulties, tourist activities continued their growth in 1989, stimulating the economies of several Caribbean countries (see table 41). While the total number of visitors and the amount of their expenditures increased, the cruise-passenger component rose more rapidly. As in 1988, the growth of this last category resulted from a significant expansion in the number of visitors from Europe and the slower increase of those from the United States, although the latter country continued, by a wide margin, to be the main origm of tourists. As in the preceding year, the hurricane affected the tourist infrastructure, which temporarily reduced lodging capacity and discouraged the arrival of overnight visitors. The small islands were the most devastated by this phenomenon, especially Montserrat and the British Virgin Islands and, to a lesser degree, Antigua, Dominica and Saint Kitts and Nevis. However, some received more passengers via cruise ships, a mode of travel that many tourists seemed to prefer.

The main Caribbean tourist centres (Aruba, Bahamas, Barbados, Jamaica, and Netherlands Antilles) showed significant growth in the number of cruise passengers (16\%). On the other hand, the number of overnight tourists registered a smaller increase, but with wide variations between countries: whereas in Aruba this increment was $23 \%$ and in Jamaica $10 \%$, in Barbados it was scarcely $2 \%$. Thus, tourism continued to be an important source of foreign exchange income for the Caribbean subregion, to a certain extent stimulating other sectors.

The growth of construction was one of the main dynamic factors of the economy, just as in the two preceding years. However, the country trends differed: whereas in Barbados, Belize and Jamaica the sector grew by $15 \%$ or more, almost all the other countries of the subregion scarcely registered any expansion. Trinidad and Tobago was the only country that showed a decline, continuing with the recessive situation of the three preceding years.

This performance of the sector can be explained by various factors, the most important being the sustained expansion of tourist installations, governmental policies for greater expenditure on production infrastructure and housing and on the repair and reconstruction of structures damaged by the 1988 and 1989 hurricanes. On the other hand, the banking systems in various countries had high levels of liquidity, owing in part to the transfer of funds from abroad as donations or insurance compensation for hurricane damage; these funds permitted them to expand their mortgage lending to a considerable extent. The sharp decline in construction in Trinidad and Tobago was due to the persistent recession suffered by this country as a result of its structural adjustment programme.

Agriculture developed unfavourably; its output contracted as a result mainly of the devastation caused by hurricane Hugo and the residual effects of hurricane Gilbert in 1988. In addition, the sector was affected by adverse out-of-season weather conditions and other farm-related problems that had a negative impact on the volume and quality of products harvested. There were also unfavourable conditions in some international markets, such as low export prices for most commodities, the harvests of which also decreased, owing to the depreciation of the national currencies of the main trade partners in relation to the United States dollar.

At the national level, agriculture declined in Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and the islands that make up the Organization of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS). ${ }^{2}$ Belize showed a certain amount of growth in this sector, particularly in its output of sugar, bananas, citrus fruits for export and certain products for the domestic market. In Trinidad and Tobago, on the other hand, the agricultural sector grew almost $6 \%$, following an expansion of $10 \%$ in 1988, stimulated by the complete reconversion of the sugar industry and the expansion of some crops for internal consumption (see table 42). The result achieved with sugar in this country

[^17]was extraordinary and surpassed that for any other export heading.

The manufacturing sector, on the other hand, had modest growth in 1989, similar to that for the two preceding years, owing to the low rate of increase in Guyana, Trinidad and Tobago and the OECS countries. Barbados and Jamaica, however, showed satisfactory growth rates that fluctuated between 3 and $4 \%$, with a notable increase in Belize of around $20 \%$ (see table 43). The sustained contraction of demand in Guyana and Trinidad and Tobago was again the main depressive factor, especially for industries on the smaller islands, since many of these depend on exports of manufactures to the larger Caribbean countries. It is worth noting here that the manufacturing sector is small in most of the countries, with the exception of Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago, where it represents about $20 \%$ of the product. Belize and Saint Kitts and Nevis are in an intermediate position, with a share that varies between $12 \%$ and $15 \%$. On the other hand, in Barbados, the share declined from almost $12 \%$ in 1983-1984 to $8 \%$ in 1989 , as a result of the closure of a number of large establishments. Guyana has a share of approximately $10 \%$, while that of the remaining countries fluctuates between $4 \%$ and $9 \%$.

Mining activity declined in 1989, despite an increase of $38 \%$ registered in Jamaica, which was offset by a slowdown in other countries where it is important. In Trinidad and Tobago, in particular, where the share of the sector is the largest in the Caribbean, mining declined by $1 \%$, owing to a lesser extraction of petroleum and gas. In Guyana, in turn, there was a sharp fall ( $20 \%$ ), due to the downward trend in bauxite and alumina production. On the other hand, in Jamaica, the reopening of an alumina plant made it possible to increase production of this compound by $47 \%$ and to raise bauxite extraction by an impressive $33 \%$ (see table 42). The export price trend for minerals was uneven: average values for crude petroleum and refined products rose, but those for petrochemical products fell, gradually declining during the greater part of the year. On the other hand, world prices for aluminium were lower, which affected profits of firms producing bauxite and alumina.

## 3. Prices

Inflation in almost all countries of the Caribbean subregion continued to be moderate in 1989, although with rates higher than those seen in 1987 and 1988. The largest price increases occurred in Guyana and Jamaica and, to a lesser degree in Barbados, Dominica and Trinidad and Tobago (see table 44).

Although the price index for Guyana is not available for 1989, it can be stated that inflation there surpassed the rate of $41 \%$ registered in 1988. Several factors have contributed to accentuating the increases; those that were particularly noteworthy were the $70 \%$ increase in the exchange rate in the second half of 1989, the lower level of merchadise imports and the fall in domestic production. This greater inflation was reflected in the parallel market exchange rate, which was $50 \%$ higher than the official rate.

Inflation in Jamaica practically doubled, reaching $17 \%$, the highest level since 1985. This was due mainly to increases for food products, fuels, transport, clothing and footwear, although all components of the price index rose significantly. These increases were caused basically by the application of the structural adjustment programme, which called for the elimination of subsidies (particularly those for foodstuffs), by the devaluation that occurred in November 1989 (following gradual devaluation starting in the second half of the year) and by the easing of certain restrictive measures, in order to facilitate recovery from the damage caused by the hurricane.

The inflation rate of $6.6 \%$ in Barbados was the highest since 1982. It resulted from the increase in import prices and indirect taxes; greater consumer demand, owing mainly to wage increases in the industrial sector; and extensive credit expansion. Unlike the Jamaican case, the components of the index showed considerable fluctuation: those that rose included foodstuffs, housing and medical and personal care; there was no change for expenditures on transport and domestic services; and clothing and footwear components declined. Among the smaller countries, Dominica had an unusually high rate of inflation ( $6 \%$ ) impelled by higher prices for
foodstuffs, furniture and domestic equipment, a reflection of the shortages due to the quite extensive damages occasioned by the hurricane. Among other countries with a tradition of very low inflation, the rate of price increase continued to be low, although with a slight rising trend.

In Trinidad and Tobago prices rose by $9 \%$, a figure lower than that registered in the preceding year but still high in comparison with that observed traditionally in the Caribbean. This decline in the rate of inflation was due to a more favourable price trend for the categories of housing, fuel, electricity, domestic supplies and beverages and tobacco, following a drastic reduction of demand resulting from the structural adjustment programme. However, there were upward pressures stemming particularly from increases in foodstuffs (despite the increase in their domestic production), transport and other services, and from the devaluation at the end of 1988. Inflation had similar characteristics in Suriname, where the rate had shown great increases in 1986 and 1987 and then returned to a more moderate level of 7\% in 1988 and 1989, owing to the fact that the socio-political situation returned to normal.

## 4. Foreign trade

In 1989, merchandise trade continued to show the same marked instability as during the previous two years. The deficit had risen in 1987 to $84 \%$ of total exports and declined the following year to $76 \%$, maintaining this level in 1989. This high deficit was due to the fact that the excellent performance of exports from Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago and, to a lesser extent, those of Belize, Netherlands Antilles and Suriname was counteracted by the rapid growth of imports, particularly in Guyana, Jamaica, Netherlands Antilles and Trinidad and Tobago. While the growth rate of subregional imports as a whole was lower than that of exports, external purchases increased in absolute terms by about US $\$ 1$ billion, whereas external sales increased by less than US\$700 million (see table 45).

This high merchandise trade deficit has been traditional in the subregion and is a
function mainly of its size, endowment and use of its resources and the opening of the economy. This negative balance is offset, in general, by the net income received from tourism and other services such as transport and insurance, local expenditures of extra-territorial firms and official and private transfer payments (remittances from emigrants located in Canada, the United States and the United Kingdom).

Characteristics for individual countries vary significantly: only Suriname and Trinidad and Tobago have achieved growing surpluses in their merchandise trade during the last three years, while all the other countries have shown a deficit. Even those countries that had been able to lower, or at least maintain, their deficits in 1988 (Antigua, Bahamas and, especially, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines) experienced an increase in 1989. Moreover, Jamaica and the countries that were most affected by the hurricane of 1989 (Dominica, Montserrat and Saint Kitts and Nevis) increased their deficits.

Merchandise exports rose by $17 \%$, to more than US $\$ 4.5$ billion, thanks to the significant increase for bauxite, alumina and petroleum, which made it possible to offset decreases for agricultural products and, in some countries, manufactures and certain traditional headings.

Export prices for bauxite and alumina declined, but were more than counterbalanced by the greater increase in the volume exported, particularly in Jamaica (see table 46). On the other hand, the increase in the value of petroleum exports from Trinidad and Tobago and the Netherlands Antilles, reflected an increase in their price, since the physical volume of crude oil exported actually declined. The value of certain non-traditional exports also increased, such as chemicals, building materials and various manufactures, but it should be pointed out that clothing, exports, which had risen recently, stagnated or declined in some countries.

The main factors influencing the lower level of exports in 1989 were production losses which caused a reduction of goods available and/or price declines for most of the Caribbean's main agricultural products (bananas, coffee, cacao and spices). The price of sugar, on the contrary, rose in 1989 , which made it possible to partially
compensate for the smaller volume exported. Some agricultural prices fell, due to the deterioration in product quality -especially in the case of bananas- and the increase in supply in countries competing with the Caribbean in both production and trade. In addition, export income in dollars was affected by the weakening of European currencies during the year, in relation to 1988. In this regard, it should be pointed out that these countries are the Caribbean's main trade partners for traditional agricultural products.

Imports, particularly those of the larger countries of the region, increased considerably in 1989 (14\%), reaching US\$8 billion. In view of the high deficit, several countries applied restrictive measures in order to reduce their external purchases, thus causing imports to grow more slowly than exports. However, the greater demand for materials required for repairing and rehabilitating structures and equipment damaged by the hurricane made it necessary to make additional external purchases, particularly in the latter part of the year. Likewise, the great effort to increase exports implied an increase in demand for capital goods, raw materials and petroleum. At the same time, the expansion of tourism, an aspect of prime importance in the development strategy of the entire subregion, meant a demand for imports of foodstuffs, other consumer goods and capital goods. Certain economic policy measures, which reduced customs duties and eliminated some subsidies for foods, also helped to stimulate imports to a certain extent.

## 5. The balance of payments

As has been traditional in the Caribbean, income from tourism and, in some cases, from transport (Bahamas, Netherlands Antilles and Trinidad and Tobago), financial services and unrequited transfer payments has helped to offset, substantially, the chronic merchandise trade deficit and to improve the current-account position.

The trend of income from tourism, the most important source of foreign exchange, was satisfactory in 1989, with a growth rate higher than $5 \%$, notably above that of the preceding
year. This performance could have been better had it not been for the hurricane of September 1989 which considerably affected the tourist infrastructure of several small Eastern Caribbean islands, just prior to the beginning of the high winter season. This expansion of income from tourism was achieved thanks to the considerable increase in the number of cruise passengers in almost all the ports of destination and the rapidity with which the infrastructure was repaired in some countries. Gross income from transport grew in all the countries where this category is important, but mainly in Trinidad and Tobago. Part of the expansion of income from private and official transfer payments corresponded to the relief funds received on account of the hurricane.

Like most of the Latin American countries, those of the Caribbean registered a rapid growth of net outflows for factor services, due, in large measure, to the external debt. The only exception was the Netherlands Antilles, which had an average positive net inflow of US $\$ 40$ million in the last three years. Although the total net outflow of the subregion for factor services is still lower than income from tourism, it has nevertheless had a very negative impact on the balance on current account. This outflow increased extraordinarily in Trinidad and Tobago ( $41 \%$ ), and more slowly in Jamaica ( $15 \%$ ) and Barbados ( $9 \%$ ). As a consequence of this unfavourable development, the negative current-account position which had improved considerably in 1988, clearly deteriorated, exceeding even the 1987 deficit.

Taking the subregion as a whole, the inflow of capital, especially long-term private capital, has declined in recent years. These resources had special importance in the past, since they were one of the main sources for financing the growth of the Caribbean countries. The inflow of official capital, which in earlier years had partially compensated for the shrinkage of private capital inflow, likewise diminished. A good example of this phenomenon is Barbados, where the net inflow of long-term private capital became negative starting in 1982, while the high level of inflow of official capital was maintained, although with a clear decline in 1989.

The global position of the balance of payments has deteriorated since 1987, but
owing to the variety of sectoral interests and the diversity of resource availability, this trend masks significant differences among countries. The final result was, however, a loss of international reserves, in contrast with the improvement that took place in 1988 in most of the countries. Barbados, Jamaica and Netherlands Antilles were the most affected in 1989, whereas Trinidad and Tobago showed a positive change, which was reflected in the net assets of the Central Bank and the commercial banks and in the increase in special drawing rights trends. Among the other countries with available information, Aruba had the highest level of reserves.

## 6. The external debt

The external debt level of the Caribbean (including Aruba and the Netherlands

Antilles) was approximately US\$10 billion in 1988, an amount that was surpassed in 1989 (see table 47). The debt service continued to be a significant problem in 1989, aggravated by the added difficulties of obtaining foreign exchange, since part of these reserves had tobe used to repair damages caused by the hurricane (see tables 48 and 49). The most indebted countries have been negotiating the rescheduling of the debt and at least two -Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobagol96 achieved this goal in 1989, which made it possible for them to reduce their debt service. Likewise, the Government of Canada, through a debt forgiveness programme, cancelled some loans that had been granted to Caribbean countries, which, in the case of Jamaica, amounted to US\$80 million. While recognizing this as a positive step, it should be pointed out that its impact on the total debt level was insignificant.

[^18]Table 39
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS, 1988

| Countries <br> and groups | Area (km ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\mathrm{Popu}_{8}^{-}$ lation ${ }^{8}$ (thousands) | GDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> (mil- <br> lions of dollars) | Average annual variation GDP 1981-1988 (percentages) ${ }^{0}$ |  | Per capita GDP (dollars) | Exports of goods/ GDP (percentages) | Income from tourism/ GDP (per-centages) | Im- ports of goods/ GDP (per-centages) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CARICOM countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bahamas | 13942 | 253 | 2153 | 3.0 | 18 | 8510 | 12.7 | 53.4 | 52.7 |
| Barbados | 431 | 257 | 1457 | 1.2 | 5\% | 5669 | 12.1 | 31.5 | 39.7 |
| Belize | 22960 | 174 | 285 | 3.9 | 8 | 1638 | 41.8 | 16.8 | 63.5 |
| Guyana | 214970 | 1006 | 414 | -2.5 | 5 | 412 | 51.7 |  | 51.9 |
| Jamaica | 11424 | 2446 | 3183 | 1.0 | 214 | 1301 | 26.5 | 16.6 | 45.5 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5128 | 1243 | 4482 | -4.1 | 242 | 3606 | 31.5 | 2.1 | 25.0 |
| oses countries ${ }^{\mathbf{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barbuda | 440 | 84 | 321 | 6.5 | 191 | 3821 | 9.3 | 69.2 | 77.9 |
| Dominica | 750 | 79 | 137 | 4.7 | 105 | 1734 | 40.9 | 10.2 | 64.2 |
| Grenada | 345 | 100 | 166 | 4.5 | 290 | 1660 | 19.9 | 27.7 | 57.2 |
| Montserrat | 102 | 13 | 54 | 3.7 | 127 | 4154 | 3.7 | 20.4 | 27.8 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 269 | 48 | 108 | 5.3 | 178 | 2250 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 75.9 |
| Saint Lucia | 616 | 135 | 211 | 4.3 | 219 | 1563 | 55.0 | 40.3 | 104.3 |
| Saint Vincent and the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grenadines | 388 | 108 | 154 | 6.2 | 278 | 1426 | 55.2 | 29.2 | 79.2 |
| Other countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antilles | 800 | $189{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $1453{ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | 236 | $7688{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $7.4{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $19.3{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $59.2{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Aruba | 193 | 62 | 619 |  | 321 | 9984 | 14.1 | 45.1 | 57.2 |
| British Virgin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Islands | 150 | $12{ }^{\text {f }}$ | ${ }_{133}{ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | $80$ | $11083$ |  |  |  |
| Suriname | 163265 | $385{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $1077{ }^{\text {f }}$ | -0.9 | $2$ | $2191^{1}$ | $31.5{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $0.7{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $25.4{ }^{\text {f }}$ |

Source: BCLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* CEiADE on the basis of projections made using the average fertility hypothesis. Estimates based on GDP data
expressed in local currency at current prices and the average official exchange rate. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ At constant 1980 prices.
${ }^{1}$ Caribbean Community and Common Market. ${ }^{e}$ Organization of Eastern Caribbean States. The countries belonging to this organization are also members of CARICOM. 1987.

Table 40
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: TOTAL GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT

|  | Annual growth rates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total, Subregion | -5.9 | -0.4 | -1.3 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 6.4 |
| Bahamas | 3.2 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Barbados | 0.4 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| Belize | -1.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 133 | 8.3 | 8.2 |
| Dominica | 2.1 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 3.5 |
| Grenada | 1.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| Guyana | -9.9 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | -3.0 | -4.8 |
| Jamaica | 1.9 | -0.8 | -5.5 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 6.3 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -1.1 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 3.0 |
| Saint Lucia | 4.0 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 5.0 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 5.9 |
| Suriname | -3.4 | -1.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | -8.1 | 0.5 | 2.0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 19.8 | -0.7 | -1.7 | -0.4 | -7.3 | -4.1 | -1.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Rates calculated on the basis of data in constant 1980 dollars. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Pre liminary figures.

Table 41
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: TOURISM INDICATORS

|  | 1980 | 1986 | Number of tourists ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (I thousands) |  |  | Income from tourism (millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 87 | 166 | 177 | 177 |  | 42.0 | 156.2 | 186.7 | 221.9 |  |
| Netherlands Antilles | 402 | 542 | 594 | 654 | $534{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 259.6 | 255.5 | 279.7 | 322.5 | $282.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Aruba | 189 | 181 | 232 | 278 | 344 | 137.5 | 158.1 | 218.3 | 279.3 | 316.0 |
| Bahamas | 1181 | 1375 | 1480 | 1475 | 1575 | 595.0 | 1104.9 | 1145.8 | 1149.5 | 1221.3 |
| Barbados | 370 | 370 | 422 | 451 | 461 | 251.0 | 326.9 | 378.7 | 459.3 | 504.0 |
| Belize | 64 | 94 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  | 48.0 |  |
| Dominica | 14 | 24 | 27 | 32 |  | 3.2 | 11.2 | 12.8 | 14.0 |  |
| Grenada | 29 | 57 | 57 | 62 | $69^{\text {b }}$ | 14.8 | 39.6 | 42.1 | 46.0 |  |
| Guyana | 40 | 47 | 60 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US Virgin Islands ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 380 | 470 | 580 | 599 |  | 304.3 | 509.8 | 623.0 | 676.4 |  |
| British Virgin Islands | 97 | 146 | 170 | 176 | 177 | 42.3 | 88.8 | 110.8 | 120.8 |  |
| Jamaica | 395 | 664 | 739 | 649 | 715 | 241.7 | 516.0 | 595.0 | 527.1 | 607.4 |
| Montserrat | 16 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  | 4.3 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 10.9 |  |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 33 | 55 | 65 | 69 | $54^{\text {b }}$ | 13.4 | 38.0 | 47.4 | 53.8 |  |
| Saint Lucia | 80 | 112 | 123 | 133 |  | 32.9 | 73.4 | 78.4 |  |  |
| Saint Vincent and the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grenadines | 50 | 42 | 46 | 45 |  | 13.7 | 29.3 | 35.2 | 45.0 |  |
| Suriname | 48 | 29 | 27 | 40 |  | 18.2 | 7.8 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 199 | 191 | 202 | 189 | 194 | 151.1 | 83.2 | 91.6 | 91.9 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the Caribbean Tourism Organization.
${ }^{a}$ Refers only to tourists spending at least one night in the country. January-September. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Only arrivals by air.

Table 42
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: PRODUCTION OF EXPORTABLE COMMODITIES

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Sugar ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barbados | 100.2 | 111.1 | 83.4 | 80.3 | 66.3 | -24.9 | -3.7 | -17.4 |
| Belize | 103.7 | 94.9 | 83.7 | 83.1 | 84.6 | -11.8 | -0.7 | 1.8 |
| Guyana | 247.0 | 253.0 | 224.5 | 170.2 | 167.4 | -11.3 | -24.2 | -1.6 |
| Jamaica | 209.4 | 206.3 | 189.4 | 221.7 | 204.9 | -8.2 | 17.1 | -7.6 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 27.0 | 29.0 | 25.7 | 26.0 | 24.0 | -11.4 | 1.2 | -7.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 81.0 | 92.0 | 85.5 | 91.3 | 97.0 | -7.1 | 6.8 | 6.2 |
| Bauxite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guyana | 2153 | 2074 | 2352 | 2312 | 2281 | 13.4 | -1.7 | -1.3 |
| Jamaica | 6239 | 6964 | 7701 | 7261 | 9652 | 10.6 | -5.7 | 32.9 |
| Suriname | 3738 | 3731 | 2522 | $1587{ }^{\circ}$ |  | -32.4 |  |  |
| Alumina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jamaica | 1462 | 1575 | 1613 | 1514 | 2221 | 2.4 | -6.2 | 46.7 |
| Suriname | 1242 | 1471 | 1362 | $790^{\text {c }}$ |  | -7.4 |  |  |
| Crude oil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barbados | 108 | 89 | 79 | 68 | 62 | -11.1 | -14.1 | -8.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 10216 | 9802 | 8964 | 8778 | 8666 | -8.5 | -2.1 | -1.3 |
| Natural gas ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barbados | 34 | 35 | 30 | 35 | 32 | -14.3 | 16.7 | -8.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 7413 | 7585 | 7672 | 7438 | 7146 | 1.2 | -3.1 | -3.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures
${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures. Thousands of tons. : January-June. Thousands of cubic metres. : Millions of cubic metres.

Table 43
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: INDUSTRUL PRODUCTION IN SELECTED COUNTRIES

| Country and sectors | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indexes (1982=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barbados |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry | 100.0 | 104.4 | 108.2 | 104.6 | 110.1 | 104.7 | 111.1 | 115.0 |
| Mining | 100.0 | 118.5 | 161.6 | 174.0 | 163.2 | 151.0 | 141.3 | 132.9 |
| Manufacturing | 100.0 | 102.1 | 103.2 | 97.2 | 103.4 | 97.2 | 103.9 | 108.6 |
| Indexes (1984 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 110.6 | 109.3 | 100.0 | 101.0 | 103.4 | 111.8 | 111.3 |  |
| Indexes (1974 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jamaica |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry | 88.9 | 93.7 | 90.5 | 90.1 | 95.8 | 103.9 | 103.4 |  |
| Manufacturing | 1003 | 106.7 | 105.4 | 108.3 | 115.6 | 126.7 | 129.7 | 132.0 |
| Indexes (1977=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry | 111.4 | 116.6 | 111.0 | 106.6 | 129.7 | 134.4 | 129.2 | 137.9 |
| Manufacturing | 153.9 | 176.8 | 165.2 | 161.7 | 204.8 | 209.9 | 202.9 | 205.3 |

[^19]Tabic 44
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: CONSUMER PRICES
(December-Decemberpercentage variation)

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 2.3 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 3.6 |  |  |
| Netherlands Antilles |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Aruba | ... | ... | 3.6 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Bahamas | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.4 |
| Bafbados | 5.5 | 5.1 | 2.4 | -0.5 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 6.6 |
| Belize ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 5.8 | -0.6 | 2.4 | 2 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Dominica | 2.6 | 2.9 | 4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 6.3 |
| Grenada |  |  | 1.8 | -0.8 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 3.7 |
| Guyana | 13.3 | 25.2 | 15 | 7.8 | 28.7 | 40.6 |  |
| British Virgin Islands |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Jamaica | 16.7 | 31.2 | 23.9 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 17.2 |
| Montserrat | 4.7 | 5.5 |  | 3.4 | 3.7 | 1.5 |  |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.9 |
| Saint Lucia | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 4.4 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | $5.5^{\text {b }}$ | $2.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.2{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.4 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Suriname | 4.2 | 4.5 | 15.6 | 30.2 | 52.2 | $7.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 15.4 | 14.1 | 6.6 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 12.1 | 9.3 |

Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics and figures provided by the countries.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Variation between annual averages. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Variation between September 1988 and September 1989.

Table 45
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | Exports FOB $^{8}$ |  | Imports CIF* |  | Trade balance ${ }^{8}$ |  | Gross tourism income |  | Private transfers |  | Variation international reserves |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1988 | 1989 | 1988 | 1989 | 1988 | 1989 | 1988 | 1989 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Total, Subregion | 3984 | ... | 7073 |  | 3089 |  | 3354 | ... | 119 | ... | ... | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 30 | ... | 250 | ... | -220 |  | 222 |  |  |  | -6 |  |
| Netherlands Antilles ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 153 | $197{ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }} 928$ | $812^{\text {d }}$ | -775 | $-615^{\text {d }}$ | 322 | $287{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -65 | $-45^{\text {d }}$ | 33 | $21^{\text {d }}$ |
| Aruba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 87 | 107 | 354 | 395 | -267 | -288 | 279 | 316 | -2 | 1 | - | 22 |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 274 | 250 | 1135 | 1201 | -861 | -951 | 1150 | 1221 | -29 | -18 | 4 | -25 |
| Barbados | 176 | 186 | 579 | 673 | -403 | -487 | 459 | 504 | $18^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | 27 | -41 |
| Belize | 119 | $104{ }^{\text {d }}$ | d 181 | $162^{\text {d }}$ | -62 | $-58{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 48 |  | 14 |  | 19 | 8 |
| Dominica | 56 | $26^{\text {f }}$ | 88 | $52^{\text {f }}$ | -32 | $-26^{\text {f }}$ | 14 |  | 8 |  | -4 |  |
| Grenada | 33 | ... | $95^{\text {g }}$ | ... | $-62^{\text {g }}$ |  | 46 | 31 | 15 |  | -5 |  |
| Guyana | 214 | 225 | 215 | 258 | -1 | -33 |  |  |  |  | -21 |  |
| Jamaica | 843 | 970 | 1449 | 1826 | -606 | -856 | 527 | 607 | 147 | 149 | 143 | $-170$ |
| Montserrat | 2 | ... | 15 |  | -13 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 27 | ... | $82^{\text {g }}$ | ... | -55* | ... | 54 |  | 10 |  | - |  |
| Saint Lucia | 116 | ... | 220 |  | -104 |  | $85^{\text {h }}$ |  | 15 |  | 2 | - |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 85 | $57^{\text {d }}$ | d 122 | $93{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -37 | $-36{ }^{\text {d }}$ | d5 |  | 16 |  | 2 |  |
| Suriname | 358 | $418{ }^{\text {d }}$ | d 239 | $245{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 119 | $173{ }^{\text {d }}$ | ... |  | -5 |  | -6 | -3 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 1411 | 1578 | 1121 | 1221 | 290 | 357 | 92 |  | -23 | -19 | -80 | 119 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
' Not adjusted for the balance of payments. ' Does not include gold reserves. : On the basis of cash flows.
${ }^{1}$ Only January-September. 'External trade data do not include petroleum re-exports. Only January-June.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Imports FOB. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Estimate based on average expenditures in 1987. ' Includes unrequited official transfers.

Table 46
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: COMMODITY EXPORTS


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ January-September.

Table 47
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: EXTERNAL DEBT AND EXTERNAL DEBT SERVICE
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total external debt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 45.5 | 180.7 | 245.4 | 239.0 |  |
| Netherlands Antilles | 196.7 | 293.1 | 375.5 | 339.5 |  |
| Bahamas | 98.0 | 215.5 | 192.7 | 170.1 | 214.2 |
| Barbados | $175.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 320.1 | 394.6 | 448.0 | 406.3 |
| Belize | 49.2 | 97.7 | 115.0 | 124.2 | 127.3 |
| Dominica | 17.7 | 56.6 | 66.0 | 70.0 | 74.0 |
| Grenada | 14.4 | 54.2 | 48.4 | 48.7 |  |
| Guyana | 448.7 | 1477.4 | 1736.0 | $2391.4^{\text {e }}$ | $2569.7^{1}$ |
| Jamaica | 1734.0 | 3590.3 | 4013.6 | 4001.8 | 4035.0 |
| Montserrat | 1.5 | 3.0 | 2.1 |  |  |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 10.0 | 19.3 | 23.6 | 29.1 | 33.3 |
| Saint Lucia | 18.2 | 31.5 | 37.2 | 45.3 |  |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 17.0 | 29.6 | 35.2 | $35.8{ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 911.2" | 1869.8 | 2048.4 | 2149.9 | 2097.9 |

## Service on the external debt ${ }^{\mathbf{g}}$



Table 48
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: RATIO OF INTEREST ON THE EXTERNAL DEBT TO EXPORTS OF GOODS AND SERVICES
(Millions of dollars)

| 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Interest payments

| Netherlands Antilles | 8.0 | 9.2 | 11.4 | 10.4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bahamas | 10.1 | 22.2 | 13.6 | 25.3 | 17.1 |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.4 | 30.3 | 35.0 | 36.2 | 32.1 |
| Dominica |  | 2.0 | 23 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| Guyana |  | 21.7 | 15.4 | 9.4 |  |
| Jamaica | 153.0 | 258.0 | 299.2 | 258.1 | 179.0 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis |  | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago ' | 54.3 | 140.2 | 143.0 | 155.7 | 199.6 |
| [ports of good:s and services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands Antilles |  | 1168 | 1084 | 1709 |  |
| Bahamas | 1160 | 1608 | 1663 | 1615 | 1690 |
| Barbados | 589 | 784 | 710 | 751 | 719 |
| Dominica | 16 | 54 | 60 | 69 |  |
| Guyana | 411 | 256 | 290 | 279 | 225 |
| Jamaica | 1422 | 1418 | 1631 | 2038 | 2083 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis |  | 58 | 69 | 74 |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 3404 | 1692 | 1643 | 1770 | 1827 |

## Interest payments as a percentage of exports <br> of goods and services

| Netherlands Antilles | $\ldots$. | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bahamas | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Barbados | 1.1 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| Dominica |  | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 |  |
| Guyana | 10.8 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 3.4 |  |
| Jamaica |  | 18.2 | 18.3 | 12.7 | 8.6 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 1.6 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | $\ldots$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago |  | 8.7 | 8.8 | 10.9 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Includes interest on guaranteed debt of public enterprises.

Table 49
CARIBBEAN SUBREGION: SELECTED INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS
(Percentages)

|  | External debt/merchandise exports and tourism |  |  |  | Debt service/merchandise exports and tourism ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 44.8 | 114.1 | 94.8 |  | 6.6 | 12.9 |  |  |
| Netherlands Antilles |  | 103.4 | 71.5 |  |  | 7.0 | 4.8 |  |
| Bahamas | 13.4 | 13.6 | 11.9 | 14.6 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 3.8 |
| Barbados | 17.1 | 73.2 | 70.6 | 58.9 | 2.3 | 12.9 | 11.2 | 10.0 |
| Belize" | 44.4 | 112.7 | 104.4 | 122.4 | 1.4 | 12.9 | 12.4 | 13.8 |
| Dominica | 133.1 | 108.2 | 100.0 |  | 4.5 | 9.0 | 8.7 |  |
| Grenada | 44.7 | 65.4 | 61.6 |  | 5.0 | 15.5 | 10.8 |  |
| Guyana ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 115.3 | 720.3 | 1117.5 | 1142.1 | 17.9 |  |  |  |
| Jamaica | 144.0 | 307.8 | 292.1 | 255.9 | 21.8 | 59.4 | 59.1 | 21.2 |
| Montserrat | 27.2 | 16.2 |  | .. | 1.8 | ... |  |  |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 26.7 | 31.5 | 35.9 |  | 13 | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Saint Lucia | 23.1 | 23.5 | 22.5 |  | 1.8 | 19 | 15 |  |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 49.0 | 40.0 | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 21.6 | 131.8 | 143.0 | 126.5 | 4.7 | 16.8 | 24.5 | 21.7 |

## PART TWO <br> POSTWAR TRANSFER OF RESOURCES ABROAD BY LATIN AMERICA

# POSTWAR TRANSFER OF RESOURCES ABROAD BY LATIN AMERICA 

## 1. Latin America's external financing

In the late 1980s the countries of Latin America were net exporters of vast amounts of financial resources to the developed countries, a phenomenon that thwarts the aspirations of its peoples and confutes the principles of development and international co-operation.

Economic development and a bridging of the gap in productivity and well-being between the developing and developed countries require, in the first group, a sustained rise in investment, which is beyond its domestic savings capacity. Adequate flows of resources from abroad will therefore be necessary.

Furthermore, in view of the fact that increased investment leads to increased imports of capital goods and that the consequent expansion of the product generates in turn additional imports, external capital must also enhance import capacity in order to meet these increases in demand for imports.

Both direct foreign investment and long-term loans or unrequited official transfers fulfil this dual role of supplementing domestically-generated investable resources and providing foreign exchange to increase import capacity. With respect to loans or transfers, however, the problem usually arises of the capacity of the recipient economy to absorb financing from abroad, in other words,
the problem of ensuring that such financing effectively results in productive investment and not in consumption.

Moreover, both direct investment and loans involve the prospect of outflows of resources in the form of remittances of profits and debt service payments. In the case of the former, such payments depend not only on whether profits are made but also on reinvestment strategies. In contrast, in the case of debt service payments, a contractual obligation exists on the part of the debtor to remit both the interest and the agreed amortization payments. The sustained financing of development, which exceeds the domestic savings capacity and the purchasing power of exports therefore requires that inflows of foreign capital should always be greater than remittances abroad by way of payments for the servicing of such capital, thereby resulting in a positive net transfer of external resources.

The easy access to external financing in the 1970s came to a sudden halt in the 1980s, thereby significantly modifying the savings-investment process, pushing the external debt beyond sustainable levels and contracting import capacity. Latin America is thus entering upon the last decade of the twentieth century with a permanent drain of resources abroad, which compounds its difficulties in participating more actively in

[^20]international trade -in circumstances in which the latter tends to be organized on the basis of large supranational groupings- and contributes, in turn, to a worsening of the severe domestic problems that hamper efforts to bring about changes in production patterns, State reform and the achievement of greater social equity.

## a) Investment and its financing

The level of gross capital formation and the composition of its financing underwent significant changes in the last few decades. Investment remained steady at between $21 \%$ and $22 \%$ of the product during the 1950 s and 1960s, while the domestic savings required to sustain that level necessarily increased mainly on account of the deterioration in the terms of trade. Gross domestic savings at 1975 prices thus rose from $23 \%$ of the product in the 1950s to $26 \%$ in the 1960s, since the real transfer by way of the terms of trade effect increased its negative impact by almost $3 \%$ of GDP. The average performance for the period 1970-1973 was similar, as the negative effect of the terms of trade, at 1980 prices, was almost $6 \%$ of the product. Since at the same time, the net payment of factors abroad exceeded $2 \%$ of GDP, a rate of domestic savings equivalent to $26 \%$ of the product was necessary in order to maintain the level of investment at $21 \%$ of GDP (see table 1).

During the period 1974-1981, the beginning and end of which coincided with the first hikes in petroleum prices and the commencement of the regional balance-of-payments crisis, investment reached its highest level since the 1950s $-24 \%$ of the product at 1980 prices. This
increase was mainly accounted for by foreign capital in an amount equivalent to $4 \%$ of GDP, which virtually offset outflows both by way of factor payments abroad and through the deterioration in the terms of trade. This period therefore witnessed the simultaneous increase in investments and the decline in domestic savings.

During the crisis, on the other hand, gross capital formation declined dramatically, and between 1982 and 1989 it stood on average at a mere $17 \%$ of the regional product, while domestic savings remained steady at $24 \%$ of GDP, despite the drop in the level of per capita activity. The principal cause of this decline in investment was the sudden reversal in the net transfer of financial resources abroad, which represented an inflow of $1.6 \%$ of the product during the 1974-1981 period and an outflow of $3.5 \%$ between 1982 and 1989. This reversal, equivalent to five percentage points of the regional product, further compounded the situation brought about by the transfer of resources due to the deterioration of the terms of trade between these two periods, which was equivalent to a further three percentage points of the product. In such circumstances, the real transfer of resources abroad (at 1980 prices), which during the period 1974-198Í was slightly positive, became negative by an amount exceeding 7\% of the regional GDP.

## b) Import capacity

The reversal of the flow of net external financing in the 1980s also severely affected the region's import capacity. After remaining stagnant up to the mid-1960s and thereafter increasing until 1980, per capita import

[^21]where IC import capacity; $X$ exports in current dollars; FPA factor payments abroad; BCA balancee on capital account; Ip import prices.

(2) PPE =- $\begin{aligned} & \text { X } \\ & \text { Ip }\end{aligned} \quad$ and (3) NTRA $=\begin{array}{cc}\text { BCA } & \text { FPA } \\ \text { Ip } & \text { Ip }\end{array}+$ TTE $=$ IC - XCP
where PPE purchasing power of exports; NTRA net transfer of resources abroad; TTE terms of trade effect and XCP exports at constant prices.
capacity in real terms declined sharply with the crisis (see figure 1). This evolution was the result of combined changes in the main factors that determine the external situation of Latin American economies, such as export potential, external demand, international prices and the net balance of financial resources received.
i) Terms of trade and purchasing power of exports. The volume of per capita regional exports showed an upward trend up to the early 1970s, then declined up to the middle of that decade, following which it entered upon a new phase of rapid expansion, reflecting the region's vigorous export drive aimed at achieving equilibrium in its balance of payments. In contrast, the per capita purchasing power of exports, which incorporates the terms of trade effect, showed a different evolution: purchasing power remained relatively unchanged between 1950 and 1970, increased significantly with the improvement in the terms of trade that occurred in the early 1970s, continued its growth up to 1980 as a result of the expansion of the physical volume exported and has subsequently been fluctuating below that level because of successive declines in the terms of trade (see figure 1).

At any rate, the purchasing power (at 1980 prices) was always less than the physical volume exported. This was very largely due to the high petroleum prices that prevailed in 1980, which meant that, at 1980 prices, the hydrocarbon-exporting countries recorded a significant negative difference in the terms of trade effect during the entire preceding period. This was not the case, however, in the rest of the region where the drop in the real prices of agricultural products and, to a lesser extent, of minerals, meant that the difference (at 1980 prices) between 1950 and 1980 in the terms of trade effect was positive for non-petroleum- exporting countries, particularly for the South American countries. In contrast, from the latter year onward, following the decline in the prices of most export products -both energy and non-energy-
; of the region, the negative effect of the terms of trade became widespread.
ii) The contribution of the net transfer of external resources. The flow of net external financial resources also underwent different phases. For Latin America as a whole, its contribution to the financing of per capita import capacity Was generally negative or nil up to the late 19550s, following which it became markedly positive. However, with the advent of the region's financial crisis in 1982, the net flow of resources from abroad became decidedly negative, which resulted in the per capita import capacity plummeting and remaining since then at a level $25 \%$ below the pre-crisis levels, or roughly at the average recorded by the region in 1971 (see figure 2).

The oil-exporting countries were instrumental in the net negative transfer of financial resources recorded between 1950 and 1970, while for the non-hydrocarbpn exporting countries of South America the external transfer during this period was barely positive. During the 1970s and 1980s both groups repeated this general performance. The Central American countries, on the other hand, were able to maintain a favourable flow of resources from, abroad during almost the entire period of four decades following the Second World War.
iii) Real financing of import capacity. The evolution of the terms of trade and of the net transfer of financial resources for the countries of Latin America as a whole during the postwar period thus defined three markedly different periods (see figure 1). Between 1950 and 1973, the total real per capita transfer at 1980 prices (covering the area between the capacity to import and the volume of exports) was decidedly negative, in its terms of trade effect (covering the area between the export curve at constant prices and the purchasing power of exports). Subsequently, between 1973 and 1981, the real transfer became positive, as a result of the huge inflows of financial resources (covering the area between the capacity to import and the purchasing power of exports) which more than offset the unfavourable terms
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of trade effect which were less favourable than that achieved in 1980. After 1982 total real transfers again became negative and reached unprecedented proportions on account of the simultaneous deterioration of the terms of trade and the huge net outflow of financial resources.

## 2. Changes in the international financial situation and Latin America's debt overhang

These developments occurred in the midst of the major changes of the 1970s in the international financial arena, beginning with the end to the convertibility of the dollar, followed by the substantial increase in financial flows resulting from the expansion of the eurodollar market and the surpluses derived from the petroleum crisis, and culminating with the liberalization of interest rates in the United States market.

The accumulation of disequilibriums in the United States balance-of-payments position during the 1950s and 1960s culminated in the decision to legislate the inconvertibility of the dollar vis-à-vis gold in 1971. Moreover, during most of the 1970s regulated national markets existed alongside a booming informal market (eurodollars) in which fluctuating interest rates were accompanied by rapid financial innovations at the institutional and organizational levels as well as at the level of the management of instruments. In the late 1970s the Federal Reserve Board of the United States freed the maximum interest rate, which had been used as an instrument of monetary policy since the time of the Great Depression. The adoption of a contractive monetary policy in a context of major fiscal imbalances produced highly positive real interest rates, which constituted a fundamental change with respect to the previous situation.

These significant events created an unprecedented financial situation, with fluctuating exchange rates, wide variations in
real interest rates and hefty financial surpluses held by the private commercial banks. The latter, with scant experience in granting medium-term credits to governments, needed nevertheless to recycle their considerable short-term deposits of petrodollars.; For their part, the Latin American countries seeking credits also found themselves in a novel situation in which they obtained a flow of financial resources with unaccustomed ease. In such a situation, both the granting of loans by the banks and the utilization of external resources by the countries were far from being optimal. In some cases, consideration was not given to the possibility of obtaining a flow of foreign exchange with which to amortize loans in the same currency as that in which the debt was contracted; in others, both the public and private sectors accumulated excessive credits without taking into account the possibility of an abrupt cut-off in the flow of financing. All the actors embraced the idea that the available financing, the capacity to pay and the level of indebtedness were almost unlimited, an idea that was supported by the most widely held theoretical view of the time, which made no distinction between external transactions on current account and on the capital account of the balance of payments. There were few exceptions to this view, such as that of Colombia, whose external indebtedness was smaller than that of the rest of the region.

In this scenario, world supply of and demand for credit expanded rapidly during the 1970s. In Latin America, the greater demand for external financing -within this permissive framework- was partly a response to needs created by greater investment, but the credits obtained also fed expansionary fiscal policies aimed at sustaining high domestic demand, and financed the rapid expansion of private consumption and even -in certain cases or periods- the flight of resources toward assets abroad ("capital flight"). The debt overhang of the countries of the region was offset by the considerable increase in exports, which in current dollars grew at an annual rate of $20 \%$

[^23]between 1971 and 1981, mainly due to improved prices.

The increasing indebtedness of the region took no account of the changing situation in the industrialized countries, in which, in comparison with the 1960s, a structural decline took place in the rate of growth, followed by a substantive change in monetary policy. From the late 1970s onward, these countries placed more emphasis on their fight against inflation through restriction of aggregate demand, which led to a sharp recession with high real interest rates in the early 1980s. As a result, the product of the industrialized countries declined from a 5\% annual rate in the 1960s to under $3 \%$ during the period 1974-1981 and to a scant $1 \%$ during the three year period 1980-1982. The Latin American countries, for their part, experienced a much slower deceleration of growth (see table 2). Unlike the strategy adopted by the economies of South-East Asia, which focused predominantly on export objectives, the larger countries of the region sought to maintain domestic demand by contracting further debt in the belief that the situation of high prices for their export products and low real interest rates, with abundant bank financing was a permanent one when in reality it was only short term.

This fundamental strategic approach was particularly influenced by the evolution of the real interest rate during most of the 1970s. This rate had been negative in relation to international inflation, and much more so if measured against the prices of Latin American exports. In the first case therefore a real interest rate of $-2 \%$ was recorded for the average of the years 1971-1977. However, if the variation in export prices were used as a deflator, the real rate would be a minimum of $-33 \%$ in 1974, which was due above all to the rapid increase in the international prices of raw materials, and particularly of petroleum (see figure 2 ).

[^24]In terms of the supply of credit, the new situation of the international banking system with a booming eurocurrency market (although this could hardly be sustained in the medium term), and the recycling of the financial surpluses derived from the rise in petroleum prices, led to an extraordinary expansion of the operations of the commercial banks in Latin America. In this sense, the expansion of the scope of action of United States banks -at that time the leading private international banks- in the region was a logical response, having regard to their geographical proximity, commercial ties and greater familiarity with the region, compared to other regions of the world.

In summary, the conditions that prevailed on the international financial market during the second half of the 1970s were equally attractive to creditors, who obtained large profits from interest on higher risk premiums (which were up to $2 \%$ higher than regular premiums), and to debtors, who had access to abundant financing without the usual conditionalities imposed by international organizations. The latter maintained their traditional financial mechanisms but were incapable of intervening to any significant degree in the recycling of petrodollars. As a result Latin America actively contracted loans with the international commercial banks. The flow of long-term credit almost trebled between 1970 and 1973, and again between 1973 and 1978. In the three succeeding years it almost doubled in value. The net inflow of short- and long-term capital into the region as a whole thus peaked at US\$48 billion in 1981, equivalent to more than $40 \%$ of total exports in that year, as against only $14 \%$ in 1960 (see statistical annex).

Long-term external loans granted to public and private entities -and expressed as a percentage of regional exports- represented differing proportions of total loans granted according to the period considered. Thus, while between 1975 and 1980 net long-term loans granted to the public sector represented
this period.
8.5\% and those obtained in 1981 by the commercial banks accounted for $4 * 5 \%$, these shares were $4 \%$ and more than $9 \%$, respectively.

In the 1980s the direction of the international flow of financing changed abruptly, beginning with the diversion of funds to the United States. The posting of consecutive deficits in the biennium 1982-1983 ${ }^{6}$ together with a new monetary policy which
brought about a significant increase in the interest rates offered, operated as a magnet attracting vast financial resources to a country that was widely perceived as solvent and whose currency had worldwide acceptance. Thus, from 1982 onward the United States market was transformed into a huge pole of attraction for financing. By and large, the industrialized countries recorded a significant increase in their fiscal deficits and in the negative balance on their current accounts (see table 2).
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[^25]The payments difficulties of the highly indebted countries of Latin America in the early 1980s thus coincided with a radical change in international financial flows. This brought about the payments crisis for the countries of the region and the consequent reversal in the direction of the net transfer of financial resources. Up to 1982, this flow had been positive for Latin America, but from that year onward it became highly negative.

## 3. The net transfer of financial resources

## a) Definition

Since the net transfer of resources, at current values, includes various components, it is important to identify its composition.

The global concept of net transfer of resources covers all transactions on the capital account of the balance of payments less the balance of factor services appearing on the current account. Consequently, it includes both those transactions recorded under the heading "errors and omissions (net)", which indicates movements not counted either in the current account (which correspond mainly to contraband, overbilling of exports and underbilling of imports) or in the capital account (which mostly correspond to inflows and outflows of private capital). In this analysis it is assumed that most of these movements corresponded to thé second case.

Among the transactions recorded, a distinction should be drawn between the net transfer of credit and transfers in the form of foreign investment. The first consists of the net inflow of long-term capital (excluding investments) and short-term capital (official and from commercial banks) minus net interest payments. The other corresponds to direct and portfolio investments less payment of profits. Finally, the total of unrequited official transfers is counted separately.

## b) Postwar trends

The flow of financial resources abroad was not significant in Latin America during the first three decades of the postwar period. During the first two decades, the total net transfer was slightly negative, equivalent on average to one half of $1 \%$ of the regional product; by 1968 the net result had turned positive, following which it increased steadily, peaking at $3.2 \%$ of the product in 1978. After the second oil price shock and following the progressive increase in international interest rates, the net transfer of resources, which was still positive, declined steadily to $1 \%$ of the regional product. In 1982 the net flow of resources turned decidedly negative; during the period 1982-1988 the region transferred financial resources abroad equivalent to nearly four percentage points of the gross domestic product generated during that period, particularly in the years 1983 and 1985 during which net outflows of some 5\% were recorded. This dramatic change in external financial flows reached the level of US\$300 billion, in constant 1987 dollars, since the US $\$ 126$ billion (in dollars of that year) received by the region between 1974 and 1981 declined to an outflow of US\$170 billion between 1982 and 1988, equivalent to almost $6 \%$ of the regional product (see table 3 and figure 3 ).

The limited but steady outflow of financial resources during the first decades of the postwar period reflected, almost exclusively, the deficit resulting from the remittances of profits abroad, which were higher than the inflow from direct and portfolio investments, a situation that was also aggravated by the flight of private short-term capital. Remittances of profits occurred mainly in the petroleumexporting countries, in particular Venezuela, which set the pace for the region. In addition to the remittances by petroleum companies during those years, remittances were also made by agricultural and mining enterprises in Ecuador and Peru, as well as in Chile and Colombia.

[^26]Figure 3
LATIN AMERICA: RECORDED NET TRANSFER OF RESOURCES


In the early years of the 1970s, easy access to external credit modified the panorama described above and became the key factor in the region's external financing, both for petroleum-exporting and non-petroleumexporting countries. Among the petroleumexporting countries, the cases of Bolivia and Venezuela differ markedly from the others, since the large remittances of profits and the repatriation of capital exceeded the volume of credit received; the others, on the other hand, of which Mexico is the most significant, were recipients of resources.

In the South American non-petroleumexporting countries, the expanded credit flow was intensified by the inflow of private short-term capital, in a domestic context of favourable expectations.

The change in the international situation significantly increased the flow of net external credit between 1974 and 1981 and, once again, the resources obtained by Latin America through this channel ( $2.2 \%$ of GDP) constituted the basic nucleus of the external financing received. As in the previous three-year period, external inflows received by the petroleum-exporting countries exceeded the total of net resources obtained, since in some cases, the greater external indebtedness coincided with considerable outflows of private short-term capital (Bolivia, Mexico), and in others, with large negative balances on net foreign investments (Ecuador and Venezuela). The first coincidence also occurred in some non-petroleum-exporting countries such as El Salvador, Nicaragua and, especially, Argentina, a country in which such outflows exceeded the value of net credits received during that period.

After 1982 the trend of external financing through credit came to a general halt and led to the so-called debt crisis, which was accompanied in many cases by considerable outflows of private capital. Indeed, the external payments crisis had already been anticipated by economic agents in the early 1980s; thus, during the three-year period 1980-1982, the region suffered a net outflow of unrecorded
private capital of US\$27 billion, which was further increased by US $\$ 22$ billion in the form of smaller suppliers credits. Between 1982 and 1988, these net outflows of private capital represented on average $1.4 \%$ of the regional GDP.

The magnitude of the problem of the transfer of resources abroad is revealed in all its tragic dimensions when compared to the foreign exchange requirements for meeting financial outlays from export earnings. The turnaround which took place in the relation between these two variables was extraordinarily sharp. Thus, while the region received resources equivalent to $21 \%$ of its exports during the second half of the 1970s ( $90 \%$ of which came in the form of loans), it received virtually no net inflows between 1980 and 1982 (since the massive capital flight was offset by fresh external indebtedness equivalent to more than $10 \%$ of exports), and after 1982 began to transfer resources abroad to a value of nearly $25 \%$ of its annual earnings from trade. In other words, if the period 1974-1981 is compared with that of 1982-1988, the negative transfer of resources abroad exceeded $40 \%$ of exports (see table 3 ).

The petroleum-exporting countries as a whole experienced a relatively sharper reversal, since from a net positive transfer of $2.2 \%$ of their gross domestic product they moved to a negative net transfer of $5.1 \%$, equivalent to a change of more than $7 \%$ of the product, which reached its highest level (almost $10 \%$ ) in Venezuela. Although most of this process was credit-related, the outflow of private capital constituted an additional severe drainage on resources between 1982 and 1988, which exceeded $2 \%$ of the product for the subgroup of petroleum-producing countries (see figure 4).

The reversal in the direction of total net transfers of resources was somewhat less marked in the non-petroleum-exporting countries of South Ameris (5\%); three fourths corresponded to credit inflows and almost all of the rest to the outflow of unrecorded private capital. Some of these countries also displayed

[^27]Figure 4
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Source: ECLAC, based on official data.
a/ Corresponds to the difference between the average for 1982-1988 and the average for 1974-1981.
special particularities. In Colombia the total transfer abroad recorded in most recent years was less than $1 \%$ of the product as against an average of $3 \%$ for this group and of almost 4\% for the region as a whole. Paraguay for its part, experienced a similar trend to that of the Central American subregion, since it maintained a net inflow of resources during the last 40 years, receiving during the period 1982-1988 an amount equivalent to $2 \%$ of the product. For its part, Chile and Uruguay continued the general trend of the South American group of petroleum-exporting countries, although a number of significant factors should be highlighted. Indeed, Uruguay recorded heavy outflows of private capital representing almost $3 \%$ of its product during the period 1982-1988, following the positive balance resulting from direct investments from Argentina, mainly in real estate. In Chile, during the same period, of particular importance was the net inflow of foreign investment equivalent to over $1 \%$ of the product, while the regional average was nil and net credit transfers abroad in relation to the product were the highest in Latin America, with an outflow equivalent to more than $4 \%$ of the product.

The Central American countries, on the other hand, continued to receive financial resources from abroad during the period under review, although through different channels. Thus, after recording a positive transfer of over $4 \%$ of the product between 1974 and 1981 (almost entirely in the form of financial loans), during the crisis net transfers continued to be positive accounting for almost $4 \%$ of the product, although more than half corresponded to unrequited official transfers. Of particular significance was the credit granted to Nicaragua in the last 15 years, which represented $9 \%$ of that country's product during the period 1974-1981 and almost $18 \%$ between 1982 and 1988.

Credit transfers, which had been severely limited during the first two decades of the postwar period, constituted the main component of external financing in Latin America in the last two decades. During the 1950s and 1960s and in the early years of the 1970s, the contribution of such transfers did not even represent $1 \%$ of the total product. After

1973 net external credit increased markedly, pushing this coefficient up to approximately $3 \%$ of the product in 1976 and 1978. From 1982 onward, however, net inflows by way of credits fell drastically and even turned negative, with transfers abroad peaking at $4 \%$ of the product in 1985. External credit transfers, which had brought in financing equivalent to $17 \%$ of exports during the period 1974-1981, thus led to an outflow of foreign exchange equivalent to $16 \%$ of exports in the period 1982-1988; in other words, there was a decline of almost $5 \%$ in the product and a contraction of import capacity of nearly one third of the value of exports. The reversal of financial flows could have been even greater since in the latter period there were significant arrears in debt-servicing payments, which meant in practice, additional, albeit involuntary, financing.

This sharp reversal was due both to the contraction of external financing -net loans received, which had amounted to $48 \%$ of regional exports in 1981, accounted for only $2 \%$ in 1988-, and to the simultaneous increase in the payment of interest on the debt. Thus, during the period 1982-1988 the region was forced to devote an amount equivalent to four and a half percent of its product (almost one third of the value of its exports) to the payment of interest on loans received, as against inflows of net credit of just over $2 \%$ of the product, while between 1974 and 1981 outflows of foreign exchange by way of interest represented $1.5 \%$ and the net inflow of capital almost $4 \%$ (see table 4).

One of the most significant developments related to the reversal of external financial flows was that in many cases the State assumed a large part of the private debt. The mechanisms employed were varied; one of them, which was frequently used in some countries, consisted in converting the private sector domestic debt owed the commercial banks into public sector external debt through the acquisition, generally by the Central Bank, of portfolios with high proportions of loans that were difficult to collect. The basic objective of this conversion was to shore up the domestic financial system which was in danger of collapse.

The flow of foreign exchange in the form of net foreign investment did not represent for the region as a whole a significant inflow of external financing. Indeed the balance of such flows was negative by almost $1 \%$ of the product up to the first half of the 1970s due to the fact that remittances of profits systematically exceeded the volumes of fresh investments. The outflow of foreign exchange under this head was alleviated during the first half of the 1970s, and the trend turned slightly positive in the succeeding years due to the relatively smaller remittances of profits; the latter, which had constituted $1.4 \%$ of the product in the 1950s, fell to $0.6 \%$ in the 1980 s.

The limited impact of this flow was due to specific trends, both on the part of investment enterprises and of the receiving countries. Generally speaking, direct foreign investment was not promoted by the countries of the region, while potential investors evaluated their projects using high risk coefficients. Overall, direct and portfolio investments in gross terms (that is to say, without discounting outflows by way of remittances of profits) peaked in 1981 at US\$10 billion, equivalent to $9 \%$ of exports.

Between 1950 and 1970, the unfavourable net flow of foreign investment to Latin America was determined by Venezuela; remittances of profits from that country during those years were on such a scale that almost the entire outflow from the region could be attributed to that source. Remittances abroad were also considerable in Mexico and Peru, among the petroleum-exporting countries, and in Argentina, Brazil and Chile, among the non-petroleum-exporting countries of South America, although in the latter cases there were simultaneous inflows of investment resources, which reduced and even totally offset the negative effect of those remittances (see table 5).
'Foreign investment trends were influenced both by legislation of each country.

In the early 1970s, Venezuela consistently posted a sizeable negative balance and determined the direction of regional transfers abroad derived from net foreign investment. At the same time, however, Brazil began to assume the position of main recipient of foreign investment, followed by Mexico; between 1970 and 1973 these two countries received more than $85 \%$ of direct and portfolio investment made in the region, which signalled a marked increase in investment by transnational industrial enterprises, mainly from the United States, to the countries of Latin America with high-potential domestic markets.

Between 1974 and 1981 foreign direct and portfolio investment doubled in constant dollars; Brazil, Mexico and Argentina absorbed more than $80 \%$ of the total investment in the region. During this period gross income from foreign investment exceeded remittances of profits, resulting in a net balance that was slightly in surplus in relation to the regional product. The crisis of the 1980s also affected the flow of foreign investment, which declined in most of the countries, with a few exceptions, most notably Argentina, Colombia and Chile. In the latter cases direct and portfolio foreign investment rose to their highest levels, measured in constant dollars, of the last 40 years.

Finally, the value of unrequited official transfers was significantly high in some countries of the region. In a context of selective unrequited assistance, inflows were directed to Central America, Bolivia and Peru. The total amount of unrequited official transfers to the region was US\$500 million in 1987 dollars in the 1970s and rose significantly in the following decade (see table 6). While the amounts transferred were not very significant for the region as a whole, they constituted a significant inflow from abroad in the case of Central America, which received more than half the
regional total. From the late 1970s onwards, external inflows to this group of countries increased to $1 \%$ of the product and continued to rise until by the late 1980s they accounted for almost $4 \%$ ( $2.5 \%$ of the gross domestic product as an average for the period 1982-1988). For Latin America as a whole, however, unrequited transfers received remained steady at about $0.1 \%$ of the regional product during the last 40 years (see table 3).

## 4. Restrictions on future growth

The high external indebtedness and the heavy burden of the debt service were to a large extent responsible, as demonstrated on many occasions, for the poor performance of the Latin American and Caribbean economies during the 1980s, as well as for the persistence of the crisis that has affected the region in the present decade.

Moreover, the debt overhang and the negative transfer of resources abroad constitute severe restrictions on the region's real possibilities for growth over the next few years. In order to illustrate the magnitude of these obstacles, simulation exercises have been prepared, which permit comparisons to be made between the consequences for economic growth and the external payments situation that would flow from the persistence of the debt overhang, or from recourse to the different proposals for tackling the external debt problem. A summary follows of the results of the basic scenario, which assumes the persistence, without change, of the current situation of indebtedness; in other words, a scenario in which there is no reduction
whatsoever in the debt or its servicing, in which the volume of commercial bank loans continues to be low, and in which loans from official sources, both bilateral and multilateral, continue to be governed by current criteria of credit "rationing". Only variables related to international interest rates and to the possibility of achieving increased exports of non-traditional products have been taken into account.

Under such a scenario, the net transfer abroad of resources from the region would be higher than that effected during the period 1987-1989, representing $3.8 \%$ of the product. In the case of the Central American and Caribbean countries, the current positive transfer would be significantly reduced, and in the case of the English-speaking Caribbean countries, it would be transformed into a large negative balance (see table 8 ).

A dominant factor in this negative transfer would be the level of interest owed, which could represent as much as $4 \%$ of the product, in the case of the large countries, almost $5 \%$ in the case of medium-sized countries, and -most seriously- between $5 \%$ and $8 \%$ in the two groups of small countries (see table 9).

In order to be able to effect a transfer of resources on this scale, the region would need to achieve an even larger surplus on its trade balance than it has over the past few years. Thus, the average trade balance, which during the period 1987-1989 represented an amount equivalent to almost $19 \%$ of exports and on which the massive transfer of resources during that period was based, should increase on average to a volume equivalent to almost $22 \%$ of exports in the period 1990-1995. Only the large countries, which have already

[^28]accumulated considerable trade surpluses, would be in a position to effect such transfers of resources without expanding the relative size of their surpluses; the non-petroleumexporting medium- and small-sized South American countries would be required to obtain much higher relative positive balances than those achieved in the recent past, while the Central American and Caribbean countries would experience significant reductions in their current trade deficits (see table 10).

Inherent in this scenario is the accentuation of the processes of adjustment as a mechanism to permit the generation of a trade surplus large enough to fully meet debt servicing requirements. In view of the necessary restriction on imports, the results in terms of growth would be very limited; the region as a whole may achieve an average growth rate of just over $2 \%$, while the relatively smaller countries may grow at rates of under $2 \%$ or less than $1 \%$ (see table 7). In any event, such rates assume a decline in per capita product during the six year period 1990-1995.

Shrinking economic activity and the outflow of resources would keep the investment coefficient down to relatively low levels; in the basic scenario, these levels could even decline relative to the average rates recorded in the period 1987-1989, with the exception of the group of large countries (see table 11).

In this scenario, per capita consumption would probably decrease. For the region as a whole it would fall at an annual rate which would situate it, in 1995, at a level $3 \%$ lower than the 1989 level. Only in the case of the medium-sized countries, would per capita consumption in that year be somewhat higher ( $2 \%$ ) than the 1989 level, even though that would not mean a return to the pre-crisis levels. It is also likely that in the other groups of countries accumulative declines could take place which may be -in the case of Central America and the Caribbean- up to $18 \%$ (see table 7).

As is well known, in a considerable number of countries, the strategy to meet debt servicing commitments within a framework of financial restrictions, far from eliminating the problem of the debt overhang, usually leads to a small increase in the balance of the debt (see table 12). However, in this scenario the coefficients of indebtedness could exhibit a different trend, according to the group of countries being considered. For the region as a whole, the debt/product ratio would fall slightly (from $50 \%$ to $48 \%$ ), while the rise in imports would reduce the debt/exports coefficient from 314\% to $237 \%$. This reduction would be concentrated, however, in the group of large countries; in the small South American and Caribbean countries, the ratio between the external debt and the product would rise considerably, which would cancel out the apparent relief brought about by the reduction in the debt/export ratio (see table 13).

A simulation was also prepared of a variant of the basic scenario, which assumed the maintenance of international interest rates at their 1989 levels instead of a reduction of 1.2 points in the LBOR rate throughout the period 1991-1992. The greater volume of resources that would be required for debt servicing would negatively influence growth potential in the economies of the region. Taken together, growth in these economies would fall from $2.1 \%$ per annum (basic scenario) to $1.2 \%$, while per capita consumption would decline even further than under the basic scenario. In turn, the net transfer of financial resources would rise from $3.8 \%$ to $4.6 \%$ of the gross domestic product.

Another of the simulated variants of the basic scenario consisted of the doubling of the growth rate for non-traditional exports, representing additional income of US $\$ 40$ billion during the period 1990-1995. This greater financial inflow would permit a substantial increase in imports, investment and the product. Thus, the growth rate of the

[^29]product could rise to $2.9 \%$ per annum while per capita consumption could increase slightly instead of decrease. Transfers, on the other hand, would barely decline, since most resources obtained from abroad would be allocated to imports (see table 7).

As an alternative scenario to these variants of the basic scenario which assumed the contraction of external financing, a simulation was done of the scale of external financing that would be required in each group of countries to permit $5 \%$ annual growth in the product during the period 1991-1995, and consequently, for a nearly $3 \%$ increase per annum in the per capita product of the region. In that case, the positive balance on the trade account of the region would practically disappear (declining to $1.5 \%$ of exports) thereby reducing to nil the net transfer of resources. An inflow of US\$335 billion in fresh loans would thus be required for the period 1990-1995 (instead of US $\$ 103$ billion in the basic scenario), which would result in an increase in the external debt in 1995 of $13 \%$ of the gross domestic product in comparison with the basic scenario (see table 12).

It was also assumed that unrequited transfers would remain steady in keeping with the trend of recent years. For the small countries such transfers have been significant.

It was also projected that countries would proceeed with the necessary adjustments to avoid disequilibriums in their balance of payments, as well as arrears in payments on their debt service commitments.

With regard to the domestic functioning of the economies, account was taken of the fact that restrictions on domestic supply and the deteriorated situation of many sectors would significantly affect demand for imports, which is closely linked to growth in the product as a whole and in investment in particular. In recent years, even in the face of extremely high exchange rates, imports have risen notably at the least sign of economic recovery. Consequently, although a substantial initial increase in imports has been projected, in the subsequent years this level would return to the more long-term historical pattern. For their part, estimates of investment levels had been conditioned upon the growth rate which was in turn based on the historical experience of the incremental ratio between capital and the product. Finally, international reserves are not expected to undergo any major variations since the countries of the region would be unable to reduce the level of such reserves to any significant degree nor would they be able to accumulate any significant reserves even in those countries with large trade surpluses.

Table 1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: INVESTMENT FINANCING
(Coefficients as a percentage of GDP)

|  | 1975 dollars |  |  |  |  | 1980 dollars |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1950-$ | $1960-$ | $1966-$ | $1973-$ | $1970-$ | $1974-$ | $1982-$ |  |  |
|  | 1959 | 1965 | 1972 | 1979 | 1973 | 1981 | 1989 |  |  |
|  | 22.7 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 24.1 | 26.1 | 23.1 | 23.9 |  |  |
| 1. Gross domestic savings | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 4.7 |  |  |
| 2. Net factor payments abroad | -0.8 | -4.0 | -3.4 | 0.4 | -5.9 | -1.1 | -4.0 |  |  |
| 3. Terms-of-trade effect | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 1.6 |  |  |
| 4. External savings <br> 5. Gross domestic savings <br> (1-2+3) | 19.8 | 19.7 | 20.4 | 22.6 | 18.1 | 19.6 | 15.2 |  |  |
| 6. Gross domestic investment <br> (4+5) | 21.3 | 20.9 | 22.4 | 25.6 | 20.7 | 23.6 | 16.8 |  |  |
| 7. Net transfer of resources <br> abroad (4+3-2) | -1.4 | -4.5 | -3.3 | 1.5 | -5.4 | 0.5 | -7.1 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, based on official data.

Table2

## EVOLUTION OF THE INDUSTRIALIZED ECONOMIES AND OF LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1960 \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974 \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |
| Industrialized countries | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Latin America and the |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean | 5.7 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 1.5 |
| Consumer price indexes |  |  |  |  |
| Industrialized countries | 2.9 | 5.7 | 10.0 | 4.2 |
| Latin America and the |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean | 17.7 | 18.6 | 45.0 | 117.9 |
| Average for the period |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal/GDP result |  |  |  |  |
| Industrialized countries |  | $-1.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -3.3 | -4.7" |
| Latin America and the |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean |  | $-1.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -2.3 | $-6.9^{\circ}$ |
| Selected years |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1972 | 1980 | 1982 | 1987 |
| Billions of dollars |  |  |  |  |
| Balance of payment on current account |  |  |  |  |
| United States | -5.8 | 1.8 | -8.6 | -152.5 |
| Latin America and the |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean | -4.5 | -30.3 | -41.6 | -9.2 |

[^30]Table3
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NET TRANSFER OF RESOURCES ${ }^{\mathbf{a b}}$
(Annual averages)

|  | (Million!; of 1987 dollars) |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of exports of goods and services |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean (18 countries) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1015 | -1434 | 6530 | 15763 | -24171 | -3.8 | 4 J | 12.2 | 17.5 | -24J | -0.5 | -0.5 | 13 | 23 | -3.7 |
| Recorded | -224 | -1151 | 5220 | 17949 | -14711 | -1.1 | -3.4 | 9.4 | 19.4 | -15.0 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 1.0 | 2.4 | -2.3 |
| Credit | 806 | 919 | 6505 | 15846 | -16648 | '2.8 | 2 J | 12.0 | 17.4 | -16.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 23 | -2.4 |
| Foreign investment | -1207 | -2498 | -1758 | 1619 | 683 | 4.6 | -7.4 | -3.5 | 15 | 0.8 | -0.5 | -0.9 | -0.4 | 03 | 0.1 |
| Unrequited official | 178 | 428 | 472 | 486 | 1266 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 13 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 02 |
| Unrecorded | -791 | -283 | 1311 | -2 185 | -9 412 | -2.7 | -0.9 | 2.8 | -1.8 | -93 | -03 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -03 | -1.4 |
| Petroleum-exporting countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -1433 |  | 241 | 5624 | -14234 | -13.5 | -144 | 1.2 | 143 | -29.1 | -2.5 | -24 | 0.1 | 23 | -5.5 |
| Recorded | -936 | -f947 | 289 | 8184 | -7379 | -9.3 | -13.4 | 0.8 | 19.8 | -15.5 | -1.7 | -2.3 | 0.1 | 3.1 | -2.9 |
| Credit | 192 | 406 | 2253 | 7680 | -8 405 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 9 J | 18.8 | -17.7 | 03 | 0.3 | 13 | 2.9 | -3.3 |
| Foreign investment | -1207 | -2 493 | -2 187 | 249 | 553 | -1L3 | -16.6 | -9.7 | 0.5 | 13 | -2.1 | -2.7 | -13 |  | 02 |
| Unrequited official | 79 | 110 | 221 | 256 | 473 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 03 |
| Unrecorded | 497 | -142 | -48 | -2560 | -6856 | 42 | -1.0 | 0.4 | -5.5 | -13.6 | ,0.8 | -03 | - | -0.8 | -2.6 |
| Petroleum-exporting countries (excluding Venezuela) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 151 | 403 | 1425 | 3784 | -10 310 | 3.1 | 54 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.8 | -5.1 |
| Recorded | 222 | 276 | 1742 | 6268 | -5 570 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 27.9 | -16.1 | 05 | 0.3 | 13 | 3.0 | -2.7 |
| Credit | 127 | 580 | 1824 | 5226 | -6488 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 23.4 | -18.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 25 | -32 |
| Foreign investment | 17 | 419 | -319 | 726 | 427 | 0.3 | -6.3 | -2.4 | 32 | 13 |  | -0.7 | -03 | 0.4 | 03 |
| Unrequited official | 78 | 115 | 235 | 318 | 491 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 | $03^{-}$ | 03 | 03 | 03 | 0.3 |
| Unrecorded | -72 | 127 | -318 | -2485 | 4740 | -13 | 1.9 | -1.8 | -11.6 | -13.6 | -0.1 | 03 | -03 | -13 | -2.4 |
| Bolivia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 54 | 109 | -49 | \% | $9{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 22.9 | 36.0 | -8.3 | 9.8 | $3.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 3.7 | $6 J$ | -1.7 | 2.1 | $0.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Recorded | 73 | 121 | 128 | 303 | -93 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 29.6 | 40.0 | 22.4 | 28.9 | $-113{ }^{\text {e }}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4.7 | 12 | 4.1 | 6.7 | $-25^{e}$ |
| Credit | 10 | 56 | 192 | 245 | $-132{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.9 | 14.4 | 33.7 | 233 | $-16.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.4 | 2.8 | 63 | 5.4 | $-3.7{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Foreign investment | 18 | 13 | -81 | 28 | $-28{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.6 | 7.7 | -143 | 2.9 | -3.7 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1.4 | 13 | -2.8 | 0.7 | J0.8 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Unrequited official | 46 | 52 | 17 | 30 | $67^{\text {c }}$ | 19.1 | 18.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | $9.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.9 | 33 | 05 | 0.7 | $2.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Unrecorded | -19 | -12 | -177 | -207 | $102{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -6.7 | 4.1 | -30.6 | -19.0 | $15.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -1.0 | -0.7 | -5.7 | 4.6 | $2.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Ecuador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -37 | 54 | 188 | 285 | 404 | -9.8 | 8.2 | 25.7 | 10.7 | -13.8 | -1.7 | 13 | 3.8 | 2.5 | -3.5 |
| Recorded | -25 | 34 | 218 | 360 | -232 | -6.0 | 5.3 | 30.3 | 13.7 | -7.7 | -1.1 | 0.8 | 45 | 33 | -2.1 |
| Credit | 6 | 27 | 76 | 402 | -243 | 12 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 15.3 | -8.1 | 03 | 0.7 | 15 | 3.7 | -22 |
| Foreign investment | -37 | -14 | 115 | -80 | 44 | -8.7 | -2.9 | 18.4 | -3.0 | -1.6 | -15 | -0.5 | 25 | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| Unrequited official | 7 | 22 | 27 | 37 | 55 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 05 | 0.4 | 05 |
| Unrecorded | -13 | 20 | -30 | -75 | -172 | -3.8 | 2.9 | 4.7 | -3.1 | -6.1 | -0.6 | 0.4 | -0.6 | -0.7 | -15 |
| Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25 | 249 | 1280 | 2857 | -9 934 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 14.6 | 16.8 | -364 | 0.1 | 04 | 13 | 1.5 | $\bullet 62$ |
| Recorded | 72 | 123 | 1247 | 5124 | -5 320 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 112 | 323 | -19.6 | 03 | 03 | 1.1 | 2.9 | -3.4 |
| Credit | 103 | 310 | 1306 | 4187 | -6080 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 13.9 | 26.6 | -223 | 0.3 | 05 | 1.1 | 2.3 | -3.8 |
| Foreign investment | 42 | -189 | -147 | 794 | 557 | -1.1 | -3.7 | -1.7 | 4.7 | 1.9 | -0.1 | -0.4 | -0.1 | 05 | 0.3 |
| Unrequited official | 11 | 2. | 86 | 142 | 203 | - 0.4 |  | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Unrecorded | 47 | 126 | 33 | -2267 | 4614 | -0.8 | 22 | 1.4 | -15.4 | -16.9 | -03 | 03 | 0.1 | -1.4 | -2.8 |

Table 3 (continued 1)

|  | (Millions of 1987 dollars) |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of exports of goods and services |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |
| Peru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 109 | -10 | 6 | 546 | 18 | 9.8 | -OU | 0 J | 17.9 | OS | 1.6 | -02 |  | il |  |
| Recorded | 101 | -3 | 150 | 481 | 75 | 8.9 | -1.0 | 5.0 | 18.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | -0.3' | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| Credit | 9 | 187 | 250 | 391 | -33 | 0.7 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 14.5 | -1.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 15 |  |
| Foreign investment | 78 | -228 | -206 | -16 | -58 | 6.9 | -9.5 | -6.6 | 0.9 | -15 | 1.1 | -1.6 | -1.0 | -02 | -03 |
| Unrequited official | 14 | 38 | 106 | 108 | 166 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 45 | 02 | 02 | 05 | 05 | 0.7 |
| Unrecorded | 7 | $-7$ | -144 | 65 | -56 | 0.9 | 0.4 | -5.0 | -0.1 | -1.4 | 02 | 0.1 | -0.6 | 0.3 | -05 |
| Venezuela |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -1584 | -2 522 | -1184 | 1840 | -3 924 | -25.7 | -30.9 | -12.4 | 13.1 | -27.2 | -8J | -9.7 | -32 | 32 | -6.7 |
| Recorded | -1159 | -2 253 | -1453 | 1915 | -1809 | -19.5 | -27.6 | -16.1 | 11.7 | -14.0 | -6.1 | -8.7 | -4.0 | 3.1 | -33 |
| Credit | 64 | -174 | 429 | 2454 | -1917 | 0.6 | -2.0 | 45 | 14.7 | -14.5 | 03 | -0.8 | 12 | 42 | -35 |
| Foreign investment | -1224 | -2 074 | -1868 | -476 | 126 | -20.1 | -25.5 | -20.5 | -2.6 | 0.6 | -6.4 | -7.9 | -5.1 | -1.0 | 02 |
| Unrequited official |  | $-5$ | -15 | -62 | -18 |  | -0.1 | $\bullet 02$ | -0.3 | -0.1 |  |  |  |  | -0.1 |
| Unrecorded | -425 | -269 | 270 | -75 | -2116 | $\bullet 62$ | -3.3 | 3.7 | 13 | -132 | -2.1 | -1.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | -3.4 |
| Non-petroleum-exporting countries of Central America and tbe Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -24 | 353 | 510 | 1242 | 1115 | -L8 | 9.9 | 10.3 | 163 | 17-4 | -OS | 2.1 | 2 A | $4 A$ | 33 |
| Recorded | 34 | 330 | 494 | 1409 | 945 | 0.9 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 18.2 | 15.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 3.4 |
| Credit | 46 | 203 | 347 | 1203 | 124 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 15.6 | 25 | 05 | 12 | 1.6 | 42 | 05 |
| Foreign investment | -68 | 14 | 83 | 62 | 123 | -3.5 | 02 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.9 | -0.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 02 | 0.4 |
| Unrequited official | 55 | 112 | 65 | 143 | 712 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 10.9 | 05 | 0.7 | 03 | 05 | 25 |
| Unrecorded | -57 | 23 | 16 | -167 | 170 | -2.7 | 02 | 0.4 | -1.9 | 2.3 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -05 | 05 |
| Costa Rica |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -2 | 92 | 213 | 352 | 73 | -LI | 19.0 | 25.7 | 27.2 | 4.9 | -04 | 46 | $1 A$ | 8.0 | L6 |
| Recorded | -11 | 64 | 129 | 296 | 39 | -3.9 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 22.6 | 3.6 | -12 | 35 | 45 | 65 | 1.4 |
| Credit | 1 | 39 | 78 | 219 | -134 | 02 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 16.4 | -9.0 |  | 2.1 | 2.7 | 4.6 | -2.8 |
| Foreign investment | -21 | 13 | 45 | 78 | 49 | -12 | 2.9 | 55 | 6.1 | 3.7 | -2.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| Unrequited official | 10 | 12 | 6 | -1 | 124 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.8 |  | 8.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 02 |  | 2.9 |
| Unrecorded | 9 | 28 | 85 | 56 | 34 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 12 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 02 |
| El Salvador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -30 | 46 | -3 | 61 | 101 | -8.3 | 7.6 | -0.7 | 6.7 | 11.3 | -1.8 | 1.9 | -0.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Recorded | -8 | 44 | 61 | 164 | 151 | -2.1 | 15 | 7.0 | 142 | 16.7 | -05 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 45 | 3.7 |
| Credit | 4 | 27 | 61 | 172 | ${ }^{18}$ | 1.1 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 14.8 | -5.1 | 02 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 4.7 | -0.8 |
| Foreign investment | -14 | 7 | -6 | -21 | -28 | -3.7 | 12 | -0.7 | -1.6 | -32 | -0.8 | 0.3 | -02 | -05 | -0.7 |
| Unrequited official | 2 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 227 | 05 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 25.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 02 | 0.3 | 52 |
| Unrecorded | -21 | 2 | -64 | -104 | -50 | $\bullet 62$ | 02 | -7.8 | -15 | -5.4 | -13 | 0.1 | -22 | -2.6 | -1.3 |
| Guatemala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 46 | 60 | 19 | 207 | 180 | 11.1 | VLB | 1.7 | 12.2 | 14S | IS | US | 03 | IA | 23 |
| Recorded | 54 | 67 | 18 | 246 | 54 | 13.1 | 112 | 1.6 | 14.0 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 03 | 3.0 | 0.6 |
| Credit | 13 | 29 | 46 | 186 | -46 | 32 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 10.8 | -4.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.3 | -0.8 |
| Foreign investment | 16 | 21 | -26 | 59 | 72 | 4.1 | 3.4 | -2.6 | 32 | 6.1 | 05 | 05 | -05 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Unrequited official | 24 | 17 | -2 | 1 | 28 | 5.8 | 35 | $\bullet 02$ | 0.1 | 23 | 0.8 | 05 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Unrecorded | -8 | $-7$ | 1 | -39 | 126 | -2.0 | -0.8 | 0.1 | -1.8 | 10.5 | -03 | -0.1 |  | -0.3 | 1.7 |

Table 3 (continued 2)

|  | (Millions of $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ dollars) |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of exports of goods and services |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |
| Haiti |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6 | 15 | 10 | 105 | 158 | 44 | 104 | 6.1 | 39.5 | 53 | J | 2Jd | IS | 12 | 104 | 123 |
| Recorded | 20 | 6 | 20 | 103 | 138 | 12.7 | 42 | 12.1 | 39.7 | 45.8 |  | 3.8d | 0.6 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 10.2 |
| Credit | 9 | -2 | 2 | 53 | 43 | 5.6 | -1.1 | 1.3 | 20.8 | 14.2 |  | 1.7d | -0.1 | 03 | 5.4 | 3.3 |
| Foreign investment | 1 | -8 | -1 | 2 | 3 | 0.7 | -4.9 | -0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 |  | 0.0 d | -0.8 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 02 |
| Unrequited official | 10 | 15 | 19 | 48 | 92 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 18.4 | 30.7 |  | 2.1 d | 1.5 | 22 | 4.8 | 6.7 |
| Unrecorded | -14 | 9 | -10 |  | 20 | -8.3 | 62 | -6.0 | -0.1 | 7.5 |  | -1.6d | 0.9 | -12 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| Honduras |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -6 | 26 | 31 | 163 | 105 | -2.0 | 64 | S3 | 204 | 11 J |  | -0.7 | US | 1Á | 6.7 | 2.8 |
| Recorded | -8 | 24 | 41 | 149 | 90 | -3.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 18.4 | 10.0 |  | -0.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 25 |
| Credit | 7 | 29 | 72 | 170 | 28 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 20.3 | 3.6 |  | 0.7 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 1.0 |
| Foreign investment | -20 | -18 | -39 | $\wedge$ t2 | -29 | -7.7 | 42 | -6.5 | -4.4 | -3.3 |  | -2.0 | -1.1 | -1.9 | -1.5 | -0.8 |
| Unrequited official | 4 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 91 | 1.7 | 3.6 | IS | 2.6 | 9.7 |  | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| Unrecorded | 2 | 2 | -11 | 15 | 15 | 0.9 | -0.2 | -1.7 | 2.0 | 12 |  | 02 |  | -0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Nicaragua |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -3 | 59 | 77 | 156 | 464 | -2.6 | 123 | 11.6 | 24.6 | 126.8 |  |  | 3J | 3.0 | 5.5 | 19.0 |
| Recorded |  | 56 | 92 | 281 | 523 | -1.4 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 39.2 | 144.7 |  |  | 32 | 3.8 | 10.6 | 21.6 |
| Credit | 5 | 46 | 99 | 254 | 434 | 2.0 | 9.0 | 14.6 | 34.7 | 118.6 |  |  | 2.4 | 42 | 9.4 | 17.8 |
| Foreign investment | -11 | -0 | -31 | -24 | -1 | -5.3 | 0.4 | -4.6 | -2.8 | -02 |  |  | 0.1 | -1.4 | -0.9 |  |
| Unrequited official | 5 | 11 | 24 | 51 | 89 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 26.3 |  |  | 0.6 | L0 | 2.1 | 3.7 |
| Unrecorded | -3 | 2 | -15 | -125 | -59 | -12 | 0.4 | -1.9 | -14.7 | -17.8 |  |  | 0.1 | -0.8 | -5.1 | -2.6 |
| Dominican Republic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -35 | 55 | 164 | 198 | 34 | -8.1 | 84 | 20.1 | 13.9 | 2 i |  | -2.0 | L0 | $3 \mathrm{J6}$ | 32 | 0 " |
| Recorded | -12 | 69 | 134 | 170 | -50 | -3.5 | 11.4 | 16.6 | 11.8 | $\cdot 32$ |  | -0.9 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | -1.1 |
| Credit | 7 | 34 | -11 | 149 | -168 | 12 | 4.9 | -0.7 | 10.3 | -11.2 |  | 02 | 0.8 | -0.2 | 2.3 | -3.6 |
| Foreign investment | -20 | -0 | 142 | 11 | 57 | -4.8 | -0.4 | 16.9 | 0.8 | 3.8 |  | -12 | -0.2 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 12 |
| Unrequited official | 0 | 35 | 3 | 10 | 61 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 42 |  |  | 1.1 | 0.1 | 02 | 1.3 |
| Unrecorded | -22 | -14 | 30 | 28 | 85 | -4.6 | -3.0 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 5.8 |  | -1.1 | -0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| Non-petroleum-exporting countries of South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 442 | 332 | 5779 | 8897 | -11052 | 3.6 | $2 ¢$ | 22.8 | 21.7 | -24.3 |  | 03 | 02 | 2.0 | 2.1 | -3.0 |
| Recorded | 679 | 496 | 4436 | 8356 | -8 277 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 17.3 | 19.7 | -18.4 |  | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | -2.3 |
| Credit | 568 | 310 | 3905 | 6963 | -8 367 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 15.5 | 16.6 | -17.3 |  | 0.4 | 02 | 1.4 | 1.6 | -2.1 |
| Foreign investment | 67 | -19 | 346 | 1307 | 7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 0.4 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 0.3 |  |
| Unrequited official | 45 | 206 | 187 | 87 | 81 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Unrecorded | -237 | -164 | 1343 | 541 | -2 727 | -IS | -12 | 5.5 | 1.9 | -5.8 |  | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | -0.7 |
| Argentina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 292 | -84 | $\wedge^{\wedge} 58$ | 173 | -3 005 | 8.8 | -0.2 | -12 | 04 | -32J |  | 0.7 |  | -0.7 |  | $\bullet 4 M$ |
| Recorded | 286 | -21 | ${ }^{\wedge} 132$ | 1535 | -2108 | 7.7 | 1.1 | -5.9 | 12.3 | -22.6 |  | 0.7 | 0.2 | -0.6 | 1.1 | -2.5 |
| Credit | 134 | -126 | -380 | 1390 | -2 377 | 3.6 | -1.6 | -5.7 | 11.4 | -25.5 |  | 0.3 | -0.1 | -0.5 | 1.0 | -3.0 |
| Foreign investment | 152 | 105 | -52 | 139 | 268 | 4.1 | 2.7 | -0.2 | 0.9 | 2.9 |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Unrequited official Unrecorded | 6 | -62 | -1 -26 | 6 -1363 | -897 | 1.1 | -1.3 | -1.2 | -11.9 | -9.9 |  |  | -0.2 | -0.1 | -1.1 | -1.4 |


|  | (Millions; of 1987 dollars) |  |  |  | As a percentage of exports of goods and services |  |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |
| Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 222 | 189 | 5583 | 6283 | -6919 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4.4 | 3.2 | 48.8 | 333 | $-26.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 03 | 02 | 3 J | $2 S$ | -2if |
| Recorded | 352 | 170 | 4636 | 5240 | $-5716^{\text {c }}$ | 6.7 | 3.3 | 39.1 | 27.9 | -22.3 ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | 0.4 | 02 | 2.8 | 2.0 | -2.3 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Credit | 290 | -79 | 3856 | 4086 | $-5143{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5.2 | -1.4 | 33.0 | 222 | -20.1 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.4 | -0.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | -2.1 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Foreign investment | 53 | 146 | 728 | 1148 | $-570{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.3 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 5.6 | -2.2 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 02 | 0.4 | 0.4 | $-02{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Unrequited official | 10 | 103 | 55 | 6 | $-2^{\text {C }}$ | 02 | 1.9 | 05 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Unrecorded | -131 | 19 | 947 | 1043 | $-1155^{\text {c }}$ | -2.3 | -0.1 | 9.6 | 5.5 | $\bullet 4 \tilde{A}^{C}$ | -02 | - | 0.7 | 0.4 | -0i ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Colombia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -87 | 171 | 454 | 472 | -331 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 16.3 | 9.2 | -3.6 | $\bullet 0.7$ | 1.0 | 2.1 | 13 | -0.8 |
| Recorded | 36 | 190 | 283 | 361 | -88 | 1.0 | 65 | 10.0 | 15 | -02 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | L0 | -02 |
| Credit | 102 | 178 | 302 | 441 | -156 | 4.6 | 83 | 10.7 | 9.1 | -0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | -0.4 |
| Foreign investment | -70 | -36 | -100 | -103 | 67 | -3.8 | -2.0 | -35 | -22 | 0.9 | -0.6 | -03 | -05 | -0.4 | 02 |
| Unrequited official | 3 | 48 | 81 | 22 |  | 0.1 | 22 | 2.8 | 05 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |
| Unrecorded | -122 | -19 | 171 | 111 | -243 | -5.7 | -0.6 | 6.3 | 1.7 | -3.8 | -0.8 | - | 0.8 | 0.3 | -0.6 |
| Chile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -82 | 36 | 165 | 1329 | -693 | -4.1 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 24.2 | -13.1 | $\bullet 0.4$ | OS | 0.7 | 4.8 | -3.6 |
| Recorded | -65 | 70 | -93 | 823 | $\wedge 98$ | -3.6 | 5.0 | -3.0 | 13.8 | -9.7 | -0.3 | 0.6 | -03 | 2.6 | -2.9 |
| Credit | -1 | 274 | 128 | 814 | -794 | 02 | 12.7 | 3.8 | 14.0 | -14.2 |  | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.7 | $\wedge .3$ |
| Foreign investment | -88 | -231 | -232 | -25 | 240 | -5.4 | -9.1 | -72 | -0.9 | 3.5 | -0.5 | -1.3 | -1.0 | -02 | 1.1 |
| Unrequited official | 24 | 27 | 12 | 34 | 55 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 02 | 02 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Unrecorded | -18 | -34 | 258 | 506 | -194 | -1.0 | -0.3 | 8.9 | 10.4 | -3.4 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 1.1 | 22 | -0.8 |
| Paraguay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10 | 46 | 39 | 285 | 110 | 73 | 24.7 | 13.6 | 49.9 | 18.5 | 13 | 33 | 2.0 | IS | 2.1 |
| Recorded | 8 | 47 | 36 | 129 | 99 | 5.6 | 25.7 | 13.0 | 23.9 | 18.6 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| Credit | 1 | 34 | 25 | 125 | 114 | 0.6 | 17.8 | 8.8 | 22.7 | 20.7 |  | 2.3 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| Foreign investment | 2 | 4 | 3 | -1 | -30 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.0 | -02 | -3.7 | 02 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  | -0.7 |
| Unrequited official | 5 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 13 | 3.7 | 52 | 32 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 05 | 02 | 0.4 |
| Unrecorded | 2 | -1 | 2 | 156 | 11 | 1.7 | -0.9 | 0.7 | 26.0 | -0.1 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.4 |
| Uruguay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 87 | -26 | 4 | 355 | -215 | 14.0 | -1.9 | 0.6 | 24.2 | -14.7 |  | J>J6 | -0.1 | 4.0 | -3.1 |
| Recorded | 62 | 41 | 6 | 267 | 33 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 17.9 | 1.5 | $0.9{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.8 | -02 |
| Credit | 42 | 29 | -25 | 106 | -12 | 65 | 52 | -2.4 | 6.0 | -1.6 | $0.8{ }_{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 05 | -0.4 | 0.9 | -0.9 |
| Foreign investment | 17 | -7 | -1 | 148 | 31 | 1.8 | -1.0 | -02 | 10.8 | 2.2 |  | -0.1 |  | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Unrequited official | 3 | 19 | 31 | 13 | 14 | 05 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 |  | 0.4 | $05^{-}$ | 02 | 02 |
| Unrecorded | 25 | -66 | -10 | 88 | -248 | 5.1 | -8.8 | -0.6 | 6.4 | -162 | $0.8^{\text {d }}$ | -1.3 | -02 | 1.1 | -2.9 |

Source: ECLAC, based on data from the International Monetary Fund.
The concepts of transfer utilized are as follows: (1) Total: equivalent to the net inflow of capital less net payments of profits and interest. (2) Recorded: equivalent to the net inflow of capital (not including errors and omissions (net) and short-term capital from other sectors) minus net payment of profits and interest (3) Credit equivalent to the net inflow of long-term capital (not including investment) (5) Uus. were converted to 1987 dollars using the United States wholesale price index c 1982-1987. d 1955-1959.

Table 4

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TRADE AND BREAKDOWN OF NET TRANSFER OF RESOURCES RECORDED ${ }^{\text {a }}$

(Coefficients as a percentage of GDP)

|  | Trade in coods and services |  |  | Credit transfers |  |  | Foreign investment |  |  | Total net transfers recorded <br> (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports <br> d) | Imports <br> (2) | Balance $\begin{array}{r} (3)= \\ (1)-(2) \end{array}$ | Net $\begin{aligned} & (4)= \\ & (5)-(6) \end{aligned}$ | Net capital inflow <br> (5) | Net interest payments (<) | Net $\begin{gathered} (7)- \\ (8)-(9) \end{gathered}$ | Direct and portfolio investment (8) | Payment of profits (9) |  |
| Latin America and the Caribbean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 05 | 0.2 | -0.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | -0.1 |
| 1960-1969 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | -0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | -0.4 |
| 1970-1973 | 10.8 | 11.4 | -0.6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.7 | -0.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 1974-1981 | 14.0 | 15.3 | -1.3 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| 1982-1988 | 15.4 | 12.3 | 3.1 | -2.3 | 22 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | -2.3 |
| Petroleum-exporting countries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 20.3 | 17.7 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | -2.1 | 1.9 | 3.9 | -1.7 |
| 1960-1969 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | -2.4 | 0.4 | 2.8 | -2.3 |
| 1970-1973 | 12.8 | 12.3 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.5 | -1.2 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| 1974-1981 | 16.0 | 16.6 | -0.6 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 1.3 | - | 0.9 | 0.9 | 3.1 |
| 1982-1988 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 4.8 | -3.2 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | -2.9 |
| Petroleum-exporting countries (excluding Venezuela) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 14.1 | 14.9 | -0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 1960-1969 | 11.3 | 11.9 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 12 | 0.5 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| 1970-1973 | 9.6 | 10.5 | -0.9 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.7 | -0.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 1974-1981 | 11.5 | 13.0 | -1.5 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 3.0 |
| 1982-1988 | 17.6 | 12.2 | 5.4 | -2.9 | 2.5 | 5.4 | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | -2.7 |
| Bolivia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 14.1 | 17.7 | -3.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 12 | -0.2 | 4.7 |
| 1960-1969 | 19.0 | 25.4 | -6.4 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 12 |
| 1970-1973 | 19.3 | 17.9 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 1.0 | -2.8 | -1.9 | 0.8 | 4.1 |
| 1974-1981 | 23.9 | 25.8 | -1.9 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 6.7 |
| 1982-1987 | 23.2 | 22.9 | 0.3 | -3.7 | 5.6 | 9.3 | -0.8 | 0.4 | 1.2 | -2.5 |
| Ecuador 'il |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 17.5 | 15.7 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | -1.5 | 0.6 | 2.1 | -1.1 |
| 1960-1969 | 15.7 | 17.0 | -1.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | -0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 1970-1973 | 18.2 | 20.6 | -2.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 4.5 |
| 1974-1981 | 25.9 | 27.2 | -1.3 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 1.8 | -0.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| 1982-1988 | 24.3 | 20.3 | 4.0 | -2.2 | 4.8 | 7.0 | -0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | -2.1 |
| Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 13.8 | 14.3 | -03 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 02 | -0.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| 1960-1969 | 9.5 | 10.2 | -0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | -0.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| 1970-1973 | 8.0 | 9.2 | -1.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 1974-1981 | 9.6 | 11.0 | -1.4 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 12 | 0.8 | 2.9 |
| 1982-1988 | 17.2 | 11.3 | 5.9 | -3.8 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | -3.4 |
| Peru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 16.5 | 18.4 | -1.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 22 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| 1960-1969 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.5 | -1.6 | 0.1 | 1.7 | -0.3 |
| 1970-1973 | 14.5 | 13.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | -1.0 | -0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 1974-1981 | 17.5 | 18.9 | -1.4 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 2.7 | -0.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| 1982-1988 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | -0.3 | - | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Venezuela |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 32.4 | 23.2 | 9.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | -6.4 | 3.0 | 9.4 | -6.1 |
| 1960-1969 | 30.5 | 19.6 | 10.9 | -0.8 | -0.7 | 0.1 | -7.9 | -0.4 | 7.4 | -8.7 |
| 1970-1973 | 25.3 | 19.4 | 5.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | -0.3 | -5.1 | -0.5 | 4.7 | -4.0 |
| 1974-1981 | 32.0 | 28.6 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 2.9 | -1.3 | -1.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.1 |
| 1982-1988 | 24.3 | 20.8 | 3.5 | -3.5 | -0.7 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | -3.3 |

Table 4 (continued 1)


Table 4 (conclusion)

|  | Trade in goods and services |  |  | Credit transfers |  |  | Foreign investment |  |  | Totalnettransfersrecorded$(10)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports <br> (1) | Imports <br> (2) | Balance (3) $=$ (1)-(2) | Net <br> (4)- <br> (5)-(6) | Net capital inflow (5) | Net interest payments <br> (6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { (7). } \\ & \text { (8)-(9) } \end{aligned}$ | Direct and portfolio investment <br> (8) | Payment of profits <br> (9) |  |
| Non-petroleum-exporting countries of South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 8.9 | 9.3 | -0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 1960-1969 | 8.8 | 8.9 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 05 |  | 05 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 1970-1973 | 8.9 | 9.6 | -0.7 | 1.4 | 22 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 15 |
| 1974-1981 | 9.6 | 11.2 | -1.6 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 15 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 19 |
| 1982-1988 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 2.6 | -22 | 2.0 | 42 | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | -2.3 |
| Argentina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 8.1 | 8.9 | -0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 05 |  | 0.7 |
| 1960-1969 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1970-1973 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 1.1 | $\bullet 05$ | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| 1974-1981 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| 1982-1988 | 11.9 | 8.1 | 3.8 | -3.0 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 05 | 1.0 | 0.6 | -2.5 |
| Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 7.5 | 7.9 | -0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 05 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 1960-1969 | 6.6 | 6.7 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1970-1973 | 12 | 8.5 | -1.3 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| 1974-1981 | 7.7 | 10.1 | -2.4 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 |
| 1982-1987 | 10.4 | 7.4 | 3.0 | -2.1 | 1.6 | 3.8 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | -2,3 |
| Colombia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | -0.6 | - | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 1960-1969 | 12.6 | 14.1 | $\bullet 15$ | 1.0 | 19 | 0.8 | -0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 1970-1973 | 13.4 | 14.6 | -12 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 13 | -0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 13 |
| 1974-1981 | 15.5 | 15.0 | 05 | 13 | 22 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| 1982-1988 | 14.1 | 15.1 | -1.0 | -0.3 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | -0.2 |
| Chile |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 0.6 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | -0.5 | 0.7 | 13 | -0.3 |
| 1960-1969 | 13.8 | 14.3 | -05 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.8 | -1.3 | 0.2 | 15 | 0.6 |
| 1970-1973 | 12.6 | 13.8 | -12 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | -1.0 | -0.5 | 0.5 | -0.3 |
| 1974-1981 | 21.8 | 24.8 | -3.0 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 3.3 | -0.2 | - | 0.2 | 2.6 |
| 1982-1988 | 28.2 | 25.3 | 2.9 | -4.3 | $4: 4$ | 8.7 | 11 | 2.1 | 1.0 | -2.9 |
| Paraguay 020.003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 20.6 | 22.0 | -1.4 |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| 1960-1969 | 13.2 | 16.7 | -35 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | İ3 |
| 1970-1973 | 14.3 | 15.5 | -1.2 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 19 |
| 1974-1981 | 15.3 | 20.0 | -4.7 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 05 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.6 |
| 1982-1988 | 21.0 | 25.4 | AA | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.1 | -0.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| Uruguay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955-1959 | 9.8 | 12.1 | -2.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 02 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| 1960-1969 | 14.3 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 | -0.1 | -0.1 | - | 0.7 |
| 1970-1973 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 0.3 | -0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.1 |
| 1974-1981 | 16.5 | 19.4 | -2.9 | 0.9 | 22 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | ; | 2.8 |
| 1982-1988 | 21.7 | 18.5 | 3.2 | -0.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 05 | 05 | , | -02 |

Source: ECLAC, based on data from the International Monetary Fund.
" The concepts of transfer utilized are as follows: (1) Net credit: equivalent to net long-term capital inflow (not including investment) and short-term capital inflows from official and commercial bank sources (thereby excluding capital from other sectors) minus net interest payments. (2) Net foreign investment: equivalent to direct and portfolio investment, minus Dayment of profits. (3) Total recorded: equivalent to net credit transfers plus foreign investment plus unrequited official transfers.

Calculations based on figures in current
dollars.
(Annual averages in millions of 1987 dollars) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Net foreign investment ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  | Direct and portfolio investment |  |  |  |  | Payment of profits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1982- } \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1950- } \\ & \text { 195? } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1970- } \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1950- } \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -1209 | -2 498 | -1757 | 1619 | 682 | 1900 | 1621 | 3160 | 6393 | 4822 | 3108 | 4103 | 4915 | 4775 | 4128 |
| Petroleum-exporting countries | -1207 | -2 492 | -2187 | 250 | 553 | 1200 | 564 | 933 | 2605 | 1761 | 2495 | 3040 | 3119 | 2355 | 1208 |
| Petroleum-exporting countries (excluding Venezuela) | 17 | -418 | -319 | 726 | 427 | 486 | 644 | 1109 | 2412 | 1461 | 468 | 1060 | 1427 | 1686 | 1035 |
| Bolivia | 18 | 13 | -81 | 28 | -28 | 15 | 25 | -56 | 40 | 12 | -3 | 12 | 25 | 12 | 40 |
| Ecuador | -37 | -14 | 115 | -80 | -M | 15 | 43 | 252 | 91 | 61 | 53 | 57 | 137 | 171 | 105 |
| Mexico | A2 | -189 | -147 | 794 | 557 | 301 | 570 | 959 | 2115 | 1375 | 343 | 758 | 1105 | 1321 | 818 |
| Peru | 78 | -228 | -206 | -16 | -58 | 154 | 6 | ${ }^{\wedge} 16$ | 166 | 13 | 76 | 233 | 160 | 182 | 72 |
| Venezuela | -1224 | -2 074 | -1868 | ${ }^{\wedge} 176$ | 126 | 714 | -80 | -176 | 193 | 300 | 1937 | 1980 | 1692 | 669 | 173 |
| Non-petroleum-exporting countries of Central America and the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean | -68 | 14 | 84 | 63 | 123 | 64 | 197 | 348 | 379 | 283 | 132 | 183 | 264 | 317 | 160 |
| Costa Rica | -21 | 13 | 45 | 78 | 49 | 10 | 33 | 76 | 105 | 62 | 30 | 21 | 31 | 27 | 13 |
| El Salvador | -14 | 6 | -6 | -21 | -28 | -7 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 21 | 41 | 38 |
| Guatemala | 16 | 21 | -26 | 59 | 72 | 19 | 60 | 56 | 127 | 118 | 3 | 40 | 82 | 67 | 46 |
| Haiti | 2 | -8 | -1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 4 |
| Honduras | -20 | -18 | -39 | ${ }^{\wedge} 12$ | -29 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 56 | 54 | 58 |
| Nicaragua | -11 | 0 | -31 | -24 | -1 | 5 | 29 | 33 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 29 | 65 | 37 | 2 |
| Dominican Republic | -20 | 0 | 142 | 11 | 57 | 15 | 37 | 142 | 92 | 57 | 35 | 37 | -2 | 81 | - |
| Non-petroleum-exporting countries of South America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| America | 66 | -21 | 346 | 1306 | 6 | 637 | 861 | 1879 | 3409 | 2777 | 570 | 880 | 1532 | 2103 | 2760 |
| Argentina | 152 | 105 | -52 | 139 | 268 | 178 | 274 | 112 | 530 | 748 | 26 | 168 | 163 | 391 | 469 |
| Brazil | 53 | 146 | 728 | 1148 | $-570{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 340 | 451 | 1779 | 2581 | 1055' | 287 | 304 | 1051 | 1433 | 1625 |
| Colombia | -70 | -36 | -100 | -103 | 67 | 4 | 79 | 96 | 88 | 504 | 74 | 115 | 197 | 191 | 437 |
| Chile | -88 | -232 | -232 | -25 | 240 | 88 | 52 | -123 | 25 | 424 | 176 | 285 | 109 | 49 | 184 |
| Paraguay | 2 | 4 | 3 | -1 | -30 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 37 | 45 |
| Uruguay | 17 | -7 | -1 | 148 | 31 | 23 | -5 | - | 150 | 31 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - |

Source: ECLAC, based on data from the International Monetary Fund
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual figures in current dollars were converted to 1987 dollars using the United States wholesale price index, $\quad{ }^{1}$ Corresponds to direct and portfolio investments, minus payment of profits. ${ }^{c}$ Eighteen countries. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 1982-1987.

Table 6

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: DISTRIBUTION OF UNREQUITED

 OFFICIAL TRANSFERS(Annual averages)

|  | Values in millions of 1987 dollars |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1973 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1974- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 178 | 428 | 472 | 486 | 1266 |
| Petroleum-exporting countries | 78 | 109 | 221 | 255 | 473 |
| Bolivia | 46 | 52 | 17 | 30 | 67* |
| Ecuador | 7 | 22 | 27 | 37 | 55 |
| Mexico | 11 | 2 | 86 | 142 | 203 |
| Peru | 14 | 38 | 106 | 108 | 166 |
| Venezuela | - | -5 | -15 | -62 | -18 |
| Non-petroleum-exporting countries of Central America and the Caribbean | 55 | 111 | 64 | 143 | 712 |
| Costa RiCa | 10 | 12 | 6 | -1 | 124 |
| El Salvador | 2 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 227 |
| Guatemala | 24 | 17 | -2 | 1 | 28 |
| Haiti | 10 | 15 | 19 | 48 | 92 |
| Honduras | 4 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 91 |
| Nicaragua | 5 | 11 | 24 | 51 | 89 |
| Dominican Republic | - | 35 | 3 | 10 | 61 |
| Non-petroleum-exporting countries of South America | 45 | 206 | 189 | 87 | 80 |
| Argentina |  |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Brazil | 10 | 103 | 55 | 6 |  |
| Colombia | 3 | 48 | 81 | 22 |  |
| Chile | 24 | 27 | 12 | 34 | 55 |
| Paraguay | 5 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 13 |
| Uruguay | 3 | 19 | 31 | 13 | 14 |

Source: ECLAC, based on data from the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The annual figures in current dollars were converted to 1987 dollars using the United States wholesale price index. Eighteen countries. '1982-1987.

Table 7
SIMULATION EXERCISES. GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION AND IMPORTS, AT 1980 PRICES, 1990-1995
(Annual rate of variation)

|  | Gross domestic product |  |  |  | Percapita consumption |  |  |  | Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Basic scenario | Variants of scenario |  |  | Basic scenario | Variants of scenario |  |  | Basic scenario | Variants of scenario |  |  |
|  |  | E | I | C |  | E | I | C |  | E | I | C |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 2.1 | 2.9 | 12 | 5.0 | -0.5 | 02 | -IS | 3.0 | 13 | 3.6 | 12 | \%2 |
| Large countries | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 5.0 | -0.3 | 0.4 | -1.4 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 8.0 |
| Medium-sized countries | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | -0.5 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 9.0 |
| Small countries of South America | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 5.0 | -2.3 | -1.9 | -3.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 8.9 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 0.4 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 5.0 | -3.3 | -3.1 | -3.9 | 3.2 | -0.2 | 0.3 | -0.6 | 6.5 |
| English-speaking Caribbean | 1.2 | - | - | - | -1.1 | - | - | - | 1.8 | - | - | - |

Source: ECLAC, Endeudamiento externo y crecimiento económico en América Latina y el Caribe: Consecuencias económicas de la propuesta de reducción de la carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaría Permanente de SELA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R. 841), Santiago, Chile, 29 December 1989.

Basic scenario: Interest rate declines by $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ points in relation to 1989.
Scenario E: Growth rate of non-traditional exports doubles.
Scenario I: Interest rates remain at their 1989 level.
Scenario C: Growth of GDP is $5 \%$ in all countries.
Large countries: Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.
Medium-sized countries: Colombia, Chile, Peru and Venezuela.
Small South American countries: Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Uruguay.
Central American and Caribbean countries: Costa Rica, Ei Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti and the Dominican Republic.
English-speaking Caribbean: Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.

Table $\mathbf{8}$

## SIMULATION EXERCISES. TRANSFER OF RESOURCES ABROAD UNDER DIFFERENT SCENARIOS, 1987-1989 AND 1990-1995

(As a percentage of GDP)

|  | 1990-1995 | Basicscenario$1990-1995$ | Variants of scenario 1990-1995 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | E | I | C |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.6 | - |
| Large countries | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 1.1 |
| Medium-sized countries | 2.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.4 | -1.4 |
| Small South American countries | 1.3 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.6 | -4.2 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | -3.0 | -0.5 | -0.4 | 0.1 | -10.0 |
| English-speaking Caribbean | -0.5 | 4.3 | - | - |  |

Source: ECLAC, Endeudamiento externo y crecimiento económico en América Latina y el Caribe: Consecuencias económicas de ta propuesta de reducción de ta carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaría Permanente de SEIA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R. 841), Santiago, Chile, 29 December 1989.

Basic scenario: Interest rate declines by 1.2 points in relation to 1989.
Scenario E: Growth rate of non-traditional exports doubles.
Scenario I: Interest rates remain at their 1989 level.
Scenario C: Growth of GDP is $5 \%$ in all countries.
Large countries: Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.
Medium-sized countries: Colombia, Chile, Peru and Venezuela.
Small South American countries: Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Uruguay.
Central American and Caribbean countries: Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti and the Dominican Republic.
English-speaking Caribbean: Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.

Table 9
SIMULATION EXERCISES. INTEREST DUE AS PROPORTION OF THE PRODUCT AND OF EXPORTS, 1990-1995

| Basic <br> scenario <br> $1990-1995$ |  | Variants of scenario 1990-1995 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As percentage of GDP |  |  |  |
|  | E |  |  |
|  | A3 | 42 | 5.1 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ |

## As percentage of exports

| Latin America and the Caribbean | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large countries | 25.5 | 24.3 | 29.0 | 27.9 |
| Medium-sized countries | 19.5 | 19.2 | 22.1 | 22.4 |
| Small South American countries | 25.2 | 24.5 | 28.2 | 29.6 |
| Central America and the Caribbean |  | 16.1 | 15.9 | 17.7 |
| English-speaking Caribbean | 15.9 |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, Endeudamiento externo y crecimiento económico en América Latina y el Caribe: Consecuencias económicas de la propuesta de reducción de la carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaría Permanente de SELA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R. 841), Santiago, Chile, 29 December 1989.

Basic scenario: Interest rate declines by 1.2 points in relation to 1989.
Scenario E: Growth rate of non-traditional exports doubles.
Scenario I: Interest rates remain at their 1989 level.
Scenario C: Growth of GDP is 5\% in all countries.
Large countries: Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.
Medium-sized countries: Colombia, Chile, Peru and Venezuela.
Small South American countries: Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Uruguay.
Central American and Caribbean countries: Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti and the Dominican Republic.
English-speaking Caribbean: Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.

Table 10

## SIMULATION EXERCISES. TRADE BALANCE AS A PERCENTAGE OF EXPORTS, UNDER DIFFERENT SCENARIOS, 1987-1989 AND 1990-1995

|  | 1990-1995 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basic } \\ \text { scenario } \\ 1990-1995 \end{gathered}$ | Variants of scenario 199(M995 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | E | I | C |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 18.8 | 2L8 | 20.8 | 24.9 | 15 |
| Large countries | 28.7 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 30.3 | 8.8 |
| Medium-sized countries | 7.2 | 17.9 | 17.7 | 20.2 | -2.2 |
| Small South American countries | 6.1 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 20.1 | -12.7 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | -23.6 | -8.6 | -8.4 | 6.8 | -44.9 |
| English-speaking Caribbean | -2.8 | -0.3 | - | - | - |

Source: ECLAC, Endeudamiento externo y crecimiento económico en América Latina y el Caribe: Consecuencias económicas de la propuesta de reducción de la carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaría Permanente de SELA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R. 841), Santiago, Chile, 29 December 1989.

Basic scenario: Interest rate declines by 1.2 points in relation to 1989.
Scenario E: Growth rate of non-traditional exports doubles.
Scenario I: Interest rates remain at their 1989 level.
Scenario C: Growth of GDP is 5\% in all countries.
Large countries: Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.
Medium-sized countries: Colombia, Chile, Peru and Venezuela.
Small South American countries: Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Uruguay.
Central American and Caribbean countries: Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti and the Dominican Republic.
English-speaking Caribbean: Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.

Table 11
SIMULATION EXERCISES. EVOLUTION OF INVESTMENT IN PROPORTION TO THE PRODUCT, UNDER DIFFERENT SCENARIOS, 1990-1995
(Aspercentages of GDP)

|  | 1990-1995 | Basicscenario$1990-1995$ | Variants of scenario 1990-1995 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | E | I | C |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 16.8 | 17.0 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 19.5 |
| Large countries | 16.3 | 17.2 | 18.1 | 16.7 | 19.4 |
| Medium-sized countries | 18.9 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 16.9 | 20.4 |
| Small South American countries | 14.6 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 17.1 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 19.6 | 17.4 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 20.1 |
| English-speaking Caribbean | - | 19.8 | - |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, Endeudamiento externo y crecimiento económico en América Latina y el Caribe: Consecuencias económicas de la propuesta de reducción de la carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaria Permanente de SELA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R. 841), Santiago, Chile, 29 December 1989.

Basic scenario: Interest rate declines by 1.2 points in relation to 1989 .
Scenario E: Growth rate of non-traditional exports doubles.
Scenario I: Interest rates remain at their 1989 level.
Scenario C: Growth of GDP is $5 \%$ in all countries.
Large countries: Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.
Medium-sized countries: Colombia, Chile, Peru and Venezuela.
Small South American countries: Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Uruguay.
Central American and Caribbean countries: Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti and the Dominican Republic.
English-speaking Caribbean: Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.

Table 12

## SIMULATION EXERCISES. EXTERNAL DEBT, EXTERNAL LOANS AND AMORTIZATION PAYMENTS IN 1990-1995 <br> (Billions of dollars)

| Debt at beginning <br> of 1990 | Loans | Amortization <br> payments | Debt at <br> end of 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## A. Basic scenario

| Latin America and the Caribbean | 402 | 103 | 69 | 437 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Large countries | 272 | 56 | 40 | 287 |
| Medium-sized countries | 89 | 28 | 17 | 100 |
| Small South American countries | 24 | 10 | 6 | 28 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 17 | 10 | 6 | 21 |
| English-speaking Caribbean | 9 | 6 | 2 | 13 |

B. Scenario with 5\% growth of GDP in all countries

| Latin America and the Caribbean | 402 | 335 | 82 | 655 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Large countries | 272 | 180 | 47 | 405 |
| Medium-sized countries | 89 | 91 | 20 | 161 |
| Small South American countries | 24 | 29 | 7 | 46 |
| Central America and the Caribbean | 17 | 33 | 8 | 43 |

Source: ECIAC, Endeudamiento externo y crecimiento económico en América Latina y el Caribe: Consecuencias económicas de la propuesta de reducción de la carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaría Permanente de SELA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R. 841), Santiago, Chile, 29 December 1989.

Basic scenario: Interest rate declines by 1.2 points in relation to 1989.
Scenario E: Growth rate of non-traditional exports doubles.
Scenario I: Interest rates remain at their 1989 level.
Scenario C: Growth of GDP is $5 \%$ in all countries.
Large countries: Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.
Medium-sized countries: Colombia, Chile, Peru and Venezuela.
Small South American countries: Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Uruguay.
Central American and Caribbean countries: Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti and the Dominican Republic.
English-speaking Caribbean: Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.

Table 13

## SIMULATION EXERCISES. EXTERNAL DEBT AS A PROPORTION OF THE PRODUCT AND OF EXPORTS UNDER DIFFERENT SCENARIOS IN LATE 1989 AND LATE 1995

|  | 1990-1995 | Basic <br> scenario <br> $1990-1995$ | Variants of scenario 1990-1995 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Percentages of GDP |  |  |  |  |

Source: BCAC, Endeudamiento extemo y crecimiento económico en América Latina y el Caribe: Consecuencias económicas de la propuesta de reducción de la carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaria Permanente de SELA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R. 841), Santiago, Chile, 29 December 1989.

Basic scenario: Interest rate declines by 1.2 points in relation to 1989.
Scenario E: Growth rate of non-traditional exports doubles.
Scenario I: Interest rates remain at their 1989 level.
Scenario C: Growth of GDP is $5 \%$ in all countries.
Large countries: Argentina, Brazil and Mexico.
Medium-sized countries: Colombia, Chile, Peru and Venezuela.
Small South American countries: Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay y Uruguay.
Central American and Caribbean countries: Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti and the Dominican Republic.
English-speaking Caribbean: Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.

## METHODOLOGICAL ANNEX

## Assumptions used for the simulation of the basic scenario

In preparing the simulation of the base scenario it was necessary to adopt a set of hypotheses related to external and domestic economic conditions. It was assumed, for example, that the annual growth rate of the economies of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries would be between $2 \%$ and $3 \%$, while the volume of international trade would increase by an annual $6 \%$. For its part, the volume of exports from the region would increase by between $2.8 \%$ and $4.6 \%$, according to the countries. This expansion should follow from the incorporation of new products, since in most cases the possibility of boosting traditional exports is very limited in view of the low investment level of recent years. Import and export prices, meanwhile, would rise by between $3 \%$ and $4 \%$, with the exception of hydrocarbons, the price of which it was assumed would remain constant.

With respect to the evolution of international interest rates, the estimate prepared in 1989 by the IMF was used. This estimate assumes the gradual decrease of the LBOR rate during 1990 and 1991 up to 1.2 percentage points below the corresponding value for that year. It was also assumed that official loans would continue to be granted at concessional rates.

With respect to capital movements, it was established that the inflow of new loans would come basically from official sources and that gross disbursements would be somewhat more
than US $\$ 15$ billion per annum between 1990 and 1995 for the region as a whole. In the case of the commercial banks, on the other hand, there would be a modest amount of new money, some US\$2 billion per year. Amortization payments, for their part, would only be made on official loans and would total US\$11.5 billion annually, while capital payments due commercial banks would be rescheduled. The volume of foreign investment would remain at the highest levels recorded in recent years, that is to say, between US\$6 billion and US\$7 billion per year. It was also assumed that unrequited transfers would maintain their traditional levels in keeping with the trend of recent years, such transfers being significant in small countries. It was also concluded that countries would undertake the adjustments necessary to avoid disequilibriums in their balance of payments and arrears in their debt servicing payments.

With respect to the functioning of the economies at the domestic level, account was taken of the fact that the restrictions on the domestic supply and the deteriorated situation of many sectors, would significantly affect demand for imports, which is closely linked to the growth of the product in general and to investment in particular. In recent years, notwithstanding extremely high exchange rates, the level of imports has picked up significantly at the least sign of economic recovery. Consequently, although a very high initial increase in imports has been projected, the succeeding years should see a return to more long-term historical patterns. Investment, for its part, has been estimated in

[^31]relation to the growth rate based on the historical experience of the incremental capital/product ratio. Finally, international reserves are not expected to record significant variations, since the countries of the region
would not be able to significantly reduce the level of such reserves nor to increase it noticeably, even in those countries with large trade surpluses.

## STATISTICAL ANNEX

Table 1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS AND NET TRANSFER OF RESOURCES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exports of goods and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports of goods and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| services | 5589 | 7870 | 7572 | 6747 | 7643 | 7920 | 8491 | 9978 | 9050 | 8681 |
| Factor services | -731 | -883 | -810 | -817 | -819 | -928 | -1200 | -1361 | -986 | -989 |
| Profits | -706 | -863 | -790 | -769 | -761 | -888 | -1139 | -1300 | -949 | -831 |
| Interest received | 4 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 18 | 16 | 11 |
| Interest paid | -64 | -68 | -75 | -106 | -119 | -113 | -138 | -150 | -170 | -256 |
| Other | 35 | 42 | 46 | 51 | 50 | 59 | 66 | 71 | 116 | 87 |
| Private unrequited |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| transfers | -20 | -21 | $\wedge_{》 2}$ | $\wedge \gg 7$ | -45 | $\wedge^{\wedge} \mathbf{0}$ | $\wedge \geqslant 3$ | -68 | -57 | -80 |
| Balance on current account | 157 | -932 | -1187 | 144 | -221 | -483 | -557 | -1871 | -1245 | -861 |
| Official unrequited |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| transfers | 20 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 36 | 54 | 74 | 100 | 90 | 104 |
| Long-term capital | 99 | 382 | 579 | 770 | 442 | 509 | 1174 | 1810 | 1075 | 887 |
| Direct investment | 154 | 253 | 493 | 389 | 212 | 227 | 1074 | 1542 | 625 | 720 |
| Portfolio investment | -2 | -6 | -5 | -9 | -7 | -10 | -20 | -14 | -24 | -32 |
| Other long-term capital | -53 | 135 | 91 | 389 | 237 | 292 | 121 | 283 | 473 | 199 |
| Official sector | -39 | 102 | 81 | 342 | 142 | 151 | -108 | 69 | 264 | -22 |
| Loans received | 106 | 212 | 200 | 479 | 378 | 471 | 508 | 404 | 553 | 421 |
| Amortizations | -144 | -110 | -122 | -141 | -237 | -311 | -518 | -321 | -291 | -458 |
| Commercial banks | 1 |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | -1 | 1 |
| Loans received | - | - |  | - |  | - | 1 | i |  | 2 |
| Amortizations | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | -1 | -1 | -i | -1 |
| Other sectors | -15 | 33 | 11 | 47 | 94 | 141 | 229 | 213 | 210 | 220 |
| Loans received | 7 | 38 | 21 | 40 | 63 | 131 | 369 | 489 | 685 | 669 |
| Amortizations | -10 | -8 | -12 | -18 | -11 | $\wedge>8$ | -148 | -232 | -371 | ^»75 |
| Basic balance | 277 | -537 | -592 | 934 | 256 | 80 | 692 | 39 | -80 | 129 |
| Short-term capital | -15 | 516 | 644 | -659 | 129 | 36 | 811 | 391 | -362 | -119 |
| Official sector | 25 | 452 | 166 | -229 | 180 | 16 | 375 | 487 | -144 | -149 |
| Commercial banks | 21 | 15 | -20 | 17 | 34 | 64 | 63 | -107 | -20 | 97 |
| Other sectors | -61 | 49 | 497 | -447 | -84 | -44 | 374 | 11 | -198 | -68 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -219 | -106 | 115 | -192 | -306 | -65 | -974 | -397 | -187 | 10 |
| Balance on capital account | -114 | 805 | 1355 | -61 | 301 | 534 | 1086 | 1903 | 616 | 881 |
| Total balance | 43 | -127 | 168 | 83 | 80 | 51 | 529 | 32 | -629 | 20 |
| Total variation in reserves (minus sign indicates an increase) | -211 | 111 | -117 | -111 | 11 | -144 | -569 | -55 | 655 | -32 |
| Total net transfer of resources | -880 | -119 | 498 | -929 | -567 | -453 | -179 | 471 | -486 | -195 |
| Net recorded transfer of resources ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -601 | -63 | -114 | -290 | -177 | -344 | 421 | 858 | -101 | -137 |
| 1) 1 $^{1+2+3)}{ }^{\text {Credit }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -67 | 540 | 171 | 78 | 343 | 274 | 432 | 530 | 156 | -98 |
| 2) Foreign investment ${ }^{e}$ | -554 | -616 | -302 | -388 | -556 | -671 | -85 | 228 | -347 | -143 |
| 3) Unrequited official | 20 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 36 | 54 | 74 | 100 | 90 | 104 |

Table 1 (continued 1)

Exports of goods and
services
Imports of goods and
services
Factor services
Profits
Interest received
Interest paid
Other
Private unrequited
transfers
Balance on current account
Official unrequited
transfers
Long-term capital
Direct investment
Portfolio investment
Other long-term capital
Official sector
Loans received
Amortizations
Commercial banks
Loans received
Amortizations
Other sectors
Loans received
Amortizations
Basic balance
Short-term capital
Official sector
Commercial banks
Other sectors
Errors and omissions (net)
Balance on capital account
Total balance
Total variation in reserves (minus sign indicates an increase

Total net transfer
of resources
Net recorded transfer
of resources ${ }^{\text {c }}$

1) Credit $^{\text {d }}$
2) Credit ${ }^{\wedge}$ Foreign investment ${ }^{e}$
3) Unrequited official

Table 1 (continued 2)

| 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Exports of goods and services | 16579 | 17321 | 20083 | 28415 | 42838 | 40216 | 46469 | 54917 | 60369 | 80860 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imports of goods and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| services | 16974 | 18745 | 21138 | 27580 | 45182 | 48680 | 50928 | 58817 | 68692 | 86881 |
| Factor services | -2 651 | -2 953 | -2 951 | ^061 | -5 001 | -5 665 | -6 849 | -8165 | -10179 | -13728 |
| Profits | -1782 | -1829 | -1610 | -2 453 | -2 821 | -2 399 | -2 312 | -2 950 | -3 487 | -3 976 |
| Interest received | 275 | 223 | 316 | 779 | 1564 | 1446 | 1357 | 1684 | 2796 | 4671 |
| Interest paid | -1214 | -1399 | -1738 | -2 463 | -3 655 | -4 610 | -5 809 | -6 887 | -9 4\% | -14 242 |
| Other | 72 | 52 | 81 | 75 | -88 | -100 | -79 | -10 | 7 | -182 |
| Private unrequited |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| transfers | 54 | 42 | 54 | 119 | 137 | 180 | 415 | 327 | 349 | 397 |
| Balance on current account | -2 991 | ^335 | -3 953 | -3107 | -7208 | -13946 | -10890 | -11 739 | -18153 | -19 350 |
| Official unrequited |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| transfers | 189 | 159 | 165 | 222 | 158 | 194 | 200 | 229 | 315 | 503 |
| Long-term capital | 2865 | 3857 | 5408 | 7058 | 10565 | 13112 | 15640 | 16756 | 25039 | 21871 |
| Direct investment | 782 | 1298 | 727 | 2016 | 1370 | 3035 | 1437 | 2897 | 3816 | 4888 |
| Portfolio investment | 34 | 113 | 99 | -80 | -237 | 130 | 1135 | 1376 | 988 | 424 |
| Other long-term capital | 2050 | 2447 | 4582 | 5122 | 9432 | 9948 | 13067 | 12484 | 20235 | 16559 |
| Official sector | 688 | 895 | 1155 | 1603 | 3388 | 3310 | 5730 | 6397 | 6861 | 6457 |
| Loans received | 1332 | 1805 | 2601 | 3480 | 5434 | 5397 | 8767 | 10090 | 13336 | 13476 |
| Amortizations | -805 | -835 | -1133 | -1564 | -2 225 | -1936 | -2 775 | -3 460 | -5908 | -6 796 |
| Commercial banks | 540 | 538 | 1247 | 941 | 1361 | 2237 | 3045 | 1877 | 3981 | 1951 |
| Loans received | 924 | 973 | 1762 | 1748 | 2266 | 3082 | 3955 | 3701 | 6755 | 6485 |
| Amortizations | -385 | -445 | -523 | -823 | -881 | -891 | -1143 | -2 237 | -3 449 | -3 859 |
| Other sectors | 822 | 1013 | 2180 | 2578 | 4682 | 4401 | 4293 | 4209 | 9393 | 8151 |
| Loans received | 1805 | 2235 | 3661 | 4642 | 6953 | 7680 | 8671 | 9549 | 18109 | 19500 |
| Amortizations | -970 | -1206 | -1415 | -2 006 | -2 035 | -2 849 | -3 738 | ^»733 | -7 872 | -10 717 |
| Basic balance | 64 | -319 | 1620 | 4172 | 3515 | -639 | 4950 | 5247 | 7201 | 3024 |
| Short-term capital | 681 | 647 | 101 | 689 | 1481 | 2340 | 2692 | -1772 | -1365 | 3797 |
| Official sector | -36 | 118 | 4 | 427 | 369 | 1 \% | 904 | -846 | 899 | 559 |
| Commercial banks | -37 | 117 | 462 | 382 | 505 | 449 | 2099 | -A2 | -66 | 855 |
| Other sectors | 754 | 413 | -365 | -120 | 607 | 1695 | -311 | -884 | -2198 | 2383 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | 229 | -37 | 1260 | -241 | -1009 | -1553 | -839 | 1844 | 1895 | 2628 |
| Balance on capital account | 3965 | 4628 | 6935 | 7725 | 11192 | 14093 | 17690 | 17055 | 25882 | 28795 |
| Total balance | 975 | 293 | 2982 | 4618 | 3984 | 147 | 6800 | 5316 | 7730 | 9445 |
| Total variation in reserves (minus sign indicates an increase) | -1176 | -753 | -3165 | -4 499 | -3779 | -37 | -6 610 | -5 345 | -7662 | -9805 |
| Total net transfer ofresources ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1243 | 1623 | 3902 | 3587 | 6280 | 8530 | 10925 | 8903 | 15 \%\% | 15248 |
| Net recorded transfer of resources ${ }^{0}$ $(1+2+3)$ | 259 | 1247 | 3007 | 3948 | 6682 | 8387 | 12076 | 7942 | 15999 | 10237 |
| 1) Credit ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1037 | 1505 | 3626 | 4246 | 8215 | 7429 | 11617 | 6393 | 14368 | 8402 |
| 2) Foreign investment ${ }^{e}$ | -\%7 | ^t18 | -785 | -517 | -1688 | 765 | 261 | 1323 | 1317 | 1336 |
| 3) Unrequited official | 189 | 159 | 165 | 222 | 158 | 194 | 200 | 229 | 315 | 503 |

Table 1 (conclusion)

| Exports of goods and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imports of goods and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| services | 114085 | 124922 | 100969 | 72521 | 75122 | 75077 | 77326 | 85645 | 98125 | 105299 |
| Factor services | -18618 | -28 535 | -39 717 | -35 293 | -37502- | -35 827 | -32 961- | -31126 | -33 889 | -36903 |
| Profits | -3 898 | -4 959 | -5 050 | -3 427 | -3 337 | -3 743 | -4 477 | -4 310 | -4 527 | -5 649 |
| Interest received | 7079 | 8912 | 7116 | 4814 | 6685 | 6260 | 5273 | 4717 | 5636 | 6532 |
| Interest paid | -21 237 | -31501 | 40872. | -35 948 | 40158 | -37 812 | -33 322 - | -31 216 | -34 721 | -37468 |
| Other | -557 | -990 | -910 | -734 | -695 | -532 | -438 | -319 | -280 | -317 |
| Private unrequited |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| transfers | 517 | 620 | 259 | 835 | 1169 | 1401 | 1779 | 2137 | 2304 | 2639 |
| Balance on current account | -27 952 | -40 415 | -40 853 | -7537 | -656 | -3488 | -16 751 - | -10 958 | -10 428 | -7155 |
| Official unrequited |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| transfers | 655 | 638 | 617 | 828 | 979 | 1770 | 1245 | 1788 |  |  |
| Long-term capital | 28493 | 45125 | 37095 | 25926 | 17809 | 10653 | 6237 | 5268 | 4825 |  |
| Direct investment | 5534 | 7179 | 5685 | 3067 | 3034 | 4111 | 3267 | 5201 | 6951 |  |
| Portfolio investment | 1855 | 2194 | 4376 | 489 | -328 | -1480 | -1258 | -52 |  |  |
| Other long-term capital | 21105 | 35751 | 27034 | 22370 | 15103 | 8022 | 4228 | 118 | -4 015 |  |
| Official sector | 3704 | 4446 | 15464 | 33257 | 24543 | 25791 | 21186 | 14235 |  |  |
| Loans received | 9941 | 11676 | 21895 | 44696 | 39772 | 40891 | 34474 | 27135 |  |  |
| Amortizations | -5 454 | -6 754 | -5 990 | -11363 | -14 940 - | -14 434 | -12 601- | -12899 |  |  |
| Commercial banks | 4831 | 10494 | 2692 | -930 | 617 | -2 633 | -3 323 | -3 097 |  |  |
| Loans received | 8379 | 14427 | 9577 | 4030 | 4981 | 3255 | 3955 |  |  |  |
| Amortizations | -3 751 | -4 473 | -6 639 | -4 857 | -4 410 | -5 940 | -7 272 | -6154 |  |  |
| Other sectors | 12570 | 20812 | 8878 | -9 958 | -10 057 | -15 135 | -13 635- | -11 139 |  |  |
| Loans received | 21529 | 33217 | 23852 | 9806 | 6698 | 6604 | 4942 | 5624 |  |  |
| Amortizations | -9 375 | -10 612 | -13 968 | -19 463 | -17 278 | -21656 | -19 045- | -16 346 |  |  |
| Basic balance | Í196 | 5347 | -3141 | 19217 | 18131 | 8935 | -9 269 | -3 902 | -3 881 |  |
| Short-term capital | 5940 | 2677 | -7412 | -20 629 | -7 374 | -7127 | 2507 |  |  |  |
| Official sector | 762 | 1185 | 9443 | -3 478 | 2123 | -1989 | 736 |  |  |  |
| Commercial banks | 3627 | 7704 | 319 | -1440 | -1071 | -842 |  |  |  |  |
| Other sectors | 1551 | -6 213 | -17173 | -15 711 | -8426 | -4 297 |  |  |  |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -5 767 | -10 775 | -10 227 | -3 000 | -1296 | -2 612 | -228 | 1718 | -1681 |  |
| Balance on capital account | 29324 | 37668 | 20072 | 3120 | 10120 | 2686 | 9761 | 14728 | 4497 | 10812 |
| Total balance | 1372 | -2746 | -20 781 | -4 417 | 9464 | -802 | -6990 | 3770 | -5 932 | 3657 |
| Total variation in reserves (minus sign indicates an increase) | -2 277 | 1755 | 18936 | 3471 | -9 041 | 2108 | 10171 | -2 426 | 5864 |  |
| Total net transfer of resources ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11269 | 10121 | -18 734- | 31442 | -26 6888-3 | -32 609 | -22 764-1 | 16081 | -29115 | -25 774 |
| Net recorded transfer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of resources ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 15484 | 27108 | 8666 | -12731 | -16966-25 | -25701 |  |  |  |  |
| $(1+2+3)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Credit" | 11336 | 22051 | 3 039-1 | 13683 | -17 318- | 26361 |  |  | . | . |
| 2) Foreign investment ${ }^{e}$ | 3491 | 4415 | 5011 | 129 | -631 | -1112 | -2 468 | 839 |  | . |
| 3) Unrequited official | 655 | 638 | 617 | 828 | 979 | 1770 | 1245 | 1788 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, based on data from the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Eighteen countries. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Equivalent to the net inflow of capital, minus net payments of profits and interest.
${ }^{c}$ Equivalent to the net inflow of capital (not including errors and omissions (net) and short-term capital from other sectors) less net payment of profits and interest. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Equivalent to the net inflow of long-term capital (not including investment) and the inflow of short-term capital (official and from commercial banks) minus net payment of interest. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Equivalent to direct and portfolio investment less net payment of profits.

PART THREE ECONOMIC TRENDS BY COUNTRY

## ARGENTINA

Argentina suffered a serious economic crisis in 1989, characterized by spurts of hyperinflation, declining production and grave financial difficulties. Hyperinflation broke out in the second quarter -following the failure of the "Plan Primavera"- and again at the end of the year, when the stabilization programme initiated in July broke down. The instability of prices was such that it sometimes even disturbed daily transactions.

Under such conditions, investments fell sharply by $26 \%$ and total consumption dropped by $5 \%$; aggregate output again declined by around $5 \%$, bringing the cumulative contraction over the past two years to over $7 \%$. Owing to reduced demand for labour and high inflation, which had climbed to $5000 \%$ by the end of the year, real wages fell sharply and unemployment rose. As often happens, the recession was accompanied by a widening trade balance, which reached a historic high. However, this did not ease the external payments situations; reserves declined as a result of the substantial outflow of capital, while there was a considerable accumulation in debt servicing arrears (see table 1 and figure 1).

One of the most outstanding characteristics of the economic trend in 1989 was the crisis in public sector financing. Argentina had a chronic fiscal problem; for a long time the public deficit and its financing were incompatible with moderate inflation. On the other hand, as a result of their experience with high inflation rates, economic agents reduced their real monetary holdings (in a trend which may not be fully reversible), and the public securities market was affected by a lack of confidence. These phenomena were largely the cumulative result of fiscal imbalances, and at the same time they exacerbated the financial impacts of these imbalances. Thus, although the public sector deficit was the same as that of
the previous year, i.e., $8 \%$ of GDP, it was financed with bank loans against the background of a continually shrinking monetary base. This situation, coupled with the reluctance of economic agents to maintain their previous level of loans to the public sector, constituted a fundamental change in the general picture of Argentina's economy. The trend in the inflation rate appears to have been determined in the short run by widespread lack of confidence, particularly in the financial markets, where the average maturity for deposits was only seven days and the government had to resort to very short-term financing.

Although towards the end of 1988 the inflation rate had fallen below $10 \%$ a month, the "Plan Primavera" then in force was showing signs of considerable weakness. It was based on a system of dual exchange rates, under which an implicit tax was levied on primary exports. Export earnings were converted at a commercial exchange rate fixed by the Central Bank, while the exchange rate applied to financial transactions and imports was subject to a dirty float; the latter market was mainly regulated by monetary policy. Initially, expectations that the devaluation would be slower in the short run had stimulated sales of foreign exchange to the Central Bank. However, the real interest rate remained high, and hence the compounding of interest due augmented the real volume of the public sector's domestic debt. There were no prospects of obtaining fiscal surplus from commodities to offset the debt service requirements. Moreover, when the supply of funds invested on the very short term (whose counterpart was largely constituted by interest bearing reserves) increased, there was a sharp rise in the supply of resources that could be channelled rapidly into the foreign exchange market. Moreover, the pre-emptive demand
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Figure 1 (concluded)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
for foreign currency was likely to increase, especially as the May 1989 presidential elections drew nearer. Although there might have been different expectations as to when and under what circumstances the government might abandon its foreign exchange scheme, as time went by, more and more people began to feel that it would happen at any moment and that it was even more dangerous to continue to hold assets in local currency.

The Central Bank did not have enough resources to cope with the possible flight of foreign exchange. Reserves had been increasing for some months, but largely in response to forward payments for exports (in order to benefit from the differences in interest rates), and therefore future supplies of foreign exchange were uncertain. The de facto moratorium on debt service payments to creditor banks (during which time substantial payments were nevertheless made to official credit institutions) had led to a further accumulation of arrears.

In early 1989, the news spread that the authorities were experiencing difficulties in their negotiations with multilateral organizations. In January, the Central Bank toughened its monetary policy in order to meet an increasing demand for foreign exchange, but this effort was insufficient because the outflow of reserves rapidly gained headway. Finally, in the face of clear indications that the trend was not reversible, the authorities decided to suspend their interventions in the free segment of the foreign exchange market and -in order to soften the impact on domestic prices- to establish a third market where rates were controlled for imports. The free exchange rate grew vigorously during the second week of February. It soon became obvious that this was not a one-time adjustment process but that, on the contrary, the pressure on the foreign exchange market was going to be stronger following the adoption of the measures.

The severe foreign exchange crisis that affected the country in the first half of 1989 had certain special features. As noted above, the trade balance rose even beyond the already quite high levels, and excessive demand for foreign exchange resulted from a substantial change in asset portfolios. Given the failure of the policy scheme of the "Plan Primavera",
together with the likelihood of higher inflation and, especially, the uncertain climate created by the imminent change of government (particularly with respect to how the new authorities would deal with the domestic debt contracted by the outgoing government), the reaction of many agents was to increase their foreign exchange holdings, even if such holdings cost much more to acquire and regardless of the considerable rise in the domestic interest rate. Thus, the foreign exchange market was remarkably unstable and there were wider fluctuations in the real value of the dollar than had been usual in recent years (see figure 2). On the other hand, notwithstanding the series of exchange rate measures that were adopted before and after the economic team was replaced in early April, the Central Bank continued to lose reserves.

The confused exchange market situation made macroeconomic management even more difficult; indeed, the authorities were forced to use limited instruments in response to events, and there was a clear loss of public confidence in official policies. The fiscal deficit increased despite cuts in real wages and investments, because tax revenues declined and the authorities permitted a considerable delay in the payment of public service charges. Moreover, the sharp increase in interest rates raised the official domestic debt, which was also considerably affected by the sharp devaluation, since the preponderance of public bonds were adjusted in line with foreign exchange prices. Although at times the Central Bank tried to offer very high-yielding bills of exchange, and deposits had to be temporarily frozen in May, monetary policy did not have much leverage for slowing down the expansion of nominal liquidity; the rate of money creation speeded up, while at the same time there was a rapidly shrinking demand for cash holdings.

From February onwards, inflation grew exponentially: the rate of variation of the consumer price index (CPI) practically doubled monthly until it peaked in July (see figure 3). In the early reaction of prices to the devaluation there appear to have been inertial elements which softened the initial impact. However, as the weeks went by, there was a change in behaviour: the previous inflation rate stopped being used as a common point of reference for
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establishing wages, and the expectations of economic agents were more and more frequently used as benchmarks for wage and price adjustments. The instability of the exchange rate was quickly reflected in the prices of tradeable goods, or goods with a high content of imported inputs; although dollarization did not spread to everyday consumer items, the practice of linking price fluctuations to the exchange rate appeared to have gained some currency in intermediate transactions.

In any case, there were sharp week-to-week fluctuations in the inflation rate (from $10 \%$ to $60 \%$ in July) and considerable fluctuations in relative prices (see figure 4). As a result of uncertainty regarding replacement values, firms increased their margins and sometimes even hesitated to sell. Commercial credit also contracted. In other words, instability led to a visible shrinkage of supply, which contributed to the slump in activities noted in the second quarter. Despite the rapid rises in nominal wages (which were renegotiated more than once a month), there was an abrupt decline in the purchasing power of salaries and wages.

The presidential elections in May were won by the main opposition party. Although the handing over of power had been scheduled for the end of the year, it was agreed to bring it forward to July. The negotiations relating to the change of government aggravated the climate of uncertainty; however, the understanding that the incoming authorities were preparing a stabilization plan with the support of a group of large enterprises probably attenuated fears and helped to avoid a collapse of the currency. The considerable increases in prices in early July appeared to have been, in part, a response to the anticipated mark-up of prices -in the face of prospects that some type of controls would be implemented- and probably did not reflect expectations of a rapid rise in prices later. These increases produced a fresh and sustained decline in real wages (which were $35 \%$ lower in July than the previous year's average) and further eroded real money holdings and, in general, the value of financial assets in local currency (see figures 5 and 6).

The programme launched in July by the new government had two distinctive characteristics: the participation of a group of businessmen in
its design and implementation, and the stress which it placed on the announcement that important reforms would be introduced in the operation of the public sector. In particular, an economic emergency act suspended industrial promotion subsidies, while another act, known as the State Reform Act, laid down mechanisms for the privatization of public enterprises (which in the initial phase would include the telephone company) and certain services. Lengthy discussions about tax reform took place; after some time, a tax scheme was adopted which was based on the extension of the value added tax and on a more easily enforceable gains tax with lower rates than under the previous system.

In the short term, the programme was initiated with a considerable adjustment in the official exchange rate and public charges; these variables would later be frozen. Industrial prices were also regulated under an agreement between the government and the largest enterprises, and private-sector wages continued to be established on the basis of collective agreements, although indicative guidelines were set. From the fiscal point of view, the authorities benefited from higher earnings from public enterprises (as a result of higher public service charges); increased revenues from export duties (which had already been raised by the previous government); and a reversal of the delayed tax effect, while wages and investments remained at a low level. In other words, the anti-inflation scheme was based on the establishment of a series of nominal variables (in a more or less strict manner depending on the case) and a short-term reduction of the public deficit, together with the announcement that the scheme would be strengthened by more permanent reforms. The programme did not consider specific measures with respect to financial contracts, although part of the domestic debt was consolidated by deferring maturities.

The announcement of the programme rapidly relieved pressure on the foreign exchange market (the gap between the parallel and official exchange rates shrank to nearly nil), and the nominal interest rate fell sharply to around $15 \%$ per month, resulting in a positive effect on expectations. However, there
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## ARGENTINA

was a sharp rise in prices in response to the increase in costs, and presumably also as a result of preventive markups. Consequently, the configuration of the initial set of relative prices was such that the real exchange rate was comparatively high (although it was much lower than the peak levels of the second quarter), public service charges had recovered but were not unusually high, industrial prices had clearly risen while real wages remained very low, even taking into account the adjustments in July and the effect of the slowdown in inflation that followed the initial impact.

In fact, the rate of increase in the consumer price index (CPi) declined considerably to lows of around $6 \%$ for the months of October and November. However, although industrial prices changed slowly, there were significant increases in the prices of agricultural commodities and the cost of services. Although residual inflation was much lower than in the recent past, it was still considerable, and began to depreciate the real value of the pricing variables and eventually heightened doubts about the stability of the exchange rate; from August to November, prices rose by about $25 \%$, while the exchange rate remained unchanged. The price rises of that period were probably affected by delayed adjustments in some prices, and by certain resurgent indexation effects and a partial recovery of demand -which remained, however, lower than that of the previous year.

The fiscal situation improved as a result of higher revenues and steadily low expenditure. From September onwards, the treasury posted a surplus as a result of duties on primary exports. However, even though the value of the domestic debt had been reduced, its service continued to be high. On the other hand, as a result of sales of foreign exchange to the Central Bank owing to the sharp devaluation and the establishment of an official exchange rate, the authorities faced the ever-recurrent dilemma of whether or not to sterilize the money creation that resulted from the increase in reserves. Although the Central Bank initially permitted the monetization of the exchange rate surplus in order to bring down interest rates, it tried later on to contain the expansion of the money supply by investing securities in
banks, which constituted a credit to the public sector and, at the same time, an interestbearing reserve. This, coupled with the capitalization of interests, once again increased the real value of the domestic debt to over 10\% of the gross domestic product.

The sudden decline in inflation normalized the supply of goods; the quantity of money increased and credit was somewhat reactivated, leading to a slight recovery of economic activity. In August and September, the nominal interest rate declined even further to lows of around $5 \%$ a month, while the demand for foreign exchange õn both the official and parallel markets remained sluggish.

However, the incipient deceleration in inflation was not solidly grounded. In the first place, demand for wage increases were far above the price guidelines suggested by the government, and secondly, important fiscal and monetary problems arose. The adjustment of the Treasury's accounts was precarious, while the quasifiscal deficit grew steadily. At the same time, although the interest rates on time deposits was lower, it entailed higher payments in foreign currency owing to a sustained fixed rate of exchange. Meanwhile, the supply of liquid resources that could be channelled into the foreign exchange market was on the rise, since deposits were being renewed simultaneously, thus compounding interests. As time went by, depositors and holders of public securities became worried about the possible recurrence of the cycle whereby a period of exchange rate stability with high yields on assets in local currency was suddenly followed by a tendency towards speculation in foreign exchange, aimed at obtaining high profits in dollars.

Pressure began to be exerted again on the foreign exchange market in October, and particularly in November: the differential between the parallel and official rates widened to $30 \%$, and sales of foreign exchange to the Central Bank slowed down. Futile attempts were made to reverse the trend by tightening monetary policy. The fact that interest rates rose simultaneously with a sustained demand for foreign exchange heightened expectations of devaluation. A cumulative process of acceleration of domestic capital flight was triggered off; under such circumstances, the
announcements that policies would not be changed and that the effects of the reforms on public sector financing would soon be felt lost credibility. At the beginning of December, it became obvious that the freeze on the exchange rate was not sustainable.

The failure of the programme initiated in July showed that the experience around the middle of the year and the abrupt change in policy in February had left their mark; indeed, within a few weeks inflation soared from one digit monthly to hyperinflation. Since it was impossible to maintain the guidelines it had previously defined, the government decided to carry out a devaluation and to increase public service charges. Such measures were not interpreted as one-time adjustments but rather as a jump to a much higher rate of inflation. On the other hand, the financial difficulties of the public sector and monetary disorders that could have been caused by the failure to renew a significant portion of the domestic debt when it matured resulted in the announcement that public securities would be unilaterally rescheduled at maturity; this eroded confidence even further and heightened the tendency to speculate in hard currency. In less than a week, the exchange rate differential had become as wide as it was prior to the devaluation, while prices showed a marked upward trend.

On 18 December the new economic team announced that the exchange market would be completely deregulated: foreign exchange would have floating rates and all price controls would be eliminated. For a few days, this slowed down the depreciation in exchange rates, but prices rose rapidly. This in turn heightened the effect of lagging tax collection and, since charges had not been modified, exacerbated the fiscal situation at a time when there were doubts about the authorities' ability to manage economic policy; indeed, the announcements that the Central Bank would eliminate monetary expansion did not alter the unfavourable expectations.

The sudden increase in the exchange rate during the last week of the year opened up the
possibility that a different path might be followed. Inflation sped up the flight of money and raised the nominal interest rate. The government's financial difficulties were aggravated by the considerable rise in the rate on interest-bearing bank reserves. Similarly, the risk of keeping funds in banks raised the demand for foreign currency or for a higher rate on domestic holdings; however, the greater the real yield on fixed term deposits, the greater the risk taken by depositors, since the government could find itself in an emergency situation that would prevent it from meeting its payment commitments.

As a result of the great uncertainty and instability of the exchange rate, many firms quoted their prices in dollars, a practice which quickly spread. This made it more difficult to predict the purchasing power of local currency, with the result that there was a further decline in demand for money. In any case, dollarization was neither a complete nor a co-ordinated process; on the contrary, as had already occurred in the preceding episode, there was total chaos in prices. At the same time, the difficulty of creating expectations even on the very short term led once again to a clear contraction in the supply of goods.

By the end of the year, therefore hyperinflationary conditions again existed, as reflected in the rapid flight of domestic assets, pronounced price instability and disordered transactions. The public sector was in danger of having its sources of financing cut off, which virtually bankrupted it for all practical purposes. It was obvious that urgent action was required to avoid an even more acute financial crisis and to normalize exchanges.

The extreme instability of the economy in 1989 was due to circumstantial factors, but also to accumulated, deep-rooted and longstanding imbalances and sudden changes in economic policy. Moreover, the experience of hyperinflation probably had negative aftereffects on the establishment of prices and the holding of assets.

Table 1
ARGENTINA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 87.6 | 89.9 | 92.1 | 88.0 | 93.3 | 95.3 | 92.6 | 88.1 |
| Gross national income | 79.1 | 79.8 | 83.6 | 77.2 | 84.0 | 86.7 | 83.3 | 77.6 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 29.1 | 29.5 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 30.7 | 31.1 | 31.5 | 31.9 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 85.0 | 86.0 | 86.8 | 82.0 | 85.8 | 96.4 | 83.0 | 77.9 |
| Non-financial public sector deficit/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money (Mi)/GDP |  | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 12X9 | 123.7 | 114.9 | 125.6 | 152.3 | 191.2 | 181.3 | 175.1 |
| Unemployment rate | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 7.4 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | -5.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | -4.4 | 6.0 | 2.1 | -2.8 | -4.9 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -7.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | -5.7 | 4.6 | 0.8 | -4.0 | -6.1 |
| Gross national income | -11.3 | 0.9 | 4.8 | -7.6 | 8.8 | 3.2 | -3.9 | -6.8 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 209.7 | 433.7 | 688.0 | 385.4 | 81.9 | 174.8 | 387.7 | 4923.8 |
| Real wages and salaries ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -14.5 | 22.8 | 25.3 | -12.1 | 5.4 | -8.2 | -6.1 | -28.0 |
| Current value of exports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -43.9 | -10.7 | 2.4 | -11.1 | 22.2 | 17.8 | -4.5 | -13.2 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -22.6 | -2.0 | 16.9 | -18.1 | -5.5 | -1.1 | 5.3 | -4.4 |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | 2667 | 3469 | 3648 | 4767 | 1959 | 509 | 3814 | 5280 |
| Net payment of profits and interest | 4715 | 5408 | 5711 | 5324 | 4424 | 4478 | 5125 | 6000 |
| Balance on current account | -2354 | -2436 | -2495 | -961 | -2 857 | -4 231 | -1593 | -1000 |
| Balance on capital account | 1686 | -13 | 2660 | 1938 | 1983 | 2281 | 3497 | -800 |
| Variation in net international reserves | -806 | -2379 | 17 | 817 | -984 | -2213 | 1921 | -1800 |
| Total medium- and long-term external debt | 43634 | 45069 | 46191 | 49326 | 51422 | 58324 | 58473 | 62000 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary figures. Since. 1985 includes only national government and public enterprises. Simple average of results of surveys for each year in the Federal Capital and Greater Buenos Aires. Percentages. ${ }^{c}$ Annual average variation in real wages of industrial workers.

Table 2
ARGENTINA: GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 86.7 | 83.3 | 77.6 | 98.1 | 89.3 | 88.2 | 86.5 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 95.3 | 92.6 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Effect of the terms of trade |  |  |  | . | -5.3 | -6.0 | -6.9 |
| c) Net income from external factors | 269.7 | 283.8 | 306.8 | -1.9 | -5.4 | -5.8 | -6.6 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | -30.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 3
ARGENTINA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 89.7 | 86.5 | 81.4 | 115.4 | 106.6 | 6.9 | 2.8 | -3.5 | -6.0 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 95.3 | 92.6 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 2.1 | -2.8 | -4.9 |
| Imports of goods and services | 53.4 | 46.9 | 37.9 | 15.4 | 6.6 | 19.7 | 11.2 | -12.0 | -19.2 |
| Total demand | 89.7 | 86.5 | 81.4 | 115.4 | 106.6 | 6.9 | 2.8 | -3.5 | -6.0 |
| Domestic demand | 86.4 | 80.3 | 74.3 | 103.8 | 87.5 | 11.4 | 4.6 | -7.1 | -7.5 |
| Gross domestic investment | 53.4 | 47.6 | 35.1 | 22.7 | 9.1 | 16.6 | 17.1 | -10.8 | -26.2 |
| Gross fixed investment | 56.1 | 47.2 | 34.6 | 22.2 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 14.0 | -15.9 | -26.6 |
| Construction | 56.2 | 47.9 | 34.3 | 15.5 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 13.7 | -14.7 | -28.4 |
| Machinery | 56.0 | 45.5 | 35.3 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 9.7 | 14.8 | -18.7 | -22.3 |
| Changes in stocks | -55.7 | 65.4 | 56.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 95.7 | 89.5 | 85.3 | 81.0 | 78.4 | 10.8 | 2.9 | -6.5 | -4.7 |
| General government | 92.9 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 13.2 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | - |
| Private | 96.2 | 88.8 | 83.8 | 67.8 | 64.5 | 13.0 | 3.4 | -7.7 | -5.7 |
| Exports of goods and services | 119.1 | 142.1 | 144.7 | 11.6 | 19.1 | -13.1 | -7.9 | 19.3 | 1.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official and International Monetary Fund (IMF) data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were converted to constant 1980 values using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
ARGENTINA: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC
INVESTMENT
(As a percentage of gross domestic product)*

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic investment | 15.9 | 13.9 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 12.7 | 11.7 | 9.1 |
| Gross domestic savings | 24.2 | 23.6 | 19.6 | 22.8 | 19.3 | 18.6 | 21.7 | 21.6 |
| Net income for factor services | -6.7 | -7.8 | -8.2 | -7.6 | -5.9 | -5.4 | -5.8 | -6.6 |
| Effect of the terms of trade | -4.7 | -5.1 | -2.7 | -6.4 | -5.8 | -5.3 | -6.0 | -6.9 |
| Unilateral private transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross national savings | 12.8 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| External savings | 3.1 | 3.2 | 33 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
" At market prices in 1980 constant dollars at the adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 5

## ARGENTINA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 953 | 92.6 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 2.1 | -2.8 | -4.9 |
| Goods | 903 | 85.6 | 79.0 | 43.0 | 38.6 | 12 | 1.6 | -5.1 | -7.7 |
| Agriculture | 112.8 | 112.0 | 109.8 | 8.6 | 10.7 | -3.2 | 3.0 | -0.6 | -2.0 |
| Mining | 94.5 | 103.5 | 105.9 | 2.4 | 2.8 | -3.8 | 0.2 | 9.5 | 2.3 |
| Manufacturing | 92.2 | 85.8 | 79.2 | 25.0 | 22.5 | 13.0 | -0.6 | -6.9 | -7.7 |
| Construction | 54.8 | 46.9 | 32.0 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 9.7 | 14.7 | -14.5 | -31.7 |
| Basic services | 113.6 | 113.1 | 108.6 | 10.2 | 12.6 | 5.7 | 3.6 | -0.5 | -4.0 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 135.4 | 142.0 | 140.4 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 4.9 | -1.1 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 106.4 | 103.5 | 98.0 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 2.6 | -2.7 | -5.3 |
| Other services | 95.9 | 94.6 | 91.9 | 46.8 | 48.8 | 5.1 | 2.1 | -1.4 | -2.9 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 85.8 | 80.9 | 74.3 | 18.0 | 15.2 | 8.7 | 1.4 | -5.7 | -8.2 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estates and business services | 90.3 | 90.0 | 88.4 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 5.7 | 2.5 | -0.2 | -1.9 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 110.2 | 111.3 | 112.1 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Community, social and personal services | 113.2 | 115.2 | 116.2 | 15.1 | 19.9 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Government services | 113.7 | 116.2 | 116.2 | 11.1 | 14.6 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.2 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data, re-weighted with the structure at current 1980 prices. Consequently, the results obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 6
ARGENTINA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT
(Percentage variation $)^{a}$

|  | 1988 |  |  |  |  | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | Year | I | II | III | IV | Year |
| Total | 2.8 | -0.7 | -5.6 | -6.9 | -2.7 | -42 | -9.5 | -5.6 | - | -4.9 |
| Agriculture, hunting, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| forestry, fisheries | 9.8 | 8.2 | -2.1 | -14.1 | -0.6 | -10.9 | -19.0 | 3.1 | 18.8 | -2.0 |
| Mining | 9.3 | 8.5 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 4.5 | 2.7 | -0.9 | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| Manufacturing | 0.6 | -5.5 | -11.8 | -10.1 | -6.9 | -4.9 | -12.8 | -10.1 | -3.0 | -7.7 |
| Construction | 13.1 | -18.7 | -27.0 | -18.2 | -14.5 | -23.5 | -35.2 | -37.7 | -30.4 | -31.7 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 3.9 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 3.3 | -5.4 | -2.8 | -1.1 |
| Commerce | -1.2 | -2.9 | -8.6 | -10.6 | -5.7 | -2.8 | -13.2 | -11.8 | -5.0 | -8.2 |
| Transport | 3.5 | 0.4 | -5.1 | -9.2 | -2.7 | -6.4 | -9.4 | -2.2 | -3.2 | -5.3 |
| Financial institutions, insurance and real estate Community, social and personal services | 0.4 | -1.8 | -3.2 | 2.4 | -0.6 | 2.6 | 0.3 | -5.6 | -7.7 | -2.6 |
|  | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 |

Source: Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina.
" With respect to the same period of the previous year. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated figures on the basis of incomplete data.

Table 7
ARGENTINA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product of agricultural sector at factor cost | 109.5 | 112.8 | 112.1 | 109.9 | -3.2 | 3.0 | -0.6 | -2.0 |
| Crop farming | 119.5 | 123.2 | 122.6 | 117.7 | -5.0 | 3.1 | -0.5 | -4.0 |
| Cereals | 111.4 | 101.6 | 91.6 | 79.7 | -13.2 | -8.8 | -9.8 | -13.0 |
| Oil seeds | 174.4 | 195.9 | 202.6 | 203.6 | -6.5 | 12.3 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| Industrial crops | 90.2 | 97.5 | 104.0 | 91.0 | 2.7 | 8.1 | 6.7 | -12.5 |
| Stock-raising | 96.2 | 98.3 | 98.7 | 98.7 | -0.7 | 12 | 0.4 |  |
| Beef cattle | 82.8 | 82.6 | 84.2 | 84.2 | -3.6 | -0.3 | 1.9 | - |
| Milk | 115.4 | 121.1 | 118.3 | 122.4 | 5.8 | 4.9 | -2.3 | 3.5 |
| Wool | 82.2 | 89.3 | 94.5 | 93.4 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 5.8 | -1.2 |
| Pigs and poultry | 155.5 | 168.9 | 155.6 | 143.2 | 7.6 | 8.6 | -7.9 | -8.0 |
| Fisheries | 116.7 | 144.9 | 128.2 | 130.1 | 3.4 | 24.2 | -11.5 | 1.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
Preliminary figures.
Also includes agricultural construction, hunting, forestry and logging. Also includes vegetables, pulses, fruits and flowers. Also includes other livestock.

## ARGENTINA

Table 8
ARGENTINA: AREA SOWN AND CROP PRODUCTION
(Crop year)

|  | Area sown (millions of hectares) |  |  | Production (millions of tons) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1987 / \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1988 / \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 / \\ & 1990^{8} \end{aligned}$ | Average <br> 1983/1984 <br> 1987/1988 | $\begin{aligned} & 1987 / \\ & 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1988 / \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 / \\ & 1990^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ |
| Cereals | 11.8 | 10.7 | 113 | 27.1 | 23.0 | 15.4 | 19.2 |
| Wheat | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 10.2 |
| Sorghum | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Maize | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| Oilseeds | 7.4 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 112 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 15.4 |
| Linseed | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Sunflower | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| Soya | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 11.0 |
| Total annual crops | 20.3 | 19.6 | 21.3 | 38.3 | 36.2 | 26.8 | 34.6 |

Source: Ministry of Agriculture.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 9 ARGENTINA: CATTLE SLAUGHTERING AND PRICES

| Season JulyJune | Stocks ${ }^{8}$ | Total slaugh- | Slaughtering rate (per-centage) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Yield <br> (kilogrammes of dressed meat per head) ${ }^{0}$ | Percentage of cows and heifers in slaughtering analysed (percentage) ${ }^{11}$ | Annual per capita consumption (kilogrammes) ce | Steer prices in terms of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions | f heads |  |  |  |  | Industrial prices ${ }^{0}$ | Wheat prices eg |
| 1980-1981 | 55.8 | 14.4 | 25.8 | 203 | 32 | 87 | 0.48 | 4.9 |
| 1981-1982 | 54.2 | 14.1 | 26.0 | 203 | 33 | 79 | 0.48 | 4.2 |
| 1982-1983 | 52.7 | 11.5 | 21.8 | 212 | 33 | 64 | 0.60 | 5.7 |
| 1983-1984 | 53.8 | 11.6 | 21.7 | 213 | 33 | 70 | 0.52 | 6.4 |
| 1984-1985 | 54.6 | 13.2 | 24.0 | 204 | 34 | 77 | 0.39 | 5.9 |
| 1985-1986 | 54.0 | 13.6 | 24.8 | 202 | 33 | 78 | 0.33 | 5.8 |
| 1986-1987 | 52.5 | 13.7 | 26.1 | 210 | 34 | 78 | 0.54 | 8.6 |
| 1987-1988 | 50.7 | 12.2 | 24.1 | 215 | 33 | 69 | 0.52 | 6.0 |
| 1988-1989 | 50.3 | 12.2 | 24.3 | 213 | 33 | 69 | 0.49 | 5.1 |
| 1989-1990 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 49.9 | 13.0 | 24.8 | 212 | 35 | 67 | 0.41 | 5.2 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {hi }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First half |  | 6.1 |  | 217 | 33 | 69 | 0.45 | 5.5 |
| Second half |  | 5.7 |  | 220 | 30 | 67 | 0.47 | 5.1 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First half |  | 6.5 |  | 208 | 36 | 72 | 0.51 | 5.0 |
| Second half |  | 6.1 |  | 217 | 33 | 65 | 0.41 | 5.3 |

Source: National Meat Board, Ministry of Agriculture and ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ As at 1 July. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Slaughtering in the period as a percentage of stocks at 1 July. ${ }^{c}$ Average for the period.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Slaughtering under control of the National Meat Board, which represents between $75 \%$ and $80 \%$ of total registered commercial slaughtering. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Refers to registered slaughtering only. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ In australes of June 1985. The stee prices are the average quotations on the Liniers market.
${ }^{g}$ Kilogrammes of wheat needed to purchase 1 kilogramme of live steer. Preliminary figures and projections. 'The series are seasonal. The figures presented in the table are not corrected for seasonal factors.

Table 10

## ARGENTINA: MINING PRODUCTION INDICATORS

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |  |
| Gross domestic product of mining sector at factor cost (indexes $1980=100)$ | 100.0 | 94.4 | 94.5 | 103.5 | 105.9 | -3.8 | 02 | 9.5 | 23 |
| Production of some mineral fuels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum (Millions of $\mathrm{rn}^{3}$ ) | 28.6 | 25.2 | 24.8 | 26.1 | 26.7 | -5.6 | -1.6 | 5.2 | 2.3 |
| Marketable coal (thousands of tons) | 389.0 | 364.9 | 374.0 | 511.3 | 510.5 | -8.8 | 2.5 | 36.7 | -0.2 |
| Injected natural gas (billions of $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 7.9 | 13.8 |  |  |  | 9.3 |  |  |  |
| Saleable gas (billions of $\left.\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)^{b}$ | ... | 12.1 | 12.5 | 15.6 | 16.9 | ... | 3.3 | 24.8 | 8.2 |
| Source: Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina and Ministry of Energy. <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. <br> After deducting imports. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11
ARGENTINA: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product of the manufacturing sector at factor cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (indexes 1980 $=100$ ) | 100.0 | 92.5 | 92.0 | 85.7 | 79.1 | 13.0 | -0.6 | -6.9 | -7.7 |
| Food | 100.0 | 107.5 | 103.3 | 93.6 | 94.7 | 8.8 | -3.9 | -9.4 | 1.2 |
| Textiles | 100.0 | 86.5 | 79.8 | 77.9 | 77.4 | 22.0 | -7.7 | -2.4 | -0.7 |
| Wood | 100.0 | 67.0 | 63.3 | 53.2 | 50.1 | 18.7 | -5.6 | -16.0 | -5.8 |
| Paper | 100.0 | 94.7 | 90.4 | 86.6 | 83.1 | 4.9 | -4.6 | -4.2 | -4.0 |
| Chemicals | 100.0 | 109.1 | 106.5 | 106.6 | 99.9 | 9.2 | -2.4 | 0.1 | -6.3 |
| Non-metallic minerals | 100.0 | 73.7 | 80.5 | 74.7 | 62.0 | 22.5 | 9.2 | -7.2 | -17.0 |
| Basic metal industries | 100.0 | 105.7 | 119.1 | 122.1 | 121.9 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 2.5 | -0.2 |
| Machinery and equipment | 100.0 | 77.0 | 78.9 | 68.1 | 52.8 | 17.0 | 2.5 | -13.7 | -22.5 |
| Other industries | 100.0 | 92.4 | 91.8 | 85.5 | 78.8 | 12.8 | -0.7 | -6.9 | -7.8 |
| Production of some important manufactures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pig iron (thousands of tons) | 1806 | 2558 | 2785 | 2727 | 3324 | 11.3 | 8.9 | -2.1 | 21.9 |
| Crude steel (thousands of tons) | 2702 | 3243 | 3602 | 3621 | 3875 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 0.5 | 7.0 |
| Finished hot-rolled products (thousands of tons) ${ }^{0}$ | 2653 | 2545 | 2900 | 2991 | 2980 | 23.7 | 13.9 | 3.1 | -0.4 |
| Cold-rolled flat products (thousands of tons) | 732 | 919 | 1002 | 978 | 840 | 60.1 | 9.0 | -2.4 | -14.1 |
| Motor vehicles (thousands of units) | 282 | 171 | 193 | 164 | 128 | 23.9 | 12.9 | -15.0 | -22.0 |
| Tractors (units) | 3481 | 8056 | 3114 | 5075 | 3485 | 26.3 | -61.3 | 63.0 | -31.3 |

Source: Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina, Centro de Industriales Metalúrgicos, Asociación de Fábricas de Automotores and Asociación de Fábricas Argentinas de Tractores.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Including sponge iron. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes production for cold re-rolling. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ These figures should not be added to the production of hot-rolled products.

Table 12

## ARGENTINA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -2 354 | -2 436 | -2 495 | -961 | -2857 | -4 231 | -1593 | -1000 |
| Trade balance | 2667 | 3469 | 3648 | 4767 | 1959 | 509 | 3814 | 5280 |
| Exports of goods and services | 9183 | 9291 | 9607 | 10065 | 8433 | 8132 | 11094 | 11600 |
| Goods FOB | 7622 | 7838 | 8101 | 8419 | 6851 | 6359 | 9160 | 9500 |
| Real services | 1560 | 1454 | 1506 | 1646 | 1583 | 1773 | 1934 | 2100 |
| Transport and insurance | 713 | 755 | 833 | 893 | 745 | 879 | 953 | 990 |
| Travel | 610 | 453 | 440 | 523 | 562 | 614 | 633 | 680 |
| Imports of goods and services | 6516 | 5822 | 5959 | 5298 | 6474 | 7623 | 7280 | 6320 |
| Goods FOB | 4859 | 4120 | 4119 | 3522 | 4391 | 5384 | 4907 | 3870 |
| Real services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1655 | 1700 | 1841 | 1776 | 2084 | 2238 | 2373 | 2450 |
| Transport and insurance | 733 | 737 | 898 | 709 | 744 | 908 | 915 | 720 |
| Travel | 566 | 507 | 600 | 679 | 894 | 894 | 973 | 1240 |
| Factor services | -5 054 | -5922 | -6144 | -5728 | -4817 | -4731 | -5 407 | -6 280 |
| Profits | -317 | -424 | -439 | -427 | -483 | -559 | -659 | -700 |
| Interest received | 526 | 440 | 265 | 253 | 358 | 220 | 211 | 200 |
| Interest paid and due | -4926 | -5 425 | -5 537 | -5149 | -4 297 | -4139 | -4 678 | -5 500 |
| Labour and property | -339 | -514 | -433 | -404 | -393 | -253 | -282 | -280 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 34 | 16 | 2 | - | 2 | -8 | - | - |
| Balance on capital account | 1686 | -13 | 2660 | 1938 | 1983 | 2281 | 3497 | -800 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Long-term capital | 3844 | 1715 | -322 | 4765 | 2260 | 2428 | 1451 |  |
| Direct investment | 257 | 183 | 267 | 911 | 576 | -17 | 1148 |  |
| Portfolio investment | 1888 | 1140 | 759 | -520 | -380 | -89 | -870 |  |
| Other long-term capital | 1698 | 393 | -1349 | 4374 | 2064 | 2534 | 1173 |  |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 44 | 376 | 1440 | 6075 | 2465 | 2549 | 1262 |  |
| Loans received | 230 | 2497 | 2332 | 6474 | 2906 | 2278 | 1572 |  |
| Amortization payments | -225 | -2110 | -541 | -105 | -157 | -207 | -267 |  |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 267 | 38 | -33 | -1 | -4 | -50 | 23 |  |
| Loans received | 737 | 264 | 8 | 15 | 13 | 47 | 77 |  |
| Amortization payments | -469 | -227 | -40 | -16 | -16 | -97 | -54 |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1475 | -22 | -2 756 | -1700 | -398 | 36 | -112 |  |
| Loans received | 2602 | 2447 | 279 | 544 | 764 | 617 | 645 |  |
| Amortization payments | -650 | -2 295 | -2 862 | -2 057 | -1037 | -512 | -648 |  |
| Short-term capital | -1758 | -1289 | 3037 | -2303 | -588 | 70 | 2183 |  |
| Official sector | 2682 | -91 | 18\% | -2969 | -902 | 407 | 2512 |  |
| Commercial banks | 351 | 311 | -523 | 649 | -21 | 16 | - |  |
| Other sectors | -4791 | -1509 | 1664 | 17 | 336 | -353 | -329 |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -401 | -440 | -55 | -525 | 310 | -216 | -138 |  |
| Global balance ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -668 | -2 450 | 165 | 978 | -874 | -1950 | 1904 | $-1800$ |
| Total variation in reserves (- sign indicates an increase) | 806 | 2379 | -17 | -817 | 984 | 2213 | -1921 | 1800 |
| Monetary gold | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | 404 | - | -1 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | 178 | 100 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 225 | 1105 | 57 | -2 029 | 555 | 1101 | -1746 |  |
| Other assets | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Use made of IMF credit | - | 1174 | -73 | 1211 | 429 | 1112 | -175 |  |

Source: 1982-1988: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund; 1989: ECIAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 13

## ARGENTINA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 35671 | 43634 | 45069 | 46191 | 49326 | 51422 | 58324 | 58473 | 62000 |
| Public | 20024 | 28616 | 31709 | 35527 | 40868 | 44722 | 51793 |  |  |
| Private | 15647 | 15018 | 13360 | 10664 | 8444 | 6700 | 6531 |  |  |
| Net interest | 3850 | 4926 | 5423 | 5537 | 5132 | 4291 | 4145 | 4678 | 5800 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/ exports of goods and services | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| Gross interest/exports of goods and services | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of <br> * Estimated figures. | data. lude exterı | nal indeb | tedness du | ue to arr | ears. |  |  |  |  |

Table 14
ARGENTINA: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina and the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
Note: The following indexes were used in the deflation: A: wholesale prices. B: consumer prices.
Corresponds to the average weighted exchange rate for payments for agricultural and industrial exports (weighted according to the structure of exports in 1983). Includes the effect of duties and/or drawbacks. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average exchange rate for imports. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Represents an average of the indexes of the real exchange rate of the austral in relation to the currencies of Argentina's main trading partners, weighted by the relative amount of exports or imports, as appropriate, to or from these countries. From 1970 to 1980 these weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979. From 1981 on, they correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. In these calculations, use has primarily been made of wholesale price indexes. For further information on the methodology and sources used, see the technical appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Index of the free or parallel exchange rate of Argentina in relation to the United States of America, deflated by the consumer price index of Argentina and adjusted by the United States wholesale price index.

Table 15
ARGENTINA: EXCHANGE RATE ON THE FREE (OR PARALLEL) MARKET DURING SELECTED PERIODS. DAILY VARIATIONS IN RATES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)

| Period | Average | Standard <br> deviation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 2 January | -3 February | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| 7 February | -10 February | 10.9 | 18.2 |
| 13 February | -7 April | 1.8 | 4.4 |
| 10 April | -7 July | 4.7 | 9.4 |
| 10 July | -21 July | 1.9 | 4.9 |
| 24 July | -29 September | -0.1 | 1.1 |
| 2 October | -7 November | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 8 November | -7 December | 1.6 | 4.8 |
| 12 December -27 December | 8.8 | 15.1 |  |

Source: ECLAC, based on data supplied by the Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina and other sources.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The table shows average values and standard deviations of the daily rates of increase of the exchange rate. Until 17 May, the data corresponded to the value of the dollar on the official free market. Since 30 May, they correspond to parallel market rates published in specialized newspapers. The exchange markets were closed from 17 to 30 May.

Table 16
ARGENTINA: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 14.0 | -16.6 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.9 | -18.6 | -7.2 | 44.0 | 3.7 |
| Volume | 17.7 | -2.1 | 13.6 | -8.5 | 20.2 | -12.9 | -11.3 | 25.7 | -7.5 |
| Unit value | -3.2 | -14.9 | -9.5 | 13.0 | -13.5 | -6.6 | 4.7 | 14.6 | 12.1 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -10.2 | -42.4 | -15.2 |  | -14.5 | 24.7 | 22.6 | -8.9 | -21.1 |
| Volume | -10.4 | -40.2 | -10.5 | 6.9 | -18.5 | 19.9 | 14.9 | -15.9 | -25.5 |
| Unit value | 0.1 | -3.6 | -5.2 | -6.5 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 5.9 |
| Terms of trade | -3.7 | -11.9 | -4.9 | 18.9 | -16.7 | -9.5 | -2.2 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 113.4 | 97.9 | 105.7 | 115.0 | 115.2 | 90.9 | 78.8 | 104.1 | 102.0 |
| Volume of exports | 117.7 | 115.3 | 131.0 | 119.8 | 144.0 | 125.4 | 111.2 | 139.8 | 129.3 |
| Volume of imports | 89.6 | 53.6 | 47.9 | 51.3 | 41.8 | 50.1 | 57.5 | 48.4 | 36.0 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 96.3 | 84.9 | 80.7 | 96.0 | 80.0 | 72.4 | 70.9 | 74.5 | 78.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

## ARGENTINA

Table 17
ARGENTINA: VALUE AND COMPOSITION OF EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage <br> breakdown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the National Institute of Statistics and Censuses, the National Meat Board and other sources.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 18
ARGENTINA: MAIN AGRICULTURAL EXPORT PRODUCTS

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\prime}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.5 | 28.4 | 27.3 | 28.9 | 20.9 | -3.9 | 5.9 |  |
| Cooked and frozen meats | 38.9 | 50.6 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 30.1 | -4.0 |  |  |
| Corned beef | 37.0 | 32.0 | 26.7 |  | -13.5 | -16.6 |  |  |
| Greasy wool | 55.6 | 50.0 | 76.9 | $\ldots$ | -10.1 | 53.8 |  |  |
| Scoured and carbonized wool |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4120 | 4192 | 3609 | 4313 | 1.7 | -13.9 | 19.5 |  |
| Wheat | 7395 | 3987 | 4215 | 1894 | -46.1 | 5.7 | -55.1 |  |
| Maize | 1959 | 1003 | 1476 | 383 | -48.8 | 47.2 | -74.1 |  |
| Sorghum | 2586 | 1394 | 2085 | 448 | -46.1 | 49.6 | -78.5 |  |
| Soya | 998 | 664 | 933 | 870 | -33.5 | 40.5 | $-\mathbf{- 6 . 8}$ |  |
| Sunflower oil | 692 | 736 | 984 | 796 | 6.4 | 33.7 | -19.1 |  |
| Soya oil | 1400 | 922 | 1186 | 1287 | -34.1 | 28.6 | 8.5 |  |
| Sunflower by-products | 3404 | 3659 | 4874 | 4634 | 7.5 | 33.2 | $\mathbf{- 4 . 9}$ |  |
| Soya by-products | 104 | 102 | 221 | 155 | -1.9 | 116.7 | -29.9 |  |
| Sugar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Ministry of Agriculture, Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina, National Meat Board and the Argentine Wool Federation.

* Preliminary figures. Thousands of tons.

Table 19
ARGENTINA: VALUE AND COMPOSITION OF IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1980 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Total | 5818 | 5322 | 4200 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 23.9 | 23.2 | -8.5 | -21.1 |
| Capital goods | 973 | 847 | 695 | 22.7 | 16.5 | -52 | 58.5 | -12.9 | -17.9 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 665 | 499 | 371 | 11.1 | 8.8 | -8.0 | 57.2 | -25.0 | -25.7 |
| Intermediate goods | 3863 | 3751 | 2935 | 48.6 | 69.9 | 35.6 | 13.6 | -2.9 | -21.8 |
| Chemical, plastic and rubber products | 1236 | 1319 | 1217 | 12.9 | 29.0 | 29.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | -7.7 |
| Paper and pulp | 137 | 98 | 60 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 69.6 | 17.1 | -28.5 | -38.8 |
| Metals and manufactures, electrical equipment and components, spare parts for machinery and transport equipment | 1847 | 1361 | 793 | 21.9 | 18.9 | 24.5 | 29.2 |  | -41.7 |
| Other intermediate goods | 643 | 973 | 865 | 10.9 | 20.6 | 75.1 | -7.5 |  | -11.1 |
| Consumer goods | 317 | 225 | 199 | 17.6 | 4.7 | 44.9 | 10.5 | -29.0 | -11.6 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.
Table20

## ARGENTINA: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variations from December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 131.3 | 209.7 | 433.7 | 688.0 | 385.4 | 81.9 | 174.8 | 387.7 | 4923.8 |
| Food | 135.8 | 218.4 | 415.2 | 713.2 | 366.9 | 86.0 | 169.7 | 406.3 | 4778.9 |
| Clothing | 104.8 | 289.4 | 486.7 | 749.4 | 283.3 | 70.4 | 161.2 | 364.2 | 5428.6 |
| Housing, fuel and electricity | 111.8 | 181.2 | 512.1 | 526.1 | 420.8 | 72.7 | 183.6 | 357.0 | 4333.9 |
| Wholesale prices | 176.1 | 320.7 | 427.0 | 585.0 | 363.9 | 57.9 | 181.6 | 431.6 | 5386.4 |
| Imported products | 249.5 | 566.6 | 323.4 | 551.7 | 405.7 | 53.5 | 215.8 | 412.8 | 5961.0 |
| Domestic products | 171.9 | 301.5 | 440.3 | 588.3 | 360.0 | 58.4 | 178.4 | 433.7 | 5323.0 |
| Agricultural | 183.5 | 319.7 | 402.2 | 601.0 | 336.4 | 74.4 | 153.5 | 455.3 | 5526.3 |
| Manufactured | 171.1 | 298.5 | 447.1 | 586.2 | 363.9 | 55.8 | 182.8 | 430.3 | 5289.1 |
| Variation between averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 104.5 | 164.8 | 343.8 | 626.7 | 672.2 | 90.1 | 131.3 | 343.0 | 3079.5 |
| Food | 99.2 | 178.4 | 339.0 | 638.9 | 624.1 | 98.1 | 132.8 | 338.0 | 3050.5 |
| Clothing | 81.4 | 188.3 | 411.3 | 726.7 | 486.3 | 88.2 | 107.9 | 323.9 | 3567.8 |
| Housing, fuel and electricity | 111.1 | 133.8 | 366.2 | 525.6 | 768.5 | 69.0 | 128.8 | 359.5 | 2697.4 |
| Wholesale prices | 104.8 | 258.7 | 374.2 | 551.1 | 666.1 | 63.9 | 122.9 | 412.5 | 3432.6 |
| Imported products | 155.7 | 442.3 | 312.3 | 498.3 | 759.7 | 60.2 | 130.7 | 438.8 | 3748.3 |
| Domestic products | 101.9 | 244.8 | 381.5 | 556.4 | 657.4 | 64.2 | 122.1 | 409.7 | 3397.1 |
| Agricultural | 74.9 | 285.2 | 404.2 | 534.6 | 489.3 | 111.5 | 116.2 | 378.4 | 3485.6 |
| Manufactured | 111.2 | 238.5 | 377.5 | 560.5 | 687.7 | 57.9 | 123.1 | 415.2 | 3382.7 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Institute of Statistics and Censuses.

Table 21
ARGENTINA: MAIN NOMINAL VARIABLES '

| Period | Wholesale price index |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consu- } \\ & \text { mer } \\ & \text { price } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ | Industrial wages $^{\text {b }}$ | Public services charges ${ }^{0}$ | Average trade exchange rate | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \text { (season- } \\ \text { ally } \\ \text { adjusted) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{M} 4^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Agricultural | $\begin{gathered} \text { Domestic } \\ \text { non- } \\ \text { agricul- } \\ \text { tural } \end{gathered}$ | Imported products |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 11.8 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 17.9 | 15.5 |
| 1986 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 6.4 |
| I | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 15 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 |  | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| II | 3.4 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 5.5 |
| III | 7.1 | 12.5 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 5.4 |
| IV | 4.4 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 8.9 |
| 1987 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 7.8 |
| I | 6.7 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 6.5 |
| II | 4.5 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 6.6 |
| III | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.3 | 14.8 | 11,8 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 13.0 | 3.5 | 8.1 |
| IV | 11.7 | 5.9 | 12.2 | 15.3 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 10.2 |
| 1988 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 15.1 |
| I | 13.9 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 14.4 | 11.4 | 10.5 | 13.8 | 12.5 | 7.7 | 11.1 |
| II | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 17.0 | 16.1 | 22.1 | 17.8 | 11.8 | 14.8 |
| III | 20.6 | 26.9 | 19.9 | 18.3 | 21.4 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 18.4 | 17.6 | 20.6 |
| IV | 4.7 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 5 -5 | 7.2 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 13.5 | 14.0 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 39.6 | 39.9 | 39.4 | 40.8 | 38.6 | 37.0 | 38.0 | 42.0 | $37.1^{\text {g }}$ | $34.0{ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| I | 11.3 | 16.1 | 10.1 | 14.0 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 10.7 | 12.2 | 13.4 |
| II | 96.1 | 93.0 | 93.8 | 114.2 | 72.2 | 62.2 | 46.3 | 108.7 | 48.3 | 53.8 |
| III | 50.9 | 47.3 | 54.0 | 36.9 | 64.8 | 56.0 | 106.7 | 45.6 | $71.0{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $58.6{ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| IV | 15.4 | 16.2 | 14.9 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 27.6 | 11.6 | 21.0 | $24.3{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $16.5^{\text {g }}$ |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
" Monthly rates, equivalent to the variation between extremes for each period, in percentages. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Normal hourly wage of workers in manufacturing. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Prices and charges of public enterprises, general level. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Average exchange rate for external trade that emerges as the simple effective average export rate (an average weighted for the structure of exports in 1983 of the effective exchange rate applied to exports) and the rate applied to imports. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Average month-end balances. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Estimated figures.

Table 22
ARGENTINA: WAGES IN MANUFACTURING ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Growth rates in relation to the preceding period)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Institute of Statistics and Censuses.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Deflated by the average CPI for the month of receipt and the following month; this is therefore a measurement of the purchasing power of wages paid monthly at the time of receipt or of that of wages paid fortnightly and spent uniformly over the period. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The normal wage includes base salary plus bonuses and incentives.

Table 23
ARGENTINA: EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

|  | A. Unemployment rates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 |  | $1986{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 1987 |  | 1988 |  | 1989 |  |
|  | April | October | April | October | April | October | April | October | April | October |
| Capital and Greater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buenos Aires | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 7.0 |
| Córdoba | 5.3 | 4.7 | 6;4 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 8.8 |  |
| Gran Mendoza | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.4 |  |
| Gran Rosario | 10.9 | 10.2 | 6.8 | -7.2 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 14.2 |  |
| Gran Tucumán | 12.1 | 11.4 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 15.1 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 12.6 |  |

## B. Employment and hours worked in manufacturing '

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1988 |  |  |  | 1989 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 198 |  |  |  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |
| Workers employed | 74.8 | 71.7 | 71.3 | 72.1 | 66.6 | 74.9 | 72.4 | 69.4 | 69.9 | 71.1 | 66.3 | 61.3 | 64.1 |
| Hours worked per worker | 73.7 | 74.4 | 73.5 | 75.3 | 67.9 | 71.6 | 78.9 | 76.9 | 73.8 | 67.5 | 72.3 | 65.0 | 66.7 |

Source: National Institute of Statistics and Censuses.
" As a percentage of the economically active population. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The surveys used here were conducted in June and November in the Capital and Greater Buenos Aires and in May and October in the other cities. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Indexes 1970=100.

Table 24
ARGENTINA: NATIONAL NON-FINANCIAL PUBLIC SECTOR '

|  | Percentages of gross national product |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Current income of Central Government ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 21.0 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 18.5 |
| Tax revenue | 18.5 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 17.2 |
| Non-tax revenue | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Current expenditure of Central Government | 22.0 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 21.4 |
| Personal | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| Non-personal goods and services | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Debt interest | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.6 |
| Domestic | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| External | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 3.2 |
| Transfers | 140 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 12.8 |
| Provinces ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.3 |
| Liabilities | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 4.5 |
| Other | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Economies | - | - | -0.4 | -0.2 |
| Current saving of Central Government | -1.0 | -1.9 | -2.7 | -2.9 |
| Current saving of public enterprises | 0.5 | 0.2 | -0.6 | -1.2 |
| Economic emergency financing | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Capital expenditure of national |  |  |  |  |
| public sector (net) | 4.2 3.9 | 5.1 4.7 | 5.4 5.6 | 4.0 |
| Other capital expenditures (net) | 0.3 | 0.4 | -0.2 | -0.1 |
| Financing needs of national public |  |  |  |  |
| sector | 4.1 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
| Domestic financing (net) | 3.1 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 7.5 |
| External financing (net) | 1.0 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Ministry of Finance.
Note: The interest on the domestic public debt is calculated in real terms.
"Data on actual budgetary expenditure. The data for 1987 and 1988 correspond to the final budget. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ National administration plus National Social Security System. ${ }^{c}$ Includes the amounts of tax co-participation corresponding to the provinces. Compulsory sayings system.

Table 25
ARGENTINA: MONETARY BALANCE

|  | Year-end balances (millions of australes ${ }^{\wedge}$ ) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Money (Mi) | 5588 | 12541 | 54877 | 1626223 | 85.4 | 124.4 | 337.6 | 2863.4 |
| Currency outside banks | 3990 | 9235 | 43027 | 1185137 | 97.3 | 131.5 | 365.9 | 2654.4 |
| Current account deposits | 1598 | 3306 | 11850 | 441086 | 61.1 | 106.9 | 258.5 | 3622.3 |
| Factors of net expansion | 16663 | 44162 | 239459 | 5943839 | 112.3 | 165.0 | 442.2 | 23822 |
| Net international reserves | -7978 | -45 362 | -95160 | -1970 367 |  |  |  | 1970.6 |
| Domestic credit (net) | 24641 | 89524 | 334619 | 7914206 | 8¿9 | 2633 | 27318 | 2265.1 |
| Government and public institutions (net) <br> Advances for the | 14049 | 58169 | 228677 | 6487812 | 97.1 | 314.1 | 293.1 | 2737.1 |
| Monetary Regulation Account | 5489 | 17816 | 106944 | 2338135 | 140.5 | 224.6 | 500.3 | 2086.3 |
| External financing supplied to Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government (net) Other loans to | 5627 | 26983 | 43181 | 244683 | 81.0 | 379.6 | 60.0 | 466.6 |
| Government (net of deposits) | 2933 | 13370 | 78553 | 3904993 | 68.8 | 355.8 | 487.5 | 4871.2 |
| Private sector | 16495 | 49692 | 225747 | 7074737 | 83.7 | 201.2 | 354.3 | 3033.9 |
| Other items (net) | -5903 | -18337 | -119805 | -5 648343 | 106.8 | 210.6 | 553.4 | 4614.6 |
| Quasi-money (savings and time deposits) | 11076 | 31621 | 184582 | 4317616 | 129.1 | 185.5 | 483.7 | 2239.1 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mi} /$ Monetary base | 39.2 | 45.3 | 32.1 | 35.6 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/Monetary base | 118.8 | 159.5 | 144.8 | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios of liquidity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP | 5.6 | 5.1 | 33 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2} / \mathrm{GDP}^{\text {d }}$ | 17.2 | 183 | 15.2 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECIAC, based on data supplied by the Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina.

* Estimated figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes accrued resources. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Annual average of values obtained from end-of-month balances. $\quad \mathrm{M} 2=\mathrm{Mi}+$ quasi-money.

Table 26
ARGENTINA: INTEREST RATES ${ }^{\text {ab }}$

Nominal With regard to $\mathrm{CPI}^{\mathrm{c}}$

|  | Deposits | Loans $^{6}$ | Loans | Loans $^{8}$ | Deposits $^{1{ }^{1}}$ | Loans $^{6}$ | Loans $^{\text {f }}$ | Loans $^{8}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| I |  | 7.3 | 11.2 | 10.8 |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| II |  | 8.5 | 8.9 | 7.1 |  | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| III | 0.3 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 14.3 | -0.2 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| IV | 11.4 | 12.6 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 15.4 | 0.2 | - | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| I | 14.1 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| II | 17.9 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 19.5 | -1.1 | -1.1 | -0.2 | 0.4 |
| III | 14.6 | 14.2 | 15.2 | 15.8 | -1.4 | 1.8 | -0.9 | -0.3 |
| IV | 10.8 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 29.5 | 31.0 | 33.4 | 32.9 | -7.4 | -6.4 | -4.7 | -5.0 |
| I | 17.4 | 16.7 | 17.9 | 19.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 4.7 |
| II | 81.9 | 89.8 | 97.5 | 95.3 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 10.1 | 8.8 |
| III | 16.6 | 16.5 | 18.0 | 16.7 | -14.5 | -14.6 | -13.5 | -14.6 |
| IV |  | 13.1 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 15.1 | -17.8 | -17.2 | -16.3 |
| i | -14.6 |  |  |  | -38.0 |  | -16.4 |  |
| j | -24.2 |  |  |  | -44.9 |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, based on data supplied by the Central Bank of the Republic of Argentina and other sources.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The quaterly and annual values are the geometric mean of monthly values. Nominal interest rates are calculated on the basis of weekly averages of seven-day yields. These values are accumulated and converted into monthly equivalents.
${ }^{c}$ Deflated values correspond to ex post real rates, calculated according to the formula $(1+r(t)) /(1+\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}+1))$, where $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})$ is the nominal rate in force during week $t$ and $p(t+1)$, the growth rates of prices during week $t+1$. Monthly figures reflect the accumulation of ex post real yields in the week of the month, presented as a monthly equivalent. Weekly inflation rates are estimates based on the monthly variation of the CPI and on figures on weekly changes according to data from private sources published in newspapers. Reference rate on fixed-term deposits. Since January 1990, corresponds to the rate offered on deposits in the joint savings fund. ${ }^{c}$ Prevailing rate in inter-business operations for seven days with BONEX collateral. Prevailing rate in inter-business operations with cheque collateral. ${ }^{g}$ Rate for inter-bank loans taken out by preferred institutional borrowers. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ The interest rate for the fourth week of December incorporates the effect of the measures announced in late December which suspended interest payments as from 28 December. This rate corresponds to the yield on a small deposit. ' The interest rate for the fourth week of December incorporates the effect of the measures announced in late December which suspended interest payments as from 28 December and converted sums of over one million australes into BONEX. This rate corresponds to the yield on a deposit of five million australes. The following formula was used to calculate if. R/100 $=(1+(5(1+\mathrm{I})$-el830x-5)/5, where I represents interest due as at 28 December, e. is the exchange rate on 4 January ( 1300 australes to the dollar) and x the value in dollars of 1989 BONEX ( $41.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{J}$ The interest rate for the fourth week of December incorporates the effect of the measures announced at the end of that month. This rate coresponds to the yield on a deposit of 100 million australes.

## BOLIVIA

Amid an unsettled political climate, the Bolivian economy in 1989 kept inflation down to a low level, steadily strengthened the external accounts, and continued the slow recovery begun two years before, although the per capita gross domestic product fell ( $-0.4 \%$ ) for the seventh time in the 1980s and was almost $27 \%$ lower than in 1980.

The uncertainty surrounding the direction of the new Government's economic policy shook public, expectations, a downturn most obviously apparent in the virtual run on deposits in the June-August quarter. This trend was reversed once the new authorities confirmed the basic orientation of the structural adjustment policy in force since 1985. With that in mind, the new Government put less stress on managing public finances than on restoring stability and adopted a monetary policy aimed at reducing liquidity through open-market operations. Although these measures had the desired effect, after the sharp increase at the beginning of the second quarter, they made it difficult to bring down interest rates, thus slowing the pace of recovery (see table 1 and figure 1).

The priority given to maintaining the macrobalances was due to the fact that the country's traumatic experience of runaway inflation in the 1984-1985 biennium was still fresh. Also, clear signs of fragility persisted, such as the high fiscal deficit and the heavy losses of international reserves. Moreover, there was a considerable lack of confidence in the national currency, to the point that a large proportion of the deposits in the banking system were made in foreign currencies. Compounding these adverse factors were the serious crises undergone by the three big neighbours, Argentina, Brazil and Peru, which had quite a significant negative impact on the Bolivian economy.

The growth in 1989 was due mainly to the continuation of the vigorous recovery of the mining sector begun the previous year ( $37 \%$ in the biennium). There was also growth to a lesser extent in manufacturing, construction and basic services. Agricultural production, by contrast, showed a serious decline as a result of bad weather. Domestic demand remained depressed, with an almost $3 \%$ decline in consumption, as a result of the restrictions put into effect in order to avoid a flare-up of inflation. Fixed investment, however, increased by more than $6 \%$, spurred on by the continued recovery of the rate of domestic saving after its sharp decline in 1986. Even so, the investment ratio remained very low, constituting only one-tenth of the GDP.

Inflation Continued to be held down during the first quarter, when prices rose by only $3 \%$. The price corrections for petroleum products and public services, together with the devaluation of the Bolivian peso put into effect by the new Government, made themselves felt in a rapid rise in the rate of inflation. However, the strict monetary policy pursued in the second half of the year made possible a return to monthly price variations of less than $2 \%$, so that towards the end of the year the twelve-month inflation stood once again at less than $20 \%$.

Government action to strengthen the external accounts focused on reducing external indebtedness, in the hope that this would enhance the country's ability to obtain new loans. The negotiations with Argentina covered various areas of bilateral relations: on the one hand, the cancellation of Bolivia's debt as a trade-off for the regularization of the neighbouring country's payments for natural gas sales; on the other, the initiation of steps to establish trade and integration agreements, primarily in the energy field. In addition, talks on debt repurchasing were started with Brazil
and negotiations were begun with creditors from the industrialized countries within the Paris Club III framework.

A further rise in the real exchange rate contributed to an increase in exports, especially of non-traditional products, which almost doubled. The value of mineral exports increased by $66 \%$, although hydrocarbon exports remained stationary. Thanks to these higher returns and to a moderate increase in imports, the current account balance improved appreciably. However, reserve losses accelerated spectacularly (from US\$8 million
to US\$142 million) owing to the failure of certain external credit disbursements to materialize and to the greater demand for foreign currencies as a result of capital flight and the rise in other non-registered outflows.

Wage policy continued to be used as a key variable for maintaining stability. Thus, the nominal minimum wage was not readjusted, which in practice meant a reduction of $9 \%$ in real terms. The purchasing power of private sector wages, however, rose slightly as a result of the slower pace of inflation.

Figure 1

## BOLIVIA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS



Figure 1 (concluded)




Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

The fiscal policy sought to hold the public sector deficit within programmed margins, namely, a figure of the order of $5 \%$ of the GDP, in order to soften the impact on the monetary accounts. Half of the amount involved was financed from external resources and the other half from Central Bank loans to public enterprises.

The monetary policy was very tight, the aim being to avoid an upsurge in inflation and to reduce the considerable loss of reserves by the Central Bank. In addition, through the issue by the latter of certificates of deposit (CDs)
yielding high interest rates in real terms (14\%), the monetary expansion provoked by the financing of the high fiscal deficit was neutralized.

With a view to giving continuity to the structural adjustment initiated in 1988, the new Government reached agreement in September with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) on a programme setting a macroeconomic policy consistent with the goals of achieving stability and improving the international reserve position.

Figure 2
BOLIVIA: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX (Percentage variations)



Source: ECLAC, on the basts of official figures.

## BOLIVIA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes (1980 = 100)

## Basic economic indicators

Gross domestic product at mafket
prices
Gross national income
Population (millions of inhabitants)
Per capita gross domestic product

| 96.5 | 90.2 | 90.0 | 89.8 | 87.2 | 89.0 | 91.5 | 93.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 91.8 | 86.8 | 86.1 | 85.8 | 82.9 | 85.2 | 87.1 | 90.2 |
| 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.1 |
| 91.5 | 83.3 | 80.8 | 78.5 | 74.2 | 73.7 | 73.7 | 73.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.2 | 17.9 | 26.5 | 10.8 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 5.1 |
| 10.2 | 11 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 10 |
| 14.1 | 12.6 | 17.7 | 9.2 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 5.7 |
| 100.5 | 64.1 | 64.5 | 70.1 | 87.4 | 85.4 | 93.9 | 93.3 |

Growth rates

## Short-run economic indicators

Gross domestic product
Per capita gross domesti
Gross national income

| -4.4 | -6.5 | -0.3 | -0.1 | -2.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -6.9 | -9.0 | -3.0 | -2.8 | -5.6 | -0.6 | - | -0.4 |
| -5.5 | -5.5 | -0.8 | -0.3 | -3.4 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 3.2 |

Consumer prices
December to December
Real minimum wage

| 296.5 | 328.5 | 2176.8 | 8170.5 | 65.9 | 10.6 | 21.5 | 16.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2.9 | -16.4 | -46.0 | -30.2 | 16.0 | 4.5 | -9.4 |

Current value of exports
of goods and services
Current value of imports
of goods and services

| -9.5 | -5.7 | -4.7 | -12.0 | -7.4 | -2.4 | 3.1 | 29.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -37.3 | 3.2 | -10.1 | 6.6 | 18.9 | 8.7 | -19.8 | 6 |
| 0.7 | 0.4 | 7.9 | -7.3 | -6.5 | -2.8 | -7.7 | 5.8 |

## Millions of dollars

## External sector

Trade balance (goods

| and services) | 195 | 120 | 155 | 14 | -174 | -262 | -62 | 90 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Factor services | 414 | 365 | 418 | 375 | 311 | 282 | 267 | 263 |
| Balance on current account | $\bullet 202$ | -204 | -241 | -342 | -466 | -526 | -316 | -151 |
| Balance on capital account <br> Variation in international | 230 | 246 | 357 | 308 | 584 | 478 | 309 | 9 |
| reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${\text { Gross disbursed external debt } t^{\mathrm{e}}}$ | 24 | 25 | 148 | -43 | 87 | -113 | 8 | -142 |
|  | 203 | 176 | 3 | 208 | 3 | 294 | 3 | 536 |
| 162 | 4 | 066 | 3 | 456 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. The public sector comprises general government (central, regional and local governments) plus the public enterprises. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Corresponds to the exchange rate for exports. The rates were calculated on the basis of the consumer price index for November 1982, when the minimum wage was established, this wage being taken as the reference point. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Disbursed balances of the medium- and long-term external public debt.

Table 2
BOLIVIA: REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 $==100$ ) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 85.2 | 87.1 | 90.2 | 94.2 | 90.2 | 89.7 | 90.6 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 89.0 | 91.5 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -3.1 | -4.4 | -4.1 |
| c) Net external factor income | 106.1 | 93.3 | 89.2 | -6.1 | -7.2 | -6.2 | -5.8 |
| d) Net private transfer payments received from abroad | 139.8 | 93.0 | 154.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
BOLIVIA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 923 | 90.0 | 92.2 | 119.0 | 117.0 | 12 | 32 | -2.6 | 2.5 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 89.0 | 91.5 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -2.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| Imports of goods and services | 109.7 | 81.6 | 84.0 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 25.4 | 8.1 | -25.6 | 3.0 |
| Total demand | 923 | 90.0 | 92.2 | 119.0 | 117.0 | 12 | 3.2 | -2.6 | 2.5 |
| Domestic demand | 96.7 | 93.0 | 92.3 | 95.5 | 93.9 | 0.6 | 3.9 | -3.8 | -0.8 |
| Gross domestic investment | 65.6 | 49.9 | 60.4 | 14.7 | 9.5 | -40.3 | 36.4 | -23.9 | 21.0 |
| Gross fixed investment | 60.8 | 62.1 | 66.0 | 14.2 | 10.0 | -3.1 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 6.4 |
| Construction | 59.0 | 63.8 | 68.2 | 8.2 | 6.0 | -7.9 | -0.8 | 8.2 | 6.9 |
| Machinery and equipment | 63.2 | 59.6 | 63.1 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 13.0 | -5.7 | 5.7 |
| Changes in stocks | 219.6 | -341.8 | -122.2 | 0.4 | -0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 102.3 | 100.9 | 98.1 | 80.8 | 84.5 | 6.9 | 1.1 | -1.4 | -2.7 |
| General government | 110.6 | 107.3 | 107.1 | 12.9 | 14.8 | -6.4 | -1.9 | -3.0 | -0.2 |
| Private | 100.7 | 99.7 | 96.4 | 67.8 | 69.7 | 10.3 | 1.7 | -1.1 | -3.3 |
| Exports of goods and services | 74.8 | 77.5 | 91.9 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 4.5 | -0.2 | 3.6 | 18.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{b}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from the IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were converted into constant 1980 values using unit price indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
BOLIVIA: ORIGIN, COMPOSITION AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) $)^{\wedge}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 10.2 | 11.1 | 9.9 | 13.2 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 8.0 | 9.5 |
| Gross domestic investment | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
| Construction | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Machinery and equipment | - | - | -0.5 | 3.7 | -1.4 | 1.1 | -1.7 | -0.6 |
| Changes in stocks | 16.2 | 15.6 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 11.0 | 15.5 |
| Gross domestic saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net factor service <br> income | -9.0 | -8.7 | -10.4 | -9.1 | -8.2 | -7.2 | -6.2 | -5.8 |
| Terms-of-trade <br> effect | -1.6 | -1.6 |  |  | -1.4 | -2.7 | -3.1 | -4.4 |
| Unrequited private | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| transfer payments | 5.8 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 4.9 | -4.1 | -2.7 | 0.7 | 5.9 |
| Gross national saving | 4.4 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 12.2 | 13.5 | 7.3 | 3.6 |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at the adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 5
BOLIVIA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989' | 1980 | 1989' | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989' |
| Gross domestic product | 89.0 | 9L5 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -2.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| Goods | 80.8 | 863 | 89.6 | 52.4 | 50.1 | -6.4 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 3,8 |
| Agriculture | 112.9 | 113.9 | 110.3 | 18.4 | 21.6 | -4.7 | -0.2 | 0.9 | -3.2 |
| Mining | 63.1 | 76.4 | 88.5 | 15.8 | 14.9 | -15.6 | 1.6 | 20.9 | 15.9 |
| Manufacturing | 63.6 | 66.1 | 68.0 | 14.6 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 2.9 |
| Construction | 64.0 | 71.8 | 76.8 | 3.7 | 3.0 | -7.9 | -0.8 | 12.1 | 7.0 |
| Basic services | 109.5 | 110.6 | 113.1 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 23 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 114.9 | 119.6 | 126.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 4.1 | -6.2 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 108.9 | 109.6 | 111.7 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| Other services | 98.8 | 98.1 | 99.0 | 39.9 | 42.1 | -0.8 | 2.7 | -0.7 | 1.0 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 102.1 | 98.0 | 97.7 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 6.5 | 5.0 | -4.0 | -0.3 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 87.3 | 88.6 | 89.9 | 14.0 | 13.5 | -0.9 | 0.6 | 15 |  |
| Ownership of dwellings | 104.3 | 107.4 | 110.1 | 14.0 8.2 | 9.6 | -0.9 1.0 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| Community, social and personal services | 107.2 | 107.0 | 108.5 | 15.0 | 17.4 | -5.1 | 2.9 | -0.2 | 1.4 |
| Government services | 117.2 | 116.1 | 117.6 | 10.5 | 13.2 | -6.4 | 3.6 | -1.0 | 1.3 |
| Adjustments for bank service charges | 67.0 | 65.6 | 65.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | -5.0 | 2.1 | -2.0 | -1.0 |
| Import duties | 42.7 | 33.8 | 32.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 34.4 | 12.7 | -20.9 | -3.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures which were reweighted according to the 1980 current price structure. Consequently, the trends obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at current prices.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 6
BOLIVIA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Production (thousands of tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice (unhusked) | 137 | 164 | 171 | 194 | -21.0 | 20.1 | 4.4 | 12.9 |
| Maize | 457 | 481 | 446 | 351 | -17.4 | 5.1 | -7.3 | -21.2 |
| Quinoa | 21 | 24 | 23 | 19 | -2.4 | 15.8 | -5.4 | -14.6 |
| Wheat | 81 | 77 | 63 | 60 | 9.2 | -5.6 | -18.3 | -3.5 |
| Roots and tubers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oca | 45 | 45 | 47 |  | 18.9 | - | 4.4 |  |
| Potatoes | 703 | 815 | 826 | 639 | -8.5 | 15.9 | 1.3 | -22.7 |
| Cassava | 420 | 424 | 430 | 312 | 11.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | -27.4 |
| Industrial crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton fibre | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | -14.9 | -45.0 | 66.7 | -83.7 |
| Coffee beans | 24 | 25 | 26 |  | 1.2 | 6.6 | 4.0 |  |
| Sugar cane | 2920 | 2414 | 2141 | 1939 | -7.6 | -17.3 | -11.3 | -9.5 |
| Soya | 150 | 122 | 151 | 226 | 41.0 | -18.8 | 24.3 | 49.7 |
|  | Area cultivated (thousands > of hectares) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice (unhusked) | 92 | 93 | 96 | 85 | -18.3 | 1.4 | 3.0 | -11.9 |
| Maize | 294 | 302 | 293 | 263 | -15.7 | 2.8 | -2.9 | -10.5 |
| Quinoa | 43 | 47 | 50 | 42 | -10.6 | 10.5 | 5.6 | -15.2 |
| Wheat | 106 | 95 | 82 | 86 | 6.0 | -10.9 | -13.7 | 5.3 |
| Roots and tubers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oca | 15 | 15 | 15 |  | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 |  |
| Potatoes | 144 | 142 | 144 | 127 | -11.5 | -1.7 | 1.2 | -11.6 |
| Cassava | 40 | 41 | 42 | 30 | -2.8 | 2.5 | 3.1 | -28.7 |
| Industrial crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton fibre | 11 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 10.2 | -29.8 | 25.9 | -87.9 |
| Coffee beans | 28 | 28 | 30 |  | 0.3 | 0.7 | 5.4 |  |
| Sugar cane | 75 | 66 | 58 | 49 | -3.2 | -12.9 | -11.6 | -14.7 |
| Soya | 73 | 61 | 75 | 108 | 6.7 | -16.6 | 24.8 | 43.1 |

[^32]Table 7
BOLIVIA: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Production of some important minerals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 10.2 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 14.6 | -2.9 | - | -14.1 |
| Copper | 0.3 |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | -81.3 |  |  | 50.0 |
| Tin | 10.9 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 15.8 | -33.1 | -22.9 | 21.4 | 54.9 |
| Silver ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 95.1 | 141.5 | 228.7 | 267.1 | -15.4 | 48.8 | 61.6 | 16.8 |
| Lead | 3.3 | 9.3 | 12.7 | 15.7 | -48.4 | 181.8 | 36.6 | 23.6 |
| Tungsten | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | -12.5 | -42.9 | 37.5 | 27.3 |
| Zinc | 33.4 | 39.3 | 56.3 | 74.8 | -12.6 | 17.7 | 43.3 | 32.9 |
| Gold ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 762.8 | 2719.5 | 4189.3 | 3595.3 | 37.4 | 256.5 | 54.0 | -14.2 |

Source: National Association of Medium-Scale Mining Companies.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{b}$ Tons. ${ }^{c}$ Kilogrammes.

Table 8
BOLIVIA: MAIN MINERAL PRODUCERS

|  | Metric tons fine |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Antimony | 15465 | 10243 | 9991 | 9905 | 8533 | -2.5 | -0.9 | -13.9 |
| Comibol | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium-scale mining | 11552 | 7190 | 6294 | 6529 | 5957 | -12.5 | 3.7 | -8.8 |
| Small-scale mining Co-operatives and others | 3913 | 3053 | 3697 | 3376 | 2576 | 21.1 | -8.7 | -23.7 |
| Copper | 1884 | 297 | - | 154 | 298 | - | - | 93.5 |
| Comibol | 1797 | 165 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Medium-scale mining | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |  |
| Small-scale mining Co-operatives and others | 87 | 132 | - | 154 | 298 | - | - | 93.5 |
| Tin | 27290 | 10933 | 8405 | 10237 | 15849 | -23.1 | 21.8 | 54.8 |
| Comibol | 18621 | 4703 | 384 | 1050 | 4039 | -91.8 | 173.4 | 284.7 |
| Medium-scale mining | 5899 | 3283 | 2295 | 2610 | 2253 | -30.1 | 13.7 | -13.7 |
| Small-scale mining Co-operatives and others | 2770 | 2947 | 5726 | 6577 | 9557 | 94.3 | 14.9 | 45.3 |
| Silver | 185 | 93 | 142 | 229 | 267 | 52.7 | 61.1 | 16.7 |
| Comibol | 159 | 41 | 42 | 103 | 126 | 2.4 | 145.7 | 22.1 |
| Medium-scale mining | 21 | 36 | 73 | 93 | 93 | 102.8 | 27.8 | -0.3 |
| Small-scale mining Co-operatives and others | 5 | 16 | 27 | 32 | 48 | 68.8 | 19.3 | 49.1 |
| Lead | 17225 | 3303 | 9329 | 12765 | 15727 | 182.4 | 36.8 | 23.2 |
| Comibol | 10153 | 1872 | 1080 | 2649 | 3220 | -42.3 | 145.3 | 21.6 |
| Medium-scale mining | 3994 | 364 | 6735 | 7633 | 8330 | 1750.3 | 13.3 | 9.1 |
| Small-scale mining Co-operatives and others | 3078 | 1067 | 1514 | 2483 | 4177 | 41.9 | 64.0 | 68.2 |
| Tungsten | 3357 | 1380 | 787 | 1165 | 1410 | -43.0 | 48.0 | 21.0 |
| Comibol | 1576 | 77 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Medium-scale mining | 1558 | 718 | 484 | 612 | 757 | -32.6 | 26.4 | 23.7 |
| Small-scale mining Co-operatives and others | 223 | 585 | 303 | 553 | 653 | -48.2 | 82.5 | 18.1 |
| Zinc | 50260 | 33472 | 39294 | 56249 | 74790 | 17.4 | 43.1 | 33.0 |
| Comibol | 29953 | 4091 | 3070 | 10024 | 16323 | -25.0 | 226.5 | 62.8 |
| Medium-scale mining | 16748 | 28295 | 35277 | 43169 | 50079 | 24.7 | 22.4 | 16.0 |
| Small-scale mining Co-operatives and others | 3559 | 1086 | 947 | 3056 | 8388 | -12.8 | 222.7 | 174.5 |

Source: National Association of Medium-Scale Mining Companies.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 9
BOLIVIA: OFFICIAL PRICES OF THE MAIN MINERALS ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Dollars)

|  | Tin | Copper | Zinc | Lead | Bismuth | Tungsten | Antimony | Silver | Gold |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 141.6 | 20.7 | 21.1 |  |
| 1981 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 142.3 | 19.2 | 10.8 |  |
| 1982 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 105.8 | 17.1 | 7.8 |  |
| 1983 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 77.8 | 12.6 | 11.4 |  |
| 1984 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 78.4 | 22.2 | 8.2 |  |
| 1985 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 64.5 | 22.7 | 6.1 |  |
| 1986 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 44.9 | 18.4 | 5.4 | 363.3 |
| 1987 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 19.3 | 6.9 | 439.0 |
| 1988 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 51.5 | 23.0 | 6.6 | 447.7 |
| 1989 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 51.8 | 18.5 | 5.5 | 382.1 |

Source: Central Bank of Bolivia, Boletín Estadístico, December 1989.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The unit of measurement for tin, copper, zinc and bismuth is pounds fine; for tungsten and antimony, long units fine; and for gold and silver, troy ounces fine.

Table 10
BOLIVIA: HYDROCARBON INDUSTRY INDICATORS

|  | Thousands of cubic metres |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Petroleum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production of crude petroleum | 1020 | 1096 | 1116 | 1157 | -11.5 | 7.5 | 1.8 | 3.7 |
| Exports of crude petroleum | 270 | 50 | - | - | - | -81.5 | - | - |
| Refining of petroleum products | 1047 | 1124 | 1236 | 1297 | -14.2 | 7.4 | 10.0 | 4.9 |
| Domestic sales of some fuels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline | 469 | 516 | 514 | 531 | 5.9 | 10.0 | -0.4 | 3.3 |
| Kerosene | 53 | 49 | 42 | 45 | -41.8 | -7.5 | -14.3 | 7.1 |
| Diesel oil | 248 | 292 | 304 | 343 | 4.6 | 17.7 | 4.1 | 12.8 |
| Fuel oil | 51 | 29 | 31 | 29 | -40.7 | -43.1 | 6.9 | -6.5 |
| Natural gas ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production | 4556 | 4565 | 4811 | 5291 | -2.0 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
| Exports | 2215 | 2120 | 2229 | 2210 | - | -4.3 | 5.1 | -0.9 |

Source: Central Bank of Bolivia.
Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{T}}$ Thousands of barrels. : Millions of cubic metres.

Table 11
BOLIVIA: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -9.3 | -8.8 | -4.1 | -13.9 | -12.5 | -4.9 | 4.6 | 33.3 |
| Volume | -10.1 | -6.2 | -8.4 | -10.0 | - | -1.3 | 5.4 | 20.4 |
| Unit value | 0.9 | -2.7 | 4.7 | -4.4 | -12.5 | -3.7 | -0.7 | 10.7 |
| Imports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -40.1 |  | -16.9 | 12.3 | 28.9 | 8.3 | -23.4 | 5.2 |
| Volume | -38.2 | 5.6 | -7.7 | 8.2 | 34.6 | 9.3 | -28.1 | 3.0 |
| Unit value | -3.0 | -5.3 | -10.0 | 3.7 | -4.3 | -0.9 | 6.5 | 2.2 |
| Terms of trade (goods) | 2.9 | 1.3 | 11.9 | -7.8 | -9.0 | -3.2 | -7.4 | 8.1 |
| Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports of goods | 82.6 | 78.4 | 80.4 | 66.7 | 60.7 | 58.0 | 56.6 | 73.7 |
| Purchasing power of exports of goods and services | 81.7 | 78.8 | 77.6 | 66.5 | 65.0 | 63.1 | 60.3 | 75.6 |
| Indexes of volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of goods | 89.0 | 83.5 | 76.5 | 68.9 | 68.8 | 68.0 | 71.6 | 86.2 |
| Imports of goods | 82.3 | 86.9 | 80.2 | 86.8 | 116.9 | 127.8 | 91.9 | 94.7 |
| Terms of trade (goods) | 92.8 | 93.9 | 105.1 | 96.9 | 88.2 | 85.4 | 79.1 | 85,5 |

[^33]Table 12
BOLIVIA: EXPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 638 | 569 | 600 | 821 | 100 | 100.0 | -5.2 | -10.8 | 5.4 | 36.8 |
| Main traditional exports | 530 | 463 | 492 | 617 | 85.5 | 75.2 | -17.1 | -12.6 | 6.3 | 25.4 |
| Metallic tin | 55 | 13 | 43 | 82 | 23.1 | 10.0 | -59.0 | -76.4 | 230.8 | 90.7 |
| Tin concentrates | 49 | 56 | 33 | 45 | 13.4 | 5.5 | -7.5 | 14.3 | -41.1 | 36.4 |
| Silver | 27 | 33 | 45 | 59 | 11.4 | 7.2 | 170.0 | 22.2 | 36.4 | 31.1 |
| Zinc | 28 | 33 | 60 | 132 | 3.6 | 16.1 | -3.4 | 17.9 | 81.8 | 120.0 |
| Tungsten | 7 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 4.5 | 0.9 | -30.0 | -28.6 | - | 40.0 |
| Antimony | 14 | 23 | 17 | 16 | 2.5 | 1.9 | -12.5 | 64.3 | -26.1 | -5.9 |
| Gold | 7 | 37 | 60 | 45 |  | 5.5 |  | 428.6 | 62.2 | -25.0 |
| Other minerals | 10 | 7 | 9 | 19 | 3.4 | 2.3 | -16.7 | -30.0 | 28.6 | 111.1 |
| Natural gas | 329 | 248 | 215 | 214 | 21.3 | 26.1 | -11.8 | -24.6 | -13.3 | -0.5 |
| Other hydrocarbons | 4 | 8 | 4 | - | 3.3 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | -50.0 | - |
| Main non-traditional exports | 108 | 106 | 108 | 204 | 14.5 | 24.8 | 217.6 | -1.9 | 1.9 | 88.9 |
| Sugar | 5 | 8 | 6 | 19 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 150.0 | 60.0 | -25.0 | 216.7 |
| Coffee | 13 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 2.0 | 1.6 | -7.1 | -15.4 | 54.5 | -23.5 |
| Wood | 23 | 31 | 25 | 44 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 228.6 | 34.8 | -19.4 | 76.0 |
| Soya | 19 | 19 | 20 | 54 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 280.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 170.0 |
| Other | 48 | 37 | 40 | 74 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 737.5 | -22.9 | 8.1 | 85.0 |

Source: Central Bank of Bolivia, Boletín Estadístico Sector Externo, 1980-19B8binuary'1990.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13
BOLIVIA: EXPORT VOLUMES OF MAIN PRODUCTS

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Metallic tin | 8.2 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 9.5 | -29.9 | -78.0 | 233.3 | 58.3 |
| Tin concentrates | 8.6 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 95.5 | -4.7 | -42.7 | 8.5 |
| Silver ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 157.0 | 152.0 | 217.0 | 333.0 | 201.9 | -3.2 | 42.8 | 53.5 |
| Zinc | 35.5 | 39.6 | 53.9 | 80.5 | 4.7 | 11.5 | 36.1 | 49.4 |
| Tungsten | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | -6.3 | -20.0 | -16.7 | 40.0 |
| Antimony | 8.4 | 12.4 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 47.6 | -33.1 | 10.8 |
| Gold | 0.6 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 3.6 |  | 316.7 | 68.0 | -14.3 |
| Other minerals | 29.6 | 17.9 | 27.3 | 59.8 | 305.5 | -39.5 | 52.5 | 119.0 |
| Natural gas ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2215 | 2120 | 2229 | 2210 | -0.1 | -4.3 | 5.1 | -0.9 |

Source: Central Bank of Bolivia, Boletín Estadístico, Sector Externo, 1980-1988bruary 1990.
" Preliminary figures. Metric tons fine. Millions of cubic metres.

Table 14
BOLIVIA: AVERAGE PRICE OF TIN ON THE LONDON METAL EXCHANGE (Dollars perpound)

|  |  | Price indexes $(1980=100)$ |  | Real price <br> $(1970$ dollars $) \backslash$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nominal <br> price | United States <br> wholesale <br> prices | Unit value of <br> imports of <br> goods and <br> services | $(1 / 2)$ |

[^34]Table 15
BOLIVIA: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 675 | 767 | 578 | 623 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -2.2 | 13.6 | -24.6 | 7.8 |
| Consumer goods | 134 | 191 | 130 | 130 | 25.2 | 20.9 | - | 42.5 | -31.9 | - |
| Non-durable | 62 | 93 | 57 | 58 | 15.4 | 9.3 | 34.8 | 50.0 | -38.7 | 1.8 |
| Durable | 72 | 98 | 71 | 72 | 9.8 | 11.6 | -18.2 | 36.1 | -27.6 | 1.4 |
| Raw materials and intermediate goods | 236 | 306 | 202 | 244 | 36.9 | 39.2 | -15.1 | 29.7 | -34.0 | 20.8 |
| For agriculture | 16 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | -11.1 | -31.3 | -27.3 | -12.5 |
| For industry | 183 | 256 | 175 | 199 | 30.3 | 31.9 | -21.1 | 39.9 | -31.6 | 13.7 |
| Construction materials | 34 | 37 | 19 | 33 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 30.8 | 8.8 | -48.6 | 73.7 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | - | 0.8 | 50.0 | -33.3 | -50.0 | 400.0 |
| Capital goods | 282 | 263 | 241 | 246 | 35.4 | 39.5 | 11.5 | -6.7 | -8.4 | 2.1 |
| For agriculture | 40 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 48.1 | -40.0 | -45.8 | -7.7 |
| For industry | 152 | 134 | 138 | 149 | 21.4 | 23.9 | 10.9 | -11.8 | 3.0 | 8.0 |
| Transport equipment | 90 | 105 | 81 | 85 | 11.9 | 13.6 | 1.1 | 16.7 | -22.9 | 4.9 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 23 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2.4 | 0.5 | -8.0 | -69.6 | -71.4 | 50.0 |

Source: Central Bank of Bolivia, Boletín Estadístico, Sector Externo, 1980-1988, February 1990.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes unregistered imports.

Table 16

## BOLIVIA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -202 | -204 | -241 | -342 | -466 | -526 | -316 | -151 |
| Trade balance | 195 | 120 | 155 | 14 | -174 | -262 | -62 | 90 |
| Exports of goods and services | 910 | 858 | 818 | 720 | 667 | 650 | 670 | 866 |
| Goods FOB | 828 | 755 | 724 | 623 | 546 | 519 | 543 | 723 |
| Real services | 82 | 103 | 93 | 96 | 121 | 132 | 128 | 143 |
| Transport and insurance | 26 | 35 | 35 | 38 | 48 | 42 | 42 | 48 |
| Travel | 30 | 42 | 32 | 30 | 35 | 54 | 53 | 57 |
| Imports of goods and services | 715 | 738 | 663 | 706 | 840 | 913 | 732 | 776 |
| Goods, FOB | 496 | 496 | 412 | 463 | 597 | 646 | 495 | 521 |
| Real services | 219 | 242 | 251 | 244 | 244 | 266 | 237 | 255 |
| Transport and insurance | 123 | 149 | 136 | 149 | 164 | 160 | 130 | 141 |
| Travel | 40 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 56 | 55 | 59 |
| Factor services | -414 | -365 | -418 | -375 | -311 | -282 | -267 | -263 |
| Profits | -23 | -59 | -36 | -53 | -40 | -41 | -5 | -28 |
| Interest received | 7 | 39 | 28 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 21 |
| Interest paid and due | -394 | -342 | -408 | -337 | -281 | -250 | -273 | -248 |
| Labour and ownership | -3 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -8 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 17 | 40 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 22 |
| Balance on capital account | 230 | 246 | 357 | 308 | 584 | 478 | 309 | 9 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 29 | 66 | 67 | 60 | 82 | 103 | 172 | 127 |
| Long-term capital | 26 | 288 | -147 | -230 | -54 | 23 | 203 | 167 |
| Direct investment | 31 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 36 | 30 | 35 |
| Portfolio investment | -15 | -2 | -1 | -1 | - | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 10 | 283 | -154 | -239 | -64 | -13 | 132 | 132 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 62 | 372 | -111 | -195 | -52 | -28 | 123 | 116 |
| Loans received | 259 | 578 | 143 | 100 | 330 | 239 | 275 | 288 |
| Amortization payments | -168 | -202 | -247 | -289 | -370 | -257 | -152 | -172 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -26 | -15 | -12 | -9 | -12 | 1 | -12 | 5 |
| Loans received | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 13 |
| Amortization payments | -33 | -22 | -18 | -9 | -14 | -10 | -18 | -8 |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -26 | -74 | -31 | -36 | - | 13 | 21 | 11 |
| Loans received | 66 | 21 | 11 | 8 | - | 32 | 45 | 30 |
| Amortization payments | -92 | -95 | -42 | -44 | - | -19 | -24 | -19 |
| Short-term capital | 141 | -181 | 449 | 287 | 416 | 176 | 41 | -53 |
| Official sector | 257 | -149 | 345 | 258 | 306 | 279 | 146 | 104 |
| Commercial banks | 14 | 1 | 4 | -21 | -14 | -6 | -11 | -38 |
| Other sectors | -131 | -32 | 101 | 50 | 125 | -96 | -95 | -119 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | 34 | 72 | -12 | 190 | 139 | 175 | -66 | -232 |
| Global balance | 28 | 42 | 116 | -35 | 118 | -48 | -8 | -142 |
| Total variation in reserves (- sign indicates an increase) | -34 | -25 | -148 | 43 | -87 | 113 | 8 | 142 |
| Monetary gold | -2 | -1 | -1 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | - | - | - | - | -2 | 2 |  |  |
| IMF reserve position | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | -56 | 19 | -116 | 58 | -74 | 103 |  |  |
| Other assets | 9 | -47 | -6 | -3 | -126 | 7 |  | 89 |
| Use made of IMF credit | 15 | 3 | -25 | -12 | 115 | - |  | ... |

Source: 1982-1987: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund. 1988-1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Bolivia.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. " Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{c}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign), plus counterpart items.

Table 17
BOLIVIA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS


Source: ECiAC.on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank, Boletín Estadístico, Sector Externo,198Q-1988, February 1990, and by the World Bank.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes credits from the International Monetary Fund and the Santo Domingo Agreement.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The exercise for 1984 includes US $\$ 395$ million not renegotiated with the commercial banks; the 1986 balance excludes US $\$ 100$ million owed to the Andean Reserve Fund; and the 1987 figures exclude a further US $\$ 92$ million owed to the same creditor and US $\$ 25$ million owed to Sudameris. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Figures exclude US $\$ 50$ million contracted with the Andean Reserve Fund. 'Correspond to disbursements of medium- and long-term public debt. The figures for total net interest payments were taken from the balance of payments and therefore include the interest on the short-, mediumand long-term public and private debt.

Table 18
BOLIVIA: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rates (bolivianos per dollar) |  | Indexes of real effective exchange rate ${ }^{3}$$(1985=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Official | Parallel | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  |  | 146.7 | 121.8 |
| 1980-1989 |  |  | 118.4 | 116.6 |
| 1980 | 0.00003 |  | 142.6 | 109.0 |
| 1981 | 0.00003 |  | 101.1 | 93.7 |
| 1982 | $0.0001^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0002 | 143.3 | 145.8 |
| 1983 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | 91.4 | 87.1 |
| 1984 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 92.0 | 87.6 |
| 1985 | 0.45 | 0.68 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 1.92 | 1.96 | 124.7 | 126.8 |
| 1987 | 2.06 | 2.08 | 121.8 | 127.6 |
| 1988 | 2.35 |  | 133.9 | 138.3 |
| 1989 | 2.69 |  | 133.1 | 150.0 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 1.95 | 1.96 | 116.8 | 121.2 |
| II | 2.04 | 2.06 | 121.2 | 127.3 |
| III | 2.09 | 2.10 | 123.8 | 128.2 |
| IV | 2.15 | 2.18 | 125.3 | 133.5 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2.24 |  | 131.3 | 140.2 |
| II | 2.34 |  | 132.7 | 139.5 |
| III | 2.40 |  | 133.0 | 135.2 |
| IV | 2.42 |  | 138.4 | 138.4 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2.50 |  | 152.2 | 145.1 |
| II | 2.59 |  | $109.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 141.2 |
| III | 2.75 |  | 130.6 | 153.3 |
| IV | 2.92 |  | 140.1 | 160.4 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures prepared by the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics, and by the Central Bank of Bolivia, Boletín Estadístico Trimestral.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ These correspond to the averages of the indexes of the real (official) exchange rate for the boliviano in relation to the currencies of Bolivia's main trading partners, weighted according to the relative importance of exports to or imports from these countries, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980, these weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979; from 1981 onward, they correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. Wholesale price indexes are primarily used for these calculations, but in Bolivia's case the consumer price index was employed. For detailed information on the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981. The exchange rate used for the period March-October 1982 was obtained from the average of the official rate (weighted 40\%) and the open-market exchange rate (weighted $60 \%$ ). ${ }^{c}$ In this quarter, the real exchange rate trend in Argentina was an important factor.

Table 19
BOLIVIA: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variation from December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 328.5 | 2176.8 | 8170.5 | 65.9 | 10.6 | 21.5 | 16.6 |
| Food | 343.6 | 2188.9 | 8033.5 | 62.5 | 7.5 | 18.2 | 19.8 |
| Wholesale price index | 517.8 | 2237.7 | 7952.4 | 47.5 | 16.1 | 21.5 | 21.7 |
| Imported products | 693.0 | 2312.7 | 5698.3 | 40.4 | 13.5 | 25.1 | 20.6 |
| Domestic products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural products | 601.1 | 1648.1 | 9017.4 | 57.2 | 19.4 | 18.6 | 22.3 |
| Manufactures | 344.7 | 2521.4 | 10404.9 | 48.8 | 15.4 | 21.2 | 22.1 |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 275.6 | 1281.3 | 11749.6 | 276.3 | 14.6 | 16.9 | 15.2 |
| Food | 303.7 | 1315.6 | 11275.6 | 276.7 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 14.4 |
| Wholesale price index | 415.9 | 1695.4 | 10446.9 | 172.8 | 15.6 | 19.3 | 19.1 |
| Imported products | 488.6 | 1969.1 | 7540.0 | 152.3 | 14.3 | 21.0 | 20.6 |
| Domestic products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural products | 517.6 | 1190.2 | 11635.5 | 181.6 | 20.6 | 16.3 | 19.3 |
| Manufactures | 311.6 | 1701.5 | 13831.5 | 184.5 | 13.6 | 20.7 | 17.7 |

Source: National Statistical Institute and Central Bank of Bolivia.

Table 20
BOLIVIA: MINIMUM WAGES
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nominal minimum } \\
\text { wage (thousands } \\
\text { of pesos per month) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Real minimum } \\
\text { wage } \\
\text { per month) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Index of real } \\
\text { minimum }\end{array}
$$ <br>

wage\end{array}\right]\)|  |
| :--- |
| November-December |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Commission on Wages (CONALSA) and the Economic Policy Analysis Unit (UDAPE).
${ }^{a}$ The consumer price index for November 1982 (which was when the value of the minimum wage was determined) was used as the basis for the conversion of nominal wages into real wages. As from November a bonus of 22500 pesos was added; this bonus was payable in three installments, the first of which was to be paid that same month. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ During several days in April, the minimum wage in force was that corresponding to March. The figure shown in the table, however, corresponds to the wage adjustment made in May, which was retroactive to 1 April. In addition, food and transport allowances were also granted in April. The former amounted to 35723 pesos, while the latter varied according to the number of daily trips to the place of work.

Table 21
BOLIVIA: AVERAGE PRIVATE SECTOR WAGES

|  | Index of nominal (December 1985 = 100) |  |  | Index of real wages |  | Variation in real indexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Global average | 230.8 | 340.6 | 408.3 | 130.2 | 165.7 | 172.5 | 27.3 | 4.1 |
| Mining | 184.4 | 261.2 | 312.8 | 103.3 | 127.1 | 132.1 | 23.0 | 3.9 |
| Hydrocarbons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 250.2 | 342.1 | 415.1 | 140.3 | 166.4 | 175.3 | 18.6 | 5.3 |
| Electricity, gas and water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | 265.6 | 379.5 | 448.9 | 148.8 | 184.6 | 189.6 | 24.1 | 2.7 |
| Commerce | 306.4 | 386.8 | 440.5 | 171.9 | 188.2 | 186.1 | 9.5 | -1.1 |
| Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial establishments | 205.2 | 342.9 | 408.1 | 115.1 | 166.8 | 172.4 | 44.9 | 3.4 |
| Community services | 391.0 | 524.2 | 658.5 | 219.5 | 255.1 | 278.2 | 16.2 | 9.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the National Statistical Institute, Estadísticas de Remuneraciones (sector privado), bulletins 1, 2 and 3.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The figures for nominal and real wages correspond to the average of the amounts earned at the end of March, June and September.

Table 22
BOLIVIA: MAIN MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | Year-end balance (millions of bolivianos) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Total liquidity (M2) | 822 | 1175 | 1683 | 2245 | 183.2 | 42.9 | 43.3 | 33.4 |
| International reserves (net) | 416 | 358 | 365 | 179 | 506.3 | -14.0 | 1-9 | -51.0 |
| Credit to public sector (net) | -508 | -408 | -159 | 364 | 147.1 |  |  |  |
| Central government | -539 | -591 | -491 | -370 | 22.6 | 9.7 | -17.1 | -24.5 |
| Local and regional governments | 24 | 22 | 17 | 60 | -15.0 | -6.7 | -23.5 | 252.7 |
| Public enterprises | 7 | 252 | 351 | 716 | -96.6 | 3472.1 | 39.3 | 103.9 |
| Social security | - | -47 | -37 | -42 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit to private sector | 948 | 1376 | 1852 | 2629 | 131.3 | 45.2 | 34.6 | 42.0 |
| Other variables | -33 | -151 | -375 | -927 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Quasi-money | 459 | 666 | 998 | 1538 | 400.7 | 45.2 | 49.8 | 54.2 |
| 3. Money supply (Mi) (1-2) | 363 | 508 | 685 | 706 | 82.9 | 39.9 | 34.7 | 3.1 |
| 4. Monetary base | 384 | 533 | 875 | 1143 | 90.9 | 38.9 | 64.0 | 30.6 |
| 5. Money issue | 307 | 414 | 542 | 530 | 67.2 | 34.9 | 30.9 | -2.1 |

Source: Central Bank of Bolivia
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 23

## BOLIVU: YEAR-END BANK INTEREST RATES

(Percentages)

| 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Loans
Business and private activities

| Non-readjustable nominal rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in national currency |  |  |  |  |  |

Production activities

| Non-readjustable nominal rate in | 155.0 | 232.1 | 65.8 | 39.5 | 35.1 | 34.5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| national currency | -88.8 | -96.0 | -0.1 | 29.4 | 14.9 | 19.6 |
| Real rate |  | 17.1 | 21.9 | 27.3 | 23.8 | 24.0 |
| Readjustable nominal rate in <br> national currency |  | 17.8 | 23.0 | 26.0 | 22.6 | 22.6 |

## Deposits

Savings bank deposits

| Nominal rate | 110.0 | 99.6 | 29.6 | 22.5 | 20.2 | 16.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real rate | -90.8 | -97.6 |  | 12.9 | 0.1 | 0.8 |

## Fixed-term deposits in national

currency (non-readjustable)

| Nominal rate | 140.0 | 110.0 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 24.9 | 19.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fixed-term deposits in national currency (readjustable)

Nominal rate
11.6
14.1
14.3
13.4
14.5

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Bolivia.

Table 24
BOLIVIA: MOVEMENT OF NATIONAL TREASURY FUNDS

|  | Millions of bolivianos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 309 | 475 | 611 | 805 | 403.9 | 53.9 | 28.5 | 31.8 |
| Tax revenue | 277 | 433 | 598 | 782 | 413.9 | 56.1 | 38.1 | 30.8 |
| Non-tax revenue | 31 | 42 | 13 | 23 | 330.0 | 34.8 | -69.7 | 78.9 |
| Other revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Current expenditure | 987 | 1136 | 1298 | 1523 | 201.6 | 15.0 | 14.3 | 17.3 |
| Wages | 292 | 424 | 531 | 663 | 144.8 | 45.4 | 25.2 | 24.9 |
| Purchase of goods and services | 137 | 142 | 160 | 234 | 199.5 | 3.7 | 12.7 | 46.3 |
| Interest and commissions paid | 5 |  |  |  | 103.3 |  |  |  |
| Interest and commissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| owed | 379 | 394 | 394 | 418 | 192.4 | 3.9 | - | 6.1 |
| Transfers to private sector | 64 | 84 | 65 | 90 | 169.5 | 31.0 | -22.4 | 38.4 |
| Other expenditure | 17 | 29 | 129 | 9 | 1392.5 | 65.8 | 351.2 | -93.0 |
| Floating debt | 93 | 63 | 19 | 109 | 1653.9 | -32.0 | -69.9 | 473.7 |
| 3. Transfers | 612 | 371 | 523 | 683 | 551.4 | -39.4 | 40.9 | 30.6 |
| 4. Balance on current account (1-2+3) | -66 | -289 | -165 | -35 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Capital income | 69 | - | - | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Capital expenditure | 14 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 98.3 | 6.4 | 38.4 | $-29.0$ |
| 7. Transfers | -33 | -17 | -36 | -88 | 697.8 | -48.5 | 114.5 | 143.6 |
| 8. Balance on capital account (5-6+7) | 22 | -32 | -57 | -64 |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Other expenditure |  |  |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Total expenditure | 1002 | 1151 | 1320 | 1636 | 158.0 | 14.9 | 14.7 | 24.0 |
| 11. Global deficit (-) or surplus (+) $(4+8+9)$ | -44 | -321 | -222 | -197 |  |  |  |  |
|  | in rati | s (perc | ntages) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficit/total expenditure | -4.4 | -27.9 | -16.8 | -12.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Deficit/gross domestic product | -0.5 | -3.5 | -2.1 | -1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Current income/gross domestic product | 3.7 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/gross domestic product | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Economic Policy Analysis Unit (UDAPE).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 25
BOLIVIA: CONSOLIDATED NON-FINANCIAL PUBLIC SECTOR

|  | Millions of bolivianos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 2177 | 2244 | 2750 | 3290 | 267.2 | 3.1 | 22.5 | 19.6 |
| Tax revenue | 459 | 724 | 857 | 900 | 590.8 | 57.7 | 18.4 | 5.0 |
| Non-tax revenue | 73 | 82 | 59 | \% | 279.1 | 12.2 | -283 | 62.7 |
| Sale of goods and services | 1645 | 1410 | 1766 | 2194 | 243.1 | -14.2 | 25.2 | 24.2 |
| Other revenue |  | 27 | 68 | 100 |  |  | 147.9 | 47.1 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 2087 | 2405 | 2622 | 3216 | 207.3 | 15.2 | 9.0 | 22.7 |
| Wages | 547 | 719 | 1011 | 1315 | 146.0 | 31.3 | 40.7 | 30.1 |
| Purchase of goods and services | 740 | 745 | 780 | 966 | 213.1 | 0.7 | 4.7 | 23.8 |
| Interest and commissions paid | 12 | 7 |  | 9 | -0.8 | -42.2 |  |  |
| Interest and commissions owed | 466 | 384 | 440 | 429 | 196.4 | -17.6 | 14.6 | -2.5 |
| Transfers to private sector | 134 | 186 | 115 | 240 | 239.5 | 38.9 | -38.0 | 108.7 |
| Other expenditure | 96 | 302 | 257 | 257 | 1254.7 | 212.9 | -14.9 |  |
| Floating debt | 93 | 63 | 19 |  | 1653.8 | -32.0 | -69.9 |  |
| 3. Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Balance on current account (1-2+3) | 90 | -161 | 128 | 74 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Capital income | 89 | 18 | 91 | 142 | 2210.5 | -79.6 | 397.7 | 56.0 |
| 6. Capital expenditure | 409 | 544 | 842 | 777 | 327.0 | 33.0 | 54.9 | -7.7 |
| 7. Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Balance on capital account (5-6+7) | -319 | -525 | -751 | -635 |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Other expenditure |  |  | 53 | 76 |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Total expenditure | 2496 | 2949 | 3517 | 4069 | 201.2 | 18.1 | 19.3 | 15.7 |
| 11. Global deficit (-) or surplus (+) | -229 | -686 | -676 | -637 |  |  |  |  |
| Main ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficit/total expenditure | -9.2 | -23.3 | -19.2 | -15.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Deficit/GDP | -2.8 | -7.2 | -6.5 | -5.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Current income/GDP | 26.4 | 23.5 | 26.4 | 26.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 30.3 | 30.9 | 33.7 | 32.8 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Economic Policy Analysis Unit (UDAPE).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

## BRAZIL

The performance of the Brazilian economy in 1989 reflected the difficulties encountered in restoring the basic macroeconomic equilibriums. By the end of the year, a situation of virtual hyperinflation had developed, with a $1800 \%$ increase in prices, despite the adoption of stabilization policies in the first quarter. Moreover, the various attempts at controlling public expenditure failed to reduce the operating deficit, which on the contrary soared dramatically. In the external sector, a sizeable surplus of nearly US\$13.4 billion was recorded on the trade balance. This amount was, however, less than the 1988 figure mainly on account of the lag in the exchange rate during the year with respect to domestic inflation. As a result of the smaller surplus, arrears of US $\$ 4.6$ billion in the country's external commitments as regards interest and profits were accumulated. These difficulties were compounded by the growing uncertainty arising from the imminence of Presidential elections and the unavoidable need to adopt drastic policies to redress the imbalances, which were becoming unmanageable.

Economic activity, particularly in the manufacturing sector, took place against a backdrop of great instability. In adopting their decisions on prices, the economic agents sought to protect themselves or to react more or less collectively in view of the expectations of very short-term changes in the rate of inflation, and towards the end of the year they virtually halted their decisions in expectation of the economic regulations that would be enacted by the new Government scheduled to take office in March of the following year. Even so, the gross domestic product rose by almost $4 \%$, spurred by the bigger fiscal deficit and the greater propensity towards private sector expenditure; the average unemployment rate in the main urban centres was only just over $3 \%$, (the lowest rate achieved in the decade); and
average real wages in the industrial sector in São Paulo rose by $7 \%$ (see table 1 and figurei).

In January 1989 the Government introduced its third stabilization plan in less than three years, after the monthly inflation rate had shot up from $16 \%$ to $28 \%$ in 1988 against a background of stagnating economic activity and following the entry into force of the new Political Constitution. The "Plano Verão" ("Summer Plan"), as it was called, provided for a sharp increase in public service tariffs, the freezing of prices and wages, a $16 \%$ hike in the exchange rate, the suspension of the mechanisms for the indexation of wages and financial assets, the introduction of a new currency (the New Cruzado, with a parity of one per thousand in relation to the old cruzado) and, most importantly, the implementation of a restrictive monetary policy which led to considerable increases in real interest rates. In addition, the Government proposed to Congress the application of an austerity fiscal policy, involving cuts in expenditure, such as the abolition of government ministries and departments and massive dismissals of government employees, as well as a programme of privatization of State enterprises. However, this fiscal policy proposal did not attract sufficient support in the National Congress. Making use of the new powers conferred on it under the recently adopted Constitution, the legislature ratified only a few of the proposed budgetary cuts and rejected the proposals for staff dismissals and the privatization of State enterprises.

In practice, therefore, the Summer Plan was limited to monetary policy, which was basically aimed at bringing about an increase in real interest rates. Although the rate was still negative in January, it subsequently rose to over $10 \%$ per month, which represented a substantial transfer of resources to the private

Figure 1

## BRAZIL: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS




Figure 1 (concluded)
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
sector. Indeed, during the first three months of the application of the Plan, the amount of Federal Government debt paper held by the public increased by $15 \%$ in real terms, since it was necessary to refinance with new issues the high interest rates on the accumulated debt. Subsequently, following the flagging confidence of the economic agents in the Government's ability to control inflation, the financial returns obtained were directed towards consumption, the building-up of stocks and the purchase of real assets, since financial applications lost their attraction on account of the fears aroused by the attempts to bring down real interest rates. As a result, the level of sales in São Paulo rose sharply, so that in May it was almost $60 \%$ higher than at the same time in 1988.

This demand pressure was reflected in the acceleration in price increases during the second quarter, when they shot up from less than $10 \%$ per month, between March and May, to nearly $25 \%$ in June, returning in July to the levels recorded prior to the introduction of the Plan. In order to restrain this upsurge, the Government re-established the daily indexing of its long-term securities, taxes and the exchange rate, while also decreeing the monthly readjustment of wages and salaries. To a large extent, therefore, there was a return to the economic policy of 1988 , which sought to maintain relative prices in periods of inflation. At the same time, positive real interest rates of $2 \%$ to $7 \%$ per month were maintained, which helped to augment the public deficit. These new measures had little effect and failed to break the inflationary spiral: from September onwards, the rate of increase in prices was over $35 \%$, and in December it rose to $53 \%$ (see figure 2). This acceleration reflected the cumulative effects of the extra money issue required to cover the greater financial needs of the Government, the growing uncertainty regarding the financial fragility of the State, and the political changes likely to follow the election of the new President in December, against a backdrop of rising demand for goods.

Thanks to the strong performance of domestic sales in the first quarter, manufacturing activity recovered its previous, production levels. Following a $7 \%$ drop in the first quarter in relation to the same period of

1988, the annual rate of industrial growth rose to nearly $10 \%$ in the last quarter. This expansion was fairly widespread and was based on the reduction of idle capacity, attaining levels of utilization similar to those registered during the "Plano Cruzado" in 1986. However, this recovery was insufficient to dissipate the uncertainty over investment projects, which was reflected in the scanty increase in the production of capital goods. Another sector boosted by the flight from financial assets was construction, which grew by almost $8 \%$, especially because of increased construction of private dwellings and infrastructural works carried out by some state governments.

Severe instability also prevailed in the external sector. Following the exceptional devaluation in January, when the "Plano Verão" was introduced, the local currency rose steadily in real terms, thus boosting imports (particularly of raw materials and consumer goods), the level of which practically doubled. In the area of exports, the exchange rate factor and the reactivation of the domestic market dampened sales of Brazilian manufactures, whose value declined by $3 \%$, after having risen by nearly $30 \%$ in 1988. Despite the substantial decline in the surplus posted on the merchandise trade account, however, it still exceeded US\$16 billion, equivalent to about $5 \%$ of GDP. At the same time, the exchange lag, the suspension of the mechanisms for the conversion of the external debt, and the uncertainty with respect to the future evolution of the national economy led to an increase in remittances of profits $(60 \%)$ and to a sharp contraction in the inflow of foreign capital.

The situation as regards financial capital movements was also unfavourable. Difficulties at the macroeconomic level made it impossible to fulfil the terms of the standby agreement signed with the International Monetary Fund in 1988, thus holding back the disbursement of US $\$ 795$ million by that institution. In addition, the creditor banks failed to disburse the last tranche of US $\$ 600$ million of the loan granted under the above-mentioned agreement. Moreover, for the first time there was a negative net flow of resources (US\$170 million) with the multilateral agencies, reflecting the cautious approach towards

Figure 2
BRAZIL: EXCHANGE RATES

lending which they adopted as a reaction to the difficulties encountered in the management of the Brazilian economy.

As a result of these pressures, the level of the country's international reserves dropped in June to only US\$5.5 billion, whereupon the Government centralized exchange operations, immediately suspending remittances of foreign exchange for principal and interest payments on the external debt, remittances of profits, and outflows of foreign capital. As a result of these measures, US\$4.6 billion in arrears on interest payments had accumulated by December.

A major innovation in the area of exchange rate policy was the introduction of the floating market rate for tourist transactions. This market absorbed foreign exchange which had previously been traded on the parallel market, since its price was situated at a level close to that of the latter market. As a consequence of this, the income derived from international travel exceeded US\$1.2 billion (more than 10 times the 1988 level), thereby converting a
deficit of US\$590 million into a US\$520 million surplus. This floating rate, in turn, reflected the prevailing uncertainty about the evolution of the economy, since its level was more than double that of the official rate (see figure 3).

The Government attempted to counter the expectations of a sharp and rapid upsurge in inflation by establishing during the second half of the year a system of sectoral pricing whereby the various productive branches in each sector would jointly define their adjustment mechanisms, thus avoiding the generation of additional cost pressures on the final price of products. With respect to managed prices, particularly public sector and energy tariffs, the Government tried unsuccessfully in the second half of the year to bring about real adjustments with a view to bringing the finances of public enterprises back into balance.

The rise in the rate of inflation had a severely negative impact on labour negotiations. The stabilization plan introduced in January put a freeze on wages and salaries and abolished the
monthly adjustment mechanism based on the variation in the Price Reference Unit (PRU), which corresponded to the average monthly inflation in the preceding quarter. In the months that followed, efforts were made to establish a new wages policy but the attempt was frustrated by a wave of strikes. In the private sector, the trade union movement focused its efforts on consolidating instruments of monthly indexation and making good the loss of purchasing power that resulted from the changes in the price indexes established in the stabilization plans of 1987 and 1989. The economic recovery in the second quarter, particularly in the industrial sector, boosted employment levels and strengthened the position of workers.

In addition, following the lifting of the price freeze, businesses had no difficulty in shifting the higher costs of wages and salaries onto prices, so that they were more ready to satisfy workers' claims. The new wage law adopted by the Congress in June provided for the monthly adjustment of workers' incomes, in line with the variation in the consumer price index, wholly so for wages of up to three times the minimum wage, and partially in the case of wages above that level. The new law also provided for increases higher than the rate of inflation in the minimum wage. During the second half of the year, full monthly adjustment for all wage levels began to be applied across the board in the private sector, thus reducing the incidence of strikes. Real average wages in the industrial

Figure 3
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[^35]sector of São Paulo, which had recorded no increase in the first half of the year, jumped by $22 \%$ in the second half.

In the public sector, however, wage negotiations proved to be more difficult. Under the new Constitution public servants were permitted to join trade unions, thereby converting the strike into an important instrument of pressure for recovering losses in real wages and salaries due to lags in the indexation mechanisms. This led to a wave of strikes throughout the public service, which took twice as long to resolve as in the private sector and resulted in substantial increases in personnel costs.

Federal public expenditure soared dramatically, mainly as a result of the higher real financial costs deriving from the public debt and, to a lesser extent, the increase in wages and social welfare contributions. On the other hand, the real level of public revenue fell by $5 \%$ on account of the transfer of federal taxes to the states and municipalities, as provided for in the new Constitution, and the natural decline caused by rampant inflation. As a result of this, the public sector disequilibrium took on alarming proportions, and the deficit as viewed in its operational concept, which takes account of the effect of indexation oh the
public debt, was equivalent to almost one-eighth of GDP, or three times the level of the previous year.

Failure to control the deficit further hastened the financial deterioration of the public sector, which had to increase its domestic indebtedness by $21 \%$ in real terms. These resources were, however, insufficient to finance the huge shortfall of the federal government, making it necessary to have recourse to extra money issues. The high liquidity of government one-day debt paper meant that the attempt to offer high real interest rates in order to keep on attracting resources with these instruments led to a vicious spiral of increases in nominal interest rates and in the financial needs of the Government. As a result, the daily volume of transactions of federal paper rose to some US $\$ 39$ billion. The hyperinflationary situation was also manifested in the $1700 \%$ expansion in the monetary base and in a drop in demand for money, to the point where the share of the means of payment (Mi) in the GDP fell below $2 \%$. However, liquidity in its broad sense (M2) came to approximately $25 \%$ of GDP, and with the addition of savings and fixed-term deposits (M4) it still came to almost $24 \%$ (see figures 4 and5).

Figure 4
BRAZIL: MEANS OF PAYMENT (M1)


Figure 5
BRAZIL: MONETARY RESOURCES (M2)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.

Table 1
BRAZIL: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at market prices | 96.2 | 92.9 | 97.6 | 105.8 | 113.7 | 117.9 | 117.9 | 122.1 |
| Gross national income | 91.8 | 88.5 | 93.2 | 101.2 | 110.5 | 114.1 | 114.1 | 118.6 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 126.9 | 129.8 | 132.7 | 135.6 | 138.5 | 141.5 | 144.4 | 147.4 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 91.9 | 86.8 | 89.2 | 94.7 | 99.6 | 101.1 | 99.0 | 100.5 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 78.5 | 94.6 | 87.4 | 87.9 | 91.5 | 95.2 | 86.4 | 70.3 |
| Urban unemployment rate | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | 0.6 | -3.4 | 5.1 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 3.7 | - | 3.6 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -1.6 | -5.6 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 1.5 | -2.1 | 1.5 |
| Gross national income ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -1.3 | -3.7 | 5.4 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 3.2 | - | 3.9 |
| Consumer prices, December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National consumer price index | 100.3 | 178.0 | 209.1 | 239.1 | 58.6 | 396.0 | 994.3 | 1910.6 |
| Consumer price index |  |  |  |  | $22.2{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 366.0 | 933.6 | 1779.1 |
| General price index (domestic availability) ${ }^{\boldsymbol{f}}$ | 99.7 |  | 223.8 | 235.1 | 65.0 | 415.8 | 1037.6 | 1782.9 |
| Wages ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | -4.9 | 3.2 | 14.7 | 13.8 | -7.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 |
| Current value of exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of goods and services | -13.9 | 7.5 | 22.5 | -4.6 | -12.3 | 16.0 | 28.3 | 4.0 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -9.0 | -21.1 | -10.0 | -4.0 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 21.6 |
| Terms of trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Millio | as of do | lars |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | -2 795 | 4079 | 11345 | 10735 | 5869 | 8777 | 16198 | 13367 |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 13509 | 11025 | 11473 | 11228 | 11158 | 10346 | 11403 | 12188 |
| Balance on current account | $\cdot 16314$ | -6842 | 33 | -353 | -5 199 | -1456 | 4889 | 1424 |
| Balance on capital account | 11119 | 4943 | 5342 | -170 | 2016 | 3587 | -3184 | 470 |
| Variation in international reserves | -4157 | -1214 | 5139 | -930 | -5 364 | 1805 | 2138 | 1391 |
| Total external debt | 83205 | 91362 | 99765 | 105126 | 111045 | 121174 | 113469 | 114471 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
" Preliminary figures. Weighted average of the rates for the main metropolitan areas. ${ }^{c}$ Gross domestic product plus terms-of-trade effect (goods and services). ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Official inflation index from 28 February $1986 .{ }^{\text {e }}$ Variation February-December. The general price index is a weighted average of the wholesale price index (60\%), the cost-of-living index for Rio de Janeiro (30\%) and the index of construction costs ( $10 \%$ ). * Average real wages in industry in São Paulo.

Table 2
BRAZIL: EVOLUTION OF REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes $(1980=\boldsymbol{0} \mathbf{1 0 0})$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross national income <br> $(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})$ | 114.1 | 114.1 | 118.6 | 97.2 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.4 |
| a) Gross domestic product <br> b) Terms-of-trade effect | 117.9 | 117.9 | 122.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| c) Net foreign factor income <br> d) Net private transfers <br> from abroad | 150.4 | 156.8 | 161.3 | -2.9 | -3.7 | -3.8 | -3.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
BRAZIL: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 113.1 | 112.9 | 117.9 | 111.4 | 107.6 | 8.3 | 3.2 | -0.2 | 4.4 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 117.9 | 117.9 | 122.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| Imports of goods and services | 71.2 | 69.2 | 81.0 | 11.4 | 7.6 | 21.5 | -3.0 | -2.8 | 17.0 |
| Total demand | 113.1 | 112.9 | 117.9 | 111.4 | 107.6 | 8.3 | 3.2 | -02 | 4.4 |
| Domestic demand | 108.8 | 106.3 | 111.9 | 102.4 | 93.9 | 11.5 | 1.6 | -2.3 | 5.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 92.7 | 88.6 | 94.8 | 23.3 | 18.1 | 20.6 | -0.4 | -4.5 | 7.0 |
| Gross fixed investment | 94.2 | 90.3 | 95.1 | 22.9 | 17.8 | 22.2 | -0.4 | -4.1 | 5.3 |
| Construction | 104.2 | 101.0 | 108.7 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 17.5 | 1.1 | -3.0 | 7.6 |
| Machinery and equipment | 78.9 | 73.9 | 74.3 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 32.5 | -3.2 | -6.3 | 0.5 |
| Changes in stocks | 17.4 | -1.8 | 78.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 113.6 | 111.6 | 117.0 | 79.1 | 75.8 | 9.5 | 2.1 | -1.8 | 4.9 |
| General government | 112.7 | 111.6 | 116.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | -1.0 | 4.0 |
| Private | 113.7 | 111.6 | 117.1 | 69.9 | 67.1 | 10.4 | 2.1 | -1.9 | 5.0 |
| Exports of goods and services | 162.3 | 188.1 | 186.0 | 9.0 | 13.7 | -13.4 | 17.8 | 15.9 | -1.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and data from the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{b}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were obtained from IMF balance-of-payments data in current dollars, converted to constant 1980 values by means of unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

BRAZIL
Table 4
BRAZIL: SOURCE AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As a percentage of gross domestic product) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 19.3 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 19.1 | $1 \& .3$ | 17.5 | 18.1 |
| Gross domestic investment | 20.3 | 20.1 | 22.2 | 24.0 | 22.6 | 23.8 | 25.1 | 24.2 |
| Gross domestic savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income from factor | -5.2 | -4.5 | -4.7 | -4.3 | -4.5 | -3.7 | -3.8 | -3.8 |
| services | -2.1 | -3.0 | -2.6 | -2.8 | -1.2 | -2.3 | -2.2 | -1.9 |
| Terms-of-trade effect |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Unrequited private transfers | 13.0 | 12.6 | 15.1 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 19.2 | 18.5 |
| Gross national savings | 6.3 | 2.8 | - | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | -1.6 | -0.4 |
| External savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at the adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 5
BRAZIL: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes $(1980==100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage <br> breakdown |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, re-weighted with the structure at current 1980 prices. As a result, the trends obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 6
BRAZIL: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION


[^36]Table 7
BRAZIL: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of mining production $(1980=100)$ | 181.8 | 180.4 | 181.1 | 188-2 | 3.7 | -0.8 | 0.4 | 3.9 |
| Production of some important minerals (thousands of tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 33.2 | 32.8 | 32.2 | 34.5 | 4.7 | -1.2 | -1.8 | 7.1 |
| Natural gas ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 1.8 | - | 5.2 |
| Coal | 22.7 | 18.7 | 20.8 | 17.8 | -7.7 | -17.6 | 11.2 | -14.4 |
| Iron ore | 129.2 | 134.1 | 145.0 | 153.7 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 6.0 |
| Nickel | 13.5 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 3.0 | -1.4 | - |

Source: Brazilian Geographical and Statistical Institute (IBGE), National Petroleum Council, National Department of Mineral Production, Central Bank of Brazil and Non-Ferrous Metals and Iron and Steel Council (CONSIDER).
' Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Thousands of cubic metres. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Millions of cubic metres.

Table 8
BRAZIL: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | Average indexes $(1981=100)^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Manufacturing production | 120.0 | 121.6 | 117.2 | 120.9 | 8.3 | 11.6 | 1.3 | . 3.6 | 3.1 |
| By categories of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital goods | 108.3 | 106.0 | 103.9 | 105.6 | 12.3 | 22.4 | -2.1 | -2.0 | 1.6 |
| Intermediate goods ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 128.3 | 130.4 | 127.4 | 130.6 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 1.6 | -2.3 | 2,5 |
| Consumer durables | 137.5 | 130.4 | 131.2 | 134.2 | 15.1 | 20.6 | -5.2 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| Consumer non-durables | 116.2 | 117.9 | 112.8 | 117.4 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 1.5 | -4.3 | ${ }^{4}-1$ |
| By industrial branches: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foodstuffs | 104.0 | 111.3 | 108.9 | 110.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 7.0 | -2.2 | 1:1 |
| Beverages | 126.1 | 120.3 | 124.7 | 143.3 | 11.0 | 23.5 | -4.6 | 3.7 | 14.9 |
| Tobacco | 127.6 | 129.4 | 130.3 | 138.0 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 5.9 |
| Textiles | 116.3 | 115.9 | 108.7 | 111.3 | 13.6 | 13.2 | -0.3 | -6.2 | 2.4 |
| Clothing | 104.6 | 95.8 | 87.6 | 89.5 | 7.5 | 4.8 | -8.4 | -8.6 | 2.2 |
| Paper and paperboard | 137.0 | 142.0 | 139.7 | 151.1 | 6.4 | 10:5 | 3.6 | -1.6 | 8.2 |
| Petroleum products | 125.3 | 132.8 | 119.8 | 120.1 | 0.1 | 18.0 | 6.0 | -9.8 | 0.3 |
| Other chemicals | 125.3 | 132.8 | 133.9 | 134.0 | 10.2 | -4.4 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Pharmaceuticals | 130.8 | 133.4 | 117.3 | 121.0 | 5.2 | 22.6 | 2.0 | -12.1 | 3.2 |
| Perfumery, soaps and candles | 143.2 | 167.7 | 150.5 | 165.9 | 12.8 | 22.5 | 17.1 | -10.3 | 10.2 |
| Rubber | 130.0 | 135.1 | 137.7 | 134.5 | 8.2 | 16.6 | 3.9 | 1.9 | -2.3 |
| Plastic articles | 136.9 | 131.9 | 123.2 | 138.2 | 11.3 | 22.0 | -3.7 | -6.6 | 12.2 |
| Non-metallic minerals | 103.2 | 106.8 | 101.1 | 104.4 | 7.5 | 18.4 | 3.5 | -5.3 | 3.3 |
| Metal products | 127.9 | 128.9 | 125.2 | 130.4 | 7.0 | 11.7 | 0.8 | -2.9 | 4.2 |
| Machinery | 113.9 | 120.0 | 108.8 | 113.4 | 10.1 | 21.9 | 5.4 | -9.3 | 4.2 |
| Electrical equipment | 141.3 | 133.5 | 126.9 | 134.1 | 19.3 | 25.5 | -5.5 | -4.9 | 5.7 |
| Transport equipment | 118.8 | 106.8 | 116.8 | 113.5 | 11.7 | 12.4 | -10.1 | 9.4 | -2.8 |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial consumption of electricity | 97.2 | 97.3 | 99.5 | 103.6 | 13.7 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 4.1 |
| Employment ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 104.3 | 106.5 | 104.5 | 106.7 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 2.1 | -2.0 | 2.1 |

Source: Brazilian Geographical and Statistical Institute (IBGE). Indexes of Industrial Production, revised series.
${ }^{a}$ Indexes calculated on the basis of figures at constant 1980 prices. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes mining.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Billions of kWh consumed by the manufacturing sector. ${ }^{c}$ Indexes $1978=100$; labour employed in the industrial sector of the State of São Paulo (annual averages).

Table 9
BRAZIL: MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCTION

|  | Thousands of units |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Motor vehicles (all types) | 1057.0 | 920.1 | 1068.9 | 1012.0 | 11.8 | 93 | -13.0 | 16.2 | -S3 |
| Automobiles | 816.0 | 683.4 | 782.6 | 731.0 | 41.1 | 7.5 | -16.3 | 14.5 | -6.6 |
| Light trucks and utility vehicles | 146.0 | 148.8 | 196.0 | 204.4 | -50.7 | 9.0 | 1.9 | 31.7 | 4.3 |
| Trucks | 83.8 | 74.2 | 71.8 | 62.0 | 32.7 | 28.9 | -11.5 | -3.2 | -13.6 |
| Buses | 11.2 | 13.6 | 18.4 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 40.0 | 21.4 | 35.3 | -20.7 |
| Vehicles fuelled by alcohol | 699.0 | 459.2 | 565.8 | 399.7 | 14.4 | 8.9 | -34.3 | 23.2 | -29.4 |
| Exports of motor vehicles ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 183.0 | 344.7 | 320.3 | 254.2 | 6.1 | -12.0 | 88.4 | -7.1 | -20.6 |

Source: National Association of Motor Vehicle Manufacturers (ANFAVEA).
Preliminary figures. Included in the total number of vehicles. ' Includes exports of CKD (completely knocked down) vehicles.

Table 10
BRAZIL: PRODUCTION OF METALS

|  | Thousanids of tons |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Iron and steel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pig iron | 20464 | 21334 | 23439 | 24318 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 9.9 | 3.8 |
| Steel ingots | 21240 | 22228 | 24615 | 25019 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 10.7 | 1.6 |
| Rolled products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rats | 8980 | 9060 | 9724 | 9761 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 0.4 |
| Non-flats | 6635 | 6475 | 6431 | 6461 | 5.9 | -2.4 | -0.7 | 0.5 |
| Alloy steel | 777 | 789 | 890 | 915 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 12.8 | 2.8 |
| Non-ferrous metals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminium | 805.6 | 895.3 | 938.1 | 887.9 | 35.6 | 11.1 | 4.8 | -5.4 |
| Copper | 166.0 | 199.2 | $147.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 153.4 | 16.2 | 20.0 |  | 3.9 |
| Tin | 25.1 | 28.8 | 40.7 | 44.1 | 1.6 | 14.7 | 41.3 | 8.4 |
| Nickel | 13.5 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 3.0 | -5.8 | 4.6 |
| Lead | 85.4 | 88.2 | 98.2 | 87.9 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 11.3 | -10.5 |
| Zinc | 135.6 | 148.0 | 144.5 | 157.4 | 12.3 | 9.1 | -2.4 | 8.9 |
| Metallic silicon | 37.1 | 40.0 | 79.3 | 116.8 | 26.6 | 7.8 | 98.3 | 47.3 |

Source: Nort-Ferrous Metals and Iron and Steel Council (CONSIDER).

* Preliminary Figures. Primary and secondary metal. ' Primary copper only.

Table 11
BRAZIL: FORMAL URBAN EMPLOYMENT
(Percentage variation between annual averages)

| Regional and metropolitana reas | Industry |  | Construction |  | Commerce |  | Services |  | Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989" | 1988 | 1989" | 1988 | 1989" | 1988 | 1989" | 1988 | 1989" |
| Whole country | -0.9 | 2.7 | 7.4 | -2.7 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 22 | 2.4 |
| North | -1.2 | 7.9 | 4.8 | -4.4 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| Northeast | -0.1 | 4.2 | 7.7 | -4.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.8 |
| Southeast | -1.3 | 2.2 | 7.0 | -1.4 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.6 |
| South | -0.1 | 2.5 | 5.6 | -4.0 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| West Central | 4.6 | 6.0 | 15.8 | -5.3 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| Metropolitan areas | -1.7 | 2.1 | 8.4 | -13 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 32 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| Belém | -1.0 | 1.9 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| Fortaleza | 0.7 | 5.1 | 11.5 | - | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| Recife | -1.9 | 4.3 | 9.0 | -2.0 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| Salvador | -0.6 | 2.3 | 9.4 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Belo Horizonte | -0.8 | 4.3 | 9.4 | -7.1 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| Rio de Janeiro | -1.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | -2.4 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| São Paulo | -2.3 | 1.5 | 10.8 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| Curitiba | 2.8 | 3.7 | 9.3 | -6.6 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| Porto Alegre | -0.7 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 2.6 |

Source: Cadastro Geral de Empregados e Desempregados - Act 4923, Ministry of Labour.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. This total also includes the mining, public service, public administration, agricultural, agroindústria!, hunting and fishing sectors.

Table 12
BRAZIL: UNEMPLOYMENT RATES IN MAIN URBAN CENTRES

| Main cities | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | ,1988 | 1989 | 1988 |  |  |  | 1989 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |
| Rio de Janeiro | 4.9 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| São Paulo | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.2 | . 4.1 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Belo Horizonte | 5.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| Porto Alegre | 5.4 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| Salvador | 6.0 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.7 |
| Recife | 7.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.2 |
| Average for urban centres ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 J | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3 J | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3 J | 4 J | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.9 |

Source: Brazilian Geographical and Statistical Institute (IBGE), Monthly Employment Survey.
${ }^{a}$ Weighted by the economically active population of each city.

Table 13
BRAZIL: EVOLUTION OF EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rates (cruzados doflar) | Real effective exchange rate indexes ${ }^{8}$$(1985==100)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exports |  | Imports |  |
|  |  | (A) | (B) | (A) | $(\mathrm{A})^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 1970-1979 |  | 84.8 |  | 73.4 | 85.9 |
| 1980-1989 |  | 99.4 | 92.9 | 93.2 | 98.4 |
| 1980 | 0.05 | 113.8 | 102.9 | 116.7 | 114.4 |
| 1981 | 0.09 | 93.0 | 79.6 | 100.8 | 94.5 |
| 1982 | 0.18 | 89.3 | 66.3 | 95.7 | 88.8 |
| 1983 | 0.58 | 107.6 | 94.2 | 109.2 | 107.1 |
| 1984 | 1.85 | 99.5 | 106.0 | 101.1 | 100.3 |
| 1985 | 6.20 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 14 | 104.1 | 94.8 | 84.5 | 101.4 |
| 1987 | 39 | 108.3 | 106.4 | 85.7 | 104.2 |
| 1988 | 262 | 98.3 | 98.1 | 75.4 | 95:3 |
| 1989 | 2834 | 80.0 | 80.6 | 62.7 | 77.8 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 18 | 107.6 | 94.4 | 85.3 | 103.3 |
| II | 31 | 106.3 | 101.5 | 84.4 | 102.2 |
| III | 47 | 111.1 | 119.5 | 88.6 | 107.4 |
| IV | 60 | 108.0 | 110.5 | 84.6 | 103.8 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 92 | 104.2 | 107.8 | 80.9 | 100.3 |
| II | 152 | 100.5 | 99.0 | 77.7 | 97.3 |
| III | 270 | 93.8 | 96.3 | 71.9 | 91.6 |
| IV | 536 | 94.6 | 89.6 | 71.2 | 92.1 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 968 | 91.6 | 98.0 | 71.8 | 90.1 |
| II | 1150 | 80.6 | 78.2 | 63.4 | 78.2 |
| III | 2552 | 76.3 | 78.8 | 59.3 | 73.5 |
| IV | 6666 | 71.4 | 67.6 | 56.3 | 69.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
Note: A: Deflated by the wholesale price index. B: Deflated by the index of average wages in the manufacturing sector in Rio de Janeiro.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Corresponds to the average of the real exchange rate indexes of the cruzado with respect to the currencies of Brazil's main trading partners, weighted according to the relative importance of exports or imports from these countries, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980 these weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979. From 1981 they correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. For the most part, wholesale price indexes were used in making these calculations. For the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981. Does not include the following oil-exporting countries: Saudi Arabia, Iraq and Nigeria.

Table 14
BRAZIL: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

BRAZIL

## Table 15

## BRAZIL: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total | 22393 | 26224 | 33784 | 34392 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -12.7 | 17.1 | 28.8 | 1.8 |
| Commodities | 7349 | 8022 | 9397 | 9599 | 42.2 | 27.9 | -13.9 | 9.2 | 17.1 | 2.1 |
| Coffee beans | 2063 | 1959 | 1998 | 1610 | 12.3 | 4.7 | -12.9 | -5.0 | 2.0 | -19.4 |
| Iron ore | 1234 | 1563 | 1828 | 2163 | 7.8 | 6.3 | -12.0 | 26.7 | 17.0 | 18.3 |
| Soya meal and cake | 1181 | 1450 | 2024 | 2136 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 22.8 | 39.6 | 5.5 |
| Soya beans | 243 | 570 | 728 | 813 | 2.0 | 2.4 | -68.2 | 134.6 | 27.7 | 11.7 |
| Unrefined sugar | 138 | 134 | 167 | 114 | 3.1 | 0.3 | -16.9 | -2.9 | 24.6 | -31.7 |
| Other | 2490 | 2303 | 2652 | 3576 | 9.8 | 10.4 | -6.5 | -7.5 | 15.2 | 34.8 |
| Industrial products | 14867 | 18008 | 24082 | 24400 | 56.5 | 70.9 | -11.6 | 21.1 | 33.7 | 13 |
| Semi-manufactures | 2481 | 3177 | 4892 | 5806 | 11.6 | 16.9 | -10.0 | 28.1 | 54.0 | 18.7 |
| Manufactures | 12386 | 14831 | 19190 | 18594 | 44.8 | 54.1 | -11.9 | 19.7 | 29.4 | -3.1 |
| Processed coffee | 297 | 226 | 232 | 193 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 12.9 | -23.9 | 2.7 | -16.8 |
| Orange juice | 636 | 832 | 1144 | 1019 | 1.7 | 3.0 | -15.1 | 30.8 | 37.5 | -10.9 |
| Footwear | 1017 | 1280 | 1168 | 1312 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 25.9 | -8.8 | 12.3 |
| Transport equipment | 1568 | 2780 | 3387 | 3774 | 7.5 | 11.0 | -7.4 | 77.3 | 21.8 | 11.4 |
| Machinery, boilers and mechanical apparatus | 1443 | 1634 | 1415 | 1183 | 6.9 | 3.4 | -9.2 | 13.2 | -13.4 | -16.4 |
| Electrical machinery and equipment | 794 | 888 | 859 | 971 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 36.7 | 11.8 | -3.3 | 13.0 |
| Manufactured iron and steel products | 999 | 989 | 2185 | 1610 | 3.1 | 4.7 | -17.2 | -1.0 | 120.9 | -26.3 |
| Other | 5632 | 6727 | 8800 | 8532 | 19.9 | 24.8 | -19.7 | 19.4 | 30.8 | -3.0 |
| Other products | 177 | 194 | 305 | 393 | 13 | 1.1 | -36.6 | 9.6 | 57.2 | 28.9 |

Source: Banco do Brasil, Carteira de Comércio Exterior (CACEX).
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes consumption on board aircraft and ships, re-exports and special transactions.

Table 16
BRAZIL: IMPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1988* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total | 15052 | 14605 | 18281 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.8 | 7.2 | -3.0 | 25.2 |
| Consumer goods | 1516 | 1373 | 2624 | 5.7 | 14.4 | 151.3 | -24.0 | -9.4 | 91.1 |
| Intermediate goods | 9578 | 9037 | 10804 | 75.2 | 59.1 | -13.1 | 11.6 | -5.6 | 19.6 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 3859 | 4136 | 4427 | 44.4 | 24.2 | -51.1 | 38.5 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| Other | 5719 | 4901 | 6377 | 30.8 | 34.9 | 29.3 | -1.4 | -14.3 | 30.1 |
| Capital goods | 3958 | 4195 | 4853 | 19.1 | 26.5 | 39.7 | 14.3 | 6.0 | 15.7 |

[^37]Table 17

## BRAZIL: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -16314 | -6842 | 33 | -353 | -5199 | -1456 | 4889 | 1424 |
| Trade balance | -2 795 | 4079 | 11345 | 10735 | 5869 | 8777 | 16198 | 13367 |
| Exports of goods and services | 21967 | 23619 | 28939 | 27617 | 24225 | 28100 | 36060 | 37520 |
| Goods FOB | 20172 | 21906 | 27001 | 25539 | 22408 | 26156 | 33789 | 34392 |
| Real services | 1791 | 1712 | 1937 | 2077 | 1818 | 1943 | 2271 | 3128 |
| Transport and insurance | 1013 | 1119 | 1309 | 1515 | 1161 | 1320 | 1655 | 1415 |
| Travel | 66 | 40 | 64 | 66 | 84 | 102 | 117 | 1224 |
| Imports of goods and services | 24762 | 19540 | 17594 | 16882 | 18355 | 19322 | 19862 | 24153 |
| Goods FOB | 19395 | 15434 | 13915 | 13127 | 14011 | 15050 | 14605 | 18281 |
| Real services | 5365 | 4106 | 3678 | 3754 | 4345 | 4274 | 5257 | 5872 |
| Transport and insurance | 2460 | 2025 | 2070 | 1873 | 1993 | 2139 | 2558 | 2959 |
| Travel | 913 | 431 | 218 | 440 | 591 | 287 | 705 | 706 |
| Factor services | -13 509 | -11025 | -11473 | -11228 | -11 158 | -10 346 | -11403 | -12188 |
| Profits | -2141 | -1453 | -1267 | -1605 | -1793 | -1534 | -1539 | -2 380 |
| Interest received | 1198 | 707 | 1245 | 1521 | 919 | 526 | 759 | 1196 |
| Interest paid and due | -12 550 | -10 267 | -11 449 | -11124 | -10 261 | -9 308 | -10 591 | -10 971 |
| Labour and property | -14 | -13 | -2 | -20 | -26 | -31 | -32 | *33 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | -11 | 106 | 161 | 140 | 90 | 112 | 94 | 245 |
| Balance on capital account | 11119 | 4943 | 5342 | -170 | 2016 | 3587 | -3184 | 470 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 2 | 2 | 10 | 15 | -2 | -43 |  |  |
| Long-term capital | 8011 | 7997 | 9773 | 2302 | 759 | . 1010 | 3497 | -2 194 |
| Direct investment | 2534 | 1372 | 1557 | 1281 | 181 | 1078 | 2269 | 130 |
| Portfolio investment | -1 | -286 | -272 | -235 | -454 | -429 |  |  |
| Other long-term capital | 5478 | 6911 | 8489 | 1255 | 1032 | -1 659 | 1228 | -2 324 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1744 | 9279 | 11283 | 6296 | 8780 | 7949 |  |  |
| Loans received | 3368 | 13329 | 20561 | 15160 | 13281 | 11039 |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -1286 | -4 153 | -9 427 | -8 683 | -4 462 | -3 027 |  |  |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1681 | -1520 | -1404 | -2 630 | -3 630 | -2183 |  |  |
| Loans received | 4239 | 1136 | 1230 | 327 | 70 | 746 |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -2 559 | -2 656 | -2 631 | -2 957 | -3 699 | -2 929 |  |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2053 | -848 | -1390 | -2 411 | -4 118 | -7 425 |  |  |
| Loans received | 6675 | 2527 | 2079 | 2126 | 1154 | 2093 |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -4 264 | -3358 | -4 128 | -5133 | -5 761 | -9152 |  |  |
| Short-term capital | 3476 | -2 464 | -4 844 | -1991 | 1211 | 5444 | -5 848 | 3036 |
| Official sector | 3879 | -1390 | -1942 | -461 | 365 | 5026 |  |  |
| Commercial banks | -136 | -710 | 1001 | -555 | 711 | 441 |  |  |
| Other sectors | -267 | -364 | -3903 | ,975 | 135 | -23 |  |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -369 | -592 | 404 | -495 | 47 | -807 | -833 | -372 |
| Global balance | -5 195 | -1899 | 5375 | -523 | -3 184 | 2131 | 1705 | 1894 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 4157 | 1214 | -5139 | 930 | 5364 | -1805 | -2138 | -1 391 |
| Monetary gold | 824 | -156 | -336 | -559 | 205 | -13 |  |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | 452 | - | -1 | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | -23 | 287 | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $5{ }^{-}$ |  | - | -' |
| Foreign exchange assets | 2245 | -714 | -6 209 | 938 | 5254 | -1268 |  |  |
| Other assets | 109 | -297 | -141 | 126 | 21 | - |  |  |
| Use made of IMF credit | 550 | 2094 | 1549 | 426 | -118 | -525 | -643 | -911 |

Source: 1982-1988: ECLAC, on the basis of figures obtained from the International Monetary Fund; 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign), plus counterpart items.

Table 18

## BRAZIL: VARIATION IN INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

(Year-end balances in millions of dollars)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Absolute | variation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| I. International liquidity | 10482 | 6760 | 7458 | 9140 | 9679 | -3722 | 698 | 1682 | 539 |
| Reserves, excluding gold ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9478 | 5621 | 6025 | 7484 | 8135 | -3857 | 404 | 1459 | 651 |
| Special Drawing Rights | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IMF reserve position | - | $5{ }^{-}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Foreign exchange | 9478 | 5621 | 6025 | 7484 | 8135 | -3857 | 404 | 1459 | 651 |
| Gold, national valuation | 1004 | 1139 | 1433 | 1656 | 1544 | 135 | 294 | 223 | -112 |
| II. Net reserves of monetary authority ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Assets | 9273 | 5330 | 6799 | 8116 | 8729 | -3 943 | 1469 | 1317 | 613 |
| B. Liabilities | 4873 | 5150 | 9783 | 3869 | 7930 | 277 | 4633 | -5 914 | 4061 |
| Short term | 254 | 660 | 5806 | 348 | 5261 | 406 | 5146 | -5 458 | 4913 |
| Use of IMF credit ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4619 | 4490 | 3977 | 3521 | 2669 | -129 | -513 | -456 | -852 |
| C. Net reserves (A-B) | 4400 | 180 | -2985 | 4247 | 799 | -4 220 | -3165 | 7232 | -3 448 |
| D. Counterpart adjustments | - | - |  | -' | 370 | - | - | - | 370 |
| E. Adjusted net reserves $(C-D)^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 4400 | 180 | -2 985 | 4247 | 1169 | -4 220 | -3 165 | 7232 | -3 078 |

Source: Central Bank of Brazil.
${ }^{4}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data published by the Central Bank of Brazil. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Data published by IMF.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Method of calculation used to calculate balance-of-payments performance within the framework of the corresponding goal agreed with IMF. ' Repurchase obligations. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Balance-of-payments result according to the methodology agreed with IMF.

Table 19
BRAZIL: EXTERNAL DEBT
(Year-end balances in millions of dollars)

| Total external debt (registered and unregistered) | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 71878 | 83205 | 91362 | 99765 | 105126 | 111045 | 121174 | 113469 | 114471 |
| I. Medium- and long-term debt (registered) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 61411 | 70198 | 81319 | 91091 | 95857 | 101759 | 107514 | 102555 | 99285 |
| Compensatory loans |  | 544 | 2645 | . 3967 | 4608 | 4490 | 3938 | 3075 | 2439 |
| United States Government | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| International Monetary Fund | - | 544 | 2645 | 3967 | 4608 | 4490 | 3938 | 3075 | 2439 |
| Other | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds | 2896 | 2610 | 2226 | 1737 | 1919 | 1941 | 1589 | 1460 | 1126 |
| International agencies | 3583 | 3847 | 4326 | 5714 | 7411 | 9059 | 9559 | 9788 | 11095 |
| World Bank | 2264 | 2341 | 2588 | 3905 | 5057 | 6367 | 6932 | 6946 | $8310^{\circ}$ |
| Inter-American Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank | 1071 | 1203 | 1367 | 1397 | 1927 | 2071 | 2405 | 2590 | 2380 |
| International Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporation | 248 | 301 | 303 | 285 | 279 | 231 | 222 | 252 | 405 |
| Official bilateral agencies | 3894 | 4160 | 4855 | 6603 | 7793 | 10225 | 13022 | 13350 | 13720 |
| United States Agency for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| International Development (USAID) | 1019 | 989 | 967 | 895 | 886 | 848 | 860 | 823 | 802 |
| Programme loans | 490 | 470 | 449 | 429 | 410 | 388 | 372 | 347 | 340 |
| Project loans | 529 | 519 | 518 | 466 | 476 | 460 | 488 | 476 | 462 |
| Wheat ${ }^{0}$ | 568 | 889 | 1212 | 1375 | 1155 | 696 | 661 | 444 | 97 |
| United States Export-Import |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank (EXIMBANK) | 955 | 934 | 981 | 789 | 674 | 633 | 532 | 457 | 355 |
| Export-Import Bank of Japan | 508 | 477 | 502 | 384 | 349 | 514 | 602 | 523 | 370 |
| Kreditanstalt fiir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wiederaufbau (RFG) | 624 | 613 | 722 | 644 | 796 | 1167 | 1503 | 1398 | 1528 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 220 | 258 | 471 | 2516 | 4341 | 6367 | 8864 | 9705 | 10568 |
| Supplier credits | 5894 | 5983 | 7513 | 6492 | 7427 | 8429 | 8528 | 9832 | 9357 |
| Financial credits | 44984 | 52918 | 59626 | 66467 | 66195 | 67185 | 67938 | 64458 | 61082 |
| Resolution No. 63 | 13456 | 16146 | 15115 | 13630 | 11379 | 8772 | 6831 | 4317 | 2604 |
| Law No. 4131 | 31520 | 36763 | 44511 | 52830 | 54809 | 58413 | 61100 | 60133 | 58470 |
| Other credits | 160 | 136 | 128 | 111 | 504 | 430 | 539 | 592 | 466 |

II. Short-term debt (unregistered)

| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 4 6 7}$ | 13007 | $\mathbf{1 0 3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 8 6}$ | 13660 | $\mathbf{1 0 9 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 5 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Traditional operations (net) |  | 9292 | 7973 | 8374 | 7285 | 7251 | 7596 | 8015 | 7408 |
| $\quad$ Commercial lines of credit |  | 5034 | 4377 | 3779 | 3126 | 3291 | 3123 | 3143 | 2731 |
| Brazilian commercial banks |  | 4306 | 3596 | 4595 | 4023 | 4060 | 4473 | 4872 | 4677 |
| Assets | 2099 | 1924 | 2274 | 1984 | 1399 | 1432 | 1763 | 2351 |  |
| $\quad$ Liabilities | 6405 | 5520 | 6869 | 6007 | 5459 | 5905 | 6635 | 7028 |  |
| Special operations | 3715 | 2340 | 300 | 134 | 536 | 4632 | 1136 | 5697 |  |
| Bridging loans | 3715 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Arrears in debt payments | - | 2340 | - | - | - | 3973 | - | 4606 |  |
| Paris Club and other operations | - | - | 300 | 134 | 536 | 659 | 1136 | 1091 |  |

Source: Central Bank of Brazil.
" Preliminary figures. Amount adjusted to current exchange rate parities. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ United States Law No. 480, loans from the Canadian Wheat Board and other credits for wheat. ${ }^{d}$ The bulk of this item represents financing which until 1980 was included under the heading "supplier credits".

Table 20
BRAZIL: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Registered debt service | 20765 | 20066 | 20123 | 21399 | 23231 | 22822 | 25817 | 44427 |
| Amortization payments | 8215 | 10403 | 8888 | 10160 | 13176 | 13503 | 15226 | 33456 |
| Interest payments | 12550 | 10263 | 11235 | 11239 | 10055 | 9319 | 10591 | 10971 |
| Disbursements | 14207 | 14518 | 16799 | 12794 | 13963 | 13368 | 15433 | 31128 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Registered external debt/ exports of goods and services | 319.6 | 344.4 | 324.1 | 345.7 | 417.9 | 381.4 | 284.6 | 264.5 |
| Registered external debt service/exports of goods and services | 94.5 | 87.5 | 69.4 | 77.2 | 95.6 | 81.0 | 71.6 | 118.4 |
| Net interest/exports of goods and services | 51.7 | 40.4 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 37.4 | 31.1 | 27.3 | 2610 |
| Registered debt service/ disbursements | 146.2 | 142.4 | 119.8 | 164.3 | 166.4 | 170.7 | 167.3 | 142.7 |

Source: BCLAC, based on data from the International Monetary Fund and the Central Bank of Brazil.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary Figures.

Table 21

## BRAZIL: EVOLUTION OF DOMESTIC PRICES

(Percentages)

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

General price índex ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Total supply
Domestic availability
Wholesale price index

| Wholesale price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total supply | 90.5 | 96.8 | 238.4 | 233.2 | 234.2 | 59.9 | 400.7 | 1055.4 | 1732.4 |
| $\quad$ Agricultural products | 70.7 | 89.0 | 335.8 | 230.5 | 267.7 | 86.2 | 315.0 | 1063.1 | 1267.6 |
| $\quad$ Industrial products | 99.7 | 99.8 | 200.5 | 233.2 | 221.1 | 50.6 | 430.2 | 1053.3 | 1874.8 |
| Domestic availability | 94.3 | 97.7 | 234.0 | 230.3 | 225.7 | 62.6 | 407.2 | 1050.0 | 1748.8 |
| $\quad$ Raw materials | 86.1 | 81.5 | 214.4 | 230.6 | 198.6 | 45.9 | 369.5 | 984.0 | 1506.6 |
| $\quad$ Foodstuffs | 85.9 | 98.9 | 299.5 | 223.6 | 238.1 | 87.5 | 324.7 | 1064.4 | 1498.7 |
| Consumer price index, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rio de Janeiro | 100.6 | 101.8 | 177.9 | 208.7 | 248.5 | 63.5 | 432.3 | 1006.4 | 1759.2 |
| Food | 96.0 | 99.9 | 256.9 | 224.5 | 265.9 | 62.8 | 393.7 | 1173.2 | 1557.4 |
| Construction costs | 86.1 | 108.0 | 148.9 | 213.4 | 283.6 | 81.3 | 416.6 | 1060.5 | 2017.9 |

Nationwide consumer price indexes
$\mathrm{NCPI}^{\mathrm{C}}$

| 93.5 | 100.3 | 178.0 | 209.1 | 239.1 | 58.6 | 396.0 | 994.3 | 1910.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Variation between annual averages

| General price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total supply | 106.8 | 94.2 | 156.3 | 222.5 | 229.4 | 142.6 | 221.7 | 685.3 | 1313.6 |
| Domestic availability | 109.9 | 95.4 | 154.5 | 220.6 | 225.5 | 142.3 | 224.8 | 684.6 | 1319.7 |
| Wholesale price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total supply | 108.2 | 92.0 | 168.3 | 236.2 | 228.9 | 139.8 | 208.3 | 697.2 | 1269.1 |
| Domestic availability | 113.1 | 94.0 | 164.9 | 232.9 | 223.4 | 138.7 | 177.0 | 695.9 | 1291.4 |
| Consumer price index, Rio de Janeiro | 105.5 | 98.0 | 142.0 | 196.8 | 226.9 | 143.7 | 231.7 | 682.3 | 1277.0 |
| Construction costs | 101.0 | 98.2 | 119.6 | 195.1 | 241.3 | 161.3 | 290.5 | 635.9 | 1537.9 |
| Nationwide consumer price indexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCPI ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 102.8 | 97.0 | 140.7 | 195.8 | 218.2 | 137.4 | 221.6 | 638.9 | 1464.5 |
| CPI ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 582.0 | 1335.0 |

Sourre: Getúlio Vargas Foundation, Conjuntura Económica, and Central Bank of Brazil, Informativo mensal (several issues).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ This price index is a weighted average of the indexes of wholesale prices ( $60 \%$ ), the cost of living in Rio de Janeiro ( $30 \%$ ) and construction costs (10\%). b Up to February 1985, this entry corresponded to the index of building costs in Rio de Janeiro. From February 1985 onwards, it represents construction costs in Brazil as a whole. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The National Consumer Price Index (NCPI) covers the expenditures of families having incomes of up to five minimum wages. These data were revised on the basis of the information gathered during the latest survey round. Official index of inflation as from 28 February 1986. ${ }^{e}$ Variation from February to December.

## Table 22

## BRAZIL: AVERAGE REAL WAGES IN MANUFACTURING

(Index $1980=100)$

São Paulo ' Rio de Janeiro

|  | Index | Variation | Index | Variation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 115.1 | -4.9 | 111.1 | -5.0 |
| 1984 | 118.8 | 3.2 | 103.6 | -6.8 |
| 1985 | 136.3 | 14.7 | 111.8 | 7.9 |
| 1986 | 155.1 | 13.8 | 120.7 | 8.0 |
| 1987 | 144.3 | -7.0 | 105.4 | -12.7 |
| 1988 | 156.5 | 8.5 | 102.7 | -2.6 |
| $1989^{\text {c }}$ | 167.5 | 7.0 | 103.6 | $0.9^{\text {d }}$ |

Source: Industrial Federation of the State of São Paulo (FIESP) and the Brazilian Association for the Development of Basic Industries (ABDIB).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated on the basis of the monthly values of the average wage, deflated by he cost-of-living index for the city of São Paulo (icv-Economic Research Institute).

Calculated on the basis of the monthly values of the average wage, deflated by the consumer price index for the city of Rio de Janeiro, as determined by the Getulio Vargas Foundation.
${ }^{c}$ Preliminary figures. December to December variation.

Table 23
BRAZIL: PRIVATE SECTOR LIQUIDITY
(Percentage variations between end-of-December balances)

|  | $\mathbf{M i}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 87.2 | 135.2 | 140.7 | 140.5 |
| 1982 | 81.7 | 51.5 | 104.6 | 110.7 |
| 1983 | 97.4 | 148.8 | 141.9 | 150.5 |
| 1984 | 201.9 | 331.9 | 288.8 | 292.7 |
| 1985 | 304.3 | 358.6 | 903.4 | 30.9 |
| 1986 | 306.7 | 119.9 | 383.9 | 94.8 |
| 1987 | 127.5 | 105.6 | 1063.5 | 1045.6 |
| 1988 | 571.7 | 2027.4 | 1653.4 | 1599.1 |

Source: Getulio Vargas Foundation (OVF), Conjuntura Económica, February 1989.
Note: M2 $=\mathrm{Mi}+$ public securities outside the Central Bank. M3 $=$ M $2+$ saving deposits. M4 M3 + time deposits.

Table 24
BRAZIL: MONETARY BASE AND MEANS OF PAYMENT ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentage variations)

|  | Monetary base |  | Means of payment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | During the year | Over the previous 12 months | During the year | Over the previous 12 months |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |
| March | 1.3 | 70.8 | 9.6 | 115.9 |
| June | 65.4 | 144.9 | 41.1 | 121.6 |
| September | 121.6 | 191.1 | 89.7 | 157.4 |
| December | 264.1 | 264.1 | 201.9 | 201.9 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |
| March | 13.4 | 307.7 | 11.9 | 208.3 |
| June | 40.1 | 208.4 | 59.8 | 241.0 |
| September | 102.5 | 232:7 | 134.7 | 273.6 |
| December | 257.3 | 257.3 | 304.3 | 304.3 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |
| March | 54.0 | 385.4 | 87.4 | 577.0 |
| June | 165.1 | 576.2 | 198.6 | 655.3 |
| September | 229.6 | 481.7 | 236.2 | 479.0 |
| December | 293.5 | 293.5 | 303.8 | 303.8 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |
| March | -5.4 | 141.6 | -6.7 | 102.4 . |
| June | -4.9 | 41.1 | 4.3 | 42.2 |
| September | 81.6 | 116.8 | 36.8 | 65.7 |
| December | 181.5 | 181.5 | 127.4 | 127.4 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| March | 34.1 | 299.1 | 13.0 | 175.3 |
| June | 80.9 | 435.7 | 78.0 | 288.1 |
| September | 183.3 | 339.0 | 179.4 | 364.6 |
| December | 622.3 | 622.3 | 571.7 | 571.7 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |
| March | 58.8 | 754.8 | 40.1 | 733.3 |
| June | 178.9 | 1012.8 | 129.8 | 767.0 |
| September | 374.2 | 1108:9 | 302.8 | 868.4 |
| December | 1754.8 | 1754.8 | 1422.8 | 1422.8 |

Source: Central Bank of Brazil.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ New definitions which take into account the changed situation resulting from the termination of the Banco do Brasil's central banking functions. Preliminary figures.

Table 25
BRAZIL: MAIN MONETARY AGGREGATES

| Billions of cruzados <br> at January 1989 prices |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |

## A. Annual averages

| Means of payment (Mi) | 28445 | 17385 | 11652 | 8844 | -38.9 | -33.0 | -24.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Liquidity (M2) | 61659 | 52154 | 58441 | 62939 | -15.4 | 12.1 | 7.7 |
| Public securities outside <br> the Central Bank | 33214 | 34769 | 46789 | 54095 | 4.7 | 34.6 | 15.6 |
| Public securities outside <br> the Central Bank <br> (in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated at the official <br> exchange rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated at the parallel <br> exchange rate | 25417 | 29847 | 40206 | 61141 | 17.4 | 34.7 | 52.1 |
|  | 16402 | 22883 | 27969 | 30799 | 39.5 | 22.2 | 10.1 |

## B. Year-end data

| Means of payment (Mi) | 37354 | 18233 | 11848 | 9675 | -51.2 | -35.0 | 48.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Liquidity (M2) | 66814 | 58583 | 65534 | 74771 | -12.3 | 11.9 | 14.1 |
| Public securities outside <br> the Central Bank | 29460 | 40351 | 53686 | 65096 | 37.0 | 33.0 | 21.3 |

Public securities outside the Central Bank (in millions of dollars) Calculated at the official

| exchange rate | 24638 | 33784 | 46952 | 75818 | 37.1 | 39.0 | 61.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calculated at the parallel <br> exchange rate | 13034 | 26528 | 25508 | 34357 | 103.5 | -3.8 | 34.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the Getulio Vargas Foundation, Conjuntura Económica.

Table 26
BRAZIL: MONTHLY INTEREST RATES '
(Percentages)

|  | Rates of deposits |  |  | Rates of loans |  | Inflation rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Open market operations | Certificates of deposit | Savings deposits | Working capital | Consumer credit |  |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 11.1 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 9.0 |
| II | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.2 |
| III | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| IV | 3.3 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 4.0 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 14.2 | 15.5 | 17.9 | 18.0 | 19.3 | 15.0 |
| II | 19.3 | 20.6 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 21.2 | 21.9 |
| III | 8.3 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 10.3 | 16.5 | 7.5 |
| IV | 12.3 | 13.5 | 12.6 | 14.4 | 20.0 | 13.3 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 17.4 | 22.9 | 17.4 | 26.4 | 22.4 | 17.6 |
| II | 19.4 | 22.1 | 19.4 | 21.7 | 23.4 | 19.6 |
| III | 23.5 | 26.0 | 23.5 | 28.3 | 27.6 | 23.5 |
| IV | 28.2 | 29.3 | 28.3 | 29.5 | 33.6 | 27.8 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 22.7 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 29.5 | 40.0 | 35.5 |
| February | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | c | 25.0 | 16.4 |
| March | 19.7 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 22.4 | 26.6 | 5.9 |
| April | 10.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 16.8 | 25.3 | 8.1 |
| May | 10.5 | 11.4 | 10.5 | 16.1 | 25.0 | 16.7 |
| June | 25.8 | 27.3 | 25.5 | 21.7 | 26.3 | 29.4 |
| July | 31.5 | 33.2 | 29.4 | 35.3 | 39.5 | 27.4 |
| August | 33.2 | 35.5 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 43.4 | 29.4 |
| September | 37.4 | 38.6 | 36.6 | 42.0 | 45.0 | 36.4 |
| October | 44.1 | 47.6 | 38.3 | 52.0 | 60.0 | 38.7 |
| November | 45.9 | 48.4 | 42.1 | 55.0 | 65.0 | 48.5 |
| December | 69.9 | 64.2 | 54.3 | 70.0 | 78.0 | 51.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" Average monthly rates. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 1985: General price index, with reference to domestic availability; January-February 1986: Extended national consumer price index; March 1986 to May 1987: Consumer price index; June 1987 to December 1987: restricted national consumer price index; 1988 and 1989: National consumer price index. ${ }^{c}$ Businesses were not surveyed.

Table 27
BRAZIL: PUBLIC SECTOR FINANCING NEEDS
(Billions of cruzados)

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Nominal financing | 413 | 3770 | 45139 | 997459 |
| Federal government | 193 | 1668 | 8116 | 302021 |
| Federal public securities | 193 | 1692 | 68201 | 1459135 |
| Credit from Central Bank | -16 | 27 | -36441 | -798 487 |
| External liabilities ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 27 | 83 | 17 |  |
| Financial system | -14 | -34 | -23 202 | -356 845 |
| External financing ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 13 | -98 | -486 | -1782 |
| State and municipal governments | 101 | 952 | 12044 | 258647 |
| State government public securities | 28 | 339 | 3834 | 115793 |
| Credit from Central Bank | 26 | 171 |  |  |
| Financial system | 45 | 462 | 8327 | 142817 |
| External financing ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | -21 | -118 | 37 |
| State enterprises | 130 | 1200 | 23072 | 415703 |
| Federal public securities ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | -21 | -123 | -553 | -13926 |
| Credit from Central Bank | 118 | 585 |  |  |
| Financial system | 66 | 892 | 25079 | 409542 |
| External financing ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -34 | -174 | -1771 | -4 128 |
| Suppliers | 2 | 20 | 315 | 11893 |
| Social security system |  |  |  |  |
| and other agencies ${ }^{\text {Federal public securities }}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -12 | -49 -75 | 1907 | 21088 |
| Financial system | 3 | 26 | 1947 |  |
| B. Monetary and exchange correction | 279 | 3072 | 41178 | 828354 |
| C. Operational financing (A-B) | 133 | 699 | 3960 | 169105 |
| Nominal financing/GDP (\%) | 10.8 | 29.5 | 45.1 | 73.0 |
| Operational financing/ GDP (\%) | 3.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 12.4 |

Source: Central Bank of Brazil.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes funds and programmes. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes interest on foreign currency deposits in the Central Bank and interest on deposits, projects and renegotiated short-term loans. Operations outside the Central Bank. Includes Banco do Brasil and other financial institutions. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Net result of the withdrawal of funds deposited in foreign currency and relending operations, less amortization payments. Net debt. ${ }^{1}$ Outlay on federal securities.

Table 28

## BRAZIL: CURRENT INCOME OF THE NATIONAL TREASURY AND OF STATE AND MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS

|  | Billions of cruzados |  |  | Real growthi rates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Current income of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Treasury | 1202 | 8282 | 112593 | 20.9 | -6.0 | 1.0 | -5.3 |
| Taxes | 782 | 5694 | 81114 | 23.7 | -16.5 | 6.8 | -0.7 |
| Income tax | 355 | 3094 | 48326 | 12.0 | -23.8 | 27.7 | 8.9 |
| Manufactured products | 240 | 1470 | 25810 | 61.6 | -1.6 | -10.3 | 22.4 |
| Financial operations | 64 | 285 | 1858 | 34.1 | -16.3 | -34.4 | -54.5 |
| Electrical energy | 19 | 124 | c | 35.4 | -28.3 | -5.2 | c |
| Lubricants and fuels | 26 | 199 | c | 8.6 | 60.1 | 12.4 | c |
| Imports | 40 | 297 | 4984 | 40.5 | -23.2 | 9.1 | 17.0 |
| Exports | 3 | 17 | 136 | -80.0 | -38.4 | -21.6 | -44.6 |
| Telecommunications | 14 | 96 | c | 19.2 | -15.9 | -0.9 | c |
| Other taxes | 21 | 114 | - | 57.4 | -38.7 | -18.5 | - |
| Taxes earmarked for social programmes | 95 | 680 | 14823 | 10.1 | -17.3 | 5.5 | 51.8 |
| Other current income | 326 | 1907 | 15311 | 15.8 | 42.5 | -14.2 | -44.0 |
| Taxes on goods traffic | 607 | 4310 | 76828 | 29.3 | -15.9 | 4.1 | 24.2 |
| São Paulo | 243 | 1867 | 32413 | 33.4 | -14.7 | 12.8 | 21.0 |
| Rio de Janeiro | 56 | 408 | 7038 | 32.3 | -20.6 | 6.3 | 20.3 |
| Minas Gerais | 59 | 391 | 6829 | 25.7 | -9.9 | -2.4 | 21.6 |
| Southern region ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 110 | 737 | 13345 | 24.7 | -17.1 | -1.7 | 26.2 |
| Other states | 135 | 907 | 17203 | 26.7 | -20.0 | -1.4 | 32.1 |
| National treasury transfers in respect of taxes destined for states and municipalities | 236 | 1658 | 21587 | 26.9 | -0.4 | 3.1 | -9.3 |

Source: Central Bank of Brazil and Ministry of Finance.
${ }^{a} 1986$ and 1987: deflated by the General Price Index with reference to domestic availability; 1988 and 1989: deflated by the Consumer Price Index (official index). ${ }^{b}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{c}$ Taxes transferred to states and municipalities under the new Constitution of October $1988 .{ }^{\text {d }}$ Contributions to the Social Investment Fund (FINSOCIAL), education, sector wages and social security. ${ }^{e}$ Comprises the states of Paraná, Santa Catarina and Rio Grande do Sul.

## COLOMBIA

In 1989 the Colombian economy continued to grow, though at a slower pace, as had been the trend since the 1986 boom in coffee prices. Consequently, the per capita gross domestic product increased for the sixth year running. At the same time, inflation declined slightly (from $28 \%$ to $26 \%$ ) in the context of a monetary expansion verging on $30 \%$ and a fiscal deficit that remained below $2.5 \%$ of GDP, although it was somewhat higher than that of 1988. The trends were positive in external accounts, despite the slump in the price of international coffee in the second half of the year, whose full effects on external accounts and domestic demand will be felt in 1990 (see table 1 and figure 1).

These results were achieved against the background of a violent confrontation between the Government and narcotics smuggling cartels, which forced the authorities to spend more on acquiring the resources needed to stamp out these cartels, and resulted in a slight increase in the fiscal deficit. Terrorist attacks on oil pipelines, manufacturing plants and public facilities also heightened the climate of insecurity and economic uncertainty, with the most tangible impact being the decline of tourism and the deterioration of the private investment climate.

The increasing violence of drug traffickers and clandestine groups brought about a slowdown in domestic demand. Fixed investments declined, while there were no variations in stocks after a year of considerable accumulation. Gross capital formation thus suffered a severe setback ( $-11 \%$ ). Consumption, on the contrary, grew even faster than in previous years. The main stimulant for this growth was the physical increase in exports of $8 \%$, which strengthened export diversification mainly in the direction of fuels (oil and coal) and minerals (nickel). Export diversification was also the aim of the policy of
maintaining a high real exchange rate, thereby stimulating exports of non-traditional manufactures.

The gross domestic product grew slightly more than $3 \%$, but the negative effect of the terms of trade and considerable capital outlays for external factor payments resulted in a rise of only $2 \%$ in gross national income.

The industrial sector was as sluggish as the previous year, recording a growth rate barely exceeding $2 \%$. There were disruptions in the production of some items for the domestic market, aggravated by the reluctance of investors to expand and modernize production capacity, which affected pricing policies.

The construction boom was abruptly cut short by a number of tax measures implemented at the beginning of the year and certain restrictions aimed at ensuring that potential recipients of housing loans would be able to meet their mortgage servicing obligations on time.

Agriculture benefited from higher support prices, which stimulated the production of crops for domestic consumption. On the other hand, bad weather resulted in a decline in coffee production.

Although coffee sales rose considerably, the lower international coffee price as from July represented a decline in earnings of US\$200 million. This was amply offset by the higher oil and coal earnings that resulted from better world prices and more shipments abroad. Foreign exchange, credit and trade incentives and accumulated domestic surpluses helped to enhance external sales of non-traditional goods, particularly manufactures.

The very moderate growth in imports was due to the sharp increase in stocks in 1988 and the slower rate of expansion of domestic demand, while imports of capital goods virtually stagnated.

Figure 1
COLOMBIA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS


Figure 1 (concluded)
Billions of dollars


$3^{\circ} \mathrm{r} \%$

20

10


1982
1985
19B8
Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

The negative current account deficit was reduced as a result of the doubling of the trade surplus in goods and services, despite the growing burden -over $25 \%$ of exports of goods and services- imposed by the strict and timely servicing of the external debt. Capital income was sustained by resources from direct and portfolio investments, since the net disbursement of long-term credits was only moderate. This resulted in a small increase in international reserves. The net transfer of resources from abroad became negative again following the reduction in both long- and short-term financing. Similarly, unrequited private transfer payments, which included income of unspecified origin and had become
one of the main sources of funds, represented nearly a fifth of exports of goods.

In line with the exchange policy of recent years, the rate of nominal devaluations closely followed the inflation rate and was even slightly higher than the consumer price index. The real exchange rate, which remained stable when compared to the average inflation rate of Colombia's major trading partners, helped to maintain the country's external competitiveness.

Control of the fiscal deficit was another of the main tools used to maintain macroeconomic balances. The authorities tried to offset spending by the National Coffee Fund and defence outlays by curtailing other

Figure 2
COLOMBIA: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX (Percentages)


Source: ECLAC, on the basts of official figuras.
expenditure and deliberately postponing some public investments. Thus, the public sector deficit was maintained at a level similar to that of the preceding year ( $2.4 \%$ of the gross domestic product). The deficit was financed in almost equal proportions through the use of external credit and through support from the Banco de la República de Colombia.

After accelerating in the previous biennium from $21 \%$ to $28 \%$, the inflation rate declined slightly, mainly owing to smaller increases in food prices and a greater supply of agricultural and imported products. This helped to offset the faster rises in prices of other items, even prices controlled by the Government. Upward pressures at the end of 1988 resulted in relatively high rates of inflation in the first few months of 1989. However, after the first
quarter, the greater agricultural supply and restrictive monetary policy reversed that trend.

The thrust of monetary policy in 1988 had been to contain inflationary pressures caused by a prior increase in liquidity. In August 1988, the monetary authorities replaced the existing contractive measures with interest-rate controls aimed at counteracting recessionary trends. In January 1989, the interest rate was deregulated and showed only slight fluctuations during the year. Similarly, in order to curtail price rises a fairly strict monetary control policy was imposed. Thus, the expansion of the monetary base ( $30 \%$ ) was similar to that of the nominal product. Open market operations were used to absorb the liquidity generated by the increase in reserves and credit.

Table 1
COLOMBIA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexe; ${ }^{(1980=100)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 103.3 | 105.3 | 109.3 | 113.4 | 121.2 | 128.0 | 132.8 | 137.4 |
| Gross national income | 100.1 | 101.6 | 104.9 | 108.0 | 118.9 | 121.9 | 127.4 | 30.4 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 28.1 | 28.7 | 29.3 | 29.9 | 30:5 | 31.1 | 31.7 | 32.3 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 99.0 | 98.8 | 100.4 | 102.1 | 107.0 | 110.7 | 112.6 | 114.2 |
| Public sector deficit/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money (MI)/GDP |  | 13.4 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 12.5 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 83.5 | 82.9 | 87.6 | 98.6 | 126.2 | 141.2 | 142.7 | 142.0 |
| Unemployment rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9.2 | 11.8 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 9.7 |
|  |  | wth rat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | 1.0 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Per capita gross national product | -1.1 | -0.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Gross national income | -0.2 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 10.2 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2.3 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 23.9 | 16.7 | 18.3 | 22.7 | 20.7 | 24.6 | 28.3 | 26.1 |
| Real wages and salaries ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 3.4 | 5.2 | 7.3 | -3.0 | 4.8 | -0.7 | -1.4 | 1.2 |
| Current value of exports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | 11.3 | -14.0 | -7.6 | -4.2 | -0.1 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 5.8 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.1 | -10.1 | 18.4 | -20.3 | 2.2 | -5.0 |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | -2 269 | -1970 | -149 | -617 | 1421 | 691 | 278 | 555 |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 954 | 1197 | 1552 | 1653 | 1742 | 1712 | 1598 | 1844 |
| Balance on current account | -3 056 | -3 022 | -1411 | -1815 | 480 | -13 | -346 | -180 |
| Balance on capital account | 2180 | 1181 | 1038 | 1970 | 933 | -99 | 777 | 210 |
| Variation in net international reserves | -719 | -1748 | -1155 | 278 | 1464 | -22 | 360 | 57 |
| Total external debt | 10269 | 11458 | 12350 | 14063 | 14987 | 15663 | 16434 | 16249 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
' Preliminary figures. ${ }_{e}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percentages. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Average annual rate in the seven principal cities. National index for manual workers. ${ }^{e}$ Average real wages of manual workers in manufacturing.

Table 2
COLOMBIA: REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 121.9 | 127.4 | 130.4 | 99.8 | 95.0 | 95.7 | 94.7 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 128.0 | 132.8 | 137.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Effect of the terms of trade |  |  |  | " | -3.4 | -3.0 | -3.9 |
| c) Net factor income from abroad | 671.6 | 614.1 | 664.2 | -0.8 | -4.0 | -3.5 | -3.6 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 590.3 | 558.6 | 595.8 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.
Table 3
COLOMBIA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 == 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total supply | 124.1 | 129.6 | 133.3 | 116.8 | 113.3 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 2.9 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 128.0 | 132.8 | 137.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Imports of goods and services | 100.7 | 110.2 | 109.3 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 9.5 | -0.8 |
| Total demand | 124.1 | 129.6 | 133.3 | 116.8 | 113.3 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 2.9 |
| Domestic demand | 121.1 | 127.6 | 130.0 | 100.4 | 95.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 1.9 |
| Gross domestic investment | 110.5 | 124.3 | 111.1 | 18.7 | 15.1 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 12.5 | -10.6 |
| Gross fixed investment | 115.3 | 125.8 | 124.0 | 16.8 | 15.1 | 7.6 | 0.8 | 9.2 | -1.5 |
| Construction | 121.6 | 134.4 | 136.0 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 5.6 | -11.0 | 10.5 | 1.2 |
| Machinery- | 107.8 | 115.8 | 109.9 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 11.7 | 22.3 | 7.4 | -5.0 |
| Changes in stocks | 68.2 | 110.9 |  | 1.9 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 123.6 | 128.3 | 134.4 | 81.7 | 79.9 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| General government | 126.3 | 135.6 | 143.1 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 5.5 |
| Private | 123.2 | 127.3 | 133.1 | 71.6 | 69.4 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4.6 |
| Exports of goods and services | 142.3 | 142.0 | 153.6 | 16.4 | 18.3 | 30.1 | 17.0 | -0.2 | 8.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official and IMF figures.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were converted to constant 1980 values using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for this purpose.

Table 4
COLOMBIA: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS
DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As a percentage of GDP $)^{\mathrm{a}}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic investment | 21.6 | 20.7 | 18.8 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 17.5 | 15.1 |
| Gross domestic saving | 16.5 | 15.7 | 19.0 | 16.6 | 19.3 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 20.1 |
| Net income for factor <br> services | -2.6 | -3.5 | -4.0 | -4.3 | -4.5 | -4.0 | -3.5 | -3.6 |
| Effect of the terms of <br> trade | -1.2 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -1.9 | 0.3 | -3.4 | -3.0 | -3.9 |
| Unrequited private <br> transfers | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Gross national saving | 13.1 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 11.6 | 17.2 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 14.8 |
| External saving | 8.4 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 4.7 | -1.2 | - | 0.8 | 0.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of offical data.
" At market prices in constant 1980 dollars, at the adjusted exchange rate. Preliminary figures.

Table 5
COLOMBIA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTTVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross demestic product | 128.0 | 132.8 | 137.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Goods | 134.2 | 138-3 | 144.2 | 49.6 | 52.1 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 43 |
| Agriculture | 118.4 | 121.5 | 127.4 | 19.4 | 18.0 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 4.9 |
| Mining | 414.7 | 425.7 | 471.3 | 2.3 | 7.8 | 62.1 | 24.1 | 2.6 | 10.7 |
| Manufacturing | 119.2 | 121.8 | 124.6 | 233 | 21.1 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| Construction | 137.2 | 149.0 | 150.9 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 4.9 | -10.0 | 8.6 | 1.3 |
| Basic services | 119.7 | 123.8 | 128.2 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 135.4 | 143.7 | 150.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 8.9 | 6.1 | 4.5 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 117.3 | 120.9 | 125.0 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| Other services | 123.5 | 129.9 | 134.5 | 39.9 | 39.1 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 3.5 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 115.6 | 120.8 | 122.3 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 1.2 |
| Financial establishments, insurance, real estate and business services | 124.8 | 131.6 | 138.9 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 128.7 | 133.2 | 137.4 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Community, social and personal services | 130.1 | 137.4 | 142.3 | 12.9 | 13.4 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 3.6 |
| Government services | 137.1 | 147.4 | 154.0 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 4.5 |
| Adjustments for banking services | 118.5 | 135.7 | 159.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | -0.2 | 16.2 | 14.5 | 17.5 |
| Import duties | 105.0 | 113.8 | 110.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 8.4 | -2.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted with the structure at 1980 current prices. The resulting trends therefore do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 6
COLOMBIA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION


Source: Ministry of Agriculture, Banco de la República de Colombia and the National Coffee-Growers' Federation.
Note: The data from 1980 to 1987 are from the national census of animals slaughtered.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data on the basis of a sample of animal-slaughter through October. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Agricultural year. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Production of oil pulp.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Production of raw sugar. ' Coffee-growing year 1 October to 30 September.

Table 7
COLOMBIA: PHYSICAL INDICATORS OF THE COFFEE SECTOR

|  | Thousands of 60-kilo bags |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Production | 12073 | 11260 | 10712 | 12974 | 11811 | 11066 | -2.6 | -4.9 | 21.1 | -9.0 | -6.3 |
| Domestic consumption | 1689 | 1849 | 1969 | 1914 | 1822 | 1543 | 14.0 | 6.5 | -2.8 | -4.8 | -15.3 |
| Exports | 11103 | 10000 | 011381 | 11128 | 37788 | 81082 | -1.9 | 13.8 | -0.9 | -13.3 | 10.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the National Coffee-Growers' Federation (FEDERACAFE). ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Sales to domestic processors.

Table 8
COLOMBIA: EVOLUTION OF COFFEE PRICES

Price

External $^{8}$\begin{tabular}{r}

${ }^{\text {EK }} P^{\text {ott }}$| h |
| ---: |
| repayment | <br>

Domestic ${ }^{0}$
\end{tabular}

| Annual averages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 1.56 | 252.3 | 8663 |
| 1981 | 1.30 | 188.8 | 9453 |
| 1982 | 1.42 | 208.6 | 11171 |
| 1983 | 1.34 | 195.7 | 13010 |
| 1984 | 1.16 | 206.1 | 15429 |
| 1985 | 1.48 | 210.3 | 19509 |
| 1986 | 1.95 | 308.3 | 37507 |
| 1987 | 1.14 | 178.0 | 41819 |
| 1988 | 1.37 | 206.1 | 49392 |
| 1989 | 1.09 | 165.0 | 62373 |

End of:
1987

| March | 0.98 | 155.83 | 41250 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 1.03 | 171.23 | 41250 |
| September | 1.10 | 155.83 | 41250 |
| December | 1.24 | 189.02 | $44000^{\prime}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 1.36 | 204.94 | 46700 |
| March | 1.38 | 211.39 | 49000 |
| June | 1.40 | 209.67 | 49000 |
| September | 1.68 | 248.26 | 55000 |
| December |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 1.40 | 210.61 | 61800 |
| March | 1.17 | 170.37 | 64683 |
| June | 0.78 | 114.61 | 64683 |
| September | 0.76 | 111.91 | 67700 |
| December |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of statistics supplied by the Banco de la República de Colombia, the National Coffee-Growers' Federation (FEDERACAFE) and the International Coffee Organization (oic).

* Dollars per pound. Correspond to the prevailing price on the New York commodities exchange for the "other milds" variety, plus US $\$ 0.02$. Minimum price in dollars per $60-\mathrm{kg}$ bag of green coffee that the exporter must repay to the Banco de la República. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Pesos per $125-\mathrm{kg}$ load of parchment coffee. Effective price, since the Coffee Savings Bonds were eliminated.

Table 9

## COLOMBIA: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION



Source: GDP: National Bureau of Statistics (DANE); Coal: Colombian Coal Company (CARBOCOL); Iron ore: Paz del Rio Steelworks; Nickel ore: Colombiana Nickel Company (ECONIQUEL); Petroleum: Colombian Petroleum Corporation (ECOPETROL); Gold, Silver, Platinum: Banco de la República de Colombia, Department of Precious Metals; Salt: Industrial Development Institute (iFl)-Concesión Salinas.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Millionds of pounds. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of 42-gallon barrels $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Thousands of troy ounces.

Table 10

## COLOMBIA: INDICATORS OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTION

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Millions of barrels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extraction of crude oil | 45.6 | 110.7 | 140.6 | 136.9 | 148.2 | 71.9 | 27.0 | -2.6 | 8.3 |
| Output of petroleum products | 54.5 | 69.4 | 79.2 | 77.9 | 78.7 | 6.9 | 14.1 | -1.6 | 1.0 |
| External trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports of crude oil | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports of petroleum products | 13.1 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 9.7 | -17.0 | -38.5 | 47.9 | 36.6 |
| Exports of crude oil |  | 32.0 | 53.1 | 52.9 | 59.9 |  | 65.9 | -0.4 | 13.2 |
| Exports of petroleum products | 11.2 | 21.5 | 25.9 | 25.8 | 25.4 | 7.0 | 20.5 | -0.4 | -1.6 |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total exports | 100 | 631 | 1370 | 986 | 1394 | 54.3 | 117.1 | -28.1 | 41.4 |
| Total imports | 530 | 125 | 110 | 170 | 241 | -73.2 | -12.0 | 54.5 | 41.8 |
| Energy balance | -430 | 506 | 1260 | 816 | 1153 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Banco de la República de Colombia and Colombian Petroleum Corporation (ECOPETROL); the figures for values correspond to those given in the balance of payments.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 11
COLOMBIA: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION
(Growth rates)

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -0.5 | 9.9 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| Consumer goods | 1.1 | 9.1 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 4.4 |  |  |
| Foodstuffs | 3.1 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 9.8 |
| Beverages | 6.1 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 7.2 | -3.3 | 1.7 |
| Tobacco | 9.4 | 13.8 | 6.6 | 0.9 | -8.7 | -6.4 | -6.6 |
| Textiles | -7.6 | 12.6 | 4.6 | 10.1 | 8.8 | -5.3 | -5.5 |
| Clothing | -9.4 | 9.3 | -12.2 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 17.7 | 11.8 |
| Footwear | 1.6 | -14.9 | -0.3 | 6.1 | -6.5 | 2.9 | 7.2 |
| Wooden furniture | -14.6 | 4.6 | 3.9 | -8.4 | 0.8 | 27.0 | -6.4 |
| Printing, publishing and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| related activities | -1.4 | -10.3 | -6.6 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 12.7 | -9.9 |
| Miscellaneous industries | 12.3 | 23.4 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 2.7 |
| Intermediate goods | 1.8 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 8.1 |  |  |
| Lumber industry | -3.8 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 23.1 | 8.6 | 14.9 |
| Paper and paper products | -1.7 | 12.1 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 11.1 | 2.7 | 14.0 |
| Industrial chemicals | 14.3 | 23.3 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 4.4 | -1.7 |
| Other chemicals | -3.7 | 7.4 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 7.0 |
| Petroleum products | 10.0 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 14.4 | 8.2 | -3.1 | 2.4 |
| Other petroleum and coal products | 6.5 | -0.5 | 20.6 | -1.9 | 5.4 | 10.2 | -1.4 |
| Rubber products | -6.1 | 8.6 | 6.1 | -1.2 | -6.1 | 15.6 | -3.9 |
| Plastic products | 7.1 | -7.1 | -3.3 | 18.1 | 0.4 | -6.5 | -5.9 |
| Glass and glass products | -3.5 | -4.2 | 21,2 | -1.3 | 17.9 | 9.2 | -0.7 |
| Other non-metallic mineral products | -2.7 | 14.8 | -2.6 | 6.6 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| Basic iron and steel industries | 8.9 | -7.8 | - | 7.7 | 12.9 | 8.9 | 0.9 |
| Basic non-ferróus metals industries | 0.4 | -8.9 | -0.4 | 19.8 | 28.0 | -2.0 | -16.6 |
| Articles of clay, pottery and other china | -9.3 | 5.2 | 13.8 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 9.1 | -0.9 |
| Leather and leather products | -19.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 19.2 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| Capital goods | -93 | 17.1 | -132 | 11.6 | 11.6 |  |  |
| Metal products, except machinery | -0.1 | 7.0 | -2.1 | 13.1 | 2.3 | 13.1 | -12.3 |
| Machinery, except electrical machinery | -9.7 | -2.2 | -26.2 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 8.6 | 4.9 |
| Electrical machinery and appliances | -15.7 | 0.8 | -1.6 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 7.2 | -4.1 |
| Transport equipment | -13.6 | 55.6 | -21.1 | 12.4 | 21.5 | 19.3 | -6.9 |
| Professional and scientific equipment | -0.7 | 28.4 | 9.5 | 6.2 | 14.3 | 12.9 | -9.8 |

Source: National Bureau of Statistics (DANE).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimated figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Does not include coffee hulling.

Table 12
COLOMBIA: APPROVED CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

|  | Thousands of square metres |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total surface area (all types) | 7478 | 7484 | 8843 | 8104 | 7608 | 0.1 | 18.2 | -8.4 | -6.1 |
| Ten major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cities | 6805 | 7251 | 8567 | 7859 | 7291 | 6.6 | 18.1 | -83 | -12 |
| Bogotá | 2988 | 3257 | 4065 | 3542 | 3337 | 9.0 | 24.8 | -12.9 | -5.8 |
| Barranquilla | 138 | 157 | 196 | 148 | 249 | 13.8 | 24.8 | -24.5 | 68.2 |
| Bucaramanga | 150 | 157 | 335 | 337 | 350 | 4.7 | 113.4 | 0.6 | 3.9 |
| Cali" | 1074 | 1342 | 1185 | 1170 | 1263 | 25.0 | -11.7 | -1.3 | 7.9 |
| Cartagena | 257 | 151 | 270 | 214 | 279 | -41.2 | 78.8 | -20.7 | 30.4 |
| Cúcuta | 97 | 91 | 139 | 122 | 115 | -6.2 | 52.7 | -12.2 | -5.7 |
| Manizales | 124 | 144 | 110 | 100 | 203 | 16.1 | -23.6 | -9.1 | 103.0 |
| Medellin | 1732 | 1717 | 1919 | 1997 | 1292 | -0.9 | 11.8 | 4.1 | -35.3 |
| Neiva | 107 | 144 | 192 | 76 | 104 | 34.6 | 33.3 | -60.4 | 36.8 |
| Pasto | 138 | 91 | 156 | 153 | 99 | -34.1 | 71.4 | -1.9 | -35.3 |
| Total surface area of new housing | 6427 | 6336 | 7093 | 6064 | 5630 | -1.4 | 11.9 | -14.5 | $\bullet 12$ |
| Ten major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bogotá | 2594 | 2947 | 3434 | 2818 | 2745 | 13.6 | 16.5 | -17.9 | -2.6 |
| Barranquilla | 98 | 115 | 149 | 105 | 135 | 173 | 29.6 | -29.5 | 28.6 |
| Bucaramanga | 89 | 136 | 278 | 223 | 283 | 52.8 | 104.4 | -19.8 | 26.9 |
| Cali ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 965 | 1210 | 989 | 994 | 897 | 25.4 | -18.3 | 0.5 | -9.8 |
| Cartagena | 210 | 121 | 202 | 186 | 213 | -42.4 | 66.9 | -7.9 | 14.5 |
| Cúcuta | 69 | 77 | 115 | 102 | 89 | 11.6 | 49.4 | -11.3 | -12.7 |
| Manizales | 89 | 128 | 74 | 73 | 130 | 43.8 | -42.2 | -1.4 | 78.1 |
| Medellín ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1474 | 1234 | 1351 | 1210 | 812 | -16.3 | 9.5 | -10.4 | -32.9 |
| Neiva | 96 | 116 | 163 | 61 | 69 | 20.8 | 40.5 | -62.6 | 13.1 |
| Pasto | 132 | 71 | 128 | 139 | 71 | -46.2 | 80.3 | 8.6 | -45.8 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Bureau of Statistics (DANE).

* Preliminary figures Total surface area, including metropolitan area. ${ }^{c}$ Includes permits approved in the metropolitan area of Yumbo. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Includes permits approved in the metropolitan areas of Bello, Envigado and Itagüí.

Table 13
COLOMBIA: TRENDS IN EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

|  | 1988 |  | 1989 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19851986198719881989 | March June | Sep- <br> tem- <br> ber | ```De- cem-March ber``` | June | Sep- <br> tern- <br> ber | De- <br> cem- <br> ber |


| Employment (percentage variation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | -1.6 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Manual workers | -2.1 | -0.5 | 3.2 | 2.7 | -0.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.7 | -1.7 | -0.1 | -1.0 | 0.8 |
| Non-manual workers | -0.1 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Commerce | -1.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 5.8 | -3.1 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 5.4 | $6.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -0.9 | -1.8 | -3.7 | -6.1 |
| Rate of participation ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 56.8 | 57.0 | 57.8 | 58.4 | 57.9 | 58.1 | 58.9 | 57.6 | 58.8 | 57.5 | 58.6 | 57.2 | 58.1 |
| Unemployment | 13.9 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 113 | 9.7 | 12.8 | 11.9 | 10.2 | ÎOJ | 10.8 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 92 |
| Bogotá | 12.8 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 6.4 |
| Barranquilla | 15.7 | 16.4 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 7.4 |
| Medellin | 16.0 | 15.2 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 11.6 | 12.8 |
| Cali | 14.3 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 10.4 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 11.8 |
| Bucaramanga | 10.5 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 9.9 | 9.1 |
| Manizales | 16.4 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 10.0 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 11.2 |
| Pasto | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 13.7 | 14.9 | 15.1 |
| Source: National Bureau of Statistics (DANE). <br> * The percentage variation corresponds to the quarterly average. |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Excludes the hulling of coffee. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ In the seven |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14
COLOMBIA: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rates (pesos per dollar) | Indexes of real effective exchange rate ${ }^{8 i}$$(1985=100)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Imports |
|  |  | (A) | (B) | (A) |
| 1970-1979 |  | 108.3 |  | 104.5 |
| 1980-1989 |  | 111.1 | 113.7 | 107.0 |
| 1980 | 47 | 101.4 | 114.3 | 94.6 |
| 1981 | 54 | 91.7 | 98.9 | 90.0 |
| 1982 | 64 | 84.7 | 89.4 | 83.4 |
| 1983 | 79 | 84.1 | 85.7 | 82.5 |
| 1984 | 101 | 88.8 | 85.9 | 88.4 |
| 1985 | 142 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 194 | 128.2 | 125.7 | 123.0 |
| 1987 | 243 | 143.2 | 142.9 | 133.3 |
| 1988 | 299 | 144.7 | 146.7 | 136.7 |
| 1989 | 383 | 144.0 | 147.1 | 138.0 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 225 | 138.4 | 137.6 | 128.4 |
| II | 237 | 141.9 | 142.8 | 132.3 |
| III | 249 | 143.3 | 141.6 | 134.0 |
| IV | 259 | 149.0 | 149.6 | 138.6 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 271 | 145.9 | 145.5 | 136.2 |
| II | 290 | 145.6 | 146.9 | 136.9 |
| III | 309 | 140.2 | 144.3 | 133.8 |
| IV | 327 | 147.1 | 150.1 | 139.7 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 347 | 143.2 | 147.6 | 137.4 |
| II | 370 | 140.7 | 142.9 | 135.6 |
| III | 394 | 141.8 | 144.8 | 135.4 |
| IV | 420 | 150.1 | 153.3 | 143.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
Note: A: Deflated by the wholesale price index. B: Deflated by the index of average nominal wages for manual workers in manufacturing.
" Corresponds to the average of the indexes of the real exchange rates for the peso with respect'to the currencies of Colombia's main trading partners, weighted according to the relative significance of exports to those countries or imports from them, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980, the relative weights assigned correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979, and from 1981 onward, they correspond to the average for the period 1982-1985. Wholesale price indexes were used in the calculations whenever possible. For information on the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix, to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981.

Table 15

## COLOMBIA: EXPORTS OF GOODS

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 5332 | 5254 | 5340 | 5978 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46.1 | -L5 | 1.6 | 11.9 |
| Coffee | 2742 | 1633 | 1621 | 1424 | 51.4 | 23.8 | 61.1 | -40.4 | -0.7 | -12.2 |
| Other | 2589 | 3621 | 3719 | 4554 | 48.6 | 76.2 | 24.5 | 39.9 | 2.7 | 22.5 |
| Petroleum and petroleum products | 619 | 1342 | 985 | 1415 | 2.3 | 23.7 | 51.3 | 116.8 | -26.6 | 43.7 |
| Coal | 201 | 263 | 304 | 480 | 0.2 | 8.0 | 59.5 | 30.8 | 15.6 | 57.9 |
| Ferronickel | 48 | 76 | 161 | 160 | - | 2.7 | -12.7 | 58.3 | 111.8 | -0.6 |
| Gold | 359 | 384 | 413 | 365 | 7.2 | 6.1 | -1.6 | 7.0 | 7.6 | -11.6 |
| Other | 1362 | 1556 | 1856 | 2134 | 38.9 | 35.7 | 21.1 | 14.2 | 19.3 | 15.0 |

Source: Banco de la República de Colombia, from balance-of-payments data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 16
COLOMBIA: IMPORTS OF GOODS

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 3409 | 3794 | 4515 | 4726 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -12 | 11.3 | 19.0 | 4.7 |
| Consumer goods | 380 | 488 | 515 | 667 | 13.3 | 14.1 | 10.1 | 28.4 | 5.5 | 29.5 |
| Intermediate goods | 1784 | 1925 | 2413 | 2470 | 52.7 | 523 | -17.5 | 7.9 | 25.4 | 2.4 |
| Petroleum | 130 | 101 | 148 | 185 | 13.1 | 3.9 | -72.1 | -22.3 | 46.5 | 25.0 |
| Other | 1654 | 1823 | 2265 | 2285 | 39.6 | 48.3 | -2.5 | 10.2 | 24.2 | 0.9 |
| Capital goods | 1245 | 1381 | 1587 | 1589 | 34.0 | 33.6 | 6.9 | 10.9 | 14.9 | 0.1 |
| Source: Banco de la Re <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. | mbia, | from bal | ance-of-p | payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 17
COLOMBIA: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS
(GOODS)

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Exports (FOB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | -20.8 | -1.4 | -4.6 | 43.9 | -14.6 | 46.1 | -1.5 | 1.6 | 12.0 |
| Volume | -10.8 | -4.9 | -2.9 | 39.0 | -9.4 | 23.7 | 22.2 | -2.8 | 10.1 |
| Unit value | -11.2 | 3.7 | -1.7 | 3.5 | -5.8 | 18.1 | -19.4 | 4.5 | 1.7 |
| Imports (FOB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 10.4 | 13.3 | -16.7 | -9.8 | -8.8 | -7.2 | 11.3 | 19.0 | 4.7 |
| Volume | 5.8 | 11.7 | $\wedge 8.2$ | -6.8 | -11.4 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 14.8 | -0.7 |
| Unit value | 4.4 | 1.4 | -9.3 | -3.2 | 3.0 | -9.1 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 5.4 |
| Terms of trade | -15.2 | 2.1 | 7.6 | 5.9 | -8.5 | 28.8 | -23.0 | 0.7 | -3.4 |
| Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 75.7 | 73.4 | 76.6 | 112.8 | 93.6 | 149.1 | 140.4 | 137.4 | 146.0 |
| Volume of exports | 89.2 | 84.8 | 82.3 | 114.4 | 103.7 | 128.2 | 156.7 | 152.4 | 167.7 |
| Volume of imports | 105.8 | 118.1 | 108.5 | 101.1 | 89.5 | 91.4 | 97.0 | 111.3 | 110.5 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 84.8 | 86.6 | 93.1 | 98.6 | 90.3 | 116.3 | 89.6 | 90.2 | 87.1 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the <br> * Preliminary figures. | figures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 18
COLOMBIA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -3 056 | -3 022 | -1411 | -1815 | 480 | -13 | -346 | -180 |
| Trade balance | -2 269 | -1970 | -149 | -617 | 1421 | 691 | 278 | 555 |
| Exports of goods and services | 4424 | 3784 | 5167 | 4476 | 6509 | 6401 | 6662 | 7307 |
| Goods FOB | 3113 | 2970 | 4273 | 3650 | 5332 | 5254 | 5340 | 5978 |
| Real services | 1309 | 815 | 894 | 825 | 1177 | 1146 | 1322 | 1329 |
| Transport and insurance | 436 | 390 | 395 | 370 | 391 | 459 | 528 | 558 |
| Travel | 484 | 235 | 245 | 192 | 418 | 349 | 383 | 371 |
| Imports of goods and services | 6693 | 5754 | 5316 | 5093 | 5087 | 5710 | 6384 | 6751 |
| Goods FOB | 5358 | 4464 | 4027 | 3674 | 3409 | 3794 | 4515 | 4726 |
| Real services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1335 | 1290 | 1288 | 1419 | 1679 | 1917 | 1869 | 2025 |
| Transport and insurance | 794 | 639 | 596 | 574 | 597 | 556 | 595 | 639 |
| Travel | 259 | 315 | 329 | 313 | 611 | 666 | 538 | 554 |
| Factor services | . 954 | -1197 | -1552 | -1653 | -1742 | -1712 | -1598 | -1844 |
| Profits | -300 | -437 | -441 | -380 | -555 | -526 | -409 | -558 |
| Interest received | 498 | 272 | 108 | 91 | 131 | 176 | 227 | 259 |
| Interest paid and due | -1147 | -1011 | -1177 | -1 293 | -1315 | -1341 | -1415 | -1539 |
| Labour and'ownership | -4 | -21 | -42 | -71 | -3 | -20 | -1 | -6 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 167 | 145 | 289 | 455 | 801 | 1009 | 974 | 1108 |
| Balance on capital account | 2180 | 1181 | 1038 | 1970 | 933 | -99 | 777 | 210 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 2 | 19 | 10 | 6 | -16 | -8 | -8 | - |
| Long-term capital | 1615 | 1528 | 1821 | 2349 | 2629 | 185 | 851 | 690 |
| Direct investment | 337 | 514 | 561 | 1016 | 562 | 287 | 186 | 468 |
| Portfolio investment | -7 | -2 | -3 | -1 | 31 | 48 | - | 179 |
| Other long-term capital | 1285 | 1016 | 1264 | 1334 | 2036 | -150 | 665 | 44 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 329 | 102 | 355 | 297 | 1850 | -91 | 610 | 390 |
| Loans received | 505 | 290 | 645 | 633 | 2769 | 1152 | 2247 | 2271 |
| Amortization payments | -172 | -188 | -290 | -329 | -919 | -1243 | -1367 | -1881 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Loans received | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amortization payments | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other sectors | 956 | 914 | 909 | 1037 | 186 | -59 | 55 | -346 |
| Loans received | 1208 | 1360 | 1419 | 1539 | 441 | 81 | 152 | 142 |
| Amortization payments | -252 | -446 | -510 | -502 | -255 | -140 | -97 | -488 |
| Short-term capital | 617 | -93 | -878 | -114 | -1551 | -194 | 235 | -166 |
| Official sector | 36 | 90 | 22 | 13 | -1007 | -93 | 83 | 55 |
| Commercial banks | 119 | 64 | -188 | 3 |  |  | ... |  |
| Other sectors | 461 | -247 | -712 | -130 |  |  |  |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -53 | -273 | 84 | -273 | -129 | -83 | -301 | -314 |
| Global balance | -875 | -1841 | -373 | 154 | 1413 | -112 | 431 | 30 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 719 | 1748 | 1155 | -278 | -1464 | 22 | -360 | -57 |
| Monetary gold | -169 | -177 | 651 | -170 | -65 | 515 | -175 |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | -40 | -19 | 198 | - | -139 | -22 | 8 |  |
| IMF reserve position | -16 | -81 | 274 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 936 | 2060 | 62 | -228 |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets | 8 | -34 | -30 | 120 |  |  |  |  |
| Use made of IMF credit | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Source: 1982-1986, ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund (IMF). 1987-1989: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Banco de la República de Colombia.
" Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{c}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equivalent to the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 19

## COLOMBIA: NET INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | , 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net international reserves (millions of dollars) | 5630 | 4891 | 3079 | 1796 | 2067 | 3478 | 3450 | 3810 | 3867 |
| Months of imports (goods and services) | 93 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.2 |
| Source: Banco de la Repúblic <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ At the end of each year. | mbia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 20

## COLOMBIA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Year-end balances in millions of dollars

| Total external debt | 8518 | 10269 | 11458 | 12350 | 14063 | 14987 | 15663 | 16434 | 16249 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5644 | 6819 | 7862 | 8829 | 10648 | 11982 | 12530 | 13061 | 13296 |
| Private | 2874 | 3450 | 3596 | 3521 | 3415 | 3005 | 3133 | 3373 | 2953 |
| Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 1315 | 1577 | 1647 | 1881 | 2043 | 2399 | 2784 | 3083 | 3908 |
| Principal | 378 | 430 | 636 | 704 | 750 | 1084 | 1385 | 1734 | 2369 |
| Interest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 937 | 1147 | 1011 | 1177 | 1293 | 1315 | 1399 | 1415 | 1539 |
| External public debt | 668 | 936 | 980 | 1182 | 1449 | 1843 | 2411 | 2780 | 3169 |
| Principal | 266 | 336 | 415 | 562 | 654 | 936 | 1255 | 1637 | 1881 |
| Interest | 402 | 600 | 565 | 620 | 795 | 907 | 1156 | 1143 | 1288 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 198.6 | 232.1 | 302.8 | 239.0 | 314.2 | 230.3 | 244.7 | 246.7 | 222.4 |
| Debt service/exports of goods and services | 30.7 | 35.6 | 43.5 | 36.4 | 45.6 | 36.9 | 43.5 | 46.3 | 53.5 |
| Net interest payments ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ /exports of goods and services | 7.1 | 14.7 | 19.5 | 20.7 | 26.9 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 17.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Banco de la República de Colombia and the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

* Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes private debts guaranteed by the public sector. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Corresponds to the "Interest paid" entry of the current account of the balance of payments. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Interest paid minus interest received.

Table 21
COLOMBIA: DOMESTIC PRICE TRENDS

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variation from December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total for manual workers | 26.7 | 23.9 | 16.7 | 183 | 22.7 | 20.7 | 24.6 | 28.3 | 26.1 |
| Food | 28.2 | 24.9 | 17.2 | 19.6 | 27.9 | 23.3 | 27.1 | 31.7 | 22.9 |
| Total for non-manual workers | 25.6 | 24.5 | 16.5 | 183 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 22.6 | 27.6 | 26.1 |
| Food | 28.8 | 24.7 | 17.4 | 18.6 | 28.2 | 24.5 | 25.9 | 30.1 | 23.0 |
| Wholesale price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 23.5 | 24.6 | 18.0 | 21.2 | 23.0 | 24.4 | 25.2 | 29.5 | 25.6 |
| Imported products | 22.4 | 18.5 | 23.4 | 35.6 | 33.1 | 21.5 | 27.0 | 30.8 | 27.2 |
| Domestic products | 25.3 | 27.0 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 22.6 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 30.1 | 25.2 |
| Agricultural products | 29.3 | 32.3 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 21.3 | 33.8 | 23.6 |
| Manufactures | 20.9 | 20.2 | 16.1 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 24.6 | 28.7 | 27.4 | 27.2 |
| Housing construction cost index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nationwide | 22.0 | 21.8 | 16.2 | 21.5 | 20.3 | -17.4 | 34.7 | 30.8 |  |
| Bogotá | 20.3 | 21.9 | 17.2 | 21.1 | 20.6 | 29.1 | 36.5 | 31.4 |  |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total for manual workers | 28.1 | 24.6 | 19.8 | 15.9 | 24.6 | 18.6 | 23.5 | 28.5 | 26.0 |
| Food | 28.5 | 25.4 | 20.6 | 15.7 | 31.5 | 19.3 | 26.8 | 32.6 | 24.3 |
| Total for non-manual workers | 26.0 | 24.5 | 19.5 | 16.6 | 22.5 | 19.6 | 22.8 | 26.9 | 26.1 |
| Food | 29.3 | 25.6 | 20.2 | 15.6 | 29.8 | 20.5 | 26.9 | 30.5 | 25.3 |
| Wholesale price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 24.1 | 25.7 | 21.7 | 18.3 | 24.9 | 22.0 | 24.9 | 28.2 | 28.3 |
| Imported products | 22.3 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 31.9 | 33.3 | 26.8 | 24.3 | 28.2 | 31.6 |
| Domestic products | 25.2 | 28.3 | 23.1 | 16.5 | 25.1 | 21.0 | 25.4 | 28.9 | 27.9 |
| Agricultural products | 23.2 | 35.4 | 24.3 | 14.2 | 29.8 | 18.3 | 23.5 | 29.7 | 28.6 |
| Manufactures | 25.4 | 20.8 | 19.8 | 19.2 | 21.1 | 23.3 | 26.7 | 28.4 | 27.9 |
| Housing construction cost index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nationwide | 24.7 | 20.6 | 17.6 | 21.4 | 20.4 | 24.6 | 30.1 | 34.2 |  |
| Bogotá | 22.9 | 19.8 | 18.7 | 20.6 | 21.4 | 27.0 | 31.1 | 36.2 |  |

Source: National Bureau of Statistics (DANE).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ National average.

Table 22
COLOMBIA: REAL WAGES IN SOME ECONOMIC SECTORS

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-manual workers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 102.5 | 105.5 | 110.2 | 115.6 | 113.7 | 116.4 | 116.5 | 116.8 | 118.8 |
| Manual workers | 101.3 | 104.7 | 110.1 | 118.1 | 114.6 | 120.1 | 119.2 | 117.7 | 119.1 |
| Construction ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 102.2 | 102.6 | 106.9 | 108.9 | 105.3 | 105.3 | 105.7 | 103.5 | $90.7{ }^{\text {de }}$ |
| Commerce | 103.2 | 108.3 | 108.1 | 109.1 | 104.6 | 113.1 | 118.9 | 118.9 | 118.6 |
| Legal minimum daily wage ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  | 377 | 452 | 560 | 684 | 854 | 1085 |
| Percentage variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-manual workers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.5 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | -1.6 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 |
| Manual workers | 1.3 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 7.3 | -3.0 | 4.8 | -0.7 | -1.4 | 1.2 |
| Construction ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.2 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 1.9 | -3.3 | - | 0.4 | -2.1 | - |
| Commerce | 3.2 | 4.9 | -0.2 | 0.9 | -4.1 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 0.0 | -0.3 |
| Legal minimum daily wage |  |  | ... | 9.6 | -3.8 | 4.6 | -0.9 | -2.9 | 0.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Bureau of Statistics (DANE) and the Colombian Chamber of Construction (CAMACOL).
" Average wages, deflated by the consumer price index for non-manual workers. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average wages, deflated by the consumer price index for manual workers. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Average costs of labour in Bogotá, deflated by the consumer price index of that city. New base: March (1989=100). As at November. Index as at October 1987. Percentage variation with respect to the same period of the previous year. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Current pesos. Percentage variation of nominal wages deflated by the consumer price index for manual workers.

Table 23
COLOMBIA: AVERAGE DAILY WAGES IN CROP FARMING AND STOCK RAISING

|  | Current pesos |  |  |  |  | Real growth rates ' |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Crop farming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cold areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With food | 322 | 406 | 501 | 676 | 866 | -4.8 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 1.3 |
| Without food | 465 | 593 | 732 | 980 | 1254 | -4.7 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 1.2 |
| Hot areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With food | 344 | 430 | 533 | 714 | 901 | -3.9 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 4.2 | -0.2 |
| Without food | 490 | 619 | 770 | 1021 | 1297 | -3.7 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| Stock raising |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cold areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With food | 327 | 410 | 510 | 682 | 879 | -5.3 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| Without food | 466 | 594 | 742 | 988 | 1263 | -5.0 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Hot areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With food | 370 | 466 | 576 | 770 | 976 | -3.9 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 0.2 |
| Without food | 522 | 663 | 825 | 1088 | 1385 | -4.2 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Bureau of Statistics (DANE). <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage variation in nominal wages, deflated by the consumer price index for manual workers. ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures. Average for three quarters. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 24
COLOMBIA: EFFECTIVE INCOME AND EXPENDITURE OF THE CONSOLIDATED PUBLIC SECTOR


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Bureau of Planning (DNP) and the Comptroller-General of the Republic.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes net loans to non-financial public bodies.

Table 25
COLOMBIA: EFFECTIVE INCOME AND EXPENDITURE OF THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

|  | Billions of pesos |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 447 | 655 | 935 | 1211 | 1570 | 46.1 | 46.5 | 42.7 | 29.5 | 29.6 |
| Tax revenue | 425 | 607 | 838 | 1100 | 1448 | 45.4 | 43.1 | 38.0 | 31.3 | 31.6 |
| Direct | 158 | 218 | 311 | 409 | 567 | 33.2 | 38.1 | 42.5 | 31.5 | 38.6 |
| Income and complementary Other | 158 | 218 | 311 | 409 | 567 | 33.2 | 38.1 | 42.5 | 31.5 | 38.6 |
| Indirect | 267 | 389 | 527 | 691 | 881 | 54.0 | 46.0 | 35.4 | 31.1 | 27.5 |
| Non-tax revenue | 22 | 48 | 97 | 111 | 122 | 64.4 | 134.9 | 101.7 | 14.7 | 9.9 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 432 | 565 | 768 | 1065 | 1451 | 17.4 | 32.9 | 35.9 | 38.6 | 36.2 |
| Consumption and transfers | 382 | 497 | 653 | 906 | 1232 | 15.4 | 32.3 | 31.4 | 38.7 | 36.0 |
| Interest | 50 | 68 | 115 | 159 | 219 | 34.8 | 37.3 | 69.2 | 38.0 | 37.7 |
| External debt | 37 | 52 | 83 | 112 | 163 | 32.3 | 41.7 | 59.1 | 34.6 | 45.5 |
| Domestic debt | 13 | 16 | 32 | 47 | 56 | 42.7 | 24.4 | 102.5 | 46.9 | 19.1 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | 15 | 90 | 168 | 146 | 119 | ... | 504.7 | 86.5 | -13.1 | -18.5 |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 147 | 180 | 209 | 316 | 390 | 46.8 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 51.1 | 23.4 |
| 5. Total expenditure (2+4) | 579 | 746 | 977 | 1381 | 1841 | 23.8 | 29.6 | 31.1 | 413 | 333 |
| 6. Deficit (-) or suplus $(+)(1-5)$ | -132 | -90 | -43 | -170 | -271 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Financing | 132 | 90 | 42 | 169 | 272 |  |  |  |  |  |
| External credit | 49 | 102 | -29 | 144 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic credit | 83 | -12 | 71 | 25 | 176 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current savings/capital expenditure | 10.1 | 50.0 | 80.3 | 46.2 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficit/total expenditure | 22.8 | 12.1 | 4.4 | 12.3 | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficit/GDP | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Banco de la República de Colombia and the Treasury of the Republic. " Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes net loans to non-financial public bodies.

Table 26
COLOMBIA: MONETARY BALANCE

|  | Year-end balance (billions of pesos) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Monetary base | 529 | 695 | 882 | 1150 | 25.9 | 282 | 31.5 | 26.9 | 30.4 |
| Means of payment (Mi) | 789 | 1048 | 1319 | 1702 | 27.6 | 22.7 | 32.9 | 25.8 | 29.1 |
| Currency outside banks | 313 | 414 | 523 | 647 | 25.7 | 18.9 | 32.4 | 26.3 | 23.8 |
| Current account deposits | 476 | 635 | 796 | 1055 | 28.9 | 253 | 333 | 25.4 | 32.5 |
| Factors of expansion (net) | 2076 | 2658 | 3279 | 4307 | ... | 28.8 | 28.0 | 23.4 | 31.4 |
| International reserves | 713 | 858 | 1182 | 1543 | 76.6 | 110.0 | 20.4 | 37.7 | 30.6 |
| Credit of the Banco de |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| la República | 429 | 557 | 771 | 797 |  | 9.4 | 30.0 | 38.3 | 3.4 |
| Government (net) | 194 | 256 | 459 | 441 | 11.3 | -21.0 | 32.0 | 79.5 | -3.9 |
| Rest of the public sector (net) | 16 | 74 | 90 | 175 |  |  | 349.4 | 22.0 | 94.7 |
| Private sector | 219 | 228 | 222 | 181 |  | 33.9 | 4.2 | -2.6 | -18.4 |
| Loans by the financial system | 1787 | 2367 | 3106 | 3961 | 26.8 | 29.6 | 32.5 | 31.2 | 27.5 |
| Commercial banks | 722 | 999 | 1246 | 1663 | 25.1 | 30.0 | 38.3 | 24.7 | 33.5 |
| Savings and housing corporations | 533 | 671 | 854 | 1121 | 33.8 | 22.6 | 26.1 | 27.2 | 31.2 |
| Other financial intermediaries | 532 | 697 | 1006 | 1177 |  | 31.3 | 30.9 | 44.3 | 17.0 |
| Other net accounts | -852 | -1125 | -1779 | -1994 |  |  | 32.0 | 58.2 | 12.1 |
| Quasi-money (savings and time deposits) | 1288 | 1610 | 1960 | 2605 | 38.9 | 32.8 | 25.0 | 21.8 | 32.9 |
| Deposits in housing | 563 | 679 | 911 | 1181 | 38.9 | 28.9 | 20.7 | 34.2 | 29.6 |
| Saving deposits | 257 | 331 | 390 | 176 | 34.6 | 49.4 | 28.8 | 17.7 | 22.1 |
| Time-deposit certificates | 468 | 600 | 659 | 948 | 35.5 | 29.6 | 28.1 | 10.0 | 43.8 |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mi/Monetary base | 1.49 | 1.51 | 1.50 | 1.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M2/Monetary base | 3.92 | 3.82 | 3.72 | 3.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquidity ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP | 12.5 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M2/GDP | 32.9 | 30.2 | 22.4 | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Banco de la República de Colombia. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 27
COLOMBIA: BANK INTEREST RATES '

## Nominal effective rate <br> Inflation rate <br> Real effective rate

| $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 38.6 | 35.9 | 34.2 | 34.4 | 35.9 | 32.1 | 34.2 | 31.8 | 34.0 |
| 26.7 | 23.9 | 16.7 | 18.3 | 22.7 | 20.7 | 24.6 | 28.6 | 26.1 |
| 9.4 | 9.7 | 15.0 | 13.6 | 10.8 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 6.3 |

Source: Banco de la República de Colombia.

* Corresponds to time-deposit certificates. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Annual percentage variation of the consumer price index for manual workers.


## COSTA RICA

The inflow of external resources to Costa Rica once again played a crucial role in the growth of its economy (which expanded for the fourth year in a row) and in the lowering of inflation to an annual rate of just $10 \%$. The large capital inflow received by the country also financed its persistent deficit on current account, which increased significantly. The public sector, for its part, registered a moderate deficit, in contrast to the balanced position it had maintained during the two preceding years (see table 1 and figure 1).

The general situation faced by economic policy-makers at the outset of 1989 was marked by a high real exchange rate, a rapid rate of inflation ( $25 \%$ per annum) and a slowdown in economic activity coupled with a slump in gross capital formation. In 1988 the external sector had been the source of a large resource flow, and since a policy providing for a selective restriction of debt service payments had also been adopted at that time, the country was able to build up its international reserves considerably. The external financial picture remained much the same in 1989 as the country's income flows (primarily of short-term capital) held more or less steady.

Against this backdrop, the country continued to open up its trade (a process which it had begun in 1985), the rate of devaluation slowed, and inflation slackened without causing any signficant reduction in the real exchange rate. Although an expansionary fiscal policy was applied, resulting in a nearly $6 \%$ increase in real expenditure, this did not affect money creation because the deficit was largely covered by external loans. The growth in the money supply mainly stemmed from the accumulation of reserves, although this was
partly offset by a tight credit policy, particularly with respect to loans for the public sector.

All of the above helped to stimulate economic activity. Total demand, which had expanded by only $2 \%$ in 1988, climbed by almost $9 \%$, thereby returning to its rate of earlier years. Exports continued on their upward trend with an increase of $13 \%$ at constant values, while domestic demand also did its share, rising by $7 \%$. Both investment and consumption grew rapidly. The expansion of all the demand aggregates was reflected in an increase of over $5 \%$ in the gross domestic product and a striking $17 \%$ rise in imports, calculated at constant prices. One of the distinctive features of the economy's performance in 1989 was that high growth rates were achieved by a broad range of sectors. Construction, transport and commerce were the fastest-growing activities; in the case of construction, the increase was spurred by government housing plans, while the growth of intermediation services was fueled by domestic activity and the flow of imports. In line with these developments, unemployment fell to its lowest levels of the decade.

The policy to curb inflation was a success, and the increase in domestic prices was held down to a monthly rate of around $1 \%$. The factors which helped make this possible included the lowering of tariffs and the decrease in the real exchange rate, a satisfactory supply of agricultural products, the availability of external financing and a rate of money creation which was in step with the rate of inflation and with the increase in transactions. The freeze placed on the prices of some public services in February was also a contributing factor.

Figure 1
COSTA RICA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

The programme to open up trade which had been launched in 1985 made substantial progress, 1 and most of the requirements for Costa Rica's entry into the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) were thereby fulfilled. The liberalization of the economy and the country's economic growth boosted merchandise imports, which jumped by $23 \%$ in current dollars, in contrast to the $3 \%$ rise recorded in 1988. This sharp increase resulted in a large trade deficit, despite the expansion of exports (mainly non-traditional exports, which for the first time accounted for a larger share of the total than did traditional exports), and this deficit, when added to the country's external interest payments, yielded a deficit on current account of nearly US\$ 550 million, which was substantially larger than those of earlier years. However, since the inflow of external resources more than covered the deficit on current account, the country was able to increase its international reserves by nearly US\$ 150 million. Nonetheless, if interest arrears (approximately US\$ 300 million) are deducted, then the actual inflow of resources amounts to US\$ 390 million, of which US\$ 170 million corresponded to official grants and donations and US\$ 220 million to unregistered private short-term capital inflows. Hence, the Costa Rican economy has received a net transfer of external resources of US\$ 600 million over the past two years, thanks to the substantial increase in official grants and donations and in private short-term capital inflows, as well as to its strategy of making a unilateral reduction in the service payments on a portion of the external debt. Given the instability of the above flows, during 1989 the authorities followed the prudent policy of using a substantial share of the country's foreign exchange income to build up its international
reserves. One of the most important events of the year was the negotiation of an agreement in principle to reduce Costa Rica's external indebtedness under the Brady Plan. This arrangement will permit the country to reduce the service payments on its debt with private international banks from US\$ 200 million to US $\$ 50$ million beginning in 1990 , which will in effect validate the level of interest payments actually made by Costa Rica in 1989.

The decision to increase the country's international reserves had an impact on monetary policy, since it generated a considerable expansion of the money supply. Thus, a $17 \%$ increase in Mi was obtained by means of a tight credit policy whereby loans to the private sector were reduced in real terms and the public sector's nominal balance was lowered by $20 \%$. Dollar deposits continued to expand rapidly and by the end of year they had reached a level that, when calculated in colones, was similar to that of local-currency deposits; given the attractive real interest rates that were offered, quasi-money grew by more than $10 \%$ in real terms. The share of quasi-money represented by stabilization bonds continued to rise, with such bonds accounting for one-fifth of the total in 1989 as opposed to $10 \%$ in 1986. The absorption of money effected by means of these bonds, which were offered at positive real rates, boosted the public sector's financing requirements.

Fiscal expenditure expanded more than fiscal income, thereby upsetting the balance achieved during the preceding two years by the public sector as a whole, which showed a deficit of about one percentage point of the product in 1989. As in 1988, public enterprises recorded a surplus, while the deficit of the central

[^38]government climbed from $2 \%$ to $3 \%$ of the product. This was primarily due to the increase in spending, since receipts held more or less steady in real terms. The increases in almost all items of expenditure outstripped the rise in
price levels, with the steepest increase being in transfers to the private sector $(37 \%)$. These transfers included outlays for export promotion, which represented more than $6 \%$ of the central government's current expenditure.

Figure 2
COSTA RICA: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX (Percentages)
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

Table 1

## COSTA RICA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product at market | 90.5 | 92.9 | 100.2 | 101.0 | 1063 | 111.1 | 114.8 | 121.1 |
| Gross national income | 75.9 | 3.8 | 89.9 | 91.2 | 102.1 | 103.0 | 105.3 | 109.5 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 2.42 | 2.50 | 2.57 | 2.64 | 2.72 | 2.79 | 2.87 | 2.94 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 85.3 | 85.0 | 89.1 | 87.3 | 89.4 | 90.9 | 91.5 | 94.0 |
| Public sector deficit/GDP |  | ," | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 0.9 |
| Central government deficit/GDP |  |  | 3.4 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3,3 |
| Money (MI)/GDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 17.4 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 14.2 | 12.2 | 12.1 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 129.9 | 113.3 | 113.0 | 119.0 | 117.9 | 124.2 | 135.9 | 134.0 |
| Urban unemployment rate | 9.9 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 3.7 |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | -7.3 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 5.5 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -10.0 | -0.3 | 4.8 | -2.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 2.8 |
| Gross national income | -11.9 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 1.5 | 12.0 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 4.0 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 18.7 | 10.7 | 17.3 | 11.1 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 25.3 | 10.0 |
| Real wages and salaries ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -19.8 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 6.1 | -9.6 | -4.5 | 0.6 |
| Current value of exports of goods and services | -5.1 | 1.6 | 12.5 | -4.1 | 14.0 | 4.2 | 11.2 | 12.6 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -19.9 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 21.6 | 4.1 | 21.2 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -3.0 | 5.8 | .3.7 | -2.9 | 15.8 | -7.8 | -0.2 | -4.0 |
| Millionis of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | 73 | -12 | 27 | -52 | 55 | -175 | -80 | -236 |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 377 | 337 | 321 | 290 | 285 | 305 | 359 | 354 |
| Balance on current account | -274 | -327 | -261 | -299 | -193 | -442 | -398 | -546 |
| Balance on capital account | 400 | 373 | 212 | 356 | 290 | 480 | 623 | 686 |
| Variation in net international reserves | 125 | 64 | -53 | 45 | 72 | -1 | 229 | 147 |
| Disbursed external debt ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3188 | 3532 | 3752 | 3742 | 3922 | 4194 | 4100 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" Preliminary figures. Percentages. : Annual average of the rates for four-monthly periods obtained from household surveys up to 1987, when the survey began to be conducted on an annual basis. ${ }^{\text {u }}$ End-year balances. Refers to the disbursed, medium- and long-term debt.

Table 2
COSTA RICA: REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME


Table 3
COSTA RICA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes <br> $(1980=100)$ |  |  | Percentage <br> breakdown |  | Growth rates |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.

* Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were obtained from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in current dollars, which were then converted to constant 1980 figures using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for that purpose.

Table 4

## COSTA RICA: ORIGIN, COMPOSITION AND FINANCING <br> OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT <br> (As percentages of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 13.6 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 19.4 | 24.1 | 23.8 | 21.1 | 22.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 8.9 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 10.4 |
| $\quad$ Construction | 5.4 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 9.7 | 10.1 |
| Machinery and equipment | -0.7 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Changes in stocks | 26.3 | 25.7 | 26.2 | 26.0 | 28.2 | 25.1 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Gross domestic saving | -11.2 | -10.2 | -9.1 | -8.0 | -7.8 | -7.7 | -8.4 | -7.5 |
| Net income from factor services | -10.5 | -8.4 | -7.3 | -8.0 | -2.6 | -5.8 | -6.0 | -8.1 |
| Terms-of-trade effect | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| $\quad$ Unrequited private transfer payments | 5.5 | 7.8 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 18.9 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 10.7 |
| Gross national saving | 8.2 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 11.6 |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 5

## COSTA RICA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes <br> $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  | Percentage <br> breakdown |  | Growth rates |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, which were then reweighted according to the 1980 current price structure. Therefore, the figures shown here do not necessarily coincide with those published by the country on the basis of calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes livestock, forestry and fishing.

Table 6

## COSTA RICA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |  | Percentage breakdown (1985) | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of agricultural production $(1980=100)^{\text {b }}$ | 103.4 | 108.8 | 114.0 | 119.6 | 126.5 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.8 |
| Crop farming | 106.5 | 109.7 | 116.7 | 124.8 | 132.4 | 63.5 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 6.1 |
| Livestock | 104.8 | 108.6 | 110.7 | 110.9 | 115.2 | 28.4 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 3.9 |
| Forestry | 73.3 | 102.6 | 77.0 | 77.0 |  | 3.5 | 40.0 | -25.0 | - |  |
| Fisheries | 104.6 | 113.9 | 108.0 | 97.5 | 102.4 | 1.9 | 8.9 | -5.2 | -9.7 | 5.0 |
| Production of main crops ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traditional export crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee | 124 | 120 | 145 | 157 | 160 |  | -3.2 | 20.8 | 8.6 | 1.7 |
| Bananas | 1003 | 1096 | 1148 | 1227 | 1383 |  | 9.3 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 12.7 |
| Sugar cane | 2766 | 2802 | 2676 | 2796 | 2511 |  | 1.3 | -4.5 | 4.5 | -10.2 |
| Cocoa | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |  | -3.6 | -7.0 | 23.6 | -9.3 |
| Domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice | 225 | 200 | 152 | 172 | 192 |  | -11.2 | -24.0 | 13.1 | 11.8 |
| Maize | 115 | 134 | 105 | 99 | 94 |  | 16.3 | -21.9 | -16.1 | -5.5 |
| Beans | 23 | 29 | 32 | 30 | 25 |  | 26.1 | 11.0 | -17.6 | -16.9 |
| Sorghum | 54 | 46 | 24 | 9 | 6 |  | -15.3 | -46.8 | -60.5 | -32.2 |
| Plantains | 79 | 80 | 83 | 85 | 88 |  | 1.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| Indicators of livestock production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slaughtering ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle | 107 | 128 | 124 | 103 | 107 | 77.0 | 19.3 | -2.8 | -14.7 | 3.6 |
| Pigs | 26 | 21 | 20 | 28 | 29 | 18.7 | -19.5 | -6.6 | 39.6 | 4.2 |
| Poultry | 6 | 6 |  | 9 | 10 | 4.3 | -2.1 | 28.4 | 7.9 | 15.3 |
| Other products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 366 | 391 | 400 | 404 | 406 |  |  | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Eggs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 228 | 252 | 299 | 336 | 384 |  | 10.5 | 18.7 | 12.3 | 14.5 |
| Other production indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 504 | 705 | 529 | 593 | 593 |  | 40.0 | -25.0 | 12.2 |  |
| Volume of fishery production ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 20 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 20 |  | 8.5 | -5.2 | -9.7 | 5.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Calculated on the basis of the gross value of production in 1986 colones. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of tons. Millions of litres. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Millions of units Thousands of cubic metres.

Table 7
COSTA RICA: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | Percentage breakdown (1989)" | Growth rates |  |  | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |  |
| Index of value added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 106.8 | 108.2 | 110.8 | 46.6 | -25.9 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 2.4 |
| Coffee processing | 120.9 | 131.3 | 133.5 | 8.2 | -36.1 | 20.9 | 8.6 | 1.7 |
| Meat | 89.2 | 79.9 | 77.7 | 3.1 | -41.3 | -10.8 | -10.4 | -2.7 |
| Sugar | 107.9 | 103.3 | 105.5 | 3.7 | -24.8 | 7.9 | -4.3 | 2.1 |
| Textiles, leather and footwear | 93.2 | 99.7 | 106.1 | 7.9 | -5.5 | -6.8 | 7.0 | 6.4 |
| Furniture and lumber | 109.8 | 114.1 | 117.4 | 4.6 | 18.3 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 |
| Printing, publishing and related industries | 108.9 | 115.7 | 121.5 | 3.9 | -31.0 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 5.0 |
| Intermediate goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper and paper products | 104.5 | 120.5 | 130.3 | 4.1 | -38.7 | 4.5 | 15.3 | 8.1 |
| Chemicals | 82.2 | 96.7 | 122.8 | 3.4 | 0.8 | -17.8 | 17.6 | 27.0 |
| Other chemical products | 109.3 | 105.8 | 115.4 | 5.4 | 9.3 | -3.2 | 9.1 |  |
| Petroleum refining | 97.1 | 92.9 | 100.2 | 6.7 | -45.6 | -2.9 | -4.3 | 7.9 |
| Non-metallic minerals | 115.6 | 120.9 | 120.5 | 2.8 | -21.9 | 15.6 | 4.6 | -0.3 |
| Metal manufactures and machinery | 111.1 | 113.0 | 136.5 | 83 | 28.9 | 11.1 | 1.7 | 20.8 |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment indexes $(1968=100)$ | 188.3 | 195.9 | 196.9 |  | 0.6 | - | 4.0 | 0.5 |
| Index of industrial consumption of electricity $(1980=100)^{\text {b }}$ | 128.5 | 127.9 | 141.7 |  | 10.4 | 3.8 | -0.5 | 10.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica, except those for 1987.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The high index of industrial power use for 1989 may reflect the expansion of infrastructure in the country's customs-free zones which was carried out during the year.

Table 8

## COSTA RICA: CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

| 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1987 | 1988 | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Construction-total area <br> (thousands of m ) | 1300 | 1169 | 1458 | 1496 | $1153^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 24.7 | 2.6 | 1O.íf |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permits issued | 1110 | 1132 | 1644 | 1521 | 1914 | 45.2 | -7.5 | 25.8 |
| Actual construction e | 431 | 533 | 577 | 621 | 721 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 16.1 |
| Cement production | 428 | 523 | 562 | 597 | 701 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 17.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{b}$ Based on permits granted for housing construction, extensions and remodelling. ${ }^{c}$ As of October. January-October variation as compared to the corresponding period of the preceding year. : On the basis of an estimate by the Chamber of Construction. ' Thousands of tons.

Table 9
COSTA RICA: ELECTRICITY GENERATION, CONSUMPTION AND EXPORTS

|  | Thousands of MWh |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
|  | 2891 | 3075 | 3135 | 3 | 350 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 2.0 |  |

[^39]Table 10
COSTA RICA: EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

|  | Thousands of persons |  |  |  | Par-ticipation rate" | Unemployment rate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total population | Labour force | Employment | Unemployment |  | National | Urban | Rural |
| 1980 | 2284 | 770.3 | 724.7 | 45.6 | 33.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| 1981 | 2353 | 795.8 | 726.2 | 69.6 | 33.8 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 8.4 |
| 1982 | 2424 | 838.5 | 759.9 | 78.6 | 34.6 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 8.3 |
| 1983 | 2496 | 843.8 | 767.6 | 76.2 | 33.8 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.6 |
| 1984 | 2596 | 865.6 | 797.1 | 68.5 | 33.7 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 9.2 |
| 1985 | 2642 | 887.5 | 826.7 | 60.8 | 33.6 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
| 1986 | 2688 | 910.9 | 854.2 | 56.7 | 33.9 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 5.6 |
| 1987 | 2709 | 977.8 | 923.3 | 54.5 | 36.0 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
| 1988 | 2782 | 1006.1 | 951.2 | 54.9 | 36.2 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 4.7 |
| $1989{ }^{\circ}$ | 2855 | 1025.5 | 986.8 | 38.7 | 35.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bureau of Statistics and Censuses.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures for July registered in the household surveys conducted by the Bureau of Statistics and Censuses. ${ }^{1}$ Labour force as a percentage of total population. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 11

## COSTA RICA: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -13.3 | -1.8 | 16.9 | -5.6 | 15.1 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 12.2 |
| Volume | -9.2 | 1.3 | 14.5 | -4.7 | 0.9 | 9.9 | - | 16.3 |
| Unit value | -4.5 | -3.1 | 2.1 | -1.0 | 14.1 | -6.8 | 6.4 | -3.5 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -26.2 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 19.3 | 2.7 | 23.4 |
| Volume | -24.2 | 18.0 | 14.3 | -0.9 | 10.1 | 16.5 | -1.4 | 18.5 |
| Unit value | -2.6 | -5.7 | -3.0 | 1.7 | -5.4 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Terms of trade | -2.6 | 2.0 | 3.5 | -2.8 | 20.1 | -9.4 | 2.1 | -7.4 |
|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 84.5 | 87.3 | 103.6 | 96.0 | 116.3 | 115.8 | 118.2 | 127.3 |
| Volume of exports | 102.3 | 103.6 | 118.6 | 113.0 | 114.1 | 125.3 | 125.4 | 145.8 |
| Volume of imports | 57.6 | 68.0 | 77.7 | 77.0 | 84.8 | 98.8 | 97.5 | 115.5 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 82.7 | 84.3 | 87.3 | 84.9 | 101.9 | 92.4 | 94.3 | 87.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
${ }_{a}^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 12 COSTA RICA: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1980 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1109 | 1181 | 1325 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 15.1 | 23 | 6.5 | 12.2 |
| Central America | 109 | 130 | 149 | 21.3 | 11.2 | -0.6 | 9.0 | 19.3 | 14.6 |
| Rest of world | 1000 | 1051 | 1176 | 78.7 | 88.8 | 23.9 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 11.9 |
| Main traditional exports | 640 | 605 | 626 | 60.0 | 47.2 | 16.0 | -12 | -5.5 | 3.5 |
| Coffee | 334 | 316 | 300 | 26.2 | 22.6 | 19.6 | -11.6 | -5.4 | -5.1 |
| Bananas | 228 | 221 | 264 | 27.5 | 19.9 | 10.1 | -0.4 | -3.1 | 19.5 |
| Meat | 62 | 56 | 45 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 29.6 | -11.4 | -9.7 | -19.6 |
| Sugar | 15 | 12 | 16 | 2.7 | 1.2 | -21.4 | 36.4 | -20.0 | 33.3 |
| Cocoa | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -23.3 | -56.5 |  | - |
| Non-traditional exports | 469 | 576 | 699 | 36.4 | 52.8 | 14.7 | 193 | 22.8 | 21.4 |
| Shrimp and fish | 30 | 38 | 41 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 4 | 3.4 | 26.7 | 7.9 |
| Plants, flowers and foliage | 32 | 38 | 36 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 36.3 | 33.3 | 18.8 | -5.3 |
| Pineapples | 22 | 24 | 36 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 133.5 | 46.7 | 9.1 | 50.0 |
| Clothing | 34 | 38 | 35 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 101.6 | 70.0 | 11.8 | -7.9 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 351 | 438 | 551 | 22.4 | 41.6 |  | 15.1 | 24.8 | 25.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica and the Bureau of Statistics and Censuses.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes value added in the country by the inbond assembly industry.

Table 13
COSTA RICA: EXPORT VOLUMES OF MAIN PRODUCTS

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989 "$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989 "$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| Coffee | 123 | 94 | 138 | 120 | 135 | -23.6 | 46.8 | -13.0 | 12.5 |
| Bananas | 851 | 941 | 986 | 1055 | 1227 | 10.6 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 16.3 |
| Meat | 27 | 35 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 29.6 | -22.9 | -11.1 | -20.8 |
| Sugar | 45 | 76 | 76 | 49 | 48 | 68.9 | - | -35.5 | -2.0 |
| Fertilizers | 48 | 60 | 12 | 12 |  | 25.0 | -80.0 | - |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 14
COSTA MCA: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage <br> breakdown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica and the Bureau of Statistics and Censuses.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 15
COSTA RICA: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rates (colones dberar) | Indexes of real effective exchange rate" 0$(1985=100)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exports |  | Imports |
|  |  | A | B | A |
| 1970-1979 |  | 89.4 |  | 87.8 |
| 1980-1989 |  | 108.0 |  | 106.3 |
| 1980 | 9 | 88.9 | 70.7 | 87.4 |
| 1981 | 21 | 125.9 | 136.6 | 129.6 |
| 1982 | 39 | 111.3 | 165.3 | 111.2 |
| 1983 | 42 | 97.7 | 124.4 | 96.6 |
| 1984 | 45 | 95.8 | 108.7 | 95.9 |
| 1985 | 50 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 56 | 105.0 | 96.5 | 102.1 |
| 1987 | 63 | 114.7 | 109.4 | 108.3 |
| 1988 | 76 | 123.0 | 116.7 | 118.4 |
| 1989 | 82 | 118.1 |  | 113.3 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 59 | 110.3 |  | 102.7 |
| II | 61 | 113.7 |  | 107.0 |
| III | 63 | 114.3 |  | 108.7 |
| IV | 67 | 120.5 |  | 114.6 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 73 | 124.3 |  | 119.0 |
| II | 75 | 123.5 |  | 119.0 |
| III | 77 | 121.4 |  | 117.1 |
| IV | 79 | 122.9 |  | 118.4 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 80 | 118.5 |  | 115.2 |
| II | 81 | 117.8 |  | 113.1 |
| III | 82 | 117.2 |  | 112.5 |
| IV | 83 | 119.0 |  | 112.4 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund (IMF), International Financial Statistics (various issues).
Note: A: Deflated on the basis of the wholesale price index.
B: Deflated on the basis of the index of nominal wages reported for social security purposes.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data supplied by the IMF, except for the period from October 1980 to December 1983, for which official figures were used. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average of the indexes of the real exchange rate for the colón with respect to the currencies of Costa Rica's main trading partners, weighted by the relative significance of exports to those countries or imports from them, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980, these weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979 and as from 1981, to the average for the period 1983-1987. Wholesale price indexes were used in the calculations whenever possible. For further information on the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Does not include the impact on competitiveness of the substantial tariff reductions made in recent years.

Table 16

## COSTA RICA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -274 | -327 | -261 | -299 | -193 | -442 | -398 | -546 |
| Trade balance | 73 | -12 | 27 | -52 | 55 | -175 | -80 | -236 |
| Exports of goods and services | 1116 | 1133 | 1275 | 1223 | 1394 | 1453 | 1616 | 1820 |
| Goods FOB | 869 | 853 | 997 | 941 | 1084 | 1109 | 1181 | 1325 |
| Real services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 248 | 280 | 278 | 282 | 310 | 344 | 435 | 495 |
| Transport and insurance | 57 | 59 | 60 | 50 | 54 | 57 | 68 | 73 |
| Travel | 133 | 133 | 121 | 123 | 139 | 145 | 182 | 217 |
| Imports of goods and services | 1043 | 1146 | 1248 | 1275 | 1339 | 1628 | 1695 | 2055 |
| Goods FOB | 805 | 896 | 993 | 1001 | 1044 | 1245 | 1279 | 1578 |
| Real services | 238 | 250 | 255 | 274 | 296 | 383 | 416 | 477 |
| Transport and insurance | 130 | 133 | 134 | 144 | 150 | 178 | 171 | 205 |
| Travel | 44 | 52 | 55 | 58 | 67 | 77 | 76 | 87 |
| Factor services | -377 | -337 | -321 | -290 | -285 | -305 | -359 | -354 |
| Profits | 5 | 7 | -8 | -22 | -14 | -25 | . 33 | -39 |
| Interest received | 24 | 34 | 33 | 44 | 41 | 36 | 38 | 55 |
| Interest paid and due | -403 | -374 | -340 | -304 | -304 | -308 | -356 | -362 |
| Labour and ownership | -3 | -4 | -7 | -8 | -8 | -8 | -7 | -7 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 30 | 23 | 32 | 43 | 37 | 39 | 40 | 44 |
| Balance on capital account | 400 | 373 | 212 | 356 | 290 | 480 | 623 | 686 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 6 | 46 | 111 | 179 | 114 | 186 | 215 | 164 |
| Long-term capital | 24 | 1189 | 65 | 341 | -55 | -362 | -136 | -70 |
| Direct investment | 26 | 55 | 52 | 65 | 57 | 76 | 122 | 115 |
| Portfolio investment | -2 | -3 | - | -13 | -2 | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | - | 1137 | 13 | 288 | -110 | -438 | -258 | -185 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -32 | 1189 | 125 | 339 | -39 | -377 | -268 | -195 |
| Loans received | 126 | 1378 | 382 | 594 | 279 | 99 | 272 | 180 |
| Amortization payments | -146 | -182 | -231 | -255 | -305 | -474 | -540 | -374 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -20 | -15 | -27 | -16 | -14 | -8 |  |  |
| Loans received | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -26 | -16 | -27 | -16 | -14 | -8 |  |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 52 | -37 | -86 | -35 | -57 | -53 | 10 | 10 |
| Loans received | 168 | 64 | 41 | 67 | 69 | 69 |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -116 | -101 | -127 | -102 | -125 | -122 |  |  |
| Short-term capital | 208 | -927 | -72 | -303 | 132 | 525 | 321 | 375 |
| Official sector | 398 | -530 | -3 | -196 | 150 | 471 | 365 | 336 |
| Commercial banks | 42 | -97 | 12 | -2 | 3 | 14 | -44 | 39 |
| Other sectors | -231 | -301 | -81 | -104 | -21 | 40 |  |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | 162 | 65 | 108 | 140 | 99 | 131 | 223 | 217 |
| Global balance | 125 | 46 | 49 | 57 | 97 | 39 | 225 | 140 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | -125 | -64 | 53 | -45 | -72 | 1 | -229 | -147 |
| Monetary gold | -7 | $\wedge 1$ | 13 | -11 | -4 | 4 | 23 |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | - | -3 | 3 | - | - | - |  | - |
| IMF reserve position | - | - | - | '- | - | - | - | - |
| Foreign exchange assets | -95 | -170 | 55 | -61 | -35 | 39 | -184 |  |
| Other assets | -14 | 11 | 18 | -5 | -17 | -3 | -7 |  |
| Use of IMF credit | -10 | 99 | -36 | 32 | -16 | -40 | -61 | -36 |

Source: 1982-1987: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund; 1988 and 1989: ECLAC, on
the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 17

## COSTA RICA: NET TRANSFER OF EXTERNAL RESOURCES

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1981 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | -104.7 | -169.8 | -220.0 | -225.2 | -256.5 | -117.0 |
| Credit transfers | 148.4 | 288.3 | -110.4 | -438.0 | -258.8 | -185.0 |
| $\quad$ Long-term loans | 55.8 | -198.5 | 153.6 | 485.2 | 321.0 | 375.0 |
| $\quad$ Short-term loans | 308.9 | 259.6 | 263.2 | 272.4 | 318.7 | 307.0 |
| $\quad$ Interest | 58.7 | 30.1 | 40.5 | 51.1 | 89.6 | 75.5 |
| Net foreign investment | 66.2 | 65.2 | 57.4 | 75.8 | 122.3 | 115.0 |
| $\quad$ Direct investment | -2.5 | -12.7 | -2.5 | - | 0.5 | - |
| $\quad$ Portfolio investment | -5.0 | -22.4 | -14.4 | -24.7 | -33.2 | -39.5 |
| $\quad$ Profits | 0.1 | 178.9 | 113.9 | 186.3 | 215.4 | 164.0 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 98.1 | 139.6 | 99.1 | 130.9 | 223.0 | 217.0 |
| Errors and omissions | 52.2 | 178.8 | 33.5 | 143.1 | 271.5 | 339.0 |
| Total net external transfer |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 18
COSTA RICA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 3188 | 3532 | 3752 | 3742 | 3922 | 4194 | 4100 |  |
| Public | 2962 | 3407 | 3455 | 3709 | 3644 | 3914 | 3834 | 3747 |
| With commercial banks | 1111 | 1394 | 1409 | 1459 | 1463 | 1383 | 1470 | 1472 |
| With multilateral agencies | 858 | 968 | 976 | 1036 | 1024 | 1345 | 1292 | 1148 |
| With bilateral sources | 511 | 690 | 736 | 905 | 907 | 946 | 992 | 1050 |
| Bonds and FRNs | 131 | 114 | 113 | 74 | 73 | 70 | 68 | 61 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 351 | 242 | 221 | 235 | 177 | 170 | 13 | 15 |
| Private | 226 | 126 | 297 | 33 | 278 | 280 | 266 |  |
| Disbursements | 299 | 481 | 272 | 315 | 201 | 103 | 96 | 128 |
| Servicing | 807 | 870 | 726 | 720 | 723 | 776 | 879 | 769 |
| Principal | 404 | 281 | 386 | 387 | 449 | 468 | 523 | 409 |
| Interest | 403 | 589 | 340 | 333 | 274 | 308 | 356 | 360 |
| Public external debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disbursements | 235 | 461 | 254 | 300 | 184 | 83 | ... | ... |
| Servicing | 237 | 737 | 397 | 507 | 450 | 238 | 367 | * |
| Principal | 114 | 174 | 169 | 171 | 253 | 129 | 183 |  |
| Interest | 123 | 563 | 228 | 336 | 197 | 108 | 184 |  |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 285.7 | 311.7 | 294.3 | 305.9 | 282.2 | 288.8 | 253.8 |  |
| Public external debt service/exports of goods and services | 21.2 | 65.0 | 31.1 | 41.5 | 32.4 | 16.4 | 22.7 |  |
| Net interest on public external debt/ exports of goods and services | 11.0 | 49.7 | 17.9 | 27.5 | 14.1 | 7.4 | 11.4 |  |
| Service/disbursements | 100.8 | 159.8 | 156.1 | 169.0 | 244.6 | 286.3 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
Preliminary figures. United States Federal Reserve Notes. "Includes supplier credits. Disbursed mediumand long-term debt.

Table 19
COSTA RICA: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation from December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 81.7 | 10.7 | 17.3 | 11.1 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 25.3 | 10.0 |
| Food | 101.1 | 8.2 | 15.7 | 5.0 | 17.7 | 12.1 | 31.9 | 7.6 |
| Wholesale price index ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 79.1 | 5.9 | 12.2 | 7.6 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 19.7 | 10.7 |
| Imported products | 66.9 | 3.0 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 17.2 |  |
| Domestic products | 89.4 | 8.3 | 13.2 | 9.7 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 21.2 |  |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 90.1 | 32.6 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 11.8 | 16.8 | 20.8 | 16.5 |
| Food | 113.6 | 32.2 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 14.7 | 20.2 | 17.9 |
| Wholesale price index ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 108.3 | 26.2 | 7.7 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 17.9 | 14.6 |
| Imported products | 109.2 | 18.0 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 15.4 |  |
| Domestic products | 107.5 | 32.7 | 8.8 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 12.2 | 19.4 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Bureau of Statistics and Censuses and the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Corresponds to middle- and low-income sectors in the San José metropolitan area; the base year is 1975 . ${ }^{\circ}$ Base year $1978=100$. The indexes for imported and domestic products are Central Bank estimates.

Table 20
COSTA RICA: WAGES AND SALARIES

|  | Indexes ( $1975=100)$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Nominal average wages and salaries | 10152 | 1071.7 | 1236.7 | 1449.4 | 18.6 | 5.6 | 15.4 | 17.2 |
| Public sector | m.i | 896.4 | 1082.9 | 1285.4 | 19.2 | -3.4 | 20.8 | 18.7 |
| Central government | 837.7 | 939.3 | 1122.5 | 1371.7 | 20.7 | 12.1 | 19.5 | 22.2 |
| Autonomous institutions | 986.6 | 872.8 | 1078.8 | 1257.9 | 18.0 | -11.5 | 23.6 | 16.6 |
| Private sector | 1070.5 | 1159.6 | 1287.2 | 1513.7 | 17.6 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 17.6 |
| Real wages and salaries | 1383 | 125.0 | 119.4 | 120.1 | 6.1 | -9.6 | -4.5 | 0.6 |
| Public sector | 126.4 | 104.5 | 104.5 | 106.5 | 6.5 | -17.3 | - | 1.9 |
| Central government | 114.2 | 109.6 | 108.4 | 113.6 | 7.9 | -4.0 | -1.1 | 4.8 |
| Autonomous institutions | 134.5 | 101.8 | 104.1 | 104.2 | 5.5 | -24.3 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| Private sector | 145.9 | 135.2 | 124.3 | 125.4 | 5.2 | -7.3 | -8.1 | 0.9 |
| Minimum wage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper level | 633.6 | 678.3 | 773.3 | 876.9 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 14.0 | 13.4 |
| Lower level | 1248.4 | 1448.2 | 1700.2 | 2064.4 | 17.9 | 16.0 | 17.4 | 21.4 |
| Real ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper level | 86.3 | 79.1 | 74.6 | 72.7 | -5.2 | -8.3 | -5.7 | -2.5 |
| Lower level | 170.1 | 168.9 | 164.1 | 171.0 | 5.4 | -0.7 | -2.8 | 4.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Labour.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Deflated by the consumer price index for middle- and lower-income consumers in the San José metropolitan area.

Table 21
COSTA RICA: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Millions of colones |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 38029 | 44643 | 54200 | 64206 | 18.8 | 17.4 | 21.4 | 18.5 |
| Tax revenue | 33638 | 41140 | 50426 | 60965 | 13.4 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 20.9 |
| Direct | 6471 | 7090 | 9434 | 11278 | 15.0 | 9.6 | 33.1 | 19.5 |
| Indirect | 27167 | 34050 | 40992 | 49687 | 13.0 | 25.3 | 20.4 | 21.2 |
| On foreign trade | 12674 | 14485 | 14931 | 18394 | 17.9 | 14.3 | 3.1 | 23.2 |
| Non-tax revenue | 4391 | 3503 | 3774 | 3241 | 87.7 | -20.2 | 7.7 | -14.1 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 36857 | 43629 | 53906 | 68242 | 223 | 18.4 | 23.6 | 26.6 |
| Wages and salaries | 13651 | 15611 | 18983 | 23504 | 24.3 | 14.4 | 21.6 | 23.8 |
| Other current expenditure | 23206 | 28018 | 34923 | 44738 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 24.6 | 28.1 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | 1172 | 1014 | 294 | -4036 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 9418 | 6727 | 7739 | 9958 | 623 | -28.6 | 15.0 | 28.7 |
| Real investment | 2834 | 2395 | 2591 | 3188 | -16.4 | -15.5 | 8.2 | 23.0 |
| Other capital expenditure | 6584 | 4332 | 5148 | 6770 | 173.0 | -34.2 | 18.8 | 31.5 |
| 5. Total expenditure (2+4) | 46275 | 50356 | 61645 | 78200 | 28.7 | 8.8 | 22.4 | 26.9 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (-) or surplus (+) (1-5) | -8 246 | -5 713 | -7445 | -13 994 | 109.2 | -30.7 | 303 | 88.0 |
| 7. Financing of the deficit | 8246 | 5713 | 7445 | 13994 |  |  |  |  |
| Net domestic financing | 4902 | 4040 | 6695 | 10944 |  |  |  |  |
| Loans received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Bank | - | - | 4195 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -4000 | - | -5 230 | -5 300 |  |  |  |  |
| Sales of bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales of securities | 8550 | 628 | 5230 | 13900 |  |  |  |  |
| Other sources (net) | 352 | 3412 | 2500 | 2344 |  |  |  |  |
| Net external financing | 3343 | 1674 | 750 | 3050 |  |  |  |  |
| Loans received | 5312 | 3450 | 2750 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -1969 | -1777 | -2 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales of bonds | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current saving/capital expenditure | 12.4 | 15.1 | 3.8 | -40.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/current expenditure | 22.4 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 20.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | 17.8 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Total revenue/GDP | 13.7 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 18.8 | 17.7 | 17.2 | 18.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 3.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing/deficit | 59.4 | 70.7 | 89.9 | 78.2 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing/deficit | 40.5 | 29.3 | 10.1 | 21.8 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Finance of Costa Rica.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 22
COSTA RICA: INCOME AND EXPENDITURE OF THE CONSOLIDATED NON-FINANCIAL PUBLIC SECTOR

|  | Millions of colones |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total income | 61783 | 74136 | 94349 | 109542 | 27.7 | 20.0 | 27 J | 16.1 |
| Current | 61655 | 73911 | 93938 | 108887 | 27.2 | 19.9 | 27.1 | 15.9 |
| Capital | 128 | 225 | 411 | 655 | -260.0 | 75.8 | 82.7 | 59.4 |
| Total expenditure | 64723 | 74374 | 92331 | 113963 | 252 | 14.9 | 24.1 | 23.4 |
| Current | 50800 | 60667 | 76057 | 95067 | 23.5 | 19.4 | 25.4 | 25.0 |
| Consumption | 35169 | 40033 | 50891 | 61756 | 23.9 | 13.8 | 27.1 | 21.3 |
| Wages and salaries | 21671 | 24815 | 31131 | 38956 | 25.0 | 14.5 | 25.5 | 25.1 |
| Purchase of goods and services | 6582 | 8164 | 10672 | 11997 | 21.2 | 24.0 | 30.7 | 12.4 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6916 | 7054 | 9088 | 10803 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 28.8 | 18.9 |
| Transfer to private sector | 9620 | 12864 | 15435 | 21188 | 37.2 | 33.7 | 20.0 | 37.3 |
| Interest | 6011 | 7770 | 9731 | 12123 | 26.9 | 29.3 | 25.2 | 24.6 |
| Capital | 13923 | 13707 | 16274 | 18 8\% | 32.0 | -1.6 | 18.7 | 16.1 |
| Real investment | 9483 | 9348 | 10246 | 12020 | 15.9 | -1.4 | 9.6 | 17.3 |
| Transfers | 4440 | 4359 | 6028 | 6876 | 142.8 | -1.8 | 38.3 | 14.1 |
| Deficit (-) or surplus (+) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In current money | -2940 | -238 | 2018 | -4 421 |  |  |  |  |
| As a percentage of GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing of the deficit | 2940 | 238 | -2 018 | 4421 |  |  |  |  |
| Net domestic financing | -2 320 | -1630 | -5 365 | -381 |  |  |  |  |
| Net credit | 2907 | -2 659 | 1562 | -1618 |  |  |  |  |
| Other sources (net) | -5 227 | 1029 | -6927 | 1237 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing | 5260 | 1868 | 3347 | 4802 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit received | 8701 | 5222 | 9278 | 14327 |  |  |  |  |
| (-) Amortization payments | 3440 | 3354 | 5931 | 9525 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Finance of Costa Rica. Preliminary figures. Includes transfers to public sector and external expenditure.

Table 23
COSTA RICA: MONETARY BALANCE AND INDICATORS

| Money (Mi) | 41943 | 43863 | 53329 | 62346 | 30.6 | 4.6 | 21.6 | 16.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currency outside banks | 13242 | 14777 | 18049 | 21488 | 33.2 | 11.6 | 22.1 | 19.1 |
| Demand deposits | 28701 | 29086 | 35280 | 40858 | 29.4 | 1.3 | 21.3 | 15.8 |
| Factors of expansion | 111633 | 131103 | 169390 | 176234 | 25.9 | 17.4 | 29.2 | 4.0 |
| Net international reserves | 21789 | 25831 | 47376 | 62230 | 30.5 | 18.6 | 83.4 | 31.4 |
| Domestic credit | 89844 | 105272 | 122014 | 114004 | 24.8 | 17.2 | 15.9 | -6.6 |
| To the public sector | 45668 | 50807 | 59911 | 48411 | 33.0 | 11.3 | 17.9 | -19.2 |
| Central government (net) | 14001 | 19317 | 21000 | 10994 | 56.3 | 38.0 | 8.7 | -47.6 |
| Public institutions | 31667 | 31490 | 38911 | 37417 | 24.7 | -0.6 | 23.6 | -3.8 |
| To the private sector | 44176 | 54465 | 62103 | 65593 | 17.3 | 23.3 | 14.0 | 5.6 |
| Factors of absorption | 69286 | 87238 | 116061 | 1131 | 22.5 | 25.9 | 33.0 | -1.9 |
| Quasi-money (savings and time deposits) | 63164 | 82237 | 119383 | 148283 | 13.4 | 30.2 | 45.2 | 24.2 |
| Deposits in national currency | 31055 | 38440 | 45156 | 54723 | 32.3 | 23.8 | 17.5 | 21.2 |
| Time deposits | 22988 | 28127 | 32537 | 36204 | 12.7 | 22.4 | 15.7 | 11.3 |
| Savings deposits | 4172 | 4542 | 6083 | 8135 | 35.5 | 8.9 | 33.9 | 33.7 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3895 | 5770 | 7536 | 10384 |  | 48.1 | 30.6 | 37.8 |
| Deposits in US dollars | 17839 | 26062 | 38359 | 49905 | 20.0 | 46.1 | 47.2 | 30.1 |
| Demand deposits | 2085 | 2611 | 3335 | 4014 | -14.9 | 25.2 | 27.7 | 20.4 |
| Time deposits | 12229 | 18113 | 27572 | 32145 | 12.5 | 48.1 | 52.2 | 16.6 |
| Savings deposits | 1842 | 2721 | 3783 | 4972 | 44.1 | 47.7 | 39.0 | 31.4 |
| Other ${ }^{0}$ | 1682 | 2618 | 3670 | 8774 | 525.3 | 55.6 | 40.2 | 139.1 |
| Stabilization bonds | 6228 | 11443 | 23377 | 29301 | 11.4 | 83.7 | 104.3 | 25.3 |
| Other commitments | 8043 | 6292 | 11319 | 14354 | -31.7 | -21.8 | 79.9 | 26.8 |
| Long-term external loans | 129285 | 146472 | 165102 | 166755 | 15.7 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 1.0 |
| Other items (net) | -123 163 - 141 471-168 $424-201150$ |  |  |  | 11.0 | 14.9 | 19.1 | 19.4 |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mi} /$ monetary base | $1.51 \quad 1.57$ |  | 1.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M2/monetary base | 3.99 | 4.43 | 4.93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ml/GDP | 0.15 | 0.14 | $0.12 \quad 0.12$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary figures. " Including bonds. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes expired time deposits and other claims. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Includes adjustments for variations in the rate of exchange. ${ }^{e}$ Calculated on the basis of annual averages (of end-of-month balances) of the monetary base, of money (Mi), and of money plus quasi-money (M2). The definition of monetary base used here is that of money issue plus commercial-bank demand deposits in the Central Bank of Costa Rica.

Table 24
COSTA RICA: SHORT-TERM BANK INTEREST RATES ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 20.00 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 22.50 | 22.50 | 22.45 |
| Private banks |  | 23.00 | 22.00 | 25.00 | 26.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  |  | 26.00 | 27.60 | 29.80 | 29.90 |
| Private financial institutions | 23.00 | 23.00 | 23.00 | 27.00 | 24.00 |  |
|  |  |  | 24.50 | 27.80 | 30.40 | 28.50 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12.00 | 21.50 | 20.50 | 24.50 | 26.00 | 27.00 |
| Commerce, personal credit $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { and services }{ }^{\text {e }} & 26.00 & 28.00 & 30.00 & 31.50 & 31.50\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State sector | 7.14 | 4.35 | 3.76 | 4.88 | 1.41 | 5.11 |
| Private banks |  | 6.96 | 10.91 | 8.13 | 5.88 | 9.40 |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture |  | 5.7 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 4.3 |  |
| Commerce, personal credit and services | 12.5 | 11.3 | 16.3 | 12.6 | 8.9 |  |
| Readjustable operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits in dollars |  | 9.00 | 7.25 | 8.75 | 10.37 | 8.87 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Monetary Department of the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At 31 December of each year. For six months. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Fixed by the Central Bank for deposits in national currency. Lowest rates available for production operations. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Highest rates on the market. The interest rate was 32.45 in State banks and 36.00 in private banks. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Deflated by the rate of increase in the consumer price index (annual average).

Table 25
COSTA RICA: NATIONAL BANKING SYSTEM LOAN OPERATIONS '

|  | 1987 |  |  | 1988 |  |  | 1989 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | From State banks | From private banks | Total | From State banks | From private banks | Total | From State banks | From private banks | Total |
|  | Millions of colones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40401 | 10816 | 51218 | 39881 | 15085 | 54966 | 44194 | 18055 | 62249 |
| Agriculture | 8682 | 759 | 9441 | 7674 | 1747 | 9421 | 10218 | 1933 | 12151 |
| Stock raising | 8216 | 78 | 8295 | 7088 | 90 | 7178 | 7187 | 213 | 7400 |
| Fisheries | 267 | - | 267 | 239 | - | 239 | 236 | 1 | 237 |
| Manufacturing | 11264 | 6516 | 17780 | 13218 | 9729 | 22947 | 13048 | 11455 | 24503 |
| Housing | 2411 | 36 | 2447 | 3118 | 128 | 3245 | 3603 | 279 | 3882 |
| Construction | 616 | 265 | 881 | 739 | 540 | 1279 | 1106 | 508 | 1614 |
| Tourism | 151 | 4 | 155 | 366 | 133 | 499 | 288 | 255 | 543 |
| Commerce | 3920 | 2307 | 6226 | 3573 | 1886 | 5459 | 3934 | 2837 | 6771 |
| Services | 2107 | 583 | 2690 | 1448 | 451 | 1899 | 1870 | 365 | 2235 |
| Consumption | 2562 | 264 | 2826 | 2225 | 379 | 2607 | 2335 | 190 | 2525 |
| Transport | 113 | 3 | 115 | 161 | 2 | 163 | 170 | 18 | 188 |
| Other | 92 | 3 | 95 | 33 | - | 33 |  |  |  |
|  | Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Agriculture | 42.5 | 7.7 | 35.1 | 37.6 | 12.2 | 30.6 | 39.9 | 11.9 | 31.8 |
| Manufacturing | 27.9 | 60.2 | 34.7 | 33.1 | 64.5 | 41.7 | 29.5 | 63.4 | 39.4 |
| Housing and construction | 7.5 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 4.4 | 8.8 |
| Tourism | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| Commerce | 9.7 | 21.3 | 12.2 | 9.0 | 12.5 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 15.7 | 10.9 |
| Services, consumption and other | 12.1 | 7.9 | 11.2 | 9.7 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 3.2 | 7.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ As of 31 December of each year.

## CUBA

The slight $1 \%$ rise registered in Cuba's total social product Was the same as the population increase, so that the per capita product remained stalled at the level it had already reached in 1984. The weakening of socialist economic integration, set against the background of the continued United States blockade, had a considerable impact on the external sector, bringing the trade deficit to more than half of the value of exports. Furthermore, the shortage of credit and the higher import requirements resulted in a reduction of international reserves, despite the virtual suspension of external debt service payments.l Domestic demand, on the other hand, continued to grow, and fixed investment, in particular, expanded significantly. In this context, the fiscal deficit rose steeply, to an equivalent of $5 \%$ of the gross domestic product (GDP) (see table 1).

The complex external situation served to heighten the imbalances with the member countries of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance (CMEA). Thus, the trade deficit with the Soviet Union registered a sharp rise while the deficit with the CMEA countries almost doubled, rising to $84 \%$ and $12 \%$ of Cuba's total foreign-trade deficit, respectively.

This upset in the trade dealings with this group of countries had other undesirable effects, in that the prices of some imports had to be negotiated outside the agreed rates. The trade deficit with market-economy countries was reduced by half, however, as a result of the increased export income through higher sugar
prices ( $36 \%$ ) and a greater volume of sugar sales ( $29 \%$ ).

The obvious vulnerability of the foreign trade structure, overly focused on trade with the CMEA countries -almost $85 \%$ of the tota ${ }^{1}$ trade- caused the authorities to pursue more actively a policy of promoting and diversifying exportable products and markets to receive them.

As regards the external finances, the supply of convertible currency continued to shrink because of the scant inflow of liquid loans and the lessening of supplier credit. External debt-service payments in convertible currency were completely suspended, but the outcome was that the financial conditions imposed abroad grew harsher still.

Productive activity in general tended to stagnate, except for construction. The agricultural and livestock sector experienced input supply problems complicated by irregular rainfall. Among the export crops, there was a drop in the yield of the sugar cane harvest beginning in late 1988, as well as in the citrus fruit harvest. Among the products for domestic consumption, the vegetable, fruit and root and plantain crops also did badly.

Because of shipment delays and a shortage of materials and spare parts, machinery began to be still further underutilized, causing an increase in the amount of idle production capacity. Intermittent production and employee absenteeism led to a decline in general productivity, which was also adversely

[^40]affected by the need to absorb almost 120000 new workers ( $3.5 \%$ of the labour force), mainly in the agricultural and industrial sectors.

The reduced domestic food supply made it necessary to increase purchases abroad. This, however, was not translated into a rise in prices, given the official policy of regulating the distribution of consumer goods by allocating them by quantity (regulated market) or by setting higher but controlled prices (parallel market).

As in the previous year, domestic demand grew primarily as a result of the growth in investment ( $15 \%$ ), particularly in the construction of tourist, highway, electricity and water-supply infrastructure and as a result of the continuance of strategic projects. Thus, in the biennium 1988-1989, fixed capital formation increased by $28 \%$, regaining the position it had held at the beginning of the decade as a percentage of the total social product despite the difficulties involved in fitting out the facilities. Personal consumption,
for its part, increased by almost $2 \%$. The budget deficit rose, and was financed from company surpluses and compensation funds, and from funds supplied by the National Bank. The monetary expansion led to further growth in liquidity (17\%), which went mainly into savings; indeed, the amount of money in circulation actually contracted.

In short, the difficulties that had already been making themselves felt for a number of years came to a head in 1989 and began to show in the real variables of the economy. The changes taking place in Eastern Europe furthered the break-up of the factors that previously maintained a certain consistency between Cuba's ties abroad and its patterns of domestic development. Although the plans for complementary production agreed to in the CMEA context, especially with the Soviet Union, remained in effect, 2 the authorities have begun to make adjustments by pursuing them in conjunction with more diversified foreign ties.

[^41]CUBA
Table 1

## CUBA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total social product |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (millions of 1981 pesos) | 23029 | 24149 | 25890 | 27070 | 27390 | 26335 | 26991 | 27273 |
| Population (thousands of inhabitants) ${ }^{0}$ | 9801 | 9897 | 9994 | 10098 | 10199 | 10301 | 10413 | 10523 |
| Per capita total social product (1981 pesos) | 2349 | 2440 | 2591 | 2681 | 2686 | 2557 | 2592 | 2592 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total social product | 3.8 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 1.2 | -3.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| Per capita total social product | 3.0 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | -4.8 | 1.4 |  |
| Current State income | -7.5 | 21.4 | -2.3 | 3.7 | -4.8 | -3.6 | 1.0 | 9.8 |
| Total State expenditure | -12.2 | 12.2 | 4.7 | 5.2 | -5.3 | -0.1 | 5.5 | 10.8 |
| Budgetary deficit/ total State expenditure | 1.7 | -6.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 9.2 | 10.0 |
| Budgetary deficit/total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| social product | 0.7 | -3.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 5.2 |
| Average annual wage | 3.8 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | -2.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Current value of exports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 16.8 | 12.2 | -1.1 | 9.4 | -11.2 | 1.5 | 2.2 | -2.3 |
| Sugar | 15.4 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 7.7 | -8.4 | -2.0 | 2.5 | -4.2 |
| Current value of imports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.2 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 11.2 | -5.5 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 7.2 |
| Petroleum and petroleum products | 28.3 | 24.3 | 19.4 | 19.7 | -4.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 | 3.8 |
| Millions of pesos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods) | -597 | -687 | -1752 | -2 043 | -2 275 | -2182 | -2 061 | -2732 |
| Soviet Union | -459 | -363 | -830 | -937 | -1402 | -1578 | -1681 | -2 291 |
| Other socialist countries | -271 | -286 | -334 | -501 | -343 | -285 | -179 | -336 |
| Rest of world | 133 | -38 | -588 | -605 | -530 | -319 | -201 | -105 |
| Balance on current account ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 297 | 263 | -212 | -506 | -1961 | -877 | -900 | 62 |
| Balance on capital account ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ | -539 | -74 | 106 | 554 | 1841 | 822 | 943 | -76 |
| Variation in international reserves ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -242 | 189 | -106 | 48 | -120 | -55 | 43 | -14 |
| Disbursed external debt ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2669 | 2790 | 2989 | 3621 | 4985 | 5657 | 6606 | $6165^{\text {f }}$ |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the State Statistical Committee, the National Bank of Cuba and other international statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The total social product is equivalent to the value of gross production. According to official sources "the basic criterion for recognizing gross production and ascribing it to a given sector, branch and sub-branch is the nature of the basic activities carried out by the enterprise, establishment or department with an independent balance in which the economic activity is carried out" (see State Statistical Committee, Bases metodológicas del sistema de balances de la economía nacional, Havana, November 1981, p. 9). Consequently, the information contained in this table does not relate solely to sectors and branches of origin: the classification adopted records not only the main production of the entrepreneurial units but also the secondary production carried out in those units, whether or not it corresponds, by origin, to the same branch of activity. If a system of classification based strictly on sectors of origin were adopted (in accordance with the criterion adopted by the United Nations in the International Standard Industrial Classification of all Economic Activities (isic)), the conclusions at sectoral and branch levels would be different. Thus the data on the agricultural sector include secondary production of industry and construction not computed in these sectors. Nevertheless, these differences are cancelled out in the total material product. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Annual average, taking into account migratory movements. Percentages. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ In freely convertible currency. Excludes much of the trade with member countries of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance (CMEA). The reduction of the external debt balance is a result of the application, as of July 1989, of a new exchange rate in the accounting of external financial commitments, denominated in European currencies.

## Table 2

## CUBA: INDICATORS OF PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Fooststuffs ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calories (units per day) | 2948 | 2899 | 2948 | 2848 | -0.9 | 0.6 | -1.7 | 1.7 | -3.4 |
| Proteins (grams per day) | 79.7 | 77.4 | 78.1 | 75.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | -2.9 | 0.9 | -3.3 |
| Meat | 43.0 | 41.6 | 41.4 | 40.3 | 3.4 | -0.2 | -3.3 | -0.5 | -2.7 |
| Fish ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 19.9 | 19.2 | 18.5 | 16.1 | 8.3 | 2.1 | -3.5 | -3.6 | -13.0 |
| Milk and milk products | 151.1 | 146.6 | 148.8 | 148.2 | -1.3 | -0.1 | -3.0 | 1.5 | -0.4 |
| Eggs (units) | 244 | 236 | 229 | 233 | -3.2 | 0.8 | -3.3 | -3.0 | 1.7 |
| Cereals | 112.3 | 109.2 | 109.5 | 105.7 | -1.9 | 2.2 | -2.8 | 0.3 | -3.5 |
| Fats | 17.6 | 17.5 | 17.9 | 17.2 | 2.3 | -1.1 | -0.6 | 2.3 | -3.9 |
| Vegetables | 57.3 | 58.2 | 68.4 | 61.6 | 3.8 | -0.3 | 1.6 | 17.5 | -9.9 |
| Roots and tubers | 79.5 | 72.6 | 75.7 | 70.0 | -3.3 | 3.2 | -8.7 | 4.3 | -7.5 |
| Fruits | 59.5 | 65.2 | 67.8 | 59.6 | 10.2 | -5.1 | 9.6 | 4.0 | -12.1 |
| Beans | 11.8 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 0.8 | -1.7 | -6.8 | 9.1 | 3.3 |
| Sugar | 52.8 | 51.6 | 52.7 | 51.2 | -3.1 | -0.6 | -2.3 | 2.1 | -2.8 |
| Industrial products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Textiles (m2) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.3 |  |  | 24.3 |  | -6.5 |  |
| Outer clothing (units) | 4.5 | 5.0- | 4.1 |  | -115 | 7.1 | 11.1 | -18.0. |  |
| Underclothing (units) | 7.5 | 6.6 | 6.3 |  | -8.8 | 21.0 | -12.0 | -4.5 |  |
| Footwear (pairs) | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 |  |  | -11.5 | 17.4 | -7.4 |  |
| Durable goods ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Television sets | 79 | 79 | 73 | 71 |  | 3.9 |  | -7.6 | -2.7 |
| Refrigerators | 49 | 53 | 56 | 58 | 15.0 | 6.5 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 3.6 |
| Washing machines | 54 | 56 | 59 | 62 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Radios | 134 | 134 | 139 | 138 | 7.9 | -1.5 |  | 3.7 | -0.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures. Kilogrammes. In terms of whole fish. Excludes consumption of textiles in the production of industrial articles. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Number of units per 100 homes. Records only black and white television sets.

Table 3
CUBA: USE OF TOTAL SOCIAL PRODUCT, AT 1981 PRICES

|  | Millions of 1981 pesos |  |  | Perentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1981 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total social product | 26335 | 26991 | 27273 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 1.1 | -3.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| Intermediate consumption | 13062 | 13392 | 13791 | 48.1 | 50.6 | 5.1 | 5.0 | -2.9 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Final consumption | 10715 | 10883 | 11052 | 42.5 | 40.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | -2.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Consumption by the population | 9604 | 9780 | 9935 | 38.8 | 36.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 16 |
| Personal consumption | 8290 | 8422 | 8573 | 33.4 | 31.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | -0.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Consumption by organizations serving the population | 1314 | 1358 | 1362 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 2.8 | -7.0 | 3.3 | 0:3 |
| Consumption by organizations meeting collective needs | 1111 | 1103 | 1117 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 2.9 | -7.9 | -0.7 | 1.3 |
| Net capital formation | 1932 | 2314 | 2663 | 13.3 | 9.8 | 7.5 | -30.2 | -25.5 | 19.8 | 15.1 |
| Fixed capital | 2119 | 2370 | 2711 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 7.0 | -10.6 | -23.5 | 11.8 | 14.4 |
| Stocks | -187 | -56 | -48 | 3.2 | -0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Losses | $242{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $213{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 81 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 97.1 | -14.2 |  |  |  |
| Exports minus imports ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 384 | 190 | . 323 | -3.6 | -1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statistical discrepancies |  |  | 9.0 | -0.5 | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes statistical discrepancies. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Of goods and productive services

Table 4
CUBA: TOTAL SOCIAL PRODUCT, BV ECONOMIC SECTOR ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Millions of pesos at 1981 prices |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth mtfis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total social product | 26335 | 26991 | 27273 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | -3.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| Material product | 17861 | 18532 | 18857 | 66.6 | 69.1 | 1.5 | -32 | 3.8 | 1.8 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 4046 | 4139 | 4116 | 17.7 | 15.1 | 3.0 | -1.1 | 2.3 | -0.6 |
| Agriculture | 3603 | 3702 | 3714 | 16.2 | 13.6 | 2.7 | -0:9 | 2.7 | 0.3 |
| Sugar cane agriculture | 1041 | 1102 | 1098 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 5.9 | -0.4 |
| Non-sugar cane agriculture | 942 | 987 | 978 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 6.3 | -5.0 | 4.8 | -0.9 |
| Stock-raising | 1583 | 1575 | 1597 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 1.3 | -0.3 | -0.5 | 1.4 |
| Agricultural services | 37 | 38 | 41 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 5.4 | -5.1 | 2.7 | 7.9 |
| Forestry | 124 | 124 | 133 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.3 | -0.8 | 0.0 | 7.3 |
| Fishing | 319 | 313 | 269 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6.4 | -3.6 | -1.9 | -14.1 |
| Industry | 11625 | 11995 | 12058 | 41.1 | 44.2 | 1.1 | -3.1 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| Electrical energy | 616 | 646 | 677 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| Mining and metallurgy | 328 | 328 | 331 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 12.7 | -0.3 | - | 0.9 |
| Manufacturing | 10681 | 11021 | 11060 | 37.9 | 40.6 | 0.5 | -3.7 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| Construction ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2190 | 2398 | 2683 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 0.9 | -7.3 | 9.5 | 11.9 |
| Non-material product | 8474 | 8459 | 8416 | 33.4 | 30.9 | 0.4 | -5.1 | -0.2 | -0.5 |
| Transport | 1800 | 1859 | 1890 | 7.2 | 6.9 | -1.5 | -2.4 | 3.3 | 1.7 |
| Communications | 266 | 277 | 281 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 1.4 |
| Commerce | 6195 | 6136 | 6056 | 25.0 | 22.2 | 0.4 | -6.4 | -1.0 | -1.3 |
| Other productive activities ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 213 | 187 | 189 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 3.4 | -12.2 | 1.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
" See footnote b) of table 1 above. Preliminary figures. ${ }^{c}$ Data refer to gross production at producer prices, which differs from gross production at entrepreneurial prices because it includes indirect taxes. Includes public food supply, external trade, technical and material supplies, collection and storage of agricultural products, collection of scrap and useful raw materials, as well as domestic wholesale and retail trade. ${ }^{e}$ Includes work on technical projects, mechanical and automatic data processing and other productive activities.

Table 5

## CUBA: BASIC INDICATORS OF THE SUGAR INDUSTRY

|  | Production <br> (thousands of tons) ${ }^{8}$ |  | Industrial yield (base $96^{\circ}$ ) <br> (응) | ys |  | Sugar cane milled per day (thousands of tons) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sugar cane milled | Raw sugar (base $96^{\circ}$ ) |  | Nominal harvest | Actual | Nominal harvest | Actual |
| 1960 | 47492 | 5943 | 12.51 | 103 | 88 | 466 | 542 |
| 1965 | 56687 | 6156 | 10.86 | 130 | 105 | 388 | 482 |
| 1970 | 79678 | 8538 | 10.71 | 217 | 143 | 367 | 558 |
| 1975 | 50770 | 6314 | 12.44 | 123 | 99 | 414 | 514 |
| 1976 | 51999 | 6156 | 11.84 | 130 | 99 | 399 | 527 |
| 1977 | 56149 | 6485 | 11.55 | 142 | 104 | 396 | 543 |
| 1978 | 67043 | 7351 | 10.96 | 168 | 119 | 400 | 563 |
| 1979 | 73050 | 7992 | 10.94 | 182 | 128 | 402 | 571 |
| 1980 | 61600 | 6665 | 10.82 | 149 | 109 | 413 | 566 |
| 1981 | 66408 | 7359 | 11.08 | 136 | 114 | 489 | 580 |
| 1982 | 73500 | 8210 | 11.17 | 152 | 124 | 485 i | 594 |
| 1983 | 68687 | 7109 | 10.35 | 160 | 113 | 430 | 609 |
| 1984 | 78358 | 8207 | 10.47 | 166 | 126 | 471 | 620 |
| 1985 | 66756 | 8004 | 11.99 | 135 | 103 | 495 | 646 |
| 1986 | 68300 | 7255 | 10.62 | 137 | 104 | 498 | 657 |
| 1987 | 66892 | 7117 | 10.64 | 141 | 99 | 473 | 674 |
| 1988 | 68369 | 7415 | 10.85 | 128 | 100 | 535 | 681 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 75013 | 8121 | 10.83 | 145 | 109 | 519 | 689 |

Source: 1960-1970, Central Planning Board, Statistical Bureau, Boletín Estadístico, 1970; 1975-1984, Anuario Estadístico de Cuba, 1984, 1985 onward, data provided by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Crop year (end of November of one year to beginning of May of the following year). Preliminary figures.

Tableó

## CUBA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION



Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.

* Preliminary figures.

Thousands of tons, with the exception of henequén (expressed in millions of hanks) and cultivated pasture and fodder (millions of tons). ${ }^{\text {c }}$ In State enterprises. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Including the non-State sector.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Thousands of head. ' Millions of head, final stock. ${ }^{8}$ Thousands of tons of live weight. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Millions of units. 'Tons.

Table 7

## CUBA: MAIN PETROLEUM AND METALLURGICAL

PRODUCTION INDICATORS

| 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  |


| Petroleum and petroleum products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude petroleum extraction | 938 | 895 | 717 | 718 | 12.7 | 8.1 | -4.6 | -19.9 | 0.1 |
| Crude petroleum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| refining | 6577 | 6827 | 7643 | 7916 | -0.4 | -0.2 | 3.8 | - 12.0 | 3.6 |
| Fuel oü | 3314 | 3379 | 3912 | 4153 | -0.7 | -0.1 | 2.0 | 15.8 | 6.2 |
| Diesel fuel | 992 | 1085 | 1221 | 1179 | -4.0 | 1.3 | 9.4 | 12.5 | -3.4 |
| Natural gas | 6 | 24 | 22 | 34 | 133.3 | -14.3 | 319.3 | -8.3 | 54.5 |
| Gasoline | 979 | 960 | 1012 | 1026 | -3.2 | 2.7 | -1.9 | 5.4 | 1.4 |
| Ferrous mining and metallurgy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refractory chromite | 50 | 52 | 52 | 51 |  | 31.6 | 4.0 | -0.4 | -1.9 |
| Ordinary steel | 412 | 402 | 321 | 314 | 23.4 | 2.7 | -2.4 | -20.1 | -2.2 |
| Textured steel bars | 312 | 313 | 360 | 367 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 15.0 | 1.9 |
| Non-ferrous mining and metallurgy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nickel plus cobalt (metal content) | 35 | 36 | 44 | 47 | - | 4.5 | 2.9 | 22,2 | 6.8 |
| Copper concentrate ${ }^{c}$ (metal content) | 3257 | 346 | 2 | 12 | 5913.9 | 5.9 | 6.3 | -14.7 | -6.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.

* Preliminary figures. Millions of cubic metres. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Tons.

Table 8
CUBA: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | Index of the industrial product$(1975=100)$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\circ}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Total' | 169.5 | 163.2 | 168.4 | 168.9 | 7.8 | 0.5 | -3.7 | 3.2 | 0-3 |
| Non-durable consumer goods industry | 159.7 | 153.2 | 159.5 | 159.2 | 7.8 | -0.9 | -4.1 | 4.1 | -0.2 |
| Foodstuffs (excluding sugar) | 159.0 | 156.5 | 159.4 | 154.5 | 5.7 | 1.4 | -1.6 | 1.9 | -3.1 |
| Sugar and sugar products | 130.6 | 123.2 | 1333 | 129.9 | 1.5 | -A. 9 | -5.7 | 8.2 | -2.6 |
| Beverages and tobacco | 178.5 | 165.9 | 174.0 | 183.9 | 13.4 | -1.9 | -7.1 | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| Ready-made clothing | 188.1 | 199.1 | 198.6 | 172.8 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 5.8 | -0.3 | -13.0 |
| Printed matter | 197.8 | 220.9 | 209.5 | 191.7 | 12.4 | 8.4 | 11.7 | -5.2 | -8.5 |
| Intermediate goods | 133.9 | 136.2 | 139.0 | 141.2 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| industry Textiles | 133.9 | 136.2 213.9 | 221.6 | 141.2 | 7.5 | 1.9 9.7 | 1.7 10.9 | 3.6 | 1.6 -12.7 |
| Chemicals | 142.7 | 137.8 | 126.8 | 131.9 | 9.7 | 1.1 | -3.4 | -8.0 | 4.0 |
| Fuels | 106.7 | 107.4 | 121.0 | 125.9 | 0.4 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 12.7 | 4.0 |
| Construction materials | 140.6 | 150.0 | 155.9 | 161.5 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Consumer durables and capital goods industry | 342.0 | 302.2 | 311.9 | 310.5 | 11.1 | 1.5 | -11.6 | 32 | -0.4 |
| Construction of nonelectrical machinery | 351.4 | 305.0 | 300.4 | 299.8 | 8.5 | -2.5 | -13.2 | -1.5 | -0.2 |
| Electrotechnical and electronic goods | 401.0 | 326.6 | 409.5 | 412.8 | 22.5 | 14.2 | -18.6 | 25.4 | 0.8 |
| Metal products ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 280.7 | 279.9 | 289.5 | 283.4 | 13.9 | 7.9 | -0.3 | 3.4 | -2.1 |
| Other manufactures | 207.4 | 205.4 | 205.8 | 208.8 | 5.0 | 5.4 | -1.0 | 02 | 1.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At constant 1981 prices. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{c}$ Excluding basic metals. Including, inter alia the leather, glass and pottery, pulp and paper, forestry and timber-processing industries.

Table 9

## CUBA: MAIN INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION INDICATORS

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Non-durable consumer goods <br> (excluding sugar) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canned meat | 66 | 65 | 63 | 68 | 4.4 | 3.1 | -2.0 | -3.5 | 7.7 |
| Wheat flour | 443 | 454 | 442 | 398 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 2.4 | -2.7 | -9.9 |
| Canned fruit and vegetables | 173 | 165 | 185 | 163 | 12.3 | -5.3 | -4.7 | 11.8 | -11.5 |
| Alcoholic beverages | 577 | 610 | 644 | 673 | -5.7 | -6.7 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 4.4 |
| Twist tobacco | 341 | 279 | 270 | 309 | 21.2 | -6.8 | -18.2 | -3.2 | 14.4 |
| Fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross catch | 245 | 214 | 232 | 192 | 10.0 | 11.2 | -12.3 | 8.0 | -17.1 |
| Total catch landed | 194 | 182 | 178 | 170 | 7.1 | 5.5 | -5.6 | -2.6 | -4.5 |
| Outer clothing ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 58 | 61 | 61 | 54 | 2.0 | 11.0 | 6.4 | -1.0 | -10.9 |
| Intermediate consumer goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Textiles ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 221 | 258 | 260 | 219 | 19.3 | 7.5 | 17.0 | 0.8 | -16.1 |
| Gray cement | 3305 | 3535 | 3566 | 3759 | -4.9 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 5.4 |
| Paints, enamels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sulphuric acid 98\% | 396 | 372 | 393 | 382 | 11.3 | 5.9 | -6.1 | 5.6 | -2.9 |
| Caustic soda 50\% | 21 | 18 | 20 | 16 | -22.2 | 51.8 | -15.0 | 8.2 | -17.8 |
| Superphosphate | 3 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 50.0 | -78.8 | 168.8 | -88.4 | 50.0 |
| Ammonium nitrate | 330 | 296 | 292 | 306 | -2.4 | 0.7 | -10.3 | -1.4 | 4.8 |
| Compound fertilizers | 1045 | 996 | 840 | 899 | 12.0 | -9.9 | -4.7 | -15.6 | 6.9 |
| Urea | 75 | 68 | 45 | 59 | 25.2 | -7.5 | -8.7 | -33.8 | 29.1 |
| Bottles ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 308 | 339 | 343 | 297 | -0.4 | 16.5 | 10.0 | 1.3 | -13.5 |
| Unbleached cardboard and paperboard | 21 | 19 | 22 | 29 | -15.8 | 30.7 | -9.8 | 12.4 | 31.8 |
| Consumer durables and capital goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refrigerators ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 18 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 16.7 | -33.8 | -67.9 | 40.7 | 21.7 |
| Radio receivers ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 237 | 227 | 153 | 173 | -6.7 | 0.3 | -4.0 | -32.6 | 12.9 |
| Television sets ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 102 | 56 | 65 | 71 | 2.2 | 8.5 | -45.6 | 17.3 | 8.3 |
| Sugar cane combines | 613 | 620 | 642 | 621 | -4.0 | 1.2. | 1.1 | 3.5 | -3.3 |
| Buses | 2351 | 2340 | 2537 | 2345 | 7.8 | -1.8 | -0.4 | 8.4 | -7.6 |
| Electric wires and cables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uninsulated ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 3002 | 2560 | 3000 | 2354 | 34.6 | 7.8 | -14.7 | 17.2 | -21.5 |
| Insulated | 77 | 53 | 72 | 67 | 23.1 | 19.6 | -31.1 | 34.9 | -6.6 |
| Sanitary appliances ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 371 | 387 | 384 | 454 | 0.6 | 9.7 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 18.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Thousands of hectolitres. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of units. Millions of square metres.
' Thousands of units. ${ }^{1}$ Units. $\quad$ Tons. Thousands of kilometres.

Table 10
CUBA: CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

|  | Gross production (thousands of pesos at 1981 prices) |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 2363 | 2167 | 2327 | 2504 |  |  | 1.5 | 0.9 | -S3 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| Construction and installation | 2075 | 1892 | 2055 | 2227 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | -0.8 | -8.8 | 8.6 | 8.4 |
| Agriculture | 42 | 35 | 43 | 106 | 4.5 | 4.8 | - | 1.4 | -16.7 | 22.9 | 146.5 |
| Housing and urbanization | 193 | 189 | 226 | 242 | 8.1 | 10.9 | -0.2 | 8.0 | -2.1 | 19.6 | 7.1 |
| Education | 56 | 77 | 98 | 91 | 7.6 | 4.1 | -27.5 | 4.1 | 37.5 | 27.3 | -7.1 |
| Industry | 419 | 344 | 376 | 367 | 22.9 | 16.5 | 8.4 | -12.3 | -17.9 | 9.3 | -2.4 |
| Hydraulic works | 71 | 74 | 101 | 136 | 7.9 | 6.1 | 8.2 | -4.9 | 4.2 | 36.5 | 34.7 |
| Highways (excluding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| railways) | 137 | 130 | 172 | 187 | 8.0 | 8.4 | -23.6 | -1.4 | -5.1 | 32.3 | 8.7 |
| Railways | 38 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 4.4 | 1.5 | -4.0 | -0.8 | -13.2 |  | 3.0 |
| Hydrological works | 50 | 50 | 33 | 35 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 11.4 | 28.1 | - | -34.0 | 6.1 |
| Maritime facilities | 46 | 44 | 30 | 17 | 2.1 | 0.8 | -6.7 | 9.6 | -4.3 | -31.8 | -43.3 |
| Health facilities | 58 | 88 | 122 | 116 | 2.3 | 5.2 | -9.1 | 47.1 | 51.7 | 38.6 | -4.9 |
| Electricity networks | s 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 1.0 | 0.8 | -5.0 | -16.1 | 12.5 | -5.6 | - |
| Communication networks | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 0.4 | 0.5 | -7.7 | 5.0 | - | -7.7 | - |
| Maintenance | 413 | 364 | 344 | 378 | 10.4 | 17.0 | 9.2 | -0.8 | -11.9 | -5.5 | 9.9 |
| Other building work | 289 | 199 | 222 | 250 | 10.3 | 11.2 | -5.2 | 4.4 | -31.1 | 11.6 | 12.6 |
| Other production marketed | 223 | 222 | 216 | 223 | 7.6 | 10.0 | 3.7 | -2.4 | -0.4 | -2.7 | 3.2 |
| Oil and gas pipelines | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | - | 0.7 | - | -20.1 | - | - | 33.3 |
| Geological exploration, drilling and geodesic surveys | 174 | 166 | 163 | 167 |  |  | 7.7 | 8.7 | -4.6 | -1.8 | 2.5 |
| Design of construction projects | 114 | 109 | 109 | 110 |  |  | 12.4 | 24.8 | -4.4 | - | 0.9 |
| Production of selected building materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Textured steel bars | 312 | 313 | 360 | 367 |  |  | 11.5 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 15.0 | 1.9 |
| Steel bars ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 342 | 350 | 314 | 275 |  |  | 23.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | -10.3 | -12.4 |
| Gray cement | 3305 | 3535 | 3566 | 3759 |  |  | -4.9 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 5.4 |
| Prefabricated concrete |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay bricks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 146 | 152 | 150 | 142 |  |  | 14.8 | -1.0 | 4.1 | -1.3 | -5.3 |
| Tiles ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 72 | 75 | 74 | 74 |  |  | 9.1 | -0.1 | 4.2 | -1.3 | - |
| Sheet glass ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 368 | 424 | 650 | 498 |  |  | 103.9 | -36.5 | 15.2 | 53.3 | -23.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the State Statistical Committee

* Preliminary figures. Thousands of tons. ${ }^{c}$ Thousands of cubic metres. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Millions of units. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Thousands of square metres.

Table 11
CUBA: ELECTRICITY INDICATORS

|  | GWh |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross generation | 12199 | 13176 | 13594 | 14543 | 15237 | -0.8 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 4.8 |
| Ministry of the Basic Electricity Industry | 10739 | 11638 | 12002 | 12834 | 13563 | -0.6 | 8.4 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 5.7 |
| Ministry of the Sugar Industry | 1131 | 1185 | 1205 | 1318 | 1278 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 9.4 | -3.0 |
| Nickel-producing enterprises | 209 | 234 | 268 | 225 | 315 | -16.8 | 12.0 | 14.5 | -16.0 | 40.0 |
| Other producers | 120 | 120 | 119 | 166 | 81 | 6.4 | -0.1 | -0.8 | 39.5 | -51.2 |
| Consumption | 9924 | 10684 | 10958 | 11579 | 12056 | -0.9 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 4.1 |
| Industrial | 4518 | 4877 | 5014 | 5240 | 5314 | -2.6 | 7.9 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 1.4 |
| Agricultural | 234 | 272 | 267 | 291 | 315 | -7.5 | 16.2 | -1.8 | 9.0 | 8.2 |
| Commercial | 331 | 328 | 334 | 350 | 357 | -5.8 | -0.9 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.0 |
| Residential | 2682 | 2899 | 2912 | 3057 | 3241 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Other | 2160 | 2307 | 2431 | 2641 | 2829 | -6.9 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 7.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. Excluding the consumption of the Ministry of the Sugar Industry, the nickel-producing enterprises and "other producers".

Table 12
CUBA: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Preliminary figures. Re-exports of fuel to the Soviet Union in freely convertible currency. Figures obtained by difference.

Table 13
CUBA: PRODUCTION, EXPORTS AND EXPORT PRICES OF SUGAR

\left.|  | Thousands of tons" |  |  | US cents per pound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\right]$

Source: BCAC, on the basis of figures from theAnuarios Estadísticos de Cuba; the State Statistical Committee; thé Statistical Yearbook of the Soviet Union, and other international data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Rawsugar,base $96^{\circ}$ polarization. Calendaryear. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Data refers only to sales of sugar covered by agreements. These figures exclude sales to the USSR in convertible currency at market prices. ${ }^{d}$ Price of shipments of sugar in the current year, sometimes previously agreed at fixed prices. ' Prices under the International Sugar Agreement.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 14

## CUBA: VALUE AND VOLUME OF RAW SUGAR EXPORTS, BY AREA

(Percentages)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Soviet Union | 72.7 | 75.9 | 77.5 | 82.4 | 82.9 | 81.3 | 76.8 | 71.2 |
| Rest of socialist and | 18.4 | 17.5 | 18.1 | 14.1 | 12.6 | 15.6 | 19.0 | 20.4 |
| CMEA countries | 8.9 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| Rest of world | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Volume | 57.3 | 50.5 | 52.1 | 51.4 | 58.1 | 58.7 | 47.4 | 48.7 |
| Soviet Union |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rest of socialist and | 22.3 | 26.8 | 27.6 | 24.2 | 21.2 | 27.4 | 35.6 | 29.8 |
| CMEA countries | $\underline{20.4}$ | 22.7 | 20.3 | 24.4 | 20.7 | 13.9 | 17.0 | 21.5 |
| Rest of world |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 15
CUBA: EXPORTS, IMPORTS AND TRADE BALANCE

| Total exports | 5321 | 5402 | 5518 | 5392 | -11.2 | 15 | 2.1 | -2.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soviet Union | 3936 | 3868 | 3683 | 3231 | -12.2 | -1.7 | -4.8 | -12.3 |
| Rest of socialist and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMEA countries | 763 | 930 | 1082 | 1075 | -10.2 | 21.9 | 16.3 | -0.6 |
| Rest of world | 622 | 604 | 753 | 1086 | -5.8 | -2.9 | 24.7 | 44.2 |
| Total imports | 7596 | 7584 | 7579 | 8124 | -5.5 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 12 |
| Soviet Union | 5338 | 5446 | 5364 | 5522 | -1.5 | 2.0 | -1.5 | 2.9 |
| Rest of socialist and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMEA countries | 1106 | 1215 | 1261 | 1411 | -18.1 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 11.9 |
| Rest of world | 1152 | 923 | 954 | 1191 | -8.9 | -19.9 | 3.4 | 24.8 |
| Overall trade balance | -2 275 | -2182 | -2 061 | -2 732 |  |  |  |  |
| Soviet Union | -1402 | -1578 | -1681 | -2 291 |  |  |  |  |
| Rest of socialist and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMEA countries | -343 | -285 | -179 | -336 |  |  |  |  |
| Rest of world | -530 | -319 | -201 | -105 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee, The National Bank of Cuba and other international sources, including External Trade (Soviet Union).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

## CUBA

Table 16
CUBA: IMPORTS OF GOODS (CIF)

|  | Millions of pesos |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 7596 | 7584 | 7579 | 8124 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1L2 | -5.5 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 7.2 |
| According to area of origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Socialist and CMEA countries | 6444 | 6661 | 6625 | 6933 | 78.1 | 85.3 | 11.5 | -4.8 | 3.4 | -0.5 | 4.6 |
| Soviet Union | 5338 | 5446 | 5364 | 5522 | 62.8 | 68.0 | 13.3 | -1.5 | 2.0 | -1.5 | 2.9 |
| Other | 1106 | 1215 | 1261 | 1411 | 15.3 | 17.4 | 4.7 | -18.1 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 11.9 |
| Rest of world | 1152 | 923 | 954 | 1191 | 21.9 | 14.7 | 9.5 | -8.9 | -19.9 | 3.4 | 24.8 |
| According to use and economic purpose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods | 768 | 766 | 759 | 844 | 11.6 | 10.4 | 10.2 | -12.2 | -0.3 | -0.9 | 11.2 |
| Intermediate goods | 5164 | 5130 | 5094 | 5428 | 61.0 | 66.8 | 12.5 | -5.3 | -0.7 | -0.7 | 6.6 |
| Petroleum and petroleum products | 2511 | 2600 | 2580 | 2 678bi | i 19.4 | 33.0 | 19.7 | -4.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 | 3.8 |
| Fertilizers | 139 | 131 | 120 | 141 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 2.2 | -5.8 | -8.4 | 17.5 |
| Herbicides and pesticides | 53 | 77 | 69 | 81 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 22.6 | -18.5 | 45.3 | -10.4 | 17.4 |
| Rolled steel products | 226 | 205 | 212 | 224 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 5.7 | -3.4 | -9.3 | 3.4 | 5.7 |
| Accessories and spare parts | 585 | 571 | 600 | 626 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 12.4 | -0.7 | -2.4 | 5.1 | 4.3 |
| Other | 1650 | 1546 | 1513 | 1678 | 34.2 | 20.7 | 4.5 | -8.1 | -6.3 | -2.1 | 10.9 |
| Capital goods | 1664 | 1688 | 1726 | 1853 | 27.4 | 22.8 | 7.6 | -2.6 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 7.4 |
| Complete plants | 745 | 721 | 726 | 799 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 13.6 | 1.5 | -3.2 | 0.7 | 10.1 |
| Tractors | 60 | 71 | 73 | 73 | 0.8 | 0.9 | -3.9 | -31.0 | 18.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Buses and trucks | 207 | 266 | 237 | 258 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 8.3 | -0.5 | 28.5 | -10.9 | 8.9 |
| Other | 652 | 629 | 691 | 723 | 12.6 | 8.9 | 3.2 | -4.1 | -3.5 | 9.9 | 4.6 |
| By sue sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food and live animals | 709 | 716 | 730 | 925 | 16.1 | 11.4 | 8.0 | -20.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 26.7 |
| Beverages and tobacco | 9 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 12.5 | 22.2 | -27.3 | - |
| Inedible raw materials, except fuels | 307 | 302 | 281 | 307 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 20.0 | -5.2 | -1.6 | -7.0 | 9.3 |
| Fuels and lubricants, minerals and related products | 2533 | 2621 | 2589 | $2630^{\text {b }}$ | 19.7 | 32.4 | 19.7 | -4.6 | 3.5 | -1.2 | 1.6 |
| Animal and vegetal oils and fats | 76 | 67 | 78 | 78 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 3.0 | -7.3 | -11.8 | 16.4 | - |
| Chemical products | 434 | 447 | 434 | 530 | 6.2 | 6.5 | -7.2 | 5.9 | 3.0 | -2.9 | 22.1 |
| Manufactured goods, classified chiefly by material | 922 | 821 | 816 | 838 | 14.7 | 10.3 | 2.2 | -6.8 | -11.0 | -0.6 | 2.7 |
| Machinery and transport equipment | 2337 | 2354 | 2409 | 2531 | 35.4 | 31.2 | 9.9 | -3.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 5.1 |
| Miscellaneous manufactured articles | 269 | 245 | 234 | 277 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 18.3 | 4.3 | -8.9 | -4.5 | 18.4 |

[^42]Table 17

## CUBA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS IN FREELY CONVERTIBLE CURRENCY ' <br> (Millions of pesos)

|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -46 | 51 | 297 | 263 | -212 | -506 | -1961 | -877 | -900 | 62 |
| Merchandise balance | 367 | 285 | 606 | 441 | 73 | 67 | -164 | -59 | 65 | -40 |
| Exports FOB | 1248 | 1406 | 1356 | 1234 | 1136 | 1244 | 907 | 965 | 1113 | 1384 |
| Imports FOB | -881 | -1121 | -750 | -793 | -1063 | -1177 | -1071 | -1024 | -1048 | -1424 |
| Services balance | -414 | -235 | -306 | -179 | -294 | -577 | -1798 | -816 | -965 | 102 |
| Income | 237 | 344 | 267 | 342 | 264 | 294 | 296 | 308 |  |  |
| Transport and insurance | 95 | 126 | 121 | 125 | 124 | 129 | 144 | 168 |  |  |
| Tourism | 30 | 38 | 42 | 53 | 80 | 97 | 78 | 87 |  |  |
| Interest received | 34 | 28 | 23 | 32 | 23 | 28 | 34 | 24 |  |  |
| Other | 78 | 152 | 81 | 132 | 37 | 40 | 40 | 29 |  |  |
| Expenditure | -651 | -579 | -573 | -521 | -558 | -871 | -2 094 | -1124 |  |  |
| Transport and insurance | -173 | -181 | -184 | -190 | -190 | -181 | -187 | -225 |  |  |
| Interest paid | -263 | -339 | -341 | -248 | -241 | -268 | -262 | -510 |  |  |
| Other | -215 | -59 | -48 | -83 | -127 | -422 | -1645 | -389 |  |  |
| Other net transfers | 1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | -1 |
| Balance on capital account | 60 | -52 | -539 | -74 | 106 | 554 | 1841 | 822 | 943 | -76 |
| Long-term capital ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -16 | -116 | -136 | 93 | 138 | 360 | 799 | 610 | 311 | -134 |
| Loans received | 80 | 26 | 29 | 253 | 291 | 580 | 1587 | 616 |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -96 | -142 | -166 | -160 | -153 | -220 | -788 | -6 |  |  |
| Official sector | 7 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | -1 | 19 | 12 | 30 | 27 |
| Private banks | 18 | -92 | -84 | 66 | -3 | 546 | 342 | 426 | 273 | -180 |
| Other sectors | -42 | -24 | -54 | 24 | 140 | -185 | 438 | 172 | 8 | 19 |
| Short-term capital ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 76 | 64 | -403 | -167 | -32 | 194 | 1042 | 212 | 632 | 58 |
| Loans received | 134 | 203 | 119 | 98 | 279 | 348 | 1144 | 389 |  |  |
| Amortization payments | -58 | -139 | -522 | -265 | -311 | -154 | -102 | -177 |  |  |
| Official sector | - | 69 | -50 | 8 | -5 | 1 | -9 | 5 | -20 |  |
| Commercial banks | -20 | 47 | -408 | -68 | -134 | 141 | 306 | 121 | 21 | -192 |
| Other sectors | 96 | -53 | 56 | -106 | 107 | 52 | 745 | 86 | 631 | 250 |
| Total variation in reserves (- sign indicates an increase) | -14 |  | 242 | -189 | 106 | -48 | 120 | 55 | -43 | 14 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the National Bank of Cuba.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes all commercial and financial movements with market-economy countries, but only some of the movements with socialist and CMEA countries. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ These balances also include the net movements of other assets and liabilities in freely convertible currency, with adjustments for variations in the exchange rates of the currencies in which they are expressed.

Table 18

## CUBA: INDICATORS OF TOURISM '

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\circ}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\circ}$ |
|  | (Thousands of persons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| International visitors | 282 | 293 | 309 | 325 |  | 3.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 |
| From Europe | 110 | 158 | 174 | 155 | ... | 43.6 | 10.1 | -10.9 |
| From North America | 54 | 58 | 63 | 80 |  | 7.4 | 8.6 | 27.0 |
| From Latin America | 47 | 65 | 70 | 81 | ... | 38.3 | 7.7 | 15.7 |
| International tourists | 276 | 282 | 298 | 314 |  | 2.2 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| From Europe | 106 | 152 | 166 | 153 | ... | 43.4 | 9.2 | -7.8 |
| From North America | 54 | 58 | 63 | 80 |  | 7.4 | 8.6 | 27.0 |
| (Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average length of stay (nights) | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 10.8 |  | -2.0 | - | 10.2 |
| Number of establishments ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 294 | 302 | 321 |  |  | 2.7 | 6.3 |  |
| Number of rooms ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 14674 | 15449 | 21108 | 17164 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 36.6 | -18.7 |
| (Millions of pesos) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income from international tourism | 106.6 | 117.8 | 152.0 | 168.0 |  | 10.5 | 29.0 | 10.5 |
| Tourist agency income | 40.2 | 45.9 | 80.3 | 85.9 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 74.9 | 7.0 |
| From Europe ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 15.9 | 20.6 | 41.7 | 46.9 | 16.1 | 29.6 | 102.4 | 12.5 |
| From North America | 9.1 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 8.7 | -3.2 | 6.6 | -23.7 | 17.6 |
| From Latin America | 4.3 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 48.3 | -4.7 | 31.7 | 7.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
" The Anuario Estadístico de Cuba, 1988, defines the coverage of these indicators as follows: "The information supplied relates to enterprises and institutions dealing with the provision of services connected with housing in the country, or of other tourist-related services. The supervisory body for tourism in Cuba is the National Tourism Institute (INTUR), which oversees the tourist enterprises classified under the Tourism and Leisure Branch". Preliminary figures.
${ }^{c}$ Refers only to hotels and motels. $\quad{ }^{d}$ Refers to revenue from visitors whose entry into the country was arranged by tourist agencies. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Includes tourists from Western Europe, Asia and Oceania.

Table 19

## CUBA: STRUCTURE OF GROSS INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

(Millions of pesos)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | 139.2 | 3322 | 262.8 | 350.0 | 241.6 | 194.4 | 234.4 | 147.3 |
| Gold and precious metals Cash and deposits in freely convertible currency in foreign banks | 15.2 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 18.9 | 19.0 |
|  | 80.6 | 271.4 | 165.8 | 213.4 | 89.7 | 34.4 | 78.5 | 64.7 |
| Deposits in transferable roubles in foreign banks |  |  |  | 1226 | 134.4 | 1425 | 137.0 | 63.6 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the National Bank of Cuba. Preliminary figures. End-of-year balance. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 20
CUBA: EXCHANGE RATES
(Pesos per unit offoreign currency)

|  | US dollars | Pounds sterling | Swiss <br> francs | German <br> marks | Yen $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Averages |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 0.7113 | 1.6432 | 0.4294 | 0.3968 | 0.3113 |
| 1981 | 0.7814 | 1.6071 | 0.4001 | 0.3510 | 0.3572 |
| 1982 | 0.8333 | 1.4686 | 0.4139 | 0.3443 | 0.3372 |
| 1983 | 0.8598 | 1.3167 | 0.4128 | 0.3411 | 0.3609 |
| 1984 | 0.8861 | 1.1999 | 0.3820 | 0.3141 | 0.3755 |
| 1985 | 0.9174 | 1.1777 | 0.3731 | 0.3096 | 0.3829 |
| 1986 | 0.8264 | 1.2100 | 0.4587 | 0.3802 | 0.4901 |
| 1987 | 1.0000 | 1.5500 | 0.6667 | 0.5556 | 0.6896 |
| 1988 | 1.0000 | 1.8000 | 0.7143 | 0.6061 | 0.6579 |
| 1989 | 1.0000 | 1.5000 | 0.5988 | 0.5291 | 0.7300 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the National Bank of Cuba.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Per 100 yen.

Table 21
CUBA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS
IN FREELY CONVERTIBLE CURRENCY ${ }^{8}$
(Millions of pesos)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the National Bank of Cuba.

* Includes commitments with maturities of one year or less. ${ }^{b}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{c}$ Includes long- and short-term loans received, according to the balance of payments. Previous notes included long- and short-term capital payments, as given in the balance of payments in freely convertible currency. From 1985 onwards, more accurate information is given on payments of principal on the medium- and long-term debt: such information has been available only since 1983.

Table 22
CUBA: EMPLOYMENT

|  | Thousands of workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Percentage <br> breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes only civilian employment in the State sector Preliminary figures.

Table 23
CUBA: AVERAGE NOMINAL WAGES ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Average wages (pesos) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total | 2255 | 2208 | 2242 | 2260 | 1.0 | 0.1 | -2.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Productive sphere | 2256 | 2184 | 2228 | 2239 | 0.8 | -0.6 | -32 | 2.0 | 0.5 |
| Agriculture | 2180 | 2137 | 2227 | 2229 | 2.6 | 1.2 | -2.0 | 4.2 | 0.1 |
| Forestry | 2107 | 2088 | 2155 | 2205 | 1.1 | -0.6 | -0.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Industry | 2280 | 2207 | 2239 | 2234 | 0.9 | -2.1 | -3.2 | 1.4 | -0.2 |
| Construction | 2407 | 2316 | 2340 | 2412 | -1.1 | -1.4 | -3.8 | 1.0 | 3.1 |
| Transport | 2616 | 2508 | 2501 | 2537 | -0.6 | 1.0 | -4.1 | -0.3 | 1.4 |
| Communications | 2143 | 2124 | 2130 | 2111 | 1.5 | 0.3 | -0.9 | - | -0.9 |
| Commerce | 2012 | 1968 | 1973 | 1961 | -0.5 | -0.5 | -2.2 | 0.3 | -0.6 |
| Other activities | 2472 | 2400 | 2433 | 2445 | -0.7 | 0.7 | -2.9 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Non-productive sphere | 2255 | 2256 | 22742 | 2311 | 1.7 | 1.9 |  | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Community and personal services | 1983 | 1932 | 1939 | 1965 | -0.6 | 1.4 | -2.6 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| Science and technology | 2515 | 2544 | 2582 | 2602 | 4.8 | $\bullet 0.6$ | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Education, culture and art | 2262 | 2281 | 2301 | 2332 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Public health and social welfare, sports and tourism | 2175 | 2256 | 2291 | 2341 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Finance and insurance | 2243 | 2220 | 2252 | 2276 | 1.9 | 0.4 | -1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Administration | 2471 | 2364 | 2387 | 2417 | 2.5 | 2.8 | -4.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Other activities | 2302 | 2292 | 2266 | 2409 | -0.1 | 2.0 | -0.4 | -1.1 | 6.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.
${ }^{a}$ Includes only civilian employment in the State sector. Preliminary figures.

Table 24
CUBA: RETAIL PRICES OF SELECTED PRODUCTS '
(Pesos)

|  | 1986 |  |  | 1987 |  |  | 1988 |  |  | 1989 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regu- <br> lated | Parallel | Free |  | Parallel | Free | Regu lated | Parallel | Free |  | Parallel | Free |
| Pasteurized milk | 0.25 | 0.80 | - | 0.25 | 1.00 | - | 0.25 | 1.00 | - | 0.25 | 1.00 | - |
| Raw milk | 0.25 | - | - | 0.25 | - | - | 0.25 | - | - | 0.25 | - | - |
| Eggs ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | 0.10 | - | - | 0.10 | - | - | 0.10 | - | - | 0.10 |
| Rice ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.52 | 2.61 | - | 0.52 | 3.26 | - | 0.52 | 3.26 | - | 0.52 | 3.26 | - |
| Butter | - | - | 5.22 | - | - | 5.22 | - | - | 5.22 | - | - | 5.22 |
| Refined sugar | 0.30 | 1.74 | - | 0.30 | 1.74 | - | 0.30 | 1.74 | - | 0.30 | 1.74 | - |
| Raw sugar | 0.17 | 0.87 | - | 0.17 | 0.87 | - | 0.17 | 0.87 | - | 0.17 | 0.87 | - |
| Garlic | $4.35{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $13.05{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $4.35{ }^{\text {f }}$ | - | - | 3.50 | - | - | 3.64 | - | - | 3.66 |
| Onions | 0.54 | 3.33 | - | 0.54 | 2.40 | - | 0.53 | 1.35 | - | 0.43 | 2.20 | - |
| Bread | - | - | 0.39 | - | - | 0.39 | - | - | 0.39 | - | - | 0.39 |
| Boned beef | 1.43 | - | - | 1.43 | - | - | 1.43 | - | - | 1.43 | - | - |
| Pork | - | 9.70 | - | - | 9.70 | - | - | 9.70 | - | - | 9.83 | - |
| Vegetable oil | 0.87 | 8.62 | - | 0.87 | 7.80 | - | 0.87 | 7.53 | - | 0.87 | 9.09 | - |
| Lard | 0.66 | - | - | 0.66 | - | - | 0.66 | - | - | 0.66 | - | - |
| Beans | 0.55 | 3.82 | - | 0.53 | 4.86 | - | 0.56 | 4.28 | - | 0.56 | 4.36 | - |
| Potatoes | 0.23 | - | 0.23 | 0.24 | - | 0.25 | 0.23 | - | 0.23 | 0.23 | - | 0.23 |
| Plantains | 0.26 | 0.75 | - | 0.24 | 0.76 | - | 0.22 | 0.69 | - | 0.20 | 0.68 | - |
| Bananas | 0.26 | 0.55 | - | 0.22 | 0.37 | - | 0.22 | 0.38 | - | 0.19 | 0.39 | - |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee.

* Price per kilogram, with the exception of milk and eggs. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Price per 946-gram container. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Price per unit.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Excluding pre-cooked rice. Up to 29 April 1986. ' On free market since 30 April 1986.

Table 25
CUBA: MONETARY LIQUIDITY

|  | Millions of pesos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{8}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Factors of expansion | 10761 | 10655 | 11388 | 11826 | 3.9 | 43 | -1.0 | 6.9 | 3.8 |
| Workers' income | 8404 | 8350 | 8924 | 9223 | 2.4 | 3.5 | -0.6 | 6.9 | 3.4 |
| Private sector income | 651 | 592 | 609 | 633 | 4.2 | 8.9 | -9.1 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| Other income ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1706 | 1713 | 1855 | 1970 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 8.3 | 6.2 |
| Factors of contraction | 10671 | 10658 | 10937 | 11297 | 4.4 | 5.1 | -0.1 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| Expenditure on goods and services | 10131 | 10116 | 10348 | 10661 | 4.3 | 5.1 | -0.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Other expenditure ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 541 | 542 | 589 | 636 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 8.7 | 8.0 |
| Variation in liquidity | 90 | -3 | 451 | 529 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | -172 | -7 | 294 | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits | 262 | 4 | 157 | 241 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" Preliminary figures. Includes income earned by peasants, transport workers and artisans from sales of goods and services to the State, to cooperatives and to other organizations. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes retirement benefits, pensions, grants to individuals, loans by the banking system, interest on savings and foreign currency exchange by bank drafts and transfers received. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Includes principal and interest on loans, subscriptions to political, sporting and social organizations, drafts and transfers.

Table 26
CUBA: BANKING SYSTEM AND PERSONAL SAVINGS AND LOAN OPERATIONS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of banking agencias specializing in service to the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| public, at end of year | 326 | 421 | 448 | 467 | 476 | 488 | 507 | 515 |
| Municipal | 115 | 143 | 154 | 165 | 167 | 167 | 168 | 166 |
| Auxiliary | 71 | 76 | 77 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 84 | 87 |
| Savings banks | 140 | 185 | 206 | 219 | 227 | 239 | 254 | 262 |
| Non-specialized | - | 17 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Monetary savings of the population $(1975=100)$ | 147 | 157 | 172 | 189 | 224 | 225 | 244 | 276 |
| Number of loans to the population (thousands) | 215 | 268 | 296 | 385 | 335 | 323 | 357 | 110 |
| Electrical appliances | 166 | 216 | 237 | 324 | 262 | 238 | 268 | 23 |
| Motor vehicles | 17 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 23 | 10 | 15 |
| Consturction materials and home repairs | 10 | 11 | 18 | 17 | 25 | 21 | 22 | 48 |
| Other | 22 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 36 | 41 | 57 | 24 |
| Value of personal loans (millions of pesos) | 146 | 161 | 185 | 298 | 265 | 287 | 302 | 270 |
| Electrical appliances | 92 | 114 | 137 | 245 | 206 | 206 | 221 | 179 |
| Motor vehicles | 31 | 27 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 36 | 19 | 26 |
| Construction materials and home repairs | 12 | 9 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 50 |
| Other | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 18 | 25 | 40 | 15 |
| Other items (millions of pesos) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments collected | 124 | 139 | 156 | 174 | 191 | 209 | 245 | 265 |
| Outstanding balances | 233 | 249 | 272 | 388 | 455 | 525 | 571 | 568 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the People's Savings Bank.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 27

## CUBA: ACTUAL EXECUTION OF STATE INCOME AND EXPENDITURE BUDGET

|  | Millions of pesos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Current income | 11699 | 11272 | 11385 | 12496 | -4.8 | -3.6 | 1.0 | 9.8 |
| Contributions from the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State sector | 11493 | 11066 | 11145 | 12211 | -4.9 | -3.7 | 0.7 | 9.6 |
| Road fund tax | 5266 | 4975 | 5091 | 5138 | -1.5 | -5.5 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| Profit contributions | 1540 | 1433 | 1423 | 1888 | 1.2 | -6.9 | -0.7 | 32.7 |
| Price differential on foreign trade | 960 | 1197 | 1078 | 1394 | -43.0 | 24.7 | -9.9 | 29.3 |
| Other income | 3727 | 3461 | 3553 | 3791 | 5.4 | -7.1 | 2.7 | 6.7 |
| Taxes and other contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from the non-State sector | 42 | 30 | 63 | 71 | 50.0 | -28.6 | 110.0 | 12.7 |
| Taxes and duties paid by the population | 164 | 176 | 177 | 214 | -8.9 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 20.9 |
| Total expenditure | 11887 | 11881 | 12532 | 13886 | -S3 | -0.1 | 5.5 | 10.8 |
| By destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Productive sphere | 4420 | 4575 | 4713 | 5927 | -10.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 25.8 |
| Housing and community services | 718 | 680 | 787 | 704 | -2.2 | -5.3 | 15.7 | -10.5 |
| Education and public health | 2693 | 2725 | 2857 | 2886 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 1.0 |
| Other socio-cultural and scientific activities | 1830 | 1850 | 2060 | 2219 | -6.9 | 1.1 | 11.4 | 7.7 |
| "Poder Popular, Central Government, Tribunals and Public |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prosecutor's Office | 639 | 565 | 561 | 533 | -0.6 | -11.6 | -0.7 | -5.0 |
| Defence and domestic law and order | 1268 | 1242 | 1274 | 1259 | -5.1 | -2.1 | 2.6 | -1.2 |
| Other activities | 319 | 244 | 280 | 358 | -16.3 | -23.5 | 14.8 | 27.9 |
| By type of expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | 8783 | 9282 | 9905 | 10797 | -6.7 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 9.0 |
| Investment | 3104 | 2599 | 2627 | 3089 | -1.0 | -16.3 | 1.1 | 17.6 |
| Surplus (or deficit) | -188 | -609 | -1147 | -1390 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee, the National Bank of Cuba and the State Finance Committee.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

## CHILE

The prolongation of favourable external conditions and the delayed effect of the previous year's expansive fiscal and monetary policies, following five years of steady growth, gave a new, vigorous boost to domestic demand and exports and had a very positive effect on production -which rose by $9 \%$ - and employment. However, the overexpansion of domestic expenditure against a background of nearly full use of installed capacity pushed inflation up (from $13 \%$ to $21 \%$ annually) and, owing to the rapid rise in imports, cut the trade surplus in half (see table 1 and figure 1 ). Moreover, the high level of economic activity and the favourable price of copper put the Government in an excellent fiscal position: the non-banking public sector achieved a surplus of about $5 \%$ of GDP, three quarters of which was accumulated in the Copper Stabilization Fund.

The effect of the policies implemented in 1988, whereby a good part of the additional flow of external resources was transferred to the private sector, continued to be felt in the first months of 1989. Moreover, the expectations of many economic agents that their permanent income would increase (national income rose by 15\% in the 1986-1987 biennium and by $13 \%$ in 1988), combined with the fresh abundance of external capital, contributed to the growth of both capital formation and private spending. Thus, in a political context marked by the first presidential and parliamentary elections in nearly two decades, domestic investment rose by approximately one third, returning to its 1980 position. The high level of the terms of trade ( $25 \%$ above that of the 1982-1987 period) and the shrinking weight of external factor payments resulted in an increase in domestic saving, concomitant with the expansion of external saving, both of which helped to finance the greater investment effort. Private
consumption also experienced a considerable gain (10\%). Thus, domestic expenditure again grew at a faster rate than GDP ( $22 \%$ and $18 \%$ respectively, in the 1988-1989 biennium).

The gross domestic product expanded at its highest rate since 1966. In per capita terms, it was $4 \%$ greater than in 1981, showing its best performance since before the crisis. Manufacturing and construction, in addition to transport, commercial and banking services, expanded at rates above $10 \%$, while government services, which remained unchanged, came to represent less than $4 \%$ of GDP.

This boom gave rise to an increase in employment of nearly $4 \%$ during the year and a drop in unemployment from $6.4 \%$ of the labour force in the last quarter of 1988 to $5.3 \%$ at about the same time in 1989. Although real wages also improved (by $2 \%$ ), their level remained below that of 1981.

Domestic prices, in particular those of non-tradeable goods, reflected the results of the rapid expansion of domestic demand. Thus, the consumer price index rose by $21 \%$ in 1989 (compared to $12 \%$ in 1988), returning to the kinds of rates that prevailed in the period 1982-1987. Inflation accelerated significantly in the last quarter, when the annualized variation in prices rose to $30 \%$.

The substantial increase (25\%) in imports provided another escape valve for the pressure of demand, although at the cost of cutting the trade surplus almost in half despite the vigorous rise in the current value of exports. With the help of the high price of copper, sales of which represented $50 \%$ of total sales abroad, and the buoyancy of non-traditional products, the value of exports exceeded US\$8 billion, nearly doubling the amount registered in 1986 and representing the equivalent of $30 \%$ of GDP. The shrinkage of the trade surplus resulted in a deterioration of nearly US $\$ 800$ million in the

Figure 1

## CHILE: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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balance on current account, whose deficit amounted to nearly US $\$ 1.1$ billion. A fresh build-up of reserves (of slightly over US $\$ 500$ million) was achieved, however, as a result of a net capital income of US $\$ 1.65$ billion. External debt swap and capitalization operations totalled some US\$2.8 billion, bringing the amount accumulated since the inauguration of this programme in mid-1985 to nearly US\$9 billion. The total external debt was therefore reduced to US $\$ 17.5$ billion by the end of 1989 , or $8 \%$ less than in 1988. The ratio of debt to exports continued to improve: after dropping from 4.5 in 1985 to 2.3 in 1988, it reached 1.9 in 1989. Debt reduction was mostly concentrated on medium- and long-term liabilities, whereas public and private short-term debt increased.

In January 1989, interest rates rose, and the Government announced an exchange policy that provided for a monthly $0.3 \%$ revaluation over and above the increase in domestic prices as a norm for the rest of the year. It should be noted that a very expansive monetary policy had been followed in 1988 and that the peso had experienced a marked devaluation. These factors were not fully reflected in inflationary pressures, owing to the idle installed capacity still available following the recession of the mid-1980s, together with the extraordinary bonanza in the terms of trade, the reduction in taxes and the increase in real demand for money. Following the October 1988 plebiscite, which opened the way for the presidential elections, the inflation rate surged and a somewhat stricter monetary policy was adopted. The favourable trend in the price of copper, the excellent reserve position and the abundance of external capital began to bring about an appreciation of the local currency.

Between July 1988 and May 1989 the real exchange rate rose in value by $12 \%$. This trend, together with the production boom, gave a vigorous boost to imports and, despite the good performance of the export sector, the trade balance began to erode. Moreover, private sector real money expanded at an annual rate of $18 \%$ in the first quarter, nearly doubling the GDP growth rate. Another outstanding trend was the rise in the value of the monetary multiplier -a reflection of a change in the behaviour of private agents- while private
investment and stock market behaviour continued to show a great deal of vitality.

By the end of the first half of the year, the drop in the real exchange rate had begun to stimulate purchases of imports, together with debt swap operations, which were encouraged by the low level of the parallel dollar and a higher domestic interest rate than the international rate converted into pesos. At the same time, a number of external variables showed signs of changing their behaviour: thus, in the first half of 1989, both the international interest rate and the price of oil rose, while the price of copper fell from its unusually high levels at the beginning of the year, although it remained far above the price levels of previous periods.

The Central Bank raised the rates on its readjustable paper in June for the fourth time that year, and expanded the allowable exchange rate float margin, thereby modifying the exchange regulations announced in January. Since the dollar remained at a virtual standstill at the lower end of the range, this modification was interpreted by economic agents as a sign that the Central Bank was prepared to accept a devaluation of up to $8 \%$. In actual fact, the market reacted as if an indirect dévaluation had occurred, and in a few days the value of the observed dollar (used in transactions between banks) rose to the upper end of the new range, representing a devaluation of $7 \%$. Subsequently, in the second half of the year, the declining trend in the real exchange rate was reversed, and by mid-1989 it stood at only $5 \%$ lower than that of 1988.

At the same time, the Central Bank increased the real annual rate on its 90 -day paper (Central Bank readjustable notes) from $5.8 \%$ to $6.3 \%$; it discontinued its 180 - and 360-day notes and sold long-term securities known as "readjustable coupon notes" - 10-year papers, with half-year coupons- at a real annual interest rate of $7 \%$. However, the market had quickly adjusted its inflationary expectations, as evidenced by the higher rates for readjustable time deposits in the banking system, than the rates for Central Bank readjustable notes. This situation made it difficult to sell the notes and long-term paper and therefore made it necessary to adjust long-term rates gradually as well.

CHILE
Figure 2
CHILE: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX
(Percentages)

## Twelve-month variations



Monthly variations


Source: ECLAC, on the basts of official figures.

The good performance of exports, foreign currency swap operations and, later on, the reserves of the Central Bank itself provided foreign exchange as imports increased. Thus, neither the rise in purchases abroad nor the higher long-term interest rates were enough to reduce the excess liquidity. Traders continued to bet on devaluation and rises in interest rates, and they were also influenced by expectations of a possible change in the direction of economic policy with the coming to power of the new Government. In October, as imports continued to grow at an annual rate approaching $40 \%$, the government had to resort to short-term credits to shore up reserves.

The widening differential in pesos between local and international interest rates enabled the Central Bank to take administrative steps to discourage swap operations, which were making it difficult to manage the money supply. At the same time, the reduction in the Central Bank's short-term debt was a factor in the creation of money during the second half of the year, despite the rise in interest rates. During that period the monetary base declined in real terms, but the demand for credit could not be dampened. Consumer loans and housing mortgages continued to rise at rates of over $30 \%$ annually in real terms. In the former case, the greater political uncertainty seems to have brought about advance purchases of durable goods as a hedge against possible tariff or tax
modifications; the favourable economic expectations, for their part, seem to have encouraged the demand for home mortgage loans.

Fiscal policy, on the other hand, acted as a restraint. The increase in revenues and the public spending containment policy resulted in a non-financial public sector surplus of slightly over $1 \%$ of GDP. The high price of copper in turn resulted in an additional $4 \%$ surplus, while the deficit in the Central Bank accounts
amounted to somewhat less than $2 \%$ of GDP. In this context, the Treasury transferred US $\$ 1.5$ billion to the Central Bank from the reserves accumulated in the Copper Stabilization Fund, thereby reducing the Treasury's indebtedness to the Central Bank.

By the end of the year, the level of expenditure remained at high levels; thus, the rate of increase in imports continued at $35 \%$ annually, and the annualized inflation rate reached $30 \%$.

Table 1
CHILE: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexes (1980=400) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 92.4 | 90.2 | 95.3 | 97.3 | 102.4 | 107.5 | 115.4 | 126.3 |
| Gross national income | 82.4 | 80.9 | 85.5 | 83.4 | 88.6 | 96.0 | 108.4 | 119.5 |
| Population (millions) | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 13.0 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 89.4 | 85.8 | 89.1 | 89.5 | 92.6 | 95.6 | 100.9 | 108.6 |
| Public sector deficit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(-)$ or surplus (+)/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| domestic product |  |  | -4.4 | -2.6 | -1.9 | -1.2 | $-0.5{ }^{\text {C }}$ | $1.2{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Money (MI)/GDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| Real effective exchange rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for exports | 103.8 | 109.7 | 109.6 | 126.6 | 142.8 | 150.0 | 170.4 | 161.4 |
| Unemployment rate | 19.6 | 16.7 | 15.4 | 12.9 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 6.2 |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | -12.6 | -2.4 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 7.4 | 9.4 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -14.1 | -4.1 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 7.6 |
| Gross national income | -19.1 | -1.9 | 5.7 | -2.4 | 6.3 | 8.4 | 12.9 | 10.2 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 20.7 | 23.1 | 23.0 | 26.4 | 17.4 | 21.5 | 12.7 | 21.4 |
| Real wages and salaries | -0.3 | -10.7 | 0.1 | -3.8 | 1.7 | -0.3 | 6.6 | 1.9 |
| Current value of exports of goods and services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -39.2 | -19.3 | 10.4 | -12.3 | 12.7 | 24.5 | 20.7 | 29.8 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -15.9 | 2.5 | -6.2 | -8.0 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 18.8 |  |
|  | Million | of do | ars |  |  |  |  |  |

## External sector

| Trade balance (goods and services) | -379 | 578 | -153 | 547 | 704 | 809 | 1625 | 862 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 1921 | 1748 | 1678 | 1900 | 1887 | 1700 | 1919 | 1950 |
| Balance on current account | -2373 | -1160 | -1823 | -1342 | -1181 | -869 | -282 | -1086 |
| Balance on capital account | 1032 | 644 | 1915 | 1247 | 930 | 1005 | 1106 | 1654 |
| Variation in net international reserves | -1112 | -652 | 351 | -177 | -526 | 26 | 774 | 529 |
| Total external debt (gross) | 17159 | 18037 | 19659 | 20403 | 20716 | 20660 | 18960 | 17518 |
| Total external debt (net) | 4775 | 15708 | 17301 | 17777 | 17610 | 17562 | 15088 | 13302 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures. <br> ${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{b}$ Percentages. due to revaluation of gold. | Excluding | Copper | Stabili |  |  | ding | ions | serves |

Table 2
CHILE: REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 96.0 | 108.4 | 119.5 | 96.3 | 86.0 | 90.4 | 91.1 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 107.5 | 115.4 | 126.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -7.8 | -3.4 | -3.4 |
| c) Net factor payments from abroad | 173.1 | 186.8 | 181.4 | -4.0 | -6.4 | -6.4 | -5.7 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 103.4 | 96.6 | 84.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 3
CHILE: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 101.6 | 110.5 | 123.7 | 127.2 | 124.6 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 12.0 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 107.5 | 115.4 | 126.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 7.4 | 9.4 |
| Imports of goods and services | 80.0 | 92.2 | 114.1 | 27.2 | 24.6 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 15.3 | 23.7 |
| Total demand | 101.6 | 110.5 | 123.7 | 127.2 | 124.6 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 12.0 |
| Domestic demand | 92.1 | 101.3 | 114.3 | 104.1 | 94.2 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 12.8 |
| Gross domestic investment | 80.3 | 87.1 | 115.5 | 21.0 | 19.2 | 14.1 | 25.8 | 8.5 | 32.5 |
| Gross fixed investment | 99.6 | 110.2 | 133.1 | 16.6 | 17.5 | 7.1 | 16.2 | 10.7 | 20.8 |
| Construction | 111.7 | 119.9 | 136.2 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 4.0 | 12.7 | 7.3 | 13.7 |
| Machinery and equipment | 82.0 | 96.2 | 128.6 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 14.4 | 23.9 | 17.3 | 33.7 |
| Changes in stocks | 7.1 | -0.5 | 48.3 | 4.4 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 95.1 | 104.8 | 113.9 | 83.1 | 74.9 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 10.2 | 8.7 |
| General government | 91.6 | 95.9 | 96.8 | 12.4 | 9.5 | -2.1 | -2.1 | 4.7 | 0.9 |
| Private | 95.7 | 106.4 | 117.0 | 70.6 | 65.4 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 11.2 | 9.9 |
| Exports of goods and services | 144.3 | 151.9 | 166.2 | 23.1 | 30.4 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 9.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official and International Monetary Fund figures.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were converted into constant 1980 values using unit price indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
CHILE: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF
GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) '

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic investment | 23.3 | 11.5 | 9.2 | 14.9 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 19.2 |
| Gross domestic saving | 13.2 | 15.7 | 17.5 | 20.8 | 23.7 | 24.7 | 26.5 | 24.6 | 25.1 |
| Net income from factor <br> services | -5.8 | S .5 | -8.2 | -7.4 | -8.5 | -8.2 | -6.4 | -6.4 | -5.7 |
| Terms-of-trade <br> effect | -1.7 | -5.8 | -5.7 | -6.5 | -9.2 | -8.6 | -7.8 | -3.4 | -3.4 |
| Unrequited private transfer <br> payments | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Gross national saving | 5.9 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 12.5 | 14.9 | 16.1 |
| External saving | 17.4 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 3.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{a}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 5

## CHILE: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & l^{l^{T} \mathrm{~T} \text { Of }} \text { 人age age } \\ & \text { beakdown } \end{aligned}$ |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 107.5 | 115.4 | 1263 | 100.0 | 100.0 | S2 | 5.0 | 7.4 | 9.4 |
| Goods | 113.7 | 122.2 | 133.1 | 42.4 | 44.7 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 8.9 |
| Agriculture | 132.3 | 139.5 | 146.1 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| Mining | 120.3 | 129.3 | 140.3 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 1.4 | -3.1 | 7.5 | 8.5 |
| Manufacturing | 106.5 | 115.7 | 127.3 | 21.4 | 21.6 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 10.0 |
| Construction | 106.7 | 113.2 | 127.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 10.6 | 6.1 | 12.7 |
| Basic services | 119.4 | 1322 | 147.1 | 12 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 113 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 130.8 | 143.0 | 149.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 9.3 | 4.7 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 114.6 | 127.8 | 146.1 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 11.5 | 14.4 |
| Other services | 101.7 | 108.0 | 116.4 | 50.0 | 46.1 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 7.8 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 95.0 | 104.3 | 118.9 | 16.4 | 15.4 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 9.8 | 14.0 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business | 99.1 | 104.1 | 1107 | 169 | 14.8 | 23 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 63 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 104.8 | 107.0 | 108.9 | 7.4 | 6.4 | -1.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Community, social and personal services | 110.8 | 115.6 | 119.8 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 |
| Government services | 94.6 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 5.1 | 3.8 | -1.0 | -0.5 | 1.0 | - |
| Banking service charges | 91.7 | 98.0 | 108.6 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 10.8 |
| Import duties | 82.7 | 92.7 | 119.5 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 10.4 | 18.3 | 12.1 | 28.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted on the basis of current 1980 prices. Consequently the trends obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Tableó
CHILE: INDICATORS OF TRADITIONAL CROPS


| Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value " | 130 | 182 | 214 | 221 | 224 | 236 | 10.2 | 17.6 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 5.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 686 | 1165 | 1626 | 1874 | 1734 | 1766 | 17.8 | 39.6 | 15.3 | -7.5 | 1.8 |
| Gats | 131 | 170 | 124 | 128 | 157 | 165 | 4.5 | -27.0 | 2.5 | 23.1 | 5.2 |
| Barley | 91 | 85 | 88 | 48 | 82 | 85 | 15.6 | 3.6 | -45.2 | 68.9 | 4.2 |
| Rice | 100 | 157 | 127 | 147 | 162 | 185 | -5.1 | -19.1 | 16.0 | 10.4 | 14.0 |
| Maize | 518 | 772 | 721 | 617 | 661 | 938 | 7.0 | -6.5 | -14.4 | 7.1 | 41.9 |
| Potatoes | 1007 | 909 | 791 | 727 | 928 | 882 | -12.3 | -12.9 | -8.1 | 27.7 | -5.0 |
| Beans | 138 | 101 | 89 | 81 | 100 | 73 | 7.0 | -11.4 | -9.0 | 22.9 | -26.9 |
| Lentils | 18 | 25 | 29 | 25 | 20 | 8 | 54.4 | 17.4 | -14.8 | -18.6 | -60.2 |
| Chickpeas | 6 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 33.3 | -3.3 | 66.3 | -48.6 | -47.4 |
| Sugar beet | 1461 | 2124 | 2638 | 2650 | 2487 | 2810 | -3.2 | 24.2 | 0.4 | -6.1 | 13.0 |
| Rape | 27 | 32 | 97 | 95 | 123 | 113 | 678.0 | 204.4 | -2.1 | 28.9 | -7.8 |
| Sunflower | 7 | 33 | 54 | 40 | 49 | 32 | 339.2 | 67.4 | -27.2 | 23.5 | -34.6 |
| Area sown | 1053 | 1072 | 1123 | 1196 | 1108 | 1080 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 6.5 | -7.4 | -2.5 |
| Wheat | 432 | 506 | 569 | 677 | 577 | 540 | 7.4 | 12.5 | 19.0 | -14.8 | -6.4 |
| Oats | 80 | 85 | 64 | 55 | 61 | 69 | -11.5 | -24.7 | -14.1 | 10.9 | 13.1 |
| Barley | 46 | 35 | 23 | 16 | 24. | 25 | 6.1 | -34.3 | -30.4 | 50.0 | 4.2 |
| Rice | 31 | 39 | 32 | 37 | 39 | 43 | -2.5 | -17.9 | 15.6 | 5.4 | 103 |
| Maize | 126 | 131 | 105 | 87 | 90 | 125 | -5.1 | -19.8 | -17.1 | 3.4 | 38.9 |
| , Potatoes | 90 | 63 | 53 | 58 | 62 | 63 | -22.2 | -15.9 | 9.4 | 6.9 | 1.6 |
| Beans | 118, | 83 | 90 | 86 | 76 | 63 | -2.4 | 8.4 | -4.4 | -11.6 | -17.1 |
| Lentils | 48 | 36 | 37 | 46 | 33 | 15 | 50.0 | 2.8 | 24.3 | -28.3 | -54.5 |
| Chickpeas | 16 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 9 | -8.3 | 9.1 | 25.0 | -6.7 | -35.7 |
| Sugar beet | 37 | 44 | 51 | 53 | 49 | 52 | -8.3 | 15.9 | 3.9 | -7.5 | 6.1 |
| Rape | 24 | 19 | 57 | 47 | 60 | 61 | 375.0 | 200.0 | -17.5 | 27.7 | 1.7 |
| Sunflower | 5 | 20 | 30 | 19 | 23 | 15 | 300.0 | 50.0 | -36.7 | 21.1 | -34.8 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the National Statistical Institute (INE) of Chile.

* Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{b}$ Millions of pesos at 1974 prices. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Thousands of tons. $\quad{ }^{\text {d }}$ Thousands of hectares.

Table 7
CHILE: INDICATORS OF FRUIT-GROWING ACTIVITY


Source: Ministry of Agriculture, Agricultural Planning Office (ODEPA).
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary figures. Thousands of tons. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of hectares.

Table 8
CHILE: LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Beef | 162 | 177 | 175 | 197 | 221 | -11.2 | 1.3 | -1.4 | 12.7 | 12.3 |
| Poultry meat | 110 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 104 | -2.3 | 9.9 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 4.0 |
| Pork | 50 | 76 | 88 | 100 | 113 | 11.8 | 14.2 | 17.0 | 13.4 | 12.9 |
| Mutton | 16 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13.4 | -3.0 | 10.7 | -3.4 | -7.1 |
| Milk ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1080 | 1093 | 1100 | 1120 | 1230 | 13.6 | 9.3 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 9:8 |
| Eggs ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1425 | 1800 | 1798 | 1715 | 1788 | 9.5 | 14.1 | -0.1 | -4.6 | 4.3 |
| Greasy wool | 21 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | -11.7 | - | 2.1 | 1.6 |  |

Source: Beef, pork and mutton: National Statistical Institute (INE); other livestsock items: Agricultural Planning Office (ODEPA).
${ }^{\text {" }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Millions of litres. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of units.

Table9
CHILE: AREA AFFORESTED
(Thousands of hectares)


Source: National Forestry Corporation (CONAF).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 10
CHILE: INDICATORS OF FISHERY PRODUCTION

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Fishery product | 54.7 | 100.1 | 92.1 | 94.5 | 115.4 | 10.0 | -8.0 | 2.6 | 22.1 |
| Fishing catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2892 | 5696 | 4391 | 5375 | 6633 | 14.2 | -22.9 | 22.4 | 23.4 |
| Fish | 2800 | 5415 | 4647 | 5028 | 6291 | 16.2 | -14.2 | 8.2 | 25.1 |
| Shellfish | 117 | 157 | 167 | 181 | 164 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 8.4 | -9.4 |
| Seaweed | 75 | 124 | 117 | 166 | 178 | -31.9 | -5.6 | 41.9 | 7.2 |
| Output of fishery products | 734.6 | 16283 | 1395.7 | 1472.0 | 1826 J | 17.2 | -143 | 5.5 | 24.1 |
| Frozen | 13.5 | 58.1 | 0.5 | 95.7 | 94.7 | 19.3 | 21.3 | 35.7 | -1.0 |
| Tinned | 38.0 | 62.2 | 69.5 | 72.9 | 84.0 | 39.1 | 11.7 | 4.9 | 15.2 |
| Fish meal | 571.9 | 1282.2 | 1081.1 | 1112.2 | 1381.2 | 15.3 | -15.7 | 2.9 | 24.2 |
| Fish oil | 111.0 | 224.9 | 224.9 | 188.0 | 260.1 | 22.2 | - | -16.4 | 38,4 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 80.0 | 166.7 | 33.3 | 93.8 |

Source: Ministry of Economic Affairs, Development and Reconstruction - National Fisheries Service.
'Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Millions of dollars at 1970prices. ${ }^{c}$ Thousands of tons. products.

## Table 11

## CHILE: MINING PRODUCTION

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Mining product | 2206 | 2667 | 2667 | 2780 | 3014 | 1.4 |  | 4.2 | 8.4 |
| Copper ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1068 | 1400 | 1418 | 1451 | 1609 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 10.9 |
| Large-scale mining | 905 | 1102 | 1091 | 1091 | 1243 | 2.2 | -1.0 |  | 13.9 |
| Medium- and small-scale mining | 163 | 298 | 327 | 360 | 366 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 1.7 |
| Iron ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ore | 8835 | 7009 | 6690 | 7866 | 9286 | 7.9 | -4.6 | 17.6 | 18.1 |
| Pellets | 3179 | 3102 | 3685 | 4451 | 4249 | -14.0 | 18.8 | 20.8 | -4.5 |
| Coal ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1024 | 1454 | 1750 | 2485 | 2100 | 15.8 | 20.4 | 42.0 | -15.5 |
| Petroleum ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1933 | 1940 | 1737 | 1420 | 1282 | -6.5 | -10.5 | -18.2 | -9.7 |
| Molybdenum | 13668 | 6581 | 16941 | 15527 | 16665 | -9.8 | 2.2 | -8.3 | 7.3 |
| Gold ${ }^{8}$ | 6836 | 17938 | 17035 | 20614 | 19981 | 4.0 | -5.0 | 21.0 | -3.1 |
| Silver ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 299 | 500 | 500 | 507 | 491 | -3.3 |  | 1.4 | -3.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data and Central Bank of Chile, Boletín mensual, various issues.
Preliminary figures. ' Millions of dollars at 1980 prices. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Thousands of tons. Thousands of gross tons.
${ }^{c}$ Thousands of cubic metres. Tons. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Kilogrammes fine.

Table 12
CHILE: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING ACTIVITY

|  | Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Industrial product ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5131 | 5542 | 5845 | 6353 | 6988 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 10.0 |
| Industrial output |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 104.0 | 112.6 | 117.3 | 126.4 | 135.7 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| SOFOFA | 98.2 | 106.3 | 113.0 | 121.1 | 136.9 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 13.0 |
| Mass consumption goods | 98.3 | 108.5 | 114.6 | 121.6 | 134.3 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 10.4 |
| Consumer durables | 48.7 | 69.3 | 79.1 | 101.4 | 103.5 | 42.3 | 14.1 | 28.2 | 2.1 |
| Transport equipment | 53.3 | 49.2 | 65.3 | 77.7 | 98.4 | -7.7 | 32.7 | 19.0 | 26.6 |
| Capital goods | 84.1 | 95.4 | 95.7 | 113.7 | 113.1 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 18.8 | -0.5 |
| Intermediate products for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry | 116.0 | 121.7 | 125.7 | 128.2 | 137.3 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 7.1 |
| Construction | 92.8 | 104.2 | 124.8 | 137.0 | 148.7 | 12.3 | 19.8 | 9.8 | 8.5 |
| Mining | 100.8 | 116.3 | 122.1 | 132.7 | 153.4 | 15.4 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 15.6 |
| Forestry and agriculture | 182.1 | 218.7 | 197.9 | 194.0 | 212.9 | 20.1 | -9.5 | -2.0 | 9.7 |
| Packaging and accessories | 106.4 | 111.7 | 124.0 | 127.9 | 128.4 | 5.0 | 11.0 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| Energy, fuels and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lubricants | 84.5 | 88.8 | 94.8 | 105.8 | 128.5 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 11.6 | 21.5 |
| Office furniture and materials | 93.0 | 83.0 | 96.5 | 103.3 | 102.5 | -10.8 | 16.3 | 7.0 | -0.8 |
| Industrial sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 104.3 | 111.4 | 116.4 | 124.8 | 136.3 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 9.2 |
| SOFOFA | 100.4 | 107.6 | 114.6 | 122.0 | 137.6 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 12.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures; industrial output: National Statistical Institute (INE) and Manufacturers Association (SOFOFA); industrial sales: !SOFOFA.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Millions of dollars at 1980 prices. 'Indexes 1979=100

Table 13

## CHILE: CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Construction product | 1418 | 1436 | 1588 | 1684 | 1898 | 1.3 | 10.6 | 6.0 | 12.7 |
| Building materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deliveries of cement for the domestic market ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 33.5 | 33.8 | 37.5 | 43.3 | 46.1 | 0.9 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 6.5 |
| Deliveries of round bars for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| construction | 66.9 | 85.2 | 84.8 | 110.1 | 139.5 | 27.4 | -0.5 | 29.8 | 26.7 |
| Index of real sales of intermediate goods for construction (1980 $=100$ ) | 97.0 | 106.7 | 126.0 | 139.0 | O 146.1 | 10.0 | 18.1 | 10.3 | 5.1 |
| Building ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total area ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 3903 | 4041 | 4774 | 5547 | 6536 | 3.5 | 18.1 | 16.2 | 17.8 |
| Public sector | 124 | 314 | 275 | 246 |  | 153.2 | -12.4 | -10.5 |  |
| Private sector | 3779 | 3727 | 4499 | 5301 |  | -1.4 | 20.7 | 17.8 |  |
| Residential | 3025 | 2841 | 3462 | 3897 |  | -6.1 | 21.9 | 12.6 |  |
| Non-residential | 754 | 886 | 1037 | 1404 |  | 17.5 | 17.0 | 35.4 |  |
| Total number of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dwellings | 61233 | 52082 | 60316 | 77261 | 83891 | -14.9 | 15.8 | 28.1 | 8.6 |
| Public sector | 349 | 878 | 1392 | 2391 |  | 151.6 | 58.5 | 71.8 |  |
| Private sector | 60884 | 51404 | 58924 | 74880 |  | -15.6 | 14.6 | 27.1 |  |

Source: Construction product: ECLAC, on the basis of data provided by the National Planning Office (ODEPLAN); building materials: Chilean Chamber of Construction; building: National Statistical Institute (INE).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Millions of dollars at 1980 prices. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Millions of sacks. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Thousands of tons.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Total for administrative districts reported. ' Thousands of square metres.

Table 14

## CHILE: RATES OF PARTICIPATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT, BY REGIONS

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 |  |  |  | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |
| Overall participation rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nationwide | 48.6 | 48.6 | 49.2 | 49.7 | 49.2 | 50.1 |  | 49.3 |  | 48.8 |  | 49.5 |  |
| Greater Santiago | 52.3 | 52.5 | 53.3 | 53.3 | 54.0 | 54.3 | 515 | 53.7 | 5i8 | 52.6 | 54.0 | 54.8 | 54.4 |
| Unemployment rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nationwide | 16.3 | 13.9 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 12.2 |  | 11.4 |  | 10.1 |  | 9.8 |  |
| Urban | 17.5 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 13.0 |  | 11.9 |  | 10.7 |  | 9.8 |  |
| Rural | 11.5 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 8.3 |  | 9.1 |  | 7.6 |  | 10.1 |  |
| Greater Santiago | 16.3 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 13.2 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 9.0 |
| Unemployment rate" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nationwide | 12.9 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 5.3 |
| Northern zone (Regions I to IV) | 12.1 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| Central zone (Regions V to VII) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 11.7 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 6,7 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 5.7 |
| Southern zone (Regions VIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to XII) | 7.7 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| Greater Santiago | 17.2 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 7.4 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 6.4 |
| Greater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valparaiso | 16.0 | 13.5 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 5.0 |
| Greater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concepción | 11.6 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 7.3 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 5.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ Labour force, as a percentage: of the population age 14 or over. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Department of Economics, University of Chile, on the basis of surveys carried out nationwide in March and September, and in March, June, September and December in Greater Santiago. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ National Statistical Institute (INE), quarterly averages.
; Excluding the Santiago Metropolitan Region.

Table 15
CHILE: UNEMPLOYMENT RATES IN GREATER SANTIAGO
(Annual average, in percentages)

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Total rate pf unemployment according to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department of Economics of the University of Chile | 11.1 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 19.3 | 16.3 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 9.1 |
| National Statistical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institute | 9.0 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 17.2 | 13.1 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 7.2 |
| Rate of unemployment among the established labour force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i.e., excluding persons seeking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.5 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 15.7 | 13.0 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 7.8 |
| Industry | 11.8 | 26.7 | 25.9 | 19.5 | 14.9 | 12.9 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 8.0 |
| Construction | 16.4 | 49.4 | 49.0 | 34.9 | 27.9 | 25.1 | 19.3 | 15.8 | 14.5 |
| Commerce | 5.9 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 13.1 | 11.1 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 |
| Government and financial services | 5.9 | 9.9 | 11.7 | 15.8 | 13.7 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 8.2 |
| Personal and household services | 7.0 | 12.9 | 15.6 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 6.9 |
| Community and social services | 4.8 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 5.7 |
| Non-manual workers | 6.3 | 15.6 | 17.9 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 89 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 7.1 |
| Manual workers | 14.4 | 28.9 | 24.9 | 22.0 | 18.4 | 15.8 | 14.4 | 12.9 | 11.0 |
| Own-account workers | 3.1 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 4.6 |
| Percentage breakdown of unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-manual workers | 26.7 | 30.1 | 34.3 | 31.2 | 31.6 | 29.7 | 32.0 | 32.4 | 33.4 |
| Manual workers | 66.0 | 61.1 | 56.3 | 57.6 | 57.3 | 58.2 | 57.9 | 58.4 | 55.7 |
| Own-accounts workers | 7.1 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 10.6 |

[^43]Table 16

## CHILE: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | -3.4 | 3.4 | -4.7 | 4.2 | 10.4 | 24.4 | 35.0 | 14.6 |
| Volume | 16.7 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 18.1 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 9.9 |
| Unit value | -17.2 | -1.5 | -5.5 | -11.8 | 3.5 | 17.6 | 28.5 | 4.3 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -44.1 | -21.9 | 15.6 | -10.2 | 4.9 | 28.9 | 21.0 | 34.5 |
| Volume | -41.4 | -13.1 | 14.2 | -5.3 | 10.3 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 26.5 |
| Unit value | -4.5 | -10.2 | 1.2 | -5.1 | -4.8 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 6.3 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | -13.8 | 9.1 | -7.0 | -6.9 | 8.6 | 4.7 | 22.4 | -19 |
|  | Indexeis (1980=400) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 82.1 | 94.0 | 88.2 | 97.0 | 112.3 | 124.3 | 159.8 | 172.2 |
| Volume of exports | 109.1 | 114.5 | 115.5 | 136.4 | 145.3 | 153.8 | 161.5 | 177.4 |
| Volume of imports | 70.4 | 61.1 | 69.8 | 66.1 | 72.9 | 83.5 | 96.4 | Í21.9 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 75.3 | 82.1 | 76.4 | 71.1 | 77.2 | 80.9 | 98.9 | 97.1 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures. <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 17
CHILE: PRICE OF COPPER ON THE LONDON METAL EXCHANGE


Source: Central Bank of Chile, International Monetary Fund, and ECIAC estimates.

Table 18
CHILE: VALUE AND BREAKDOWN OF EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total exports of goods | 4198 | 5225 | 7052 | 8080 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 24.5 | 35.0 | 14.6 |
| Traditional products | 2524 | 3163 | 4522 | 5206 | 61.6 | 64.4 | 2.8 | 25.3 | 43.0 | 15.1 |
| Copper | 1757 | 2235 | 3416 | 4021 | 45.1 | 49.8 | -1.8 | 27.2 | 52.8 | 17.7 |
| Iron ore | 88 | 101 | 110 | 124 | 3.3 | 1.5 | -4.3 | 14.8 | 8.9 | 12.7 |
| Nitrates and iodine | 92 | 99 | 121 | 131 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 22.2 | 8.3 |
| Fish meal | 315 | 363 | 458 | 508 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 12.9 | 15.2 | 26.2 | 10.9 |
| Paper, wood pulp, paperboard and related products | 272 | 365 | 417 | 422 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 29.5 | 34.2 | 14.2 | 1.2 |
| Non-traditional products | 1674 | 2062 | 2530 | 2874 | 38.4 | 35.6 | 24.0 | 23.2 | 22.7 | 13.6 |
| Mining products | 158 | 169 | 201 | 196 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 13 | 7.0 | 18.9 | -2.5 |
| Agricultural and marine products | 683 | 797 | 931 | 995 | 12 | 12.3 | 32.6 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 6.9 |
| Crop-farming products | 563 | 614 | 691 | 711 | 5.2 | 8.8 | 32.5 | 9.1 | 12.5 | 2.9 |
| Livestock products | 39 | 56 | 58 | 52 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 44.4 | 43.6 | 3.6 | -10.3 |
| Forestry products | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | - | 0.1 | 30.8 | 52.9 | - | 66.7 |
| Fishery products | 79 | 124 | 179 | 227. | 1.2 | 2.8 | 27.4 | 57.0 | 44.4 | 26.8 |
| Manufactured products | 833 | 1096 | 1398 | 1683 | 26.0 | 20.8 | 22.7 | 31.5 | 27.6 | 20.4 |
| Food and beverages | 215 | 281 | 331 | 387 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 51.4 | 30.7 | 17,8 | 16.9 |
| Wood | 135 | 217 | 311 | 345 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 20.5 | 60.7 | 43.3 | 10.9 |
| Chemical and petroleum products | 89 | 102 | 186 | 284 | 3.5 | 3.5 | -7.3 | 14.6 | 82.4 | 52.7 |
| Basic metal industries | 281 | 349 | 383 | 415 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 24.2 | 9.7 | 8.4 |
| Metal products machinery, electronic articles, etc. | 31 | 39 | 39 | 52 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 72.2 | 25.2 | 1.3 | 32.3 |
| Transport equipment | 54 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 217.6 | -40.7 | 9.4 | 5.7 |
| Other | 28 | 76 | 113 | 163 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 105.9 | 172.3 | 48.7 | 44.2 |

Source: Central Bank of Chile.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 19
CHILE: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rates (pesos per dollar) |  | Real effective exchange rate*$(1985=100)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Official | Parallel ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Exports |  |  | Import;s |  |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | A | B |
| 1970-1979 |  |  | 97.4 | 68.0 | 68.4 | 91.0 | 63.6 |
| 1980-1989 |  |  | 99.7 | 94.7 | 89.5 | 97.0 | 92.0 |
| 1980 | 39 |  | 79.0 | 72.1 | 67.4 | 77.0 | 70.3 |
| 1981 | 39 |  | 69.4 | 58.3 | 50.0 | 69.2 | 58.1 |
| 1982 | 51 |  | 82.0 | 66.5 | 57.2 | 82.4 | 66.8 |
| 1983 | 79 | 92 | 86.7 | 78.5 | 75.6 | 86.2 | 78.1 |
| 1984 | 99 | 113 | 86.6 | 80.6 | 77.5 | 86.7 | 80.7 |
| 1985 | 161 | 180 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 193 | 206 | 112.8 | 116.5 | 114.6 | 108.9 | 112.5 |
| 1987 | 22 O | 231 | 118.5 | 123.7 | 122.1 | 111.1 | 115.9 |
| 1988 | 245 | 281 | 134.6 | 129.3 | 119.8 | 126.8 | 121.8 |
| 1989 | 267 | 298 | 127.5 | 121.6 | 110.4 | 121.6 | 115.9 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 206 | 217 | 120.6 | 121.1 | 119.0 | 112.8 | 113.2 |
| II | 214 | 227 | 119.3 | 123.3 | 122.6 | 111.6 | 115.3 |
| III | 225 | 235 | 114.1 | 122.8 | 121.2 | 107.3 | 115.6 |
| IV | 233 | 246 | 120.1 | 127.7 | 125.3 | 112.5 | 119.6 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 242 | 261 | 137.6 | 132.9 | 126.0 | 128.8 | 124.4 |
| II | 245 | 279 | 137.0 | 132.4 | 123.2 | 128.7 | 124.3 |
| III | 246 | 289 | 130.4 | 126.1 | 114.1 | 123.6 | 119.5 |
| IV | 246 | 294 | 133.3 | 125.7 | 115.9 | 126.0 | 118.8 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 248 | 280 | 131.3 | 122.8 | 111.9 | 125.6 | 117.5 |
| II | 255 | 282 | 122.8 | 116.7 | 105.5 | 115.9 | 110.1 |
| III | 277 | 308 | 126.5 | 122.5 | 111.1 | 121.0 | 117.1 |
| IV | 289 | 323 | 129.3 | 124.2 | 113.3 | 123.7 | 118.9 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures from the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
Note: For deflation, the following indexes were used: A: Domestic product sub-index of the wholesale price index. B: The consumer price index prepared by J. Yañez for the years 1970-1973, the consumerprice index prepared by R. Cortázar and J. Marshall for the years 1975-1978, and the consumer price index of the National Statistical Institute from 1979 onwards. C: General index of nominal salaries and wages.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ BCAC estimates. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ These indexes correspond to the average of the real peso exchange rate indexes (main official rate $v i s-\grave{a}$-vis the currencies of Chile's main trading partners, weighted by the relative importance of the exports to or imports from those countries, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980, these weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979, while from 1981 on, they correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. For further details on the methodology and sources used, see the technical appendix of the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ For the correct use of this information, it should be kept in mind that from the beginning of 1974 onwards the average tariff rates have declined appreciably. Thus, the average tariff, which was $94 \%$ at the end of 1973 , went down to $76 \%$ the following year, $36 \%$ in 1976, and only $10 \%$ in 1980 . However, this process was reversed owing to the crisis, so that the rates rose to $20 \%$ at the beginning of 1983 and $35 \%$ in September 1985. Afterwards, these rates were gradually lowered, reaching $15 \%$ in 1988.

Table 20
CHILE: VALUE AND BREAKDOWN OF IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 3437 | 4397 | 5446 | 7144 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 52 | 27.9 | 23.9 | 312 |
| Consumer goods | 754 | 901 | 1089 | 1492 | 33.7 | 20.9 | 0.3 | 19.4 | 20.9 | 37.0 |
| Non-food | 585 | 691 | 831 | 1234 | 20.7 | 17.3 | 15.8 | 18.0 | 20.3 | 48.5 |
| Food | 169 | 210 | 258 | 258 | 13.0 | 3.6 | -31.6 | 24.3 | 22.9 |  |
| Intermediate goods | 1948 | 2395 | 2987 | 3703 | 45.6 | 51.8 | 4.4 | 22.9 | 24.7 | 24.0 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 425 | 474 | 628 | 814 | 15.7 | 11.4 | -24.6 | 11.6 | 32.4 | 29.6 |
| Raw materials | 628 | 781 | 1065 | 1076 | 10.3 | 15.1 | 9.4 | 24.4 | 36.4 | 1.0 |
| Spare parts and intermediate products | 895 | 1139 | 1294 | 1813 | 19.6 | 25.4 | 22.9 | 27.3 | 13.5 | 40.2 |
| Capital goods | 735 | 1101 | 1370 | 1949 | 20.7 | 27 J | 13.1 | 49.8 | 24.4 | 422 |
| Source: Central Bank of Chile. <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHILE
Table 21
CHILE: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Militions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -2 373 | -1160 | -1823 | -1342 | -1181 | -869 | -282 | -1086 |
| Trade balance | -379 | 578 | -153 | 547 | 704 | 809 | 1625 | 862 |
| Exports of goods and services | 4641 | 4628 | 4316 | 4467 | 5121 | 6309 | 8265 | 9478 |
| Goods FOB | 3706 | 3831 | 3651 | 3804 | 4199 | 5224 | 7052 | 8080 |
| Real services | 936 | 796 | 665 | 664 | 922 | 1085 | 1212 | Í398 |
| Transport and insurance | 318 | 292 | 267 | 301 | 359 | 391 | 435 | 550 |
| Travel | 125 | 98 | 113 | 116 | 145 | 185 | 202 | 257 |
| Imports of goods and services | 5020 | 4049 | 4469 | 3920 | 4417 | 5499 | 6640 | 8616 |
| Goods FOB | 3643 | 2845 | 3288 | 2954 | 3100 | 3994 | 4833 | 6502 |
| Real services | 1377 | 1204 | 1181 | 967 | 1319 | 1505 | 1808 | 2114 |
| Transport and insurance | 624 | 537 | 525 | 513 | 591 | 622 | 766 | 980 |
| Travel | 195 | 243 | 279 | 269 | 319 | 353 | 423 | 397 |
| Factor services | -2035 | -1793 | -1717 | -1936 | -1925 | -1 743 | -1969 | -2 006 |
| Profits | -128 | -136 | -179 | -155 | -168 | -215 | -302 | -383 |
| Interest received | 506 | 189 | 317 | 197 | 221 | 178 | 181 | 237 |
| Interest paid | -2 299 | -1801 | -1816 | -1943 | -1940 | -1665 | -1798 | -1804 |
| Labour and property | -Í14 | -45 | -39 | -36 | -38 | -43 | -50 | -56 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 41 | 55 | 47 | 47 | 40 | 65 | 63 | 58 |
| Balance on capital account | 1032 | 644 | 1915 | 1247 | 930 | 1005 | 1106 | 1654 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 68 | 43 | 42 | 14 | 45 | 61 | 114 | 181 |
| Long-term capital | 1680 | 31 | 3516 | 1014 | 836 | 848 | 1309 | 666 |
| Direct investment | 384 | 131 | 67 | 62 | 57 | 97 | 109 | 261 |
| Portfolio investment | - | - | - | 50 | 262 | 826 | 902 | 1321 |
| Other long-term capital | 1296 | -100 | 3449 | 903 | 517 | -75 | 298 | -916 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 134 | 1257 | 1488 | 1210 | 668 | 412 | 448 | -740 |
| Loans received | 296 | 1432 | 1638 | 1431 | 1061 | 912 | 843 | 398 |
| Amortization payments | -162 | -139 | -145 | -221 | -393 | -499 | -394 | -1138 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 327 | -800 | 1538 | -69 | -165 | -644 | -660 | -790 |
| Loans received | 70 i | 135 | 2203 | 1072 | 1298 | 781 | 160 | 21 |
| Amortization payments | -309 | -952 | -661 | -1198 | -1478 | -1433 | -818 | -811 |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 836 | -558 | 423 | -239 | 15 | 156 | 511 | 614 |
| Loans received | 1613 | 367 | 1247 | 794 | 1150 | 978 | $917{ }^{\text {! }}$ | 1075 |
| Amortization payments | -788 | -925 | -824 | -1033 | -1134 | -820 | -406 | -461 |
| Short-term capital | -647 | 489 | -1502 | 214 | -39 | 172 | -214 | 859 |
| Official sector | 15 | 320 | 41 | -159 | -350 | 303 | -132 | 135 |
| Commercial banks | 68 | 316 | -1374 | 36 | 130 | 147 | 250 | 355 |
| Other sectors | -731 | -148 | -169 | 338 | 181 | -278 | -332 | 369 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -70 | 80 | -141 | 3 | 89 | -76 | -105 | -53 |
| Global balance | -1340 | -5115 | $9!$ | -95 | ,251 | 136 | 824 | 568 |
| Total variation in reserves (- sign indicates an increase) | 1112 | 652 | -351 | 177 | 526 | -26 | -774 | -529 |
| Monetary gold | -2 | 46 | -62 | -2 | -9 | -6 | -5 | 87 |
| Special Drawing Rights | -1 | 14 | -6 | 12 | - | -41 | -3 | 20 |
| IMF reserve position | -3 | 78 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Foreign exchange assets | 1160 | -87 | -457 | -141 | 293 | -112 | -654 | -488 |
| Other assets | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | -94 |
| Use of IMF credit | -42 | 600 | 175 | 308 | 242 | 133 | -141 | -54 |

Source: 1982-1986, ECL\C, on the basis of data provided by the International Monetary Fund. 1987-1989, ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 22

## CHILE: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |

Source: Central Bank of Chile, Chilean External Debt 1986, and Boletín mensual, January 1990.
" Amounts dibursed and pending payment at 31 December of each year. Includes reduction due to debt-conversion operations (chapters 18,19 and others). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary Figures. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Excluding short-term credits for external trade operations of the non-financial private sector. Credits entering under articles 14,15 and 16,of the International Exchange Law and credits under Decree-Law 600. ${ }^{e}$ Corresponds to the international assets of the Central Bank less liabilities with the International Monetary Fund. Gold is valued at market prices and swaps at their "net" value.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ From 1984 onwards servicing is lower because of the renegotiation of the external debt. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Interest paid, less interest received, according to the balance-of-payments current account.

Table 23
CHILE: DOMESTIC PRICE TRENDS

|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation from December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 31.2 | 9.5 | 20.7 | 23.1 | 23.0 | 26.4 | 17.4 | 21.5 | 12.7 | 21.4 |
| Food | 30.8 | 0.1 | 163 | 26.8 | 19.8 | 27.5 | 23.7 | 23.4 | 13.6 | 25.8 |
| Wholesale price index | 28.1 | -3.9 | 39.6 | 25.2 | 36.5 | 30.3 | 18.2 | 17.1 | 3.3 | 22.8 |
| Imported products | 12.5 | 0.5 | 49.5 | 33.1 | 51.3 | 26.4 | 4.5 | 14.9 | 2.4 | 17.2 |
| Domestic products | 31.9 | -4.8 | 37.5 | 23.3 | 32;7 | 31.4 | 22.0 | 17.6 | 3.5 | 24.1 |
| Agricultural products | 27.7 | -14.5 | 41.7 | 27.1 | 19.3 | 34.8 | 37.4 | 9.2 | 0.5 | 29.7 |
| Mining products | 37.8 | 12;1 | 33.9 | 23.5 | 48.2 | 19.6 | -3.1 | 32.1 | -3.2 | 23.9 |
| Manufactures | 33.9 | -0.9 | 35.6 | 20.9 | 38.6 | 31.8 | 17.8 | 21.0 | 6.5 | 20.9 |
| Index of building costs | 31.8 | 14.5 | 5.2 | 10.3 | 18.9 | 23.1 | 17.0 | 24.9 | 17.4 | 22.0 |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 35.1 | 19.7 | 9.9 | 27.3 | 19.9 | 30.7 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 14.7 | 17.0 |
| Food | 36.1 | 14.2 | 3.6 | $25!8$ | 21.1 | 28.3 | 23.9 | 24.1 | 13.3 | 20.8 |
| Wholesale price index | 39.6 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 45.5 | 24.3 | 43.4 | 19.8 | 19.2 | 5.9 | 15.1 |
| Imported products | 37.7 | 4.4 | 10.9 | 62.6 | 28.4 | 54.8 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 10.0 |
| Domestic products | 40.0 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 41.8 | 233 | 40.4 | 23.4 | 21.4 | 5.3 | 163 |
| Agricultural products | 41.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 51.3 | 21.0 | 33.1 | 36.1 | 23.6, | -6.2 | 21.8 |
| Mining products | 54.0 | 22.3 | 73 | 40.0 | 27.4 | 48.9 | 1.8 | 14.8 | 7.0 | 15.8 |
| Manufactures | 41.3 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 36.5 | 24.1 | 43.5 | 20.2 | 21.0 | 12.9 | 13.2 |
| Index of building costs | 40.7 | 22.5 | 5.6 | 9.7 | 12.9 | 25.8 | 18.6 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 19.4 |

Source: National Statistical Institute and Chilean Chamber of Construction.

## Table 24

## CHILE: REAL SALARIES AND WAGES

|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indexes $(1980=100)^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum wage ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100.0 | 115.7 | 117.2 | 94.2 | 80.7 | 76.4 | 73.6 | 69.1 | 73.9 | 79.8 |
| Average salaries and wages | 100.0 | 108.9 | 108.6 | 97.1 | 97.2 | 93.5 | 95.1 | 94.7 | 101.0 | 102.9 |
| Mining | 100.0 | 103.3 | 94.7 | 89.7 | 92.0 | 90.8 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 96.6 | 97.7 |
| Manufacturing | 100.0 | 109.6 | 105.4 | 94.6 | 93.8 | 88.7 | 91.4 | 92.1 | 97.5 | 100.7 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 100.0 | 114.6 | 111.5 | 101.2 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 105.5 | 106.8 | 111.8 | 113.5 |
| Community and social services | 1000 | 108.8 | 116.7 | 100.2 | 100.1 | 95.2 | 91.7 | 88.7 | 96:5 | 98.1 |
| Construction | 100.0 | 105.6 | 100.2 | 78.3 | 75.8 | 63.8 | 67.0 | 70.3 | 75.8 | 78.7 |
| Percentage variations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum wage ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.2 | 15.7 | 1.3 | -19.6 | -14.3 | -5.3 | -3.7 | -6.1 | 6.9 | 8.0 |
| Average salaries and wages | 8.9 | 8.9 | -0.3 | -10.6 | 0.1 | -3.8 | 1.7 | -0.3 | 6.6 | 1.9 |
| Mining | 2.6 | 3.3 | -8.3 | -5.3 | 2.6 | -1.3 | 2.2 |  | 4.1 | 1.1 |
| Manufacturing | 11.2 | 9.6 | -3.8 | -10.2 | -0.8 | -5.4 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 34 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 12.6 | 14.6 | -2.7 | -9.2 | -0,2 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 1,2 | 4.6 | i:5 |
| Community and social services | 7.4 | 8.8 | 7.3 | -14.1 | -01 | -4.9 | -3.7 | -3.3 | 8.8 | 1.6 |
| Construction | 8.8 | 5.6 | -5.1 | -2L9 | -3.2 | -15:9 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 3.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the National Statistical Institute and the Chilean Chamber of Construction
Preliminary figures. ${ }^{1}$ Deflated on the basis of the consumer price index given in table 23. Applicable to private sector manual and non-manual workers not subject to special arrangements. 1975-1983: salaries and wages in middle-level building activities. 1984 onwards, average salaries and wages.

## Table 25

## CHILE: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | December averages for each year (billions of pesos) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Money issue (E) | 151.8 | 187.9 | 248.0 | 303.9 | 37.4 | 23.8 | 32.0 | 22.5 |
| Total money supply | 302.4 | 337.2 | 459.8 | 539.6 | 51.4 | 11.5 | 36.4 | 17.4 |
| Private sector (Mi) | 189.3 | 203.6 | 319.3 | 386.0 | 44.1 | 7.6 | 56.8 | 20.9 |
| Public sector (PS) | 113.1 | 133.6 | 140.5 | 153.6 | 65.6 | 18.1 | 5.2 | 9.3 |
| Time deposits (TD) | 586.5 | 8803 | 1073.7 | 1406.7 | 18.2 | 50.1 | 22.0 | 31.0 |
| From 30 to 89 days | 252.0 | 328.4 | 369.4 | 499.5 | 15.3 | 30.3 | 12.5 | 35.2 |
| From 90 to 365 days | 243.2 | 370.8 | 431.0 | 600.8 | 16.0 | 52.5 | 16.2 | 39.4 |
| Readjustable | 237.2 | 367.1 | 424.2 | 593.9 | 17.6 | 54.8 | 15.6 | 40.0 |
| Non-readjustable | 6.0 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 6.9 | -25.0 | -38.3 | 83.8 | 1.5 |
| Over one year | 91.3 | 181.1 | 273.3 | 306.4 | 34.7 | 98.4 | 50.9 | 12.1 |
| Private money + time deposits (M2=Mi+TD) | 775.8 | 1083.9 | 1393.0 | 1792.7 | 23.6 | 39.7 | 28.5 | 28.7 |
| Bank quasi-money (D2) | 806.1 | 1159.1 | 1423.1 | 1825.6 | 21.1 | 43.8 | 22.8 | 283 |
| Time deposits | 586.5 | 880.0 | 1073.7 | 1406.7 | 18.2 | 50.0 | 22.0 | 31.0 |
| Saving deposits | 219.6 | 279.1 | 349.4 | 418.9 | 54.2 | 27.1 | 25.2 | 19.9 |
| Loans by the banking system |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National currency | 1539.5 | 1936.3 | 2404.4 | 3285.1 | 17.1 | 25.8 | 24.2 | 36.6 |
| Foreign currency ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2578.8 | 2631.9 | 2947.2 | 3242.0 | -4.6 | 2.1 | 12.0 | 10.0 |

Source: Central Bank of Chile, Síntesis monetaria.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes other deposists for less than 30 days. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of dollars.

Table 26
CHILE: BANK INTEREST RATES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 198 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | I | II | III | IV |

Nominal
Short-term deposits Short-term loans

| 47.8 | 27.9 | 26.1 | 31.6 | 18.9 | 25.1 | 14.9 | 27.5 | 19.0 | 26.1 | 27.3 | 37.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}63.1 & 42.7 & 37.2 & 40.4 & 26.2 & 32.7 & 21.0 & 35.7 & 28.1 & 33.4 & 35.6 & 46.0\end{array}$
Real
Non-readjustable
opera taions
Short-term $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { deposits } & 22.4 & 3.9 & 2.3 & 4.1 & 1.3 & 3.0 & 2.0 & 5.0 & 5.3 & 4.2 & 4.9 & 5.8\end{array}$ Short-term loans
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}35.1 & 15.9 & 11.4 & 11.4 & 7.5 & 9.2 & 7.4 & 11.8 & 13.3 & 10.3 & 11.6 & 12.0\end{array}$

| Readjustable operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | 12.0 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 8.7 |
| Loans | 16.9 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 11.4 |

Source: Central Bank of Chile.
${ }^{a}$ Annual rates projected on the basis of quarterly data. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated on the basis of the nominal rates published by the Central Bank, and the consumer price index of the National Statistical Institute (INE),

Table 27
CHILE: VARIATIONS IN MONEY ISSUE: SOURCES AND USES
(Variations between quarterly averages)
Billions of pesos


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data provided by the Central Bank of Chile.
Compared to previous quarter. Compared to previous quarter, in constant 1978 pesos. Real variation in 12-month period; nominal figures deflated by en. Variation compared to same quarter previous year.

Cuadro 28
CHILE: VARIATION IN MONETARY AGGREGATES, 1989 '

|  | MiA | M2A | M3 | M4 | Ms | M $_{6}$ | M7 | M4-M3" | M? $-\mathrm{MiA}^{\text {c }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal | 17.6 | 30.7 | 28.3 | 41.1 | 40.7 | 40.5 | 41.2 | 80.3 | 45.4 |
| Real | -3.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 49.0 | 20.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of Figures provided by the Central Bank of Chile.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage variation in fourth quarter of 1989 compared to same quarter of previous year. Corresponds to Central Bank paper. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Private financial saving. Definitions: MiA= Private-sector currency plus demand deposits (Mi), expanded to include deposits of less than 30 days. M2A $=\mathrm{Mi} A+$ time deposits. $\mathrm{M} 3=\mathrm{M} 2 \mathrm{~A}+$ savings deposits. $\mathrm{M} 4=$ M3 + Central Bank paper. Ms = M4 + Treasury promissing notes. MÓ = M5 + Letters of credit. M7 = MÓ + Foreign ' currency deposits.

Table 29
CHILE: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A. Income and expenditure in local currency
(billions of pesos of each year)

1. Current income
Direct taxes
Indirect taxes
Non-tax income
2. Net expenditure
3. Net deficit $(2-1)=(5-4)$
4. Amortization payments
5. Gross deficit

| 320 | 301 | 348 | 442 | 642 | 837 | 1093 | 1349 | 1530 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 81 | 80 | 70 | 86 | 108 | 131 | 153 | 194 | 251 |
| 204 | 191 | 260 | 340 | 500 | 641 | 841 | 957 | 1145 |
| 35 | 30 | 18 | 16 | 34 | 65 | 99 | 198 | 134 |
| 289 | 321 | 393 | 475 | 672 | 822 | 985 | 1273 | 1364 |
| -31 | 20 | 45 | 33 | 30 | -15 | -108 | -76 | -166 |
| 2 | 3 | 7 | 26 | 110 | 84 | 102 | 149 | 97 |
| -29 | 23 | 52 | 59 | 140 | 69 | -6 | 73 | -69 |

B. Income and expenditure in foreign currency (millions of current dollars)

| 1. Current income | 523 | 439 | 548 | 413 | 368 | 409 | 551 | 1452 | 1940 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Copper | 449 | 402 | 518 | 361 | 349 | 389 | 513 | 1428 | 1914 |
| Other | 74 | 37 | 30 | 52 | 19 | 20 | 38 | 24 | 26 |
| 2. Net expenditure | 508 | 376 | 467 | 546 | 465 | 484 | 604 | 793 | 1173 |
| 3. Net deficit $(2-1)=(5-4)$ | -15 | -63 | -81 | 133 | 97 | 75 | 53 | -659 | -767 |
| 4. Amortization payments | 175 | 188 | 181 | 122 | 143 | 115 | 79 | 793 | 1355 |
| 5. Gross deficit | 160 | 125 | 100 | 255 | 240 | 190 | 132 | 134 | 588 |

C. Consolidated income and expenditure ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$
(millions of current dollars)

| 1. Current income | 8727 | 6345 | 4968 | 4904 | 4359 | 4748 | 5541 | 6958 | 7670 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Copper | 449 | 402 | 518 | 361 | 349 | 389 | 513 | 1428 | 1914 |
| $\quad$ Direct taxes | 2091 | 1578 | 894 | 885 | 676 | 683 | 699 | 657 | 940 |
| Indirect taxes | 5239 | 3755 | 3309 | 3454 | 3113 | 3328 | 3840 | 4040 | 4288 |
| Non-tax income | 948 | 610 | 246 | 204 | 221 | 348 | 489 | 833 | 502 |
| 2. Net expenditure | 7925 | 6665 | 5453 | 5369 | 4641 | 4746 | 5101 | 5989 | 6282 |
| 3. Net deficit $(2-1)=(5-4)$ | -802 | 320 | 485 | 465 | 282 | $-2)$ | -440 | -969 | -1388 |
| 4. Amortization payments | 234 | 251 | 275 | 389 | 830 | 549 | 539 | 1401 | 1718 |
| 5. Gross deficit | -568 | 571 | 760 | 854 | 1112 | 547 | 99 | 432 | 330 |

D. Coefficients ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$
(percentages)
Current income/gross domestic

| product | 26.6 | 26.1 | 25.1 | 25.5 | 27.2 | 28.2 | 29.6 | 33.1 | 30.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net expenditure/gross <br> domestic product | 24.3 | 27.4 | 27.6 | 27.9 | 29.0 | 28.2 | 27.2 | 28.5 | 24.6 |
| Net deficit/gross domestic <br> product | -2.5 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | - | -2.3 | -4.6 | -5.4 |
| Gross deficit/gross domestic <br> product | -1.7 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.3 |

Source: 1980-1987: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the Ministty of Finance, Budget Office Report on the State of Public Finances, 1988 (January 1989); 1988: ECLAC on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Provisional figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Total expenditure, excluding amortization payments on the debt. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The figures in local currency were expressed in current dollars, using the average exchange rate of the Central Bank of Chile.

## ECUADOR

The structural adjustment programme for the Ecuadorian economy begun in mid-1988 rapidly narrowed the fiscal deficit and managed to reduce inflation, even if the annual level still remained high. The slackening in domestic demand -a side effect of the adjustment process- has its counterpart in sluggish activity and in a consequent contraction in the per capita gross domestic product (GDP). The external current account, for its part, showed less of a deficit, and there was an increase in the international reserves, albeit based on an increase in official indebtedness, due in part to the arrears in interest payments to commercial banks.

Thus, while the financial imbalance in the public sector was reduced from $5 \%$ to $2 \%$ of the GDP, the external current-account deficit also went down, from $7.5 \%$ to $5.5 \%$ of the GDP. With the capital account showing a surplus of US\$1 billion, the result was an international reserve gain for the first time since 1986. Inflation, for its part, fell from $86 \%$ to $55 \%$ per year, thus halting the upward trend of the previous triennium. Steps to control domestic demand through a tight monetary policy and a substantial increase in public-sector revenues resulted in slower growth in the gross domestic product, causing a drop of more than $2 \%$ in the per capita GDP, which consequently remained at about the 1980 level, as was the case during most of the 1980s (see table 1 and figure 1).

The new government's emergency economic programme, which went into effect as of August 1988, had as one of its priorities the gradual reduction of the pace of price rises, with December 1988 and August 1989 as target dates in this respect. As it was considered that prices had risen under the pressure of the demand generated by excess liquidity due basically to the financing of expansionary fiscal spending with credits from the Central Bank, the plan was to tighten monetary controls and
reduce the public-sector deficit, as the keys to reducing inflation.

In order to strengthen the public finances, it was decreed that the price of most fuels would be doubled, some taxes would be raised, subsidies would be eliminated and expenditures strictly controlled, all of which was aimed at bringing about an adjustment in the relative-price system.

In the external sector, the intervention exchange rate was devalued by $56 \%$ and a system of predetermined mini-devaluations pegged to an expected inflation rate of $30 \%$ was instituted. The idea was to make the exchange rate the nominal reference value that would guide inflationary expectations. In addition, controls were set on the importation of capital goods, the minimum wage was raised and the price of some price-controlled goods was raised.

Various structural reforms were also announced, affecting the tax system, customs tariffs, the organization of the public sector, the private financial system and the stock market. The financial reforms begun at the end of 1988 were completed with the adoption of measures to increase the solvency and efficiency of the financial institutions.

During the second half of the previous year and a good part of 1989, the growth of domestic demand was curbed and investment continued to contract. This situation seems to have been reversed, however, in the third quarter of 1989 when some signs of recovery appeared (such as increased imports of capital goods) in response to the changes made in the exchange and trade policy.

In the matter of wage policy, the minimum wage was revised every six months, with smaller readjustments for public-sector employees. In general, earning rose more slowly than domestic prices, thus causing real wages to decline.

Figure 1
ECUADOR: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

One of the most significant achievements of the programme was the reduction of the public deficit, accomplished primarily by means of a substantial correction of fuel prices and public service charges. Other contributing factors were the higher revenues resulting from the devaluation, the curbing of public spending and the entry into force of the first stage of the tax reform, which altered the system of tax collection by making it more efficient and broadening the pool of taxpayers. All these steps helped expand the income of the non-financial public sector by more than $90 \%$ in 1989; in particular, petroleum revenue doubled. Consequently, even though expenditures increased substantially (70\%), the deficit narrowed to the equivalent of $2 \%$ of the GDP.

The current-account deficit of the balance of payments went down as a result of the surplus from foreign trade in goods, one reason for which was the higher unit price of exports. The resumed servicing in regular installments of part of the foreign debt in turn activated the inflow of capital, and this together with the arrears in servicing part of the commercialbank debt, helped increase the reserves by almost US $\$ 400$ million.

The effect of the adjustments in publicsector prices and rate schedules, together with the growing demand pressures discernible since the first half of 1988, triggered more rapid inflation, which peaked at $99 \%$ for the 12 months between April 1988 and March 1989. In this first phase, the monetary policy did not succeed in containing the pressures exerted on price levels, while the inflationary surge speeded up the velocity of circulation of money. Other factors at work during this period were the negative expectations stemming from the failure to meet pre-established inflation targets and from certain problems connected with the supply of commodities like rice and sugar.

At the beginning of the stabilization programme, the price of a few price-controlled products was adjusted; subsequently, the prices of other controlled products were also raised from time to time. Because these adjustments were made in an abrupt manner, they had a serious impact on the monthly price-index variations and on the generation of
expectations. From April onwards, however, the inflationary trend was reversed and registered a sharp drop that held until the month of August. During this period, the average monthly inflation was no more than $2 \%$, basically because certain controlled prices were held down, devaluation lagged behind inflation, and a more restrictive monetary policy was followed, involving credit contraction and the raising of real interest rates. During the second quarter, some of the prices for public services were made more flexible, and in May the exchange rate was devalued by $6 \%$, bringing it back to its real level, after which weekly mini-devaluations and a few additional devaluations were made to keep the real exchange rate steady. The minimum living wage and private-sector wages were also raised. These steps to restore relative prices had the effect of accelerating inflation slightly in the last four months, when it averaged $3.3 \%$ per month.

As to foreign trade, it again registered a surplus, thanks to the rise in the price of petroleum exports, which represent $49 \%$ of the total, and to a further increase in sales of bananas and non-traditional products, although there were serious problems with sales of shrimps and other fishery products. The value of imports rose 5\%, but there were two very distinct periods here, with a rapid rise in the first half of the year and a slowdown in the second. Various factors were responsible for this. On the one hand, the figures included purchases of pharmaceuticals and food products made with the benefit of the import subsidies for these articles, and since their domestic prices were controlled, part of these imports found their way illegally to neighbouring countries. On the other hand, the rise in the sucre in the first five months and the expectation that devaluation would be speeded up caused an increase in purchases of foreign inputs. From May onwards, however, that situation was reversed, despite the spurt in domestic economic activity, because of the speeding-up of devaluation.

The balance-of-payments capital account surplus rose markedly, by almost $50 \%$. In July, interest payments to private international banks were partially resumed and $30 \%$ of the annual interest due began to be paid in monthly
installments, (this percentage was established in keeping with the proposal made to the banking system in August for reducing the debt by $70 \%$ ). The talks continued after the year ended without producing a final agreement. The renewal of the flow of external financing to the official sector -which had been negative in 1988- and the arrears in debt servicing made it possible to cover the current-account deficit and simultaneously achieve the biggest accumulation of reserves of the decade.

Taking into account the high real rate of exchange registered at the end of 1988, the exchange policy was geared primarily to controlling inflation, and hence, while domestic prices rose by $26 \%$ in the first five months of the year, the intervention exchange rate (used for foreign transactions) increased by only $14 \%$.

The exchange rate on the parallel market also rose less than inflation; up to May, it followed a downward trend, later moving into a pattern similar to that of the intervention exchange rate. This behaviour can be attributed to the controls on liquidity, the increase in borrowing by the private sector from external sources, and the repatriation of capital. During part of the year, the financial return on sucres was greater than the return on foreign-currency assets, since the equivalent deposit rate in the country was double the LBOR rate, thus favouring the return of capital. Moreover, businesses apparently repatriated capital in order to pay off the foreign debt incurred by the State in 1983, which was beginning to reach maturity in 1988. The spread between the Central Bank rate for the dollar and the parallel-market rate was minimal; indeed, the intervention exchange rate was actually higher at certain times, thus becoming a guide for the parallel market.

The substantial reduction of the deficit, in conjunction with the positive net external financing, enabled the public sector to increase its deposits in the Central Bank by an amount equivalent to $2.7 \%$ of the GDP, thus curbing the growth of the monetary base, which amounted to $40 \%$, a figure much lower than the $64 \%$ recorded in 1988.

In the first part of 1989, the most important expansionary factors in the monetary policy were the gain in international reserves and the
reduction of the amount of prior deposits for imports. The expansion of the monetary base was neutralized by open-market operations, the product of the $10 \%$ spread between the buying and selling rates of foreign exchange, and the bigger deposits by the non-financial public sector.

In the first quarter, however^ there was a serious reduction in the real demand for money because of the inflationary expectations of the economic agents. This trend was reversed in the second quarter of 1989 , owing to the favourable expectations generated by the reduction of inflation and the stability of the dollar on the parallel market, which reduced the demand for foreign exchange. Despite this, the Mi/GDP ratio fell from almost $11 \%$ in December 1988 to $8.6 \%$ in December 1989.

The increase in international reserves was greatest in the last quarter, producing a heavily monetized economy. The reduction of the spread between the buying and selling rates of foreign exchange from $10 \%$ to $5 \%$ lessened the absorption of resources through that channel. As net sales of stabilization bonds did not increase, the main factor of contraction in this period was the increase in public-sector deposits due to higher revenues from fuel price rises and to the devaluation. Thus, currency issue and the growth of the remaining monetary aggregates were only modest, which helped contain the inflationary pressures.

The behaviour of interest rates was one of the most peculiar features of the way the economy evolved, since even though the rates were not set by the monetary authority they showed great rigidity. The rates for certificates of deposit remained between $40 \%$ and $42 \%$ per year, despite the fluctuations in inflation. The real interest rates, for their part, were highly variable, depending on the inflationary movements, since the most usual borrowing and lending term is 90 days. At the beginning of the year, the real interest rates were extremely negative, but between April and August they reached high positive levels in real terms, and this was one of the factors that had a recessive impact during this period.

The Central Bank tried to bring down the interest rates by eliminating the maximum commissions for dealer services, the lowering
of the return on stabilization bonds bought on the open market, and the reduction of the legal reserve for November. Even though this did not have the desired effect, the liquidity generated by this set of measures and the announcement of the institution of a debt-conversion mechanism resulted in a sharp rise in the spot rate for the dollar on the parallel market. In order to control this excess liquidity and its effect on the parallel-market rate of exchange,
the rediscount operations of the Central Bank were suspended.

At the same time, there was an increased demand for assets denominated in sucres, and this was reflected in increased cash saving, which in the course of the year rose by $52 \%$. In view of the escalating inflation, the public tried to guard against the erosion of the purchasing power of the sucre by transferring funds to the area of solid assets.

Figure 2
ECUADOR: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX (Percentages)




Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

Table 1

## ECUADOR: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexes (1980=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product at market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross national income | 100.6 | 97.3 | 101.6 | 106.5 | 101.5 | 93.6 | 104.3 | 106.2 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 10.3 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 99.2 | 95.4 | 97.2 | 99.2 | 99.9 | 90.5 | 101.5 | 99.3 |
| Public sector deficit (-) or surplus (+) |  |  |  | 1.9 | -5.0 | -9.6 | -5.1 | -2.2 |
| Money (M1)/GDP | 14.5 | 14.0 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 8.6 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 96.2 | 95.8 | 129.9 | 124.5 | 128.3 | 143.2 | 169.2 | 179.0 |
|  | Growth rates |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | 1.1 | -1.2 | 4.8 | 4.8 | \ $\boldsymbol{U}$ | -7.0 | ! 15.0 | 0.3 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -1.7 | -3.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.7 | -9.4 | 12.1 | -2.2 |
| Gross national income | -1.8 | -3.3 | 4.5 | 4.8 | -4.7 | -7.8 | 11.4 | 1.8 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 24.4 | 52.5 | 25.1 | 24.4 | 27.3 | 32.5 | 85.7 | 54.2 |
| Real minimum wage | -11.9 | -16.2 | -1.3 | -3.1 | 7.6 | -5.6 | -13.5 | -11.5 |
| Current value of exports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current value of imports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -3.2 | -15.6 | 10.2 | 1.0 | -24.1 | 0.2 | 1-16.4 | 3.8 |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | -236 | 821 | 855 | 1119 | 352 | -215 | ' 441 | 553 |
| Factor services | 1010 | 849 | 1023 | 1050 | 1010 | 1048 | 1098 | 1093 |
| Balance on current account | -1246 | -28 | -168 | 69 | -658 | -1263 | -657 | -540 |
| Balance on capital account | 906 | 138 | 87 | -44 | 486 | 1163 | 671 | 994 |
| Variation in international reserves | -328 | 127 | -58 | -5 | -201 | -160 | -10 | 379 |
| Gross disbursed external debt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5365 | 7381 | 7596 | 8110 | 9076 | 10217 | 10574 | 11039 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{b}$ Corresponds to exchange rate for exports. $\quad{ }^{c}$ End-year balances; since 1983, as well as including loans from the Andean Reserve Fund (FAR), petroleum advances and the Santo Domingo Agreement, also include debt with the International Monetary Fund.

Table 2
ECUADOR: REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 93.6 | 104.3 | 106.2 | 94.4 | 80.8 | 78.3 | 79.5 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 109.4 | 125.8 | 126.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -9.9 | -13.9 | -13.0 |
| c) Net factor income from abroad | 183.5 | 177.3 | 169.8 | -5.6 | -9.3 | -7.8 | -7.5 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
ECUADOR: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 107.9 | 116.0 | 116.2 | 125.5 | 115.6 | 4.0 | -3.2 | 7.5 | 0.2 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 109.4 | 125.8 | 126.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.4 | -7.0 | 15.0 | 0.3 |
| Import of goods and services | 102.1 | 77.6 | 77.2 | 25.5 | 15.6 | 7.4 | 16.9 | -24.0 | -0.5 |
| Total demand | 107.9 | 116.0 | 116.2 | 125.5 | 115.6 | 4.0 | -3.2 | 7.5 | 0.2 |
| Domestic demand | 100.2 | 103.8 | 104.4 | 100.5 | 83.2 | 2.5 | -1.7 | 3.7 | 0.5 |
| Gross domestic investment | 70.9 | 75.3 | 66.5 | 26.1 | 13.8 | 3.0 | -3.5 | 6.3 | -11.7 |
| Gross fixed investment | 77.0 | 74.0 | 72.4 | 23.6 | 13.6 | 4.3 | 4.9 | -3.9 | -2.2 |
| Construction | 89.1 | 82.4 | 82.9 | 12.6 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 4.3 | -7.5 | 0.6 |
| Machinery and equipment | 63.1 | 64.4 | 60.4 | 11.0 | 5.3 | 10.7 | 5.8 | 2.0 | -6.2 |
| Public | 91.9 | 81.2 | 82.0 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 12.0 | -3.7 | -11.7 | 1.0 |
| Private | 71.4 | 71.3 | 68.8 | 17.2 | 9.4 | 0.5 | 9.5 | -0.2 | -3.6 |
| Changes in stocks | 13.0 | 88.0 | 10.9 | 2.5 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 110.4 | 113.8 | 117.7 | 74.4 | 69.4 | 2.4 | -1.3 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| General government | 88.7 | 87.5 | 85.5 | 14.5 | 9.8 | -0.8 | 0.2 | -1.4 | -2.2 |
| Private | 115.7 | 120.2 | 125.5 | 59.9 | 59.6 | 3.0 | -1.5 | 3.9 | 4.4 |
| Exports of goods and services | 139.2 | 165.2 | 164.0 | 24.9 | 32.4 | 8.2 | -7.4 | 18.6 | -0.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments figures in current dollars, converted to constant 1980 values using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
ECUADOR: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF
GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 24.3 | 16.9 | 15.9 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 15.6 |
| Gross domestic investment | 23.1 | 28.0 | 26.1 | 28.6 | 29.3 | 24.9 | 32.7 | 30.6 |
| Gross domestic saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income from factor <br> services | -8.6 | -6.6 | -8.7 | -8.8 | -8.5 | -9.3 | -7.8 | -7.5 |
| Terms-of-trade <br> effects | -0.8 | -4.7 | -2.9 | -2.9 | -10.0 | -9.9 | -13.9 | -13.0 |
| Unrequited private transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross national saving | 13.7 | 16.7 | 14.5 | 16.9 | 10.8 | 5.7 | 10.9 | 10.1 |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5

## ECUADOR: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 109.4 | 125.8 | 126.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.4 | -7.0 | 15.0 | 03 |
| Goods | 102.8 | 137.1 | 133.4 | 49.4 | 522 | 3.5 | -19.2 | 33.4 | -2.7 |
| Agriculture | 131.0 | 142.9 | 140.6 | 12.1 | 13.5 | 10.2 | 4.2 | 9.1 | -1.6 |
| Mining | 85.1 | 160.1 | 152.2 | 21.0 | 25.3 | 3.0 | -42.3 | 88.1 | -5.0 |
| Manufacturing | 107.8 | 112.2 | 112.7 | 8.8 | 7.9 | -3.3 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 0.5 |
| Construction | 100.7 | 92.2 | 93.5 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | -8.5 | 1.4 |
| Basic services | 138.7 | 144.1 | 152.4 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 5.8 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 235.6 | 267.7 | 350.2 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 21.8 | 17.7 | 13.6 | 30.8 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 128.5 | 131.1 | 131.6 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| Other services | 108.3 | 110.5 | 110.6 | 40.9 | 35.8 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 102.0 | 105.2 | 112.5 | 14.6 | 13.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 7.0 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 104.7 | 105.9 | 103.3 | 11.7 | 9.6 | 2.4 | -1.4 | 1.2 | -2.4 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 127.9 | 131.5 | 134.2 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| Community, social and personal services | 117.5 | 119.6 | 114.5 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | -4.2 |
| Government services | 109.3 | 110.3 | 98.6 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | -10.6 |
| Adjustment for bank service charges | 43.1 | 64.8 | 56.1 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 9.2 | -56.3 | 50.3 | -13.3 |
| Import duties | 88.7 | 58.5 | 86.8 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 46.8 | -34.1 | 48.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, re-weighted with the structure at current 1980 prices. Consequently, the results obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

ECUADOR
Table 6
ECUADOR: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop farming | 116.1 | 122.6 | 122.4 | 127.9 | 14.7 | 5.6 | -0.2 | 4.5 |
| Livestock production | 124.6 | 129.0 | 134.2 | 137.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.3 |
| Forestry | 122.0 | 127.0 | 129.5 | 131.3 | 9.7 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Fishing and hunting | 198.1 | 251.6 | 295.1 | 217.5 | 15.5 | 27.0 | 17.3 | -26.3 |
| Production (thousands of tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bananas | 2316 | 2387 | 2576 | 2576 | 17.6 | 3.1 | 7.9 | - |
| Coffee | 484 | 373 | 342 | 388 | 300.0 | -22.9 | -8.3 | 13.5 |
| Cocoa | 90 | 58 | 85 | 83 | -31.3 | -35.6 | 46.6 | -2.4 |
| Sugar cane | 2750 | 3001 | 2596 | 2914 | 2.1 | 9.1 | -13.5 | 12.2 |
| Cotton | 37 | 20 | 25 | 33 | 94.7 | -45.9 | 25.0 | 32.0 |
| Soya | 76 | 146 | 131 | 153 | 20.6 | 92.1 | -10.3 | 16.8 |
| African palm | 658 | 688 | 674 | 903 | 43.7 | 4.6 | -1.0 | 34.0 |
| Rice | 576 | 781 | 954 | 867 | 45.1 | 35.6 | 22.2 | -9.1 |
| Wheat | 33 | 31 | 34 | 26 | 83.3 | -6.1 | 9.7 | -23.5 |
| Hard maize | 316 | 288 | 307 | 385 | 5.3 | -8.9 | 6.6 | 25.4 |
| Soft maize | 86 | 89 | 90 | 100 | 19.4 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 11.1 |
| Barley | 44 | 43 | 51 | 56 | 63.0 | -2.3 | 18.6 | 9.8 |
| Potatoes | 389 | 354 | 338 | 362 | -8.0 | -9.0 | -4.5 | 7.1 |
| Primary products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef | 97 | 101 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Poultry meat | 54 | 52 |  |  | 22.7 | -3.7 |  |  |
| Milk ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1092 | 1130 |  |  | 5.0 | 3.5 |  |  |


| Areas harvested (thousand of hectares) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Main products | 112 | 120 | 127 | 131 | 72.3 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 3.1 |
| $\quad$ Banana | 421 | 383 | 381 | 408 | -1.4 | -9.0 | -0.5 | 7.1 |
| Coffee | 326 | 311 | 326 | 331 | 13.6 | -4.6 | 4.8 | 1.5 |
| Cocoa | 42 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 2.4 | 4.8 | - | -4.5 |
| Sugar cane | 25 | 25 |  | 30 | 47.1 | - |  |  |
| Cotton | 39 | 81 | 73 | 82 | 11.4 | 107.7 | -9.9 | 12.3 |
| Soya | 44 | 48 | 53 | 55 | 29.4 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 3.8 |
| African palm | 228 | 276 |  | 278 | 52.0 | 21.1 |  |  |
| Rice | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 127.8 | -2.4 | -2.5 | -2.6 |
| Wheat | 261 | 259 | 246 | 276 | 45.0 | -0.8 | -5.0 | 12.2 |
| Hard maize | 176 | 186 | 180 | 25 | 134.7 | 5.7 | -3.2 | -86.1 |
| Soft maize | 64 | 61 | 61 | 55 | 120.7 | -4.7 | - | -9.8 |
| Barley | 54 | 56 | 47 | 49 | 45.9 | 3.7 | -16.1 | 4.3 |
| Potatoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 8 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1}$ |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Ecuador, the Ministry of Agriculture and the National Development Council (CONADE).
Preliminary figures. Millions of litres.

## Table 7

## ECUADOR: INDICATORS OF FISHERY PRODUCTION



Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by CONADE, the Department of Fisheries and the Central Bank of Ecuador. Preliminary figures. ' Includes fishing and hunting.

Table 8
ECUADOR: INDICATORS OF THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY

|  | Millions of barrels |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Crude petroleum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total supply | 107.0 | 73.7 | 112.9 | 103.5 | 4.5 | -31.1 | 53.2 | -8.4 |
| National production | 107.0 | 62.7 | 112.9 | 103.5 | 4.5 | -41.4 | 80.2 | -8.4 |
| Imports | - | 11.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total demand | 107.0 | 73.7 | 112.9 | 103.5 | 4.5 | -31.1 | 53.2 | -8.4 |
| Refinery consumption | 35.0 | 29.4 | 42.3 | 39.5 | 10.7 | -16.0 | 44.0 | -6.6 |
| Exports | 71.4 | 45.4 | 69.0 | 63.7 | 1.2 | -36.4 | 52.0 | -7.7 |
| Changes in stocks | 0.6 | -1.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 147.0 | -81.4 |  |  |
| Petroleum products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supply of petroleum products | 38.3 | 36.2 | 43.7 | 41.7 | 5.8 | -5.5 | 20.8 | -4.6 |
| Production of petroleum products | 34.8 | 28.8 | 41.8 | 39.0 | 11.0 | -17.3 | 45.4 | -6.7 |
| Imports of petroleum products | 3.5 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | -27.6 | 111.3 | -74.1 | 40.0 |
| Demand for petroleum products | 38.3 | 36.2 | 43.7 | 41.7 | 5.7 | -5.5 | 20.8 | -4.6 |
| Domestic consumption | 30.6 | 29.2 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 1.3 | -4.5 | 9.3 |  |
| Gasoline | 10.6 | 10.1 | 10.4 |  | 3.8 | -4.2 | 2.1 |  |
| Other | 20.0 | 19.0 | 21.6 |  | 0.1 | -4.7 | 13.2 |  |
| Exports | 6.7 | 5.7 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 37.8 | -14.5 | 66.6 | -11.7 |
| Changes in stock | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.4 | -12.7 | 24.3 | 77.8 | -39.6 |

Source: Central Bank of Ecuador.

Table 9

## ECUADOR: GROSS MANUFACTURING PRODUCT

|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  | 105.3 | 108.8 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 7}$ | -12 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 4.0 | 0.5 |
| Manufacturing <br> Foodstuffs, beverages and <br> tobacco | 92.9 | 97.1 | 96.3 | 98.3 | -0.7 | 4.5 | -0.8 | 2.1 |
| Textiles, clothing and | 104.5 | 106.0 | 108.9 | 113.4 | -9.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 4.1 |
| leather products | 113.5 | 117.4 | 109.8 | 113.0 | 6.6 | 3.4 | -6.5 | 2.9 |
| Wood and wood products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper and printed matter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemicals, plastics and <br> related products | 120.2 | 131.1 | 147.0 | 157.1 | 5.8 | 9.1 | 12.1 | 6.9 |
| Non-metallic minerals and <br> basic metal industries | 106.7 | 111.7 | 143.9 | 123.3 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 28.8 | -14.3 |
| Machinery, equipment and <br> other manufactures | 121.6 | 121.6 | 127.6 | 120.2 | -4.2 | - | 4.9 | -5.8 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Ecuador.
Preliminary figures. Excludes oil refining.

Table 10

## ECUADOR: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -7.9 | 0.9 | 11.7 | 10.8 | -24.8 | -7.5 | 9.0 | 6.9 |
| Volume | -1.9 | 8.9 | 15.8 | 15.0 | 6.2 | -9.5 | 20.4 | -1.7 |
| Unit value | -6.1 | -7.3 | -3.5 | -3.7 | -29.1 | 2.1 | -9.4 | 8.7 |
| Imports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -7.1 | -35 | 10.3 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 25.9 | -21.4 | 4.4 |
| Volume | -2.3 | -42.3 | 33.4 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 21.6 | -26.5 | 0.2 |
| Unit value | -4.8 | 12.7 | -17.4 | -4.8 | -5.7 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 4.2 |
| Terms of trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1.7 | -17.4 | 13.5 | 1.7 | -25.1 | -1.1 | -15.8 | 4.3 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports of goods | 98.6 | 88.7 | 116.5 | 136.3 | 108.3 | 97.0 | 98.3 | 100.9 |
| Purchasing power of exports of goods and services | 95.8 | 85.8 | 107.7 | 125.8 | 103.2 | 95.8 | 95.1 | 98.0 |
| Indexes of volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports of goods | 100.7 | 109.6 | 126.9 | 145.9 | 154.9 | 140.2 | 168.8 | 165.9 |
| Imports of goods | 105.6 | 60.9 | 81.3 | 87.8 | 94.2 | 114.5 | 84.2 | 84.4 |
| Terms of trade FOB/CIF | 97.9 | 80.9 | 91.8 | 93.4 | 69.9 | 69.2 | 58.3 | 60.8 |

[^44]
## Table 11

## ECUADOR: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB



Source: Central Bank of Ecuador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes fish meal and other products.

Table 12
ECUADOR: VOLUME OF EXPORTS OF MAIN PRODUCTS

|  | rhousands of tons |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 71 | 45 | 69 | 64 | 1.1 | -36.4 | 52.0 | -7.7 |
| Petroleum | 103 | 99 | 74 | 101 | 31.2 | -3.8 | -24.9 | 35.4 |
| Coffee | 1400 | 1406 | 1570 | 1795 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 11.6 | 14.3 |
| Bananas | 38 | 45 | 53 | 52 | -45.6 | 18.5 | 16.3 | -2.1 |
| Cocoa | 31 | 49 | 56 | 47 | 55.5 | 56.6 | 15.4 | -16.0 |
| Shrimps | 35 | 24 | 31 | 33 | 3.8 | -31.6 | 26.9 | 5.9 |
| Processed cocoa products | 7 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 36.7 | -14.9 | 66.7 | -11.6 |
| Petroleum products | 199 | 105 | 160 |  | -29.9 | -47.3 | 52.9 |  |
| Processed marine products $^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Central Bank of Ecuador
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Millions of barrels;. $\quad{ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes fish meal, canned tunnyfish and other marine products.

Table 13
ECUADOR: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1631 | 1802 | 1614 | 1695 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 12 | 10.5 | -10.4 | 5.0 |
| Consumer goods | 219 | 255 | 246 | 221 | 18.2 | 13.0 | -1.7 | 16.4 | -3.5 | -10.2 |
| Non-durable | 93 | 116 | 93 | 127 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 24.6 | -19.5 | 36.6 |
| Durable | 126 | 139 | 153 | 94 | 13.5 | 5.5 | -8.3 | 10.4 | 9.8 | -38.6 |
| Raw materials and intermediate goods | 809 | 889 | 764 | 925 | 42.6 | 54.6 | -12.2 | 9.9 | -14.1 | 21.1 |
| For agriculture | 54 | 46 | 54 | 79 | 2.0 | 4.7 | -15.5 | -15.4 | 18.4 | 46.3 |
| For industry | 624 | 689 | 624 | 752 | 27.5 | 44.4 | -5.5 | 10.6 | -9.5 | 20.5 |
| For construction | 50 | 53 | 44 | 45 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 26.7 | 5.6 | -16.3 | 2.3 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 82 | 102 | 42 | 49 | 8.9 | 2.9 | -48.2 | 24.0 | -58.7 | 16.7 |
| Capital goods | 603 | 657 | 604 | 549 | 39.2 | 32.4 | 29.0 | 9.1 | -8.1 | -9.1 |
| For agriculture | 31 | 24 | 11 | 12 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 4.1 | -22.0 | -53.8 | 9.1 |
| For industry | 369 | 447 | 372 | 355 | 21.7 | 20.9 | 20.3 | 21.2 | -16.8 | -4.6 |
| Transport equipment | 203 | 186 | 221 | 182 | 16.3 | 10.7 | 54.8 | -8.2 | 18.6 | -17.6 |

Source: Central Bank of Ecuador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 14

## ECUADOR: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -1246 | -28 | -168 | 69 | -658 | -1263 | -657 | -540 |
| Trade balance | -236 | 821 | 855 | 1119 | 352 | -215 | 441 | 553 |
| Exports of goods and services | 2676 | 2643 | 2895 | 3294 | 2589 | 2449 | 2636 | 2823 |
| Goods FOB | 2327 | 2348 | 2622 | 2905 | 2186 | 2021 | 2203 | 2354 |
| Real services | 349 | 295 | 273 | 389 | 403 | 428 | 433 | 469 |
| Transport and insurance | 139 | 112 | 95 | 177 | 186 | 179 | 191 | 211 |
| Travel | 131 | 120 | 120 | 133 | 133 | 167 | 173 | 185 |
| Imports of goods and services | 2912 | 1822 | 2040 | 2175 | 2237 | 2664 | 2195 | 2270 |
| Goods FOB | 2187 | 1421 | 1567 | 1612 | 1631 | 2054 | 1614 | 1685 |
| Real services | 725 | 401 | 473 | 564 | 606 | 610 | 581 | 585 |
| Transport and insurance | 244 | 182 | 235 | 257 | 272 | 317 | 298 | 302 |
| Travel | 250 | 152 | 155 | 196 | 210 | 170 | 167 | 163 |
| Factor services | -1010 | -849 | -1023 | -1050 | -1010 | -1048 | -1098 | -1093 |
| Profits | -80 | -60 | -70 | -126 | -139 | -130 | -130 | -104 |
| Interest received | 26 | 45 | 77 | 29 | 28 | 16 | 13 | - |
| Interest paid or due | -811 | -723 | -889 | -839 | -777 | -801 | -884 | -892 |
| Labour and property | -145 | -111 | -141 | -114 | -122 | -133 | -97 | -97 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Balance on capital account | 906 | 138 | 87 | -44 | 486 | 1163 | 671 | 994 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 20 | 24 | 20 | 80 | 45 | 132 | 60 | 97 |
| Long-term capital | 164 | 1372 | 360 | 474 | 773 | 1094 | -100 | 877 |
| Direct investment | 40 | 50 | 50 | 62 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 80 |
| Portfolio investment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 124 | 1322 | 310 | 412 | 703 | 1019 | -180 | 797 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -245 | 2265 | 881 | 492 | 741 | 1004 | -198 | 814 |
| Loans received | 213 | 2641 | 1531 | 1731 | 2004 | 2927 | 1501 | 2257 |
| Amortization payments | -442 | -363 | -643 | -1232 | -1247 | -1916 | -1694 | -1443 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | -7 | -9 | - | - | - | -1 | - |
| Loans received | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Amortization payments | -1 | -1 | -1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 369 | -936 | -562 | -80 | -38 | 15 | 19 | -17 |
| Loans received | 1112 | 150 | 78 | 22 | 18 | 38 | 57 |  |
| Amortization payments | -742 | -1085 | -639 | -102 | -56 | -21 | -36 | -17 |
| Short-term capital | 919 | -1073 | -218 | -677 | -153 | 71 | 518 |  |
| Official sector | 572 | -520 | -95 | - | -136 | 21 | 198 |  |
| Commercial banks | 50 | 7 | 16 | -63 | - | 50 | 19 |  |
| Other sectors | 297 | -560 | -139 | -614 | -17 | - | 301 |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -197 | -185 | -75 | 79 | -179 | -134 | 193 | 20 |
| Global balance | -340 | 110 | -81 | 24 | -172 | -100 | 14 | 454 |
| Total variation in reserves (- sign indicates an increase) | 328 | -127 | 58 | 5 | 201 | 160 | 10 | -379 |
| Monetary gold |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | 34 | - | - | -28 | -27 | 55 | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | 29 | -12 | 12 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 266 | -328 | 21 | -78 | 101 | 98 | 94 |  |
| Other assets |  | - | - | -10 | - | 4 | 2 |  |
| Use made of IMF credit | - | 213 | 25 | 121 | 127 | 3 | -85 |  |

Source: 1982-1988: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund; 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Ecuador.
Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services
Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign), plus counterpart items.

Table 15

## ECUADOR: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 5365 | 7381 | 7596 | 8110 | 9076 | 10217 | 10574 | 11039 |
| Medium and long-term | 5365 | 6707 | 6932 | 7439 | 8153 | 9343 | 9777 | 10509 |
| Central Bank debt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 674 | 664 | 671 | 923 | 874 | 797 | 530 |
| Debt service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refinanced | 667 | 1603 | 1330 | 1172 | 982 | 1264 | 1133 | 1068 |
| Principal | 667 | 1566 | 1319 | 1172 | 982 | 808 | 639 | 604 |
| Interest |  | 37 | 10 |  |  | $456{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 494 | 464 |
| Effective | 1985 | 871 | 1052 | 998 | 1085 | 516 | 789 | 1019 |
| Principal | 1218 | 202 | 212 | 222 | 381 | 251 | 408 | 591 |
| Interest | 767 | 669 | 840 | 775 | 704 | 265 | 381 | 428 |
| Total disbursements ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2245 | 2332 | 1823 | 1841 | 2152 | 2337 | 1980 | 1945 |
| Annual growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 1.8 | 37.6 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| Medium and long-term | 1.8 | 25.0 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 4.6 | 7.5 |
| Central Bank debt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | -1.5 | 1.2 | 37.6 | -5.3 | -8.8 | -33.5 |
| Debt service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refinanced |  | 140.4 | -17.0 | -11.9 | -16.2 | -28.7 | -10.4 | -5.7 |
| Principal |  | 134.8 | -15.8 | -11.1 | -16.2 | -17.7 | -20.9 | -5.5 |
| Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective | -7.2 | -56.1 | 20.8 | -5.1 | 8.7 | -52.4 | 52.9 | 29.2 |
| Principal | -19.5 | -83.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 71.6 | -34.1 | 62.5 | 44.9 |
| Interest | 22.6 | -12.8 | 25.6 | -7.7 | -9.2 | -62.4 | 43.8 | 12.3 |
| Total disbursements ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -18.9 | 3.9 | -21.8 | 1.0 | 16.9 | 8.6 | -15.3 |  |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 200.5 | 279.3 | 262.4 | 246.2 | 350.6 | 417.2 | 401.1 | 391.0 |
| Effective service/exports of goods and services | 74.8 | 33.3 | 35.3 | 31.3 | 43.6 | 24.6 | 45.8 | 45.5 |
| Net interest payments/exports of goods and services ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ | 29.3 | 25.7 | 28.0 | 24.6 | 28.9 | 32.1 | 33.0 | 31.6 |
| Service/disbursements | 89.2 | 37.7 | 56.2 | 56.1 | 52.5 | 45.1 | 64.8 | 76.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Ecuador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ End-year balances. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Since 1983, besides including loans from the Andean Reserve Fund (FAR), petroleum advances and the Santo Domingo Agreement, this also includes the debt with the International Monetary Fund. Includesarrears. ${ }^{e}$ Figures for disbursements include the amounts refinanced during the respective years. Service includes the effective amortization payments that appear in this table and the net interest payments as given in the balance of payments. Service excludes the amounts of interest and principal that were refinanced.
${ }^{8}$ Refers to the total net interest payments that appear in the balance of payments and not to those of this table.

Table 16
ECUADOR: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (sucres per dollar) |  |  | Real effective exchange rate indexes ' $(1985=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offidal | Intervention market rate | Parallel market | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  |  |  | 92.1 | 96.8 |
| 1980-1989 |  |  |  | 101.0 | 113.1 |
| 1980 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 80.3 | 91.9 |
| 1981 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 74.1 | 81.4 |
| 1982 | 30 | 34 | 50 | 77.2 | 82.1 |
| 1983 | 44 | 83 | 84 | 76.9 | 79.5 |
| 1984 | 63 | 93 | 96 | 104.3 | 105.7 |
| 1985 | 71 | 97 | 116 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 96 | 123 | 151 | 103.0 | 116.1 |
| 1987 | 96 | 171 | 219 | 115.0 | 136.5 |
| 1988 | 195 | 309 | 436 | 135.9 | 165.4 |
| 1989 | 390 | 542 | 569 | 143.7 | 172.6 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 96 | 147 | 149 | 107.4 | 126.0 |
| II | 96 | 155 | 178 | 107.0 | 127.1 |
| III | 96 | 173 | 299 | 115.1 | 134.8 |
| IV | 96 | 209 | 249 | 130.3 | 157.9 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 96 | 240 | 326 | 134.6 | 165.5 |
| II | 96 | 250 | 426 | 122.2 | 150.1 |
| III | 198 | 308 | 500 | 130.2 | 155.2 |
| IV | 390 | 438 | 493 | 156.5 | 190.7 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 390 | 472 | 528 | 143.9 | 173.2 |
| II | 390 | 515 | 525 | 140.8 | 167.3 |
| III | 390 | 563 | 579 | 142.3 | 169.3 |
| IV | 390 | 618 | 645 | 148.0 | 180.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information from the Central Bank of Ecuador and from the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Refers to the average of the buyer's and seller's rate in the case of the official market and the seller's rate in the case of the intervention market rate and the parallel market. ${ }^{b}$ Corresponds to the average real exchange rate index for the currencies of Ecuador's main trading partners, weighted by the relative importance of exports or imports to or from these countries as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980, these weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979, and from 1981, to the average for the period 1983-1987. The exchange rate used for Ecuador was as follows: up to 1983 the official quotation was used; in 1984, an average of the official and the intervention market rates was used, and from 1985 onwards the latter rate only. For the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981.

Table 17
ECUADOR: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX '

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Variation from December to December

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer price index | 24.4 | 52.5 | 25.1 | 24.4 | 27.3 | 32.5 | 85.7 | 54.2 |
| Food | 26.3 | 86.1 | 27.1 | 27.0 | 26.5 | 33.3 | 95.2 | 59.7 |
|  | Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16.3 | 48.4 | 31.2 | 28.0 | 23.0 | 29.5 | 58.2 |
|  | 17.1 | 77.9 | 37.0 | 30.7 | 23.2 | 28.7 | 64.3 | 88.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Ecuador.
" National consumer price index for urban areas.

Table 18
ECUADOR: MINIMUM MONTHLY WAGES
(Average values)

|  | Sucres at current prices (nominal) and at constant 1980 prices (real) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| Workers in general |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 10833 | 13250 | 18125 | 28167 | 32.4 | 22.3 | 36.8 | 55.4 |
| Real | 2620 | 2474 | 2140 | 1893 | 7.6 | -5.6 | -13.5 | -11.5 |
| Agricultural workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sierra region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 7979 | 9900 | 13725 | 21117 | 39.2 | 24.1 | 38.6 | 53.9 |
| Real | 1930 | 1849 | 1620 | 1419 | 13.2 | -4.2 | -12.4 | -12.4 |
| Agricultural workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 8125 | 9900 | 13725 | 21117 | 30.0 | 21.8 | 38.6 | 53.9 |
| Real | 1965 | 1849 | 1620 | 1419 | 5.7 | -5.9 | -12.4 | -12.4 |
| Agricultural workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oriente region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 8125 | 9900 | 13725 | 21117 | 30.0 | 21.8 | 38.6 | 53.9 |
| Real | 1965 | 1849 | 1620 | 1419 | 5.7 | -5.9 | -12.4 | -12.4 |
| Small-scale industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 8833 | 11000 | 15158 | 22817 | 41.3 | 24.5 | 37.8 | 50.5 |
| Real | 2136 | 2054 | 1789 | 1533 | 14.9 | -3.8 | -12.9 | -14.3 |
| Craft workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 7854 | 9550 | 13267 | 20325 | 36.2 | 21.6 | 38.9 | 53.2 |
| Real | 1899 | 1783 | 1566 | 1366 | 10.7 | -6.1 | -12.2 | -12.8 |
| Domestic servants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 4983 | 6060 | 8567 | 13250 | 32.9 | 21.6 | 41.4 | 54.7 |
| Real | 1205 | 1132 | 1011 | 890 | 8.1 | -6.1 | -10.7 | -12.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Ecuador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual averages. The nominal wages were deflated by the national urban consumer price index.

Table 19
ECUADOR: POSITION OF THE NON-FINANCIAL PUBLIC SECTOR

|  | Billions of sucres |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total income | 309.2 | 340.3 | 393.0 | 650.8 | 1250.4 | 10.1 | 15.5 | 65.6 | 92.1 |
| Petroleum revenue | 154.1 | 115.5 | 107.0 | 233.2 | 464.4 | -25.0 | -7.4 | 117.9 | 99.1 |
| Non-petroleum revenue | 140.0 | 191.4 | 257.2 | 385.9 | 654.5 | 36.7 | 34.4 | 50.0 | 69.6 |
| Public-enterprise surplus | 15.0 | 33.4 | 28.9 | 31.7 | 131.5 | 122.7 | -13.5 | 9.7 | 314.8 |
| Total outlay | 288.0 | 410.2 | 566.1 | 810.5 | 1364.9 | 42.4 | 38.0 | 43.2 | 68.4 |
| Current expenditure | 220.0 | 297.9 | 439.3 | 625.1 | 995.5 | 35.4 | 47.5 | 42.3 | 59.3 |
| Capital expenditure | 67.9 | 112.4 | 126.7 | 185.4 | 369.4 | 65.5 | 12.7 | 46.3 | 99.2 |
| Deficit | 21.1 | -69.9 | -173.1 | -159.7 | -114.5 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15.4 | 76.0 | 140.2 | 94.8 | 265.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing | -36.6 | -5.9 | 32.9 | 64.9 | -151.0 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Central Bank of Ecuador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 20
ECUADOR: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | End-year balance <br> (billions of sucres) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| Money (Mi) | 166 | 220 | 338 | 466 | 32.3 | 53.8 | 38.1 |
| Currency outside banks <br> Current-account deposits | 113 | 73 | 123 | 174 | 37.7 | 66.9 | 42.3 |
| Quasi-money | 146 | 215 | 292 | 29.8 | 47.3 | 35.7 |  |
| Money plus quasi-money (M2) | 324 | 450 | 602 | 857 | 39.1 | 33.5 | 42.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Money multipliers | 158 | 231 | 264 | 391 | 46.1 | 14.2 | 48.0 |
| Mi/monetary base | 1.63 | 1.53 | 1.43 | 1.42 |  |  |  |
| M2/monetary base | 2.87 | 3.15 | 2.55 | 2.67 |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP | 12 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 8.6 |  |  |  |

Source: Central Bank of Ecuador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 21
ECUADOR: SHORT-TERM BANK INTEREST RATES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(End-year percentages)

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Bank operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General discounts and rediscounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 16.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 36.0 |
| Real rate | -36.5 | -7.1 | -6.4 | -6.3 | -11.5 | -64.7 | -18.2 |
| Specific discounts and rediscounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 16.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 36.0 |
| Real rate | -36.5 | -7.1 | -6.4 | -9.3 | -14.5 | -67.7 | -18.2 |
| Operations of banks and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| financial institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferential operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 16.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 36.0 |
| Real rate | -36.5 | -7.1 | -6.4 | -9.3 | -9.5 | -62.7 | -18.2 |
| Specific operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 19.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 36.0 |
| Real rate | -33.5 | -2.1 | -1.4 | -4.3 | -9.5 | -62.7 | -18.2 |
| Operations subject to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Bank rediscount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 19.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 36.0 |
| Real rate | -33.5 | -2.1 | -1.4 | -1.0 | -6.5 | -59.7 | -18.2 |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 19.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 22.4 | 21.4 | 26.0 | 28.0 |
| Real rate | -33.5 | -5.1 | -4.4 | -4.9 | -11.1 | -59.7 | -26.2 |
| Longer-term deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 19.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 23.3 | 28.1 | 37.2 | 40.8 |
| Real rate | -33.5 | -3.1 | -2.4 | -4.0 | -4.4 | -48.5 | -13.4 |
| Savings certificates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate | 19.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 28.5 | 34.4 | 39.0 | 42.0 |
| Real rate | -33.5 | -3.1 | -2.4 | -1.2 | 1.9 | -46.7 | -12.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Ecuador.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The deflator used is the consumer price index (December-December).

## EL SALVADOR

El Salvador's economy remained virtually stagnant in 1989, causing the per capita product to decline to a level $15 \%$ below that of the beginning of the decade (see table 1 and figure 1). The slight growth of the product $(0.5 \%)$ was associated with the evolution of domestic demand. Both investment and consumption increased, while the volume of exports declined. The trade balance was very negative, which was reflected in a huge deficit on current account. Unrequited public and private transfers were especially important in financing this imbalance. The rate of inflation between the beginning and the end of the year reached $23.5 \%$, as a consequence of the government deficit (which rose to close to 5\% of the gross domestic product) and the change in its financing -more domestic credit was used- as well as the devaluations put into effect from the beginning of July.

The behaviour of the Salvadorian economy was once again affected by non-economic phenomena. The armed confrontation, apart from its direct effects on the population, affected production, with losses estimated at around $2 \%$ of the product. The conflict also continued to stimulate emigration and affect expectations unfavourably. On the other hand, Presidential elections were held in 1989, leading to a change of government and the announcement of a new economic programme.

The movements in the growth rate of the economy occurred in part in response to political events. The election campaign caused uncertainty during the first quarter. Moderate growth occurred once the election results were known and the flow of foreign aid increased. However, an economic recession was observed towards the end of the year; this was caused by social unrest and a worsening of the armed conflict, which paralyzed economic activity for several weeks.

In any case, the production of goods and services showed a slight increase caused by small rises in manufacturing and services and by a somewhat larger increase in construction. Some of the growth of this latter sector was due to the work to repair the damage caused by the 1986 earthquake and to private construction, financed in part by remittances from family members abroad. As for agriculture, it remained stagnant.

The drop in exports is attributable to a drop in sales of traditional commodities, which an increase in non-traditional exports, particularly to the rest of Central America, failed to cover. The volume of exports declined for the second year in a row, primarily as a result of lower coffee sales, bringing the accumulated decline for the decade to almost $40 \%$. Imports of goods and services, however, grew in terms of both volume and price as a consequence of the overvaluation of the national currency, especially up to the middle of the year, and lower import duties.

The lowest value of exports and the highest value of imports in the decade were both recorded in 1989. The deficit on the balance of trade in goods and real services was therefore rather high, amounting to US\$527 million, or two thirds of the total value of exports. This was compounded by a negative balance of almost US\$120 million on the financial services account. A significant part of the resulting disequilibrium was covered by private unrequited transfers (mostly money sent by Salvadorians living outside the country), which continued to increase, and by official transfers, which diminished slightly but continued to be large. In addition, the public sector received a greater flow of external credit, which did not, however, amount to enough to prevent a reduction of reserves.

A fixed exchange rate of five colones to the U.S. dollar had been maintained for several
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## EL SALVADOR: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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years although domestic inflation was far higher than international inflation. This produced an appreciable decline in the real exchange rate, which by around the middle of the year had returned to its 1985 level, the lowest of the decade. On the other hand, the gap between the official price of the dollar and the parallel-market price grew larger. The scheme based on a fixed exchange rate was abandoned in July 1989. After an initial devaluation of $24 \%$, adjustments were made periodically, which gave rise to a real depreciation at the official level and narrowed the gap between the exchange rates.

The deficit of the central government increased because of lower tax revenues in nominal terms with respect to the previous year. This produced a significant increase in domestic indebtedness and in Central Bank loans to the government. Public sector financing was the main cause of the monetary expansion, which was more rapid than in 1988. In any case, as had been happening in recent
years the growth of the money supply was proportionately less than the rise in prices so that it may be assumed that real cash holdings are tending to dwindle.

Inflation accelerated, rising from an annual rate of $18 \%$ at the end of 1988 to one of $24 \%$. Prices fluctuated throughout the period, being somewhat higher in the latter part of the year.

One of the more significant events of 1989 was the change in government. The economic programme of the new administration brought policy changes in several areas. Within the area of foreign trade, it was decided to speed up the reduction in import tariffs and to privatize the export of coffee by putting it back into the funds of the producers and private-sector processors. In the fiscal area, a tax reform was designed to simplify the system by reducing the number of taxes. The reform included changes in the income-tax brackets and in the inheritance tax. Likewise, rates for public services were updated, and the progressive elimination of various subsidies was proposed. The interest
rate policy was apparently based on a rationalization of the yields on different assets, which was designed to reduce the existing dispersion. The government also planned to liberalize the prices of goods and services. The
announcement of this strategy appears to have produced favourable expectations in the private sector; however, some industrial sectors expressed concern about the lower tariffs.

Figure 2

## EL SALVADOR: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX <br> (Percentages)
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Table 1

## EL SALVADOR: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes (1980=100)

## Basic economic indicators

Gross domestic product at market prices
Gross national income
Population (millions of inhabitants)
Per capita gross domestic product
Fiscal deficit/gross domestic product
Money (Mi)/gross domestic product
Adjusted real exchange rate

Short-term economic indicators
Gross domestic product
Per capita gross domestic product
Gross domestic income
Consumer prices
December to December
Real wages and salaries ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Money
Current value of exports of goods and services
Current value of imports of goods and services
Terms of trade (goods and services)

| 86.4 | 86.9 | 88.9 | 90.4 | 90.9 | 93.3 | 94.3 | 94.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 84.8 | 82.8 | 83.3 | 85.3 | 90.0 | 87.1 | 91.8 | 93.5 |
| 4.63 | 4.66 | 4.71 | 4.77 | 4.85 | 4.93 | 5.03 | 5.14 |
| 84.5 | 84.3 | 85.4 | 85.8 | 84.8 | 85.5 | 84.8 | 83.5 |
| 7.1 | 8.8 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
| 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| 77.3 | 68.9 | 61.4 | 50.9 | 81.6 | 70.4 | 61.5 | 60.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -5.7 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| -6.5 | -0.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | -1.2 | 0.8 | -0.9 | -1.6 |
| -5.7 | -2.4 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 5.5 | -3.2 | 5.4 | 1.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.4 | 14.8 | 9.8 | 31.9 | 30.2 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 23.5 |
| -10.5 | -11.6 | 0.4 | -13.8 | -13.2 | -19.9 | -5.3 | -15.1 |
| 4.7 | -1.5 | 17.3 | 26.9 | 21.1 | -1.1 | 8.3 | 13.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -11.0 | 8.9 | -0.2 | 1.4 | 13.0 | -12.0 | -1.3 | -11.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -10.4 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 2.7 | -0.3 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 7.2 |
| 1.9 | -10.6 | -9.4 | -0.3 | 17.4 | -20.9 | 9.5 | -0.5 |

Millions of dollars

## External sector

| Trade balance (goods and services) | $\mathbf{0 2 1 8}$ | -178 | -260 | -279 | -158 | -312 | -336 | -527 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 105 | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ | 101 | 94 | 109 | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |
| Balance on current account | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | -202 | -243 | -243 | -117 | -233 | -231 | -406 |
| Balance on capital account | 242 | 226 | 250 | 270 | 16 | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | 295 | 344 |
| Variation in net international |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reserves | -27 | 39 | 19 | 3 | 32 | -51 | 64 | -62 |
| Total external debt disbursed | 1710 | 1890 | 1949 | 1980 | 1928 | 1880 | 1913 | 2127 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures. Preliminary figures. Percentages.

[^45]Table 2
EL SALVADOR: EVOLUTION OF GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 87.1 | 91.8 | 93.5 | 98.7 | 92.1 | 96.1 | 97.3 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 93.3 | 94.3 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -10.0 | -6.6 | -5.6 |
| c) Net factor payments from abroad | 170.5 | 163.3 | 155.3 | -1.8 | -3.3 | -3.1 | -3.0 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 1027.2 | 1116.8 | 1135.8 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 3
EL SALVADOR: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Indexes } \\ & (1980=100) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 92.8 | 93.1 | 93.8 | 133.5 | 132.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 93.3 | 94.3 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Imports of goods and services | 91.6 | 89.4 | 91.0 | 33.5 | 32.1 | 4.3 | -2.4 | -2.4 | 1.8 |
| Total demand | 92.8 | 93.1 | 93.8 | 133.5 | 132.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Domestic demand | 93.0 | 97.5 | 103.0 | 98.7 | 107.3 | 1.7 | -0.1 | 4.8 | 5.6 |
| Gross domestic investment | 89.4 | 101.7 | 111.4 | 13.3 | 15.6 | 21.5 | -4.2 | 13.7 | 9.6 |
| Gross fixed investment | 98.2 | 100.4 | 103.5 | 13.6 | 14.8 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Construction | 81.8 | 86.4 | 89.5 | 7.2 | 6.8 | -8.6 | 10.3 | 5.6 | 3.6 |
| Machinery | 116.5 | 116.1 | 119.0 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 24.5 | 8.2 | -0.3 | 2.5 |
| Public | 46.3 | 51.3 | 51.4 | 7.1 | 3.9 | -15.6 | 14.5 | 10.7 | 0.2 |
| Private | 155.6 | 154.8 | 161.0 | 6.4 | 10.9 | 17.4 | 7.4 | -0.5 | 4.0 |
| Variation in stocks | 483.0 | 47.2 | -245.3 | -0.3 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 93.6 | 96.9 | 101.7 | 85.4 | 91.7 | -0.8 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| General government | 124.5 | 127.6 | 130.9 | 14.0 | 19.3 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Private | 87.5 | 90.8 | 95.9 | 71.5 | 72.3 | -2.0 | -0.1 | 3.8 | 5.6 |
| Exports of goods and services | 92.3 | 80.4 | 67.8 | 34.8 | 24.9 | 0.6 | 5.7 | -12.9 | -15.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which werethen converted to constant 1980 values using unit-value indexes calculated for this purpose by ECLAC.

Table 4

## EL SALVADOR: ORIGIN, COMPOSITION AND FINANCING <br> OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT <br> (As percentages of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 13.3 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 14.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 8.1 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 11.4 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 12.2 | 8.3 |
| Gross domestic saving | -3.2 | -3.8 | -3.0 | -2.6 | -3.2 | -3.3 | -3.1 | -3.0 |
| $\quad$Net income from factor <br> services | -1.5 | -5.2 | -8.0 | -7.9 | -3.5 | -10.0 | -6.6 | -5.6 |
| $\quad$ Terms-of-trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ effect | 1.6 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| $\quad$ Unrequited private | 5.0 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 10.2 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 5.6 |
| $\quad$ transfers | 8.3 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 10.0 |
| Gross national saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. ' Preliminary figures.

Table 5

## EL SALVADOR: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes$(1980=100)$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth ${ }^{\text {m }}$ *fint |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 93.3 | 94.3 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Goods | 89.6 | 90.4 | 91.1 | 46.4 | 44.6 | -0.9 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Agriculture ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 87.3 | 86.5 | 86.7 | 27.8 | 25.4 | -3.1 | 2.1 | -1.0 | 0.2 |
| Mining | 112.6 | 120.5 | 120.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 12.6 | 7.0 | - |
| Manufacturing | 92.8 | 95.6 | 96.4 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.8 |
| Construction | 93.4 | 98.6 | 102.3 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 11.5 | 5.6 | 3.8 |
| Basic services | 101.0 | 102.9 | 103.5 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 13 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 111.7 | 113.7 | 113.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.8 | -0.2 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 94.5 | 96.4 | 97.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Other services | 95.9 | 97.0 | 97.3 | 48.0 | 49.3 | 1.6 | 23 | 12 | 0.4 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 79.7 | 80.0 | 80.2 | 22.9 | 19.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 109.8 | 112.0 | 112.8 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.7 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 114.2 | 119.3 | 121.2 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 1.6 |
| Community, social and personal services | 111.1 | 112.6 | 112.9 | 17.4 | 20.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Government services | 130.9 | 135.3 | 139.1 | 10.3 | 15.1 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures reweighted with the structure at current 1980 prices. Consequently, the results obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes the livestock sector, forestry and fisheries.

Table 6

## EL SALVADOR: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION



Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador and the Ministry of Agriculture.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Relates to the overall growth of agricultural value added. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Thousands of tons.
Thousands of heads. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Millions of bottles. Millions of units.

Table 7
EL SALVADOR: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1975 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of value added $(1980=100)$ | 98.6 | 90.1 | 92.8 | 95.6 | 963 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.7 |
| Foodstuffs, beverages and tobacco | 88.5 | 98.2 | 100.4 | 103.0 | 1033 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 03 |
| Textiles, clothing and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| leather products | 118.9 | 61.1 | 61.7 | 61.9 | 67.9 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 9.7 |
| Textiles | 116.8 | 56.4 | 58.6 | 60.2 | 63.7 | 20.3 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 5.8 |
| Clothing, footwear and other leather products | 121.0 | 65.5 | 64.4 | 63.2 | 71.8 | -5.1 | -1.7 | -1.9 | 13.6 |
| Wood and paper | 76.3 | 79.1 | 82.6 | 85.6 | 87.5 | -2.8 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| Wood and wood manufactures | 69.4 | 124.6 | 132.0 | 136.2 | 136.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 3.2 | - |
| Paper and paper products | 81.4 | 44.8 | 45.4 | 47.4 | 50.0 | -16.9 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| Chemicals and petroleum and rubber products | 137.6 | 84.0 | 86.8 | 90.2 | 88.9 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 3.9 | -1.4 |
| Chemicals | 211.6 | 99.6 | 102.1 | 106.9 | 112.2 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| Petroleum and rubber products | 106.2 | 77.1 | 80.1 | 82.8 | 78.5 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 3.4 | -5.2 |
| Non-metallic mineral products | 97.6 | 89.0 | 102.3 | 106.7 | 111.2 | 5.2 | 14.9 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| Machinery and metal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| products | 118.6 | 80.8 | 82.9 | 87.0 | 86.5 | 11.2 | 2.6 | 4.9 | -0.6 |
| Metal products | 135.8 | 99.1 | 101.4 | 104.0 | 104.8 | 9.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 0.8 |
| Machinery and equipment | 110.6 | 72.4 | 74.4 | 79.1 | 78.1 | 12.2 | 2.8 | 6.3 | -1.3 |
| Other manufactures | 154.4 | 74.1 | 76.4 | 78.2 | 76.6 | 17.2 | 3.1 | 2.4 | -2.0 |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption of electricity by industry | 410 | 503 | 541 | 544 | 546 | 0.8 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador.
Preliminary figures. 'Millions of kWh .

Table 8
EL SALVADOR: CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

| 1980 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  |

## Area built up

(thousands of $m{ }^{\prime}$

| Total |  |  | -36 | 753 | 1017 |  |  | 18.5 | 35.0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 31 | 557 | 674 |  | -27.5 | 29.2 | 21.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.2 | 21.8 | 27.4 |  |
| Institutional $\begin{array}{llllll} \\ \text { a }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial | 105 |  | 68 | 27 | 23 |  |  | -61.0 | -13.6 |  |
| Other 3 <br>  3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building loans ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 555 | 253 | 295 | 269 | 305 | $399^{\text {a }}$ | 16.4 | -8.7 | 13.3 | 31.0 |
| Central Reserve Bank | 478 | 14 | 38 | 40 | 22 |  | 173.9 | 5.4 | -44.7 |  |
| Commercial and mortgage banks | 77 | 239 | 257 | 229 | 283 |  | 7.3 | -10.8 | 23.5 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, and the Salvadorian Chamber of Construction.
' Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimated on the basis of building permits granted to the private sector. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of colones. Estimated on the basis of short-term loans granted by the savings and loan system.

Table 9 EL SALVADOR: INDICATORS OF ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND CONSUMPTION

|  | Millions of kWh |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Output | 1540 | 1750 | 1971 | 2052 | 2103 | -1.5 | 12.6 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| Total consumption | 1338 | 1500 | 1612 | 1716 | 1758 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 2.4 |
| Residential | 388 | 503 | 541 | 586 | 598 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 2.0 |
| Commercial | 174 | 213 | 228 | 240 | 253 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Industrial | 557 | 503 | 541 | 544 | 546 | 0.8 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Government and other | 219 | 281 | 302 | 346 | 361 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 14.6 | 4.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Department of Energy and Mining Resources.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 10
EL SALVADOR: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -271 | -202 | -243 | -243 | -117 | -233 | -231 | -406 |
| Trade balance | -218 | -178 | -260 | -279 | -158 | -312 | -336 | -527 |
| Exports of goods and services | 822 | 896 | 894 | 906 | 1023 | 900 | 888 | 785 |
| Goods FOB | 704 | 758 | 726 | 679 | 778 | 591 | 609 | 497 |
| Real services | 118 | 138 | 168 | 227 | 245 | 309 | 279 | 288 |
| Transport and insurance | 23 | 30 | 44 | 58 | 62 | 67 | 68 | 69 |
| Travel | 20 | 24 | 30 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 61 | 62 |
| Imports of goods and services | 1041 | 1074 | 1153 | 1184 | 1181 | 1212 | 1224 | 1312 |
| Goods FOB | 826 | 832 | 915 | 895 | 902 | 925 | 937 | 1021 |
| Real services | 215 | 242 | 239 | 289 | 279 | 287 | 287 | 291 |
| Transport and insurance | 72 | 81 | 86 | 89 | 99 | 113 | 115 | 131 |
| Travel | 60 | 86 | 74 | 89 | 74 | 73 | 71 | 60 |
| Factor services | -105 | -121 | -101 | -94 | -109 | -122 | -121 | -121 |
| Profits | -45 | -36 | -40 | -32 | -34 | -40 | -39 | -34 |
| Interest received | 14 | 13 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 17 |
| Interest paid and due | -98 | -107 | -110 | -101 | -103 | -122 | -122 | -124 |
| Labour and property | 24 | 10 | 32 | 23 | 12 | 25 | 25 | 20 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 52 | 97 | 118 | 129 | 150 | 201 | 226 | 242 |
| Balance on capital account | 242 | 226 | 250 | 270 | 161 | 182 | 295 | 344 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 119 | 174 | 190 | 214 | 234 | 371 | 283 | 277 |
| Long-term capital | 189 | 317 | 84 | 99 | 66 | -38 | 15 | 138 |
| Direct investment | -1 | 28 | 12 | 12 | 24 | - | - | - |
| Portfolio investment | -1 | - | - | - | -3 | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 191 | 289 | 72 | 87 | 45 | -38 | 15 | 138 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 185 | 283 | 82 | 88 | 45 | 58 | 62 | 99 |
| Loans received | 291 | 421 | 257 | 250 | 242 | 111 | 120 | 146 |
| Amortization payments | -84 | -137 | -174 | -154 | -195 | -53 | -58 | -47 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | -1 | -1 | - | 1 | - | -1 | - |
| Loans received | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Amortization payments | -1 | -1 | -1 | - | - | - | -1 | - |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6 | 6 | -10 | -1 | -1 | -96 | -46 | 39 |
| Loans received | 36 | 28 | 9 | 17 | 17 | 101 | 119 | 115 |
| Amortization payments | -30 | -22 | -18 | -18 | -18 | -198 | -165 | -76 |
| Short-term capital | -36 | -215 | 28 | -67 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Official sector | -65 | -121 | 10 | -10 | -23 |  |  |  |
| Commercial banks | 29 | -33 | -7 | -48 | 36 |  |  |  |
| Other sectors | - | -61 | 26 | -8 | -11 |  |  |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -30 | -50 | -52 | 23 | -141 | -49 | -3 | -71 |
| Global balance | -29 | 24 | 7 | 27 | 45 | -51 | 64 | -62 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (-sign indicates an increase) | 27 | -39 | -19 | -3 | -32 | 51 | -64 | 62 |
| Monetary gold | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | -2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | -35 | -53 | -6 | 14 | 13 | -48 | 25 |  |
| Other assets | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Use of IMF credit | 64 | 11 | -13 | -17 | -46 | -37 | -6 |  |

Source: 1982-1986: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund; 1987-1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign), plus counterpart items.

Table 11

## EL SALVADOR: EVOLUTION OF EXCHANGE RATE

(Yearly and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rate (colones per dollar) |  | Real effective exchange rate indexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$$(1985=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Official | Parallel | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  |  | 207.5 | 202.8 |
| 1980-1989 |  |  | 141.2 | 137.7 |
| 1980 | 2.50 | 196.5 | 218.0 |  |
| 1981 | 2.50 | 169.3 | 207.8 |  |
| 1982 | 2.50 | 151.8 | 156.8 |  |
| 1983 | 2.50 | 135.3 | 130.6 |  |
| 1984 | 2.50 | 120.6 | 120.0 |  |
| 1985 | 2.50 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| 1986 | 5.00 | 160.4 | 135.5 |  |
| 1987 | 5.00 | 5.43 | 138.4 | 110.2 |
| 1988 | 5.00 | 5.50 | 120.9 | 97.9 |
| 1989 | 5.70 | 6.21 | 118.5 | 100.2 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 5.00 | 5.40 | 145.1 | 115.1 |
| II | 5.00 | 5.40 | 138.7 | 110.3 |
| III | 5.00 | 5.40 | 135.1 | 108.7 |
| IV | 5.00 | 5.50 | 134.8 | 106.5 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 5.00 | 5.40 | 130.8 | 103.8 |
| II | 5.00 | 5.50 | 122.2 | 98.0 |
| III | 5.00 | 5.50 | 114.8 | 94.8 |
| IV | 5.00 | 5.60 | 115.8 | 94.8 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 5.00 | 5.77 | 112.5 | 93.8 |
| II | 5.00 | 5.97 | 106.8 | 91.1 |
| III | 6.35 | 6.45 | 127.8 | 109.2 |
| IV | 6.46 | 6.64 | 127.1 | 106.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador and the International Monetary Fund, (International Financial Statistics).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Corresponds to the average real exchange rate indexes (official) in respect of the currencies of the principal countries with which El Salvador trades, weighted by the relative importance of exports to or imports from these countries, as the case may be. The weightings correspond to the average for the 1983-1987 period. Wholesale price indexes were primarily used in the calculations, and in the case of El Salvador the consumer price index was used. For the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean, 1981.

Table 12
EL SALVADOR: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -11.8 | 7.6 | -4.2 | -6.5 | 14.6 | -24.0 | 3.0 | -18.4 |
| Volume | -13.6 | 29.7 | 3.4 | -2.4 | -6.0 | 6.1 | -11.0 | -16.6 |
| Unit value | 2.2 | -17.0 | -7.4 | -4.2 | 21.9 | -28.4 | 15.8 | -2.2 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -8.1 | 0.8 | 9.9 | -2.1 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 9.0 |
| Volume | -8.1 | 7.1 | 4.4 | -2.7 | 6.0 | -2.2 | -1.8 | 2.9 |
| Unit value | - | -5.9 | 5.2 | 0.5 | -4.8 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 5.9 |
| Terms of trade | 1.9 | -12.3 | -12.7 | -5.0 | 28.0 | -31.8 | 11.9 | -7.5 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 61.3 | 69.6 | 62.9 | 58.4 | 70.2 | 50.8 | 50.6 | 39.0 |
| Volume of exports | 65.9 | 85.4 | 88.4 | 86.3 | 81.1 | 86.0 | 76.5 | 63.8 |
| Volume of imports | 86.6 | 92.7 | 96.8 | 94.2 | 99.8 | 97.7 | 95.9 | 98.7 |
| Terms of trade | 93.5 | 82.5 | 72.6 | 69.1 | 88.5 | 60.5 | 68.0 | 62.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13

## EL SALVADOR: EXPORTS OF GOODS FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 590.9 | 608.8 | 497.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11.2 | -21.7 | 3.0 | -18.3 |
| Central America | 119.6 | 139.8 | 159.0 | 27.5 | 32.0 | -5.2 | 31.9 | 16.9 | 13.7 |
| Rest of world | 471.3 | 469.0 | 338.3 | 72.5 | 68.0 | 13.9 | -29.1 | -0.5 | -27.9 |
| Main traditional exports | 376.4 | 393.5 | 262.0 | 71.2 | 52.7 | 12.9 | -34.8 | 4.5 | -33.4 |
| Coffee | 351.5 | 358.0 | 230.0 | 60.9 | 46.2 | 17.9 | -35.6 | 1.8 | -35.8 |
| Cotton | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 7.9 | 0.2 | -82.8 | -60.0 | -87.0 | 166.7 |
| Sugar | 12.1 | 19.2 | 13.6 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 8.7 | -52.0 | 58.7 | -29.2 |
| Shrimp | 20.5 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 70.0 | 23.5 | -22.0 | 10.0 |
| Non-traditional exports | 204.5 | 215.3 | 235.3 | 28.8 | 47.3 | -8.0 | 26.7 | 53 | 93 |
| Perfumery, toiletries and cosmetics | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 1.4 |  | -57.1 |  | 33.3 |  |
| Insecticides, fungicides and disinfectants | 6.0 | 3.0 |  | 0.6 |  | -20.0 | 50.0 | -50.0 |  |
| Cotton yarn and thread | 6.0 | 7.0 |  | 1.0 |  | -22.2 | -14.3 | 16.7 |  |
| Clothing | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 2.9 |  |  | 33.3 | 50.0 |  |
| Paper and paper and cardboard products | 22.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 51.0 |  |  |
| Medicines | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 |  |  |
| Footwear | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 60.3 |  |  |
| Towels and other textiles | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 45.6 |  |  |
| Aluminium manufactures | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 32.3 |  |  |
| Other non-traditional exports | 120.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 25.3 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 14
EL SALVADOR: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 994 | 1007 | 1106 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -2.7 | 63 | 1.3 | 9.9 |
| Central America | 181 | 198 | 211 | 33.3 | 19.1 | -25.7 | 12.6 | 8.9 | 6.8 |
| Rest of world | 813 | 809 | 895 | 66.7 | 80.9 | 3.9 | 5.0 | -0.4 | 10.6 |
| Consumer goods | 241 | 258 | 280 | 31.9 | 25.3 | -20.1 | 16.3 | 7.4 | 8.4 |
| Durables | 32 | 33 | 36 | 3.5 | 3.3 | -47.8 | 28.4 | 3.7 | 8.4 |
| Non-durables | 209 | 225 | 244 | 28.4 | 22.1 | -13.8 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 8.4 |
| Raw materials and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| intermediate products | 501 | 496 | 549 | 56.4 | 49.6 | -16.8 | 10.6 | -1.0 | 10.6 |
| Petroleum and fuels | 104 | 81 | 92 | 15.7 | 8.3 | -38.4 | 27.2 | -22.3 | 13.6 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| materials | 61 | 63 | 66 | 5.9 | 6.0 | -4.8 | 37.5 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| Fertilizers | 27 | 24 | 31 |  | 2.8 | -37.5 | 10.5 | -13.9 | 30.2 |
| Other | 309 | 328 | 360 | 34.8 | 32.5 | -7.1 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 9.7 |
| Capital goods | 252 | 253 | 268 | 11.7 | 24.2 | 42.4 | 12.4 | 0.1 | 6.0 |
| Manufacturing | 72 | 79 | 81 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 35.6 | 23.3 | 9.3 | 2.4 |
| Transport | 124 | 122 | 129 | 3.5 | 11.7 | 82.0 | 0.2 | -1.7 | 6.2 |
| Agriculture | 13 | 8 | 9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | -23.7 | 28.2 | -39.4 | 10.0 |
| Construction | 11 | 11 | 14 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 65.6 | 5.7 | 25.0 |
| Other | 32 | 32 | 35 | 1.4 | 3.2 | -4.9 | 26.3 | 0.6 | 6.5 |

[^46]Table 15

## EL SALVADOR: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 1710 | 1890 | 1949 | 1980 | 1928 | 1880 | 1913 | 2127 |
| Public | 1517 | 1706 | 1763 | 1805 | 1782 | 1743 | 1769 | 1965 |
| Private | 193 | 184 | 186 | 175 | 146 | 137 | 144 | 162 |
| Medium- and long-term | 1443 | 1743 | 1805 | 1866 | 1849 | 1808 | 1819 | 1970 |
| Short-term | 267 | 147 | 144 | 114 | 79 | 72 | 94 | 157 |
| Disbursements | 763 | 625 | 447 | 382 | 366 | 291 | 347 | 394 |
| Servicing | 608 | 539 | 481 | 436 | 530 | 399 | 399 | 257 |
| Principal | $524^{\circ}$ | $445^{\circ}$ | 388 | 351 | 418 | 314 | 314 | 180 |
| Interest | 84 | 94 | 93 | 85 | 112 | 85 | 85 | 77 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 208.0 | 210.9 | 218.0 | 218.5 | 188.5 | 208.9 | 215.4 | 271.0 |
| Servicing/exports of goods and services | 74.0 | 60.2 | 53.8 | 48.1 | 51.8 | 47.1 | 44.9 | 32.7 |
| Interest payments/exports of goods and services | 10.2 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 9.8 |
| Servicing/disbursements | 79.7 | 86.2 | 107.6 | 114.1 | 144.8 | 145.7 | 115.0 | 65.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Year-end balances of disbursed debt. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes accounting movements and renegotiations.

## Table 16

EL SALVADOR: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation from December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 18.6 | 11.6 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 9.8 | 31.9 | 30.2 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 23.5 |
| Food | 22.5 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 17.9 | 10.6 | 27.5 | 30.3 | 22.5 | 30.6 | 32.6 |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 17.4 | 14.7 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 11.7 | 22.4 | 31.9 | 24.9 | 19.8 | 17.6 |
| Food | 19.7 | 17.7 | 10.6 | 13.4 | 14.1 | 18.9 | 31.8 | 25.4 | 29.4 | 26.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 17
EL SALVADOR: WAGES

|  | Indexes (December $1978=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Nominal minimum wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural workers | 122.4 | 122.4 | 184.1 | 184.1 | 214.6 | 214.6 | - | - | - | 50.4 | - | 16.6 | - |
| Harvesting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee | 146.2 | 146.2 | 146.2 | 146.2 | 159.8 | 159.8 | - | - | - | - | - | 9.3 | - |
| Sugar cane | 209.1 | 209.1 | 209.1 | 209.1 | 215.2 | 215.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.9 | - |
| Cotton | 161.5 | 161.5 | 161.5 | 161.5 | 192.2 | 192.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 19.0 | - |
| Seasonal agroindustries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee processing | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 228.6 | 228.6 | - | - | - | - | - | 14.3 | - |
| Sugar refining | 133.3 | 133.3 | 133.3 | 133.3 | 166.6 | 166.6 | - | - | - | - | - | 25.0 | - |
| Cotton processing | 128.0 | 128.0 | 128.0 | 128.0 | 160.0 | 160.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 25.0 | - |
| Other activities in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Salvador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry and services | 176.2 | 185.7 | 212.5 | 212.5 | 240.8 | 240.8 | - | 12.2 | 5.4 | 14.4 | - | 13.3 | - |
| Commerce | 171.3 | 180.6 | 206.6 | 206.6 | 234.1 | 234.1 | - | 12.1 | 5.4 | 14.4 | - | 13.3 | - |
| Real minimum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural workers | 59.2 | 48.4 | 55.2 | 44.2 | 43.0 | 36.6 | -11.6 | -10.4 | -18.2 | 14.0 | -199 | -2.7 | -15.0 |
| Harvesting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee | 70.7 | 57.8 | 43.8 | 35.1 | 32.0 | 27.2 | -11.6 | -10.5 | -18.2 | -24.2 | -19.9 | -8.8 | -14.9 |
| Sugar cane | 101.2 | 82.7 | 62.7 | 50.2 | 43.1 | 36.7 | -11.6 | -10.4 | -18.3 | -24.2 | -19.9 | -14.1 | -14.9 |
| Cotton | 78.1 | 63.9 | 48.4 | 38.8 | 38.5 | 32.7 | -11.6 | -10.5 | -18.2 | -24.3 | -19.8 | -0.8 | -14.9 |
| Seasonal agroindustries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee processing | 96.8 | 79.1 | 60.0 | 48.0 | 45.8 | 39.0 | $-11.6$ | $-10.4$ | -18.3 | -24.1 | -20.0 | -4.6 | $-15.0$ |
| Sugar refining | 64.5 | 52.7 | 40.0 | 32.0 | 33.4 | 28.4 | -11.7 | -10.4 | -18.3 | -24.1 | -20.0 | 4.4 | -15.0 |
| Cotton processing | 61.9 | 50.6 | 38.4 | 30.7 | 32.1 | 27.3 | -11.5 | -10.5 | -18.3 | -24.1 | -20.1 | 4.6 | -15.1 |
| Other activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in San Salvador |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry and services | 85.2 | 73.4 | 63.7 | 51.0 | 48.3 | 41.0 | -11.6 | 0.4 | -13.8 | -13.2 | -19.9 | -5.3 | -15.1 |
| Commerce | 82.9 | 71.4 | 61.9 | 49.6 | 46.9 | 39.9 | -11.7 | 0.5 | -13.9 | -13.3 | -19.9 | -5.4 | -15.0 |

[^47]Table 18
EL SALVADOR: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Millions of colones |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| 1. Current income | 2888 | 2728 | 2813 | 2616 | 51.0 | -5.5 | 3.1 | -7.0 |
| Tax revenue | 2580 | 2588 | 2532 | 2454 | 55.6 | 0.3 | -2.2 | -3.1 |
| Direct | 526 | 711 | 739 | 695 | 36.6 | 35.2 | 3.9 | -6.0 |
| Indirect | 2054 | 1877 | 1793 | 1759 | 61.4 | -8.6 | -4.5 | -1.9 |
| On foreign trade | 1168 | 702 | 592 | 458 | 119.1 | -39.9 | -15.7 | -22.6 |
| Non-tax revenue | 308 | 140 | 281 | 162 | 20.8 | -54.5 | 100.7 | -42.3 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 2568 | 2761 | 3042 | 3480 | 34.5 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 14.4 |
| Remunerations | 1457 | 1708 | 1821 | 2166 | 23.3 | 17.2 | 6.6 | 18.9 |
| Purchase of goods and services | 385 | 450 | 511 | 539 | 42.1 | 16.9 | 13.6 | 5.5 |
| Interest payments | 260 | 241 | 244 | 289 | 51.2 | -7.3 | 1.2 | 18.4 |
| Transfers and other payments | 466 | 362 | 466 | 486 | 64.1 | -22.3 | 28.7 | 4.3 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | 320 | -33 | -229 | -864 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 872 | 831 | 648 | 675 | 59.1 | -4.7 | -22.0 | 4.2 |
| Real investment | 485 | 532 | 468 | 645 | 31.1 | 9.7 | -12.0 | 37.8 |
| Other capital expenditure | 387 | 299 | 180 | 30 | 117.4 | -22.7 | -39.8 | -83.3 |
| 5. Total expenditure ( $2+4$ ) | 3440 | 3592 | 3690 | 4155 | 40.0 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 12.6 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (1-5) | -552 | -864 | -877 | -1539 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Financing of deficit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing (net) | -46 | 39 | 57 | 972 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit received | -4 | -1 | - | -12 |  |  |  |  |
| Less amortization payments | -170 | -203 | -143 | -178 |  |  |  |  |
| Sales of securities | 111 | 57 | -92 | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 17 | 186 | 292 | 1059 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing | 598 | 825 | 820 | 567 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit received | 458 | 416 | 454 | 321 |  |  |  |  |
| Less amortization payments | -122 | -90 | -176 | -196 |  |  |  |  |
| Sales of securities | -50 | -47 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 312 | 546 | 542 | 442 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current savings/capital expenditure | 36.7 | -4.0 | -35.3 | -128.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | 16.0 | 24.1 | 23.8 | 37.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue/GDP | 13.1 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 17.4 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 2.8 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing/deficit | -8.3 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 63.2 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing/deficit | 108.3 | 95.5 | 93.5 | 36.8 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.
Includes transfers and other capital expenditures.

Table 19
EL SALVADOR: MONETARY INDICATORS

| Money (Mi) | 2611 | 2581 | 2794 | 3182 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currency outside banks | 1156 | 1298 | 1326 | 1727 |
| Current account deposits | 1455 | 1283 | 1468 | 1455 |
| Factors of expansion | 9047 | 9953 | 10440 | 12303 |
| International reserves (net) | 1255 | 1510 | 1190 | 1502 |
| Domestic credit | 7792 | 8443 | 9250 | 10801 |
| To the public sector Central government (net) Public institutions | $\begin{aligned} & 2684 \\ & 1634 \\ & 1050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2914 \\ & 1776 \\ & 1138 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3031 \\ & 1614 \\ & 1417 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4041 \\ & 2595 \\ & 1446 \end{aligned}$ |
| To the private sector | 5108 | 5529 | 6219 | 6760 |
| Factors of absorption | 6436 | 7372 | 7646 | 9121 |
| Quasi-money (savings and time deposits) | 4348 | 4873 | 5553 | 5800 |
| Other items (net) | 2088 | 2499 | 2093 | 3321 |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |
| Mi/monetary base | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.92 |
| M2/monetary base | 2.53 | 2.56 | 2.72 | 2.59 |
| M1/GDP | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Calculated on the basis of annual averages.

## GUATEMALA

Economic activity continued to recover slowly in the wake of the recession of the first half of the 1980s. Thus, the gross domestic product grew by $3.5 \%$, representing a slight rise in the per capita product for the third consecutive year. The vigorous growth in exports (22\%) was not enough to stave off a temporary balance-of-payments crisis, which led to a substantial modification of the exchange policy in the form of a move to decontrol the foreign exchange market. This measure, coupled with the monetary expansion stemming from the bigger fiscal deficit, speeded up inflation from $11 \%$ to $20 \%$ a year.

Positive production trends and the increase in exports, notwithstanding the decline in international coffee prices, helped to reduce the imbalance in the balance-of-payments current account. Towards the middle of the year, however, a balance-of-payments crisis resulted from the reduction MI external financing, and the Government was able to counteract it only by making considerable changes in the exchange policy. This action together with an increase in indebtedness enabled the country to end the year with a slight increase in international reserves.

The monetary expansion stemming from greater demands for public sector financing and the higher exchange rate pushed the inflation rate up sharply to over $20 \%$ a year, and had an adverse impact on real wages. The fiscal policy, for its part, was unable to come to grips with the public deficit, whose increase had a marked impact on monetary and financial management; this last-mentioned was also affected by the foreign exchange crisis (see table 1 and figure 1 ).

The production rate received a special boost from external demand. Thus, the volume of exports expanded vigorously, owing to an increase in the exportable supply, to official incentives and to a favourable real exchange
rate ( $80 \%$ higher than that of 1985). This had a positive impact on the development of certain agricultural activities (especially the production of non-traditional exports), services relating to tourism and some branches of manufacturing.

The growth of domestic demand was much slower, although fixed gross investment continued to increase steadily, especially private investment in the construction of housing and commercial facilities. Consequently, and also as a result of the expansion of credit, the construction sector recorded a significant upward trend. The progress of trade was more moderate; it was sustained by the trends in domestic demand and some effects stemming from the additional imports of goods.

Since the beginning of the year, the combination of expansionary fiscal and monetary policies within the framework of a fixed exchange rate had been generating increasingly severe imbalances. As far as fiscal matters were concerned, there was a decline in tax revenues as a result of the combined effects of the reduction in levies on foreign trade, a considerable amount of tax evasion and the weakening of the effects of the 1987 tax reform. On the other hand, current expenditure continued to grow, owing to transfer payments to public bodies and the private sector, the effects of the wage policy adopted in the previous year and higher interest payments on the public debt. Capital expenditure also rose, owing to public investment recovery programmes.

The decline in external financing resulted in a greater demand for domestic resources by the public sector. This led to an increasing flow of credits to the Government which caused a sharp expansion of liquidity in the first few months. Subsequently, the additional liquidity
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
shifted to the foreign exchange market and caused an increased demand for hard currency to pay for imports of goods and tourism, in a context of growing expectations that the exchange system would be modified. All of the foregoing led to a loss in international reserves throughout most of the year, which contrasted with the improvement in the trade balance and the shrinkage of the current-account deficit.

External debt arrears and the failure to meet the fiscal and monetary goals established under the agreement signed at the end of the previous year with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) clearly limited the possibility of securing additional external financing, and this problem began to build towards an external payments crisis. In June, the country reached a critical situation with regard to reserves, and some gold reserves had to be sold, while applications
for foreign exchange for tourism were subjected to $100 \%$ advance deposits.

In August, the authorities announced a series of measures aimed at improving the situation; some of them were meant to have immediate effects while others were expected to bring about medium-term changes in public policies. The authorities first tried to reverse the negative trend in public sector accounts by curbing spending in general, improving tax administration and increasing charges for public services. Also, with the aim of reducing the expansion of domestic credit, a more restrictive financial policy was announced that put ceilings on credit to the private sector in addition to raising interest rates as part of the move to decontrol them. Finally, with respect to foreign exchange, the currency was devalued by $3 \%$, and it was announced that a more
flexible mechanism for setting the exchange rate would later be applied in order to avoid weakening the performance of foreign trade; at the same time, restrictions and controls on the sales of foreign exchange were tightened.

The above-mentioned measures had a very limited impact. The tighter fiscal policy mainly affected capital spending, whereas it had a slower impact on other sectors of the economy. As a result, government credit and public debt rose sharply. At the same time, since credit restrictions continued to be partial, they could not be used to limit monetary expansion. Moreover, the smallness of the devaluation tended to strengthen expectations that additional adjustments would be made in the near future. Thus, the rise in prices of exportable goods began to be reflected in other prices, whose increases were now more clearly affected by expectations of devaluation; there were also some supply problems, especially with respect to basic foodstuffs, which generated additional inflationary pressure.

Finally, in the face of the continuing reserve crisis, the Government made a drastic change in the exchange system in November, allowing the quetzal to float. This measure, together with the decontrol of interest rates, turned the situation around, bringing about a slight increase in international reserves by the end of the year. On the other hand, the resulting devaluation, compounded by the increase in public charges during the same month, speeded up inflation.

In brief, the Guatemalan economy went through an exchange and financial crisis in 1989 that somewhat overshadowed other, more positive results such as the increase in exports and the moderate growth rate. It forced the Government to make substantial changes in its exchange and interest rate policies; the decontrol of these variables, in response to the payments crisis in a context of worsening fiscal imbalance, had a direct impact on prices and real wages.

## Table 1

## GUATEMALA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes (1980 = 100)

## Basic economic indicators

Gross domestic product at market prices
Gross national income
Population (millions of inhabitants)
Per capita gross domestic product

| 97.5 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 94.4 | 94.6 | 98.0 | 101.8 | 105.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 92.8 | 90.2 | 89.4 | 88.5 | 92.0 | 92.8 | 97.6 | 100.3 |
| 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| 92.2 | 87.2 | 84.8 | 82.0 | 79.9 | 80.4 | 81.1 | 81.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.7 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.4 |
| 92.2 | 90.5 | 89.2 | 76.9 | 105.5 | 136.2 | 137.2 | 138.2 |
| 6.0 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 6.3 |

## Growth rates

| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic product | -3.4 | -2.7 |  | -0.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -6.1 | -5.4 | -2.8 | -3.3 | -2.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Gross national income | -5.2 | -2.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 2.7 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December |  |  | 5.2 | 31.5 | 25.7 | 10.1 | 11.0 | 20.2 |
| Real wages and salaries | 6.0 | 1.2 | -9.0 | -13.6 | -18.3 | 6.8 | 5.2 | -2.7 |
| Current vale of exports of goods and services | -11.6 | -8.3 | 4.8 | -5.1 | 0.4 | -2.7 | 11.8 | 18.0 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -19.7 | -19.2 | 8.6 | -11.9 | -17.0 | 52.3 | 9.0 | 9.6 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -6.9 | 1.4 | 2.7 | -4.0 | 24.4 | -20.8 | 3.3 | -2.9 |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | -348 | -142 | -199 | -92 | 126 | -452 | -461 | -399 |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 114 | 113 | 207 | 168 | 212 | 178 | 169 | 184 |
| Balance on current account | -400 | -225 | -378 | -241 | -36 | -528 | -477 | -388 |
| Balance on capital account | 361 | 276 | 389 | 343 | 147 | 474 | 411 | 450 |
| Variation in net international reserves | -16 | 64 | 27 | 81 | 85 | -84 | -83 | 59 |
| Total external debt | 1839 | 2156 | 2495 | 2694 | 2674 | 2700 | 2599 | 2732 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official fitures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percentages.

Table 2
GUATEMALA: REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 $==100$ ) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 92.8 | 97.7 | 100.3 | 100.8 | 95.4 | 96.8 | 96.0 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 98.0 | 101.8 | 105.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | . | -3.6 | -3.0 | -4.1 |
| c) Net income from external factors | 402.4 | 365.0 | 397.1 | -0.6 | -2.4 | -2.1 | -2.2 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 94.8 | 137.2 | 174.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | I- ${ }^{9}$ | 2.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
GUATEMALA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 95.0 | 98.6 | 103.1 | 125.1 | 122.5 | -1.4 | 9.1 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 98.0 | 101.8 | 105.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| Imports of goods and services | 82.9 | 86.2 | 94.4 | 25.1 | 22.5 | -11.1 | 46.1 | 3.9 | 9.6 |
| Total demand | 95.0 | 98.6 | 103.1 | 125.1 | 122.5 | -1.4 | 9.1 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| Domestic demand | 97.6 | 101.4 | 102.9 | 102.9 | 100.6 | 1.0 | 7.7 | 3.9 | 1.5 |
| Gross domestic investment | 88.2 | 87.5 | 87.8 | 15.9 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 32.5 | -0.8 | 0.4 |
| Gross fixed investment | 71.4 | 79.8 | 88.4 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 3.9 | 16.4 | 11.7 | 10.8 |
| Construction | 60.8 | 69.1 | 76.0 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 10.0 |
| Machinery and equipment | 80.3 | 88.7 | 98.7 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 4.6 | 19.2 | 10.5 | 11.3 |
| Public | 51.8 | 57.5 | 63.2 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 23.8 | 11.1 | 9.9 |
| Private | 82.6 | 92.4 | 102.7 | 10.5 | 10.2 | 3.9 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 11.1 |
| Changes in stocks |  |  |  | -0.6 | -0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 99.3 | 104.0 | 105.7 | 87.0 | 87.4 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 1.6 |
| General government | 116.7 | 122.3 | 125.2 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 2.3 |
| Private | 97.6 | 102.1 | 103.7 | 79.1 | 77.9 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 1.5 |
| Export of goods and services | 83.0 | 85.6 | 104.0 | 22.2 | 21.9 | -13.6 | 17.9 | 3.1 | 21.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official and IMP figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments figures in current dollars which were then converted into constant 1980 values by means of unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for that purpose.

Table 4
GUATEMALA: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As a percentage of the gross domestic product)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 15.2 | 13.0 | 13.8 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 13.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 14.3 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 12.6 |
| Gross domestic saving | -1.4 | -1.5 | -2.7 | -2.3 | -3.1 | -2.4 | -2.1 | -2.2 |
| Net income from factor <br> services | -3.4 | -3.1 | -2.7 | -3.4 | 0.4 | -3.6 | -3.0 | -4.1 |
| Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| Unrequited private transfer | 10.3 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 10.7 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 8.7 |
| payment | 4.9 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 4.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At market prices in constant 1980 dollars at the adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 5

## GUATEMALA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes (1980 $\bullet \bullet$ 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 98.0 | 101.8 | 105.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 03 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| Goods | 93.7 | 97.5 | 100J | 50.1 | 47.7 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.9 |
| Agriculture | 101.3 | 105.7 | 108.4 | 27.1 | 27.9 | -0.8 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 2.5 |
| Mining | 56.8 | 59.5 | 62.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 30.8 | -1.2 | 4.8 | 5.7 |
| Manufacturing | 92.3 | 94.3 | 96.4 | 17.6 | 16.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Construction | 59.9 | 67.7 | 74.2 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 14.4 | 12.9 | 9.7 |
| Basic services | 108.1 | 113.5 | 121.2 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 6.8 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 128.2 | 138.7 | 149.8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 12.3 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.0 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 102.3 | 106.3 | 113.0 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.3 |
| Other services | 101.7 | 105.1 | 108.9 | 44.3 | 45.8 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 89.7 | 92.5 | 96.0 | 22.5 | 20.5 | -2.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 113.3 | 117.1 | 121.1 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 116.7 | 118.9 | 121.7 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Community, social and personal services | 114.5 | 118.6 | 122.8 | 14.0 | 16.4 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Government services | 129.0 | 133.7 | 137.7 | 6.9 | 9.1 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 3.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, which are re-weighted on the basis of the structure of current 1980 prices.
Consequently, the results do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 6

## GUATEMALA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Indexes of agricultural production $(1980=100)$ | 97.5 | 101.0 | 105.4 | 108.0 | -0.9 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 2.5 |
| Crop farming* ${ }^{5}$ | 99.6 | 104.2 | 108.1 |  | 0.4 | 4.6 | 3.7 |  |
| Stock raising | 93.6 | 95.3 | 100.4 |  | -4.4 | 1.8 | 5.4 |  |
| Forestry | 94.7 | 96.4 | 97.4 |  | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 |  |
| Production of the main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3945 | 4075 | 4136 | 4202 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Cotton ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 893 | 1048 | 1080 | 937 | -35.5 | 17.4 | 3.1 | -13.2 |
| Bananas | 15617 | 15726 | 14783 | 15359 | 3.6 | 0.7 | -6.0 | 3.9 |
| Sugar cane ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 124 | 125 | 126 | 127 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Cardamom | 178 | 210 | 230 | 240 | 23.6 | 18.0 | 9.5 | 4.3 |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maize ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 25152 | 26259 | 28281 | 26640 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 7.7 | -5.8 |
| Beans ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2647 | 2099 | 1990 | 1924 | 5.2 | -20.7 | -5.2 | -3.3 |
| Rice ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 737 | 886 | 1043 | 675 | -11.7 | 20.2 | 17.7 | -35.3 |
| Indicators of stockraising production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2022 | 2004 | ... | ... | -0.3 | -0.9 |  |  |
| Pigs ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 599 | 610 | ... | ... | -0.8 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Poultry ${ }^{8}$ | 53 | 56 | ... | ... | 3.9 | 5.7 |  |  |
| Slaughtering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle ${ }^{1}$ | 206 | 262 | 302 | ... | -28.7 | 27.2 | 15.3 |  |
| Pigs ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 306 | 311 | 313 | ... | -0.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 |  |
| Poultry ${ }^{0}$ | 1187 | 1248 | 1308 | ... | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.8 |  |
| Other products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk" | 233 | 245 | ... | ... | 1.3 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Eggs' | 106 | 112 | ... | ... | 6.0 | 5.7 |  |  |
| Honey ${ }^{0}$ | 92 | 91. |  |  | .1.1 | -1.1 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala and the National Institute of Statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ On the basis of figures at 1958 prices. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of quintals ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Thousan bunches. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Millions of quintals. Thousands of head. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Millions of head. Millions of litres. 'Millions of dozens.

Table 7
GUATEMALA: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | Percentage $1989^{\text {a }}$ breakdown (1985) |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Indexes of mining production $(1980=100)$ | 56.8 | 56.1 | 58.4 | 60.7 | 100.0 | 29.4 | -1.2 | 4.1 | 3.9 |
| Production of some important minerals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum | 102.0 | 75.7 | 75.9 |  | 34.3 | 68.9 | -25.8 | 0.3 |  |
| Minerals | 23.6 | 31.1 | 25.3 |  | 20.8 | 20.4 | 31.8 | -18.6 |  |
| Sand and gravel | 105.3 | 109.9 | 135.4 |  | 27.1 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 23.2 |  |
| Salt | 227.7 | 267.8 | 267.8 |  | 17.8 | 13.3 | 17.6 | - |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
Preliminary figures. On the basis of production values at 1958 prices.

Table 8
GUATEMALA: INDICATORS OF THE GENERATION AND CONSUMPTION OF ELECTRICITY

|  | Millions of kWh |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 1730 | 1876 | 1997 | 2184 | 15.9 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 9.4 |
| Net production | 1730 | 1866 | 1993 | 2184 | 15.9 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 9.6 |
| Imports | - | 10 | 4 |  | - |  | -60.0 |  |
| Total demand | 1730 | 1876 | 1997 | 2184 | 15.9 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 9.4 |
| Total consumption | 1363 | 1571 | 1578 | 1736 | 9.5 | 15.3 | 0.4 | 10.0 |
| Residential | 415 | 454 | 489 | 545 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 11.5 |
| Commercial | 278 | 341 | 382 | 409 | 6.5 | 22.7 | 12.0 | 7.1 |
| Industrial | 457 | 535 | 531 | 597 | 14.0 | 17.1 | -0.7 | 12.4 |
| Government and other | 215 | 241 | 176 | 185 | 4.4 | 12.1 | -27.0 | 5.1 |
| Exports | 88 | 18 | 39 |  | 100.0 | -79.5 | 116.7 |  |
| Losses ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 279 | 287 | 380 | 448 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 32.4 | 17.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Instituto Nacional de Electrificación (INE) and the Empresa Eléctrica de Guatemala S.A.
${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{1}$ Gross production less the consumption of power stations. ${ }^{c}$ Refers to transmission and distribution losses.

Table 9
GUATEMALA: INDICATORS OF GROSS MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of manufacturing production ${ }^{0}(1980=100)$ | 90.9 | 92.4 | 94.5 | $96 \mid 6$ | 0.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Food | 90.1 | 91.0 | 94.0 |  | 1.2 | 1.0 | 3.3 |  |
| Beverages | 93.5 | 97.1 | 99.1 |  | 4.8 | 3.9 | 2.1 |  |
| Textiles | 85.3 | 86.2 | 87.8 |  | 0.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 |  |
| Clothing | 92.1 | 92.7 | 95.1 |  | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.6 |  |
| Chemicals | 89.1 | 89.6 | 91.1 |  | -1.8 | 0.6 | 1.7 |  |
| Non-metallic minerals | 81.4 | 82.6 | 84.2 |  | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 |  |
| Metallic products | 89.8 | 90.9 | 92.1 |  | -0.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |  |
| Other | 94.9 | 96.3 | 97.7 |  | -0.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial consumption of electricity (millions of kWh ) | 457 | 535 | 531 | 597 | 14.0 | 17.1 | -0.7 | 12.4 |
| Employment ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 78251 | 78425 | 103432 | 106920 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 31.9 | 3.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ On the basis of figures at 1958 prices. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Number of persons contributing to Social Security System.

Table 10
GUATEMALA: EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT INDICATORS

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thousands of persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total population | 7113 | 7315 | 7524 | 7740 | 7963 | 8163 | 8399 | 8643 | 8917 |
| Economically active population | 2251 | 2307 | 2371 | 2438 | 2506 | 2576 | 2648 | 2722 | 2799 |
| Employment | 2218 | 2169 | 2135 | 2214 | 2204 | 2217 | 2345 | 2482 | 2624 |
| Unemployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open | 33 | 138 | 236 | 224 | 302 | 360 | 303 | 241 | 175 |
| Equivalent ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 701 | 701 | 701 | 767 | 767 | 767 | 839 | 914 | 990 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation rate | 54.5 | 54.2 | 54.0 | 53.9 | 53.7 | 53.6 | 53.4 | 52.5 |  |
| Unemployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open | 1.5 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 6.3 |
| Equivalent ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 31.1 | 30.4 | 29.6 | 31.1 | 30.2 | 29.8 | 31.7 | 33.6 | 35.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the General Secretariat of the National Council for Economic Planning (SEGEPLAN).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. The SEGEPLAN estimates on the employment of manpower are based on a comparison of the growth of the gross domestic product with the growth of productivity. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes underemployment.
${ }^{d}$ Economically active population as a percentage of the working-age population ( 15 years and over).

Table 11
GUATEMALA: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -9.4 | -6.7 | 3.7 | -5.9 | -1.7 | -6.5 | 9.5 | 11.0 |
| Volume | -1.2 | -3.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | -17.8 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 18.1 |
| Unit value | -8.3 | -3.7 | 2.8 | -7.0 | 19.6 | -18.0 | 8.5 | -6.0 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -16.6 | -17.8 | 11.9 | -8.8 | -18.9 | 52.1 | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| Volume | -14.1 | -11.7 | 12.7 | -7.4 | -11.9 | 46.2 | 2.5 | 8.7 |
| Unit value | -3.0 | -6.8 | -0.7 | -1.5 | -7.9 | 4.1 | 3.6 | -1.4 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | -6.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | -6.1 | 29.2 | -21.0 | 3.8 | -5.0 |
|  | Indexes (1980= 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 72.9 | 72.5 | 74.6 | 70.9 | 75.3 | 67.9 | 71.1 | 79.7 |
| Volume of exports | 89.1 | 86.4 | 87.1 | 88.2 | 72.5 | 82.7 | 83.4 | 98.6 |
| Volume of imports | 83.1 | 73.4 | 82.7 | 76.5 | 67.5 | 98.6 | 101.1 | 109.9 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 81.7 | 84.0 | 85.7 | 80.5 | 103.9 | 82.1 | 85.2 | 80.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 12
GUATEMALA: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13
GUATEMALA: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1447 | 1557 | 1655 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -183 | 50.7 | 7.6 | 63 |
| Consumer goods | 254 | 282 | 291 | 21.3 | 17.6 | -293 | 59.7 | 11.0 | 3.2 |
| Durable | 70 |  |  | 7.7 |  | -39.7 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Non-durable | 184 |  |  | 13.6 |  | -25.7 | 48.4 |  |  |
| Intermediate goods | 850 | 924 | 1002 | 59.8 | 60.5 | -20.8 | 35.6 | 8.7 | 8.4 |
| For agriculture | 75 |  |  |  |  | -6.5 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Petroleum and fuels | 105 | 111 | 114 | 21.2 | 6.9 | -22.3 | 11.7 | 5.7 | 2.7 |
| For industry | 597 |  |  |  |  | -22.4 | 41.5 |  |  |
| Building materials | 73 | 91 | 98 | 5.8 | 5.9 | -22.4 | 87.2 | 24.7 | 7.7 |
| Capital goods | 343 | 346 | 360 | 17.9 | 21.8 | 13.0 | 97.1 | 0.9 | 4.0 |
| For agriculture | 24 |  |  | 1.2 |  | 27.3 | 71.4 |  |  |
| For industry | 224 |  |  | 12.1 |  | 9.3 | 91.5 |  |  |
| For transport | 95 |  |  | 4.6 |  | 19.4 | 120.9 |  |  |
| Other | - | 5 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  | -60.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes telecommunications and construction.

Table 14
GUATEMALA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

| Balance on current account | -400 | -225 | -378 | -241 | -36 | -528 | -477 | -388 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade balance | -348 | -142 | -199 | -92 | 126 | -452 | -461 | -399 |
| Exports of goods and services | 1278 | 1172 | 1228 | 1165 | 1170 | 1138 | 1272 | 1501 |
| Goods FOB | 1170 | 1092 | 1132 | 1065 | 1048 | 980 | 1073 | 1191 |
| Real services | 107 | 80 | 96 | 100 | 122 | 158 | 199 | 310 |
| Transport and insurance | 26 | 18 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 31 |
| Travel | 12 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 29 | 50 | 60 | 108 |
| Imports of goods and services | 1626 | 1314 | 1427 | 1257 | 1044 | 1590 | 1733 | 1900 |
| Goods FOB | 1284 | 1056 | 1182 | 1078 | 874 | 1330 | 1413 | 1514 |
| Real services | 342 | 257 | 245 | 180 | 169 | 259 | 320 | 386 |
| Transport and insurance | 139 | 99 | 112 | 107 | 92 | 126 | 155 | 170 |
| Travel | 100 | 89 | 62 | 24 | 15 | 33 | 40 | 124 |
| Factor services | -114 | -113 | -207 | -168 | -212 | -178 | -169 | -184 |
| Profits | -41 | -39 | -81 | -21 | -38 | -47 | -52 | -22 |
| Interest received | 20 | 27 | 29 | 28 | 32 | 31 | 45 | 50 |
| Interest paid | -100 | -102 | -151 | -171 | -202 | -154 | -159 | -208 |
| Labour and ownership | 7 | - | -4 | -5 | -5 | -7 | -3 | -4 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 62 | 30 | 28 | 19 | 50 | 101 | 153 | 195 |
| Balance on capital account | 361 | 276 | 389 | 343 | 147 | 474 | 411 | 450 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 91 | 75 | 70 |
| Long-term capital | 339 | 283 | 201 | 244 | 42 | 137 | 176 | 166 |
| Direct investment | 77 | 45 | 38 | 61 | 67 | 152 | 171 | 102 |
| Portfolio investment | 1 | 77 | 59 | 143 | 17 | -16 | -69 | 7 |
| Other long-term capital | 262 | 161 | 104 | 40 | -42 | 1 | 74 | 57 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 147 | 167 | 151 | 40 | -80 | -9 | 64 | 97 |
| Loans received | 186 | 310 | 274 | 277 | 429 | 363 | 322 | 316 |
| Amortization payments | -37 | -143 | -126 | -215 | -467 | -372 | -267 | -218 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> Loans received <br> Amortization payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 114 | -6 | -46 | - | 39 | 10 | 10 | -40 |
| Loans received | 124 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 24 | 17 | - |
| Amortization payments | -15 | -18 | -52 | -13 | -10 | -15 | -8 | -40 |
| Short-term capital | 39 | 29 | 172 | 54 | 12 | 317 | 143 | 226 |
| Official sector | 40 | 24 | -92 | -99 | -80 | 18 | 15 | 146 |
| Commercial banks | 14 | 71 | 9 | -65 | 11 | 39 | 6 | -8 |
| Other sectors | -14 | -67 | 255 | 218 | 82 | 260 | 122 | 88 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -18 | -37 | 16 | 44 | 67 | -71 | 17 | -12 |
| Global balance | -38 | 51 | 11 | 102 | 110 | -54 | -66 | 62 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) <br> Monetary gold | 16 | -64 | -27 | -81 | -85 | 84 | 83 | -59 |
| Special Drawing Rights | 3 | -1 | -1 | 2 | - | -1 | 1 |  |
| IMF reserve position | 10 | -8 | 8 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets Other assets | 25 | -89 | -72 | -28 | -61 | 75 | 86 |  |
| Other assets Use of IMF credit | -15 | 0 | 27 | -20 | 21 | 20 |  |  |
| Use of IMF credit | -6 | 35 | 10 | -35 | -46 | -10 | 28 |  |

Source: 1982-1988: ECLAC, on the basis of IMF figures; 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Banco de Guatemala. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 15
GUATEMALA: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rates (quetzales per dollar) |  | Indexes of the real effective exchange rate ${ }^{\text {a }}(1985=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main | Bank market | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  |  | 113.4 | 126.8 |
| 1980-1989 |  |  | 137.4 | 137.9 |
| 1980 | 1.00 |  | 1130.0 | 136.8 |
| 1981 | 1.00 |  | 1118.3 | 127.8 |
| 1982 | 1.00 |  | 1119.8 | 123.6 |
| 1983 | 1.00 |  | 1117.7 | 118.5 |
| 1984 | 1.00 |  | 1115.98 | 117.0 |
| 1985 | 1.00 | 2.766 | 100.00 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 1.88 | 2.887 | 137.11 | 136.5 |
| 1987 | 2.50 | 2.700 | 177.11 | 171.0 |
| 1988 | 2.63 | 2.655 | 178.33 | 174.4 |
| 1989 | 2.83 | 2.885 | 179.66 | 173.6 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2.50 | 2.78 | 176.4 | 168.3 |
| II | 2.50 | 2.72 | 178.0 | 170.9 |
| III | 2.50 | 2.72 | 176.1 | 171.3 |
| IV | 2.50 | 2.59 | 178.0 | 173.6 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2.50 | 2.56 | 174.1 | 170.8 |
| II | 2.60 | 2.61 | 182.4 | 179.0 |
| III | 2.70 | 2.71 | 177.3 | 172.8 |
| IV | 2.70 | 2.71 | 179.5 | 174.8 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2.70 | 2.71 | 177.1 | 173.9 |
| II | 2.70 | 2.71 | 174.0 | 167.9 |
| III | 2.74 | 2.78 | 175.2 | 169.0 |
| IV | 3.16 | 3.20 | 192.0 | 183.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics (various issues).
${ }^{a}$ This corresponds to the average of the indexes of the real exchange rate of the quetzal with respect to the currencies of Guatemala's main trading partners, weighted according to the relative importance of exports or imports, as the case may be, to or from those countries. The weightings correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. Wholesale price indexes have primarily been used in the calculations, but in the case of Guatemala the consumer price index was used. For information regarding the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix of the Economic Suivey of Latin America and the Caribbean, 1981.

Table 16
GUATEMALA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 1839 | 2156 | 2495 | 2694 | 2674 | 2700 | 2599 | 2732 |
| Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 1431 | 2007 | 2377 | 2536 | 2517 | 2507 | $2341^{\circ}$ | 2457 |
| Private | 408 | 149 | 118 | 158 | 157 | 193 | 259 | 276 |
| Public external debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disbursements | 403 | 757 | 663 | 521 | 298 | 224 | 196 | 482 |
| Servicing | 188 | 266 | 417 | 509 | 494 | 395 | 527 | 487 |
| Principal | 117 | 182 | 293 | 362 | 318 | 234 | 363 | 366 |
| Interest | 72 | 84 | 124 | 147 | 177 | 162 | 165 | 121 |

## Percentages

## Ratios

Total external debt/exports
of goods and services
$\begin{array}{llllllll}143.9 & 184.0 & 203.2 & 231.2 & 228.5 & 237.3 & 204.3 & 182.0\end{array}$
Servicing/exports of goods
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { and services } & 14.7 & 22.7 & 34.0 & 43.7 & 42.2 & 34.7 & 41.4 & 32.4\end{array}$
Net interest payments /exports

| of goods and services | 6.3 | 6.4 | 9.9 | 12.3 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 10.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Servicing/disbursements }{ }^{\text {e }} & 46.7 & 35.1 & 62.9 & 97.7 & 165.8 & 176.3 & 268.9 & 101.0\end{array}$
Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Does not include 1988 stabilization bonds in quetzales. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Differs from the balance-of-payments accounts because it does not include renegotiations and arrears. The balances of the debt include adjustments for exchange revaluations of loans not expressed in dollars. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Calculated by ECIAC on the basis of the following formula: $(\mathrm{St})-(\mathrm{St}-\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{At}$, where $\mathrm{St}=$ the balance of the year in question; $\mathrm{St}-1=$ the balance of the immediately preceding year and $\mathrm{At}=$ amortization payments of the year in question. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Refers to public debt. ${ }^{£}$ Corresponds to the net balance-of-payments figure.

Table 17

## GUATEMALA: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decern ber-to-December variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index |  | 5.2 | 31.5 | 25.7 | 10.1 | 11.0 | 20.2 |
| Foodstuffs |  | 7.7 | 30.1 | 29.3 | 14.0 | 12.0 |  |
| Wholesale price index | 0.4 | 8.4 | 43.7 | 33.9 |  |  |  |
| Imported products | -2.8 | 12.5 | 33.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| Domestic products | 0.8 | 8.0 | 44.7 | 37.2 |  |  |  |
| Building materials | 0.4 | 7.2 | 17.2 | 25.0 |  |  |  |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index |  | 0.9 | 18.5 | 36.9 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 11.5 |
| Foodstuffs |  | -0.8 | 20.6 | 39.2 | 15.6 | 13.7 |  |
| Wholesale price index | 0.9 | 5.6 | 22.6 | 43.7 |  |  |  |
| Imported products | 4.9 | 4.7 | 19.0 | 13.2 |  |  |  |
| Domestic products | 0.8 | 5.4 | 23.4 | 46.4 |  |  |  |
| Building materials | 0.1 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 26.3 |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the National Institute of Statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 18

## GUATEMALA: WAGES AND SALARIES

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Wages and salaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal | 139.3 | 147.6 | 139.0 | 142.3 | 159.3 | 191.0 | 222.7 | 241.9 |
| Real ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 124.7 | 126.2 | 114.8 | 99.2 | 81.0 | 86.5 | 87.6 | 85.6 |
| Wage bill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neal ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 112.3 | 113.9 | 109.4 | 118.9 | 139.1 | 171.4 | 229.6 | 256.1 |
|  | 100.6 | 97.5 | 90.4 | 82.9 | 70.9 | 77.8 | 94.1 | 93.2 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages and salaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 6.2 | 5.9 | -5.8 | 2.4 | 11.9 | 19.9 | 16.6 | 8.6 |
| Real ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.0 | 1.2 | -9.0 | -13.6 | -18.3 | 6.8 | 5.2 | -2.7 |
| Wage bill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 9.5 | 1.4 | -4.0 | 8.7 | 17.0 | 23.2 | 34.0 | 11.5 |
| Real ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9.2 | -3.1 | -7.2 | -8.3 | -14.5 | 9.7 | 21.0 | -1.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information supplied by the Guatemalan Social Security Institute concerning the number of registered contributors and their wages and salaries.
' Preliminary figures. Deflated by the consumer price index.

Table 19
GUATEMALA: TOTAL CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME

|  | Millions of' quetzales |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1986 | 1989* | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total income | 1467 | 1858 | 2299 | 2416 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 26.7 | 23.7 | 5.1 |
| Current income | 1407 | 1659 | 2082 | 2241 | 95.9 | 92.8 | 17.9 | 25.5 | 7.6 |
| Tax revenue | 1111 | 1431 | 1794 | 1849 | 75.7 | 76.5 | 28.8 | 25.4 | 3.1 |
| Direct | 186 | 273 | 449 | 447 | 12.7 | 18.5 | 46.8 | 64.5 | -0.4 |
| On income | 170 | 251 | 414 | 406 | 11.6 | 16.8 | 47.6 | 64.9 | -1.9 |
| From businesses | 119 | 180 | 320 | 334 | 8.1 | 13.8 | 51.3 | 77.8 | 4.4 |
| From individuals | 51 | 70 | 94 | 71 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 37.3 | 34.3 | -24.5 |
| On property | 16 | 21 | 33 | 40 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 31.3 | 57.1 | 21.2 |
| On inheritance | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 100.0 | -50.0 |
| Indirect | 925 | 1158 | 1345 | 1402 | 63.1 | 58.0 | 25.2 | 16.1 | 4.2 |
| On imports | 136 | 273 | 388 | 405 | 9.3 | 16.8 | 100.7 | 42.1 | 4.4 |
| On exports | 213 | 151 | 103 | 55 | 14.5 | 2.3 | -29.1 | -31.8 | -46.6 |
| Coffee | 133 | 85 | 36 |  | 9.1 |  | -36.1 | -57.6 |  |
| Bananas | 16 | 17 | 14 |  | 1.1 |  | 6.3 | -17.6 |  |
| Other products | 64 | 49 | 53 |  | 4.4 |  | -23.4 | 8.2 |  |
| Official stamped paper and revenue stamps | 72 | 92 | 104 | 118 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 27.8 | 13.0 | 13.5 |
| Value added | 308 | 412 | 4\% | 554 | 21.0 | 22.9 | 33.8 | 20.4 | 11.7 |
| On non-personal services | 181 | 196 | 240 | 264 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 22.4 | 10.0 |
| On imports | 127 | 215 | 256 | 291 | 8.7 | 12.0 | 69.3 | 19.1 | 13.7 |
| Alcoholic beverages | 49 | 57 | 58 | 60 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 16.3 | 1.8 | 3.4 |
| Consumption of petroleum and petroleum products | 45 | 58 | 66 | 78 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 28.9 | 13.8 | 18.2 |
| Other taxes | 103 | 116 | 131 | 131 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 12.6 | 12.9 | - |
| Non-tax revenue | 295 | 229 | 288 | 392 | 20.1 | 16.2 | -22.4 | 25.8 | 36.1 |
| Return on capital | 37 | 31 | 37 | 43 | 2.5 | 1.8 | -16.2 | 19.4 | 16.2 |
| Profits of State enterprises | 24 | 22 | 24 | 60 | 1.6 | 2.5 | -8.3 | 9.1 | 150.0 |
| Miscellaneous income | 235 | 176 | 227 | 289 | 16.0 | 12.0 | -25.1 | 29.0 | 27.3 |
| Capital income | 60 | 199 | 217 | 175 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 231.7 | 9.0 | -19.4 |
| Grants and donations | 60 | 198 | 217 | 175 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 230.0 | 9.6 | -19.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Public Finance.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 20
GUATEMALA: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Millions of ' quetzales |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 1407 | 1660 | 2082 | 2241 | 62.7 | 18.0 | 25.4 | 7.6 |
| Tax revenue | 1111 | 1431 | 1794 | 1849 | 63.6 | 28.8 | 25.4 | 3.1 |
| Direct | 186 | 273 | 449 | 447 | 47.6 | 46.8 | 64.5 | -0.4 |
| Indirect | 925 | 1158 | 1345 | 1402 | 67.3 | 25.2 | 16.1 | 4.2 |
| On foreign trade | 349 | 424 | 490 | 460 | 296.6 | 21.5 | 15.6 | -6.1 |
| Non-tax revenue | 296 | 229 | 288 | 392 | 59.1 | -22.6 | 25.8 | 36.1 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 1407 | 1691 | 2074 | 2416 | 67.7 | 20.2 | 22.6 | 16.5 |
| Wages and salaries | 583 | 784 | 854 | 943 | 35.9 | 34.5 | 8.9 | 10.4 |
| Goods and services | 242 | 306 | 288 | 331 | 120.0 | 26.4 | -5.9 | 14.9 |
| Interest | 189 | 245 | 302 | 324 | 89.0 | 29.6 | 23.3 | 7.3 |
| Transfers and other | 393 | 357 | 630 | 818 | 96.5 | -9.2 | 76.5 | 29.8 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | - | -31 | 8 | -175 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 298 | 402 | 510 | 602 | 29.0 | 34.9 | 26.9 | 18.0 |
| Real investment | 100 | 198 | 254 | 344 | 9.9 | 98.0 | 28.3 | 35.4 |
| Other capital expenditure | 198 | 205 | 256 | 258 | 41.4 | 3.5 | 24.9 | 0.8 |
| 5. Total expenditure (2+4) | 1705 | 2093 | 2584 | 3 | 01859 | J 22.8 | 23.5 | 16.8 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (-) or surplus (+) (1-5) | -298 | -433 | -502 | -777 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Financing of deficit | 298 | 433 | 502 | 777 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing | 147 | 137 | 246 | 283 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit | 505 | 349 | 485 | 576 |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization | 358 | 212 | 239 | 293 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing | 91 | 88 | 153 | 158 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit | 127 | 123 | 205 | 225 |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization | 36 | 35 | 52 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| Other sources | 60 | 208 | 103 | 336 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current saving/capital expenditure |  | -1.5 | 0.3 | -5.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | 17.5 | 20.7 | 19.4 | 25.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue/GDP | 7.0 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 10.8 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing/deficit | 49.3 | 31.6 | 49.0 | 36.4 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing/deficit | 30.5 | 20.3 | 30.5 | 20.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Other financial sources/deficit | 20.1 | 48.0 | 20.5 | 43.2 |  |  |  |  |

[^48]Table 21
GUATEMALA: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | Year-end balances (millions of quetzales) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Money (Mi) | 1561 | 1747 | 1995 | 2408 | 18.1 | 11.9 | 14.2 | 20.7 |
| Currency outside banks | 801 | 927 | 1063 | 1323 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 14.7 | 24.5 |
| Current account deposits | 760 | 820 | 932 | 1085 | 21.2 | 7.9 | 13.7 | 16.4 |
| Factors of expansion (net) | 4051 | 4671 | 5189 | 6075 | 20.1 | 153 | 11.1 | 17.1 |
| Net international reserves | 51 | -165 | -376 | -315 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic credit | 3783 | 4075 | 4363 | 4960 | -4.9 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 13.7 |
| To central government | 1548 | 1247 | 1102 | 1350 | -20.2 | -19.4 | -11.6 | 22.5 |
| To private sector | 2235 | 2828 | 3261 | 3610 | 9.6 | 26.5 | 15.3 | 10.7 |
| Other items (net) | 217 | 761 | 1202 | 1430 |  | 250.7 | 58.0 | 19.0 |
| Quasi-money | 2490 | 2924 | 3194 | 3667 | 21.5 | 17.4 | 92 | 14.8 |
| Savings deposits | 2217 | 2540 | 2867 |  | 33.6 | 14.6 | 12.9 |  |
| Time deposits | 151 | 130 | 152 |  | -48.8 | -13.9 | 16.9 |  |
| Other deposits | 122 | 254 | 175 |  | 28.4 | 108.2 | -31.1 |  |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
Preliminary figures. Does not include local governments, public enterprises and institutions.

Table 22

## GUATEMALA: TOTAL CREDITS GRANTED BY THE BANKING SYSTEM, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Millions of quetzales |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1988^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1989^{\text {bc }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9} \mathbf{c d}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 5}$ |  |
| Total | 183 | 192 | 162 | 73 | 116 | 23.1 | 4.9 | -15.8 | 59.1 |  |
| Agriculture | 32 | 49 | 41 | 14 | 21 | -16.0 | 53.0 | -16.9 | 45.5 |  |
| Stock raising | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 16.0 | 27.6 | 48.6 | 375.0 |  |
| Forestry, hunting and fishing | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 307.1 | -47.4 | -33.3 | 800.0 |  |
| Mining | 395 | 575 | 502 | 160 | 271 | 0.8 | 45.6 | -12.7 | 69.6 |  |
| Industry | 77 | 108 | 129 | 42 | 89 | 31.0 | 39.7 | 19.3 | 113.3 |  |
| Construction | 404 | 471 | 379 | 129 | 212 | 42.4 | 16.7 | -19.5 | 65.1 |  |
| Commerce | 9 | 18 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 145.7 | 107.0 | - | 120.8 |  |
| Transport | 73 | 87 | 113 | 33 | 55 | 15.4 | 19.9 | 29.8 | 69.8 |  |
| Services | 70 | 185 | 94 | 32 | 63 | 37.9 | 165.5 | -48.9 | 98.1 |  |
| Consumption | 96 | 107 | 75 | 28 | 45 | -12.5 | 10.8 | -29.4 | 63.5 |  |
| Debt transfers | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 300.0 | -25.0 | 33.3 | 150.0 |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes discount documents. Period January to July. ${ }^{c}$ Preliminary figures. Variation from January to July compared to the same period of the previous year.

Table 23
GUATEMALA: BANK INTEREST RATES
(Annual percentages)

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nominal | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Borrowing rate | 12.0 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 12.2 | 13.0 |
| Lending rate | 9.0 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 16.0 |
| Discount rate $_{\text {Real }^{\mathbf{c}}}$ |  |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 13.0 |
| Borrowing rate $_{\text {Lending rate }}$ | -8.2 | -19.5 | -1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Discount rate | -5.6 | -17.3 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | -8.2 | -20.4 | -2.9 | -1.6 | 1.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala and the International Monetary Fund (IMF).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Maximum legal limits. $\quad{ }^{\text {c }}$ Deflated by the consumer price rate of the Republic on the basis of the following formula:
Tni-Tpc
$1+\mathrm{Tpc} / 100$, where $\mathrm{Tni}=$ the nominal interest rate and $\mathrm{Tpc}=$ the consumer price rate.

## HAITI

In 1989, Haiti's economy showed a modest growth ( $0.5 \%$ ) which failed to compensate for the population increase. Thus a decade of persistent decline in per capita product was rounded off (see table 1 and figure 1). The prolonged drop in standards of living, which had already been low, had visible consequences, one of them being emigration, which seems to have increased in 1989. The Haitian economy is very open to the exterior. In 1989, trade flows were reduced as imports as well as exports decreased. The deficits on the trade balance and the balance on current account remained approximately the same as the year before. Foreign aid fell, however, and the net inflow of capital declined. This caused serious international liquidity problems, which were reflected in the exchange market; reserves declined, and the gourde depreciated rapidly on the parallel market. On the other hand, the fiscal imbalance was reduced, although it remained at levels difficult to finance, particularly because of a decline in the flow of funds from abroad. Although price increases were moderate by comparison with the general trend in the region, they were not so small in a country with no experience of inflation, and in fact many economic agents (including some enterprises) seem to have experienced losses by not adapting rapidly to inflation.

During 1989 the Haitian economy operated in a context of political instability, which has made it impossible to design a long-term approach to the systematic management of the economy. The changes in the management of the exchange rate during the year had a particularly strong effect on the export sector. In addition, lack of confidence stimulated capital flight and appears to have discouraged investments in productive activities.

In the agricultural sector, which generated close to a third of the product, production declined once again. This sector faces serious
structural problems. With regard to coffee, the country's main export, its medium-term viability is in jeopardy because of diseases in the plantations and reduced yields. Although progress was recorded in the production of basic grains and in agroindustry in 1989, the production of traditional crops on small farms continued to show little dynamism, with the consequent social and economic effects, and during the year the authorities decided to distribute 90000 hectares of government land to small farmers in order to promote better care of the land and higher yields.

The manufacturing sector performed unevenly. In the in-bond assembly industry, production and investments fell. The situation with regard to the manufacture of electronic and electric goods deteriorated most dramatically, owing to difficulties in placing them on traditional markets. Export of wearing apparel also declined since higher production costs and strong international competition prevented Haitian sub-contractors from renegotiating contracts with their customers on favourable terms. On the other hand, industrial production for the domestic market grew. In the second half of the year, however, the supply of imported inputs was restricted and enterprises faced a situation of weak demand and rising production costs.

The year was marked by difficulties in external accounts. These were partly attributable to the fiscal deficit, which was covered by an increase in domestic credit, since international financing had declined. On the other hand, credit to the private sector expanded. Thus an excess supply of liquidity was generated, which caused domestic prices to rise and created pressures in the exchange market. To counteract this, the authorities tried to avoid depreciating the currency by adopting administrative measures, which, however, failed to improve the situation.

Figure 1
HAITI: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS


Figure 1 (concluded)
BOO i-Millions of dollars
External public debt
600
400
200


Net Interest payments
Exports of goads and services


1983
1986
1989

## Source: ECLAC. on the basis of official figures.

The fiscal imbalance and the imbalance in the external sector finally led the government to come to an agreement with the International Monetary Fund, which took effect at the beginning of September and had a duration of 15 months. The immediate objective of the agreement was to increase international reserves and regularize the situation with regard to accumulated arrears in payments on the external debt. The programme agreed upon was also to provide an example of a way in which obstacles to foreign aid would be removed. The new policies provided for the adoption of measures to reduce the fiscal deficit. These measures included, in particular, the promulgation of a tax reform aimed at simplifying tax management by making the sales tax universal and eliminating a variety of
taxes on specific products. Taxes on imports were also raised in an attempt not only to achieve a fiscal objective but also to compensate for the excessive value placed on the currency.

Towards the end of the year, the outlook became favourable for incorporating Haiti in the Lomé Convention, in which the developing countries of Africa, the Caribbean and the Pacific and the European Community participate. The significance of this lay primarily in the area of technical and financial aid. Where trade is concerned, Haiti, as a country with a low level of development, already enjoys special treatment in the European market. Even so, being part of the Lomé Convention would facilitate the diversification of exports.

Figure 2
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

## Table 1

## HAITI: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$~$| Indexes $(1980=$ | $100)$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Basic economic indicators
Gross domestic product at market
prices
Gross national income
Population (millions of inhabitants)
Per capita gross domestic product

Per capita gross domestic product
Fiscal deficit/gross domestic product
Money (MI)/GDP ${ }^{\text {d }}$
Real exchange rate

| 93.9 | 94.4 | 94.8 | 95.2 | 96.1 | 95.8 | 95.6 | 96.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 89.6 | 87.9 | 91.0 | 92.5 | 96.4 | 96.1 | 94.5 | 93.3 |
| 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| 90.6 | 89.5 | 88.3 | 87.0 | 86.2 | 84.4 | 82.7 | 81.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.1 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 5.9 |
| 13.0 | 11.4 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 15.9 | 18.9 | 19.6 |
| 90.7 | 83.1 | 79.2 | 71.3 | 69.2 | 81.8 | 82.0 | 78.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Short-term economic indicators

 Gross domestic productPer capita gross domestic product

| -3.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | -0.3 | -0.2 | 0.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -5.1 | -1.2 | -1.4 | -1.5 | -0.8 | -2.1 | -2.1 | -1.4 |
| -4.7 | -1.9 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 4.2 | -0.2 | -1.7 | -1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.2 | 12.2 | 6.1 | 15.0 | -0.5 | -13.8 | 8.3 | 7.3 |
| 4.7 | -6.7 | -7.4 | 4.9 | -7.8 | 11.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.2 | 6.9 | 10.7 | 5.7 | -13.4 | 9.7 | -14.2 | -10.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -7.5 | 0.3 | 6.6 | 5.7 | -15.0 | 5.9 | -4.1 | -6.8 |
| 1.6 | -7.1 | 15.7 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 0.9 | -7.9 | -8.9 |

Millions of dollars

## External sector

| Trade balance (goods and services) | -223 | -206 | -208 | -220 | -181 | -181 | -206 | -203 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 14 | 14 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 21 | 27 | 26 |
| Balance on current account | -188 | -174 | -181 | -191 | -145 | -146 | -170 | -169 |
| Balance on capital account | 226 | 146 | 162 | 196 | 169 | 152 | 187 | 154 |
| Variation in net international |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reserves | 34 | -20 | -16 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 17 | -15 |
| Disbursed external public debt | 410 | 551 | 607 | 600 | 696 | 752 | 778 | 811 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percentages. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Minimum wages in industry.

Table 2
HAITI: EVOLUTION OF GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 $==100$ ) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 96.1 | 94.5 | 93.3 | 102.8 | 103.1 | 101.5 | 99.8 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 95.8 | 95.6 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | 0.7 | -0.8 | -2.3 |
| c) Net factor payments abroad | 128.2 | 161.3 | 147.2 | -1.0 | -1.4 | -1.7 | -1.6 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 93.5 | 102.7 | 93.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
HAITI: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 " |
| Total supply | 94.3 | 92.6 | 90.8 | 135.2 | 127.8 | -4.2 | 0.3 | -1.8 | -1.9 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 95.8 | 95.7 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | -0.3 | -0.2 | 0.5 |
| Imports of goods and services | 89.7 | 83.8 | 75.8 | 35.2 | 27.8 | -17.4 | 2.2 | -6.6 | -9.6 |
| Total demand | 94.3 | 92.6 | 90.8 | 135.2 | 127.8 | -4.2 | 0 J | -1.8 | -1.9 |
| Domestic demand | 95.6 | 95.2 | 93.8 | 113.0 | 110.3 | 0.6 | -0.4 | -0.5 | -1.4 |
| Gross domestic investment | 103.8 | 102.2 | 98.3 | 19.2 | 19.6 | -8.4 | -1.8 | -1.5 | -3.9 |
| Gross fixed investment | 106.1 | 106.2 | 103.4 | 17.2 | 18.6 | -11.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | -2.6 |
| Construction | 109.2 | 111 | 112.3 | 10.0 | 11.7 | -6.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Machinery and equipment | 101.8 | 99.5 | 91.1 | 7.2 | 6.8 | -18.2 | 2.9 | -2.3 | -8.4 |
| Changes in stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 93.7 | 92.9 | 93.8 | 90.7 | 2.9 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.8 |  |
| General government | 116 | 115.7 | 115.7 | 9.7 | 11.7 | 2.5 | 1.5 | -0.3 | 0.0 |
| Private | 91.4 | 91.2 | 90.3 | 84.0 | 78.9 | 2.9 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -1.0 |
| Exports of goods and services | 87.5 | 79.5 | 75.4 | 22.3 | 17.5 | -25.0 | 4.9 | -9.2 | -5.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and data from the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. The figures on exports of goods and services were obtained from balance-of-payments data in current dollars, converted to constant 1980 values by means of price indexes calculated by ECLAC for that purpose.

Table 4
HAITI: ORIGIN, COMPOSITION AND FINANCING
OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 12.4 | 15 | 20.7 | 20.2 | 16.6 | 17 | 15.4 | 15.2 |
| Gross domestic investment | 7.5 | 12.1 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.3 |
| Gross domestic saving | -1 | -1 | -1.3 | -1.4 | -1.1 | -1.4 | -1.7 | -1.6 |
| $\quad$ Net income from factor services | -4.5 | -6.6 | -3.3 | -2.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | -0.8 | -2.3 |
| Terms-of-trade effect | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.7 |
| $\quad$ Unrequited private transfers | 5.5 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 9.6 | 9.2 |
| Gross national saving | 13.7 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 10.5 |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures.

## Table 5

HAITI: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indexes } \\ (1980=100) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 95.9 | 95.6 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | -03 | -0.2 | 0.5 |
| Goods | 91.2 | 90.7 | 90.3 | 57.8 | 54.3 | 0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.4 |
| Agriculture | 97.2 | 96.3 | 94.7 | 33.4 | 32.9 | 2.4 | 0.3 | -0.9 | -1.6 |
| Mining | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | -10.3 |  |  | 4.3 |
| Manufacturing | 80.2 | 79.5 | 80.9 | 17.6 | 14.8 | 0.4 | -3.9 | -0.8 | 1.7 |
| Construction | 109.2 | 111.0 | 112.4 | 5.5 | 6.5 | -6.1 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Basic services | 109.6 | 117.1 | 121.0 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 33 | 10.1 | 6.8 | 3.4 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 138.4 | 150.3 | 155.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 8.6 | 3.6 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 100.0 | 105.9 | 109.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 3.3 |
| Other services | 102.4 | 102.3 | 103.2 | 35.7 | 38.4 | 1.4 | -1.4 | -0.2 | 0.9 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 90.1 | 89.4 | 88.8 | 18.7 | 17.2 | -0.2 | -1.4 | -0.9 | -0.6 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 110.9 | 113.1 | 113.5 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 19 | 0.4 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 114.2 | 116.4 | 117.1 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| Community, social and personal services | 117.9 | 117.7 | 121.2 | 12.0 | 15.2 | 2.9 | -2.1 | -0.2 | 2.9 |
| Government services | 117.5 | 117.5 | 122.0 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 2.6 | 1.6 | - | 3.8 |
| Import duties | 94.8 | 93.9 | 99.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 | -1.4 | 10.2 | -1.0 | 5.5 |

[^49]Table 6
HAITI: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

| Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |

Production of the main crops

| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coffee | 42.9 | 37.8 | 30.1 | 37.7 | 38.4 | 2.4 | -20.4 | 25.2 | 1.9 |  |
| Cotton | 5.9 | 8.4 |  |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Cocoa | 3.4 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 10.9 | -7.1 | -44.1 | -13.3 |  |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice | 124.1 | 129.2 | 135.1 | 120.5 | 119.3 | 4.0 | 4.6 | -10.8 | -1.0 |  |
| Maize | 186.2 | 196.3 | 205.7 | 205.1 | 211.2 | 5.3 | 4.8 | -0.3 | 3.0 |  |
| Sorghum | 125.2 | 119.2 | 124.1 | 136.0 | 142.8 | -1.8 | 4.1 | 9.6 | 5.0 |  |
| Beans | 52.6 | 48.2 | 48.7 | 54.7 | 57.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 12.3 | 4.9 |  |
| Bananas | 519.7 | 525.4 | 530.9 | 476.0 | 499.8 | 2.9 | 1.0 | -10.3 | 5.0 |  |
| Sugar cane | 5640.8 | 5 | 772.5 | 4542.9 | 546.0 | 349.4 | 0.8 | -21.3 | -88.0 | -36.0 |

## Livestock production

Meat, total
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}69.4 & 73.8 & 92.1 & 95.1 & 106.5 & 7.3 & 24.8 & 3.3 & 12.0\end{array}$
Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Ministry of Agriculture, Natural Resources and Rural Development. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 7
HAITI: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Indexes (1976 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indexes of value added | 147 | 118 | 114 | 113 | 115 | -2.9 | -3.9 | -0.8 | 1.7 |
| Foodstuffs | 135 | 130 | 109 | 108 | 112 | -2.3 | -15.9 | -13 | 3.7 |
| Beverages | 143 | 133 | 173 | 181 | 200 | -2.9 | 30.4 | 4.4 | 10.9 |
| Tobacco | 152 | 106 | 102 | 118 | 118 | -2.7 | -3.8 | 15.8 | - |
| Textiles, clothing and leather products | 99 | 85 | 81 | 77 | 77 | -2.3 | -4.9 | -4.5 | - |
| Chemicals | 187 | 49 | 64 | 67 | 88 | -2.0 | 29.8 | 4.7 | 31.8 |
| Non-metallic minerals | 110 | 121 | 123 | 130 | 131 | -3.2 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 0.3 |
| Metal products | 268 | 206 | 206 | 197 | 189 | -2.8 | -0.1 | -4.2 | -4.3 |
| Miscellaneous | 126 | 105 | 111 | 111 | 106 | -2.7 | 6.1 | - | 4.9 |

## Thousands of tons

Production of some important manufactures

| Flour | 85 | 128 | 92 | 106 | 100 | 12.8 | -20.1 | 15.7 | -6.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugar | 54 | 41 | 33 | 30 |  | -28.7 | -21.3 | -6.5 |  |
| Lard | 3 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 6.6 | 12.3 | 1.4 | 18.9 |
| Edible oil | 19 | 40 | 24 | 81 | 100 | 5.5 | -39.7 | 233.9 | 24.3 |
| Carbonated beverages | 74 | 65 |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |  |  |
| Beer ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -3.1 | 16.2 | -4.4 | -10.7 |
| Cigarettes ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1064 | 846 | 888 | 963 | 1041 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 8.5 | 8.1 |
| Soap | 13 | 31 | 40 | 45 | 49 | 5.8 | 30.4 | 10.4 | 9.2 |
| Detergents | 579 | 1373 | 1916 | 1288 | 826 | 5.4 | 39.5 | -32.8 | -35.8 |
| Essential oils | 242 | 153 | 167 | 190 | 112 | -0.1 | 8.8 | 14.0 | -40.9 |
| Cement | 243 | 221 | 253 | 265 | 236 | -15.7 | 14.3 | 4.9 | -11.2 |
| Millions of kWh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial electricity consumption ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar industry | 18 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | -9.9 | -29.2 | -58.7 | 33.2 |
| Cement industry | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 20 | -12.1 | -9.1 | 4.5 | 8.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti and the Haitian Institute of Statistics and Information Science.
Preliminary figures. 'Millions of bottles. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of units. ${ }^{1}$ Tons. $\quad$ Millions of kWh .

Table 8
HAITI: ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND CONSUMPTION

|  | Millions of kWh |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ | 3.3 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | 7.8 |
| Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ |  |  |
| Consumption | 256 | 319 | 328 | 337 | 357 | -1.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 6.0 |
| Commercial and industrial | 156 | 160 | 154 | 151 | 157 | -8.0 | -4.0 | -1.8 | 4.0 |
| Residential | 81 | 123 | 136 | 144 | 155 | 8.0 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 7.0 |
| Street lighting | 9 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 2.1 | 20.7 | 20.0 | 6.8 |
| Public and community services | 10 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 33 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 11.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The difference between generation and consumption is due to losses in transmission and to unrecorded consumption.

Table 9
HAITI: MAIN INDICATORS OF FOREIGN TRADE IN GOODS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports (FOB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 15.5 | 6.8 | 15.7 | 3.9 | -14.4 | 10.1 | -14.1 | -12.1 |
| Volume | 12.2 | 18.4 | -3.7 | 1.2 | -28.1 | -0.8 | -6.0 | -1.6 |
| Unit value | 3.0 | -9.8 | 20.1 | 2.7 | 19.0 | 10.9 | -8.6 | -10.7 |
| Imports (FOB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -13.3 | -0.1 | 4.3 | 2.0 | -12.0 | 2.6 | -8.8 | -8.7 |
| Volume | -12.8 | -0.9 | 9.5 | 4.9 | -16.7 | 1.1 | -9.0 | -10.0 |
| Unit value | -0.6 | 0.8 | -4.8 | -2.7 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.4 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 3.0 | -11.0 | 22.2 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 7.7 | -9.7 | -12.2 |
|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 77.4 | 81.6 | 96.0 | 100.8 | 83.5 | 89.3 | 75.7 | 65.4 |
| Volume of exports | . 06.8 | 126.4 | 121.7 | 123.2 | 88.6 | 87.9 | 82.6 | 81.3 |
| Volume of imports | 99.2 | 98.3 | 107.6 | 112.9 | 94.0 | 95.0 | 86.4 | 77.8 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 73.6 | 65.8 | 83.0 | 87.6 | 98.6 | 107.8 | 98.3 | 86.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 10

## HAITI: EVOLUTION OF EXCHANGE RATES

(Annual and quarterly averages)
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nominal exchange } \\ \text { rate } \\ \text { (gourdes per } \\ \text { dollar) }\end{array} & \text { Indexes of real effective exchange rate ' } \\ & & & \\ \text { (1985=100) }\end{array}\right)$

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund (International Financial Statistics, several issues).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ These indexes correspond to the average of the real exchange rate indexes for the gourde $v i s$ - $a$-vis the currencies of Haiti's main trading partners, weighted by the relative importance of the exports to or imports from those countries, as appropriate. The weightings correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. For further details on the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix of the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981.

Table 11
HAITI: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1975 | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 210 | 180 | 159 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -14.8 | 10.1 | -6.2 | -12.1 |
| Agricultural products | 60 | 58 | 52 | 53.0 | 64.9 | 32.9 | 11.6 | -28.4 | 42 | -92 |
| Coffee | 37 | 33 | 35 | 22.8 | 42.1 | 21.9 | 12.4 | -35.9 | 4.9 | 6.6 |
| Cocoa | 5 | 4 | 3 | 6.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | -28.6 | -8.1 | -11.9 | -26.0 |
| Sugar ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5 | 3 | - | 13.2 | 3.0 | - | 105.0 | -36.5 | -35.7 | - |
| Sisal | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 3.2 |  |  | 18.7 | 26.4 |
| Essential oils | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 | -16.2 | 134.5 | 9.9 |
| Others | 8 | 11 | 6 | 11.1 | 14.5 | 3.8 | -58.7 | 59.5 | -23.1 | -44.7 |
| Manufactured articles (Free Zone) | 133 | 116 | 100 | 22.3 | 25.3 | 62.9 | -5.0 | 32.9 | -14.3 | -13.7 |
| Handicraft manufactures | 20 | 10 | 9 | 14.7 | 9.8 | 5.5 | -30.8 | -16.7 | 15.0 | -11.0 |
| Adjustment | -2 | -2 | -2 | - | - | -1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee | 12.8 | 16.2 | 13.4 | -13.2 | -19.0 | 26.6 | -17.3 |  |  |  |
| Cocoa | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.8 | -26.3 | -10.7 | 16.0 | -3.4 |  |  |  |
| Sugar | 6.8 | 7.1 | - | 107.5 | -38.2 | 4.4 | - |  |  |  |
| Essential oils | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | - | 50.0 | -66.7 |  |  |  |
| Manufactured articles (Free Zone) | 20.2 | 12.7 | 17.9 | -8.9 | 26.2 | -2.5 | 40.9 |  |  |  |
| Handicraft manufactures | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.7 | -12.5 | -20.0 | -17.9 | -26.1 |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti.
${ }_{a}^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{b}$ Including molasses.

Table 12
HAITI[: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1975 | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 376.9 | 343.9 | 313.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -18.3 | 2.5 | -8.8 | -8.8 |
| Foodstuffs, beverages and tobacco | 70.0 | 69.8 | 65.0 | 23.1 | 16.9 | 20.7 | -19.8 | 1.4 | -0.3 | -6.9 |
| Hydrocarbons | 503 | 46.4 | 55.5 | 9.1 | 16.7 | 17.7 | -20.6 | -1.0 | -7.8 | 19.6 |
| Essential oils | 35.8 | 30.6 | 26.5 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 5.5 | -14.5 | -13.4 |
| Chemicals | 38.4 | 34.0 | 28.9 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 9.2 | -14.6 | 6.5 | -11.5 | -15.0 |
| Manufactured articles | 59.6 | 54.0 | 44.0 | 16.3 | 20.7 | 14.0 | -23.0 | -0.6 | -9.4 | -18.5 |
| Machinery and transport equipment | 65.4 | 61.6 | 53.5 | 19.8 | 17.4 | 17.1 | -23.7 | 3.9 | -5.8 | -13.1 |
| Miscellaneous manufactures ${ }^{c}$ | 35.3 | 29.2 | 26.5 | 14.0 | 8.2 | 8.4 | -16.7 | 4.4 | -17.3 | -9.2 |
| Others ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 22.0 | 18.3 | 13.8 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 4.4 |  | 7.8 | -16.8 | -24.6 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Including manufactures of textiles, leather, rubber, wood, paper and metallic and non-metallic mineral products. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Including clothing, footwear, travel goods, furniture, professional instruments, sanitary fittings and electrical appliances. Including non-edible raw materials.

Table 13

## HAITI: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

| Balance on current account | -188 | -174 | -181 | -191 | -145 | -146 | -170 | -169 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade balance | -223 | -206 | -208 | -220 | -181 | -181 | -206 | -203 |
| Exports of goods and services | 270 | 288 | 319 | 337 | 292 | 320 | 275 | 245 |
| Goods FOB | 174 | 186 | 215 | 223 | 191 | 210 | 180 | 159 |
| Real services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 96 | 103 | 104 | 114 | 101 | 110 | 94 | 87 |
| Transport and insurance | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Travel | 80 | 85 | 85 | 93 | 82 | 90 | 74 | 68 |
| Imports of goods and services | 493 | 494 | 527 | 557 | 474 | 502 | 481 | 448 |
| Goods FOB | 324 | 324 | 338 | 345 | 303 | 311 | 284 | 259 |
| Real services | 169 | 170 | 189 | 212 | 170 | 190 | 197 | 189 |
| Transport and insurance | 77 | 88 | 98 | 114 | 76 | 93 | 95 | 91 |
| Travel | 41 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 37 | 42 | 34 | 33 |
| Factor services | -14 | -14 | -18 | -20 | -16 | -21 | -27 | -26 |
| Profits | -8 | -8 | -2 | -2 |  | -2 | -4 | -3 |
| Interest received | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Interest paid and due | -7 | -7 | -17 | -18 | -15 | -19 | -23 | -23 |
| Labour and ownership |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 49 | 46 | 45 | 49 | 52 | 56 | 63 | 59 |
| Balance on capital account | 226 | 146 | 162 | 196 | 169 | 152 | 187 | 154 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 61 | 64 | 78 | 97 | 100 | 115 | 130 | 107 |
| Long-term capital | 43 | 85 | 90 | 54 | 39 | 61 | 49 | 43 |
| Direct investment | 7 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 9 |
| Portfolio investment 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other long-term capital | 37 | 76 | 86 | 49 | 34 | 56 | 39 | 34 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 33 | 33 | 67 | 25 | - | 21 | 28 | 28 |
| Loans received | 38 | 37 | 74 | 47 | 34 | 52 | 48 | 41 |
| Amortization payments | -5 | -4 | -6 | -13 | -22 | -29 | -20 | -13 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans received |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 35 | 35 | 11 | 6 |
| Loans received | 8 | 27 | 20 | 14 | 21 | 22 | 11 | 6 |
| Amortization payments | -5 | -7 | -18 | -8 | -3 | -4 | - | - |
| Short-term capital | -12 | 6 | 23 | 2 | 13 | -3 |  |  |
| Official sector | - | 1 | 11 | 17 | 23 | 6 |  |  |
| Commercial banks | -12 | 5 | 12 | -15 | -10 | -9 |  |  |
| Other sectors $\quad \begin{array}{llllllll} & -12 & 5 & 12 & -15 & -10 & -9\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) |  | -9 |  | 44 | 17 | -21 | 8 | 4 |
| Global balance ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 138 | -28 | -19 | 44 5 | 24 | -21 6 | 17 | -15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (-sign indicates an increase) | -34 | 20 | 16 | -6 | -10 | -6 | -17 | 15 |
| Monetary gold | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { IMF reserve position } & - & -3 & 3 & - & - & -1 & \\ \text { F }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | -16 | 9 | -4 | -6 | -1 | 1 | 17 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use made of IMF credit | -18 | 15 | 16 | - | -10 | -6 | -34 | -1 |

Source: 1982-1987: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the International Monetary Fund; 1988 and 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Including other non-factor services. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals total variation in reserves (of opposite sign), plus counterpart items.

Table 14
HAITI: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Millions of dollars

## Disbursed external public debt

| Balances | $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Government | 282 | 402 | 454 | 453 | 496 | 521 | 535 | 536 |
| Public enterprises | 128 | 149 | 153 | 147 | 200 | 231 | 243 | 275 |
| Disbursements | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 29 |
| Servicing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 23 | 37 | 31 | 36 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 30 | 22 |
| Interest | 10 | 12 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 36 | 20 | 13 |
|  | 7 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 9 |

## Ratios

Total external debt/exports

| of goods and services | 149.1 | 190.0 | 189.7 | 178.0 | 238.7 | 234.7 | 283.1 | 330.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Debt servicing/exports of goods and services

| 149.1 | 190.0 | 189.7 | 178.0 | 238.7 | 234.7 | 283.1 | 330.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6.2 | 7.9 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 10.9 | 9.0 |
| 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| 35.4 | 48.9 | 44.0 | 62.0 | 65.5 | 61.6 | 62.5 | 75.9 |

Net interest payments/exports of goods and services

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Balance of disbursed external debt, for terms of over one year, as of 30 September of each year. Preliminary figures.

Table 15
HAITI: TRENDS IN DOMESTIC PRICES
$\begin{array}{llllllll}1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989\end{array}$

Variation from September to September
Consumer price index
Food

| 6.2 | 12.2 | 6.1 | 15.0 | -0.5 | -13.8 | 8.3 | 7.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.6 | 13.4 | 5.8 | 17.7 | -1.9 | -22.5 | 12.2 | 8.6 |

Variation between annual averages
Consumer price index

Food

| 9.0 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.5 | -10.4 | -0.1 | 7.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4.7 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 11.7 | -17.5 | -0.4 | 8.8 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti and the Haitian Institute of Statistics and Information Science.

Table 16

## HAITI: TRENDS IN WAGES AND SALARIES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gourdes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum daily wages | 13.0 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 16.3 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 118.2 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 136.4 | 136.4 | 136.4 | 136.4 | 147.8 |
| Real ${ }^{0}$ | 100.8 | 94.0 | 87.1 | 91.3 | 84.8 | 94.7 | 95.1 | 103.0 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 14.1 | 1.5 |  | 13.7 |  |  |  | 8.3 |
| Real ${ }^{0}$ | 4.7 | -6.7 | -7.4 | 4.9 | -7.8 | 11.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the b Preliminary figures. price index. | by the H | itian In | tute of in indu | tatistics try. | nd Inform Deflated | ation S on the | ence. <br> basis of | osumer |

Table 17

## HAITI: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Millions of gourdes |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 1299 | 1162 | 1158 | 1265 | 1.6 | -10.5 | -03 | 9.2 |
| Tax revenue | 1234 | 1108 | 1117 | 1210 | 9.8 | -10.2 | 0.8 | 8.3 |
| Direct | 154 | 128 | 141 | 156 | - | -16.9 | 10.2 | 10.6 |
| Indirect | 470 | 449 | 469 | 490 | -27.0 | -4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Public enterprises | 105 | 91 | 101 | 87 |  | -13.3 | 11.0 | -13.9 |
| On foreign trade | 311 | 226 | 181 | 221 | 1.6 | -27.3 | -19.9 | 22.1 |
| Other taxes | 194 | 214 | 225 | 256 | 870.0 | 10.3 | 5.1 | 13.8 |
| Extrabudgetary revenue | 65 | 54 | 41 | 55 | -58.1 | -16.9 | -24.1 | 34.1 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 1272 | 1262 | 1189 | 1306 | -29.5 | -0.8 | -5.8 | 9.8 |
| Budgetary expenditure | 1025 | 1026 | 970 | 1115 | 32.3 | 0.1 | -5.5 | 14.9 |
| Wages and salaries | 561 | 699 | 748 | 808 | 13.6 | 24.6 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| Other current expenditure | 464 | 327 | 222 | 307 | 65.1 | -29.5 | -32.1 | 38.3 |
| Extrabudgetary expenditure | 247 | 236 | 219 | 191 | -76.0 | -4.5 | -7.2 | -12.8 |
| 3. Current saving (1-2) | 27 | -100 | -31 | -41 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 676 | 727 | 584 | 567 | 223.2 | 7.6 | -19.6 | -3.0 |
| 5. Total expenditure ( $2+4$ ) | 1948 | 1989 | 1773 | 1873 | -3.3 | 2.1 | -10.9 | 5.6 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (1-5) | 649 | 827 | 615 | 608 | -188.4 | 27.5 | -25.6 | -1.2 |
| 7. Financing of deficit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing (net) | 145 | 55 | 155 | 202 |  |  |  |  |
| Central Bank | 147 | 59 | 172 | 199 |  |  |  |  |
| Others | -2 | -4 | -17 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing | 230 | 134 | 89 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| Donations | 386 | 565 | 147 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Adjustments | -139 | -39 | 180 | 271 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current saving/capital expenditure | 4.0 | -13.8 | -5.3 | -7.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | 33.3 | 41.6 | 34.7 | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue/GDP | 11.6 | 11.7 | 10.7 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 17.4 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 18.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 5.8 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Donations/deficit | -59.5 | -68.3 | -23.8 | -2.7 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti and the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 18

## HAITI: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | End-September balances (millions of gourdes) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Monetary base | 1687 | 1938 | 2187 | 2350 | 12.5 | 14.9 | 12.8 | 7.5 |
| Money (Mi) | 1381 | 1584 | 1865 | 2039 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 17.7 | 9.3 |
| Quasi-money | 1493 | 1616 | 1702 | 1994 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 17.2 |
| Money plus quasi-money (M2) | 2874 | 3200 | 3567 | 4033 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 13.1 |
| Domestic credit | 4338 | 4512 | 4815 | 5427 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 12.7 |
| To the public sector | 2800 | 2948 | 3120 | 3371 | -0.3 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 8.0 |
| Central government (net) | 2653 | 2792 | 3014 | 3226 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 8.0 | 7.0 |
| Public institutions | 147 | 156 | 106 | 145 | -34.1 | 6.1 | -32.1 | 36.8 |
| To the private sector | 1538 | 1564 | 1695 | 2056 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 8.4 | 21.3 |
| Coefficient! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mi} /$ monetary base | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.85 | 0.87 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/monetary base | 1.70 | 1.65 | 1.63 | 1.72 |  |  |  |  |
| Velocity of circulation: GDP/MI | 8.1 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Bank of the Republic of Haiti.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. Excluding interbank operations.

## HONDURAS

The Honduran economy grew by close to $2 \%$ in 1989, less than in the preceding two years, the result being a decline in per capita product (see table 1 and figure 1). The slowing down of the growth of the product was due to the behaviour of domestic demand. The volume of exports, however, increased by somewhat more than $6 \%$, after having declined in 1988. The value of exports increased more rapidly than that of imports, lowering the deficit on the trade balance. However, the external payments situation showed some complications owing to the constraints in international financing. The fiscal deficit increased slightly and was financed to a larger extent than in previous years by credit from the Central Bank, and this was one of the reasons why money began to be issued more rapidly. The increase in the money supply, the scarcity of foreign exchange and the rise in the prices of some foodstuffs combined to raise the inflation rate to somewhat above $11 \%$.

Agriculture again showed an increase although it was smaller than in recent years. Manufacturing was also more active although the sector was affected by higher production costs and difficulties in supplying imported inputs. Construction was again one of the more dynamic sectors under the impetus provided by residential building. Although mining is one of the less important branches of the economy, it contributed significantly to the growth of the product in 1989 , and especially to exports.

The rise in external sales in general was due in particular to increased sales of zinc and other minerals and to exports of non-traditional products. Exports from the duty-free zones also grew. The growth of non-traditional exports was to some extent due to the exchange
policy, which by liberalizing the rate of exchange of foreign currency, brought about a rise in the real exchange rate in a situation in which minimum wages remained unchanged.

The increase in the flow of exports did not result in any relaxation of external constraints. In fact, owing to the decline in the availability of credit, the government had to resort to import controls to keep reserves from declining. This situation was related to the absence of an agreement with the multilateral financial agencies, attempts to negotiate a structural adjustment agreement with the World Bank having ended in failure as had those to secure support from the International Monetary Fund. Also, the United States Agency for International Development (AID) was reluctant to disburse budgeted funds. Consequently, debt service payments were postponed, and the Central Bank lost liquid assets in foreign exchange.

In the first part of the year, the fiscal policy's objective of reducing the deficit was maintained; however, as the presidential elections drew near (they were held in November), non-budgetary expenditure grew appreciably. In addition, the government's real income contracted during the year, leaving a fiscal imbalance of close to $7 \%$ of the gross domestic product, a figure similar to that of 1988; however, whereas even external financing covered more than half the deficit in 1988, in 1989 the pubic sector had to resort to domestic credit for almost $75 \%$ of its needs. A good part of that credit was provided by the Central Bank, the result being a more rapid monetary expansion.

Honduras has traditionally had an economy with moderate inflation, and this continued to

Figure 1
HONDURAS: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
be the case in 1989. However, prices began to rise more rapidly, starting from a point which was already higher than the average in the past. The annual variation of two digits in the
consumer price index resulted from rises in food prices and in the prices of goods tradeable abroad. The price of transport and public services, on the oher hand, lagged behind.

Figure 2
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

Table 1

## HONDURAS: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 99.0 | 99.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 1067 | 111.9 | 117.2 | 119.6 |
| Gross national income | 94.6 | 96.7 | 99.3 | 96.0 | 103.6 | 105.6 | 112.5 | 113.8 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 92.1 | 88.7 | 87.7 | 86.4 | 86.3 | 87.6 | 88.9 | 87.9 |
| Central government deficit/GDP |  |  |  | 9.0 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| Money (MI)/GDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | 10.9 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 13.2 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 85.0 | 78.7 | 75.4 | 72.7 | 74.5 | 78.6 | 79.1 | 73.5 |
| Unemployment rate | 9.2 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.6 |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | -1.8 | -0.1 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 2.1 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -5.4 | -3.6 | -1.2 | -1.5 | -0.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -1.1 |
| Gross national income | -3.0 | 2.2 | 2.7 | -3.3 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 6.6 | 1.1 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 8.8 | 7.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 11.4 |
| Real minimum wages and salaries |  | -7.7 | -4.5 | -3.3 | -4.2 | -2.4 | -4.3 | -8.9 |
| Current value of exports of $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { goods and services } & -13.2 & 4.4 & 5.8 & 6.6 & 11.7 & -3.9 & 4.8 & 7.7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -22.4 | 10.9 | 16.9 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 5.1 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.1 | -13.2 | 20.6 | -10.7 | 5.2 | -4.3 |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | -56 | -111 | -219 | -160 | -52 | -119 | -102 | -80 |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 202 | 152 | 178 | 190 | 254 | 239 | 266 | 263 |
| Balance on current account | -249 | -254 | -386 | -337 | -293 | -341 | -350 | -325 |
| Balance on capital account | 173 | 207 | 397 | 302 | 353 | 406 | 369 | 350 |
| Variation in international reserves (net) | -53 | -39 | 27 | -19 | 43 | 26 | -18 | 25 |
| External debt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1986 | 2162 | 2392 | 2794 | 3018 | 3105 | 3338 | 3351 |

[^50]Table 2
HONDURAS: EVOLUTION OF REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 $=100$ ) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 105.6 | 112.5 | 113.8 | 94.2 | 88.9 | 90.4 | 89.6 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 111.9 | 117.2 | 119.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -3.9 | -2.1 | -3.6 |
| c) Net factor payments | 140.5 | 152.9 | 143.1 | -6.1 | -7.7 | -8.0 | -7.4 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 193.3 | 205.3 | 200.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 3
HONDURAS: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes ( $1980=100)$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 104.2 | 108.0 | 109.S | 14S. 1 | 132.9 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 1.4 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 111.9 | 117.2 | 119.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 2.1 |
| Imports of goods and services | 87.3 | 87.7 | 87.1 | 45.1 | 32.9 | 5.6 | -0.2 | 0.4 | -0.6 |
| Total demand | 104.2 | 108.0 | 109.5 | 145.1 | 132.9 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 1.4 |
| Domestic demand | 104.1 | 110.2 | 109.9 | 107.4 | 98.6 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 5.9 | -0.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 77.5 | 73.6 | 74.3 | 24.5 | 15.2 | -15.3 | 7.5 | -4.9 | 0.9 |
| Gross fixed investment | 68.7 | 73.0 | 76.2 | 24.2 | 15.4 | -13.6 | -6.6 | 6.2 | 4.3 |
| Construction | 73.6 | 78.5 | 82.5 | 11.9 | 8.2 | -8.2 | -17.0 | 6.6 | 5.2 |
| Machinery and equipment | 64.1 | 67.7 | 70.0 | 12.3 | 7.2 | -20.3 | 8.4 | 5.7 | 3.4 |
| Public | 69.5 | 72.2 | 74.6 | 9.4 | 5.8 | -27.3 | -22.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| Private | 68.2 | 73.5 | 77.1 | 14.9 | 9.6 | 4.1 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 4.9 |
| Changes in stocks | 69.5 | 72.2 | 74.6 | 9.4 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 111.9 | 121.0 | 120.4 | 82.9 | 83.4 | 11.6 | 2.2 | 8.1 | -0.5 |
| General government | 134.6 | 140.1 | 142.6 | 13.3 | 15.9 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 1.8 |
| Private | 107.6 | 117.3 | 116.1 | 69.6 | 67.6 | 9.9 | 1.9 | 9.0 | -1.0 |
| Exports of goods and services | 104.7 | 101.9 | 108.5 | 37.7 | 34.2 | -2.1 | 4.8 | -2.7 | 6.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and figures provided by the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were then converted to constant 1980 values using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for that purpose.

Table 4

# HONDURAS: ORIGIN, COMPOSITION AND FINANCING 

OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 12.4 | 15.0 | 20.7 | 20.2 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 15.4 |
| Gross domestic investment | 12.7 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 21.3 | 14.9 | 17.1 | 14.4 | 16.6 |
| Gross domestic saving | -7.9 | -6.0 | -6.5 | -6.5 | -8.8 | -7.7 | -8.0 | -7.4 |
| Net income from factor services | -2.5 | -2.3 | -1.6 | -6.5 | -0.2 | -3.9 | -2.1 | -3.6 |
| Terms-of-trade effect | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Unrequited private transfers | 2.7 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 6.1 |
| Gross national saving | 9.7 | 10.0 | 14.2 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 9.1 |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. Preliminary figures.

Table 5
HONDURAS: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 111.9 | 117.2 | 119.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 2.1 |
| Goods | 109.5 | 114.6 | 117.8 | 48.3 | 47.5 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 2.7 |
| Agriculture | 114.0 | 116.2 | 117.8 | 24.5 | 24.1 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| Mining | 104.6 | 107.6 | 125.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 | -6.7 | -16.9 | 2.9 | 16.9 |
| Manufacturing | 119.7 | 129.7 | 133.5 | 15.7 | 17.5 | 3.0 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 2.9 |
| Construction | 66.1 | 70.5 | 73.7 | 6.0 | 3.7 | -8.3 | -17.0 | 6.6 | 4.5 |
| Basic services | 158.0 | 165.9 | 168.3 | S3 | 11.7 | 22.4 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 1.4 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 228.6 | 232.7 | 238.8 | 1.9 | 3.8 |  | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 137.0 | 146.1 | 147.3 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 0.8 |
| Other services | 107.0 | 112.6 | 114.4 | 40.3 | 38.5 | 0.9 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 1.6 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 84.2 | 85.1 | 85.8 | 15.3 | 11.0 | -6.1 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Financia) institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 124.0 | 135.7 | 141.8 | 10.6 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 9.4 | 4.5 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 130.1 | 137.8 | 145.5 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Community, social and personal services | 118.8 | 124.7 | 124.5 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 5.0 | -0.2 |
| Government services | 132.9 | 137.2 | 139.6 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 10.1 | 3.2 | 1.8 |
| Import duty | 87.1 | 87.9 | 86.0 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 5.6 | -2.0 | 0.9 | -2.2 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures, which were reweighted according to the 1980 current price structure. Consequently, the figures given here do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries, which were calculated at constant prices.
Preliminary figures. Including stock-raising, forestry and fishing.

Table 6
HONDURAS: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1.707 | Percentage $1985^{\text {b }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Indexes of agricultural production $(1980=100)^{\text {c }}$ | 106.9 | 109.5 | 114.0 | 116.1 | 117.7 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Crop-farming | 106.1 | 108.1 | 109.5 | 110.3 | 112.3 | 66.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.8 |
| Stock-raising | 111.0 | 115.9 | 126.1 | 132.3 | 138.5 | 15.2 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| Forestry | 96.7 | 97.5 | 101.6 | 102.4 | 93.4 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 0.8 | -8.8 |
| Poultry raising | 124.2 | 130.3 | 143.3 | 146.5 | 149.7 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 10.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Production of the main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bananas | 1089 | 1018 | 1150 | 1107 | 1077 | 21.2 | -6.5 | 13.0 | -3.7 | -2.7 |
| Coffee | 75 | 76 | 80 | 94 | 99 | 19.4 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 17.5 | 5.3 |
| Sugar cane | 2989 | 2989 | 2659 | 2504 | 2657 | 3.9 | - | -11.0 | -5.8 | 6.1 |
| Cotton | 15 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 0.9 | -40.0 | -11.1 | 12.5 | -44.4 |
| Tobacco | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0.9 | - | -20.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maize | 427 | 406 | 399 | 432 | 387 | 6.5 | -4.9 | -1.7 | 8.3 | -10.4 |
| Beans | 51 | 51 | 45 | 23 | 35 | 1.8 | - | -11.8 | -48.9 | 52.2 |
| Paddy rice | 52 | 62 | 57 | 47 | 56 | 1.5 | 19.2 | -8.1 | -17.5 | 19.1 |
| Plantains | 171 | 147 | 158 | 160 | 172 | 1.3 | -14.0 | 7.5 | - | 7.5 |
| African palm | 312 | 325 | 294 | 314 | 299 | 2.1 | 4.2 | -9.5 | 6.8 | -4.8 |
| Indicators of stockraising production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks | 2478 | 2588 | 2717 | 2869 | 3045 |  | 4.4 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 6.1 |
| Pigs | 717 | 720 | 723 | 726 | 728 |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Sheep | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 |  | -4.3 | - | - | -4.5 |
| Poultry | 9436 | 10290 | 10908 | 11823 | 12835 |  | 9.1 | 6.0 | 8.4 | 8.6 |
| Slaughtering ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle | 346 | 346 | 347 | 350 | 389 |  | 0.6 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 11.1 |
| Pigs | 360 | 369 | 380 | 391 | 404 |  | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| Poultry | 13086 | 13465 | 13856 | 14258 | 14671 |  | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Other products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk ${ }^{1}$ | 247 | 269 | 283 | 299 | 317 |  | 8.9 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
| Eggs ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 46 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 52 |  | 2.2 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 4.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures from the Central Bank of Honduras.
Preliminary figures. ' Estimated on the basis of the gross value of production at constant prices. Estimated on the basis of value added. Thousands of tons. "Thousands of heads. Millions of litres. ${ }^{8}$ Millions of dozens.

Table 7
HONDURAS: INDICATORS OF FISHERY PRODUCTION

|  | Volume (tons) |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1985{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| Total | 6124 | 6278 | 5386 | 10625 | 11677 | 100.0 | 2.5 | -14.2 | 97.3 | 9.9 |
| Industrial fisheries | 3450 | 3931 | 3407 | 3478 | 4222 | 72.0 | 13.9 | -13.3 | 2.1 | 21.4 |
| Shrimp | 1707 | 1913 | 2067 | 2273 | 2501 | 27.1 | 12.1 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Lobster | 1537 | 1395 | 1093 | 1202 | 1322 | 44.7 | -9.2 | -21.6 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Fish | 85 | 367 | 208 | 229 | 352 | 0.1 | 331.8 | -43.3 | 10.1 | 53.7 |
| Other species | 120 | 256 | 39 | 43 | 48 | 0.2 | 113.3 | -84.8 | 10.3 | 11.6 |
| Artisanal fisheries | 812 | 533 | 559 | 615 | 677 | 2.0 | -34.4 | 4.9 | 10.0 | 10.1 |
| Shrimp | 77 | 91 | 96 | 105 | 116 | 1.2 | 18.2 | 5.5 | 9.4 | 10.5 |
| Lobster | 4 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | - | 175.0 | 9.1 | - | 7.7 |
| Fish | 563 | 285 | 300 | 330 | 363 | 0.6 | -49.4 | 5.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Other species | 168 | 145 | 152 | 167 | 184 | 0.2 | -13.7 | 4.8 | 9.9 | 10.2 |
| Aquiculture | 1863 | 1814 | 1420 | 6262 | 6778 | 26.0 | -2.6 | -21.7 | 341.0 | 8.2 |
| Shrimp | 1612 | 1503 | 1149 | 5543 | 5987 | 25.6 | -6.8 | -23.6 | 382.4 | 8.0 |
| Fish | 251 | 311 | 271 | 718 | 790 | 0.4 | 23.9 | -12.9 | 164.9 | 10.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Fisheries Department of the Ministry of Planning (SECPLAN).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Estimated on the basis of the gross value of production at constant prices.

Table 8
HONDURAS: PRODUCTION OF SELECTED MANUFACTURES

|  |  | Indexes |  | (1980 | $=$ | 100) | Growth rates |  | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |  |
| Cement | 113.1 | 117.2 | 146.9 | 182.3 | 211.2 | 3.6 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 15.9 |
| Asbestos-cement | 121.7 | 108.6 | 157.8 | 181.1 | 198.6 | -10.8 | 45.3 | 14.8 | 9.7 |
| Textiles | 83.8 | 71.2 | 109.4 | 121.4 | 120.4 | -15.0 | 53.7 | 11.0 | -0.8 |
| Wheat Hour | 114.5 | 126.1 | 127.4 | 138.7 | 139.9 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 0.9 |
| Cane sugar | 115.0 | 120.0 | 101.1 | 91.5 | ... | 4.3 | -15.8 | -9.5 |  |
| Cigarettes | 107.9 | 99.6 | 97.6 | 108.2 | 119.5 | -7.7 | -2.0 | 10.9 | 10.4 |
| Matches | 110.7 | 116.0 | 105.6 | 111.0 | 123.7 | 4.8 | -9.0 | 5.1 | 11.4 |
| Beer | 108.2 | 118.5 | 125.5 | 141.9 | 157.4 | 9.5 | 5.9 | 13.1 | 10.9 |
| Beverages (carbonated) | 111.4 | 106.6 | 122.5 | 142.3 | 151.2 | -4.3 | 14.9 | 16.2 | 6.3 |
| Aguardiente | 85.4 | 93.0 | 92.5 | 103.9 | 112.4 | 8.9 | -0.5 | 12.3 | 8.2 |
| Spirits | 73.4 | 77.1 | 72.5 | 76.2 | 76.1 | 5.0 | -6.0 | 5.1 | -0.1 |
| Industrial consumption of electricity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 450 | 410 | 416 | 469 | 504 | -8.9 | 1.5 | 12.7 | 7.5 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. | supplied <br> kWh. | y the C | ntral B | k of Ho |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9
HONDURAS: CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Area constructed (thousands of m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 280 | 312 | 350 | 521 | 712 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 48.9 | 36.7 |
| Residential | 181 | 214 | 237 | 348 | 519 | 18.2 | 10.7 | 46.8 | 49.1 |
| Production of selected building materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cement ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8177 | 8470 | 10615 | 13178 | 15265 | 3.6 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 15.9 |
| Asbestos-cement slabs (m) ${ }^{2}$ | 2470 | 2294 | 3204 | 3676 | 4032 | -7.1 | 39.7 | 14.7 | 9.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Honduras.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Based on applications for private building permits in urban areas of the Central District, San Pedro Sula and La Ceiba from 1 October 1988 through 30 September 1989. May differ from amount of construction actually effective. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of 42.5 kilo sacks.

Table 10
HONDURAS: INDICATORS OF ELECTRICITY GENERATION AND CONSUMPTION

|  | Millions of kWh |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 1384 | 1460 | 1783 | 1939 | 2028 | 5.5 | 22.1 | 8.7 | 4.6 |
| Production (net) | 1377 | 1453 | 1782 | 1934 | 2023 | 5.5 | 22.6 | 8.5 | 4.6 |
| Imported | 7 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 5 | - | -85.7 | 400.0 | - |
| Total demand | 1384 | 1460 | 1783 | 1939 | 2028 | 5.5 | 22.1 | 8.7 | 4.6 |
| Domestic consumption | 1065 | 1059 | 1145 | 1259 | 1353 | -0.6 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 7.5 |
| Residential | 330 | 340 | 371 | 405 | 436 | 3.0 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 7.7 |
| Commercial | 177 | 193 | 230 | 243 | 267 | 9.0 | 19.2 | 5.7 | 9.9 |
| Industrial ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 450 | 410 | 416 | 469 | 504 | -8.9 | 1.5 | 12.7 | 7.5 |
| Government offices | 80 | 88 | 99 | 111 | 114 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 2.7 |
| Public lighting | 27 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 31 | - | 3.7 | 7.1 | 3.3 |
| Others ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Exports | 134 | 158 | 322 | 307 | 221 | 17.9 | 103.8 | -4.7 | -28.0 |
| Losses ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 185 | 243 | 316 | 373 | 454 | 31.4 | 30.0 | 18.0 | 21.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures provided by the National Electric Power Company of Honduras (ENEE). Note: The figures for electric power supply and demand relate to the ENEE system.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.
Net production = gross production minus the energy consumed in generating plants.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Corresponds to large enterprises with high rates of consumption in various economic activities. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Sales to other systems and uninvoiced consumption. ${ }^{\circ}$ Losses in transmission and distribution.

Table 11
HONDURAS: ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE POPULATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population <br> (thousands of inhabitants) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b | $\mathbf{3 7 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0 3}$ | $4 \mathbf{3 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 4 4}$ | $4 \mathbf{5 6 9}$ |
| Economically active <br> population | 1167 | 1211 | 1257 | 1304 | 1353 | 1404 | 1457 | 1511 |
| Thousands of persons | 107.8 | 111.8 | 116.1 | 120.4 | 124.9 | 129.6 | 134.5 | 139.5 |
| Index | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}^{\mathbf{6}}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1 2 2}^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2}^{\mathbf{d}}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 1}^{\mathbf{e}}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}^{\mathbf{e}}$ |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures. The rates of urban open unemployment were derived from continuous surveys of the labour force conducted by the Department of Statistics and Censuses of the Ministry of Planning (SECPLAN).

* Preliminary figures. Tegucigalpa and San Pedro Sula only. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Rate for 16 cities. cities. $\quad{ }^{e}$ Rate for the urban areas of five regions.

Table 12
HONDURAS: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance en current account | -249 | -254 | -386 | -337 | -293 | -341 | -350 | -325 |
| Trade balance | -56 | -111 | -219 | -160 | -52 | -119 | -102 | -80 |
| Exports of goods and services | 767 | 801 | 847 | 903 | 1009 | 970 | 1017 | 1095 |
| Goods, FOB | 677 | 699 | 737 | 790 | 891 | 844 | 893 | 967 |
| Real services | 90 | 102 | 110 | 114 | 118 | 126 | 124 | 128 |
| Transport and insurance | 37 | 44 | 51 | 54 | 54 | 60 | 58 | 63 |
| Travel | 25 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 28 |
| Imports of goods and services | 823 | 912 | 1066 | 1063 | 1061 | 1089 | 1118 | 1175 |
| Goods, FOB | 681 | 756 | 885 | 879 | 874 | 894 | 917 | 964 |
| Real services | 142 | 156 | 181 | 184 | 187 | 195 | 202 | 211 |
| Transport and insurance | 69 | 78 | 88 | 88 | 87 | 89 | 93 | 98 |
| Travel | 23 | 21 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 35 | 37 | 38 |
| Factor services | -202 | -152 | -178 | -190 | -254 | -239 | -266 | -263 |
| Profits | -45 | -33 | -57 | -58 | -67 | -70 | -72 | -75 |
| Interest received | 15 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Interest paid outstanding | -172 | -131 | -135 | -145 | -197 | -178 | -203 | -199 |
| Labour and ownership | - | - | - | - | - | - | -1 | - |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Balance on capital account | 173 | 207 | 397 | 302 | 353 | 406 | 369 | 350 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 21 | 35 | 70 | 133 | 145 | 115 | 117 | 54 |
| Long-term capital | 134 | 148 | 262 | 222 | 58 | 53 | -28 | 15 |
| Direct investment | 14 | 21 | 21 | 28 | 30 | 39 | 47 | 37 |
| Portfolio investment | 0 | - | -2 | 1 | -1 | 1 | - |  |
| Other long-term capital | 120 | 127 | 243 | 193 | 29 | 13 | -74 |  |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 43 | 53 | 97 | 78 | 57 | 66 | 14 |  |
| Loans received | 95 | 104 | 132 | 178 | 140 | 163 | 184 |  |
| Amortization payments | -42 | -43 | -33 | -55 | -44 | -83 | -133 |  |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -2 | -3 | -4 | 7 | -1 | -2 | -2 |  |
| Loans received | 3 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 9 | 5 |  |
| Amortization payments | -5 | -6 | -6 | -6 | -15 | -11 | -7 |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 80 | 77 | 150 | 108 | -27 | -50 | -87 |  |
| Loans received | 142 | 177 | 250 | 199 | 109 | 69 | 37 |  |
| Amortization payments | -62 | -100 | -100 | -90 | -136 | -119 | -124 |  |
| Short-term capital | 19 | 11 | 74 | 2 | 143 | 183 | 158 | 199 |
| Official sector | 39 | -2 | 64 | 29 | 144 | 142 | 163 |  |
| Commercial banks | 18 | 8 | -6 | 5 | - | 30 | -10 |  |
| Other sectors | -37 | 5 | 16 | -32 | - | 11 | 6 |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -1 | 13 | -8 | -55 | 6 | $56^{\text {d }}$ | $121^{\text {d }}$ | $82^{\text {a }}$ |
| Global balance | -76 | -47 | 11 | -35 | 60 | 65 | 19 | 25 |
| Total variation in reserves (- sign indicates an increase) | 53 | 39 | -27 | 19 | -43 | -26 | 18 | -25 |
| Monetary gold | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | - | -4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | -11 | 3 | -21 | 22 | -6 | 5 | 56 |  |
| Other assets | -2 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -1 |  |
| Use of IMF credit | 66 | 43 | -11 | -2 | -35 | -30 | -36 |  |

Source: 1982-1988: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund; 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Central Bank of Honduras.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{c}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Includes arrears in external debt service. In 1986, US\$97.8 million; in 1987, US\$141.7 million; in 1988, US\$125.8 million and in 1989, US\$214.5 million. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Equals total variation in reserves (of opposite sign), plus counterpart items.

Table 13

## HONDURAS: EXCHANGE RATES

(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange <br> rate (lempiras $\qquad$ per dollar) | Real effective exchange rate indexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$$(1985=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  | 124.7 | 120.8 |
| 1980-1989 |  | 111.5 | 107.8 |
| 1980 | 2 | 137.6 | 133.4 |
| 1981 | 2 | 128.0 | 125.8 |
| 1982 | 2 | 117.0 | 113.3 |
| 1983 | 2 | 108.3 | 105.9 |
| 1984 | 2 | 103.7 | 103.2 |
| 1985 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 2 | 102.5 | 97.7 |
| 1987 | 2 | 108.1 | 100.7 |
| 1988 | 2 | 108.9 | 102.1 |
| 1989 | 2 | 101.2 | 95.6 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |
| I | 2 | 106.2 | 98.8 |
| II | 2 | 108.4 | 100.9 |
| III | 2 | 107.4 | 100.6 |
| IV | 2 | 110.5 | 102.6 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |
| I | 2 | 111.5 | 103.8 |
| II | 2 | 110.9 | 103.7 |
| III | 2 | 106.4 | 100.6 |
| IV | 2 | 106.7 | 100.4 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |
| I | 2 | 105.0 | 99.2 |
| II | 2 | 102.5 | 97.3 |
| III | 2 | 99.2 | 93.8 |
| IV | 2 | 98_1 | 92.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information from the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Corresponds to the average of the indexes of the real exchange rate for the lempira vis- $\grave{a}$-vis the currencies of Honduras' main trading partners, weighted according to the relative significance of exports or imports, as the case may be, to or from these countries. The weightings correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. The calculations have been based on wholesale price indexes whenever possible, but in the case of Honduras the consumer price index was used. For details on the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981.

Table 14
HONDURAS: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -13.7 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 12.9 | -5.3 | 5.8 | 8.3 |
| Volume | -11.5 | 5.0 | -1.5 | 17.6 | -2.3 | 4.9 | -2.4 | 7.5 |
| Unit value | -2.5 | -1.6 | 7.1 | -8.9 | 15.5 | -9.6 | 8.3 | 0.7 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -24.2 | 11.1 | 17.0 | -0.6 | -0.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 5.2 |
| Volume | -19.4 | 13.9 | 12.8 | -6.5 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | -0.7 |
| Unit value | -6.0 | -2.5 | 3.7 | 6.3 | -6.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 5.9 |
| Terms of trade | 2.8 | 0.5 | 2.2 | -14.2 | 22.7 | -11.7 | 6.0 | -4.8 |
|  | Indexes (1980 $=1100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 77.5 | 81.7 | 82.2 | 83.0 | 99.5 | 92.2 | 95.4 | 97.6 |
| Volume of exports | 85.0 | 89.2 | 87.9 | 103.4 | 101.1 | 106.0 | 103.5 | 111.3 |
| Volume of imports | 70.2 | 79.9 | 90.1 | 84.3 | 89.3 | 89.5 | 90.2 | 89.6 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/FOB) | 92.0 | 92.8 | 95.8 | 82.1 | 101.1 | 89.5 | 95.3 | 90.6 |

Source: ECUVC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }_{a}$ Preliminary figures. Corresponds to FOB/CIF values ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ 1Estimated on the basis of the price index for imports of goods (FOB).

Table 15
HONDURAS: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Honduras.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The figures shown here do not exactly coincide with those appearing in the balance of payments because they do not include adjustments for undervaluation.
. Table 16

## HONDURAS: VOLUME OF EXPORTS OF MAIN PRODUCTS

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Bananas | 931 | 851 | 989 | 938 | 901 | -8.6 | 16.2 | -5.2 | -3.9 |
| Coffee | 79 | 88 | 96 | 84 | 94 | 11.4 | 9.6 | -13.0 | 12.4 |
| Sugar | 131 | 70 | 105 | 75 | 23 | -46.6 | 51.4 | -28.2 | -69.8 |
| Chilled meat | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 20.0 | -11.0 | 2.9 | -13.1 |
| Zinc | 39 | 41 | 20 | 24 | 60 | 5.1 | -50.8 | 19.1 | 152.3 |
| Shrimps and lobsters | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | - | 30.2 | 35.7 | 8.5 |
| Cotton | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |  | -16.7 | -60.3 |  |  |
| Lead | 17 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 5.9 | -62.8 | 55.2 | 8.4 |
| Tobacco | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -33.3 | -15.9 | -10.8 | 60.1 |
| Wood ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 90 | 93 | 96 | 76 | 61 | 3.3 | 3.8 | -20.6 | -19.9 |
| Silver ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | -49.2 | 20.7 | -6.9 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Honduras.
Preliminary figures. Millions of board feet. 'Millions of troy ounces.

Table 17
HONDURAS: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 899 | 933 | 981 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -1.5 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 5.1 |
| Consumer goods | 229 | 243 | 250 | 233 | 25.5 | 12.1 | -2.5 | 6.1 | 2.9 |
| Durable | 87 | 89 | 91 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 21.3 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Non durable | 142 | 154 | 158 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 7.7 | -6.6 | 8.5 | 2.6 |
| Raw materials and intermediate goods | 449 | 472 | 510 | 50.9 | 52.0 | -6.1 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 8.1 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 119 | 115 | 147 | 16.8 | 15.0 | -38.8 | 23.7 | -3.4 | 27.8 |
| Materials for construction | 29 | 32 | 36 | 4.9 | 3.7 | -20.1 | -15.2 | 10.3 | 12.5 |
| Other | 301 | 324 | 327 | 29.2 | 33.3 |  | -15.2 | 7.6 | 0.9 |
| Capital goods | 206 | 209 | 212 | 25.7 | 21.6 | -4.5 | 19.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| For agriculture | 19 | 18 | 19 | 2.2 | 1.9 | -15.7 | 35.7 | -5.3 | 5.6 |
| For industry | 132 | 142 | 141 | 17.5 | 14.4 | -6.0 | 4.8 | 7.6 | -0.7 |
| For transport | 56 | 49 | 52 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 8.6 | 66.7 | -12.5 | 6.1 |
| Other products | 15 | 9 | 9 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 366.7 | -333 | -40.0 |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Honduras.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. The figures shown here differ from those appearing in the balance of payments because they are CIF values and do not include adjustments for undervaluation.

Table 18
HONDURAS: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balances | 1986 | 2162 | $2392{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $2794^{\circ}$ | $3018{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3105 | 3338 | 3351 |
| Public | 1552 | 1766 | $2041^{\text {c }}$ | 2529 | 2742 | 2793 | 3065 | 3092 |
| Private | 434 | 396 | 351 | 265 | 276 | 312 | 273 | 259 |
| Long- and medium-term | 1748 | 1543 | 2159 | 2483 | 2699 | 2781 | 3012 | 3055 |
| Short-term | 238 | 607 | 233 | 312 | 319 | 324 | 321 | 296 |
| Disbursements | 364 | 363 | 506 | 471 | 428 | 445 | 573 | $286{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Service | 371 | 305 | 334 | 375 | 481 | 518 | 633 | 319 |
| Principal | 236 | 187 | 222 | 259 | 337 | 393 | 469 | $272^{\text {e }}$ |
| Interest | 135 | 118 | 112 | 117 | 144 | 125 | 164 | $47^{\text {e }}$ |
| External public debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disbursements | 270 | 258 | 458 | 457 | 355 | 335 | 473 | 198 |
| Service | 231 | 158 | 208 | 296 | 360 | 415 | 499 | 213 |
| Principal | 110 | 72 | 128 | 197 | 237 | 302 | 351 | 167 |
| Interest | 121 | 86 | 80 | 99 | 123 | 113 | 148 | 46 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 258.9 | 269.9 | 282.3 | 309.4 | 299.1 | 320.1 | 328.2 | 306.0 |
| Debt service/exports of goods and services | 48.4 | 38.1 | 39.4 | 41.6 | 47.6 | 53.4 | 62.3 | 29.1 |
| Net interest '/exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt service/disbursements | 101.9 | 84.0 | 66.0 | 79.7 | 112.3 | 116.2 | 110.4 | 111.4 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Honduras. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. End-of-year balances of disbursed debt, not including increases due to arrears of interest. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes adjustments for exchange rate. Includes letters of credit, debtor in respect of acceptances and claims. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Includes net flows of letters of credit, claims and debtors in respect of acceptances. Corresponds to the interest actually paid, including interest owed but not paid; hence the difference from the corresponding item of the balance of payments. <br> ${ }^{g}$ Does not include interest paid on letters of credit, claims and debtors in respect of acceptances. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| payments. ${ }^{8}$ Does not include interest paid on letters of credit, claims and debtors in respect of acceptances. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ All ratios refer to the total external debt. ' Refers to the corresponding entry (net) of the balance of payments. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 19

## HONDURAS: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | December-to-December variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 8.8 | 7.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 11.4 |  |
| Food | 6.8 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 10.2 | 13.1 |  |
| Wholesale price index | 12.1 | 6.3 | -0.1 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 10.7 | 20.4 |  |
| Imported products | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ | 9.4 | -2.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 17.4 | 29.5 |  |
| Domestic products | $\mathbf{9 . 3}$ | 4.6 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 7.3 | 15.2 |  |
| Agricultural | 6.1 | 5.0 | -0.3 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 18.5 |  |
| Manufactured | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ | 4.4 | 1.9 | 2.9 | -1.0 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 13.1 |  |
| Construction materials | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ | 3.3 | -0.3 | 2.9 | -3.6 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 15.8 |  |
|  | Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 9.0 | 8.3 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 9.8 |  |
| Food | 5.9 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 12.5 |  |
| Wholesale price index | 10.1 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 18.6 |  |
| Imported products | 15.7 | 11.1 | 1.7 | -0.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 8.7 | 27.3 |  |
| Domestic products | 7.4 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 13.9 |  |
| Agricultural | 2.6 | 7.8 | -1.4 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 16.6 |  |
| Manufactured | 10.6 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 12.1 |  |
| Construction materials | 3.3 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | -0.8 | -0.7 | 6.6 | 16.7 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures; provided by the Central Bank of Honduras.

Table 20
HONDURAS: MINIMUM WAGES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lempiras per inormal working day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and stock-raising | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Cultivation of bananas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for export | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5 workers | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| 6 or more workers | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Mining | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Construction | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| Commerce and services ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Indexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real minimum wages in all sectors of activity | 100.0 | 92.3 | 88.2 | 85.4 | 81.8 | 79.8 | 76.3 | 69.5 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real minimum wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in all sectors of activity |  | -7.7 | -4.5 | -3.3 | -4.2 | -2.4 | -4.3 | -8.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Wages Department of the Ministry of labour and Social Security and the Central Bank of Honduras.

* Correspond to Central District and San Pedro Sula. The minimum wages now in force were fixed in June 1981.

When 6 or more workers are employed. ${ }^{c}$ Except for railroad equipment maintenance and repair.

Table 21
HONDURAS: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Millions of lempiras |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 1153 | 1289 | 1440 | 1520 | 8.4 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 5.6 |
| Tax revenue | 1087 | 1226 | 1376 | 1462 | 9.0 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 6.3 |
| Direct | 259 | 309 | 353 | 388 | 4.0 | 19.3 | 14.2 | 9.9 |
| Indirect | 423 | 476 | 610 | 631 | 24.8 | 12.5 | 28.2 | 3.4 |
| On foreign trade | 405 | 441 | 413 | 443 | -1.0 | 8.9 | -6.3 | 7.3 |
| Non-tax revenue | 66 | 63 | 64 | 58 | -1.5 | -4.5 | 1.6 | -9.4 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 1329 | 1488 | 1650 | 1790 | 9.4 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 8.5 |
| Wages and salaries | 706 | 765 | 866 | 917 | 13.3 | 8.4 | 13.2 | 5.9 |
| Other current expenditure | 623 | 723 | 784 | 873 | 5.2 | 16.1 | 8.4 | 11.4 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | -176 | -199 | -210 | -270 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 419 | 395 | 418 | 431 | -12.2 | -5.7 | 5.8 | 3.1 |
| Real investment | 200 | 207 | 309 | 338 | - | 3.5 | 49.3 | 9.4 |
| Other capital expenditure | 219 | 188 | 109 | 93 | -20.9 | -14.2 | -42.0 | -14.7 |
| 5. Total expenditure ( $2+4$ ) | 1748 | 1883 | 2068 | 2221 | 3-5 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 7.4 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (1-5) | 595 | 594 | 628 | 701 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Financing of the deficit | 595 | 594 | 628 | 701 |  |  |  |  |
| Net domestic financing | 233 | 266 | 306 | 529 | 17.7 | 14.2 | 15.0 | 72.9 |
| Credit received | 602 | 639 | 884 | 882 | 14.4 | 6.1 | 38.3 | -0.2 |
| Amortization | -369 | -373 | -578 | -353 | 12.5 | 1.1 | 55.0 | -38.9 |
| Sale of bonds | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Net external financing | 362 | 328 | 322 | 172 | -15.8 | -9.4 | -1.8 | -46.6 |
| Credit received | 440 | 388 | 442 | 232 | -11.5 | -11.8 | 13.9 | -47.5 |
| Amortization | -81 | -63 | -123 | -60 | 8.0 | -22.2 | 95.2 | -51.2 |
| Sale of bonds | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | -62.5 | - |  | -100.0 |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current savings/capital expenditure | -42.0 | -50.4 | -50.2 | -62.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit /current expenditure | -44.8 | -39.9 | -38.1 | -39.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | -34.0 | -31.5 | -30.4 | -31.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue/GDP | 14.3 | 15.2 | 15.4 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 23.0 | 23.4 | 23.2 | 22.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing/deficit | -39.2 | -44.8 | -48.7 | -75.5 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing/deficit | -60.8 | -55.2 | -51.3 | -24.5 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Honduras and the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 22
HONDURAS: MONETARY BALANCE AND INDICATORS

|  | End-year balances (millions of lempiras) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Money (Mi) | 916 | 1080 | 1206 | 1462 | 8.5 | 17.9 | 11.7 | 21.2 |
| Currency outside banks | 417 | 483 | 562 | 666 | 3.2 | 15.8 | 16.4 | 18.5 |
| Current account deposits | 499 | 597 | 644 | 7\% | 13.4 | 19.6 | 7.9 | 23.6 |
| Factors of expansion | 3530 | 4173 | 4619 | 5242 | 1L3 | 18.2 | 10.7 | 13.5 |
| Net international reserves | -340 | -268 | -223 | -235 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic credit | 3870 | 4441 | 4842 | 5477 | 10.5 | 14.8 | 9.0 | 13.1 |
| To the public sector | 1243 | 1413 | 1653 | 2013 | 16.0 | 13.7 | 17.0 | 21.8 |
| Central government (net) | 978 | 1141 | 1351 | 1722 | 19.9 | 16.7 | 18.4 | 27.5 |
| Public institutions | 26 S | 272 | 302 | 291 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 11.0 | -3.6 |
| To the private sector | 2627 | 3028 | 3189 | 3464 | 8.2 | 15.3 | 5.3 | 8.6 |
| Factors of absorption | 2614 | 3093 | 3404 | 3780 | 12.2 | 18.3 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
| Quasi-money (savings and time deposits) | 1162 | 1407 | 1565 | 1741 | 14.5 | 21.1 | 11.2 | 11.2 |
| Other items (net) | 1452 | 1686 | 1839 | 2039 | 10.5 | 16.1 | 9.1 | 10.9 |
| Coefficients ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mi} /$ monetary base | 1.64 | 1.73 | 1.63 | 1.62 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/monetary base | 3.83 | 4.11 | 3.96 | 3.72 |  |  |  |  |
| Velocity of circulation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GDP/MI | 9.29 | 8.73 | 8.41 | 7.59 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Central Bank of Honduras.
${ }^{1}$ Revised figures. Preliminary figures. ' Estimated on the basis of annual averages.

Table 23
HONDURAS: BANK INTEREST RATES
(Percentages)

| 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Nominal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time deposits | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Loans | 10.3 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 8.6 |
|  | 16.2 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 15.5 |
| Real $^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Savings deposits | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.3 | -3.3 |
| Time deposits | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 4.0 | -1.1 |
| Loans | 11.0 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 5.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Honduras.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Averages.

## MEXICO

In 1989 the Mexican economy's external payments position improved considerably, thanks to the effects which the renegotiation of a substantial portion of the country's external debt had on capital flows and the expectations of economic agents. This proved to be a decisive factor both in the consolidation of the stabilization programme begun in 1988 and in the reactivation of production.

The annual rate of inflation dropped below $20 \%$. For its part, the GDP grew by nearly $3 \%$, and this was reflected in a slight upturn in the per capita product, which had decreased by $8 \%$ over the preceding three years. The country's increased economic activity, particularly in the manufacturing sector, boosted employment and real wages, although a further decrease was seen in that portion of labour income linked to the trend in the minimum wage. Imports once again expanded much more than exports, causing the trade balance for goods and services to fall to a record low for the decade. A heavy inflow of capital permitted the country to finance its larger deficit on current account and to make a small increase in its international reserves. These events took place against the backdrop of a substantial reduction in the fiscal deficit and greater leeway for the Government in the sphere of financial management (see table 1 and figure 1).

The process of opening up the economy to trade (which had already involved a considerable reduction in tariffs in 1988), the higher level of domestic economic activity and the stabilization of the real exchange rate at a level substantially below that of 1986-1987 all contributed to a further increase (24\%) in merchandise imports, which have thus nearly doubled in the space of two years. Merchandise exports climbed by $10 \%$; the rise in petroleum exports was due to higher oil prices, since their volume fell, as did that of exports of agricultural goods and of the rest of the products of the
mining sector, while the growth rate of exports of manufactures slowed. The increase in the trade balance for real services, which was a result of the dynamism of the inbond assembly industry, was offset by the rise in interest payments, and the deficit on current account was consequently about $3 \%$ of GDP, the largest since 1982. Faced with the need to finance this deficit and given the pivotal role played by the exchange policy in the country's stabilization plan, the search for a solution to the debt problem became a priority for the new Administration.

Its strategy in this respect was launched with the signature of a new three-year Extended Financing Facility with the International Monetary Fund. Immediately thereafter major agreements were concluded with the Paris Club, the Government of Japan and the World Bank; these agreements ushered in a more complex phase of negotiations in which some 500 commercial banks were involved. In July an arrangement was finally made, within the context of the Brady Plan, whereby each institution was given a number of options for voluntary renegotiations with Mexico: a 35\% reduction in the debt principal, a reduction of interest to a fixed rate of $6.25 \%$, or the provision of additional financing amounting to $25 \%$ of the nominal value of that portion of the debt to which the other options had not been applied. The agreement was finally signed in February 1990 and covered approximately US\$48.5 billion of the debt. Of this amount, $41 \%$ was renegotiated under the terms of the first option, $47 \%$ under the second option, and only $12 \%$ under the third option. It is estimated that between 1990 and 1994 the interest savings and the deferral of amortization payments made possible by this agreement will lower the country's outward transfers by slightly more than US $\$ 3.7$ billion per year.

Figure 1
MEXICO: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS


Figure 1 (concluded)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

The announcement of this agreement in mid-1989 restored confidence in the exchange and financial markets and in the country's economic situation in general. Although this prompted a moderate drop in nominal interest rates, they fell more slowly than the rate of inflation, and real variations therefore remained quite high (annual rates of from 20\% to $30 \%$ ). These circumstances sparked a large inflow of capital, which permitted the country to balance its external payments and to make a slight increase in its international reserves, which had dropped sharply the year before. Thus, direct investment totalled more than US\$2 billion and the flow of unrecorded private capital reversed its direction, moving from US\$-600 million to US\$2.8 billion.

External support thus proved to be a decisive factor in consolidating the Government's strategy for fighting inflation, which was based on a concerted price and wage policy and on a severe fiscal adjustment. From March onward the 12 -month rate of inflation remained below $20 \%$, which was much lower than it had been during the preceding two years ( $159 \%$ and $52 \%$ as of the end of 1987 and 1988, respectively). The only significant changes made in the scheme known as the Stability and Economic Growth Pact (PECE), which was due to expire in July but was extended beyond the end of 1989 , were the introduction of a daily crawling peg to avoid a lag in the exchange rate in real terms; the adjustment of some private-sector prices and of some public rates and charges; and the implementation of a more flexible wage policy. Within the framework of the effort being made to open up the economy to trade, exchange corrections, which were subordinated to the annual inflation target, became the key instrument for indirectly controlling the prices of goods involved in the country's foreign trade. However, as usually occurs in such cases, the prices of services and of some fresh foods rose far more than the average, owing to the methods of indexation that were used and to changes in supply and demand conditions. Towards the end of the year significant readjustments in the prices of some products, such as tortillas and gasoline, were authorized in order to forestall major price lags in real terms.

The adjustment of public finances was an important factor in the country's external negotiations and in the programme for fighting inflation. Thanks to a substantial increase in tax receipts and the curbs placed on public expenditure, a large primary surplus (about $8 \%$ of GDP) was generated. The broader tax base for some corporate taxes and improved tax administration and discipline resulted in an increase in revenues, while most of the spending cuts were in capital expenditures. In addition, the decline in nominal interest rates made it possible to reduce the financial deficit to less than $6 \%$ of GDP, which was about one-half the size of the deficit recorded the year before. Furthermore, the Government continued to liquidate or privatize a number of State enterprises and proceeded with its policy of deregulation, and this created a more favourable environment for certain national and foreign private-sector activities.

The Government's management of monetary and financial affairs was facilitated by the public-sector's reduced demand for funds and savers' confidence in the economic programme. In late 1988 the financial controls implemented in the form of bank reserve requirements began to be relaxed, at the same time that the composition and maturity profile of the public domestic debt was being modified. Moreover, the exchange system was made more consistent by the deregulation of interest rates as these rates came to be used as the mechanism for controlling the growth of credit to the private sector, which was expanding rapidly as a result of the large volume of resources being released and the huge inflow of capital. The high level of real interest rates caused M2 and M3 to increase sharply. The expansion of Mi was, on the other hand, more gradual; the economy's liquidity coefficient (defined as MI/GDP), in particular, remained very low, reflecting a partial remonetization of the economy, primarily in the interest-bearing aggregates.

The buoyancy of economic activity was chiefly a result of the increase in private investment ( $10 \%$ ), although private consumer spending also rose substantially (7\%) following a long period of stagnation. The fact that more credit was channeled to the private sector and the predominance of favourable expectations

Figure 2
MEXICO: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX
(Percentages)


Sourco: ECLAC, on the basis of official figuras.
stimulated investment, especially in machinery and equipment. The increase in spending on consumer durables by private individuals was a consequence of the rise in the incomes of large and medium-scale savers (owing to the high level of real interest rates and gains on the stock exchange) as well as the drop in the relative prices of some goods (e.g., motor vehicles) and easier credit terms. There was also a substantial increase in expenditure on non-durable consumer goods as the situation of many medium- and low-income groups improved, thanks to the more rapid rate of job creation, the upturn in wages in the manufacturing sector, the large volume of foreign-exchange remittances made by emigrants and the rising incomes of the labour force engaged in
informal activities. In contrast, the incomes of workers receiving the minimum wage decreased once again; rural producers also saw a drop in their income due to poor harvests and the fact that support prices lagged behind real values. Consequently, income differences between the various strata of society widened, although there was considerable progress made in the aggregate.

The expansion of domestic demand was satisfied through both a larger volume of imports and an increase in local production. In this area as well, the performance of the different branches of production was quite uneven. Rather than being hurt by the opening up of the economy to external trade, manufacturing regained its former buoyancy
(7\%), although its sources of growth were different from before. Domestic trade was spurred by a larger supply of domestic financing and the greater flow of imported consumer goods. A portion of the purchases of foreign goods was attributable to the above-mentioned trends in commerce, but another portion was due to domestic production shortfalls. This was the case in the agricultural sector, in which structural rigidities compounded the effects of bad weather, resulting in meagre harvests.

In sum, in 1989 the Mexican economy appears to have reached the end of a cyclical downturn, in the midst of painful external and fiscal adjustments, and to have entered a stage of greater stability, coupled with a moderate expansion of production, on the basis of a new position in the international economy. The years ahead are not likely to be free, however, of the after-effects of the crisis of the preceding years, such as the sluggishness of the recovery in aggregate investment and the backlog of unmet basic needs existing in vast sectors of both the urban and rural populations.

## MEXICO: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes (1980 = 100)

| Basic economic indicators <br> Gross domestic product at market | 108.1 | 103.6 | 107.3 | 110.1 | 105.9 | 107.4 | 108.6 | 111.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| prices | 102.0 | 98.3 | 101.1 | 105.3 | 97.2 | 98.7 | 98.9 | 103.0 |
| Gross national income | 74.0 | 75.8 | 77.6 | 79.4 | 81.2 | 83.0 | 84.9 | 86.7 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 102.9 | 96.3 | 97.4 | 97.6 | 91.8 | 91.1 | 90.1 | 90.7 |
| Per capita gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.6 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 11.9 | 5.8 |
|  | 7.5 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| Public sector deficit/GDP | 134.2 | 168.2 | 139.1 | 138.3 | 186.4 | 190.0 | 158.0 | 152.7 |
| Money (MI)/GD P | 4.2 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 |

Growth rates

| Short-term economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic product | -0.6 | -4.2 | 3.6 | 2.6 | -3.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.9 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -3.0 | -6.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | -6.0 | -0.8 | -1.1 | 0.7 |
| Gross national income | -5.5 | -3.7 | 2.9 | 4.1 | -7.7 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 4.1 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 98.8 | 80.8 | 59.2 | 63.7 | 105.7 | 159.2 | 51.7 | 19.7 |
| Current value of exports of goods and services | -9.4 | 3.9 | 10.9 | -9.0 | -20.2 | 26.2 | 5.3 | 13.2 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -40.8 | -36.1 | 26.7 | 14.4 | -11.3 | 5.4 | 45.8 | 23.7 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -19.8 | 0.7 | -3.3 | 1.8 | -24.1 | 3.7 | -3.6 | 7.1 |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | 6278 | 14475 | 14056 | 9030 | 5550 | 10399 | 3986 | 1892 |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 12792 | 9355 | 10226 | 8937 | 7712 | 7060 | 7569 | 8012 |
| Balance on current account | -6289 | 5374 | 4153 | 422 | -1816 | 3721 | -3 130 | -5 647 |
| Balance on capital account | 2720 | -3 340 | -1996 | -3185 | 1649 | 1951 | -3 666 | 6043 |
| Variation in international reserves (net) | -3541 | 2183 | 2363 | -2984 | -231 | 5684 | -7 127 | 272 |
| Total external debt | 87600 | 93800 | 96700 | 97800 | 100500 | 102400 | 100400 | 95100 |

[^51]Table 2
MEXICO: REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 $==100$ ) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 " | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ( $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}$ ) | 98.7 | 98.9 | 103.0 | 96.5 | 88.7 | 87.9 | 89.0 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 107.4 | 108.6 | 111.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -7.8 | -8.6 | -7.5 |
| c) Net external factor payments | 112.0 | 114.4 | 117.4 | -3.5 | -3.7 | -3.7 | -3.7 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 287.6 | 323.3 | 327.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 3
MEXICO: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes $(1980$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=100)$ | Percentage <br> breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data and figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund (IMF).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were then converted to constant 1980 values using unit price indexes calculated by ECLAC for this purpose.

## MEXICO

Table 4
MEXICO: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS
DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product)*

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 21.8 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 18.6 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| Gross domestic investment | 27.6 | 28.1 | 28.2 | 26.4 | 25.1 | 28.1 | 27.2 | 25.2 |
| Gross domestic saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income from factor | -6.3 | -5.4 | -5.4 | -4.7 | -4.3 | -3.7 | -3.7 | -3.7 |
| services | -2.6 | -3.1 | -3.8 | -3.1 | -7.3 | -7.8 | -8.6 | -7.5 |
| Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrequited private | 18.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| transfers | 3.1 | -3.1 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 13.7 | 16.8 | 15.1 | 14.1 |
| Gross national saving | -2.2 | -0.2 | 1.0 | -1.9 | 1.5 | 2.6 |  |  |
| External saving | justed «xchange irate. | b | Preliminary figures. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5

## MEXICO: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 107.4 | 108.6 | 111.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -3.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.9 |
| Goods | 103.7 | 104.2 | 107.3 | 40.0 | 38.4 | -5.5 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 3.0 |
| Agriculture | 111.5 | 110.2 | 106.8 | 8.2 | 7.9 | -2.7 | 1.4 | -1.1 | -3.1 |
| Mining | 127.3 | 127.7 | 126.6 | 3.2 | 3.7 | -4.1 | 5.2 | 0.4 | -0.9 |
| Manufacturing | 102.8 | 105.0 | 111.3 | 22.1 | 22.0 | -5.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 6.0 |
| Construction | 84.6 | 81.8 | 84.3 | 6.4 | 4.8 | -10.3 | 1.5 | -3.3 | 3.0 |
| Basic services | 112.0 | 115.7 | 123.1 | 7.4 | 8.1 | -2.0 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 6.4 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 148.7 | 156.7 | 169.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 8.0 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 1063 | 109.3 | 116.0 | 6.4 | 6.6 | -3.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 6.1 |
| Other services | 110.2 | 111.6 | 114.1 | 53.7 | 54.8 | -2.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 98.7 | 99.9 | 103.1 | 28.0 | 25.8 | -6.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 3.2 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 135.0 | 138.7 | 141.5 | 8.6 | 10.9 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 129.6 | 133.1 | 136.4 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Community, social and personal services | 116.6 | 117.1 | 118.3 | 17.2 | 18.2 | -0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Government services | 120.0 | 119.1 | 118.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.7 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 |
| Adjustments for bank services | 136.5 | 140.9 | 143.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted according to the 1980 current price structure. Consequently the figures obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries based on their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

## MEXICO: QUARTERLY GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT

|  | 1988 |  |  |  | 1989 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11 | m | IV |  | 11 | III | IV |
| Total | 3.1 | 13 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 2.4 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fisheries | 1.5 | -3.7 | -0.2 | -9.2 | -4.3 | -2.2 | -2.3 | -1.0 |
| Mining | 3.1 | 2.9 | -1.4 | -2.1 | -1.6 | -3.8 | 2.7 | 0.5 |
| Manufacturing | 5.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 3.4 |
| Construction | 5.6 | -2.6 | -8.7 | -6.8 | -1.4 | 6.7 | 2.3 | -0.6 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 4.6 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 6.9 |
| Total services | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Commerce | 2.7 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 2.7 |
| Transport | 3.2 | -1.4 | 0.2 | -1.0 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| Communications | 9.7 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 19.6 | 26.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage variation with respect to the same period of the precedingyear. " Also includes other categories of services (government, financial services, housing, etc.).

Table 7
MEXICO: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index of domestic agricultural product ( $1980=100$ ) | 111.8 | 112.7 | 108.7 | 1053 | -1.4 | 0.8 | -3.5 | -3.1 |
| Crop farming | 110.3 | 112.0 | 107.0 | 104.8 | -4.2 | 1.5 | -4.5 | -2.1 |
| Livestock | 113.7 | 111.6 | 108.5 | 104.0 | 3.2 | -1.8 | -2.8 | -4.1 |
| Forestry | 104.5 | 110.2 | 108.8 | 98.1 | 3.2 | 5.5 | -1.3 | -9.8 |
| Hunting and fishing | 130.7 | 140.8 | 139.9 | 1403 | 0.2 | 7.7 | -0.6 | 0.3 |
| Production of main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw cotton | 144 | 219 | 309 | 161 | -33.3 | 52.1 | 41.1 | -47.9 |
| Coffee | 375 | 578 | 875 | 341 | 42.0 | 54.1 | 51.4 | -61.0 |
| Tomatoes | 1454 | 1672 | 1980 | 1859 | -1.0 | 15.0 | 18.4 | -6.1 |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice (hulled) | 360 | 390 | 301 | 399 | -32.5 | 8.3 | -22.8 | 32.6 |
| Maize | 11721 | 11607 | 10600 | 10816 | -16.0 | -1.0 | -8.7 | 2.0 |
| Beans | 1085 | 1024 | 857 | 599 | 19.8 | -5.6 | -16.3 | -30.1 |
| Wheat | 4770 | 4415 | 3625 | 4374 | -8.4 | -7.4 | -17.9 | 20.7 |
| Sorghum | 4833 | 6298 | 5895 | 4804 | -26.2 | 30.3 | -6.4 | -18.5 |
| Sugar cane | 34900 | 39523 | 29694 | 20639 | -2.2 | 13.2 | -24.9 | -30.5 |
| Soya | 709 | 828 | 226 | 1011 | -23.6 | 16.8 | -72.7 | 347.3 |
| Indicators of livestock production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slaughtering ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef cattle | 1248 | 1273 | 1217 | 1162 | 27.3 | 2.0 | -4.4 | -4.5 |
| Pigs | 959 | 915 | 861 | 720 | -25.8 | -4.6 | -5.9 | -16.4 |
| Sheep | 24 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 0.5 | -8.3 | 9.1 | 4.2 |
| Poultry | 673 | 672 | 654 | 607 | 14.3 | -0.1 | -2.7 | -7.2 |
| Other products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6539 | 6350 | 6281 | 5614 | -12.5 | -2.9 | -1.1 | -10.6 |
| Eggs" | 998 | 975 | 1090 | 1047 | 20.8 | -2.3 | 11.8 | -3.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Department of Sectoral Studies, Information and Statistics of the Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources.
" Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Thousands of tons. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of litres.

Table 8
MEXICO: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION

|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 5 . 9}$ | 130.7 | $\mathbf{1 3 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 . 4}$ | -2.4 | 3.8 | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | -0.7 |
| Coal and coal derivatives | 108.6 | 108.6 | 106.6 | 101.9 | -1.2 | 0.0 | -1.8 | -4.4 |
| Petroleum and natural gas | 127.4 | 133.2 | 133.2 | 134.2 | -6.7 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Petroleum | 128.8 | 134.8 | 135.1 | 135.5 | -7.5 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Natural gas | 96.4 | 98.3 | 97.7 | 100.3 | -4.8 | 2.0 | -0.6 | 2.7 |
| Iron ore | 95.0 | 97.6 | 109.9 | 105.2 | -6.4 | 2.7 | 12.6 | -4.3 |
| Non-ferrous metal ores | 146.6 | 145.5 | 148.5 | 143.1 | 11.5 | -0.8 | 2.1 | -3.6 |
| Quarries, sand and gravel | 110.5 | 122.2 | 121.3 | 126.8 | -6.4 | 10.6 | -0.7 | 4.5 |
| Other non-metallic minerals | 94.6 | 103.3 | 97.0 | 91.7 | 0.6 | 9.2 | -6.1 | -5.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco de Mexico. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 9
MEXIGOHNDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 104.3 | 108.4 | 111.7 | 119.8 | -3.5 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 13 |
| Consumer goods | 103.3 | 103.9 | 105.2 | 113.3 | -2.8 | 0.6 | 13 | 7.7 |
| Durables | 86.5 | 87.7 | 91.2 | 98.6 | -9.8 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 8.1 |
| Non-durables | 107.5 | 108.0 | 108.8 | 117.1 | -1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 7.6 |
| Intermediate goods | 104.2 | 109.5 | 112.1 | 118.5 | -4.8 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 5.7 |
| Capital goods | 84.2 | 92.3 | 102.6 | 111.8 | -11.6 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 9.0 |
| Inbond assembly industry | 266.5 | 323.2 | 371.0 | 433.0 | 32.1 | 21.3 | 14.8 | 16.7 |
| Total | 104.3 | 108.4 | 111.7 | 119.8 | -3.5 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 13 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 117.0 | 117.8 | 117.4 | 125.4 | 1.8 | 0.7 | -0.3 | 6.8 |
| Meat and dairy products | 120.6 | 117.4 | 110.9 | 118.4 | 4.1 | -2.7 | -5.5 | 6.8 |
| Ground corn (nixtamal) | 135.3 | 138.6 | 138.4 | 140.6 | 6.7 | 2.4 | -0.1 | 1.6 |
| Beer | 97.3 | 102.9 | 109.3 | 128.9 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 17.9 |
| Textiles and clothing | 92.1 | 91.6 | 95.6 | 98.2 | -6.0 | -0.5 | 4.4 | 2.7 |
| Wood | 108.4 | 105.5 | 104.4 | 107.6 | -2.9 | -2.7 | -1.0 | 3.1 |
| Paper and printing | 114.7 | 113.9 | 114.1 | 121.6 | -2.0 | -0.7 | 0.2 | 6.6 |
| Chemicals, rubber and plastics | 116.4 | 121.0 | 123.2 | 132.2 | -2.0 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 7.3 |
| Petroleum products | 114.8 | 122.1 | 118.5 | 124.8 | 7.0 | 6.4 | -2.9 | 5.3 |
| Basic petrochemicals | 189.2 | 225.9 | 237.9 | 274.4 | 14.2 | 19.4 | 5.3 | 15.3 |
| Basic chemicals | 112.1 | 114.1 | 115.5 | 117.3 | -8.2 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Fertilizers | 180.6 | 183.1 | 179.6 | 195.0 | -6.1 | 1.4 | -1.9 | 8.6 |
| Pharmaceuticals | 107.7 | 100.2 | 102.2 | 107.2 | -6.4 | -7.0 | 2.0 | 4.9 |
| Non-metallic minerals | 92.4 | 102.9 | 97.2 | 102.8 | -12.6 | 11.4 | -5.5 | 5.8 |
| Metal products and machinery | 94.4 | 102.9 | 113.5 | 125.9 | -6.7 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 10.9 |
| Non-electrical machinery | 106.1 | 131.3 | 148.0 | 141.9 | -6.1 | 23.8 | 12.7 | -4.1 |
| Household appliances | 59.6 | 60.0 | 62.5 | 61.3 | -10.2 | 0.7 | 4.2 | -1.9 |
| Motor vehicles | 66.6 | 73.6 | 91.8 | 127.3 | -27.1 | 10.5 | 24.7 | 38.7 |
| Other manufactures | 102.5 | 106.3 | 117.7 | 137.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 10.7 | 16.4 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco de México.
$*$ Preliminary figures.

Table 10
MEXICO: CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| Volume of <br> construction | 78.0 | $\mathbf{8 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6}$ | -143 | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ |  |
| Production of main inputs <br> Cement | 122.8 | 138.4 | 136.7 | $\mathbf{1 4 1 . 1}$ | -3.5 | 12.7 | -1.2 | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ |  |
| Structural metal <br> products <br> Glass | 67.6 | 59.2 | 65.1 | 76.9 | 3.2 | -12.4 | 10.0 | 18.1 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco de México.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 11
MEXICO: EMPLOYMENT INDICATORS

| 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  |

## Percentages

| Urban unemployment | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| First quarter | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Second quarter | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Third quarter | 4.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.2 |
| Fourth quarter | 4.4 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.5 |

Indexes $(1980=100)$

| Workers registered with the Mexican Social Security Institute | 133.6 | 139.7 | 1463 | 153.7 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permanent | 136.4 | 143.0 | 151.9 | 162.4 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 6.9 |
| Casual | 120.0 | 123.6 | 118.4 | 111.5 | -10.0 | 3.0 | -4.2 | -5.8 |
| Employment in manufacturing | 90.5 | 87.4 | 87.5 | 89.1 | -4.0 | -3.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Employment in the inbond assembly industry | 207.6 | 254.0 | 310.1 | 362.5 | 17.2 | 22.4 | 22.1 | 16.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percentages. Weighted average for the 16 principal cities.

## MEXICO

Table 12
MEXICO: MAIN INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL TRADE IN GOODS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 6.5 | 5.1 | 8.4 | -10.5 | -26.0 | 28.8 |  | 10.3 |
| Volume | 27.1 | 19.4 | 8.8 | -9.2 | 1.9 | 16.2 | 6.7 | 0.9 |
| Unit value | -16.2 | -12.0 | -0.4 | -1.4 | -27.4 | 10.9 | -63 | 9.2 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -40.0 | -40.7 | 31.6 | 17.4 | -13.4 | 6.5 | 55.3 | 23.6 |
| Volume | -38.3 | -28.3 | 22.6 | 21.1 | -11.2 | 1.5 | 44.6 | 20.1 |
| Unit value | -2.6 | -17.4 | 7.4 | -3.0 | -2.4 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 2.9 |
| Terms of trade | -14.2 | 5.5 | -7.5 | 1.7 | -25.7 | 5.7 | -12.8 | 6.1 |
|  | Indexes; $1980=400$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 127.9 | 161.2 | 162.3 | 149.8 | 113.4 | 139.2 | 129.6 | 138.8 |
| Volume of exports | 147.6 | 176.3 | 191.8 | 174.2 | 177.5 | 206.3 | 220.1 | 222.1 |
| Volume of imports | 74.3 | 53.3 | 65.3 | 79.1 | 70.2 | 71.3 | 103.0 | 123.8 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 86.6 | 91.4 | 84.6 | 86.0 | 63.9 | 67.5 | 58.9 | 62.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13
MEXICO: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total exports (Merchandise FOB) | 20656 | 20658 | 22765 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -26.0 | 28.9 | - | 10.2 |
| Hydrocarbons | 8509 | 6501 | 7876 | 64.1 | 34.6 | -57.6 | 36.8 | -23.6 | 21.2 |
| Crude petroleum | 7877 | 5833 | 7292 | 58.7 | 32.0 | -58.1 | 41.2 | -25.9 | 25.0 |
| Petroleum products | 632 | 618 | 584 | 2.7 | 2.6 | -52.7 | -1.3 | -2.2 | -5.5 |
| Non-petroleum products | 12147 | 14157 | 14889 | 35.9 | 65.4 | 40.1 | 23.8 | 16.5 | 5.2 |
| Main traditional exports | 2453 | 2477 | 2008 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 33.3 | -Í3.6 | 1.0 | -18.9 |
| Raw coffee beans | 492 | 434 | 440 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 67.7 | -40.4 | -11.8 | 1.4 |
| Shrimps | 435 | 370 | 237 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 9.6 | 22.9 | -14.9 | -35.9 |
| Silver | 361 | 318 | 354 |  | 1.6 | 17.6 | 17.2 | -11.9 | 11.3 |
| Pulses and fresh vegetables | 238 | 268 | 156 | 1.0 | 0.7 | -7.5 | 20.2 | 12.6 | -41.8 |
| Tomatoes | 200 | 243 | 185 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 90.7 | -51.0 | 21.5 | -23.9 |
| Beef cattle | 192 | 203 | 123 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 41.7 | -27.5 | 5.7 | -39.4 |
| Copper | 161 | 200 | 123 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 9.5 | -0.6 | 24.2 | -38.5 |
| Sulphur | 159 | 184 | 121 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 15.7 | -34.2 |
| Fresh fruit | 143 | 143 | 176 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 27.9 | 30.0 | - | 23.1 |
| Cotton | 72 | 114 | 93 | 2.0 | 0.4 | -17.8 | -2.7 | 58.3 | -18.4 |
| Main non-traditional exports | 7787 | 9190 | 8329 | 12.7 | 36.6 | 44.0 | 39.0 | 18.0 | -9.4 |
| Metal products, machinery and equipment | 4618 | 5300 | 4893 | 5.9 | 21.5 | 54.2 | 40.7 | 14.8 | -7.7 |
| Motor-vehicle parts | 1872 | 1965 | 1810 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 13.1 | 15.6 | 5.0 | -7.9 |
| Automobiles and trucks | 1325 | 1494 | 1398 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 290.0 | 142.7 | 12.8 | -6.4 |
| Chemicals | 1093 | 1397 | 1278 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 23.1 | 31.7 | 27.8 | -8.5 |
| Food and beverages ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 878 | 999 | 811 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 36.2 | 50.6 | 13.8 | -18.8 |
| Iron and steel products | 630 | 759 | 729 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 85.4 | 42.2 | 20.5 | -4.0 |
| Non-metallic mineral products | 447 | 527 | 477 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 19.8 | 19.2 | 17.9 | -9.5 |
| Petrochemicals | 121 | 208 | 141 | 0.7 | 0.6 | -18.7 | 39.1 | 71.9 | -32.2 |
| Other manufactures | 1907 | 2490 | 4552 | 12.7 | 20.0 | 39.2 | 39.1 | 30.6 | 82.8 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Excluding products of the inbond assembly industry. Preliminary figures. ${ }^{c}$ Does not include frozen shrimps.

Table 14
MEXICO: IMPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

| 。 | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total imports (Merchandise FOB) | 12223 | 18903 | 23410 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -13.4 | 6.9 | 54.7 | 23.8 |
| Public sector | 2780 | 3551 | 3771 | 43.3 | 16.1 | -23.8 | -16.9 | 27.7 | 6.2 |
| Private sector | 9443 | 15352 | 19638 | 56.7 | 83.9 | -8.4 | 16.8 | 62.6 | 27.9 |
| Consumer goods | 768 | 1921 | 3499 | 12.8 | 14.9 | -21.8 | -92 | 150.1 | 82.1 |
| Processed foodstuffs | 287 | 731 | 1097" | 5.0 | 5.7" | -12.3 | 8.7 | 154.7 | $99.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Radio and television sets | 19 | 199 | 154" |  | 0.8" |  |  | 947.4 | $-0.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Butane and propane gas | 84 | 98 | 86" | 0.8 | 0.4 " | -46.2 | -50.9 | 16.7 | $6.2{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Intermediate goods | 8824 | 12951 | 15143 |  |  |  |  |  | 16.9 |
| Metal products, machinery and equipment | 3172 | 4596 | $4256^{\circ}$ | 18.7 | $22.1{ }^{\circ}$ | -1.5 | 11.1 | 44.9 | $11.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Automotive materials | 1082 | 1631 | $1554{ }^{\text {D }}$ | 7.6 | $8.1{ }^{\text {D }}$ | -15.0 | 51.3 | 50.7 | $5.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Chemicals | 1353 | 1839 | $1989^{\circ}$ | 7.5 | $10.3{ }^{\text {D }}$ | -11.8 | 14.3 | 35.9 | $33.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Agricultural products | 929 | 1345 | 1404" | 8.0 | 7.3" | -42.0 | 36.8 | 44.8 | $30.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Maize | 283 | 393 | $356 "$ | 3.1 | 1.8 " | -34.9 | 70.5 | 38.9 | $13.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Soybean seeds | 219 | 336 | 332 " | 1.6 | 1.7 " | -39.3 | 31.1 | 53.4 | $35.9{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Sorghum | 62 | 138 | $294 "$ | 0.9 | $1.5{ }^{\circ}$ | -70.5 | -20.5 | 122.6 | $172.2{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Iron and steel products | 593 | 1045 | 1036" | 9.4 | 5.4" | -16.8 | -1.0 | 76.2 | $20.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Paper, printing and publishing | 542 | 699 | 637" | 2.7 | 3.3 " | 0.9 | 58.5 | 29.0 | $13.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Petrochemicals | 535 | 664 | 474 " | 2.8 | 2.5 " | -29.0 | 9.2 | 24.1 | $37.2{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Petroleum products | 369 | 388 | 399 " | 0.8 | 2.1 " | -19.4 | 38.2 | 5.1 | $-14.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Capital goods | 2631 | 14 | 0314 | 769 | $26.6 \quad 20.4$ | -6.4 | -10.9 | 532 | 183 |
| Metal products, machinery and equipment | 2471 | 3735 | $3573{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26.1 | 18.6" | -4.8 | -10.7 | 51.2 | $19.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Other unclassified goods |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.aPreliminary figures.be preceding year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15
MEXICO: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rates (pesos per dollar) |  | Indexes of the real effective exchange rate$(1985=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Exports |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { Imports }}{ }$ |  |
|  | Unregulated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regu- } \\ & \text { lated }^{8} \end{aligned}$ | Unregulated |  | Regulated |  | Unregulated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regu- } \\ & \text { lated } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | A | B | A | B | A | A |
| 1970-1979 |  |  | 96.3 |  |  | 95.1 |  |  |
| 1980-1988 |  |  | 98.0 |  |  | 96.8 |  |  |
| 1980 | 23 |  | 74.8 |  |  | 73.4 |  |  |
| 1981 | 25 |  | 67.2 |  |  | 66.4 |  |  |
| 1982 | 57 |  | 100.3 |  | 121.6 | 99.5 |  |  |
| 1983 | 150 | 120 | 125.8 | 119.0 |  | 115.0 | 126.2 | 121.8 |
| 1984 | 185 | 168 | 91.9 | 95.9 | 100.6 | 104.9 | 92.2 | 100.8 |
| 1985 | 310 | 257 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 638 | 619 | 114.9 | 123.2 | 134.8 | 144.5 | 113.3 | 132.7 |
| 1987 | 1406 | 1367 | 116.2 | 128.0 | 137.4 | 151.8 | 113.8 | 134.4 |
| 1988 | 2293 | 2253 | 96.2 | 103.6 | 114.2 | 123.0 | 93.5 | 110.8 |
| 1989 | 2483 | 2453 | 92.5 | 94.6 | 110.4 | 112.9 | 89.7 | 106.9 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 1019 | 1016 | 121.6 | 137.6 | 146.6 | 165.9 | 119.6 | 143.9 |
| II | 1232 | 1229 | 116.7 | 132.1 | 140.7 | 159.3 | 114.5 | 137.9 |
| III | 1454 | 1451 | 108.2 | 127.6 | 130.5 | 153.9 | 106.0 | 127.7 |
| IV | 1918 | 1771 | 118.1 | 114.6 | 131.9 | 128.0 | 114.9 | 128.1 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2278 | 2241 | 102.2 | 121.9 | 121.5 | 145.0 | 99.3 | 117.9 |
| II | 2298 | 2257 | 97.1 | 105.5 | 115.3 | 125.2 | 94.3 | 111.7 |
| III | 2298 | 2257 | 92.5 | 102.0 | 109.8 | 121.0 | 90.1 | 106.8 |
| IV | 2298 | 2257 | 92.9 | 84.9 | 110.3 | 100.8 | 90.1 | 106.8 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2343 | 2309 | 91.2 | 98.9 | 108.6 | 117.8 | 88.3 | 105.0 |
| II | 2434 | 2408 | 91.7 | 91.6 | 109.6 | 109.4 | 89.1 | 106.4 |
| III | 2526 | 2502 | 92.2 | 92.3 | 110.4 | 110.5 | 89.5 | 107.0 |
| IV | 2631 | 2594 | 94.7 | 95.7 | 112.8 | 114.0 | 91.7 | 109.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco de México and the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
Note: A: Deflated on the basis of the wholesale price index. B: Deflated on the basis of the index of average wages in the manufacturing sector.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ In August 1982, a dual exchange rate system was adopted. This rate was initially called the "preferential" rate and later the "regulated" rate. Corresponds to the average for the real peso exchange rate indexes against the currencies of Mexico's main trading partners, weighted by the relative importance of exports or imports, as applicable, to or from these countries. Between 1970 and 1980 these weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979, and from 1981 onward to the average of the period 1983-1987. Wholesale price indexes have been used in the calculations whenever possible. For detailed information on the methodology and sources used, see the statistical appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean, 1981. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Does not include adjustments for changes in tariffs.

Table 16

## MEXICO: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -6 289 | 5374 | 4153 | 422 | -1816 | 3721 | -3130 | -5647 |
| Trade balance | 6278 | 14475 | 14056 | 9030 | 5550 | 10399 | 3986 | 1892 |
| Exports of goods and services | 26145 | 27170 | 30135 | 27426 | 21873 | 27601 | 29065 | 32906 |
| Goods, FOB | 21230 | 22320 | 24196 | 21667 | 16028 | 20649 | 20648 | 22765 |
| Real services | 4915 | 4849 | 5939 | 5759 | 5845 | 6952 | 8416 | 10141 |
| Transport and insurance | 425 | 471 | 570 | 579 | 547 | 663 | 661 | 691 |
| Travel | 2639 | 2727 | 3284 | 2926 | 2993 | 3502 | 3990 | 4794 |
| Imports of goods and services | 19866 | 12695 | 16079 | 18396 | 16322 | 17202 | 25079 | 31014 |
| Goods, FOB | 14434 | 8553 | 11256 | 13218 | 11451 | 12199 | 18945 | 23410 |
| Real services | 5431 | 4141 | 4825 | 5178 | 4871 | 5003 | 6132 | 7604 |
| Transport and insurance | 1730 | 1279 | 1387 | 1394 | 1296 | 1338 | 1533 | 1999 |
| Travel | 2208 | 1582 | 2168 | 2265 | 2172 | 2360 | 3205 | 4274 |
| Factor services | -12792 | -9 355 | -10 226 | -8937 | -7 712 | -7 060 | -7 569 | -8 012 |
| Profits | -1390 | -383 | -458 | -627 | -923 | -896 | -1083 | -1253 |
| Interest received | 1326 | 1281 | 2073 | 1826 | 1469 | 1884 | 2314 | 2507 |
| Interest paid and due | -12 373 | -10190 | -11 775 | -10 227 | -8 388 | -8183 | -8944 | -9 377 |
| Labour and property | -355 | -63 | -66 | 91 | 129 | 134 | 142 | 111 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 225 | 254 | 325 | 328 | 345 | 384 | 453 | 473 |
| Balance on capital account | 2720 | -3 340 | -1996 | -3185 | 1649 | 1951 | -3666 | 6043 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 72 | 47 | 85 | 664 | 120 | 264 | 163 | 198 |
| Long-term capital | 15195 | 7301 | 2499 | -316 | 404 | 3994 | -466 | 2828 |
| Direct investment | 1656 | 462 | 389 | 502 | 1513 | 3212 | 2598 | 2242 |
| Portfolio investment | 946 | -625 | -757 | -1010 | -813 | -405 | 1888 |  |
| Other long-term capital | 12593 | 7463 | 2868 | 193 | -297 | 1187 | -4952 |  |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9620 | 14606 | 8742 | 11313 | 8242 | 4049 | -2 560 | -31 |
| Loans received | 10003 | 15545 | 8743 | 11573 | 8888 | 5651 | 1349 | 1314 |
| Amortization payments | -384 | -938 | -1 | -260 | -646 | -1602 | -3 909 | -1345 |
| Commercial banks | 435 | 1312 | 483 | 118 | 486 | -85 | 1761 | 834 |
| Loans received | 3819 | 2382 | 1461 | 1785 | 2526 | 1637 | 2529 |  |
| Amortization payments | -3 215 | -951 | -1003 | -1714 | -2 020 | -1536 | -755 |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2538 | -8455 | -6 358 | -11238 | -9 024 | -2776 | -4153 |  |
| Loans received | 7979 | 1417 | 913 | 1012 | 962 | 1011 | 808 |  |
| Amortization payments | -5 441 | -9 872 | -7 272 | -12250 | -9986 | -3787 | -4960 |  |
| Short-term capital | -7 233 | -9 608 | -3 578 | -1793 | 730 | -4 964 | -2771 | 225 |
| Official sector | 1217 | -1216 | - | 5 | 218 | -233 | 7 | 51 |
| Commercial banks | -1417 | -576 | 269 | -91 | -290 | -592 | -622 | 106 |
| Other sectors | -7 033 | -7817 | -3 847 | -1707 | 801 | -4139 | -2156 | 68 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -5 317 | -1073 | -1006 | -1740 | 397 | 2657 | -594 | 2792 |
| Global balance | -3 570 | 2034 | 2157 | -2 763 | -167 | 5673 | -6796 | 396 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 3541 | -2183 | -2 363 | 2984 | 231 | -5 684 | 7127 | -272 |
| Monetary gold | 79 | -143 | -94 | - | -96 | 8 | -38 | 33 |
| Special Drawing Rights | 172 | -17 | 20 | 3 | -9 | -698 | 312 |  |
| IMF reserve position | 187 | -95 | 95 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 2881 | -2967 | -3 488 | 2377 | -755 | -6 097 | 7211 |  |
| Other assets |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Use made of IMF credit | 222 | 1039 | 1104 | 604 | 1091 | 1103 | -358 |  |

Source: 1982-1988: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund; 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 17

## MEXICO: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {s }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Billions of dollars

## Total externa] debt

| Balances outstanding | 87.6 | 93.8 | 96.7 | 97.8 | 100.5 | 102.4 | 100.4 | 95.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Disbursements | 17.5 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 7.5 | 5.9 | -0.5 |
| Servicing | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4}$ | 14.3 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 16.8 | 14.2 |
| Principal | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | 4.0 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 4.8 |
| Interest | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ | 10.3 | 11.9 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 9.4 |
| External public debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balances outstanding | 58.9 | 62.6 | 69.4 | 72.1 | 75.4 | 81.4 | 81.0 | 76.1 |
| $\quad$ Medium- and long-term | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6}$ | 52.8 | 69.0 | 71.6 | 74.0 | 80.8 | 80.2 | 75.5 |
| Short-term | $\mathbf{9 . 3}$ | 9.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Servicing | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | 8.4 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 8.2 |
| Principal | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Interest | 8.4 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 6.9 |

## Percentages

## Ratios

Total external debt/exports of goods and services
Servicing/exports of goods

| and services | 75.3 | 37.5 | 59.1 | 49.0 | 53.2 | 49.8 | 57.8 | 43.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Net interest payments/exports $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { of goods and services } & 47.4 & 38.0 & 39.6 & 35.8 & 37.7 & 29.4 & 30.6 & 28.8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Servicing/disbursements } & 112.6 & 81.6 & 269.8 & 321.4 & 191.8 & 182.7 & 284.7\end{array}$
Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit, the Banco de México and the International Monetary Fund.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes the servicing of the nationalized banking sector's debt.

MEXICO
Table 18
MEXICO: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco of México.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ National. ' In Mexico City. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Support prices paid to farmers. Excludes petroleum for export. National, for low-income housing.

Table 19

## MEXICO: MINIMUM WAGES

Indexes $(1976=100) \quad$ Growth rates

|  | Nominal <br> wages | Real <br> wages | Nominal <br> wages | Real <br> wages |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 128.2 | 99.5 | 28.2 | -0.5 |
| 1978 | 145.5 | 96.0 | 13.5 | -3.6 |
| 1979 | 168.3 | 94.7 | 15.7 | -1.4 |
| 1980 | 197.7 | 87.7 | 17.5 | -7.4 |
| 1981 | 257.3 | 88.8 | 30.1 | 1.3 |
| $1982^{\text {b }}$ | 412.0 | 91.7 | 60.1 | 3.3 |
| 1983 | 606.7 | 68.6 | 47.3 | -25.2 |
| 1984 | 935.7 | 62.9 | 54.2 | -8.3 |
| 1985 | 1456.7 | 62.1 | 55.7 | -1.2 |
| 1986 | 2486.5 | 55.4 | 70.7 | -10.8 |
| 1987 | 5410.9 | 52.8 | 117.6 | -4.7 |
| 1988 | 10150.8 | 46.9 | 87.6 | -11.1 |
| 1989 | 11439.9 | 43.2 | 12.7 | -7.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the National Commission on Minimum Wages and the Banco de México.
" Refers to general minimum wages. Reflects the annual average of the wages paid in the different wage zones, weighted by the wage-earning population in each zone according to census data. The results were deflated by the national consumer price index for low-income strata. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ It was assumed that the Presidential (non-mandatory) recommendation that minimum wages should be raised by $30 \%$ as from 18 February was initially followed by only $40 \%$ of the enterprises in the country and that thereafter it gradually came to be applied by an increasing number of firms until it became law on 1 November.

Table 20
MEXICO: CONSOLIDATED PUBLIC SECTOR INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Billions of pesos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total income | 24082 | 59103 | 118514 | 150832 | 50.6 | 62.8 | 145.4 | 100.5 | 273 |
| Federal government | 12670 | 32973 | 65506 | 92026 | 60.6 | 58.6 | 160.2 | 98.7 | 40.5 |
| Bodies and enterprises under |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEMEX | 7134 | 18997 | 29942 | 34195 | 42.1 | 30.6 | 166.3 | 57.6 | 14.2 |
| Other | 8747 | 19546 | 37656 | 42202 | 55.2 | 60.0 | 123.5 | 92.7 | 12.1 |
| Unbudgeted sector | 1366 | 3329 | 8338 | 11561 | 43.1 | 80.4 | 143.7 | 150.5 | 38.7 |
| Less: cleared operations | 5835 | 15742 | 22929 | 29153 | 61.2 | 193 | 169.8 | 45.7 | 27.1 |
| Total expenditure | 35548 | 86891 | 154897 | 177591 | 55.9 | 91.2 | 144.4 | 783 | 14.7 |
| Federal government | 23011 | 60440 | 103349 | 117616 | 62.7 | 98.9 | 162.7 | 71.0 | 13.8 |
| Bodies and enterprises under government control | 16213 | 38416 | 65733 | 76870 | 50.2 | 51.3 | 136.9 | 71.1 | 16.9 |
| PEMEX | 7146 | 19092 | 29097 | 36176 | 51.0 | 41.6 | 167.2 | 52.4 | 24.3 |
| Other | 9067 | 19324 | 36637 | 40694 | 49.4 | 60.0 | 113.1 | 89.6 | 11.1 |
| Unbudgeted sector | 2158 | 3778 | 8744 | 12258 | 68.6 | 79.2 | 75.1 | 131.4 | 40.2 |
| Less: cleared operations | 5835 | 15742 | 22929 | 29153 | 61.2 | 19.3 | 169.8 | 45.7 | 27.1 |
| Economic deficit (-) or surplus (+) | -11466 ${ }^{\circ}$ | -27 788 | -36 383 | $-26759$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal government | 10341 | 27467 | 37843 | 25589 | 67.6 | 188.8 | 165.6 | 37.8 | -32.4 |
| Bodies and enterprises under |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEMEX | 12 | 95 | -845 | 1981 | -16.8 |  | 691.7 |  | -334.4 |
| Other | 319 | -222 | -1020 | -1508 | -31.8 | 59.5 |  | 359.5 | 47.8 |
| Unbudgeted sector | 793 | 449 | 406 | 697 | 141.1 | 77.8 | -43.4 | -9.6 | 71.7 |
| Financial intermediation | -881 | -1939 | -6 255 | -3 187 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial deficit (-) or suplus ( + ) | -12 347 | -29 727 | -42 638 | $-29946$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic | 11383 | 26950 | 47219 | 32712 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banco de Mexico | 3431 | 1583 | 23503 | 36933 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 7952 | 25367 | 23716 | $625779$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| External | 964 | 2777 | -4 581 | $-2766$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total income/GDP | 30.3 | 30.0 | 29.8 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 44.8 | 44.2 | 39.0 | 35.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure minus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial deficit/GDP | 15.6 | 15.2 | 10.4 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing/deficit | 92.2 | 90.7 | 110.7 | 109.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| External financing/deficit | 7.8 | 9.3 | -10.7 | -9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit and by the Banco de México.
" Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes the national telephone company, the mass transport system, the Department of the Federal District and, up to the end of 1983, Altos Hornos de México, SA. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes a difference of 479 billion pesos with respect to sources of financing. Development trust funds and funds operated by development banks for the benefit of the social and private sectors.

Table 21
MEXICO: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Billions. of pesos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 12670 | 32973 | 65507 | 92026 | 58.6 | 160.2 | 98.7 | 40.5 |
| Income from PEMEX | 2980 | 10163 | 13451 | 17985 | 8.7 | 241.0 | 32.4 | 33.7 |
| Income excluding PEMEX | 9690 | 22810 | 52056 | 74041 | 84.6 | 135.4 | 128.2 | 42.2 |
| Tax revenue | 8922 | 20728 | 47307 | 62700 | 84.5 | 132.3 | 128.2 | 32.5 |
| Direct | 3365 | 7655 | 19468 | 27241 | 75.0 | 127.5 | 154.3 | 39.9 |
| Indirect | 4876 | 11585 | 26076 | 31613 | 87.0 | 137.6 | 125.1 | 21.2 |
| On foreign trade | 681 | 1488 | 1763 | 3846 | 122.5 | 118.5 | 18.5 | 118.2 |
| Non-tax revenue | 768 | 2082 | 4749 | 11342 | 86.0 | 171.1 | 128.1 | 138.8 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 20076 | 54177 | 98777 | 106964 | 104.0 | 169.9 | 823 | 83 |
| Wages and salaries | 2495 | 6310 | 11113 | 14912 | 61.1 | 152.9 | 76.1 | 34.2 |
| Interest payments | 10753 | 33957 | 59323 | 56886 | 155.0 | 215.8 | 74.7 | -4.1 |
| Transfers | 3290 | 6370 | 11065 | 12699 | 53.6 | 93.6 | 73.7 | 14.8 |
| Other current expenditure | 3543 | 7540 | 17276 | 22467 | 83.4 | 112.8 | 129.1 | 30.0 |
| 3. Current deficit (-) or surplus (+) (1-2) | -7406 | -21204 | -33 270 | -14938 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 2814 | 6199 | 7532 | 9723 | 56.9 | 1203 | 21.5 | 29.1 |
| Real investment | 793 | 1741 | 2066 | 2387 | 75.8 | 119.5 | 18.7 | 15.5 |
| Capital transfers | 1838 | 4201 | 4934 | 6522 | 70.7 | 128.6 | 17.4 | 32.2 |
| Other capital expenditure | 183 | 257 | 532 | 814 | -30.9 | 40.4 | 107.0 | 53.0 |
| 5. Total expenditure (2+4) | 22890 | 60376 | 106309 | 116687 | 96.8 | 163.8 | 76.1 | 9.8 |
| 6. Budget deficit (-) or surplus (+) (1-5) | -10 220 | -27 403 | -40 802 | -24 661 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Other outlays | -121 | -63 | -2961 | -928 |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Total deficit $(-)$ or surplus (+) (6+7) | -10 341 | -27 466 | -43763 | -25 589 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current saving/capital expenditure | -263.2 | -342.1 | -441.7 | -153.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | -45.2 | -45.5 | -41.2 | -21.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue (including PEMEX)/GDP | 15.0 | 15.7 | 15.3 | 16.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue (excluding PEMEX)/GDP | 11.2 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 28.8 | 30.6 | 26.7 | 23.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 13.0 | 14.0 | 9.5 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit and the Banco de México. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 22
MEXICO: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Year-end balances <br> (billions of pesos) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |


| Monetary multipliers <br> (coefficients) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mi/monetary base | 0.73 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 1.36 |
| Mî/monetary base | 3.39 | 5.01 | 5.89 | 7.95 |
| Velocity of circulation: |  |  |  |  |
| GDP/Mi | 19.60 | 23.01 | 22.36 | 21.53 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco de México.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In domestic and foreign currency. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ With maturities of up to one year, plus bank acceptances. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Treasury Certificates (CETES), Treasury promissory notes (PAGAFES), Development Bonds (BONDES) and commercial paper. ${ }^{e}$ Includes the Federal Government, the State and municipal governments and the Department of the Federal District.

Table 23
MEXICO: BANK CREDIT RECEIVED BY ENTERPRISES AND INDIVIDUALS

|  | Year-end balances (billions of pesos) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total | 24819 | 58655 | 79225 | 122050 | 97.6 | 136.3 | 35.1 | 54.1 |
| Primary activities | 3726 | 8831 | 14594 | 20805 | 84.8 | 137.0 | 65.3 | 42.6 |
| Agriculture | 1818 | 3692 | 8186 | 14555 | 43.5 | 103.1 | 121.7 | 77.8 |
| Mining and other | 1908 | 5139 | 6408 | 6250 | 154.7 | 169.3 | 24.7 | -2.5 |
| Industry | 10552 | 24234 | 29052 | 40742 | 96.5 | 129.7 | 19.9 | 40.2 |
| Energy sector | 4210 | 8653 | 6766 | 5918 | 117.0 | 105.5 | -21.8 | -12.5 |
| Manufacturing | 5808 | 14565 | 20804 | 31539 | 90.8 | 150.8 | 42.8 | 51.6 |
| Construction | 534 | 1016 | 1482 | 3285 | 38.3 | 90.3 | 45.9 | 121.7 |
| Low-cost housing | 1241 | 2834 | 6128 | 8523 | 88.9 | 128.4 | 116.2 | 39.1 |
| Services and other activities | 6857 | 17429 | 20817 | 31701 | 118.1 | 154.2 | 19.4 | 52.3 |
| Commerce | 2443 | 5327 | 8634 | 20279 | 77.7 | 118.1 | 62.1 | 134.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco de México.
${ }^{a}$ Includes public and private enterprises. Excludes financing from the Banco de México and from development funds.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 24
MEXICO: REAL INTEREST RATES '

|  | Promisory notes convertible <br> to liquid assets at maturity |  | Treasury certificates |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | One month | Three months | One month | Three months |
| 1986 | 6.4 | 3.1 | 13.8 |  |
| I | 1.7 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 6.2 |
| II | 4.5 | 2.4 | 12.8 | 9.1 |
| III | 7.2 | 4.5 | 20.0 | 2.8 |
| IV | 12.3 | -2.8 | 17.8 | 6.1 |
| 1987 | -3.2 | -12.0 | -1.9 | 6.6 |
| I | 5.8 | -4.0 | 8.2 | -8.0 |
| II | -1.4 | -5.9 | -1.8 | 4.9 |
| III | -1.3 | -15.0 | -0.9 | 0.4 |
| IV | -15.7 | -23.2 | -13.1 | -26.2 |
| 1988 | 24.6 | 30.8 | 29.1 | 42.1 |
| I | 20.3 | 63.0 | 23.0 | 95.8 |
| II | 25.0 | 29.1 | 26.5 | 29.6 |
| III | 29.0 | 20.6 | 29.3 | 21.1 |
| IV | 24.1 | 10.4 | 37.7 | 22.0 |
| 1989 | 19.9 | 10.9 | 30.8 |  |
| I | 20.7 | 16.1 | 36.8 | 36.0 |
| II | 25.8 | 19.7 | 41.5 | 45.3 |
| III | 22.5 | 12.6 | 30.6 | 17.0 |
| IV | 10.6 | -4.7 | 14.2 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Banco de México.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annualized rates for some of the main saving instruments, deflated by the national consumer price index.

## NICARAGUA

The most prominent feature of the Nicaraguan economy's performance in 1989 was the control of hyperinflation. The annual variation in the level of prices fell from close to $34000 \%$ to $1700 \%$. Some of the more dramatic elements of the previous year's crisis were tempered, although a good deal of instability persisted. The central government's deficit fell from $26 \%$ of GDP to $5 \%$ as aresult of the sharp contraction of public expenditure. The rigorous fiscal policy made it possible to slow down the money supply, thereby becoming the main instrument against inflation.

The successful efforts to constrain the vertiginous rise in prices were accompanied by a rise in the real exchange rate, which contributed to a significant increase in the volume of exports, another drop in imports and some recovery of real wages in productive sectors. In spite of the deterioration in the terms of trade, the deficit on the trade balance was reduced to some US $\$ 420$ million; the imbalance on the current account (US $\$ 570$ million) was among the lowest of the decade. The adjustment of the government's accounts and those of the external sector was accompanied by a contraction of domestic expenditure. This led to a new drop in GDP (around $3 \%$ ), which was thus $11 \%$ lower than in 1980. Underemployment increased substantially, reaching an unprecedented rate (see table 1 and figure 1 ).

The problems observed in 1989 were the culmination of a whole decade of serious difficulties. These originated in part in external factors, some of which were common to the region as a whole (the deterioration of the terms of trade and the closing of credit sources) while others, such as the trade embargo imposed by the United States; the financial blockade, which was not compensated for by bilateral assistance from other countries; and the armed conflict, which seriously affected the
functioning of the economy, were peculiar to Nicaragua. An appreciable impact was also had by the absence of a sustainable macroeconomic approach and of a precise policy definition of relations between the government and the private sector. All this was reflected in persistent declines in the product, in serious external stagnation and in huge fiscal deficits. These imbalances were clearly reflected in the intensive acceleration of inflation, which could be described as explosive in 1988.

For a time, the authorities tried to stifle the effects of the economic imbalances through the use of price controls and complex and costly subsidy and multiple-exchange-rate mechanisms. In 1988, when these attempts failed and military tension weakened, policies were redirected towards correcting relative prices and reducing the fiscal deficit. The public sector's need for loans was still tremendous, however, and this, together with the short-term effects of the measures taken in respect of prices and the exchange rate policy, caused inflation to soar.

During 1989, the economy policy gave priority to reducing the fiscal imbalance and improving the incentives for producing goods tradeable abroad, although in some cases the attempt to achieve these two objectives gave rise to conflict. Agricultural exports, in particular, received large subsidies through a lowering of the prices of their inputs and, indirectly, through the granting of credits with negative interest rates. In any case, central government expenditure declined sharply, so much as that its share in the GDP dropped from between $45 \%$ and $50 \%$ to only $24 \%$. The fall in outflows was general, being reflected in the military budget and other areas of government and in current expenditure as well as investment. A drop was also experienced in transfers to public enterprises, whose
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## NICARAGUA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS



Figure 1 (concluded)

administration was reorganized in such a way as to give them a greater say in their management.

The share of capital flows from abroad in the GDP was under $29 \%$, the lowest figure since 1983. This decline was only partially offset by higher unrequited official transfers. This, along with the lack of reserves, intensified the external restraint, and the need to adjust the current account became urgent. In any case, the exchange policy aimed at sustaining the relative prices of exportable goods through frequent devaluations; likewise, norms concerning the liquidation of foreign exchange were modified, making the funds generated by sales abroad freely available to exporters. These measures stimulated the activity of the export sectors, helping to reduce the trade balance.

Given the efforts to strengthen exports, the exchange rate was not used as a means of holding down inflation. A similar criterion was applied in respect of the price policy under which the prices of some products were liberalized so that in the sectors concerned wages could be determined by mutual agreement, without government intervention. Thus, the policy for controlling inflation was based on fiscal and monetary instruments. In actual fact, the money supply grew much more slowly than it had the preceding year. Nevertheless, monetary expansion was still considerable, money in circulation increasing by over $2000 \%$, as a result primarily of credit extended by the Central Bank to local governments and various government entities. The demand for cash holdings increased throughout the year, but far from enough to
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Sourca: ECLAC, on the basis or official figures.
absorb the liquidity generated. Thus the increase in the money supply proved in practice to be compatible with the even more rapid variation in prices (average monthly variation, $27 \%)$.

The year 1989 saw either the disappearance or a slackening off of hyperinflation, supply constraints and the decline in real wages, factors which had worked together the year before to produce a sharp drop in the product. Nevertheless, the level of production continued to decline, although at a lower rate and with important exceptions, including agriculture and basic services.

As the year ended, however, the progress obtained seemed fragile. The rate of inflation was still high and seemed bound to remain so. Tax pressures, on the other hand, continued to
decrease gradually, reflecting the weakness of the tax apparatus and causing a drop in the real wages paid by the public sector and in the investments made in that sector, and this situation was becoming difficult to sustain. At the same time, the decline in reserves and the difficulties in obtaining external credit raised questions about financing the deficit on current account. The prolonged and severe contraction of the product limited the possibilities for an eventual adjustment based on a reduction of total spending. Nevertheless, it had proved impossible to strike a new macroeconomic balance; the outlook for the economy appeared to depend not only on the magnitude and the direction of the domestic effort, but also on developments at the international level.

Table 1

## NICARAGUA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes (1980=100)

## Basic economic indicators

Gross domestic product at market
prices
Gross national income
Population (millions of inhabitants)
Per capita gross domestic product
Public sector deficit/GDP
Rate of under-utilization of labour ${ }^{\text {c }}$

| .04 .5 | 109.3 | 107.6 | 103.2 | 102.2 | 101.4 | 90.4 | 87.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 99.2 | 101.4 | 103.0 | 97.2 | 97.5 | 98.1 | 89.5 | 86.0 |
| 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| 97.9 | 99.1 | 94.3 | 87.4 | 83.7 | 80.3 | 69.1 | 64.9 |
| 13.6 | 30.0 | 24.8 | 23.4 | 18.0 | 16.4 | 25.8 | 5.2 |
| 19.9 | 18.9 | 20.6 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 24.4 | 26.5 | 32.1 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Short-run economic indicators

| Gross domestic product | -0.8 | 4.6 | -1.6 | -4.1 | -1.0 | -0.7 | -10.9 | -2.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -4.0 | 1.2 | -4.8 | -7.3 | -4.3 | -4.0 | -13.9 | -6.1 |
| Gross national income | -2.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | -5.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | -8.7 | -4.0 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (December to December) | 22.2 | 32.9 | 50.2 | 334.3 | 747.4 | 1347.2 | 33602.6 | 1689.9 |
| Real wages and salaries |  | -12.9 | -5.9 | -30.0 | -63.9 | -31.4 | -64.5 | 25.0 |
| Current value of exports of goods and services | -19.2 | 5.4 | -8.5 | -18.5 | -16.3 | 10.8 | -15.6 | 24.7 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | 19.9 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | -8.7 | 4.4 | -4.3 | -11.1 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -4.5 | -3.1 | 26.2 | -4.9 | 10.1 | -9.2 | 5.8 | -8.2 |

## Millions of dollars

External sector

| Trade balance (goods and services) | -379 | -430 | -490 | -587 | $\bullet 563$ | -568 | -581 | -418 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 186 | 209 | 239 | 257 | 245 | 208 | 151 | 153 |
| Balance on current account | -557 | -635 | -727 | -827 | $\bullet-799$ | -766 | -732 | -571 |
| Balance on capital account | 593 | 653 | 974 | 846 | 605 | 504 | 562 | 511 |
| Variation in net international |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reserves | 82 | 93 | 128 | 16 | $\bullet 196$ | -262 | -170 | -60 |
| Disbursed external public debt ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 3139 | 3788 | 4362 | 4936 | 5760 | 6270 | 7220 | 7570 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Percentages. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Open unemployment and its equivalent in terms of underemployment expressed as a fraction of the labour force. Total average monthly wage received by workers registered with the Nicaraguan Social Security and Welfare Institute. ${ }^{e}$ Short, medium- and long-term debt.

Table 2
NICARAGUA: EVOLUTION OF REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Gross domestic product $(a+b+c+d)$ | 98.1 | 89.5 | 86.0 | 94.1 | 91.0 | 93.2 | 92.2 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 101.4 | 90.4 | 87.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | 0.3 | 1.0 | -0.2 |
| c) Net factor payments abroad | 164.9 | 117.6 | 111.4 | -6.0 | -9.8 | -7.8 | -7.6 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 618.7 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.5 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
NICARAGUA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total supply | 100.2 | 90.7 | 84.2 | 143.8 | 137.9 | -2.8 | -1.4 | -9.4 | -7.2 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 101.4 | 90.4 | 87.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -1.0 | -0.7 | -10.9 | -2.9 |
| Imports of goods and services | 97.3 | 91.6 | 76.1 | 43.8 | 37.9 | -6.8 | -3.0 | -5.9 | -16.9 |
| Total demand | 100.2 | 90.7 | 84.2 | 143.8 | 137.9 | -2.8 | -1.4 | -9.4 | -7.2 |
| Domestic demand | 107.5 | 98.9 | 88.3 | 119.9 | 120.6 | -0.3 | -2.9 | -8.0 | -10.7 |
| Gross domestic investment | 133.6 | 134.0 | 122.6 | 16.8 | 23.4 | -1.2 | -1.5 | 0.3 | -8.5 |
| Gross fixed investment | 132.6 | 130.3 | 105.1 | 14.6 | 17.5 | -6.1 | 1.0 | -1.8 | -19.3 |
| Construction | 122.8 | 114.1 | 97.0 | 5.5 | 6.1 | - | 7.0 | -7.0 | -15.0 |
| Machinery and equipment | 138.6 | 140.0 | 110.1 | 9.1 | 11.4 | -8.8 | -2.0 | 1.0 | -21.4 |
| Changes in stocks | 140.0 | 159.1 | 238.5 | 2.2 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 103.2 | 93.1 | 82.7 | 103.1 | 97.2 | -0.1 | -3.2 | -9.8 | -11.2 |
| General government | 249.2 | 246.7 | 182.3 | 19.7 | 41.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | -1.0 | -26.1 |
| Private | 68.7 | 56.8 | 59.2 | 83.4 | 56.2 | -2.9 | -9.3 | -17.3 | 4.2 |
| Exports of goods and services | 63.8 | 50.0 | 63.5 | 23.9 | 17.3 | -22.5 | 13.5 | -21.6 | 27,0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were obtained from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in current dollars, which were then converted into constant 1980 values by using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
NICARAGUA: ORIGIN, COMPOSITION AND FINANCING
OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{\ell}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 20.2 | 21.0 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 24.9 | 23.4 |
| Gross domestic investment | 6.3 | 5.8 | -3.2 | -6.5 | -7.5 | -4.8 | -6.2 | 2.8 |
| Gross domestic saving | -8.2 | -9.2 | -11.4 | -12.5 | -12.3 | -9.8 | -7.8 | -7.6 |
| $\quad$ Net income from factor services | -2.8 | -3.7 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | -0.2 |
| $\quad$ Terms-of-trade effect | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Unrequited private transfers | -4.5 | -7.0 | -13.2 | -18.0 | -17.8 | -13.9 | -13.0 | -5.0 |
| Gross national saving | 24.7 | 28.0 | 34.7 | 40.3 | 40.1 | 36.0 | 37.9 | 28.5 |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 5
NICARAGUA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indexes } \\ (1980=100) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 101.4 | 90.4 | 87.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -1.0 | -0.7 | -10.9 | -2.9 |
| Goods | 100.8 | 81.1 | 79.0 | 52.5 | 473 | -2.7 | -1.8 | -19.5 | -2.6 |
| Agriculture | 94.7 | 84.8 | 87.1 | 23.2 | 23.0 | -8.8 | -3.2 | -10.5 | 2.7 |
| Mining | 68.4 | 62.5 | 88.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 31.5 | -7.9 | -8.6 | 41.2 |
| Manufacturing | 104.6 | 74.5 | 69.3 | 25.6 | 20.2 | 2.1 | -1.6 | -28.8 | -7.0 |
| Construction | 122.9 | 114.3 | 97.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 |  | 7.0 | -7.0 | -15.0 |
| Basic services | 96.2 | 983 | 102.0 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.8 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 113.7 | 108.1 | 121.9 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 7.2 | -5.0 | 12.8 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 89.7 | 94.7 | 94.7 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 5.6 |  |
| Other services | 103.4 | 101.1 | 96.6 | 39.6 | 43.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | -23 | -4.5 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 93.1 | 88.9 | 86.3 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 0.4 | -0.4 | -4.5 | -3.0 |
| Financial institutions, insurance and business services | 98.2 | 96.9 | 93.0 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 2.6 | -1.3 | -4.0 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 106.5 | 104.9 | 97.6 | 3.7 | 4.2 | - | 5.0 | -1.5 | -7.0 |
| Community, social and personal services | 120.5 | 120.1 | 112.7 | 13.6 | 17.5 | 0.7 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -6.2 |
| Government services | 137.6 | 138.9 | 129.3 | 8.7 | 12.8 | 2.0 | -0.5 | 0.9 | -6.9 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Planning and the Budget.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes the livestock sector, forestry :and fishing.

Table 6
NICARAGUA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Production indexes $(1980=100)$ | 1073 | 97.9 | 94.7 | 84.8 | 87.1 | -8.8 | -33 | -10.5 | 2.7 |
| Crop farming | 114.6 | 103.2 | 104.2 | 92.5 | 96.2 | -9.9 | 1.0 | -11.2 | 4.0 |
| Livestock | 100.5 | 94.0 | 82.2 | 75.5 | 75.6 | -6.5 | -12.6 | -8.2 | 0.1 |
| Forestry | 84.8 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 104.6 | 107.7 | 5.1 | 12.2 | 4.6 | 3.0 |
| Fishing | 60.8 | 51.7 | 63.1 | 45.9 | 46.9 | -15.0 | 22.1 | -27.3 | 2.2 |
| Production of main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ginned cotton | 1409 | 1109 | 992 | 689 | 570 | -21.3 | -10.6 | -30.5 | -17.3 |
| Cotton seed | 2056 | 1703 | 1500 | 1127 | 750 | -17.2 | -11.9 | -24.9 | -33.5 |
| Coffee for export | 1029 | 812 | 916 | 860 | 928 | -21.1 | 12.8 | -6.1 | 7.9 |
| Sugar cane | 55772 | 52418 | 42517 | 40512 | 50235 | -6.0 | -18.9 | -4.7 | 24.0 |
| Bananas | 2499 | 2228 | 2380 | 2096 | 2293 | -10.8 | 6.8 | -11.9 | 9.4 |
| Havana tobacco | 13 | 13 | 12 | 8 | 14 | 1.6 | -8.7 | 22.4 | 75.0 |
| Blonde tobacco | 45 | 51 | 43 | 27 | 31 | 13.3 | -15.7 | -12.8 | 14.8 |
| Sesame | 67 | 86 | 83 | 70 | 320 | 28.4 | -3.5 | -13.6 | 357.1 |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maize | 4387 | 4555 | 5650 | 6308 | 6083 | 3.8 | 24.0 | 11.6 | -3.6 |
| Milled rice | 1801 | 1738 | 1583 | 1450 | 1371 | -3.5 | -8.9 | -8.4 | -5.4 |
| Beans | 1154 | 1146 | 1071 | 1021 | 1262 | -0.7 | -6.5 | -4.7 | 23.6 |
| Sorghum | 2639 | 3246 | 3375 | 2475 | 2259 | 23.0 | 4.0 | -26.7 | -8.7 |
| Soya | 121 | 183 | 220 | 145 | 194 | 51.2 | 20.2 | -34.1 | 33.8 |
| Indicators of livestock production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slaughtering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef cattle ${ }^{0}$ | 359 | 302 | 197 | 266 | 340 | -15.9 | -34.8 | 35.0 | 27.8 |
| Pigs ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 228 | 242 | 321 | 250 | 160 | 6.1 | 32.6 | - | -36.0 |
| Poultry ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 22600 | 22600 | 26900 | 22900 | 12000 | - | 19.0 | -14.9 | -47.6 |
| Other products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 42900 | 49000 | 47000 | 45000 | 43429 | 14.2 | -4.1 | -4.3 | -3.5 |
| Eggs ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 20900 | 23000 | 23000 | 18000 | 10000 | 10.0 | - | -18.3 | -46.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Planning and the Budget.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Thousands of quintals. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 'Thousands of head $\quad$ Thousand of pounds. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Thousands of gallons. Thousands of dozens.

Table 7
NICARAGUA: INDICATORS OF FISHERY PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Production indexes (1980=100) | 51.7 | 63.1 | 45.9 | 46.9 | -15.0 | 22.1 | -273 | 12 |
| Shellfish catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp | 1816 | 1282 | 2137 | 2100 | -20.5 | -29.4 | 66.7 | -1.7 |
| Lobster | 603 | 1531 | 467 | 908 | -16.9 | 153.9 | -69.5 | 94.4 |
| Fish catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 604 | 2348 |  |  |  | 288.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Planning and the Budget. Preliminary figures. Thousands of pounds.

Table 8
NICARAGUA: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Production indexes $(1980=100)^{\text {b }}$ | 74.2 | 68.4 | 62.4 | 883 | 30.7 | -7.8 | -8.8 | 41.5 |
| Production of some major minerals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metallic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold ${ }^{0}$ | 29 | 31 | 28 | 44 | 17.1 | 6.9 | -9.7 | 57.1 |
| Silver ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 21 | 29 | 18 | 33 | -31.0 | 38.1 | -37.9 | 83.3 |
| Non-metallic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 504 | 626 | 522 | 381 | 27.9 | 24.2 | -16.6 | -27.0 |
| Lime ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 49 | 34 | 39 | 27 | -17.2 | -30.6 | 14.7 | -30.8 |
| Calcium carbonate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 37 | 25 | 35 | 10 | 29 | -32.4 | 40.0 | -71.4 |
| Chemical lime ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 88 | 75 | 71 | 66 | 17.1 | -14.8 | -5.3 | -7.0 |
| Bentonite ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 87 | 78 | 85 | 41 | 13.5 | -10.3 | 9.0 | -51.8 |
| Gypsum | 20 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 143.2 | -65.0 | -14.3 | 33.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by ithe Ministry of Planning and the Budget.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Added value indexes. Thousands of troy ounces. Thousands of cubic metres.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Thousands of quintals. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Thousands of tons.

Tale 9
NICARAGUA: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| indexes ( $1980=100$ ) | 1063 | 104.6 | 77.0 | 70.6 | 1.9 | -1.6 | -26.4 | -8-3 |
| Food | 94.2 | 89.2 | 85.6 | 73.0 | -2.7 | -5.3 | -4.0 | -14.7 |
| Beverages | 107.6 | 111.4 | 81.3 | 83.9 | -0.4 | 3.5 | -27.0 | 3.2 |
| Tobacco | 114.9 | 113.5 | 84.0 | 81.3 | 4.7 | -1.2 | -26.0 | -3.2 |
| Textiles | 184.7 | 189.7 | 115.7 | 125.9 | 21.1 | 2.7 | -39.0 | 8.8 |
| Clothing | 89.5 | 111.2 | 48.9 | 26.0 | 12.3 | 24.2 | -56.0 | -46.8 |
| Leather | 61.0 | 52.2 | 33.4 | 51.3 | -23.7 | -14.4 | -36.0 | 53.6 |
| Footwear | 57.1 | 50.9 | 31.6 | 26.4 | -10.2 | -10.9 | -37.9 | -16.5 |
| Wood | 65.2 | 102.3 | 85.9 |  | 5.3 | 56.9 | -16.0 |  |
| Furniture | 85.5 | 99.3 | 83.4 | 45.1 | 11.9 | 16.1 | -16.0 | -45.9 |
| Paper | 360.4 | 416.5 | 295.7 | 302.2 | 45.6 | 15.6 | -29.0 | 2.2 |
| Printed material | 179.2 | 174.0 | 92.2 | 82.4 | -6.1 | -2.9 | -47.0 | -10.6 |
| Chemicals | 109.8 | 104.6 | 69.0 | 88.4 | -2.9 | -4.7 | -34.0 | 28.1 |
| Petroleum products | 83.6 | 82.3 | 79.8 |  | 5.2 | -1.6 | -3.0 |  |
| Rubber | 133.0 | 93.5 | 23.4 | 25.9 | 8.4 | -29.7 | -75.0 | 10.7 |
| Non-metallic products | 125.0 | 139.7 | 97.8 | 83.5 | 6.7 | 11.8 | -30.0 | -14.6 |
| Metal products | 148.6 | 150.9 | 155.4 | 94.9 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 3.0 | -38.9 |
| Machinery and domestic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport equipment | 150.3 | 118.3 | 85.2 | 48.6 | 4.5 | -21.3 | -28.0 | -43.0 |
| Plastics and other | 106.3 | 119.3 | 58.5 | 55.2 | -5.3 | 12.2 | -51.0 | -5.6 |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity consumption by industry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 283.0 | 304.0 | 256.0 | 273.0 | -5.0 | 7.4 | -15.8 | 6.6 |
| Employment ${ }^{0}$ | 85.0 | 86.2 | 90.3 | $\ldots$ | - | 1.4 | 4.8 |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Nicaragua.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. On the basis of figures at 1958 prices. Number of persons paying Social Security.

Table 10
NICARAGUA: PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRICITY SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Thousands of MWh |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 1194 | 1298 | 1203 | 1315 | 1.8 | 8.7 | -7.3 | 9.3 |
| Gross generation | 1134 | 1226 | 1118 | 1290 | 15.0 | 8.1 | -8.8 | 15.4 |
| Hydroelectric | 285 | 394 | 387 | 535 | 11.1 | 38.2 | -1.8 | 38.2 |
| Steam | 583 | 573 | 513 | 361 | 41.5 | -1.7 | -10.5 | -29.6 |
| Geothermal | 260 | 237 | 190 | 381 | -13.7 | -8.8 | -19.8 | 100.5 |
| Diesel and other | 7 | 21 | 28 | 13 | -59.4 | 200.0 | 33.3 | -53.6 |
| Gross imports | 60 | 72 | 84 | 25 | -67.9 | 20.0 | 16.7 | -70.2 |
| Total demand | 1194 | 1298 | 1203 | 1315 | 1.8 | 8.7 | -7.3 | 9.3 |
| Domestic consumption | 973 | 1045 | 952 | 1048 | -0.6 | 7.4 | -8.9 | 10.1 |
| Residential | 300 | 324 | 330 | 315 | -0.8 | 8.0 | 1.9 | -4.5 |
| Commercial | 71 | 86 | 83 | 186 | 2.3 | 21.1 | -3.5 | 124.1 |
| Industrial | 283 | 304 | 256 | 274 | -5.0 | 7.4 | -15.8 | 7.0 |
| Government | 114 | 123 | 105 | 71 | 2.8 | 7.9 | -14.6 | -32.4 |
| Public lighting | 19 | 19 | 18 | 20 | -13.2 | - | -5.3 | 11.1 |
| Irrigation | 118 | 119 | 91 | 107 | 7.5 | 0.8 | -23.5 | 17.6 |
| Pumping | 68 | 70 | 69 | 75 | 2.1 | 2.9 | -1.4 | 8.7 |
| Exports |  | 4 | 2 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Losses ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 221 | 248 | 249 | 246 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 0.4 | -1.2 |
| Other indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of losses/total supply ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 18.5 | 19.1 | 20.7 | 18.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption of fuel oil for electricity generation ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1158 | 1196 | 1139 | 748 | 40.9 | 3.3 | -4.8 | -34.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Nicaraguan Energy Institute and the Ministry of Planning and the Budget.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Refers to transmission and distribution losses. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Percentages. Thousands of barrels.

Table 11
NICARAGUA: EMPLOYMENT TRENDS

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate of participation | 48.1 | 48.2 | 48.2 | 48.2 | 48-3 | 48-3 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 48.5 |
| Rate of under-utilization | 16.0 | 19.9 | 18.9 | 20.6 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 24.4 | 26.5 | 32.1 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total employed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.6 | -1.1 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 32 | 12 | 0.7 | 0.7 | -42 |
| Primary sector | 7.2 | -5.1 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 11.5 | 8.3 | -0.5 | -1.1 | 2.5 |
| Secondary sector | 3.4 | -14.9 | 5.8 | - | -3.7 | - | 2.9 | 4.6 | -5.3 |
| Industry | 3.1 | -14.0 | 4.7 | - | -5.6 | - | 1.2 | 4.7 |  |
| Construction | 6.7 | -12.5 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.3 | - | 11.8 | 5.3 |  |
| Mining | - | -20.0 | - | -25.0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Tertiary sector | 7.2 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 | -1.3 | -2.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | -10.2 |
| Commerce | 6.4 | -12.8 | 1.0 | -1.9 | 2.0 | -7.8 | 1.1 | - |  |
| Central government | 12.5 | 7.4 | 12.1 | 15.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | - |  |
| Transport and communications | 8.3 | 7.7 | - | -3.6 | -14.8 | -4.3 | - | - |  |
| Financial institutions | 12.5 | - | - | 55.6 | 7.1 | 13.3 | -5.9 | - |  |
| Energy and water | - | - | 16.7 | - | 14.3 | - | - | - |  |
| Services | 5.6 | 23.3 | 1.8 | -1.2 | -3.6 | -2.5 | 2.6 | 3.1 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Planning and the Budget.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Open unemployment plus its equivalent in terms of underemployment expressed as a percentage of the economically active population. $\quad{ }^{\text {c }}$ Full-time posts. Estimates by crop and area sown.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Estimates according to the Nicaraguan Social Security and Welfare Institute.

## Table 12

NICARAGUA: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -20.1 | 5.6 | -10.0 | -21.8 | -18.0 | 19.3 | -20.3 | 24.3 |
| Volume | -14.4 | 15.0 | -23.0 | -16.8 | -20.7 | 15.0 | -21.5 | 27.1 |
| Unit value | -6.7 | -8.2 | 16.8 | -6.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 1.5 | -2.3 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -21.6 | 7.6 | 2.8 | 0.1 | -9.2 | 1.0 | -2.2 | -11.8 |
| Volume | -20.4 | 13.3 | 11.6 | -1.0 | -7.1 | -5.2 | -4.1 | -17.7 |
| Unit value | -1.5 | -5.1 | -7.9 | 1.1 | -2.3 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 7.1 |
| Terms of trade | -5.7 | -3.6 | 25.3 | -8.6 | 5.7 | -2.7 | -0.5 | -8.4 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports of goods | 87.1 | 96.5 | 93.2 | 70.8 | 59.4 | 66.4 | 51.9 | 60.4 |
| Volume of exports | 102.3 | 117.7 | 90.6 | 75.4 | 59.8 | 68.7 | 53.9 | 68.6 |
| Volume of imports | 87.7 | 99.4 | 110.9 | 109.8 | 102.0 | 96.7 | 92.7 | 76.3 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/FOB) | 85.7 | 82.9 | 105.2 | 97.8 | 103.5 | 100.8 | 100.3 | 91.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of the Economy, Industry and Trade.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13
NICARAGUA: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rate (new córdobas per dollar) |  |  | Index of adjusted real official exchange rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ $(1985=100)$ | Index of effective real exchange rate " $(1985=100)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Official |  | Parallel |
|  | O | ParaUe | offidal" |  | Exports | Imports |  |
| 1980-1989 |  |  |  | 142.3 | 164.7 | 160.4 | 30.0 |
| 1980 | 0.010 | 0.018 |  | 283.0 | 359.8 | 366.3 | 26.1 |
| 1981 | 0.010 | 0.026 |  | 249.5 | 280.0 | 282.7 | 29.2 |
| 1982 | 0.010 | 0.028 |  | 203.9 | 216.9 | 208.4 | 23.8 |
| 1983 | 0.010 | 0.028 |  | 157.3 | 163.5 | 157.4 | 18.0 |
| 1984 | 0.010 |  |  | 118.9 | 117.8 | 118.1 |  |
| 1985 | 0.026 | 0.652 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 0.066 | 1.337 |  | 30.4 | 37.0 | 33.7 | 28.9 |
| 1987 | 0.07 | 6.77 | 12.40 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 22.4 |
| 1988 | 270 | 709 | 844 | 106.6 | 146.8 | 131.6 | 11.8 |
| 1989 | 15937 | 19640 | 19621 | 165.5 | 215.0 | 196.6 | 10.2 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 0.07 | 2.66 | 3.93 | 19.2 | 25.3 | 22.4 | 36.2 |
| II | 0.07 | 4.83 | 7.67 | 7.2 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 25.1 |
| III | 0.07 | 7.83 | 12.00 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 15.0 |
| IV | 0.07 | 11.75 | 26.00 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 13.4 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 8 | 16 | 91 | 62.7 | 89.3 | 78.7 | 6.5 |
| II | 35 | 35 | 188 | 100.7 | 142.3 | 126.0 | 5.3 |
| III | 157 | 390 | 410 | 132.1 | 175.2 | 158.8 | 16.6 |
| IV | 880 | 2397 | 2685 | 130.8 | 180.3 | 162.8 | 18.7 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 3523 | 4832 | 5185 | 109.3 | 147.0 | 135.1 | 7.7 |
| II | 10199 | 12425 | 13094 | 170.6 | 220.1 | 197.1 | 10.2 |
| III | 21446 | 25589 | 25000 | 180.5 | 227.2 | 210.6 | 10.4 |
| IV | 28579 | 35715 | 35204 | 201.7 | 265.8 | 243.6 | 12.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Nicaragua, the Ministry of Planning and the Budget and the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Value of sales in authorized exchange offices. Value of sales on the unauthorized free market. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Index of real exchange rate of Nicaraguan currency with respect to the United States dollar. Corresponds to the average index of the real exchange rate of the córdoba with respect to the currencies of Nicaragua's main trading partners, weighted according to their relative participation of Nicaragua's exports or imports as the case may be. The weightings are based on the average figure for the period 1983-1987. These calculations have been made primarily on the basis of wholesale price indexes and, in the case of Nicaragua, the consumer price index. For the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981.

Table 14
NICARAGUA: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total | 295 | 235 | 292 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -18.0 | 19.4 | -203 | 243 |
| Central America | 22 | 16 | 27 | 6.5 | 9.2 | -33.3 | 37.5 | -27.3 | 68.8 |
| Rest of world | 273 | 219 | 265 | 93.5 | 90.8 | -17.7 | 18.2 | -19.8 | 21.0 |
| Main traditional exports | 243 | 188 | 212 | 82.2 | 72.6 |  | 19.7 | -22.6 | 12.8 |
| Ginned cotton | 46 | 53 | 28 | 17.8 | 9.6 | -51.6 | 4.5 | 15.2 | -47.2 |
| Coffee | 133 | 85 | 90 | 44.5 | 30.8 | -6.8 | 20.9 | -36.1 | 5.9 |
| Sugar | 20 | 5 | 17 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 142.9 | 17.6 | -75.0 | 240.0 |
| Meat | 15 | 19 | 41 | 2.0 | 14.0 | -54.5 | 200.0 | 26.7 | 115.8 |
| Shellfish | 12 | 9 | 12 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 30.8 | 33.3 | -25.0 | 33.3 |
| Sesame | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | -60.0 | 50.0 | -33.3 | 50.0 |
| Bananas | 14 | 15 | 21 | 6.5 | 7.2 | - | -12.5 | - | 40.0 |
| Other | 52 | 47 | 80 | 17.8 | 27.4 |  | 18.2 | -9.6 | 70.2 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of the Economy, Industry and Trade.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 15
NICARAGUA: VOLUME OF EXPORTS OF MAIN PRODUCTS

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Cotton ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1457 | 1069 | 1107 | 757 | 540 | -26.6 | 3.6 | -31.6 | -28.7 |
| Coffee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 868 | 673 | 814 | 674 | 740 | -22.5 | 21.0 | -17.2 | 9.8 |
| Sugar ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1250 | 1540 | 1090 | 751 | 1693 | 23.2 | -29.2 | -31.1 | 125.4 |
| Meat | . 0204 | 5769 | 15000 | 21000 | 53000 | -43.5 | 160.0 | 40.0 | 152.4 |
| Shrimp, lobsters, etc. ' | 2581 | 1868 | 2204 | 2318 | 2348 | -27.6 | 18.0 | 5.2 | 1.3 |
| Sesame | 122 | 58 | 68 | 57 | 67 | -52.5 | 17.2 | -16.2 | 17.5 |
| Bananas | 4465 | 4321 | 3986 | 3859 | 4000 | -3.2 | -7.8 | -3.2 | 3.7 |
| Gold ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 28 | 27 | 32 | 40 |  | -3.6 | 18.5 | 25.0 |
| Silver ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | 27 | 20 | 32 |  |  | -25.9 | 60.0 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Foreign Trade.
Preliminary figures. Thousands of quintals. "Thousands of pounds. Thousands of 42-pound boxes.
${ }^{c}$ Troy ounces.

Table 16
NICARAGUA: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 824 | 808 | 711 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -1.9 | -12.0 |
| Central America |  |  |  | 33.9 |  |  |  |
| Rest of world |  |  |  | 66.1 |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods | 124 | 134 | 120 | 29.0 | 16.9 | 8.1 | -10.4 |
| Durable |  |  |  | 24.2 |  |  |  |
| Non-durable |  |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |  |
| Raw materials and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| intermediate goods | 458 | 414 | 354 | 58.6 | 49.8 | -9.6 | -14.5 |
| Petroleum and fuels | 138 | 121 | 115 | 19.6 | 16.2 | -1.6 | -5.0 |
| Other | 335 | 293 | 239 | 39.0 | 33.6 | -12.5 | -18.4 |
| For agriculture | 104 | 100 | 90 | 7.0 | 12.7 | -3.8 | -10.0 |
| For manufacturing | 193 | 162 | 125 | 28.0 | 17.6 | -16.1 | -22.8 |
| For construction | 38 | 23 | 23 | 3.3 | 3.2 | -39.5 | 0.0 |
| Miscellaneous | - | 8 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.1 |  | -87.5 |
| Capital goods | 242 | 260 | 237 | 12.4 | 33.3 | 7.4 | -8.8 |
| For agriculture | 28 | 35 | 25 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 25.0 | -28.6 |
| For manufacturing | 142 | 114 | 97 | 6.9 | 13.6 | -19.7 | -14.9 |
| For transport | 72 | 112 | 115 | 2.8 | 16.2 | 55.6 | 2.7 |

[^52]Table 17
NICARAGUA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -557 | -635 | -727 | -827 | -799 | -766 | -732 | -571 |
| Trade balance | -379 | -430 | -490 | -587 | -563 | -568 | -581 | -418 |
| Exports of goods and services | 447 | 471 | 431 | 351 | 294 | 326 | 275 | 343 |
| Goods FOB | 406 | 429 | 386 | 301 | 247 | 295 | 235 | 292 |
| Real services | 41 | 42 | 45 | 50 | 47 | 31 | 40 | 51 |
| Transport and insurance | 15 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 15 |
| Travel | 20 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 4 |
| Imports of goods and services | 826 | 901 | 921 | 938 | 857 | 894 | 856 | 761 |
| Goods FOB | 723 | 778 | 800 | 800 | 727 | 734 | 718 | 633 |
| Real services | 103 | 123 | 122 | 138 | 130 | 160 | 138 | 128 |
| Transport and insurance | 55 | 55 | 58 | 91 | 86 | 90 | 80 | 64 |
| Travel | 20 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Factor services | -186 | -209 | -239 | -257 | -245 | -208 | -151 | -153 |
| Profits | -5 | -1 | -1 | -5 | - | - | - | - |
| Interest received | 9 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| Interest paid and due | 87 | -211 | -242 | -253 | -246 | -209 | -153 | -160 |
| Labour and property | -3 | -3 |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 8 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 9 | 10 | - | - |
| Balance on capital account | 593 | 653 | 974 | 846 | 605 | 504 | 562 | 511 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 43 | 76 | 88 | 68 | 106 | 114 | 130 | 169 |
| Long-term capital | 473 | 660 | 481 | 790 | 605 | 377 | 414 | 430 |
| Direct investment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Portfolio investment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 473 | 653 | 479 | 790 | 605 | 377 | 414 | 430 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 479 | 618 | 473 | 792 | 609 | 526 | 446 | 430 |
| Loans received | 548 | 928 | 637 | 956 | 941 | 803 | 534 | 817 |
| Amortization payments | -67 | -298 | -158 | -164 | -332 | -277 | -88 | -387 |
| Commercial banks | 25 | 27 | 20 | 5 | 9 | -148 | -32 |  |
| Loans received | 38 | 37 | 29 | 22 | 15 |  | 18 |  |
| Amortization payments | -13 | -11 | -9 | -17 | -6 |  | -50 |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -30 | 8 | -14 | -7 | -14 | -1 | - | - |
| Loans received | 17 | 45 | 15 | 10 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Amortization payments | -47 | -37 | -28 | -17 | -21 | -1 | - | - |
| Short-term capital | 65 | 5 | 395 | -149 | 113 | 53 | 188 | -38 |
| Official sector | 80 | 11 | 394 | 167 | 51 | 5 | 7 | -49 |
| Commercial banks | 6 | 6 | -33 | -5 | 22 | 4 | 17 | - |
| Other sectors | -21 | -13 | 34 | -312 | 40 | 44 | 164 | 11 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | 11 | -87 | 10 | 138 | -219 | -40 | -170 | -50 |
| Global balance | 35 | 18 | 247 | 19 | -194 | -262 | -170 | -60 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 282 | -93 | -128 | -16 | 196 | 262 | 170 | 60 |
| Monetary gold | -3 | -18 | -14 | -5 | 54 |  |  |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | -1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| IMF reserve position |  | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | -59 | -86 | -134 | -6 | 150 |  |  |  |
| Other assets | -14 | 16 | 24 | 3 | -8 |  |  |  |
| Use of IMF credit | -5 | -5 | -5 | -9 | - | - | - |  |

Source: 1980-1986 ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the International Monetary Fund. 1987-1989, ECLAC on the basis of official data.
Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. Includes net loans granted and other assets and

Table 18

## NICARAGUA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External public debt | 3139 | 3788 | 4362 | 4936 | 5760 | 6270 | 7220 | 7570 |
| Long- and medium-term | 2578 | 3263 | 3901 | 4496 | 5321 | 5733 | 6773 |  |
| Short-term | 561 | 525 | 461 | 440 | 439 | 537 | 447 |  |
| Disbursements ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 455 | 375 | 347 | 598 | 614 | 490 | 286 | 286 |
| Debt servicing | 203 | 103 | 79 | 68 | 32 | 25 | 11 | 12 |
| Principal | 59 | 40 | 28 | 23 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 8 |
| Interest payments | 144 | 63 | 51 | 45 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 702.6 | 804.2 | 1012.5 | 1405.3 | 1958.5 | 1923.3 | :2625.5 | 2207.0 |
| Debt servicing/ exports of goods and services | 45.4 | 21.8 | 18.3 | 19.4 | 10.9 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| Net interest payments /exports of goods and services | 39.8 | 43.4 | 55.0 | 71.6 | 83.3 | 63.8 | 54.9 | 44.6 |
| Debt servicing/disbursements | 44.6 | 27.4 | 22.8 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Nicaragua.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ End-year balances. ' Refers to long-term debt. Amortizationi payments on the long-term debt. ${ }^{e}$ All ratios refer to the external public debt. Correspond to the net balance-ol'-payments figure.

Table 19
NICARAGUA: CONSUMER PRICES IN THE MANAGUA METROPOLITAN AREA

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December to December variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 22.2 | 32.9 | 50.2 | 334.3 | 747.4 | 1347.3 | 33602.6 | 1689.9 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 23.9 | 45.1 | 58.6 | 386.9 | 955.6 | 1422.2 | 18504.8 | 1826.4 |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 24.8 | 31.0 | 35.4 | 219.5 | 681.6 | 912.0 | 14295.3 | 4771.1 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 29.1 | 41.5 | 41.6 | 246.7 | 887.0 | 990.7 |  | 3947.9 |

[^53]${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 20
NICARAGUA: WAGES

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Córdobas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average annual wage | 40 | 51 | 114 | 322 | 2235 | 113592 | 6975564 |
| Indexes (1985 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average annual nominal wage | 35.1 | 44.7 | 100.0 | 282.5 | 1961 | 99642 | 6118916 |
| Consumer price index | 23.1 | 31.3 | 100 | 781.6 | 7909 | 1138574 | 55461272 |
| Real wages | 151.9 | 142.9 | 100 | 36.1 | 24.8 | 8.8 | 11.0 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 14.3 | 27.5 | 123.5 | 182.5 | 591.4 | 4982.4 | 6040.9 |
| Real ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -12.9 | -5.9 | -30.0 | -63.9 | -31.4 | -64.5 | 25.0 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Planning and the Budget.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Refers to the total average monthly wage received by workers registered with the Nicaraguan Social Security and Welfare Institute. During 1988 (June and from September to December) there were variations in nominal wages; during 1989, monthly variations occurred. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Excluding incentives. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Deflated on the basis of the consumer price index.

Table 21
NICARAGUA: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Finance.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Donations.

Table 22
NICARAGUA: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | End-of-year balances (b:iliions of new córdobas) |  |  | Growth rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Money (Mi) | 1238 | 141970 | 3150550 | 11368 | 2119 |
| Currency outside banks | 730 | 66984 | 1619842 | 9076 | 2318 |
| Current account deposits | 508 | 74986 | 1530708 | 14661 | 1941 |
| Factors of expansion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net international reserves |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic credit | 1241 | 236868 | 10325843 | 18987 | 4259 |
| To public sector | 887 | 190561 | 8778171 | 21384 | 4506 |
| Central government (net) | 418 | 68274 | 2348965 | 16233 | 3340 |
| Public institutions | 469 | 122287 | 6429206 | 25974 | 5157 |
| To private sector | 354 | 46307 | 1547672 | 12981 | 3242 |
| Factors of absorption |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quasi-money | 99 | 24661 | 1511595 | 24710 | 6029 |
| (savings and time deposits) | 95 | 9438 | 525584 | 9793 | 5469 |
| Other items (net) | 4 | 15223 | 986011 | 380475 | 6377 |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP |  | 0.22 | 0.09 |  |  |
| Velocity of circulation: GDP/MI |  | 4.47 | 11.33 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of Nicaragua.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

## PANAMA

The year 1989 saw a continuation of the severe recession experienced during the two previous years and which was particularly pronounced in 1988. The backdrop to this recession was a serious political crisis which entered a period of "wait and see" during the first half of the year (while the electoral process was underway) and then worsened leading eventually to foreign military intervention. Against this background, the gross domestic product again fell, this time slightly ( $-0.8 \%$ ), following the $16 \%$ drop in 1988. As a consequence of this, in the last two years alone per capita gross domestic product fell so sharply that it was almost $20 \%$ less than in 1980.

The recession brought about a slight drop in prices $(-0.2 \%)$, while in the area of foreign trade a favourable trade balance was maintained which was, however, lower than that of the previous year, due to a strong surge in imports. On the other hand, the outflow of capital also declined so that the loss of international reserves was again slight (see table 1 and figure 1 ).

The recessionary impact on the activities of the domestic market, particularly in construction, was made worse by the decline in the volume of operations recorded in some international services such as banking, transport and tourism, which contributed to the maintenance of the high unemployment level. On the other hand, the slight drop in the general level of prices brought about by the recession kept real wages steady.

Private consumption rose by $9.6 \%$, although the absolute level, following the sharp contraction of 1988, remained far below the level recorded two years previously. Two factors were responsible for this: on the one hand, a temporary but marked increase in liquidity as the national banking system opened up some possibilities for withdrawing funds which had remained frozen following the
drastic measures adopted the previous year, and, on the other, the use by the middle classes of some liquid resources that had been maintained in reserve. At the same time, the precarious situation of the finances of the Government, whose deficit increased to $6.2 \%$ of gross domestic product, led the authorities to continue to apply a spending policy that was extremely rigorous and selective as regards the use of resources. As a result, a further, albeit quite small, drop took place in government consumption. The process of capital formation thus continued to weaken to the point where the coefficient of fixed investment barely exceeded $9 \%$, after being over $24 \%$ in 1980.

The measures of economic pressure applied by the United States since the previous year, particularly the freezing of public funds and the blocking of the inflow of remittances, greatly reduced the inflow of foreign exchange. This, together with the low liquidity of the banking system, particularly of the National Bank of Panama, led to a reduction in the means of payment which followed upon the decline registered in the two previous years. The Government attempted to moderate the restrictive effects of these measures on public finances, by issuing promissory notes which were used as a substitute for money, thus permitting the administration to keep on functioning.

As a consequence of the electoral process, the indicators of economic performance showed a rapid recovery during the first half of the year, due to the influence of the favourable expectations regarding the likely course of political events. However, both at the domestic and international levels, a series of events occurred which belied these expectations. The annulment of the election results and the expiration of the constitutional term of the Government led firstly to the formation of a provisional government in September and,

Figure 1
PANAMA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS




Figure 1 (concluded)


## Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

later, to a series of political and military developments culminating in the invasion of Panamanian territory and the installation of a new Government.

The military operations that accompanied the invasion led to the loss of human lives and the destruction of dwellings; among the latter, the most significant occurred in the district known as Barrio Chorrillos in Panama City, situated near to a military base destroyed
during operations, which affected an estimated 10000 to 15000 people. In the general chaos that reigned for several days in December and until the new authorities took over the Government, looting and pillaging of business places took place causing some damage to infrastructural works in many commercial areas in the two main cities of the country, Panama City and Col

Table 1

## PANAMA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes $(1980=100)$
Basic economic indicators
Gross domestic product at market
prices $\quad$ Gross national income

Population (millions of inhabitants)
Per capita gross domestic product
Public-sector deficit/GDP be
Unemployment rate

| 109.1 | 109.0 | 108.6 | 113.8 | 117.7 | 120.3 | 100.4 | 99.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 106.4 | 110.8 | 112.2 | 120.8 | 126.5 | 124.5 | 104.3 | 104.5 |
| 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 104.4 | 102.1 | 99.5 | 102.1 | 103.4 | 103.3 | 84.5 | 82.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 6.2 |
| 8.4 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 16.3 | 16.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.9 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 2.0 | -16.4 | -0.8 |
| 2.7 | -2.2 | -2.6 | 2.6 | 1.3 | -0.1 | -18.2 | -2.8 |
| 1.6 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 4.7 | -1.6 | -16.2 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| -4.0 | 15.8 | -1.6 | -1.0 | 0.1 | -1.5 | -0.4 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -3.0 | -17.6 | -0.2 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 1.7 | -5.8 | 8.8 |
| -7.8 | -27.2 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 3.4 | -17.7 | 21.4 |
| -7.4 | 14.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 16.1 | -4.2 | 5.0 | 6.2 |

Millions of dollars
External sector
Trade balance (goods and services)

| $\bullet 124$ | 255 | 42 | 141 | 300 | 247 | 650 | 344 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -37 | -126 | -73 | -46 | 28 | 97 | -26 | 8 |
| $\bullet 152$ | 311 | 74 | 147 | 233 | 92 | 641 | 291 |
| 136 | -323 | -158 | -264 | -173 | -129 | -647 | -300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -9 | -3 | -70 | -157 | 30 | -85 | 12 | 9 |
| 2820 | 3392 | 3644 | 3642 | 3835 | 3731 | 3771 | 3814 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
Preliminary figures. Percentages.
Minimum wages and salaries in Panama City.
: Includes the central government. Excludes debt amortization payments.
${ }^{\text {e: }}$ Corresponds to the contractual debt of the public sector.

Table 2
PANAMA: EVOLUTION OF REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 $==100$ ) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989' | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 124.5 | 104.3 | 104.5 | 97.2 | 100.7 | 101.1 | 102.0 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 120.1 | 100.4 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -0.2 | -2.4 | -2.3 |
| c) Net inflow of factors from abroad | 19.5 | -36.1 | -36.4 | -3.2 | -0.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| d) Net private transfers received from abroad | 434.3 | 559.4 | 755.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
PANAMA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989' | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 111.4 | 87.3 | 91.6 | 148.8 | 136.8 | 2.0 | 02 | -21.6 | 4.8 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 120.1 | 100.4 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 2.0 | -16.4 | -0.8 |
| Imports of goods and y services | 93.4 | 60.6 | 75.2 | 48.8 | 36.8 | -1.6 | -4.5 | -35.1 | 24.1 |
| Total demand | 111.4 | 87.3 | 91.6 | 148.8 | 136.8 | 2.0 | 0.2 | -21.6 | 4.8 |
| Domestic demand | 113.2 | 80.9 | 84.9 | 103.4 | 88.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | -28.5 | 4.9 |
| Gross domestic investment | 87.5 | 36.1 | 33.4 | 27.7 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 2.4 | -58.8 | -7.5 |
| Gross fixed investment | 99.7 | 47.1 | 38.1 | 24.3 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 0.2 | -52.8 | -19.0 |
| Construction | 88.4 | 46.3 | 36.0 | 15.9 | 5.7 | 16.6 | 2.2 | -47.6 | -22.3 |
| Machinery and equipment | 120.9 | 48.5 | 42.2 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 1.8 | -2.3 | -59.9 | -13.1 |
| Public | 31.8 | 24.2 | 37.0 | 9.9 | 3.7 | -11.6 | -31.3 | -23.9 | 53.1 |
| Private | 146.4 | 62.8 | 38.9 | 14.4 | 5.6 | 16.2 | 7.6 | -57.1 | -38.1 |
| Changes in stocks | -0.5 | -43.2 | -0.9 | 3.4 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 97.3 | 103.8 | 75.7 | 78.9 | -1.1 | 0.7 | -20.6 | 6.6 |  |
| General government | 141.7 | 114.4 | 113.7 | 19.1 | 21.8 | 8.5 | 5.0 | -19.2 | -0.6 |
| Private | 116.2 | 91.6 | 100.4 | 56.6 | 57.0 | -4.4 | -0.9 | -21.2 | 9.6 |
| Exports of goods and services | 107.1 | 102.0 | 106.8 | 45.4 | 48.6 | 4.9 | -2.0 | -4.8 | 4.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and data from the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Figures on imports and exports of goods and services were taken from balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were converted to constant 1980 dollars using unit price indexes calculated by ECLAC for that purpose.

Table 4
PANAMA: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC
INVESTMENT
(As percentage of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{3}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 26.6 | 21.1 | 18.9 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 10.0 |
| Gross domestic investment | 24.9 | 23.7 | 15.6 | 18.1 | 21.7 | 22.7 | 26.6 | 21.1 |
|  <br> Gromestic saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income from factor | -3.4 | 0.1 | -3.2 | -1.2 | -1.1 | -0.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| $\quad$ services | -2.6 | -2.2 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 3.1 | -0.2 | -2.4 | -2.3 |
| $\quad$ Terms-of-trade | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| effect | 19.8 | 22.5 | 16.1 | 21.3 | 26.2 | 23.5 | 27.7 | 23.1 |
| $\quad$ Unrequited private transfers | 6.8 | -1.4 | 2.8 | -2.2 | -6.1 | -3.3 | -17.7 | -13.8 |
| Gross national saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. ' Preliminary figures.

Table 5
PANAMA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 120.1 | 100.4 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 2.0 | -16.4 | -0.8 |
| Goods | 1023 | 77.4 | 77.8 | 26.5 | 20.7 | 1.5 | 3.8 | -24.4 | 0.5 |
| Agriculture | 123.3 | 114.0 | 116.8 | 9.0 | 10.6 | -2.2 | 7.4 | -7.5 | 2.4 |
| Mining | 87.1 | 54.8 | 38.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 8.1 | -37.0 | -29.6 |
| Manufacturing | 104.4 | 80.0 | 84.2 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 3.7 | -23.4 | 5.3 |
| Construction | 73.9 | 29.0 | 21.6 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 7.5 | -2.7 | -60.7 | -25.8 |
| Basic services | 143.4 | 1323 | 122.9 | 23.4 | 29.0 | 2.9 | 4.7 | -7.8 | -7.1 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 146.5 | 142.6 | 137.6 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 7.0 | -2.7 | -3.5 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 142.9 | 130.6 | 120.6 | 20.2 | 24.5 | 2.4 | 4.3 | -8.6 | -7.7 |
| Other services | 122.7 | 103.2 | 104.7 | 52.0 | 54.7 | 4.2 | 03 | -15.9 | 1.5 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 95.0 | 71.3 | 73.9 | 17.4 | 12.9 | 1.6 | -4.7 | -24.9 | 3.6 |
| Financial establishments, insurance, real estate and business services | 137.4 | 120.1 | 119.0 | 14.1 | 16.9 | 6.6 | 2.7 | -12.6 | -0.9 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 124.4 | 125.4 | 125.8 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Personal, community and social services | 136.0 | 118.5 | 120.9 | 20.5 | 24.9 | 4.2 | 1.9 | -12.9 | 2.0 |
| Government services | 135.4 | 131.2 | 129.6 | 12.5 | 16.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 | -3.1 | -1.2 |
| Adjustments for bank services | 181.6 | 144.9 | 137.9 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 1.8 | -20.2 | -4.8 |
| Import duties | 140.9 | 54.4 | 63.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 17.2 | -6.4 | -61.4 | 15.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted according to the 1980 current price structure. Consequently the figures obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 6
PANAMA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of agricultural production (1980 = 100) | 116.9 | 111.9 | 121.3 | 115.1 | 116.9 | -43 | 8.4 | -5.1 | 1.6 |
| Crop farming | 113.5 | 104.4 | 114.8 | 107.3 | 108.4 | -8.0 | 10.0 | -6.5 | 1.0 |
| Stock-raising | 125.8 | 130.5 | 138.8 | 137.1 | 140.1 | 3.7 | 6.4 | -1.2 | 2.2 |
| Forestry | 112.0 | 110.0 | 108.0 | 88.0 | 94.0 | -1.8 | -1.8 | - | 6.8 |
| Production of main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bananas | 1067 | 907 | 1251 | 1081 | 1095 | -15.0 | 37.9 | -13.6 | 1.3 |
| Sugar cane | 2007 | 1760 | 1660 | 1454 | 1457 | -12.3 | -5.7 | -12.4 | 0.2 |
| Coffee | 11 | 9 | 11 | 12 |  | -18.2 | 22.2 | 9.1 |  |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice | 175 | 187 | 181 | 180 | 183 | 6.9 | -3.2 | -0.6 | 1.7 |
| Maize | 71 | 96 | 93 | 98 | 93 | 35.2 | -3.1 | 5.4 | -5.1 |
| Beans | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 51.7 | -9.1 | -20.0 | 34.4 |
| Tobacco | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 7.7 | 7.1 | -20.0 |  |
| Indicators of stock-raising production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stocks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle | 1447 | 1430 | 1410 | 1423 |  | -1.2 | -1.4 | 0.9 |  |
| Pigs | 208 | 250 | 229 | 221 |  | 20.2 | -8.4 | -3.5 |  |
| Poultry ${ }^{a}$ | 5789 | 6659 | 7236 | 6201 |  | 15.0 | 8.7 | -14.3 |  |
| Slaughtering ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle | 295 | 296 | 289 | 257 | 270 | 0.3 | -2.4 | -11.1 | 5.1 |
| Pigs | 156 | 176 | 177 | 150 | 150 | 12.8 | 0.6 | -15.3 | - |
| Poultry | 17602 | 20242 | 24705 | 20418 | 17459 | 15.0 | 22.0 | -17.4 | -14.5 |
| Otherproducts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MilF | 94 | 111 | 113 | 107 | 111 | 18.1 | 1.8 | -5.3 | 3.7 |
| Eggs ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 192 | 206 | 233 | 207 | 150 | 7.3 | 13.1 | -11.2 | -27.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office of the Ministry of Agricultural Development.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Thousands of tons. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of heads. The poultry stock is estimated by the National Bureau of Sectoral Planning of the Ministry of Agricultural Development on the basis of a survival rate of one out of the approximately four broods hatched per year per hen. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Millions of litres. Millions of units.

Table 7
PANAMA: INDICATORS OF FISHERY PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of fishery production $(1980=100)$ | 112.2 | 79.8 | 56.4 | 693 | -28.9 | -293 | 22.9 |
| Shrimp | 125.3 | 73.5 | 56.7 | 68.5 | -41.3 | -22.9 | 20.8 |
| Fish | 50.7 | 78.4 | 44.9 | 78.1 | 54.6 | -42.7 | 73.9 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 101.6 | 134.7 | 72.0 | 61.1 | 32.6 | -46.5 | -15.1 |
| Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrimp ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.2 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.9 | -41.4 | -22.8 | 20.8 |
| Lobster | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 |  | 32.6 | -46.6 |  |
| Fish | 92.9 | 143.5 | 82.2 | 143.0 | 54.5 | -42.7 | 73.9 |
| Industrial production ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish oil | 14.5 | 13.8 | 5.6 | 16.9 | -5.1 | -59.4 | 202.6 |
| Fish meal | 17.1 | 31.4 | 20.3 | 30.6 | 83.5 | -35.4 | 51.0 |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh or frozen shrimps | 8.6 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.5 | -19.6 | -12.0 | 24.2 |
| Canned sardines | 9.4 | 21.0 | 10.8 | 18.5 | 123.5 | -48.6 | 71.0 |
| Fish meal | 11.2 | 10.2 | 3.1 | 13.4 | -8.9 | -70.0 | 337.6 |
| Fish oil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office; the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, and the Ministry of Agricultural Development, Bureau of Marine Resources.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. Principally shell fish and other mollusks. ${ }^{c}$ Thousands of tons. ${ }^{d}$ Excludes production in hatcheries.

Table 8
PANAMA: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percentage breakdown (1988) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of manufacturing production (1981 = 100) | 105.2 | 112.8 | 85.5 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 12 | -24.2 | 5.4 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 100.2 | 107.5 | 93.8 | 99.3 | 55.6 | 1.6 | 13 | -12.7 | 5.9 |
| Textiles, clothing and leather products | 121.9 | 117.9 | 82.9 | 84.8 | 4.8 | 5.4 | -3.3 | -29.7 | 2.3 |
| Wood, furniture and fittings | 101.6 | 94.0 | 42.8 | 55.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | -7.5 | -54.5 | 29.9 |
| Paper, printing and publishing | 101.3 | 96.6 | 81.7 | 86.9 | 8.1 | -4.7 | -4.6 | -15.4 | 6.4 |
| Chemicals and petroleum products | 110.2 | 130.9 | 102.7 | 106.7 | 22.4 | -1.1 | 18.8 | -21.5 | 3.9 |
| Non-metallic minerals | 95.4 | 102.6 | 40.9 | 40.2 | 2.7 | 21.2 | 7.5 | -60.1 | -1.7 |
| Basic metal industries | 76.1 | 116.5 | 39.0 | 36.7 | 0.5 | 13.6 | 53.1 | -66.5 | -5.9 |
| Metal products | 133.1 | 142.6 | 76.5 | 78.3 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 7.1 | -46.4 | 2.4 |
| Other manufactures | 142.4 | 136.4 | 92.9 | 113.2 | 0.5 | 10.6 | -4.2 | -31.9 | 21.9 |
| Production of some important manufactures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 131.0 | 115.1 | 100.0 | 101.8 |  | -13.5 | -12.1 | -13.1 | 1.8 |
| Beer ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 92.5 | 101.4 | 87.1 | 104.2 |  | 16.1 | 9.6 | -14.1 | 19.6 |
| Carbonated beverages | 88.6 | 96.5 | 78.3 |  |  | 6.9 | 8.9 | -18.9 |  |
| Evaporated, condensed and powdered milk ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 22.2 | 20.8 | 18.6 | 21.7 |  | 15.6 | -6.3 | -10.6 | 16.7 |
| Cigarettes ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 872.8 | 825.6 | 670.9 | 636.8 |  | - | -5.4 | -18.7 | -5.1 |
| Footwear | 2595 | 2472 | 1417 |  |  | 2.5 | -4.7 | -42.7 |  |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial consumption of electricity ${ }^{g}$ | 267.6 | 305.5 | 247.0 | 255.0 |  | 6.0 | 14.2 | -19.1 | 3.2 |
| Employment | 64.2 | 72.5 | 61.9 | 65.1 |  | -3.6 | 12.9 | -14.6 | 5.2 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. On the basis of current values of the gross value of production. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Thousands of tons.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Millions of litres. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Millions of units. ' Thousands of pairs. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Thousands of MWh. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Thousands of persons, according to the household survey.

Table 9
PANAMA: CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

| 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Area constructed

| (thousands of $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 484 |  | 678 | 780 | 131 | 55 | 40.1 | 15.0 | -83.2 | -58.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 341 |  | 481 | 610 | 79 | 20 | 41.1 | 26.8 | -87.0 | -74.7 |
| Value of structures ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production of selected building materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cement" | 308 |  | 337 | 383 | 170 | 109 | 9.4 | 13.6 | -55.6 | -35.9 |
| Employment ${ }^{\text {ef }}$ | 33.3 |  | 34.6 | 35.4 | 21.9 | 21.6 | 3.9 | 2.3 | -38.1 | -1.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Corresponds to the area constructed, according to building permits requested in the District of Panama only. 'Nationwide, based on records of building permits granted. Thousands of tons. 'According to the household survey taken in August.

Table 10
PANAMA: INDICATORS OF ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION

|  | Thousands MWh |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 2407 | 2714 | 2855 | 2705 | 2691 | 12.8 | 5 J ! | -5.3 | -0.5 |
| Net generation | 2377 | 2547 | 2624 | 2524 | 2563 | 7.2 | 3.0 | -3.8 | 1.5 |
| Gross generation | 2411 | 2578 | 2663 | 2548 |  | 6.9 | 3.3 | -4.3 |  |
| Hydroelectric | 1918 | 2088 | 2025 | 2193 | 2182 | 8.9 | -3.0 | 8.3 | -0.5 |
| Steam | 312 | 339 | 423 | 272 | 307 | 8.7 | 24.8 | -35.7 | 12.9 |
| Diesel | 147 | 120 | 176 | 59 | 74 | -18.4 | 46.7 | -66.5 | 25.4 |
| Minus: in-house services | 34 | 31 | 39 | 24 |  | -8.8 | 25.8 | -38.5 |  |
| Imports |  | 92 | 105 | 78 | 128 |  | 14.1 | -25.7 | 64.1 |
| Purchases from other systems | 30 | 75 | 126 | 103 |  | 150.0 | 68.0 | -18.3 |  |
| Total demand | 2407 | 2714 | 2855 | 2705 | 2691 | 12.8 | 5 J ! | -S3 | -0.5 |
| Domestic consumption | 1944 | 2111 | 2283 | 2114 | 2083 | 8.6 | 8.1 | -7.4 | -1.5 |
| Residential | 560 | 607 | 663 | 655 | 615 | 8.5 | 9.2 | -1.2 | -6.1 |
| Commercial | 610 | 648 | 678 | 606 |  | 6.2 | 4.7 | -10.7 |  |
| Industrial | 252 | 268 | 305 | 247 | 255 | 6.0 | 14.1 | -19.1 | 3.2 |
| Public and others | 522 | 588 | 637 | 606 |  | 12.6 | 8.3 | -4.9 |  |
| Exports | 1 | 79 | 18 | 40 | 59 |  | -77.2 | 122.2 | 47.5 |
| Transmission and distribution losses | 462 | 524 | 554 | 551 | 549 | 13.4 | 5.7 | -0.5 | -0.4 |

Other indicators

| Coefficient of losses/ <br> total supply | 19.2 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Consumption of Bunker <br> oil as industrial input ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1020 | 1018 | 1326. |  |  |  | -0.2 | 30.3 |  |
| Installed capacity ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 853 | 849 | 844 | 904 | $\ldots$ | -0.5 | -0.6 | 7.1 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office, and statistical bulletins of the Institute of Water Resources and Electrification (IRHE).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percentages. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of barrels. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ MW.

Table 11
PANAMA: INDICATORS OF SELECTED SERVICES

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Millions of balboas at $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport, storage and communication services | 513 | 525 | 548 | 501 | 462 | 2.4 | 4.4 | -8.6 | -7.8 |
| Pipelines and other water transport services | 157 | 138 | 148 | 123 | 79 | -12.5 | 7.5 | -16.7 | -35.8 |
| Panama Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commission | 177 | 186 | 188 | 193 | 187 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 2.8 | -3.1 |
| Colón Free Zone | 64 | 80 | 88 | 75 | 83 | 24.2 | 10.6 | -14.8 | 10.7 |
| Other | 114 | 122 | 124 | 110 | 113 | 7.0 | 1.6 | -11.3 | 2.7 |
| Financial services | 283 | 301 | 310 | 274 | 268 | 6.4 | 2.9 | -11.6 | -2.2 |
| Banking | 59 | 63 | 64 | 48 | 45 | 7.9 | 1.0 | -24.2 | -6.8 |
| Insurance | 15 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 14.0 | -3.5 | -15.2 | -14.3 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 140 | 146 | 152 | 153 | 153 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Other | 69 | 75 | 78 | 59 | 57 | 8.6 | 3.4 | -24.0 | -3.9 |
| Commerce and tourism | 251 | 255 | 243 | 183 | 189 | 1.6 | -4.8 | -24.7 | 3.3 |
| Wholesale | 91 | 92 | 91 | 71 | 75 | 1.5 | -2.1 | -21.5 | 5.6 |
| Retail | 125 | 127 | 119 | 84 | 86 | 1.8 | -6.8 | -29.2 | 2.4 |
| Restaurants and hotels | 36 | 36 | 34 | 28 | 29 | 0.8 | -5.0 | -17.6 | 3.6 |
| Millions of balboas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total deposits of national |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interbank deposits ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 16612 | 17495 | 10526 | 2960 | 2789 | 5.3 | -39.8 | -71.9 | -5.8 |
| By foreigners | 5193 | 6118 | 4691 | 2877 | 2481 | 17.8 | -23.3 | -38.7 | -13.8 |
| By citizens | 2519 | 3053 | 2862 | 2411 | 2560 | 21.2 | -6.3 | -15.8 | 6.2 |
| Tourism |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure by tourists and in-transit travellers | 208 | 205 | 188 | 168 |  | -1.4 | -8.3 | -10.6 |  |
| Tourists | 315 | 316 | 281 | 208 |  | 0.3 | -11.1 | -26.0 |  |
| Hotel capacity ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2878 | 2909 | 3119 | 3072 |  | 1.1 | 7.2 | -1.5 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office, and the National Banking Commission.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Year-end balances. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes operations between local banks. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Thousands of persons. Rooms in luxury and first-class hotels in Panama City, at the end of the period.

Table 12
PANAMA: PANAMA CANAL, TRAFFIC AND INCOME ${ }^{a}$

|  | Traffic (millions of long tons) | Income (millions of dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 154.1 | 208.4 |
| 1980 | 167.2 | 291.8 |
| 1981 | 171.2 | 301.8 |
| 1982 | 185.4 | 324.0 |
| 1983 | 145.6 | 286.0 |
| 1984 | 140.4 | 286.7 |
| 1985 | 138.6 | 298.5 |
| 1986 | 139.9 | 322.7 |
| October | 12.0 | 27.1 |
| November | 12.9 | 29.5 |
| December | 12.5 | 28.8 |
| 1987 | 149.0 | 329.7 |
| January | 12.0 | 27.3 |
| February | 11.6 | 25.8 |
| March | 12.0 | 27.0 |
| April | 12.6 | 27.5 |
| May | 12.4 | 28.0 |
| June | 12.3 | 26.9 |
| July | 11.6 | 26.4 |
| August | 13.6 | 28.6 |
| September | 13.5 | 26.8 |
| October | 14.0 | 29.7 |
| November | 12.6 | 28.7 |
| December | 12.6 | 28.3 |
| 1988 | 156.6 | 339.3 |
| January | 12.9 | 28.6 |
| February | 12.9 | 28.3 |
| March | 14.3 | 30.3 |
| April | 12.9 | 28.7 |
| May | 13.0 | 29.1 |
| June | 12.8 | 26.2 |
| July | 13.2 | 27.4 |
| August | 13.7 | 28.3 |
| September | 11.7 | 25.7 |
| October | 12.6 | 28.2 |
| November | 12.2 | 28.1 |
| December | 12.3 | 29.4 |
| 1989 | 151.4 | 325.6 |
| January | 12.4 | 28.2 |
| February | 11.8 | 25.9 |
| March | 13.4 | 27.9 |
| April | 12.7 | 28.5 |
| May | 12.4 | 24.4 |
| June | 12.1 | 25.3 |
| July | 12.9 | 26.6 |
| August | 14.0 | 27.5 |
| September | 12.6 | 25.6 |
| October | 14.1 | 32.0 |
| November | 13.2 | 29.4 |
| December | 12.0 | 26.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yearly totals correspond to the period between October of the preceding year and September of the current year.

Table 13
PANAMA: EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Participation rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Economically active population/ } \\ \text { population aged 15 and over }\end{array} & 53.7 & 56.1 & 56.1 & 56.9 & 55.6 & 57.7 & \mathbf{5 6 . 9} & 58.0 \\ \text { Thousands of persons }\end{array}\right]$

Source: ECEAC, on the basis of figures from household surveys, Estadística panameña, August 1988, Boletín N ${ }^{\varsigma} 3$, Panama City, 27 December 1988. These surveys are conducted in the month of August by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office. The figures exclude indigenous areas, the Canal Zone and residents in collective dwellings.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{b}$ According to the survey definition, this population segment corresponds to persons 15 years of age and over, active in the production of goods and services, who were not working at the time but who had looked for work in the preceding three-month period, including the week in which the survey was taken.

Table 14

## PANAMA: MAIN INDICATORS OF FOREIGN <br> TRADE IN GOODS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 5.1 | -30.5 | 0.6 | 17.1 | 19.8 | 5.3 | -5.6 | 15.8 |
| Volume | 10.2 | -33.0 | -3.2 | 17.6 | 3.7 | 9.1 | -14.3 | 10.0 |
| Unit value | -13.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | -0.4 | 15.5 | -3.5 | 10.1 | 5.3 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 8.2 | -23.8 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 5.2 | -17.5 | 26.2 |
| Volume | 5.4 | -17.2 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 14.4 | 2.8 | -19.1 | 27.7 |
| Unit value | 3.0 | 7.9 | -0.1 | 1.9 | -7.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | -1.2 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | -12.1 | 12.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 23.7 | -5.4 | 8.4 | 6.4 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 101.1 | 76.3 | 76.3 | 91.1 | 116.9 | 120.7 | 112.1 | 131.3 |
| Quantum of exports | 121.4 | 81.3 | 78.7 | 92.5 | 96.0 | 104.8 | 89.8 | 98.8 |
| Quantum of imports | 97.9 | 81.0 | 87.7 | 97.4 | 111.4 | 114.6 | 92.7 | 118.3 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 83.3 | 93.9 | 96.9 | 98.4 | 121.7 | 115.1 | 124.9 | 132.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes commercial operations in the free :cones and ire-exports. Preliminary figures.

Table 15
PANAMA: IMPORTS OF GOODS

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total (FOB) | 3059 | 2525 | 3185 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | -17.5 | 26.1 |
| Free zones | 1901 | 1813 | 2389 | 57.0 | 75.0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | -4.6 | 31.8 |
| Colón Free Zone | 1848 | 1764 | 2342 |  | 73.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | -4.5 | 32.8 |
| Panama Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commission | 53 | 49 | 47 |  | 1.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | -7.5 | -4.1 |
| Into de country (FOB value) | 1158 | 712 | 796 | 43.0 | 25.0 | 4.9 | 4.9 | -38.5 | 11.8 |
| Into de country (CIF value) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| by economic destination | 1308 | 795 | 965 | 100.0 | 30.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | -39.2 | 21.4 |
| Consumer goods | 123 | 93 | 121 | 8.0 | 3.8 | -3.9 | -3.9 | -24.4 | 30.1 |
| Food products | 123 | 93 | 121 | 8.0 | 3.8 | -3.9 | -3.9 | -24.4 | 30.1 |
| Intermediate goods and other consumer goods | 927 | 568 | 728 | 77.0 | 22.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | -38.7 | 28.2 |
| Crude oil | 174 | 94 | 102 | 28.2 | 3.2 | 64.2 | 64.2 | -46.0 | 8.5 |
| Other consumer and intermediate goods | 753 | 474 | 626 | 48.8 | 19.7 | -5.6 | -5.6 | -37.1 | 32.1 |
| Capital goods | 258 | 134 | 116 | 15.0 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 | -48.1 | -13.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office.
Preliminary figures. Includes adjustments in content.

Table 16
PANAMA: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2471 | 2323 | 2701 |  |  | 21.3 | 4.9 | -6.0 | 16.3 |
| Colón Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone (re-exports) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2135 | 2040 | 2404 |  |  | 23.6 | 5.3 | -4.4 | 17.8 |
| Domesticallyproduced goods | 336 | 283 | 297 |  |  | 8.6 | 2.8 | -15.8 | 4.9 |
| Total domesticallyproduced goods | 336 | 283 | 297 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 2.8 | -15.8 | 4.9 |
| Main traditional exports | 210 | 179 | 192 | 81.9 | 64.6 | -8.9 | 2.4 | -14.8 | 73 |
| Bananas | 86 | 77 | 82 | 17.6 | 27.6 | -10.3 | 22.9 | -10.5 | 6.5 |
| Shrimp | 66 | 52 | 63 | 12.5 | 21.2 | 13.3 | -2.9 | -21.2 | 21.2 |
| Sugar | 17 | 6 | 10 | 18.7 | 3.4 | -25.9 | -15.0 | -64.7 | 66.7 |
| Fish meal and oil | 7 | 5 | 8 | 4.8 | 2.7 | -76.9 | 133.3 | - | 60.0 |
| Coffee beans | 18 | 20 | 11 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 87.5 | -40.0 | 11.1 | -45.0 |
| Clothing | 16 | 19 | 18 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 27.3 | 14.3 | 18.8 | -5.3 |
| Main non-traditional exports | 26 | 25 | 20 | 63 | 6.7 | 10.0 | -21.2 | -3.8 | -20.0 |
| Cardboard boxes | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | - | - | - |
| Prepared cattle hides | 8 | 8 | 7 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 50.0 | -11.1 | - | -12.5 |
| Fruit extracts | 2 | 1 |  | 0.4 |  | 100.0 | - | -50.0 |  |
| Leaf tobacco | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | -33.3 | - | - | - |
| Rum | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | - | - | 50.0 | -33.3 |
| Processed shellfish | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | -50.0 | -66.7 | 100.0 | - |
| Medicines | 5 | 6 | 5 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 25.0 | - | 20.0 | -16.7 |
| Cosmetics | 2 | 1 |  | 0.3 |  | 100.0 | - | -50.0 |  |
| Cocoa butter and paste | 2 | - |  | 0.4 |  | - | -33.3 | - |  |
| Rest | 100 | 79 | 85 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 93.5 | 12.4 | -21.0 | 7.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller- $\bullet$ General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office. * Preliminary figures. Differs from balance-of-payments figures due to adjustments in content and value. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Does not include adjustments in content. Does not include re-exports of goods cleared through customs.

Table 17
PANAMA: EXPORT VOLUMES OF MAIN PRODUCTS

|  | Thousands of net tons |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Re-exports from free zones | 174.1 | 2173 | 231.0 | 210.7 | ... | 24.8 | 63 | -8.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Exports of domesticallyproduced products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum products | 36.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bananas ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 37.8 | 32.3 | 37.4 | 32.2 | 32.6 | -14.5 | 15.8 | -13.9 | 1.3 |
| Shrimp | 7.7 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.5 | 11.4 | -19.6 | -12.3 | 24.2 |
| Sugar | 84.4 | 61.3 | 52.5 | 29.4 | 35.3 | -27.3 | -14.4 | -44.0 | 20.1 |
| Fish meal | 35.4 | 9.4 | 21.0 | 10.8 | 18.5 | -73.5 | 123.5 | -48.6 | 71.0 |
| Fish oil | 29.4 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 3.1 | 13.4 | -61.9 | -8.9 | -70.0 | 337.6 |
| Coffee beans | 5.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 37.7 | 0.5 | 21.6 | -35.5 |
| Clothing | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 29.9 | 9.3 | 20.0 | 4.7 |
| Cardboard boxes | 2.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 54.3 | -15.7 | 14.5 | -20.8 |
| Prepared cattle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hides | 2.7 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 63.1 | -0.5 | -10.7 | -13.6 |
| Fruit extracts | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 |  | 154.3 | -22.1 | -44.3 |  |
| Leaf tobacco | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | -6.2 | 6.5 | -10.4 | 28.7 |
| Banana pulp | 5.9 | 5.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rum ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 27.5 | -10.0 | 34.0 | -28.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-• General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Thousands of gallons. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ 'Thousands of boxes $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{Q}}$ 'rhousands of litres.

Table 18
PANAMA: EXTERNAL TRADE BALANCE (GOODS AND SERVICES)
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 5 | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ |
| Balance | -860 | -789 | -574 | -588 | -202 | -484 |
| Total balance (goods) | 158 | 149 | 211 | 234 | 227 | 15 |
| Balance of the free zones | -1018 | -938 | -785 | -822 | -429 | -499 |
| Domestic balance | 865 | 898 | 843 | 814 | 824 | 802 |
| Balance of services | $2 \mathbf{9 0 8}$ | $3 \mathbf{2 6 8}$ | $3 \mathbf{6 4 4}$ | $3 \mathbf{7 1 6}$ | $34 \mathbf{4 9 2}$ | $3 \mathbf{8 0 7}$ |
| Exports | 1649 | 1942 | 2333 | 2471 | 2323 | 2701 |
| Total goods (FOB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free zones | 1391 | 1641 | 2006 | 2135 | 2040 | 2404 |
| (or re-exports) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestically- | 258 | 301 | 327 | 336 | 283 | 297 |
| produced goods | 1259 | 1326 | 1311 | 1245 | 1169 | 1106 |
| Services (non-factor) | 2903 | $3 \mathbf{1 5 9}$ | $3 \mathbf{3 7 5}$ | $3 \mathbf{4 9 0}$ | $2 \mathbf{8 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 8 9}$ |
| Imports | 2509 | 2731 | 2907 | 3059 | 2525 | 3185 |
| Total goods (FOB) | 1233 | 1492 | 1795 | 1901 | 1813 | 2389 |
| Free zones | 1276 | 1239 | 1112 | 1158 | 712 | 796 |
| To the country | 394 | 428 | 468 | 431 | 345 | 304 |
| Services (non-factor) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office. ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Preliminary figures. Differs from the balance-of-payment figures due to adjustments in content and value.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes adjustments in content. Does not include re-exports of goods cleared through customs.

Table 19
PANAMA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -152 | 311 | 74 | 147 | 233 | 92 | 641 | 291 |
| Trade balance | -124 | 255 | 42 | 141 | 300 | 247 | 650 | 344 |
| Exports of goods and services | 3580 | 2951 | 2945 | 3300 | 3675 | 3737 | 3520 | 3829 |
| Goods FOB | 2411 | 1676 | 1686 | 1974 | 2366 | 2492 | 2352 | 2723 |
| Real services | 1169 | 1276 | 1259 | 1326 | 1309 | 1245 | 1169 | 1106 |
| Transport and insurance | 633 | 717 | 689 | 707 | 665 | 649 | 630 | 581 |
| Travel | 174 | 172 | 189 | 208 | 205 | 188 | 168 | 157 |
| Imports of goods and services | 3703 | 2696 | 2903 | 3159 | 3375 | 3489 | 2870 | 3485 |
| Goods FOB | 3045 | 2321 | 2509 | 2731 | 2907 | 3059 | 2525 | 3185 |
| Real services | 659 | 375 | 394 | 428 | 468 | 431 | 345 | 300 |
| Transport and insurance | 479 | 203 | 223 | 219 | 248 | 222 | 161 | 142 |
| Travel | 81 | 71 | 67 | 73 | 83 | 90 | 88 | 81 |
| Factor services | 27 | 116 | 64 | 36 | -40 | -106 | 18 | -18 |
| Profits | -46 | -81 | -32 | -114 | -70 | -66 | -56 | -42 |
| Interest received | 5826 | 4326 | 3592 | 3007 | 2459 | 1988 | 887 | 980 |
| Interest paid and owing | -5 743 | -4 119 | -3 487 | -2 847 | -2 417 | -2 019 | -805 | -946 |
| Labour and property | -10 | -10 | -9 | -9 | -12 | -10 | -9 | -10 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | -55 | -60 | -32 | -31 | -27 | -49 | -26 | -35 |
| Balance on capital account | 136 | -323 | -158 | -264 | -173 | -129 | -647 | -300 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 101 | 104 | 144 | 140 | 122 | 114 | 112 | 108 |
| Long-term capital | 1199 | 412 | 259 | -253 | 55 | 16 | 148 |  |
| Direct investment | 3 | 72 | 10 | 59 | -62 | 58 | -36 |  |
| Portfolio investment | 352 | 63 | 59 | -183 | 66 | -71 | 255 |  |
| Other long-term capital | 845 | 278 | 190 | -128 | 51 | 29 | -71 |  |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 368 | 162 | 102 | 31 | 124 | 60 | 42 |  |
| Loans received | 553 | 232 | 287 | 60 | 155 | 965 | 43 |  |
| Amortization payments | -183 | -70 | -180 | -30 | -29 | -905 | -1 |  |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 256 | 204 | 115 | -127 | -100 | -8 | -103 |  |
| Loans received | 256 | 221 | 115 | -127 | -100 | -8 | -103 |  |
| Amortization payments |  | -17 |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 221 | -88 | -26 | -32 | 28 | -22 | -9 |  |
| Loans received | 334 | 143 | 161 | 87 | 105 | 39 | 34 |  |
| Amortization payments | -114 | -233 | -175 | -117 | -78 | -64 | -43 |  |
| Short-term capital | -1123 | -275 | -187 | 102 | 23 | 263 | 312 |  |
| Official sector | 5 | - | 2 | -5 | 3 | 1 | -1 |  |
| Commercial banks | -630 | -149 | -83 | -175 | -16 | 356 | 373 |  |
| Other sectors | -499 | -126 | -106 | 282 | 36 | -94 | -60 |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -40 | -564 | -374 | -253 | -374 | -522 | -1219 |  |
| Global balance | -15 | -12 | -84 | -117 | 60 | -37 | -5 | -9 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (-sign indicates an increase) | 9 | 3 | 70 | 157 | -30 | 85 | -12 |  |
| Monetary gold | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | -1 | 4 | - | -13 | 12 | 1 | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | 0 | -9 | 9 | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 20 | -100 | -19 | 131 | -84 | 91 | 5 |  |
| Other assets | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Use of IMF credit | -10 | 109 | 79 | 39 | 43 | -7 | -18 |  |

Source: 1982-1988, ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the International Monetary Fund. 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the Comptroller-General of the Republic.
${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures. ' Including other non-factor services. Including net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

PANAMA
Table 20
PANAMA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External public debt | 2820 | 3392 | 3644 | 3642 | 3835 | 3731 | $3771{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 3814 |
| Central government | 2050 | 2175 | 2264 | 2266 | 2377 | 2399 | 2444 | 2458 |
| Decentralized sector | 770 | 1217 | 1380 | 1376 | 1459 | 1332 | 1327 | 1356 |
| Disbursements | 769 | 807 | 561 | 209 | 402 | 599 | 68 | 57 |
| Services | 614 | 517 | 613 | 511 | 496 | 945 | 53 | 16 |
| Principal | 282 | 235 | 308 | 212 | 209 | 703 | 28 | 14 |
| Interest ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 332 | 282 | 305 | 299 | 287 | 242 | 25 | 2 |
| Interest ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 5743 | 4119 | 3487 | 2847 | 2418 | 2123 | 770 | 946 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing/disbursements | 79.8 | 64.1 | 109.3 | 244.5 | 123.4 | 157.8 | 77.9 | 28.1 |
| External public debt/exports of goods and services | 78.8 | 114.9 | 123.7 | 110.4 | 104.4 | 99.8 | 107.1 | 99.6 |
| Services/exports of goods and services | 17.2 | 17.5 | 20.8 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 25.3 | 15 | 0.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, National Accounting Office, Public Debt Section.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Year-end balances. Refers to the contractual debt, excluding interest arrears. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ According to official sources, part of the domestic debt contracted by the public sector with private foreign banks operating in Panama under general license is in the process of being renegotiated with these institutions, as if it were external debt. Thus, if these renegotiations are successful, the level of external public debt in 1988 will prove to have been slightly more than US $\$ 85$ millions higher, but this would not represent a real increase in resources. Refers to the external public debt. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Corresponds to the "interest paid" entry on the current account of the balance of payments.

Table 21
PANAMA: THE EXTERNAL PUBLIC DEBT ${ }^{\text {' }}$
(Millions of dollars)

| Total | Official <br> sources | Private <br> sources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Balance as of 31 December |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | $\mathbf{3 8 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ |
| Inflows | 599.3 | 128.9 | 470.4 |
| $\quad$ Loans received | 585.9 | 125.8 | 460.1 |
| Adjustments | 13.4 | 3.1 | 10.3 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Outflows | 948.7 | 323.8 | 624.9 |
| Amortization payments | 703.4 | 250.3 | 453.1 |
| Interest payments | 241.5 | 70.9 | 170.6 |
| Commissions and other costs | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| Adjustments of interest and |  |  |  |


| Balance as of 31 December | $\mathbf{3 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1987 | 68.0 | 82.0 | -14.0 |
| Inflows | 34.3 | 10.1 | 24.2 |
| Loans received | 33.7 | 71.9 | -38.2 |
| Adjustments |  |  | 32.7 |
|  | 52.9 | 20.2 | 21.0 |
| Outflows | 28.1 | 7.1 | 11.6 |
| Amortization payments | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Interest payments | 0.2 |  |  |
| Commissions and other costs |  |  |  |


| Balance as of 31 December 1988 | 3771 | 1597 | 2174 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflows | 56.9 | 9.0 | 47.9 |
| Loans received | 35.3 |  | 35.3 |
| Adjustments | 21.6 | 9.0 | 12.6 |
| Outflows | 16.3 | 1.1 | 15.2 |
| Amortization payments | 14.2 | 1.1 | 13.1 |
| Interest payments | 2.1 |  | 2.1 |
| Commissions and other costs | - |  |  |
| Adjustments of interest and commissions | - |  |  |
| Balance as of 31 December $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3814 | 1604 | 2209 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, National Accounting Office, Public Debt Section.
" Medium- and long-term public-sector contractual debt. Includes the central government and decentralized enterprises and agencies. Preliminary figures.

Table 22

## PANAMA: EVOLUTION OF DOMESTIC PRICES

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | $1988^{\circ}$ | $1989^{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Variation from December to December

| Consumer price <br> index | 3.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Food | 4.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | -0.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.3 | -0.2 |
|  | Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office. ${ }^{1}$ In Panama City. The variations do not reflect the data for the months of March, April and May, due to the fact that this information was not registered in 1988. " Preliminary figures. Corresponds to a nine-month average, not including March, April and May.

Table 23

## PANAMA: WAGES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balboas per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average wages and salaries (by sector and region) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector | 4296 | 4700 | 4858 | 5096 | 5146 | 5416 |  |  |
| Canal Zone | 15260 | 15390 | 15884 | 16590 | 17782 | 17556 | 18091 |  |
| Colón Free Zone |  |  | 3092 | 3187 | 3781 | 4123 | 3754 |  |
| Banana-producing areas (and Puerto Armuelles) | 4080 | 4247 | 4353 | 4481 | 4651 | 4709 |  |  |
| District of Panama and San Miguelito |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wholesale commercial enterprises | 6444 | 6726 | 6744 | 6830 | 6920 | 6808 | 6565 | 6552 |
| International banking centre | 7575 | 8519 | $8778^{\circ}$ | 9037 | 9279 | 9448 |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 4548 | 4695 | 4968 | 5049 | 5177 | 5301 | 4903 | 5273 |
| Minimum wages and/or salaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Panama City | 1900 | $2246{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2246 | 2246 | 2246 | 2246 | 2246 | 2246 |
| Rest of country | 1440 | $1699{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1699 | 1699 | 1699 | 1699 | 1699 | 1699 |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average wages and salaries (by sector and region) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector | 4.6 | 9.4 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Canal Zone | 20.3 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 7.2 | -1.3 | 3.0 |  |
| Banana-producing areas (and Puerto Armuelles) | -5.9 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 1.2 |  |  |
| District of Panama and San Miguelito |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wholesale commercial enterprises | 3.8 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | -1.6 | -3.6 | -0.2 |
| International banking centre | 7.9 | 12.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 7.3 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | -7.5 | 7.5 |
| Minimum wages and/or salaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Panama City | - | 18.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rest of country | - | 18.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Real ${ }^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial enterprises |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Panama City) | -0.4 | 2.2 | -1.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | -2.6 | -3.9 | -0.1 |
| International banking centre | 3.6 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 0.8 |  |  |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Panama City) | 2.9 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.4 | -7.9 | 7.6 |
| Minimum wage (Panama City) | -4.0 | 15.8 | -1.6 | -1.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | -0.4 | 0.1 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Labour and Social Welfare, Employment Bureau; Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office.
Preliminary figures. Does not include salaries of foreign personnel. BCAC estimates due to lack of data.
${ }^{1}$ As from February. $\quad{ }^{e}$ Deflated by the consumer price index for Panama City.

PANAMA
Table 24
PANAMA: COMPOSITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL BANKING CENTRE '

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 125 | 120 | 120 | 115 | 119 | 110 | 111 |
| Official | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Under general licence ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 68 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 70 | 64 | 63 |
| Panamanian | 14 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| Under international licence | 43 | 39 | 38 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 28 |
| Panamanian | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| As field offices ' | 12 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 18 |
| Millions of balboas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets of the international banking centre ' | 42786 | 37988 | 38970 | 40351 | 31413 | 14885 | 15395 |
| Assets of the national banking system ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 30102 | 27827 | 28293 | 30918 | 22177 | 11593 | 11174 |
| Panamanian banks | 2326 | 2716 | 3118 | 3776 | 3568 | 3278 | 3448 |
| Official | 1591 | 1739 | 1823 | 2077 | 1879 | 1990 | 2178 |
| Private | 735 | 977 | 1295 | 1699 | 1689 | 1288 | 1270 |
| Foreign banks | 27776 | 25111 | 25175 | 27142 | 18609 | 8315 | 7726 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the National Banking Commission and Banco Nacional de Panama.
" At 31 December of each year. $\quad{ }^{b}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{c}$ Foreign and national banks authorized to carry out banking operations both in Panama and abroad. ${ }^{d}$ Foreign and national banks whose Panamanian offices are authorized to carry out banking transactions with external entities only. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Foreign banks authorized only to establish field offices in Panama.

Table 25
PANAMA: CONSOLIDATED BALANCE OF THE NATIONAL BANKING SYSTEM

|  | Year-end balance (millions of balboas) |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Assets | 28292 | 30918 | 22177 | 11593 | 11174 | 93 | -283 | -47.7 | -3.6 |
| Liquid | 8482 | 9852 | 6864 | 2359 | 1182 | 16.2 | -303 | -65.6 | -49.9 |
| Cash | 249 | 202 | 249 | 184 | 204 | -18.9 | 23.3 | -26.1 | 10.9 |
| Other liquid assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic | 1115 | 1249 | 928 | 361 | 230 | 12.0 | -25.7 | -61.1 | -36.3 |
| External | 7118 | 8401 | 5687 | 1814 | 1448 | 18.0 | -32.3 | -68.1 | -20.2 |
| Deposits in banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 707 | 725 | 737 | 247 | 256 | 2.5 | 1.7 | -66.5 | 3.6 |
| Time deposits | 7526 | 8925 | 5878 | 1928 | 1422 | 18.6 | -34.1 | -67.2 | -26.2 |
| Investments | 18349 | 19494 | 14144 | 8170 | 8301 | 62 | -27.4 | -42.2 | 1.6 |
| Credit portfolio | 17198 | 17235 | 11733 | 7701 | 7422 | 0.2 | -31.9 | -34.4 | -3.6 |
| Domestic | 3923 | 4189 | 4363 | 3906 | 3853 | 6.8 | 4.2 | -10.5 | -1.4 |
| External | 13275 | 13046 | 7370 | 3795 | 3569 | -1.7 | -43.5 | -48.5 | -6.0 |
| Securities | 1151 | 2259 | 2411 | 469 | 879 | 96.3 | 6.7 | -80.5 | 87.4 |
| Other assets | 1461 | 1572 | 1169 | 1064 | 991 | 7.6 | -25.6 | -9.0 | -6.9 |
| Liabilities and capital ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 27100 | 29695 | 21289 | 11169 | 10914 | 9.6 | -283 | -47.5 | -23 |
| Demand deposits | 1480 | 1955 | 1738 | 1278 | 1423 | 32.1 | -11.1 | -26.5 | 113 |
| Private citizens | 414 | 458 | 448 | 299 | 297 | 10.6 | -2.2 | -33.3 | -0.7 |
| Official entities | 223 | 265 | 260 | 450 | 620 | 18.8 | -1.9 | 73.1 | 37.8 |
| Foreign individuals | 322 | 458 | 459 | 274 | 247 | 42.2 | 0.2 | -40.3 | -9.9 |
| Foreign banks | 521 | 774 | 571 | 255 | 259 | 48.6 | -26.2 | -55.3 | 1.6 |
| Time and savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| deposits | 21652 | 23487 | 15453 | 6546 | 6147 | 8.5 | -34.2 | -57.6 | -6.1 |
| Private citizens | 1882 | 2329 | 2154 | 1661 | 1643 | 23.8 | -7.5 | -22.9 | -1.1 |
| Foreign individuals | 4871 | 5660 | 4232 | 2603 | 2234 | 16.2 | -25.2 | -38.5 | -14.2 |
| Foreign banks | 14899 | 15498 | 9067 | 2282 | 2270 | 4.0 | -41.5 | -74.8 | -0.5 |
| Obligations | 1070 | 1051 | 1104 | 1008 | 1087 | -1.8 | 5.0 | -8.7 | 7.8 |
| Other liabilities, capital and reserves | 2898 | 3202 | 2994 | 2337 | 2257 | 10.5 | -6.5 | -21.9 | -3.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the National Banking Commission, Banco Nacional de Panamá and the Comptroller-General of the Republic, Statistics and Census Office.
${ }^{a}$ Refers to all the banks which operate under general licence in the country. Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Excluding operations between local banks.

Table 26
PANAMA: CREDITS BY THE NATIONAL BANKING SYSTEM, BY ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Millions of balboas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 28626 | 24061 | 15172 | 14347 | 17185 | 16185 | 8837 | 8577 |
| Domestic | 5187 | 4658 | 6042 | 6489 | 7166 | 7503 | 3586 | 2781 |
| Public sector | 640 | 551 | 1804 | 2091 | 2251 | 2037 | 1008 | 1008 |
| Private sector | 4547 | 4107 | 4238 | 4398 | 4915 | 5466 | 2578 | 1773 |
| Agriculture | 159 | 155 | 158 | 147 | 148 | 146 | 90 | 76 |
| Stock-raising | 102 | 78 | 94 | 67 | 71 | 84 | 42 | 23 |
| Fishing | 10 | 16 | 29 | 11 | 16 | 26 | 4 | 2 |
| Commerce | 2936 | 2393 | 2466 | 2680 | 2897 | 3280 | 1537 | 1122 |
| Industry | 594 | 585 | 561 | 539 | 525 | 586 | 360 | 261 |
| Housing | 188 | 188 | 356 | 391 | 517 | 504 | 195 | 97 |
| Other construction | 199 | 192 | 161 | 117 | 130 | 161 | 53 | 45 |
| Personal consumption | 244 | 346 | 294 | 323 | 459 | 435 | 202 | 124 |
| Non-profit organizations | 3 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Other (by difference) | 112 | 148 | 111 | 119 | 150 | 243 | 94 | 23 |
| External | 23439 | 19403 | 9130 | 7858 | 10019 | 8682 | 5251 | 5796 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -1.7 | -15.9 | -36.9 | -5.4 | 19.8 | -5.8 | -45.4 | -2.9 |
| Domestic | 7.8 | -102 | 29.7 | 7.4 | 10.4 | 4.7 | -52.2 | -22.4 |
| Public sector | 30.6 | -13.9 | 227.4 | 15.9 | 7.7 | -9.5 | -50.5 | - |
| Private sector | 5.2 | -9.7 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 11.8 | 11.2 | -52.8 | -31.2 |
| Agriculture | 43.2 | -2.5 | 1.9 | -7.0 | 0.7 | -1.4 | -38.4 | -15.6 |
| Stock-raising | -3.8 | -23.5 | 20.5 | -28.7 | 6.0 | 18.3 | -50.0 | -45.2 |
| Fishing | -61.5 | 60.0 | 81.3 | -62.1 | 45.5 | 62.5 | -84.6 | -50.0 |
| Commerce | 7.9 | -18.5 | 3.1 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 13.2 | -53.1 | -27.0 |
| Industry | -7.8 | -1.5 | -4.1 | -3.9 | -2.6 | 11.6 | -38.6 | -27.5 |
| Housing | -11.3 | - | 89.4 | 9.8 | 32.2 | -2.5 | -61.3 | -50.3 |
| Other construction | 9.9 | -3.5 | -16.1 | -27.3 | 11.1 | 23.8 | -67.1 | -15.1 |
| Personal consumption | 41.8 | -15.0 | 9.9 | 42.1 | -5.2 | -53.6 | -38.6 |  |
| Non-profit organizations | 200.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | -50.0 | -50.0 | -50.0 | - | - |
| Other (by difference) | -8.2 | 32.1 | -25.0 | 7.2 | 26.1 | 62.0 | -61.3 | -75.5 |
| External | -3.6 | -17.2 | -52.9 | -13.9 | 27.5 | -13.3 | -39.5 | 10.4 |

$\overline{\text { Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the National Banking Commission and reports from the Banco Nacional }}$ de Panama.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

## Table 27

## PANAMA: SHORT-TERM BANK INTEREST RATES ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (New York) <br> LIBOR (London), dollar | 12.04 | 9.93 | 8.35 | 8.21 | 9.32 | 10.92 |
| deposits, six months | 11.29 | 8.64 | 6.85 | 7.30 | 8.13 | 9.28 |
| Loans ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans for agriculture and fishing | 10.60 | 11.05 | 10.90 | 9.33 | 9.74 |  |
| Loans for commerce | 13.60 | 12.18 | 10.73 | 10.60 | 11.01 |  |
| Loans for industry | 13.84 | 12.73 | 10.81 | 11.20 | 10.82 |  |
| Loans for housing ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12.69 | 11.64 | 10.98 | 10.69 | 11.06 |  |
| Loans for consumption | 13.25 | 11.80 | 10.30 | 10.60 | 10.72 |  |
| Maximum local market reference rate (LMRR) | 13.73 | 13.25 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 13.00 |  |
| Real ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prime rate | 10.82 | 10.37 | 28.99 | 6.72 | 17.30 | 9.71 |
| LIBOR rate | 10.08 | 9.08 | 27.20 | 5.82 | 16.02 | 8.09 |
| Loans (LMRR) | 12.49 | 13.70 | 33.33 | 10.45 | 21.24 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the National Banking Commission and the International Monetary Fund.
${ }_{a}$ Average for the period. Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ For loans under one year. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ According to Law 20/80 the National Banking Commission fixed a discount for agricultural loans of between 3 and 4 points over the average reference rate, for which banking entities are compensated. ${ }^{c}$ As of 1986 there is an interest bracket (\%) for which the borrower is not charged and for which the Ministry of Finance gives the bank a tax credit (law 3 of 20 May 1985). Deflated by the wholesale price index.

Table 28
PANAMA: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Millions of balboas |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 1043 | 1087 | 603 | 564 | 5.7 | 43 | -44.5 | -6.5 |
| Tax revenue | 753 | 790 | 443 | 410 | 9.4 | 4.8 | -43.9 | -7.4 |
| Direct | 385 | 402 | 232 | 178 | 6.2 | 4.4 | -42.2 | -23.3 |
| Indirect | 368 | 388 | 211 | 232 | 12.9 | 5.3 | -45.6 | 10.1 |
| On foreign trade | 154 | 148 | 54 | 64 | 18.9 | -4.2 | -63.2 | 17.6 |
| Non-tax income | 289 | 298 | 160 | 154 | -2.8 | 3.0 | -46.1 | -4.0 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 1033 | 1039 | 763 | 798 | 5.9 | 0.6 | -26.6 | 4.6 |
| Salaries and wages | 437 | 460 | 451 | 431 | 3.2 | 5.3 | -2.0 | -4.4 |
| Other | 596 | 579 | 312 | 367 | 8.1 | -2.9 | -46.1 | 17.6 |
| Operating expenses | 123 | 121 | 91 | 90 | 2.1 | -1.4 | -25.0 | -1.1 |
| Current transfers | 112 | 138 | 110 | 116 | 1.9 | 23.1 | -20.1 | 5.5 |
| Debt interest and costs | 362 | 320 | 111 | 161 | 12.4 | -11.5 | -65.3 | 45.0 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | 9 | 48 | -160 | -234 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 105 | 72 | 29 | 47 | -10.2 | -31.7 | -59.5 | 62.1 |
| Real investment | 89 | 61 | 26 | 39 | -9.1 | -31.7 | -57.4 | 50.0 |
| Other" | 15 | 11 | 3 | 8 | -15.8 | -31.8 | -71.4 | 166.7 |
| 5. Total expenditure ( $2+4$ ) | 1138 | 1111 | 792 | 845 | 42 | -2.4 | -28.7 | 6.7 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (or surplus) (1-5) | -96 | -23 | -189 | -281 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Financing of deficit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net domestic financing | 383 | 480 | 207 | 283 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit received | 110 | 155 | 18 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Less: amortization | 98 | 156 | 65 | 89 |  |  |  |  |
| Bond placements | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other sources ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 370 | 481 | 253 | 362 |  |  |  |  |
| Net external financing | 287 | -457 | -19 | -2 |  |  |  |  |
| Credit received | 92 | 20 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Less: amortization | 379 | 477 | 19 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current saving/capital expenditure | 8.8 | 67.5 | -550.3 | -497.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/current expenditure | 9.3 | 2.2 | 24.7 | 35.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | 8.4 | 2.1 | 23.8 | 33.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Income revenue/GDP | 14.6 | 14.9 | 9.8 | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 22.1 | 20.9 | 17.5 | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 1.9 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic financing/deficit | -400.2 | -2 060.1 | -109.8 | -100.7 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing/deficit | 300.2 | 1960.1 | 9.8 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Comptroller-General of the Republic and the Ministry of Planning and Economic Policy.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Excludes expenditure for amortization of the debt ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Corresponds to the total for the year, including amortization of the external debt.

## PARAGUAY

The Paraguayan economy continued to expand at a satisfactory rate in 1989 for the third year in a row, this time under the impetus of exports, in the framework of the policy change made by the new authorities at the beginning of the year. The $6 \%$ growth brought the per capita gross domestic product back to 1980 levels and increased the exportable supply, so that, for the first time in the decade, a surplus was obtained on the current account. The inflation which broke out in the middle of the year was brought under control by a less lax monetary play in a context of near equilibrium in the public sector (see table 1 and figure 1).

The economic policy was largely recast when the political leadership was changed in February. The new authorities set out to gradually correct price imbalances and distortions caused primarily by an exchange policy based on the use of differential rates and geared in favour of imports and financial payments by the public sector, of farm inputs and of some private investments. One aim of his exchange policy had been to keep public expenditure and inflation from rising by containing prices and public-service rates, although at the cost of losing export earnings and granting implicit subsidies.

The main economic measure was the liberalization of the exchange rate in February in order to achieve transparency in the external and fiscal accounts and to eliminate one of the sources of the quasi-fiscal deficit. At the same time, the system of appraising official values for setting minimum prices for the sale of exports was abolished, thereby encouraging exporters to declare their overseas sales. The tariff system was simplified, resulting in an increase in the fiscal income derived from foreign trade. Since revenues from indirect domestic taxes also rose, real fiscal income grew by a third, making it possible to finance a considerable increase in expenditure. Thus the central
government's accounts showed surpluses since government deposits in the Central Bank increased significantly. This gradual adjustment did not, however, have an immediate effect on the means of payment, which continued to expand as a result of the accumulation of reserves, generating inflationary pressures that were a determining factor in the acceleration of prices during the first half of the year.

Economic activity in general increased. The most dynamic sectors were agriculture (8\%) and manufacturing, commerce and basic services $(6 \%)$. Real wages tended to rise -especially in the basic services- but, according to the yearly survey, unemployment also rose during the season with the smallest incidence of farm activity. Higher employment in agriculture probably generated more intense fluctuations than usual in the average level of employment. On the other hand, however, employment was affected by the severe constraints imposed on capital formation in the public sector.

The physical volume of exports grew by $26 \%$, becoming the principal stimulus of demand and bringing the share of overseas sales in the product up to $25 \%$, twice the share reached at the beginning of the decade. The expansion of public expenditure for consumption ( $10 \%$ ) was also significant. On the other hand, gross investment plummeted owing to a lack of external financing and the reformulation of public investment projects.

Since the value of exports increased far more than that of imports, both the trade balance and the balance on current account ended in surplus after a decade of continuous deficits. Arrears in servicing the external debt worked in combination with an inflow of short-term capital to prevent a negative transfer of capital like that of the previous year.

Figure 1
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Figure 1 (concluded)


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

The Central Bank's international reserves grew by US\$ 200 million as opposed to the deterioration observed between 1981 and 1988 (with the one exception of 1987), a period in which the accumulated decline was some US\$550 million.

The liberalization of the exchange rate resulted in an increase of $20 \%$ in the price of the dollar, which had previously been set in the official market. The exchange rate continued to rise in the months following the liberalization, reaching its highest level in August. It dropped abruptly in September, rising again slightly towards the end of the year. By December the guarani had depreciated by $21 \%$ with respect to December of the preceding year.

The external debt with Brazil was renegotiated in such a way that the burden of servicing it was reduced, and at the end of the year, preparations were being made for conversations with the Paris Club. Considerable arrears had accumulated, however, since some payments were held back until the results of the renegotiations and conversations became known. On the other hand since exports increased substantially, the ratio of external debt servicing to exports dropped to less than one to ten.

The expansion of the monetary base was very much attributable to the increase in international reserves. That and the easy credit granted by the Central Bank to the private sector during the first half of the year were

Figure 2
PARAGUAY: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX (Percentages)
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## PARAGUAY

largely responsible for the increase in liquidity. Thus, the monetary base grew by $27 \%$, a bit less than the price index, while total liquidity increased by $60 \%$. Domestic credit to the non-financial public sector contracted considerably when this sector substantially increased its deposits in the Central Bank and reduced its debts. The greater part of the expansion of the monetary base took place between January and May, when it grew by
$41 \%$, a trend that was later offset by tight credit and, from October on, by higher interest rates.

The price index rose sharply, showing an increase of $29 \%$ during the year (as opposed to $17 \%$ in 1988). Greater discipline in credit and monetary matters during the second half of the year stopped the trend towards rapid price rises near the end of the year, with the result that the monthly variation in prices was less than $1 \%$ during the October-December quarter.

Table 1

## PARAGUAY: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes $(1980=100)$

## Basic economic indicators

Gross domestic product at market

| prices | 107.9 | 104.7 | 108.1 | 112.5 | 112.1 | 117.2 | 125.1 | 132.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross national income | 108.9 | 104.0 | 112.7 | 114.7 | 102.2 | 112.4 | 126.0 | 135.8 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 101.1 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 95.9 | 92.7 | 94.0 | 97.5 | 100.4 |

Global balance of central
government/GDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$
Money (Mi)/GDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$
Real effective exchange rate
Unemployment rate ${ }^{c}$

| -1.7 | -4.3 | -1.0 | -1.5 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 | 8.4 | 7.9 |
| 81.1 | 73.6 | 85.5 | 92.9 | 104.6 | 150.5 | 158.7 | 206.1 |
| 5.6 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 6.1 |


| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic product | -0.8 | -3.0 | 3.2 | 4.0 | -0.3 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 6.1 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -4.0 | -6.0 | - | 0.9 | -3.3 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| Gross national income | -1.6 | -4.5 | 8.3 | 1.8 | -10.9 | 9.9 | 12.1 | 7.8 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 8.9 | 14.1 | 29.8 | 23.1 | 24.1 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 28.7 |
| Real wages and salaries | -2.7 | -7.1 | -3.5 | -2.2 | -4.4 | 12.3 | 7.7 | 5.6 |
| Current value of exports of goods and services | 11.2 | -24.7 | 66.4 | 40.9 | -27.2 | 20.8 | 16.9 | 25.1 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | 8.7 | -33.2 | 49.7 | 19.4 | -20.9 | 2.7 | 5.8 | 11.2 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -12.9 | -4.3 | 56.1 | -14.4 | -35.1 | 36.9 | 9.8 | 4.5 |

## Millions of dollars

## External sector

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | $\bullet 445$ | -245 | -289 | -178 | -210 | -72 | 30 | 189 |
| Factor services * | 65 | -9 | -38 | -55 | -160 | -152 | -67 | -7 |
| Balance on current account | $\bullet 378$ | -253 | -325 | -231 | -369 | -223 | -34 | 183 |
| Balance on capital account | 316 | 200 | 311 | 122 | 241 | 260 | -103 | 24 |
| Variation in international |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reserves | -65 | -56 | -17 | -135 | -87 | 50 | -135 | 212 |
| Disbursed gross external debt | 1203 | 1469 | 1654 | 1772 | 1855 | 2043 | 2002 | 2027 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }_{b}{ }^{\text {S }}$ Percentages. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Annual average rate in Greater Asunción. ${ }^{d}$ Real wages of workers in Greater Asunción. ${ }^{e}$ Due to changes made in the methodology, as from 1984 the terms of trade refer only to goods. New series. The base figures for the former series (up to 1983) and the new series (from 1984) are not comparable.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Includes unrequited private transfer payments. Medium- and long-term public and State-guaranteed private debt.

Table 2

## PARAGUAY: EVOLUTION OF REAL GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 =0• 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 " | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 112.4 | 126 | 135.8 | 99.9 | 95.8 | 100.6 | 102.2 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 117.2 | 125.1 | 132.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -0.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| c) Net factor payments from abroad |  |  |  | -0.1 | -3.7 | -1.4 | . |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 74.2 | 74.2 | 32.3 | 0.1 | - | - | - |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
PARAGUAY: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | 1980 | 1989" | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" |
| Total supply | 121.6 | 127.9 | 137.0 | 120.7 | 124.5 | -83 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 7.1 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 117.2 | 125.1 | 132.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -0.3 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 6.1 |
| Imports of goods and services | 143.0 | 141.7 | 157.7 | 20.7 | 24.5 | -30.3 | 3.7 | -0.9 | 11.2 |
| Total demand | 121.6 | 127.9 | 137.0 | 120.7 | 124.5 | -8-5 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 7.1 |
| Domestic demand | 111.2 | 118.8 | 122.3 | 106.8 | 98.4 | -7.8 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 2.9 |
| Gross domestic investment | 90.2 | 93.8 | 84.8 | 28.8 | 18.4 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 3.9 | -9.6 |
| Gross fixed investment | 87.6 | 90.9 | 81.1 | 27.2 | 16.6 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 3.7 | -10.8 |
| Construction | 85.6 | 87.9 | 90.4 | 16.1 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| Machinery and equipment | 90.6 | 95.3 | 67.4 | 11.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 12.7 | 5.1 | -29.2 |
| Public | 144.3 | 149.6 | 69.0 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 11.5 | -3.8 | 3.7 | -53.9 |
| Private | 76.2 | 79.0 | 83.5 | 22.6 | 14.2 | -0.2 | 10.7 | 3.7 | 5.7 |
| Changes in stocks | 136.6 | 145.6 | 151.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 118.9 | 128.0 | 136.1 | 78.0 | 80.0 | -10.5 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 6.3 |
| General government | 138.7 | 143.3 | 158.0 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 10.3 |
| Private | 117.2 | 126.7 | 134.2 | 71.8 | 72.6 | -11.5 | 4.5 | 8.1 | 5.9 |
| Exports of goods and services | 201.9 | 198.3 | 250.1 | 13.9 | 26.2 | -10.4 | 0.2 | -1.8 | 26.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures and figures supplied by the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, which were converted to constant 1980 values, using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
PARAGUAY: ORIGIN, COMPOSITION AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product)*

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic investment | 25.9 | 21.8 | 21.5 | 20.9 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 21.6 | 18.4 |
| Gross domestic saving | 16.6 | 16.6 | 8.3 | 12.3 | 21.2 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 20.0 |
| Net income from factor services | 1.5 | -0.2 | -1.1 | -1.6 | -4.0 | -3.7 | -1.4 | - |
| Terms-of-trade effect | -0.6 | -0.5 | 5.1 | 3.5 | -4.9 | -0.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Unrequited private transfers | - | . | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |  | - | - |
| Gross national saving | 17.5 | 15.8 | 12.4 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 16.7 | 20.8 | 22.2 |
| External saving | 8.4 | 6.0 | 9.1 | 6.6 | 9.4 | 5.5 | 0.7 | -3.9 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figure | usted | hange |  | Prelim | ry figu |  |  |  |

Table 5

## PARAGUAY: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  | breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 117.2 | 125.1 | 132.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -OJ | 4.5 | 6.7 | 6.1 |
| Goods | 114.3 | 124.8 | 133-3 | 52.5 | 52.7 | -3.8 | 5.4 | 9.2 | 6.8 |
| Agriculture | 120.0 | 134.5 | 144.8 | 29.5 | 32.1 | -6.0 | 7.0 | 12.1 | 7.7 |
| Mining | 136.6 | 146.9 | 155.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 5.8 |
| Manufacturing | 107.7 | 114.0 | 120.8 | 16.5 | 15.0 | -1.4 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| Construction | 103.0 | 105.7 | 110.1 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 4.2 |
| Basic services | 138.2 | 148.2 | 156.4 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 12 | 5.6 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 162.7 | 177.0 | 188.8 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 6.7 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 124.9 | 132.5 | 138.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 4.8 |
| Other services | 117.6 | 121.8 | 1282 | 41.0 | 39.6 | 3.0 | 12 | 3.5 | S3 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 115.1 | 119.8 | 127.0 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 6.0 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 122.0 | 124.2 | 126.9 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 104.9 | 107.0 | 108.5 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Community, social and personal services | 121.0 | 125.3 | 132.6 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 5.8 |
| Government services | 137.3 | 139.3 | 157.9 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 13.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted according to the price structure prevailing in 1980. Consequently, the results obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Including livestock, forestry and fishing.

Table 6

## PARAGUAY: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | Millions of guaraníes at 1982 prices |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 192.3 | 205.8 | 230.3 | 249.8 | -6.1 | 7.0 | 11.9 | 8.5 |
| Crop farming | 110.9 | 121.6 | 141.9 | 157.6 | -12.4 | 9.6 | 16.7 | 11.1 |
| Stock raising | 60.6 | 62.1 | 63.8 | 66.6 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 4.4 |
| Forestry | 20.8 | 22.1 | 23.5 | 24.3 | 11.7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 3.4 |
| Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production of the main items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton | 312 | 244 | 540 | 630 | -32.2 | -21.8 | 121.3 | 16.7 |
| Rice | 60 | 104 | 96 | 87 | 27.7 | 73.3 | -7.7 | -9.4 |
| Sweet potatoes | 189 | 113 | 116 | 106 | -10.4 | -40.2 | 2.7 | -8.6 |
| Sugar cane | 2100 | 3170 | 2500 | 3599 | -9.9 | 51.0 | -21.1 | 44.0 |
| Maize | 450 | 917 | 1009 | 1165 | -1.1 | 103.8 | 10.0 | 15.5 |
| Cassava | 1582 | 1028 | 1079 | 1199 | -10.0 | -35.0 | 5.0 | 11.1 |
| Beans | 36 | 19 | 48 | 48 | -29.4 | -47.2 | 152.6 | - |
| Soya | 700 | 1170 | 1320 | 1615 | -28.6 | 67.1 | 12.8 | 22.3 |
| Wheat | 233 | 280 | 305 | 432 | 66.4 | 20.2 | 8.9 | 41.6 |
| Bananas ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 17 | 16 | 15 | 11 | -5.6 | -5.9 | -6.3 | -26.7 |
| Coconuts | 369 | 406 | 418 | 460 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 |
| Oranges | 1360 | 1291 | 1161 | 1173 | -5.0 | -5.1 | -10.1 | 1.0 |
| Pineapples | 26 | 27 | 26 | 19 | 4.0 | 3.8 | -3.7 | -26.9 |
| Tung | 145 | 130 | 104 | 108 | -9.9 | -10.3 | -20.0 | 3.8 |
| Cattle ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 550 | 564 | 578 | 612 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.9 |
| Pigs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1788 | 1798 | 1889 | 1903 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 0.7 |
| Poultry ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 7210 | 7884 | 8617 | 6457 | 42.5 | 9.3 | 9.3 | -25.1 |
| Round logs | 2095 | 2197 | 2304 | 2118 | 20.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 | -8.1 |
| Firewood | 2911 | 2977 | 3045 | 3122 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay and the Ministry of Agriculture.
Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes hunting and fishing. ${ }^{c}$ Thousands of bunches. Millions of units.
; Thousands of heads.

Table 7
PARAGUAY: NOMINAL AND REAL PRODUCER PRICES OF THE MAIN CROPS
(Indexes $1980=100$ )

|  | Rice | Cotton | $\wedge_{f}{ }^{1}$ <br> potatoes | Maize | Cassava | Soya | Sugar cane | Coconuts | Wheat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 29.6 | 22.9 | 21.4 | 25.0 | 23.1 | 25.3 | 24.6 | 37.5 | 38.0 |
| 1979 | 103.7 | 84.7 | 85.7 | 106.3 | 76.9 | 136.8 | 82.3 | 100.0 | 92.9 |
| 1981 | 96.3 | 91.5 | 114.3 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 147.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.9 |
| 1982 | 118.5 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 76.9 | 131.6 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 125.0 |
| 1983 | 203.7 | 147.5 | 78.6 | 206.3 | 76.9 | 157.9 | 100.0 | 175.0 | 160.7 |
| 1984 | 211.1 | 208.5 | 135.7 | 187.5 | 107.8 | 321.1 | 122.3 | 275.0 | 182.1 |
| 1985 | 251.9 | 194.9 | 164.3 | 243.8 | 123.1 | 268.4 | 148.3 | 375.0 | 225.0 |
| 1986 | 311.0 | 245.8 | 271.4 | 381.3 | 261.5 | 452.6 | 226.4 | 350.0 | 285.7 |
| 1987 | 288.9 | 437.9 | 223.2 | 350.0 | 216.7 | 409.2 | 300.0 | 447.9 | 327.3 |
| 1988 | 466.7 | 463.8 | 392.9 | 612.5 | 346.2 | 878.9 | 400.0 | 775.0 | 380.0 |
| 1989 | 544.4 | 681.0 | 557.1 | 943.8 | 361.5 | 984.2 | 592.0 | 875.0 | 480.0 |
| 1988 | 466.7 | 463.8 | 392.9 | 612.5 | 346.2 | 878.9 | 400.0 | 775.0 | 380.0 |
| I | 370.4 | 422.4 | 428.6 | 739.4 | 384.6 | 644.7 | 320.0 | 607.5 | 360.0 |
| II | 419.6 | 456.9 | 407.1 | 600.0 | 423.1 | 859.5 | 400.0 | 625.0 | 360.0 |
| III | 518.5 | 517.2 | 285.7 | 533.1 | 256.2 | 1052.6 | 440.0 | 1000.0 | 360.0 |
| IV | 555.6 |  | 428.6 | 573.1 | 307.7 |  | 440.0 | 1000.0 | 440.0 |
| 1989 | 544.4 | 681.0 | 557.1 | 943.8 | 361.5 | 984.2 | 592.0 | 875.0 | 480.0 |
| I | 611.1 | 508.5 | 550.0 | 510.6 | 346.2 | 1000.0 | 440.0 | 875.0 | 440.0 |
| II | 419.6 | 681.0 | 690.7 | 625.0 | 346.2 | 991.1 | 640.0 | 792.5 | 440.0 |
| III | 519.6 | 737.1 | 485.7 | 423.1 | 369.2 | 943.7 | 640.0 | 875.0 | 560.0 |
| IV | 617.4 |  | 500.0 | 385.6 | 384.6 | 1052.6 | 640.0 | 982.5 | 560.0 |
| Real prices ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 101.4 | 78.4 | 73.3 | 68.4 | 79.1 | 86.6 | 84.2 | 28.4 | 30.1 |
| 1979 | 126.9 | 103.6 | 104.9 | 117.5 | 94.1 | 167.4 | 100.7 | 122.4 | 113.7 |
| 1981 | 84.4 | 80.3 | 100.3 | 77.2 | 87.7 | 129.3 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 81.5 |
| 1982 | 97.4 | 65.5 | 82.2 | 72.3 | 63.2 | 108.1 | 82.2 | 143.7 | 102.7 |
| 1983 | 146.4 | 106.8 | 56.9 | 243.3 | 55.7 | 114.3 | 72.4 | 126.7 | 116.4 |
| 1984 | 127.1 | 125.5 | 81.7 | 156.5 | 64.9 | 193.3 | 73.6 | 165.6 | 109.6 |
| 1985 | 121.1 | 93.7 | 79.0 | 121.2 | 59.2 | 129.0 | 71.3 | 180.3 | 108.2 |
| 1986 | 113.5 | 89.7 | 99.1 | 385.4 | 95.4 | 165.2 | 82.6 | 127.7 | 104.3 |
| 1987 | 86.5 | 131.2 | 66.9 | 104.9 | 64.9 | 122.6 | 89.9 | 134.2 | 98.1 |
| 1988 | 113.7 | 113.0 | 95.7 | 149.2 | 84.3 | 214.1 | 97.4 | 188.7 | 92.5 |
| 1989 | 105.3 | 131.7 | 107.7 | 182.5 | 69.9 | 190.3 | 114.5 | 169.2 | 92.8 |
| 1988 | 113.7 | 113.0 | 95.7 | 149.2 | 84.3 | 214.1 | 97.4 | 188.7 | 92.5 |
| I | 95.0 | 108.3 | 109.9 | 189.6 | 98.6 | 165.4 | 82.1 | 155.8 | 92.3 |
| II | 107.1 | 116.6 | 103.9 | 153.2 | 108.0 | 219.4 | 102.1 | 159.6 | 91.9 |
| III | 124.1 | 123.8 | 68.4 | 127.6 | 61.3 | 251.9 | 105.3 | 239.3 | 86.1 |
| IV | 125.4 | - | 96.8 | 129.4 | 69.5 | - | 99.3 | 225.8 | 99.3 |
| 1989 | 105.3 | 131.0 | 107.7 | 182.5 | 69.9 | 190.3 | 114.5 | 169.2 | 92.8 |
| I | 132.5 | 110.2 | 119.2 | 110.7 | 75.0 | 216.8 | 95.4 | 189.7 | 95.4 |
| II | 86.0 | 139.6 | 141.5 | 128.1 | 70.9 | 203.1 | 131.2 | 162.4 | 90.2 |
| III | 94.7 | 134.3 | 88.5 | 77.1 | 67.3 | 171.9 | 116.6 | 159.4 | 102.0 |
| IV | 108.2 | - | 87.6 | 67.6 | 67.4 | 184.4 | 112.1 | 172.1 | 98.1 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Marketing and Economics and the Central Bank of Paraguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The annual averages may not coincide with the quarterly averages owing to differences in the frequency of the observations or errors in rounding. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated by deflating the nominal prices by the consumer price index, the base year of which is 1980 .

Table 8
PARAGUAY: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | Indexes(1982= 100) |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 102.7 | 101.7 | 106.9 | 114.9 | 119.0 | -1.0 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 3.6 |
| Foodstuffs | 111.9 | 122.2 | 122.2 | 117.1 | 123.4 | 9.2 | - | -4.2 | 5.4 |
| Beverages | 95.3 | 96.1 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 107.6 | 0.8 | 3.7 | -0.2 | 8.1 |
| Tobacco | 120.9 | 109.3 | 153.0 | 156.7 | 169.4 | -9.6 | 40.0 | 2.4 | 8.1 |
| Textiles | 162.8 | 110.9 | 91.2 | 189.1 | 194.4 | -31.9 | -17.8 | 107.3 | 2.8 |
| Clothing | 128.1 | 105.3 | 105.3 | 119.4 | 123.5 | -17.8 | - | 13.4 | 3.4 |
| Footwear | 100.8 | 103.8 | 103.8 | 105.8 | 107.5 | 3.0 | - | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Wood, except furniture | 83.2 | 84.8 | 95.4 | 1003 | 105.6 | 1.9 | 12.5 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| Furniture | 128.3 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 128.2 | 125.5 | 2.3 | - | -2.3 | -2.1 |
| Paper and paper products | 97.4 | 111.9 | 111.9 | 118.2 | 119.0 | 14.9 | - | 5.6 | 0.7 |
| Printing and publishing | 100.2 | 91.9 | 91.9 | 100.3 | 101.1 | -8.3 | - | 9.1 | 0.8 |
| Leather and skins, except footwear | 78.5 | 100.0 | 103.0 | 106.5 | 107.1 | 27.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| Chemical industry | 127.1 | 99.6 | 125.2 | 110.4 | 112.3 | -21.6 | 25.7 | -11.8 | 1.7 |
| Other chemical products | 153.6 | 124.0 | 124.0 | 128.6 | 131.6 | -19.3 | - | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| Petroleum products | 84.0 | 85.9 | 101.8 | 130.8 | 135.5 | 2.3 | 18.5 | 28.5 | 3.6 |
| Plastic products | 123.9 | 102.0 | 102.0 | 124.8 | 118.7 | -17.7 | - | 22.4 | -4.9 |
| Non-metallic mineral products | 86.7 | 112.9 | 132.1 | 135.5 | 135.5 | 30.2 | 17.0 | 2.6 | - |
| Iron and steel industry | 164.3 | 153.3 | 935.4 | 1081.0 | 1405.0 | -6.7 | 510.2 | 15.6 | 30.0 |
| Non-ferrous metals industry | 118.9 | 121.3 | 124.9 | 131.3 | 130.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 5.1 | -0.9 |
| Metal products, excluding machinery | 125.3 | 112.1 | 115.4 | 110.2 | 113.5 | -10.5 | 2.9 | $-4.5$ | 3.0 |
| Machinery, domestic appliances and transport equipment | 149.7 | 135.9 | 133.6 | 127.0 | 132.0 | -9.2 | -1.7 | -4.9 | 3.9 |
| Other | 139.0 | 116.8 | 120.3 | 112.9 | 117.2 | -16.0 | 3.0 | -6.2 | 3.8 |
| Handicrafts | 96.0 | 81.4 | 77.0 | 78.4 |  | -15.2 | -5.4 | 1.8 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 9

## PARAGUAY: INDICATORS OF ELECTRIC POWER CAPACITY, GENERATION AND CONSUMPTION

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Installed potential ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 274.1 | 274.1 | 274.1 | 274.4 | 274.4 | 274.4 | 274.4 | 274.4 | 228.2 |
| Hydroelectricity | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 |
| Thermal plants | 84.1 | 84.1 | 84.1 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 38.2 |
| Electric power generation ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross | 720.4 | 916.0 | 793.0 | 1056.0 | 1259.2 | 1642.6 | 1736.0 | 2094.7 | 2201.5 |
| Net | 716.8 | 912.7 | 790.5 | 1053.5 | 1256.5 | 1639.7 | 1733.7 | 2092.8 | 2199.5 |
| Net imports of electric power ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 103.0 | -40.0 | 202.0 | 34.3 | -47.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Domestic electric power supply ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross | 824.0 | 876.0 | 995.0 | 1090.4 | 1211.4 | 1644.4 | 1738.1 | 2097.3 | 2204.1 |
| Net | 819.8 | 872.6 | 992.5 | 1087.7 | 1208.8 | 1641.5 | 1735.8 | 2095.4 | 2202.1 |
| Domestic consumption of electricity ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 751.7 | 800.6 | 827.2 | 907.3 | 999.9 | 1110.0 | 1275.9 | 1509.7 |  |
| Industrial | 390.7 | 473.9 | 492.2 | 540.7 | 565.7 | 637.5 | 701.9 | 799.1 |  |
| Other ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 300.1 | 253.3 | 260.1 | 286.1 | 343.4 | 374.8 | 464.7 | 593.1 |  |
|  | 56.7 | 70.0 | 72.4 | 80.5 | 86.6 | 97.7 | 109.2 | 117.5 |  |
| Percentage of population supplied with electricity | 31.5 | 37.7 | 39.5 | 41.2 | 42.8 | 45.5 | 47.4 | 49.4 | 52.0 |

Source: National Electricity Administration (ANDE), Compilación estadística 1960-1981, Asunción, 1982, and other statistics also supplied by ANDE.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Thousands of kW . $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of kWh . ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Excluding sales to Brazil of Paraguayan power from Itaipú. ' Includes "commercial" consumption (new category provided for in schedule of charges No. 4 of 1/8/1984). $\quad$ ' Includes "undefined" (new category provided for in schedule of charges No. 4 of 1/8/1984).
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Includes "government" and "municipal" consumption, "electricity for tramways", "public lighting", and, as from 1985, "rural consumption" (new category provided for in schedule of charges No. 4 of 1/8/1984).

Table 10

## PARAGUAY: OPEN UNEMPLOYMENT RATES

(Percentages)

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whole country | 4.6 | 9.4 | 13.8 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 12.8 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 9.2 |
| Asunción ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $2.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.6 | $8.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $7.3{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $5.1{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $6.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.5 | 4.7 | 6.1 |

Source: Based on data provided by the Central Bank of Paraguay and the Ministry of Finance, Department of Statistics and Censuses, Encuesta de hogares (several issues), and information supplied by ECLAC.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimates by the Central Bank of Paraguay. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ In addition to Asunción, includes Fernando de la Mora, Lambaré and the urban areas of Luque and San Lorenzo until 1982. As from 1983, includes, in addition to Asunción, the urban areas of San Lorenzo, Lambaré, Fernando de la Mora and Villa Hayes and the urban and rural areas of Villa Lisa, San Antonio, Ñenby, Luque, Limpio and Mariano Roque Alonzo. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ First half of year.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Average for the months of September, October and November. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Average for the months of August, September and October. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Average for the months of November and December. Average for the months of June, July and August. For 1988: average for the third quarter.

Table 11
PARAGUAY: REGISTERED EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total | 233 | 353 | 510 | 1009 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -23.5 | 52.0 | 44.3 | 97.8 |
| Main traditional exports | 94 | 113 | 117 | 294 | 42.5 | 29.1 | 73.2 | 19.9 | 3.9 | 1513 |
| Livestock products | 44 | 36 | 40 | 120 | 1.4 | 11.9 | 546.3 | -18.4 | 11.7 | 200.0 |
| Wood | 18 | 25 | 16 | 32 | 21.4 | 3.2 | 81.5 | 42.1 | -36.2 | 100.0 |
| Oils | 13 | 15 | 13 | 41 | 5.5 | 4.1 | -31.5 | 16.6 | -15.5 | 215.4 |
| Tobacco | 5 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 3.3 | 0.2 | -9.7 | 81.0 | -39.1 | -66.7 |
| Quebracho extract | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | -6.5 | 40.0 | -24.1 | 75.0 |
| Other | 10 | 21 | 38 | 92 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 18.9 | 113.0 | 78.9 | 142.1 |
| Main non-traditional exports | 139 | 241 | 393 | 715 | 57.5 | 70.9 | -44.5 | 73.7 | 63.2 | 81.9 |
| Cotton fibre | 81 | 101 | 209 | 304 | 34.1 | 30.1 | -43.1 | 25.0 | 107.0 | 45.5 |
| Soya beans | 44 | 123 | 154 | 383 | 13.6 | 38.0 | -56.3 | 179.9 | 25.4 | 148.7 |
| Oilcake and expellers | 9 | 13 | 28 | 24 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 37.1 | 42.6 | 124.0 | -14.3 |
| Fruit and vegetables | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 430.5 | -14.2 | -55.6 | 100.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Includes only exports figuring in the foreign exchange registers of the Central Bank. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 12

## PARAGUAY: MAIN EXTERNAL GOODS TRADE INDICATORS

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Growth rates

| Exports (FOB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Value | -0.4 | -0.6 | -17.7 | 10.8 | -10.2 | 76.8 | 22.7 | 18.2 | 31.9 |
| $\quad$ Volume | -7.5 | 14.7 | -9.7 | -12.4 | 13.0 | 139.2 | -8.6 | - | 27.0 |
| Unit value | 7.6 | -13.3 | -8.9 | 26.5 | -20.6 | -26.1 | 34.2 | 18.2 | 3.9 |
| Imports (FOB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Value | 14.4 | -7.9 | -22.5 | 17.7 | -20.5 | 42.6 | 5.8 | -4.4 | 10.0 |
| $\quad$ Volume | 13.3 | -7.1 | -18.2 | 49.4 | -14.9 | 29.0 | 8.8 | -8.8 | 11.4 |
| $\quad$ Unit value | 1.0 | -0.9 | -5.2 | -21.2 | -6.6 | 10.6 | -2.7 | 4.9 | -1.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terms of trade | 5.6 | -12.9 | -4.3 | 56.1 | -14.4 | -35.1 | 36.9 | 9.8 | 4.5 |
|  |  | Indexes (1980 | $100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exports | 97.7 | 97.6 | 84.4 | 115.4 | 111.6 | 173.2 | 216.7 | 237.9 | 315.7 |
| Volume of exports | 92.5 | 106.1 | 95.8 | 84.0 | 94.9 | 227.0 | 207.5 | 207.5 | 263.5 |
| Volume of imports | 113.3 | 105.2 | 86.0 | 128.5 | 109.4 | 141.1 | 153.5 | 140.0 | 155.9 |
| Terms of trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FOB/CIF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures. As from 1986 onward, these figures include unregistered external trade (Central Bank and ECLAC estimates), they differ from those given in tables 11 and 16.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13
PARAGUAY; OFFICIAL EXCHANGE RATES

## (Guaranies per dollar at the end of each period)

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | II | III | IV |
| Exports of goods | 160 | 228 | 320 | 483 | 550 | 550 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Imports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector | 144 | 170 | 242 | 240 | 240 | 320 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Private sector | 147 | 313 | 515 | 626 | 886 | 928 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Consumer goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staple goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 143 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 320 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Other | 342 | 382 | 648 | 626 | 886 | 928 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Intermediate goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum and petroleum products | 143 | 240 | 240 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Agricultural inputs | 160 | 240 | 240 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Capital goods | 342 | 382 | 648 | 626 | 886 | 928 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Factor services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector | 126 | 160 | 160 | 240 | 320 | 360 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Private sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State-guaranteed | 126 | 160 | 160 | 240 | 320 | 360 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Non-State-guaranteed | 342 | 382 | 648 | 626 | 886 | 928 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Capital account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector | 126 | 160 | 160 | 240 | 320 | 360 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Private sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State-guaranteed | 126 | 160 | 160 | 240 | 320 | 360 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Non-State-guaranteed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transactions of binational entities | 342 | 382 | 648 | 626 | 886 | 928 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
|  | 143 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 320 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |
| Parallel market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 342 data. | 382 | 648 | 626 | 886 | 928 | 1007 | 1146 | 1248 | 1209 |

Table 14
PARAGUAY: EXCHANGE RATES
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Exchange rates (guaraníes per dollar) Official |  |  | Indexes of the real effective exchange rate'$(1985=100)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Official |  | Parallel |  |
|  | Exports | Imports ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Exports | Imports | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  |  |  | 121.9 | 93.8 | $66.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $68.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 1980-1989 |  |  |  | 122.1 | 94.7 | $85.6{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $80.8{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 1980 | 126 | 126 | 135 | 107.6 | 87.8 | 59.5 | 60.2 |
| 1981 | 126 | 126 | 149 | 87.4 | 72.3 | 53.3 | 54.7 |
| 1982 | 142 | 137 | 203 | 87.3 | 67.2 | 64.3 | 63.7 |
| 1983 | 158 | 146 | 316 | 79.2 | 58.9 | 81.7 | 81.6 |
| 1984 | 222 | 245 | 383 | 92.0 | 82.3 | 81.8 | 82.3 |
| 1985 | 312 | 387 | 605 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 388 | 474 | 701 | 112.6 | 98.5 | 104.9 | 93.1 |
| 1987 | 606 | 624 | 800 | 161.9 | 115.4 | 110.2 | 94.6 |
| 1988 | 717 | 728 | 928 | 170.8 | 119.0 | 114.4 | 97.1 |
| 1989 | 1123 | 1096 |  | 221.8 | 145.4 |  |  |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 565 | 569 | 715 | 158.3 | 110.3 | 103.3 | 88.6 |
| II | 569 | 595 | 783 | 158.5 | 115.2 | 112.5 | 97.0 |
| III | 620 | 628 | 818 | 163.0 | 114.9 | 110.9 | 95.8 |
| IV | 669 | 705 | 882 | 167.8 | 121.0 | 114.1 | 96.9 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 631 | 678 | 890 | 155.3 | 114.0 | 113.0 | 95.7 |
| II | 667 | 718 | 890 | 168.8 | 124.0 | 116.2 | 98.3 |
| III | 754 | 701 | 930 | 173.5 | 111.3 | 110.4 | 94.4 |
| IV | 814 | 815 | 1003 | 185.6 | 126.8 | 118.0 | 99.8 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I» | 965 | 856 |  | 215.2 | 133.9 |  |  |
| II | 1077 | 1077 |  | 211.0 | 141.2 |  |  |
| III | 1251 | 1251 |  | 230.5 | 154.3 |  |  |
| IV | 1200 | 1200 |  | 230.6 | 152.3 |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay and the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Corresponds to the average of the indexes of the real exchange rate for the guaraní against the currencies of Paraguay's main trading partners, weighted by the relative significance of the trade flows to or from these countries, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980 these weightings correspond to the average for 1975-1979, and as from 1981 to the average for 1983-1987. In the calculations, official exchange rates and, whenever possible, wholesale price indexes were used. For Paraguay the series were calculated for the official and parallel exchange rates, and in both cases, the wholesale price index was used. For the methodology and sources used, see Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean, $1981 .{ }^{\text {b }}$ As from 1982 the average exchange rate was used. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ As from 1982 the average exchange rate for imports authorized by the Central Bank was used. Selling rate. ${ }^{e}$ Average for 1973-1979. 'Average for 1980-1988. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ As from March 1989 relates to the free floating exchange rate.

Table 15

## PARAGUAY: EVOLUTION OF THE EXTERNAL PRICES

 OF THE MAIN EXPORT PRODUCTS(Indexes 1980=100)

|  | Soya beans* |  | Soya oil ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Cotton ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Wood' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nominal | Real" | Nominal | Real ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Nominal | Real ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Nominal | Reaf |
| 1970 | 40.9 | 126.2 | 47.8 | 147.5 | 32.6 | 100.6 | 25.2 | 77.8 |
| 1979 | 100.7 | 114.3 | 110.7 | 125.7 | 82.2 | 93.3 | 85.2 | 96.7 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1981 | 97.3 | 101.1 | 84.8 | 88.1 | 90.5 | 94.1 | 92.6 | 96.3 |
| 1982 | 82.8 | 89.1 | 74.7 | 80.4 | 77.3 | 83.4 | 87.3 | 94.0 |
| 1983 | 95.3 | 106.1 | 88.1 | 98.1 | 90.0 | 100.2 | 83.1 | 92.5 |
| 1984 | 95.3 | 108.9 | 121.1 | 138.4 | 85.3 | 97.5 | 78.7 | 89.9 |
| 1985 | 76.0 | 87.2 | 95.7 | 109.7 | 65.6 | 75.2 | 77.7 | 89.1 |
| 1986 | 70.3 | 70.0 | 57.4 | 57.1 | 56.1 | 55.8 | 87.6 | 87.2 |
| 1987 | 73.0 | 64.9 | 69.0 | 61.4 | 80.8 | 71.9 | 107.7 | 95.8 |
| I | 67.5 | 62.8 | 62.9 | 58.6 | 69.3 | 64.5 | 102.0 | 95.0 |
| II | 77.7 | 71.2 | 74.1 | 67.9 | 87.4 | 80.0 | 106.3 | 97.3 |
| III | 74.8 | 68.6 | 81.2 | 74.4 | 86.3 | 79.1 | 109.4 | 100.3 |
| IV | 81.4 | 70.9 | 80.5 | 70.1 | 75.3 | 65.6 | 115.3 | 100.4 |
| 1988 | 102.7 | 85.8 | 77.5 | 64.7 | 67.3 | 56.2 | 114.1 | 95.2 |
| I | 86.2 | 73.9 | 68.9 | 59.1 | 73.2 | 62.8 | 114.6 | 98.3 |
| II | 102.3 | 84.1 | 77.5 | 63.7 | 70.1 | 57.7 | 116.5 | 95.8 |
| III | 115.7 | 97.9 | 89.9 | 76.1 | 61.9 | 52.4 | 108.8 | 92.0 |
| IV | 105.8 | 85.7 | 73.8 | 59.8 | 64.1 | 52.0 | 116.4 | 94.4 |
| 1989 | 92.9 | 77.8 | 72.3 | 60.5 | 80.6 | 67.5 | 119.0 | 99.9 |
| I | 105.7 | 87.4 | 70.9 | 58.7 | 69.0 | 57.1 | 113.8 | 94.1 |
| II | 99.7 | 84.3 | 76.1 | 64.4 |  |  | 113.9 | 96.4 |
| III | 83.9 | 70.9 | 70.3 | 59.4 | 88.0 | 74.4 | 119.1 | 100.7 |
| IV ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 82.5 | 67.8 | 71.4 | 58.8 | 87.2 | 71.7 | 125.7 | 103.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of UHCTAD, Monthly Commodity Price Bulletin, various volumes, and the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{a}$ United States, No. 2, yellow, CIF, Rotterdam. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ All origins, raw oil, FOB, ex-works, Netherlands. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Mexican medium grade, CIF, Northern Europe. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Tropical, wholesale price, United Kingdom. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ The nominal prices were deflated by the export prices of the industrialized countries. The real values were deflated by the index of export prices for the month of October.

Table 16

## PARAGUAY: REGISTERED IMPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | :1989 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total | 509 | 517 | 494 | 613 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 15.2 | 1.6 | -4.5 | 24.0 |
| Consumer goods | 133 | 134 | 159 | 165 | 29.4 | 26.9 | 12.6 | 0.9 | 18.8 | 3.8 |
| Non-durable | 100 | 99 | 127 | 132 | 22.8 | 21.5 | 2.4 | -0.4 | 27.8 | 4.0 |
| Foodstuffs | 17 | 9 | 12 | 19 | 4.6 | 3.1 | -14.1 | -47.3 | 33.8 | 58.3 |
| Beverages and tobacco | 34 | 42 | 50 | 42 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 35.5 | 22.6 | 20.1 | -16.0 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 49 | 49 | 65 | 71 | 10.5 | 11.6 | -7.1 | - | 33.2 | 9.4 |
| Durable ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 33 | 34 | 32 | 33 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 61.0 | 4.7 | -7.0 | 3.1 |
| Automobiles | 11 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 14.8 | 45.1 | 18.1 | 5.3 |
| Electric appliances | 22 | 18 | 13 | 13 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 102.4 | -15.9 | -29.0 | - |
| Intermediate goods | 142 | 140 | 124 | 134 | 32.3 | 21.9 | -6.5 | -1.4 | -11.5 | 7.8 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 97 | 103 | 92 | 105 | 25.1 | 17.1 | -15.4 | 6.0 | -10.5 | 14.1 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 45 | 38 | 32 | 29 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 20.6 | -17.1 | -14.2 | -10.3 |
| Capital goods | 195 | 198 | 162 | 255 | 38.3 | 41.6 | 40.6 | 1.5 | -18.3 | 57.4 |
| Machinery, equipment and motors | 164 | 152 | 113 | 198 | 15.5 | 32.3 | 60.8 | -7.1 | -25.6 | 75.2 |
| Transport equipment and accessories | 20 | 32 | 33 | 38 | 14.5 | 6.2 | -6.1 | 62.4 | 4.7 | 14.2 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 12 | 14 | 16 | 19 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -25.6 | 18.3 | 9.0 | 20.9 |
| Unclassified | 39 | 45 | 49 | 59 | 5.6 | 9.6 | 17.5 | 15.2 | 8.5 | 20.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes only those imports which appear in the exchange records, plus imports with deferred payments and those carried out with long-term external loans. Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes paper, cardboard and products thereof pharmaceuticals and chemicals and textiles and textile manufactures. Includes jeeps and pickups. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Includes iron and iron manufactures (with the exception of hardware), base metals and their manufactures, stones, earth and manufactures thereof, cement, asphalt and wood and manufactures thereof. ' Excludes automobiles, jeeps and pickups. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Includes agricultural implements and accessories, hardware and live cattle.

Table 17
PARAGUAY: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -378 | -253 | -325 | -231 | -369 | -223 | -34 | 183 |
| Trade balance | -445 | -245 | -289 | -178 | -210 | -72 | 30 | 189 |
| Exports of goods and services | 617 | 464 | 772 | 1088 | 792 | 956 | 1118 | 1399 |
| Goods FOB | 396 | 326 | 361 | 324 | 573 | 704 | 832 | 1097 |
| Real services | 220 | 138 | 411 | 764 | 218 | 252 | 286 | 302 |
| Transport and insurance | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 21 |
| Travel | 59 | 49 | 96 | 105 | 148 | 121 | 114 | 100 |
| Imports of goods and services | 1062 | 709 | 1061 | 1266 | 1002 | 1029 | 1088 | 1210 |
| Goods FOB | 711 | 551 | 649 | 516 | 736 | 779 | 744 | 819 |
| Real services | 350 | 157 | 412 | 750 | 266 | 250 | 343 | 391 |
| Transport and insurance | 106 | 75 | 98 | 72 | 145 | 158 | 204 | 226 |
| Travel | 42 | 44 | 44 | 47 | 48 | 51 | 59 | 75 |
| Factor services | 65 | -9 | -38 | -55 | -160 | -152 | -67 | -7 |
| Profits | -13 | -39 | -49 | -49 | -79 | -74 | -14 | 36 |
| Interest received | 122 | 63 | 70 | 79 | 58 | 18 | 64 | 4. |
| Interest paid | -83 | -66 | -78 | -90 | -146 | -118 | $-137^{\text {c }}$ | -12Cf |
| Labour and ownership | 39 | 33 | 19 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 20 | 33 |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Balance on capital account | 316 | 200 | 311 | 122 | 241 | 260 | -103 | 24 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 25 | 33 | 14 |
| Long-term capital | 265 | 289 | 219 | 121 | 212 | 20 | -2 | 91 |
| Direct investment | 37 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 32 | 14 | 11 |  |
| Portfolio investment | -8 | 3 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 236 | 281 | 214 | 112 | 181 | 7 | -13 | 91 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 60 | 139 | 127 | 109 | 137 | -19 | ${ }^{17} \mathrm{f}$ | 64 |
| Loans received | 83 | 162 | 150 | 137 | 185 | 52 | $121{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 211« |
| Amortization payments | -22 | -23 | -23 | -28 | -48 | -71 | $-104^{\text {h }}$ | -147' |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 11 | 7 | 32 | 15 | 6 | 17 | -11 | 26 |
| Loans received | 16 | 10 | 40 | 20 | 14 | 22 | 2 | 59 |
| Amortization payments | -6 | -3 | -9 | -5 | -8 | -6 | -13 | -33 |
| Other sectors ${ }^{e}$ | 165 | 135 | 55 | -11 | 37 | 9 | -19 |  |
| Loans received | 217 | 168 | 109 | 59 | 102 | 118 | 77 |  |
| Amortization payments | -52 | -33 | -53 | -71 | -64 | -109 | -96 |  |
| Short-term capital | 73 | -2 | 67 | -54 | -3 | 141 | 7 | 88 |
| Official sector | 10 | 23 | 97 | -48 | -37 | 6 |  |  |
| Commercial banks | 1 | 15 | -5 | 14 | -21 | -16 |  |  |
| Other sectors | 62 | -40 | -26 | -20 | 55 | 151 |  |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -26 | -92 | 18 | 49 | 21 | 73 | -141 | -169 |
| Global balance ' | -62 | -53 | -14 | -109 | -128 | 37 | -137 | 206 |
| Total variation in reserves (- sign indicates an increase) | 65 | 56 | 17 | 135 | 87 | -50 | 135 | -212 |
| Monetary gold | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | -9 | -6 | -3 | -8 | -9 | -12 | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | -1 | -3 | 2 | -3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 77 | 68 | 13 | 145 | 92 | -41 |  |  |
| Other assets | -2 | -3 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| Use of IMF credit | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Source: 1982-1986, ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund. 1987-1989, ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay.
Note: In 1984 and 1985, the figures shown against 'Real services" include trade in 'Goods" (exports and imports) which was covered by the real services account maintained by the Central Bank of Paraguay.

* Preliminaiy figures. Includes other \{actor services. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes US $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ million in unpaid interest. d Includes US\$40.5 million in unpaid interest. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Includes US $\mathbf{3 2} .9$ and US $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ million in arrears in external debt servicing (amortization and interest payments, respectively). * Includes US $\mathbf{1 2 1 . 2}$ and US $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 . 5}$ million in arreare in external debt servicing (amortization and interest payments, respectively), and interest on overdue payments. Includes US $\$ 32.9$ million in arreare in external debt servicing. 'Includes US $\$ 1212$ million in arrears in external debt servicing. ${ }^{\mathbf{J}}$ Equals total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 18
PARAGUAY: TOURISM INDICATORS

|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of tourists (thousands) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 302.1 | 267.1 | 178.4 | 147.8 | 292.0 | 262.7 | 370.7 | 303.2 | 284.2 | 278.7 |
| from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | 132.6 | 122.5 | 56.9 | 47.1 | 141.3 | 107.2 | 155.7 | 116.2 | 109.6 | 114.0 |
| Brazil | 104.8 | 92.1 | 93.8 | 70.3 | 97.6 | 93.4 | 124.5 | 67.0 | 67.9 | 71.3 |
| United States | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| Japan | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 3.7 |
| Uruguay | 3.9 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 4.6 | 18.4 | 25.3 | 19.9 | 15.3 |
|  | 53.6 | 38.2 | 17.9 | 22.1 | 32.7 | 40.9 | 60.9 | 79.7 | 73.6 | 65.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average stay (days) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Average expenditure (dollars)* | 300 | 300 | 330 | 332 | 330 | 399 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Total expenditure (millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ofdollars) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ <br> Number of rooms | 90.7 2950 | 80.2 2769 | 59.0 3076 | 349.0 | 96.3 3280 | 105.0 3302 | 148.3 3892 | 121.3 4159 | 113.7 | $411.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Number of rooms | 2750 | 2769 | 3076 | 3177 | 3280 | 3302 | 3892 | 4159 | 4383 | 4569 |
| Number of beds | 6329 | 6243 | 6806 | 6985 | 6938 | 7075 | 8206 | 9219 | 9646 | 10025 |
| Average rate of occupation (percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Of rooms | 99.3 | 87.2 | 52.4 | 42.1 | 73.2 | 65.4 | 60.0 | 53.7 | 45.7 | 405.5 |
| Of beds | 43.2 | 38.7 | 23.7 | 19.1 | 34.6 | 30.5 | 32.0 | 28.1 | 29.8 | 27.0 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Department of Tourism, Ministry of Public Works and Communications and the Central Bank.
" Preliminary figures. The total number of tourists is calculated on the basis of tourist cards, and includes only persons over 12 years of age. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The distribution of the tourists by country of origin is calculated on the basis of hotels registers. For 1972, the distribution refers to the nationality of the tourists; subsequently, it refers to their last point of departure.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ From balanceof-payments data. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Estimate by the Department of Tourism. Refers to hotels, motels, hostels and boarding houses regarded by the Department of Tourism as being of "tourist level".

Table 19

## PARAGUAY: DIRECT EFFECTS OF THE ITAIPU AND YACYRETA BINATIONAL ENTITIES ON THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current account ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | -377 | -378 | -253 | -262 | -167 | -369 | -223 | -34 | 191 |
| Binational entities | 212 | 150 | 144 | 85 | 44 | 44 | 66 | 28 | 88 |
| Itaipú | 139 | 126 | 127 | 61 | 37 | 27 | 30 | 13 | 45 |
| Yacyretá | 73 | 24 | 17 | 24 | 7 | 17 | 36 | 15 | 43 |
| Remainder | -589 | -528 | -397 | -347 | -211 | -413 | -289 | -62 | 103 |
| Capital account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 420 | 316 | 200 | 248 | 58 | 241 | 260 | -103 | 16 |
| Binational entities | 237 | 184 | 160 | 112 | 70 | 45 | 79 | 64 | 88 |
| Itaipú | 159 | 159 | 143 | 87 | 55 | 27 | 30 | 24 | 45 |
| Yacyretá | 78 | 25 | 17 | 25 | 15 | 18 | 49 | 40 | 43 |
| Remainder | 183 | 132 | 40 | 136 | -12 | 196 | 181 | -167 | -72 |
| Global balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 43 | -62 | -53 | -14 | -109 | -128 | 37 | -137 | 207 |
| Binational entities | 449 | 338 | 304 | 197 | 114 | 89 | 145 | 92 | 176 |
| Itaipú | 298 | 289 | 270 | 148 | 92 | 54 | 60 | 37 | 90 |
| Yacyretá | 151 | 49 | 34 | 49 | 22 | 35 | 85 | 55 | 86 |
| Remainder | -406 | -400 | -357 | -211 | -223 | -217 | -108 | -229 | 31 |
| International reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 810 | 687 | 684 | 669 | 578 | 427 | 477 | 342 | 554 |
| Binational entities ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1667 | 2001 | 2305 | 2502 | 2616 | 2705 | 2850 | 2942 | 3118 |
| Itaipú | 1285 | 1570 | 1840 | 1988 | 2080 | 2134 | 2194 | 2231 | 2321 |
| Yacyretá | 382 | 431 | 465 | 514 | 536 | 571 | 656 | 711 | 797 |
| Remainder | -857 | -1314 | -1621 | -1833 | -2 038 | -2 278 | -2373 | -2 600 | -2 564 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Does not include imports of goods and services by the binational entities which are imputable to the balance of payments, with the exception of profits from investments by the Itaipú binational entity in Paraguay. Similarly, it does not include capital flows which finance those imports and which are also imputable to the balance of payments. Consequently, the accounting system used for this table is the same as that used for the balance of payments (table 17), the original source of which is the Central Bank of Paraguay. Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ As from May 1984, a new series on external trade in goods and non-factor services was prepared. See note b of table 17. Equals gross international assets of the Central Bank of Paraguay. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Shows the accumulated effects on the global balance of payments.

Table 20
PARAGUAY: TOTAL EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross disbursed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| external debt | 948 | 1203 | 1469 | 1654 | 1772 | 1855 | 2043 | 2002 | $2027^{\text {e }}$ |
| Public sector | 797 | 1030 | 1298 | 1503 | 1627 | 1825 | 2010 | 1969 | 1995 |
| Non-financial | 670 | 868 | 1116 | 1291 | 1396 | 1598 | 1757 |  |  |
| Central government | 317 | 369 | 502 | 621 | 749 | 886 | 979 | 997 | 686 |
| State enterprises | 353 | 499 | 614 | 670 | 647 | 712 | 778 | 97 f | 1100 |
| Financial | 127 | 162 | 182 | 212 | 231 | 227 | 253 |  | 209 |
| Private sector | 151 | 173 | 171 | 151 | 145 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 32 |
| Gross external debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| service ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 169 | 163 | 125 | 146 | 184 | 350 | 426 | 336 | $251{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Principal | 87 | 80 | 59 | 85 | 104 | 172 | 268 | 199 | 139 |
| Interest | 82 | 83 | 66 | 61 | 80 | 178 | 159 | 137 | 112 |
| As a percentage of exports ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross disbursed external debt | 170.8 | 195.0 | 316.6 | 214.2 | 162.9 | 234.2 | 213.7 | 179.1 | 144.9 |
| External debt service | 30.5 | 26.4 | 26.9 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 44.2 | 44.6 | 30.1 | 17.9 |
| Principal | 15.7 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 21.7 | 28.0 | 17.8 | 9.9 |
| Interest | 14.8 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 22.5 | 16.6 | 12.3 | 8.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay and the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Medium- and long-term public and State-guaranteed private debt; end-year balances; does not include interest on arrears of payment. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes the equivalent of US $\$ 121$ million in exchange rate adjustments in respect of conversion of other currencies. Includes the financial public sector. ${ }^{e}$ Refers to debt service due and not to that actually paid. ' Mature debts with the Bank of Brazil were refinanced. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Refers to exports of non-factor goods and services.

PARAGUAY
Table 21
PARAGUAY: DOMESTIC PRICE TRENDS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## $n$ between annual averages

| Consumer price index ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.8 | 13.5 | 20.3 | 25.2 | 31.7 | 21.8 | 23.0 | 26.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food | 3.5 | 17.1 | 28.9 | 27.6 | 43.5 | 23.9 | 23.8 | 20.4 |
| Housing | 8.4 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 17.4 | 13.8 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 33.8 |
| Clothing | 6.0 | 20.8 | 26.7 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 18.0 | 21.8 | 24.6 |
| Miscellaneous expenses | 9.4 | 17.2 | 20.0 | 26.3 | 13.8 | 21.9 | 24.5 | 30.0 |
| Wholesale price index | 3.5 | 32.9 | 28.3 | 23.4 | 45.1 | 11.9 | 27.1 | 21.9 |
| Agricultural products | 3.5 | 39.7 | 18.6 | 12.8 | 64.0 | -1.1 | 35.3 | 18.0 |
| Index of implicit prices of the gross domestic product ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5.2 | 14.4 | 27.0 | 25.2 | 31.6 | 30.3 | 29.5 |  |

Variation from December to December

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer price index $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 8.9 | 14.1 | 29.8 | 23.1 | 24.1 | 32.0 | 16.9 | 28.7 |
| $\quad$ Food | 5.4 | 19.4 | 42.5 | 22.3 | 34.9 | 42.3 | 9.8 | 22.4 |
| Housing | 6.4 | 2.7 | 15.9 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 22.0 | 24.6 | 35.7 |
| $\quad$ Clothing | 15.5 | 20.9 | 32.2 | 34.9 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 19.9 | 29.8 |
| $\quad$ Miscellaneous expenses | 14.0 | 17.0 | 25.4 | 28.5 | 19.4 | 26.8 | 23.3 | 32.8 |
| Wholesale price index | -6.8 | 32.9 | 43.8 | 16.3 | 28.1 | 19.7 | 33.7 | 26.1 |
| $\quad$ Agricultural products | $\underline{015.7}$ | 39.7 | 39.1 | 9.5 | 28.8 | 12.6 | 52.6 | 20.0 |

Source: Central Bank of Paraguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Base year: 1980. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Base year: 1972. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ At market prices. Base year: 1982.

Table 22

## PARAGUAY: WAGE TRENDS

|  | Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Nominal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages of manual workers in Asunción |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 235.4 | 322.1 | 426.8 | 567.8 | 26.0 | 36.8 | 32.5 | 33.0 |
| Manufacturing | 238.2 | 327.3 | 443.2 | 555.3 | 28.2 | 37.4 | 35.4 | 25.3 |
| Construction | 257.7 | 379.1 | 519.6 | 650.2 | 33.1 | 47.1 | 37.0 | 25.1 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 268.2 | 375.2 | 463.1 | 695.3 | 21.4 | 39.9 | 23.4 | 50.1 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 211.8 | 278.7 | 353.8 | 534.1 | 20.7 | 31.6 | 26.9 | 51.0 |
| Commerce | 268.5 | 382.6 | 528.0 | 652.0 | 32.8 | 42.5 | 38.0 | 23.5 |
| Other services | 304.1 | 433.8 | 589.3 | 735.2 | 31.6 | 42.6 | 35.8 | 24.8 |
| Average agricultural daily wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With meals | 205.8 | 287.5 |  |  | 29.7 | 39.7 |  |  |
| Without meals | 189.8 | 282.6 |  |  | 33.7 | 48.9 |  |  |
| Minimum legal wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asunción and Puerto Stroessner | 297.2 | 409.7 | 556.3 | 705.8 | 43.7 | 37.8 | 35.8 | 26.9 |
| Other cities | 296.5 | 408.6 |  |  | 43.7 | 37.8 |  |  |
| Agriculture | 295.1 | 406.7 | 551.0 |  | 43.7 | 37.8 | 35.5 |  |
| Real' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages of manual workers in Asunción |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 85.9 | 96.5 | 103.9 | 109.8 | -4.4 | 12.3 | 7.7 | 5.6 |
| Manufacturing | 86.9 | 98.1 | 107.9 | 107.4 | -2.7 | 12.8 | 10.1 | -0.5 |
| Construction | 94.0 | 113.6 | 126.5 | 125.7 | 1.0 | 20.8 | 11.4 | -0.7 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 97.9 | 112.4 | 112.8 | 134.4 | $\bullet 7.9$ | 14.9 | 0.3 | 19.2 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 77.3 | 83.5 | 86.2 | 103.3 | -8.4 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 19.9 |
| Commerce | 98.0 | 114.6 | 128.6 | 126.1 | 0.8 | 17.0 | 12.2 | -1.9 |
| Other services | 111.0 | 130.0 | 143.5 | 142.1 | $\bullet 0.1$ | 17.1 | 10.4 | -1.0 |
| Average agricultural daily wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With meals | 75.1 | 86.1 |  |  | -1.6 | 14.7 |  |  |
| Without meals | 69.3 | 84.7 |  |  | 1.5 | 22.2 |  |  |
| Minimum legal wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asunción and Puerto Stroessner | 108.3 | 122.6 | 135.2 | 136.5 | 8.7 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 1.0 |
| Other cities | 108.2 | 122.4 |  |  | 9.1 | 13.1 |  |  |
| Agriculture | 107.7 | 121.8 | 134.2 |  | 9.1 | 13.1 | 10.1 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay, Department of Economic Studies; Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Marketing and Economics; and Ministry of Justice and Labour, Department of Human Resources.
${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures. ' Average for June and December. $\quad{ }^{c}$ The nominal values were deflated by the consumer price index.

Table 23

## PARAGUAY: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL OPERATIONS

|  | Billions of guaraníes |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Current income | 143.1 | 202.4 | 263.5 | 456.6 | 29.8 | 41.5 | 30.2 | 733 |
| Tax revenue | 122.5 | 174.6 | 227.5 | 396.8 | 29.8 | 42.5 | 30.3 | 74.4 |
| Direct taxes | 29.0 | 44.6 | 53.1 |  | 28.1 | 53.9 | 19.1 |  |
| On income | 20.7 | 34.9 | 41.7 |  | 31.2 | 68.1 | 19.7 |  |
| On capital | 8.2 | 9.7 | 11.4 |  | 20.8 | 18.3 | 17.3 |  |
| Indirect taxes | 64.5 | 89.2 | 115.1 |  | 33.0 | 38.3 | 29.0 |  |
| On external trade | 22.9 | 32.6 | 42.5 |  | 29.7 | 42.2 | 30.5 |  |
| Imports | 22.0 | 30.8 | 40.4 |  | 32.0 | 40.1 | 31.1 |  |
| Exports | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 |  | -8.9 | 93.0 | 19.2 |  |
| On domestic commerce | 41.6 | 56.6 | 72.6 |  | 34.9 | 36.1 | 28.2 |  |
| Other | 29.0 | 40.8 | 59.3 |  | 24.7 | 40.6 | 45.3 |  |
| Non-tax income | 20.5 | 27.8 | 36.0 | 59.8 | 29.6 | 35.2 | 29.6 | 66.1 |
| Current expenditure | 119.8 | 160.8 | 196.7 | 360.8 | 22.7 | 343 | 223 | 83.4 |
| Consumption | 65.5 | 95.2 | 110.9 | 185.1 | 8.6 | 45.3 | 16.5 | 66.9 |
| Wages and salaries | 47.1 | 63.8 | 79.0 | 141.2 | 15.4 | 35.5 | 23.8 | 78.7 |
| Goods and non-personal services | 18.4 | 31.4 | 31.9 | 43.9 | 27.8 | 70.7 | 1.6 | 37.6 |
| Interest payments | 9.7 | 19.9 | 23.7 | 39.5 | 44.8 | 105.2 | 19.0 | 66.8 |
| Transfers | 29.2 | 34.5 | 42.6 | 94.4 | 12.6 | 18.3 | 23.5 | 121.5 |
| To the private sector | 21.3 | 26.2 | 30.9 | 56.5 | 2.4 | 23.0 | 18.0 | 82.8 |
| To the public sector | 7.9 | 8.3 | 11.7 | 37.9 | 60.4 | 5.6 | 41.0 | 223.9 |
| Other | 15.4 | 11.2 | 19.5 | 41.9 | 100.0 | -27.3 | 74.1 | 114.9 |
| Saving | 233 | 41.6 | 66.8 | 95.8 | 843 | 78.4 | 60.7 | 43.4 |
| Capital income | 0.8 | - | 0.1 | 1.6 | 34.5 | -100 |  | 1180.0 |
| Capital expenditure | 13.4 | 43.6 | 42.9 | 41.2 | -61.4 | 225.4 | -1.6 | -4.0 |
| Capital formation | 10.7 | 27.7 | 39.8 | 34.3 | -64.1 | 159.8 | 43.7 | -13.8 |
| Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To the public sector | 2.7 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 105.8 | 23.3 | -51.5 | 106.2 |
| Other | 3.1 | 12.6 | 1.5 | 3.6 | - | 306.5 | -88.1 | 140.0 |
| Total expenditure | 133.2 | 204.4 | 239.6 | 402.0 | 0.7 | 53.5 | 17.2 | 67.8 |
| Global balance | 10.7 | -2.0 | 24.0 | 56.2 | -150.0 | -118.8 | -1292.9 | 133.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | As a percentage of the global balance |  |  |  |
| Financing (net) | -10.7 | 2.0 | -24.0 | -56.2 | -100.0 | -100.0 | -100.0 | -100.0 |
| Domestic (net) | -13.2 | -0.9 | -11.5 | -37.7 | -123.4 | 44.7 | -47.8 | -67.1 |
| Banks | 6.5 | 9.7 | 12.6 | -0.5 | 60.7 | -481.4 | 52.4 | -0.9 |
| Central Bank | 6.5 | 9.7 | 12.6 | -0.5 | 60.7 | -481.4 | 52.4 | -0.9 |
| Rest of banking system | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Financial investment | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10.1 | 15.8 | 17.8 | 103.2 | 94.4 | -784.1 | 74.1 | 183.6 |
| Variation in liquid assets | -29.8 | -26.4 | -41.9 | -140.5 | -278.5 | 1310.2 | -174.3 | -250.0 |
| External (net) | 2.5 | 2.9 | -12.5 | -18.5 | 23.1 | -143.9 | -52.1 | -32.9 |
| Disbursements | 10.9 | 22.0 | 14.0 | 16.9 | 101.6 | -1 091.8 | 58.2 | 30.1 |
| Amortization payments | -8.4 | -19.1 | -26.5 | -35.4 | -78.5 | 947.9 | -110.3 | -63.0 |

[^54]Table 24
PARAGUAY: SUBSIDIES TO THE PUBLIC SECTOR


Table 25
PARAGUAY: MONETARY BALANCE OF THE CENTRAL BANK OF PARAGUAY

|  | Year-end balances, in billions of guaraníes |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| I. Net international reserves | 132.8 | 212.2 | 248.2 | 500.1 | 17.6 | 59.8 | 17.0 | 101.5 |
| (in millions of dollars) | 397.5 | 437.3 | 304.1 | 426.8 | -17.0 | 10.0 | -30.5 | 40.4 |
| II. Net domestic credit | 130.6 | 148.7 | 179.0 | 80.8 | 66.8 | 13.9 | 20.4 | -54.9 |
| 1. Non-financial public sector | 57.0 | 80.2 | 85.5 | -30.3 | 22.1 | 40.7 | 6.6 | -135.4 |
| a. Central government | 26.9 | 26.7 | 11.6 | -51.9 | 10.7 | -0.7 | -56.6 | -547.4 |
| Credits | 40.4 | 50.1 | 60.7 | 58.1 | 21.0 | 24.0 | 21.2 | -4.3 |
| Deposits | 13.5 | 23.4 | 49.1 | 110.0 | 48.4 | 73.3 | 109.8 | 124.0 |
| b. Public entities ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 30.1 | 53.5 | 73.9 | 21.6 | 34.4 | 77.7 | 38.1 | -70.8 |
| Credits | 37.9 | 64.7 | 89.8 | 94.1 | 27.2 | 70.7 | 38.8 | 4.8 |
| Deposits | 7.8 | 11.2 | 15.9 | 72.5 | 5.4 | 43.6 | 42.0 | 356.0 |
| 2. Banking sector | 73.6 | 68.5 | 93.5 | 111.1 | 132.9 | -6.9 | 36.5 | 18.8 |
| a. National Development Bank | 22.2 | 22.6 | 30.3 | 45.8 | 94.7 | 1.8 | 34.1 | 51.2 |
| b. Private commercial banks | 51.3 | 45.9 | 63.2 | 65.3 | 154.0 | -10.5 | 37.7 | 3.3 |
| III. Capital and reserves | 21.2 | 24.3 | 35.1 | 31.9 | 9.8 | 14.6 | 44.4 | -9.1 |
| IV. Other net assets and liabilities | -41.1 | -49.6 | -37.7 | -98.3 | 44.7 | 20.7 | -24.0 | 160.7 |
| Assets | 29.8 | 38.4 | 41.5 | 161.3 | 20.6 | 28.9 | 8.1 | 288.7 |
| Liabilities | 70.9 | 88.0 | 79.2 | 259.6 | 33.5 | 24.1 | -10.0 | 227.8 |
| V. Monetary base (I + II-III + IV) | 201.2 | 286.9 | 354.4 | 450.7 | 40.1 | 42.6 | 23.5 | 27.2 |
| 1. Notes and coins issued | 92.1 | 128.3 | 159.3 | 229.9 | 32.3 | 39.3 | 24.2 | 44.3 |
| In banks | 7.6 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 8.6 | 14.5 | 17.2 | 34.3 |
| Outside banks | 84.5 | 119.6 | 149.1 | 216.2 | 35.0 | 41.5 | 24.7 | 45.0 |
| 2. Deposits in the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Bank of Paraguay | 109.1 | 158.6 | 195.0 | 220.8 | 47.4 | 45.4 | 23.0 | 13.2 |
| Legal cash reserve | 93.5 | 144.3 | 181.0 | 215.3 | 32.6 | 54.3 | 25.4 | 19.0 |
| Bank deposits | 15.6 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 5.5 | 345.7 | -8.3 | -2.1 | -60.7 |

[^55]Table 26
PARAGUAY: FACTORS OF VARIATION OF THE MONETARY BASE
(Annual flows in billions of guaranies)

|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Effective variation, in national currency, of net international reserves ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -19.9 | -8.9 | -27.3 | 19.3 | -108.7 | 143.8 |
| (Variation in millions of dollars) (Average implicit exchange rate | -108.5 | -37.7 | -81.7 | 39.8 | -133.2 | 122.7 |
| for net international reserves) | 183.0 | 235.6 | 334.1 | 485.3 | 816.2 | 1171.7 |
| II. Effective net domestic credit | 43.6 | 36.5 | 99.5 | 78.2 | 174.9 | 9.9 |
| A. Exchange rate effect ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 24.2 | 27.2 | 47.2 | 60.1 | 144.6 | 108.1 |
| B. Registered net domestic credit | 19.4 | 9.3 | 52.3 | 18.1 | 30.3 | -98.2 |
| 1. Central government | 4.5 | 3.9 | 2.6 | -0.2 | -15.1 | -63.5 |
| 2. Public entities | 1.9 | 10.9 | 7.7 | 23.4 | 20.4 | -52.3 |
| 3. Banking sector | 13.0 | -5.5 | 42.0 | -5.1 | 25.0 | 17.6 |
| III. Other | -1.0 | -4.2 | -14.6 | -11.6 | 1.3 | -57.4 |
| Variation in the monetary base | 22.7 | 23.4 | 57.6 | 85.8 | 67.5 | 96.3 |

Source: Central Bank of Paraguay.
${ }_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ Increase in dollars, valued at the average implicit exchange rate for the net international reserve at the end of each year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Result of dividing the net international reserve balances in national currency of the Central Bank of Paraguay by the balances in dollars. ${ }^{c}$ Represents the effective variation in the average exchange rate, plus the effect of the differentials of the exchange rates and the variations in the local-currency valuation of net international assets.

Table 27

## PARAGUAY: CREDIT PROGRAMME, SECTORAL ALLOCATION OF CREDIT TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR

|  | Year-end balance in millions of guaraníes |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Commercial banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 160.7 | 203.5 | 260 | 374.8 | 36.7 | 26.7 | 27.8 | 44.2 |
| Agriculture | 58.5 | 67.6 | 92.5 | 128.8 | 211.5 | 15.6 | 36.8 | 39.2 |
| Crop farming | 53.5 | 58.2 | 81.1 | 112.9 | 346.8 | 8.9 | 39.3 | 39.2 |
| Stock raising | 5.0 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 15.9 | -26.1 | 87.0 | 21.0 | 39.5 |
| Industry | 31.9 | 44.9 | 53.0 | 68.6 | -8.5 | 40.9 | 18.0 | 29.4 |
| Manufacturing | 31.8 | 44.8 | 52.9 | 67.6 | -8.5 | 41.0 | 18.0 | 27.8 |
| Construction | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 | 32.2 | 4.7 | 24.5 | 900.0 |
| Commerce (domestic) | 50.6 | 64.6 | 86.2 | 120.6 | 15.3 | 27.7 | 33.4 | 39.9 |
| Exports | 14.2 | 17.8 | 15.9 | 40 | -5.8 | 24.9 | -10.5 | 151.6 |
| Other | 5.5 | 8.6 | 12.4 | 16.8 | 10.9 | 57.7 | 44.2 | 35.5 |
| National Development Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 64.0 | 74.0 | 89.1 | 120.1 | 38.1 | 15.5 | 20.5 | 34.8 |
| Agriculture | 50.7 | 59.6 | 69.4 | 94.9 | 42.1 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 36.7 |
| Crop farming | 49.0 | 57.7 | 67 | 91.7 | 42.7 | 17.7 | 16.1 | 36.9 |
| Stock raising | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 27.7 | 10.7 | 29.2 | 33.3 |
| Industry | 9.4 | 11.6 | 14 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 23.5 | 20.2 | 47.9 |
| Manufacturing | 9.4 | 11.6 | 14 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 23.5 | 20.2 | 47.9 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commerce (domestic) | 3.3 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 56.1 | -35.8 | 148.0 | -21.2 |
| Exports | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | $\stackrel{-}{7}$ | 7.1 | 22.1 | -76.8 | - |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | -9.1 | -19.8 | 75.9 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 267.1 | 333.2 | 422.3 | 594.3 | 49.3 | 24.7 | 26.7 | 40.7 |
| Agriculture | 125.1 | 146.7 | 188.2 | 262.5 | 80.3 | 17.3 | 28.3 | 39.5 |
| Crop farming | 102.6 | 116.0 | 148.1 | 204.6 | 121.0 | 13.1 | 27.6 | 38.1 |
| Stock raising | 22.5 | 30.7 | 40.1 | 57.9 | -2.1 | 36.4 | 30.7 | 44.4 |
| Industry | 67.8 | 92.8 | 113.9 | 149.9 | 58.1 | 36.8 | 22.8 | 31.6 |
| Manufacturing | 41.4 | 56.6 | 66.9 | 88.3 | -3.5 | 36.8 | 18.1 | 32.0 |
| Construction | 26.4 | 36.1 | 47 | 61.6 |  | 36.7 | 30.0 | 31.1 |
| Commerce (domestic) | 53.9 | 66.7 | 91.4 | 124.7 | 17.1 | 23.8 | 37.0 | 36.4 |
| Exports | 14.6 | 18.2 | 16 | 40 | -5.5 | 24.8 | -12.1 | 150.0 |
| Other | 5.7 | 8.8 | 12.8 | 17.2 | 9.6 | 54.2 | 45.4 | 34.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of '.Paraguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes the Livestock Fund Includes the savings and loan system for housing.

Table 28
PARAGUAY: MONETARY BALANCE

|  | End-year balances (millions of ' guaraníes) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Monetary base | 201113 | 286885 | 354300 | 450700 | 40.1 | 42.6 | 23.5 | 27.2 |
| Money (Mi) | 151918 | 233297 | 313700 | 425100 | 27.8 | 53.6 | 34.5 | 35.5 |
| Currency outside banks | 84475 | 119565 | 149094 | 216192 | 34.9 | 41.5 | 24.7 | 45.0 |
| Demand deposits | 67443 | 113732 | 164578 | 208900 | 19.9 | 68.6 | 44.7 | 26.9 |
| Factors of expansion (net) | 331489 | 450534 | 542359 | 869900 | 27.6 | 35.9 | 20.4 | 60.4 |
| International reserves (net) | 140583 | 225124 | 263479 | 655400 | 23.7 | 60.1 | 17.0 | 148.7 |
| Other net external operations | -19 108 | -20 082 | -19774 | -29 500 | 14.8 | 5.1 | -1.5 | 49.2 |
| Domestic credit (net) | 210014 | 245492 | 298654 | 245000 | 28.9 | 16.9 | 21.7 | -18.0 |
| Public sector | 54394 | 77066 | 76699 | -46600 | 22.0 | 41.7 | -0.5 | -160.8 |
| Central government | 26937 | 26666 | 11625 | -51900 | 10.5 | -1.0 | -56.4 | -546.5 |
| Credits | 40396 | 50101 | 60684 | 58100 | 20.7 | 24.0 | 21.1 | -4.3 |
| Deposits | 13459 | 23435 | 49059 | 110000 | 48.1 | 74.1 | 109.3 | 124.2 |
| Rest of public sector | 27457 | 50400 | 65074 | 6300 | 36.0 | 83.6 | 29.1 | -90.3 |
| Credits | 35211 | 61591 | 80946 | 78800 | 27.6 | 74.9 | 31.4 | -2.7 |
| Deposits | 7754 | 11191 | 15872 | 72500 | 4.7 | 44.3 | 41.8 | 356.8 |
| Private sector | 224406 | 276494 | 349916 | 494300 | 37.3 | 23.2 | 26.6 | 41.3 |
| Other items (net) | -68786 | -108 068 | -127 961 | -203 700 | 52.4 | 57.1 | 18.4 | 59.2 |
| Quasi-money (M2) | 179571 | 217237 | 228687 | 444800 | 27.3 | 21.0 | 5.3 | 94.5 |
| In national currency | 164867 | 200628 | 210707 | 266400 | 24.4 | 21.7 | 5.0 | 26.4 |
| Time deposits | 134393 | 173210 | 182959 | 239400 | 28.0 | 28.9 | 5.6 | 30.8 |
| Other deposits | 30474 | 27418 | 27748 | 27000 | 10.8 | -10.0 | 1.2 | -2.7 |
| In foreign currency | 14704 | 16609 | 17980 | 178400 | 73.0 | 13.0 | 8.3 | 892.2 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mi} /$ Monetary base | 75.5 | 81.3 | 88.5 | 94.3 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/Monetary base | 164.8 | 157.0 | 153.1 | 193.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Coefficients of liquidity ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP |  | 7.8 | 8.4 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/GDP |  | 16.4 | 15.5 | 14.4 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Central Bank of Paraguay.
${ }_{3}$ Preliminary figures. Eiqquals share of foreign-currency quasi-money in M 2 . Calculated on the basis of the average monthly balances of Mi and M2.

## PERU

The domestic recession which had hit the Peruvian economy the year before increased in severity in $1989(-11 \%)$, bringing down the per capita product to a level $25 \%$ below that recorded at the start of the 1980s and prompting a further decrease in gross national income. At the same time, the rate of price increases remained high throughout the year, with a 12 -month inflation rate as of December of $2800 \%$, while real wages and salaries dropped to just half of their already low 1988 level.

The slowdown in economic activity led to a drop in imports and permitted the country to generate a larger supply of exportables. The result was such a sharp turnaround in the trade balance of goods and services that the country marked up a record surplus of US $\$ 1.15$ billion, whereas in 1988 it had registered a deficit of almost US\$510 million.

The jump in the variation between average annual price levels (from $670 \%$ to $3500 \%$ ) reduced the money supply by half in real terms as the monetary authorities pursued a policy aimed at curbing the expansion of the means of payment. This, in turn, resulted in a decrease in the tax burden as measured in terms of GDP and limited the public sector's possibilities of resorting to inflationary financing, thereby forcing it to make deep cuts in spending (see table 1 and figure 1).

Fiscal and financial imbalances and distortions in the system of relative prices, which had been a problem since 1986, were exacerbated by frequent changes in economic policy, the persistence of terrorism and the approach of Peru's presidential elections. The continued downturn in expectations was one of the factors that helped to perpetuate the high monthly inflation rates which had been generated by the September 1988 adjustment. In their turn, these rates, which fluctuated between $23 \%$ and $49 \%$, reinforced the
recessionary process set in motion by the steep drop in domestic demand.

The failure to raise nominal wages and salaries enough to adjust them fully for inflation and the increase in unemployment caused total wages to plummet, resulting in a severe decrease in private consumption. Since public expenditure also decreased in real terms, total consumption shrank by around $16 \%$. Both public and private gross capital formation fell even more, owing to the gloomy economic outlook, the tense social and political situation and the financial constraints affecting State enterprises.

The contraction of domestic demand was reflected in a marked decline in the volume of imports. At the same time, a significant upturn was seen in the volume of agricultural and mining exports and the country's exports of manufactures grew considerably, thanks to the fact that some of the domestic-market surpluses generated by the slump in internal demand were sold on external markets. The expansion in the volume of exports was accompanied by a slight rise in the prices brought by the Peru's main export products, but the terms of trade for goods nevertheless worsened somewhat due to an increase in the unit value of imports (see table 2).

Since the value of exports rose substantially while the value of imports plunged, the 1989 trade balance yielded a surplus, after having shown a deficit in each of the three preceding years. The deficit on current account was thus the smallest of the entire decade, even if the book value of the interest due on the external debt principal, which the country ceased to service in 1984, is included in the calculations.

The net outward transfer of resources was negative after having been positive during the previous three years, and the surplus of over US\$900 million recorded on the global external balance made it possible for Peru to build up

Figure 1

## PERU: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS



Figure 1 (concluded)
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its net international reserves to more than US\$600 million.

The recession continued to have an especially strong effect on secondary sectors supplying the domestic market, whose level of activity appears to have touched bottom midway through the year, after which it began to show signs of a recovery during the final quarter. In 1989, however, the recession also spread to the agricultural sector, which was hurt by a dwindling supply of credit in real terms and by difficulties in obtaining imported inputs. The fishery sector was the only activity that continued to expand, although the mining sector did make a partial recovery thanks to the resumption of normal operations at the country's metal ore mines following a long strike in 1988.

The informal sector of the economy continued to expand. This led, both directly and indirectly, to a decrease in tax receipts, as well as heightening the distortions arising in the measurement of macroeconomic variables, production, employment, remunerations, external trade and exchange operations, etc., since these measurements cover only the formal sector.

The steep drop in production for the domestic market and the growth of the informal sector reduced the level of taxable corporate profits, while the speed-up in inflation further eroded tax revenues. The indexation of tax debts, which was instituted in order to mitigate the effect of delays in their collection, failed to prevent government income from falling below $5 \%$ of GDP. Since, in addition, the monetary base shrank in real terms, revenues from the so-called "inflation tax" also decreased sharply.

All of these factors forced the Government to cut its spending even further; nevertheless, the reduction was not large enough to prevent the Government's financial deficit from deepening. The non-financial public sector's deficit did diminish, however, thanks to the improved performance of public enterprises. These firms sharply cut back not only their wage bills and investment, even in restocking, but also their outlays for maintenance, which resulted in a deterioration in public services.

The acceleration of inflation dampened the demand for money, with the result that the ratio
between Mi and the GDP fell to just slightly more than one-half its 1988 level (from 10\% to $5.5 \%$ ). Additions to the money supply came chiefly from the increases in international reserves and in agricultural credit. Although the preference for hoarding foreign exchange continued, the temporary rise in real interest rates on deposits attracted small savers to the formal financial system, thereby prompting an increase in quasi-money in real terms. Nonetheless, as a reflection of the very limited coverage of the formal financial intermediation system, the ratio between total liquidity (M2) and the gross domestic product was the lowest to be recorded in the 1980s.

This trend was related to the fact that the steps taken in September 1988 to correct what had by then become inordinately severe economic disequilibria were both insufficient and had come too late. These measures were supplemented in November with others which, as was also true of those adopted in September, were part of a gradualistic approach to the problem. Be that as it may, by that time the country's zigzagging economic policy had caused economic agents to lose faith in government action.

In an attempt to check inflationary expectations, early in 1989 the government made an advance announcement that the exchange rate, the minimum wage and gasoline prices would be modified. However, the timetable for these readjustments was abandoned just a few weeks later, which only chipped away further at the credibility of its economic policy. Nonetheless, during the first quarter periodic readjustments in controlled prices and the exchange rate were indeed decreed, and foreign-currency operations were liberalized to some extent. Thus, there was some improvement in prices and public rates and charges in real terms, and the high levels of fiscal subsidies were reduced. This contributed to such a significant decrease in the public-sector deficit that during this period the need for internal financing was virtually eliminated.

The corrections made in controlled prices and the enormous jump in the cost of education seen in March and April, which was to some extent attributable to changes in the method of measurement, brought the average monthly
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
rate of inflation for the first four months of the year to $45 \%$, while the average monthly rate for the preceding four months had been over $50 \%$. In consequence, real wages and salaries fell by $60 \%$ during the 12 -month period ending in April. This severe reduction in purchasing power had a serious impact on production for the domestic market.

The increase in inflation and the deepening of the recession during the first quarter were accompanied by a $6.5 \%$ decrease in employment in the Lima metropolitan area; in the manufacturing sector, the drop was more than $11 \%$.

Meanwhile, the trade balance began to respond favourably. The recession's depressive effect on the volume of imports was strengthened in February when the parallel exchange rate began to be applied to almost all of the imports which had until then been valued at the official exchange rate. As for exports, in the early months of the year there was a widespread increase in the volume of external
sales coupled with an upward trend in the prices of copper, petroleum and coffee. In addition, at the same time that the average exchange rate for exports improved, the $6 \%$ tax on exports was lifted and imports of inputs for use by firms which exported manufactures were exempted from tariffs.

As a reflection of these trends and policy decisions, the foreign exchange market experienced a steady expansion of supply and a decline in imports. Consequently, the various exchange rates in existence began to converge, with a steep drop being observed in the real value of the dollar on the parallel market, which also received an exceptionally large inflow of foreign exchange. Thus, by March the differential between the average official and parallel exchange rates was less than $10 \%$.

The monetary base was not subject to any expansionary pressure from the public sector during this period, since its demand for credit was negligible. Instead, the increase in primary money came mainly from external sources. In
the financial system, credit to the private sector grew in real terms, thus potentially pressuring the foreign exchange market, since real interest rates on deposits continued to be markedly negative despite the nominal readjustment made in March. Expectations of an increase in the exchange rate were finally borne out in May.

A changeover of economic authorities in April brought with it a shift in the direction of economic policy. One particularly notable change was that the rate of price adjustments in staple foods and public services slowed considerably. As a result of this and of the inflow òf foreign exchange, which kept the parallel exchange rate low in real terms, the
rate of inflation between May and November fell to a monthly average of $25 \%$. However, the real decrease in prices and public rates and charges made it necessary for the Government to resume granting subsidies to State enterprises, which added to the public deficit. Owing to the steep decrease in real tax revenues and in income from other domestic sources, in combination with the insufficient supply of external financing, the Treasury was forced to take out loans from the Central Reserve Bank.

The export boom was the factor that allowed the country to build up its net international reserves, which continued to climb until November, when they reached US $\$ 745$ million.

Figure 3
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Source: ECLAC, on the basta of official figures.

Figure 4
PERU: MEANS OF PAYMENT (M1)
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Their expansion prompted a turnabout in government policy midway through the year, based on the hypothesis that the country's reserves could be used to buttress a reactivation of the economy. Accordingly, an attempt was made to return part of their lost purchasing power to workers by raising wages by more than the inflation rates of the preceding months. In September the authorities once again resorted to an overvaluation of the inti and in October they authorized the reapplication of the official exchange rate to most imports and lowered the interest rate on loans.

These measures succeeded in boosting production slightly, but at the cost of a rapid expansion in imports over and above the increased purchasing undertaken by the public sector in order to ensure the availability of needed supplies for the population. Since at the same time the growth rate of exports slackened, the trade balance was considerably worse in the fourth quarter and, as a result, net international reserves began to fall off sharply towards the end of the year.

The expansion of the monetary base during the first two quarters was chiefly a consequence of the growth of export earnings, although in the second quarter the flow of credit to the public sector also began to be a factor in this respect. In the final quarter, external additions to the stock of money were virtually nil, owing to the impact which the unfavourable trade balance had on the exchange situation. The main factors of monetary expansion during the last quarter were credit flows to the public sector and to agriculture.

The nominal interest rate, which was readjusted in March, continued to be negative
in real terms during the following two months. After the monthly rate of inflation began to drop in May, however, this situation was reversed and the average nominal interest rate stayed quite close to the rate of price increases up to November. This acted as an incentive for local-currency savings and time deposits, which rose by $27 \%$ in real terms during the year. As the parallel market rate remained low, in the second and third quarters the rate of return on local-currency deposits was higher than that of dollar holdings.

The severity of the recession and the fact that the interest rate was so close to the rate of inflation choked off the demand for credit to almost nothing midway through the second quarter, throwing some financial institutions into serious operational difficulties. At this point the Government authorized the banking system to deposit up to $25 \%$ of its callable reserves in national bonds, which pay a positive real rate of interest. This allowed the Government to sell securities to the banks while at the same time providing them with higher profits, although at the cost of releasing bank reserves. Nevertheless, this expedient will certainly place a heavy financial burden on fiscal accounts in the future, since the face value of these bonds is indexed to domestic inflation.

Be this as it may, the slowdown in the expansion of liquid assets in nominal terms which occurred during the second half of the year helped to ease the pressure on the parallel exchange rate, and the average real parallel rate for 1989 was thus not even one-half as high as it had been the year before.

Table 1
PERU: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Index $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Basic economic indicators Gross domestic product at

| market prices | 104.6 | 92.0 | 96.5 | 98.6 | 107.2 | 115.8 | 106.5 | 94.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross national income | 100.1 | 89.3 | 92.0 | 92.6 | 103.6 | 115.2 | 106.5 | 93.9 |
| Population (in millions of inhabitants) | 18.2 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 20.7 | 21.3 | 21.8 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 99.3 | 85.1 | 86.9 | 86.6 | 91.8 | 96.7 | 86.7 | 75.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-financial public-sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| deficit/GDP | 9.3 | 9.8 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 5.3 |
| Money (MI)/GDP | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 1.9 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 6.6 | 89.1 | 88.9 | 105.5 | 88.1 | 78.1 | 87.4 | 54.7 |
| Unemployment rate cc | 9.0 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 |  |

Growth rates

| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross domestic product | 0.3 | -12.0 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 8.0 | -8.0 | -10.9 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -2.3 | -14.3 | 2.2 | -0.4 | 6.0 | 5.3 | -10.3 | -13.1 |
| Gross national income | -2.2 | -10.8 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 11.9 | 11.2 | -7.6 | -11.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer prices | 72.9 | 125.1 | 111.5 | 158.3 | 62.9 | 114.5 | 1 | 722.3 |
| $\quad$December to December | 9.2 | -14.4 | -8.0 | -8.4 | 22.0 | 3.9 | -25.2 | -4.3 |
| Real salaries <br> Real wages | 2.2 | -16.6 | -15.3 | -15.2 | 30.4 | 7.9 | -27.1 | -43.7 |
| Current value of exports of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and services <br> Current value of imports of goods <br> and services <br> Terms of trade (goods and services) | 1.4 | -8.6 | 2.5 | -0.8 | -11.2 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 22.2 |
|  | -1.4 | -23.5 | -17.8 | -7.9 | 34.5 | 20.6 | -7.3 | -19.9 |

External sector

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | -743 | 39 | 787 | 996 | -394 | -941 | -508 | 1150 |
| Factor services | 1034 | 1132 | 1223 | 1132 | 1007 | 1017 | 1226 | 1273 |
| Balance on current account | -1776 | -1091 | -437 | -136 | -1402 | -1959 | -1734 | -123 |
| Balance on capital account | 1688 | 1058 | 692 | 296 | 1113 | 1295 | 1766 | 1051 |
| Variation in international |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reserves | -57 | 10 | 318 | 98 | -436 | -850 | -74 | 928 |
| Total external debt | 11465 | 12445 | 13338 | 13721 | 14477 | 15373 | 16493 | 16720 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures. Percentages. In the Lima metropolitan area.

Table 2
PERU: GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes $(1980=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{*}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross national income <br> $(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})$ | 115.2 | 106.5 | 93.9 | 95.6 | 95.0 | 95.5 | 94.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Gross domestic product | 115.8 | 106.5 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect <br> c) Net external factor <br> income |  |  |  | - | -0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| d) Net private transfers <br> from abroad | 117.3 | 131.8 | 128.7 | -4.4 | -4.5 | -5.5 | -6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
PERU: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total supply | 116.5 | 106.0 | 92.1 | 119.3 | 115.8 | 12.5 | 9.5 | -9.0 | -13.1 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 115.8 | 106.5 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 | -8.0 | -10.9 |
| Imports of goods and services | 119.7 | 103.4 | 77.9 | 19.3 | 15.8 | 38.5 | 17.7 | -13.6 | -24.7 |
| Total demand | 116.5 | 106.0 | 92.1 | 119.3 | 115.8 | 12.5 | 9.5 | -9.0 | -13.1 |
| Domestic demand | 124.0 | 113.6 | 93.3 | 96.8 | 95.2 | 19.2 | 12.9 | -8.4 | -17.9 |
| Gross domestic investment | 93.1 | 82.5 | 63.1 | 27.5 | 18.3 | 32.8 | 28.1 | -11.4 | -23.6 |
| Gross fixed investment | 92.8 | 82.4 | 65.2 | 23.5 | 16.1 | 18.3 | 18.6 | -11.2 | -20.9 |
| Construction | 117.9 | 111.2 | 93.3 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 22.5 | 18.9 | -5.6 | -16.1 |
| Machinery and equipment | 63.7 | 49.0 | 32.6 | 10.9 | 3.7 | 10.3 | 18.0 | -23.1 | -33.5 |
| Variation in stocks | 94.9 | 83.1 | 50.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 136.3 | 126.0 | 105.3 | 69.3 | 76.9 | 16.4 | 9.4 | -7.6 | -16.5 |
| General government | 110.0 | 97.3 | 76.4 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 3.4 | 5.9 | -11.6 | -21.5 |
| Private | 141.3 | 131.5 | 110.8 | 58.1 | 67.9 | 18.7 | 10.0 | -7.0 | -15.7 |
| Exports of goods and services | 83.9 | 73.2 | 87.2 | 22.5 | 20.7 | -12.8 | -8.2 | -12.7 | 19.1 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures and data supplied by the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices which were then converted to constant 1980 figures using unit value indexes calculated by ECIAC for that purpose.

Table 4
PERU: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As a percentage of the gross domestic product)*

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 29.3 | 20.4 | 17.5 | 15.3 | 18.6 | 22.1 | 21.3 | 18.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 29.8 | 21.9 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 23.1 |
| Gross domestic saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income from factor | -4.7 | -5.9 | -63 | -5.8 | -4.9 | -4.5 | -5.5 | -6.0 |
| services | -3.8 | -1.3 | -2.6 | -4.4 | -2.8 | -0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Terms-of-trade effect | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unrequited private transfers | 21.3 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 17.7 |
| Gross national saving | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 7.7 | 0.6 |
| External saving |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
" At market prices expressed in constant 1980 dollars based on the adjusted exchange rate. Preliminary figures.

Table 5
PERU: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Gross domestic product | 115.8 | 106.5 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 | -8.0 | -10.9 |
| Goods | 111.4 | 103.4 | 93.6 | 51.3 | 50.7 | 7.9 | 7.4 | -7.2 | -9.5 |
| Agriculture | 129.6 | 139.9 | 135.8 | 10.2 | 14.6 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 7.9 | -2.9 |
| Mining | 89.6 | 74.2 | 75.4 | 15.2 | 12.0 | -4.5 | -3.0 | -17.2 | 1.7 |
| Manufacturing industry | 117.3 | 105.2 | 86.4 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 15.6 | 12.8 | -10.4 | -17.8 |
| Construction | 115.8 | 109.4 | 92.0 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 21.3 | 17.7 | -5.5 | -15.9 |
| Basic services | 121.5 | 115.9 | 104.8 | 7.5 | 83 | 9.5 | 9.7 | -4.6 | -9.6 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 131.5 | 131.5 | 122.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 17.5 | 7.8 | - | -6.6 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 120.2 | 113.9 | 102.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 10.0 | -5.3 | -10.1 |
| Other services | 124.2 | 112.7 | 99.2 | 40.5 | 423 | 9.7 | 7.7 | -93 | -12.0 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 118.5 | 104.5 | 91.1 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 14.2 | 9.9 | -11.8 | -12.8 |
| Financial establishments, insurance, real estate and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| business services | 125.6 | 116.8 | 106.5 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 8.2 | -7.0 | -8.8 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 114.6 | 116.0 | 117.3 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Community, social and personal services | 131.1 | 120.9 | 104.6 | 12.8 | 14.1 | 7.6 | 4.9 | -7.8 | -13.5 |
| Government services | 135.8 | 127.3 | 103.7 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 4.8 | -6.2 | -18.5 |
| Adjustments for banking services | 154.6 | 139.9 | 123.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 12.6 | 1.6 | -9.5 | -12.1 |
| Import duties | 86.2 | 71.1 | 47.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 12.3 | 15.5 | -17.6 | -33.5 |

[^56]Table 6
PERU: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

| Index of agricultural production $(1979=100)$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 112.1 | 117.8 | 123.6 | 119.2 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 4.9 | -3.6 |
| Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production of main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw cotton | 303.7 | 202.0 | 282.3 | 321.5 | 4.5 | -33.5 | 39.8 | 13.9 |
| Rice | 725.9 | 1168.6 | 1129.3 | 1091.5 | -17.4 | 61.0 | -3.4 | -3.3 |
| Coffee | 95.9 | 98.2 | 99.3 | 105.6 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 6.3 |
| Sugar cane | 6276.8 | 6073.9 | 5948.1 | 6332.6 | -14.4 | -3.2 | -2.1 | 6.5 |
| Beans | 53.9 | 56.8 | 52.6 | 55.8 | 16.7 | 5.4 | -7.4 | 6.1 |
| Soft maize | 231.7 | 210.6 | 263.1 | 224.5 | 9.1 | -9.1 | 24.9 | -14.7 |
| Hard maize | 644.6 | 698.6 | 645.1 | 785.3 | 32.7 | 8.4 | -7.7 | 21.7 |
| Potatoes | 1657.5 | 1707.1 | 2108.1 | 1690.5 | 6.5 | 3.0 | 23.5 | -19.8 |
| Sorghum | 37.9 | 23.8 | 31.8 | 36.6 | 64.1 | -37.2 | 33.6 | 15.1 |
| Soya | 3.9 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 85.7 | 61.5 | -4.8 | -55.0 |
| Wheat | 121.0 | 130.5 | 152.7 | 159.3 | 31.2 | 7.9 | 17.0 | 4.3 |
| Production of the main livestock products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poultry meat | 229.7 | 281.0 | 296.7 | 205.4 | 14.3 | 22.3 | 5.6 | -30.8 |
| Mutton | 16.9 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 20.0 | -1.7 | 10.1 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| Pork | 59.2 | 65.0 | 73.5 | 73.4 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 13.1 | -0.1 |
| Beef | 90.3 | 107.3 | 116.5 | 111.8 | -10.9 | 18.8 | 8.6 | -4.0 |
| Eggs | 95.1 | 97.2 | 118.2 | 95.8 | 22.1 | 2.2 | 21.6 | -19.0 |
| Fresh milk | 819.3 | 830.0 | 849.5 | 802.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | -5.6 |

Source: Ministry of Agriculture.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 7
PERU: INDICATORS OF FISHERY PRODUCTION


Source: Ministry of Fisheries.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ' Including river shrimp.

Table 8
PERU: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of mining production (1979 = 100) | 103.3 | 101J | 82.5 | 86.5 | -3.5 | -2.0 | -18.6 | 4.9 |
|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main metal-mining products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper | 397 | 406 | 298 | 364 | -0.8 | 2.3 | -26.6 | 22.1 |
| Iron ore | 4908 | 5019 | 4189 | 4399 | 2.1 | 2.3 | -16.5 | 5.0 |
| Silver ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1926 | 2055 | 1552 | 1840 | 0.6 | 6.7 | -24.5 | 18.6 |
| Lead | 194 | 204 | 149 | 192 | -7.9 | 4.9 | -27.0 | 29.1 |
| Zinc | 598 | 613 | 485 | 598 | -1.7 | 2.5 | -20.8 | 23.1 |
|  | Millions of barrels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydrocarbons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum | 64.8 | 59.7 | 51.7 | 47.6 | -5.8 | -7.8 | -13.4 | -8.0 |
| Petroleum, by production zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coast | 13.2 | 12.3 | 10.6 | 10.0 | -3.1 | -7.4 | -13.3 | -5.8 |
| Continental shelf | 9.8 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 7.5 | -3.1 | -6.0 | -6.6 | -12.4 |
| Eastern region | 41.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 30.1 | -7.2 | -8.4 | -15.1 | -7.5 |

Table 9
PERU: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | Index (1979 = 100) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total manufacturing production | 114.2 | 129.9 | 112.4 | 90.8 | 18.1 | 13.7 | -13.5 | -19.2 |
| Fish meal | 141.2 | 119.2 | 163.4 | 158.0 | 35.6 | -15.6 | 37.1 | -33 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 124.1 | 141.4 | 124.9 | 98.5 | 23.2 | 13.9 | -11.7 | -21.1 |
| Food | 106.5 | 119.1 | 115.3 | 95.4 | 11.2 | 11.8 | -3.2 | -17.3 |
| Beverages | 171.2 | 207.2 | 166.9 | 118.9 | 48.2 | 21.0 | -19.4 | -28.8 |
| Tobacco | 107.8 | 98.0 | 74.3 | 70.2 | 19.4 | -9.1 | -24.2 | -5.5 |
| Textiles and clothing | 114.6 | 124.8 | 117.6 | 105.2 | 83 | 8.9 | -5.8 | -10.5 |
| Textiles | 120.8 | 133.7 | 126.9 | 115.0 | 7.5 | 10.7 | -5.1 | -9.4 |
| Leather and footwear | 65.0 | 38.5 | 32.3 | 22.9 | 8.9 | -40.8 | -16.1 | -29.1 |
| Paper, printing and publishing | 96.8 | 114.5 | 1133 | 583 | 10.5 | 183 | -1.0 | -48.5 |
| Paper and paper products | 80.1 | 96.4 | 94.2 | 49.1 | 22.1 | 20.3 | -2.3 | -47.9 |
| Chemical products | 120.8 | 141.6 | 124.5 | 90.2 | 22.8 | 17.2 | -12.1 | -27.6 |
| Industrial chemicals | 123.2 | 137.0 | 125.1 | 91.5 | 20.2 | 11.2 | -8.7 | -26.9 |
| Other chemicals | 115.5 | 150.5 | 130.4 | 82.2 | 35.7 | 30.3 | -13.4 | -37.0 |
| Petroleum refining | 119.8 | 130.7 | 128.5 | 113.1 | 3.0 | 9.1 | -1.7 | -12.0 |
| Rubber products | 115.4 | 126.9 | 103.6 | 87.4 | 15.4 | 10.0 | -18.4 ' | -15.6 |
| Plastic products | 134.2 | 151.4 | 113.3 | 79.0 | 34.7 | 12.8 | -25.2 | -30.3 |
| Non-metallic mineral products | 1073 | 138.6 | 1343 | 87.7 | 35.1 | 29.2 | -3.1 | -34.7 |
| Glass and glass products | 110.4 | 136.0 | 126.3 | 73.9 | 43.0 | 23.2 | -7.1 | -41.5 |
| Non-metallic mineral articles | 101.1 | 137.1 | 128.3 | 86.7 | 33.6 | 35.6 | -6.4 | -32.4 |
| Basic metal products | 943 | 97.7 | 75.9 | 84.0 | -33 | 3.6 | -223 | 10.7 |
| Iron and steel | 116.8 | 140.0 | 110.5 | 81.9 | 26.0 | 19.9 | -21.1 | -25.9 |
| Non-ferrous metals | 88.6 | 86.7 | 67.0 | 84.5 | -10.2 | -2.1 | -22.7 | 26.1 |
| Metal products and machinery |  |  |  |  | 43.6 | 273 |  |  |
| Simple metal articles | 105.5 | 150.7 | 104.4 | 62.6 52.4 | 42.6 | 42.8 | -37.0 <br> -3 | -44.8 |
| Non-electrical machinery | 101.3 | 109.9 | 85.2 | 52.7 | 75.9 | 8.5 | -22.5 | -38.1 |
| Electrical machinery | 138.4 | 171.0 | 124.6 | 79.2 | 32.1 | 23.6 | -27.1 | -36.4 |
| Transport equipment | 114.8 | 146.9 | 97.3 | 55.3 | 54.7 | 28.0 | -33.8 | -43.2 |

Source: Ministry of Industry, Trade, Tourism and Integration.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Index of physical volume of production; annual averages. ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 10
PERU: TRENDS IN EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT '
(Percentages)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{D}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Metropolitan Lima |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment | 6.6 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| Underemployment | 28.0 | 33.3 | 36.8 | 42.5 | 42.7 | 34.9 | 46.5 | 73.8 |
| By income <br> By time | 24.0 | 29.5 | 33.9 | 38.5 | 38.7 | 30.8 | 42.1 | 73.2 |
| Adequately | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 0.6 |
| employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Ministry of Labour and Social Development.

* Excluding domestic workers. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 11
PERU: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -1776 | -1091 | -437 | -136 | -1402 | -1959 | -1734 | -123 |
| Trade balance | -743 | 39 | 787 | 996 | -394 | -941 | -508 | 1150 |
| Exports of goods and services | 4077 | 3728 | 3820 | 3790 | 3365 | 3591 | 3692 | 4512 |
| Goods FOB | 294 | 3017 | 3149 | 2977 | 2529 | 2661 | 2696 | 3546 |
| Real services | 783 | 711 | 671 | 813 | 835 | 931 | 996 | 966 |
| Transport and insurance | 293 | 262 | 206 | 257 | 294 | 313 | 296 | 326 |
| Travel | 252 | 209 | 209 | 300 | 324 | 316 | 388 | 360 |
| Imports of goods and services | 4820 | 3689 | 3033 | 2794 | 3759 | 4532 | 4200 | 3362 |
| Goods FOB | 3721 | 2723 | 2141 | 1808 | 2582 | 3178 | 2752 | 2029 |
| Real services | 1097 | 966 | 892 | 986 | 1177 | 1354 | 1447 | 1333 |
| Transport and insurance | 525 | 447 | 379 | 293 | 429 | 543 | 512 | 424 |
| Travel | 205 | 191 | 181 | 266 | 320 | 336 | 379 | 430 |
| Factor services | -1034 | -i 132 | -1223 | -1132 | -1007 | -1017 | -1226 | -1273 |
| Profits | -118 | -137 | -53 | -74 | -33 | -41 | -43 | -17 |
| Interest received | 109 | 115 | 158 | 133 | 94 | 67 | 43 | 73 |
| Interest paid and interest due ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -1025 | -1110 | -1328 | -1191 | -1068 | -1043 | -1226 | -1329 |
| Labour and property |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| Unrequited private transfer payments |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Balance on capital account | 1688 | 1058 | 692 | 296 | 1113 | 1295 | 1766 | 1051 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 167 | 220 | 159 | 134 | 150 | 180 | 157 | 155 |
| Long-term capital | 1136 | 1237 | -129 | -618 | -1194 | -1237 | -1255 | -871 |
| Direct investment | 48 | 38 | -88 | -1 | 20 | 32 | 44 | 35 |
| Portfolio investment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 1088 | 1199 | -41 | -617 | -1214 | -1270 | -1300 | -906 |
| Official sector | 930 | 1284 | 73 | -493 | -1145 | -1107 | -1035 | -940 |
| Loans received | 2043 | 2555 | 1513 | 902 | 493 | 335 | 251 | 300 |
| Amortization payments | -1112 | -1292 | -1441 | -1336 | -1456 | -1279 | -1149 | -1240 |
| Commercial banks |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Loans received | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Amortization payments | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other sectors | 158 | -85 | -114 | -124 | -69 | -163 | -265 | 34 |
| Loans received | 378 | 131 | 100 | 44 | 35 | 300 | 142 |  |
| Amortization payments | -221 | -217 | -214 | -168 | -104 | -463 | -409 |  |
| Short-term capital | 790 | -520 | 1215 | 1084 | 2125 | 2405 | 2973 | 2076 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 54 | 213 | 1423 | 1397 | 1983 | 1851 | 2495 | 2076 |
| Commercial banks | -279 | 55 | -7 | 20 | 12 | -39 | 15 |  |
| Other sectors | 1016 | -788 | -201 | -333 | 130 | 592 | 464 | - |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -404 | 122 | -552 | -305 | 32 | -52 | -109 | -309 |
| Global balance | -88 | -34 | 255 | 159 | -290 | -663 | 32 | 928 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 57 | -10 | -318 | -98 | 436 | 850 | -74 |  |
| Monetary gold | - | - | - | - | - | -80 | -81 |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | -22 | 32 | -22 | 23 | - | - | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | - | - | , | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 77 |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | -119 | -48 | -246 | -232 | 435 | 886 | 77 |  |
| Other assets | -65 | -43 | -28 | 84 | -27 | -71 | -27 |  |
| Use of IMF credit | 262 | 48 | -22 | 26 | 27 | 115 | -43 |  |

Source: 1982-1988, ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the International Monetary Fund; 1989, ECLAC on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes interest on overdue payments on debt principal, as calculated by ECLAC in the amount of US\$56 million in 1984, US $\$ 128$ million in 1985, US $\$ 187$ million in 1986, US $\$ 301$ million in 1987, US $\$ 452$ million in 1988 and US\$636 million in 1989. Includes loans granted and other assets and liabilities. ${ }^{e}$ Includes counterpart entries for the same years as indicated in note ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 12
PERU: MAIN INDICATORS OF FOREIGN TRADE IN GOODS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Growth rates

| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | 1.3 | -8.4 | 4.4 | -5.5 | -15.0 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 31.5 |
| Volume | 17.3 | -20.8 | 16.3 | 4.8 | -10.6 | -5.6 | -15.3 | 29.2 |
| Unit value | -13.6 | 15.6 | -10.2 | -9.8 | -5.0 | 11.5 | 19.7 | 1.8 |
| Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -2.1 | -26.8 | -21.4 | -15.6 | 42.8 | 23.1 | -13.4 | -26.3 |
| Volume | 2.5 | -24.3 | -14.8 | -9.8 | 44.6 | 20.5 | -17.6 | -28.7 |
| Unit value | -4.5 | -3.4 | -7.7 | -6.4 | -1.2 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 3.4 |
| Terms of trade | -10.0 | 19.2 | -4.0 | -3.7 |  | 8.5 | 13.6 | -1.6 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 84.2 | 79.5 | 88.7 | 89.6 | 77.1 | 78.9 | 75.9 | 96.5 |
| Volume of exports | 105.8 | 83.8 | 97.4 | 102.2 | 91.3 | 86.2 | 73.0 | 94.3 |
| Volume of imports | 121.7 | 92.2 | 78.5 | 70.9 | 102.4 | 123.5 | 101.7 | 72.5 |
| Terms of trade FOB/CIF | 79.6 | 94.8 | 91.1 | 87.7 | 84.4 | 91.5 | 104.0 | 102.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13
PERU: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total | 2531 | 2605 | 2694 | 3546 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -15.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 31.6 |
| Traditional products | 1886 | 1889 | 1938 | 2556 | 78.7 | 72.1 | -16.7 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 31.9 |
| Fish meal | 206 | 229 | 364 | 432 | 4.9 | 12.2 | 74.6 | 11.2 | 59.0 | 18.7 |
| Crop-farming products | 336 | 177 | 167 | 231 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 49.3 | -47.3 | -5.6 | 38.3 |
| Cotton | 39 | 19 | 30 | 64 | 1.8 | 1.8 | -23.5 | -51.3 | 57.9 | 113.3 |
| Sugar | 22 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 0.3 | 0.6 | -4.3 | -31.8 | 6.7 | 25.0 |
| Coffee | 275 | 143 | 121 | 147 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 82.1 | -48.0 | -15.4 | 21.5 |
| Mining products | 1034 | 1152 | 1189 | 1571 | 44.9 | 443 | -11.0 | 11.4 | 32 | 32.1 |
| Copper | 449 | 516 | 608 | 815 | 19.3 | 23.0 | -5.7 | 14.9 | 17.8 | 34.0 |
| Iron ore | 60 | 58 | 59 | 53 | 2.4 | 1.5 | -21.1 | -3.3 | 1.7 | -10.2 |
| Refined silver | 107 | 93 | 60 | 94 | 8.0 | 2.7 | -23.6 | -13.1 | -35.5 | 56.7 |
| Lead ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 172 | 251 | 202 | 215 | 9.8 | 6.1 | -14.9 | 45.9 | -19.5 | 6.4 |
| Zinc | 246 | 234 | 260 | 394 | 5.4 | 11.1 | -8.2 | -4.9 | 11.1 | 51.5 |
| Petroleum and petroleum products | 232 | 274 | 166 | 217 | 20.3 | 6.1 | -64.0 | 18.1 | -39.4 | 30.7 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 78 | 57 | 52 | 105 | 2.7 | 3.0 | -31.6 | -26.9 | -8.8 | 101.9 |
| Non-traditional products | 645 | 716 | 756 | 990 | 213 | 27.9 | -9.7 | 11.0 | 5.6 | 31.0 |
| Agricultural | 72 | 87 | 97 | 113 | 1.8 | 3.2 | -22.6 | 20.8 | 11.5 | 16.5 |
| Textiles | 232 | 257 | 258 | 337 | 5.9 | 9.5 | -4.9 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 30.6 |
| Fisheries | 111 | 103 | 96 | 130 | 3.1 | 3.7 | -10.5 | -7.2 | -6.8 | 35.4 |
| Metal products and machinery | 24 | 22 | 25 | 26 | 1.4 | 0.7 | -20.0 | -8.3 | 13.6 | 4.0 |
| Chemicals | 55 | 61 | 73 | 93 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 19.6 | 10.9 | 19.7 | 27.4 |
| Iron and steel products | 79 | 114 | 137 | 176 | 2.1 | 5.0 | -8.1 | 44.3 | 20.2 | 28.5 |
| Non-metallic minerals | 13 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 1.5 | 0.5 | -18.8 | -7.7 | 8.3 | 46.2 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 59 | 60 | 57 | 96 | 3.5 | 2.7 | -21.3 | 1.7 | -5.0 | 68.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes silver content. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes mainly gold and minor metals. Includes mainly gold and silver jewellery, lumber and paper, hides and skins and handicraft items.

Table 14

## PERU: VALUE, VOLUME AND PRICES OF MAIN EXPORT PRODUCTS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Fish meal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 118 | 206 | 229 | 364 | 432 | 11.2 | 59.0 | 18.7 |
| Volume | 508 | 716 | 742 | 825 | 1152 | 3.6 | 11.2 | 39.6 |
| Price ${ }^{0}$ | 232.6 | 287.7 | 308.2 | 441.9 | 375.5 | 7.1 | 43.4 | -15.0 |
| Cotton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 51 | 39 | 19 | 30 | 64 | -51.3 | 57.9 | 113.3 |
| Volume | 624 | 474 | 190 | 218 | 707 | -59.9 | 14.7 | 224.3 |
| Price ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 82.6 | 81.5 | 103.2 | 136.7 | 91.4 | 26.6 | 32.5 | -33.1 |
| Sugar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 23 | 22 | 15 | 16 | 20 | -31.8 | 6.7 | 25.0 |
| Volume | 64 | 55 | 33 | 36 | 43 | -40.0 | 9.1 | 19.4 |
| Price* | 16.8 | 18.4 | 20.6 | 20.2 | 20.9 | 12.0 | -1.9 | 3.5 |
| Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 151 | 275 | 143 | 121 | 147 | -48.0 | -15.4 | 21.5 |
| Volume | 60 | 74 | 71 | 49 | 76 | -4.1 | -31.0 | 55.1 |
| Price ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 115.9 | 170.8 | 92.7 | 114.3 | 88.2 | -45.7 | 23.3 | -22.8 |
| Copper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 476 | 449 | 516 | 608 | 815 | 14.9 | 17.8 | 34.0 |
| Volume | 363 | 347 | 351 | 283 | 307 | 1.2 | -19.4 | 8.5 |
| Price ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 593 | 58.7 | 66.5 | 97.3 | 120.6 | 13.3 | 46.3 | 23.9 |
| Iron ore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 76 | 60 | 58 | 59 | 53 | -3.3 | 1.7 | -10.2 |
| Volume ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2.4 | 4.7 | -11.1 |
| Price ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 14.6 | 14.4 | 13.7 | 13.1 | 13.4 | -4.9 | -4.4 | 2.3 |
| Refined silver |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 10 | 107 | 93 | 60 | 94 | -13.1 | -35.5 | 56.7 |
| Volume ' | 23 | 19 | 14 | 9 | 17 | -27.2 | -34.5 | 83.5 |
| Price ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 6.3 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 19.6 | -1.5 | -13.6 |
| Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 202 | 172 | 251 | 202 | 215 | 45.9 | -19.5 | 6.4 |
| Volume | 174 | 136 | 146 | 111 | 211 | 7.4 | -24.0 | 90.1 |
| Price ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 52.7 | 57.4 | 78.3 | 82.8 | 46.2 | 36.4 | 5.7 | -44.2 |
| Zinc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 268 | 246 | 234 | 260 | 394 | -4.9 | 11.1 | 51.5 |
| Volume | 459 | 477 | 427 | 395 | 549 | -10.5 | -7.5 | 39.0 |
| Price ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 26.4 | 23.4 | 24.9 | 29.9 | 32.6 | 6.4 | 20.1 | 9.0 |
| Petroleum and petroleum products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 645 | 232 | 274 | 166 | 217 | 18.1 | -39.4 | 30.7 |
| Volume" | 27.1 | 21.6 | 17.8 | 15.5 | 15.2 | -17.6 | -12.9 | -1.9 |
| Price' | 23.9 | 10.8 | 15.4 | 10.7 | 14.2 | 42.6 | -30.5 | 32.7 |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values in millions of dollars and volumes in thousands of tons, unless otherwise indicated; prices as indicated.
${ }^{b}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {c }}$ Dollars per ton. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Thousands of quintals. ${ }^{e}$ Dollars per quintal. ' U.S. cents per pound. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Millions of long ton. Dollars per long ton. ${ }^{1}$ Millions of troy ounces. * Dollars per troy ounce. ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Millions of barrels. ' Dollars per barrel.

Table 15
PERU: IMPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions de dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 3068 | 2750 | 2029 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43.7 | 18.2 | -10.4 | -26.2 |
| Public sector | 1027 | 960 | 834 | 43.8 | 41.1 | 14.5 | 27.6 | -6.5 | -13.1 |
| Private sector | 2041 | 1790 | 1195 | 56.2 | 58.9 | 62.4 | 14.0 | -12.3 | -33.2 |
| Consumer goods | 405 | 276 | 248 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 237.5 | 7.1 | -31.9 | -10.1 |
| Public sector | 153 | 127 | 119 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 1190.9 | 7.7 | -17.0 | -6.3 |
| Private sector | 252 | 149 | 129 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 133.7 | 6.8 | -40.9 | -13.4 |
| Intermediate goods | 1450 | 1584 | 1107 | 37.9 | 54.6 | 47.7 | 16.7 | 9.2 | -30.1 |
| Public sector | 497 | 638 | 526 | 13.6 | 25.9 | 16.9 | 43.6 | 28.4 | -17.6 |
| Private sector | 953 | 946 | 581 | 24.3 | 28.6 | 64.4 | 6.4 | -0.7 | -38.6 |
| Capital goods | 923 | 687 | 520 | 35.2 | 25.6 | 36.4 | 21.3 | -25.6 | -24.3 |
| Public sector | 143 | 66 | 105 | 13.8 | 5.2 | -6.5 | -9.5 | -53.8 | 59.1 |
| Private sector | 780 | 621 | 415 | 21.4 | 20.5 | 55.0 | 29.4 | -20.4 | -33.2 |
| Miscellaneous items and adjustments | 290 | 203 | 154 | 14.4 | 7.6 | -27.1 | 34.9 | -30.0 | -24.1 |
| Public sector | 234 | 129 | 84 | 12.4 | 4.1 | -30.0 | 47.2 | -44.9 | -34.9 |
| Private sector | 56 | 74 | 70 | 2.0 | 3.4 | -17.6 | - | 32.1 | -5.4 |
| Imports of principal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| foodstuffs ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 414 | 418 | 359 | 13.3 | 17.7 | 89.2 | 7.3 | 1.0 | -14.1 |
| Wheat | 92 | 111 | 140 | 4.6 | 6.9 | 9.6 | -19.3 | 20.7 | 26.1 |
| Maize and sorghum | 36 | 55 | 23 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 9.1 | 52.8 | -58.2 |
| Dairy products | 60 | 53 | 35 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 127.3 | 20.0 | -11.7 | -34.0 |
| Soya | 50 | 99 | 33 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 21.2 | 25.0 | 98.0 | -66.7 |
| Meat | 81 | 45 | 11 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 453.8 | 12.5 | -44.4 | -75.6 |
| Rice | 36 | 5 | 68 | 3.0 | 3.4 |  | 16.1 | -86.1 | 1260.0 |
| Sugar | 59 | 50 | 49 | 1.0 | 2.4 |  | 28.3 | -15.3 | -2.0 |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Defence matériel, non-monetary gold and other imports. " Items included under consumer or intermediate goods, as applicable.

Table 16
PERU: EXCHANGE RATES
(Yearly and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange$\begin{aligned} & \text { rates } \\ & \text { (intis per } \\ & \text { dollar) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Index of real effective exchange rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$$(1985=100)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Exports |  |  | Imports |  |
|  |  |  |  | A | B | C | A | B |
| 1970-1979 |  |  |  | 73.3 | 86.8 |  | 75.3 | 89.3 |
| 1980-1989 |  |  |  | 81.2 | 95.5 | 77.5 | 81.1 | 95.0 |
| 1980 |  | 0.29 |  | 94.8 | 106.1 | 77.0 | 99.5 | 111.4 |
| 1981 |  | 0.42 |  | 82.4 | 87.4 | 62.6 | 84.6 | 89.6 |
| 1982 |  | 0.70 |  | 73.7 | 89.5 | 56.6 | 74.6 | 90.6 |
| 1983 |  | 1.63 |  | 84.5 | 92.2 | 72.6 | 85.1 | 92.8 |
| 1984 |  | 3.47 |  | 84.3 | 87.5 | 77.9 | 85.5 | 88.8 |
| 1985 |  | 10.97 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 |  | 14.59 |  | 83.5 | 91.3 | 67.1 | 82.9 | 90.7 |
| 1987 | 21.8 |  | 20.4 | 74.0 | 95.2 | 56.7 | 68.5 | 87.8 |
| 1988 | 156.9 |  | 176.8 | 82.9 | 116.6 | 90.9 | 73.7 | 102.3 |
| 1989 | 3483 |  | 3461 | 51.9 | 89.0 | 113.7 | 57.0 | 96.5 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 17.0 |  | 16.9 | 75.0 | 89.1 | 56.2 | 73.6 | 87.4 |
| II | 18.7 |  | 18.2 | 71.7 | 89.1 | 56.6 | 68.7 | 85.3 |
| III | 22.1 |  | 19.8 | 69.9 | 92.9 | 53.4 | 61.6 | 81.9 |
| IV | 29.4 |  | 26.5 | 79.4 | 109.6 | 60.7 | 70.1 | 96.7 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 44.1 |  | 36.9 | 85.3 | 122.6 | 65.8 | 70.0 | 100.5 |
| II | 74.0 |  | 44.5 | 95.8 | 142.9 | 82.9 | 56.6 | 84.6 |
| III | 152.4 |  | 141.4 | 83.7 | 112.0 | 95.0 | 77.5 | 103.6 |
| IV | 357.1 |  | 484.3 | 66.8 | 88.9 | 120.0 | 90.6 | 120.5 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 1031 |  | 1209 | 67.1 | 87.9 | 135.9 | 79.6 | 104.2 |
| II | 2083 |  | 2343 | 50.7 | 91.1 | 118.0 | 60.5 | 108.7 |
| III | 3414 |  | 3580 | 42.7 | 85.5 | 103.8 | 44.6 | 89.3 |
| IV | 7407 |  | 6713 | 47.1 | 91.5 | 97.0 | 43.1 | 83.7 |

Source: BCLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of Peru and the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
Note: The following indexes were used for purposes of deflation: A: The consumer price index. B: Domestic products sub-index of the wholesale price index. C: Average wages in the Lima metropolitan area.
" Corresponds to the average of the indexes of the real exchange rate for the inti vis-à-vis the currencies of Peru's main trading partners, weighted according to the relative significance of exports to or imports from these countries, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980 these weightings correspond to the average for those same years, whereas from 1980 on they correspond to the average for the period 1983-1987. These calculations were made primarily on the basis of wholesale price indexes. For information on the methodology used, see the statistical appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981. From 1987 onward the weighted average exchange rates for exports and imports are shown. These rates were used to calculate the respective indexes of the real effective exchange rate.

Table 17

## PERU: EXCHANGE RATE ACCORDING TO CATEGORY OF TRANSACTION

(Intis per dollar, quarterly averages)

19881989
II III IV II III IV


Table 18
PERU: INTERNATIONAL RESERVES OF THE BANKING SYSTEM
(Millions of dollars)

| Year and quarter | International assets |  |  |  | International liabilities |  |  | Net international reserves | Net international reserves as a percentage of imports of goods and real services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gold ${ }^{8}$ | SDR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Foreign currency assets ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | IMF | Other ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Total |  |  |
| 1980 | 432 | 44 | 2079 | 2555 | 561 | 716 | 1277 | 1278 | 27.6 |
| 1981 | 432 | 40 | 1351 | 1823 | 445 | 606 | 1051 | 772 | 19.2 |
| 1982 | 432 | 61 | 1536 | 2029 | 707 | 426 | 1133 | 896 | 22.0 |
| 1983 | 432 | 27 | 1616 | 2075 | 698 | 521 | 1219 | 856 | 23.0 |
| 1984 | 432 | 46 | 1810 | 2288 | 690 | 495 | 1185 | 1103 | 28.9 |
| 1985 | 451 | 51 | 1972 | 2474 | 727 | 364 | 1091 | 1383 | 36.5 |
| 1986 | 469 | 56 | 1583 | 2108 | 755 | 487 | 1242 | 866 | 25.7 |
| 1987 | 545 | 65 | 861 | 1471 | 870 | 520 | 1390 | 81 | 2.3 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 459 | 66 | 683 | 1208 | 852 | 533 | 1385 | -177 |  |
| II | 456 | 61 | 647 | 1164 | 810 | 629 | 1439 | -275 |  |
| III | 582 | 60 | 691 | 1333 | 796 | 855 | 1651 | -318 |  |
| $\mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 619 | 62 | 767 | 1448 | 827 | 968 | 1795 | -347 | -8.6 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 627 | 60 | 1022 | 1709 | 798 | 962 | 1760 | -51 |  |
| II | 666 | 58 | 1230 | 1954 | 770 | 838 | 1608 | 346 |  |
| III | 625 | 59 | 1430 | 2114 | 784 | 681 | 1465 | 649 |  |
| $\mathrm{IV}^{\text {e }}$ | 703 | 61 | 1318 | 2082 | 792 | 679 | 1471 | 611 | 13.5 |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Gold held bybanks, either in vault or in deposits abroad, and the country's gold contribution to the International Monetary Fund (IMF), at a book value below the market price. ${ }^{b}$ Special Drawing Rights. ${ }^{c}$ Foreign-currency demand and term deposits in foreign correspondent banks and other international assets such as World Bank bonds, contributions to the Andean Reserve Fund and deposits in local banks.

Mainly short-term liabilities with foreign banks and balances with the Latin American Integration Association (ALADI). Preliminary figures.

Table 19
PERU: EXTERNAL DEBT
(Millions of dollars at end of period)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total external debt | 11465 | 12445 | 13338 | 13721 | 14477 | 15373 | 16493 | 16720 |
| Medium/long-term | 9197 | 10925 | 11976 | 12629 | 13200 | 14017 | 14744 | 15243 |
| Public sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6825 | 8256 | 9648 | 10462 | 11068 | 11747 | 12465 | 12965 |
| Central Reserve Bank | 707 | 1089 | 862 | 825 | 788 | 870 | 827 | 792 |
| Private sector | 1665 | 1580 | 1466 | 1342 | 1344 | 1400 | 1452 | 1486 |
| Short-term | 2268 | 1520 | 1362 | 1092 | ,1277 | 1356 | 1749 | 1477 |
| Public and private enterprises | 1842 | 1134 | 978 | 760 | 789 | 837 | 781 | 831 |
| Banking system | 426 | 386 | 384 | 332 | 488 | 519 | 968 | 646 |
| Central Reserve Bank | 39 | 23 | 66 | 63 | 148 | 217 | 650 | 330 |
| Banco de la Nación | 178 | 255 | 212 | 143 | 157 | 169 | 182 | 177 |
| Other banks | 209 | 108 | 106 | 126 | 183 | 133 | 136 | 139 |
| Medium/long-term public external debt by creditor source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6825 | 8256 | 9648 | 10462 | 11068 | 11747 | 12465 | 12965 |
| Official agencies and governments | 1195 | 1321 | 1508 | 1793 | 1942 | 2070 | 2281 | 2491 |
| International agencies | 949 | 1106 | 1305 | 1426 | 1530 | 1725 | 1908 | 2070 |
| Socialist countries | 925 | 1076 | 1070 | 1026 | 987 | 1022 | 1040 | 959 |
| Suppliers | 1770 | 2347 | 2793 | 3107 | 3347 | 3544 | 3759 | 3910 |
| International banking system | 986 | 2406 | 2972 | 3110 | 3262 | 3386 | 3477 | 3535 |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Prellimmimany figures. Up to 1982, includes adjustment for variations in the exchange rates of foreign currencies.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Central government and public enterprises. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Commercial and financial credits with or without banking system guarantee.

Table 20
PERU: EXTERNAL DEBT SERVICE ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service on total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| debt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2107 | 1336 | 2889 | 2708 | 2616 | 2692 | 2370 | 2077 |
| Paid ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2107 | 1336 | 1204 | 1031 | 744 | 568 | 308 | 429 |
| Not paid | - | - | 1685 | 1677 | 1872 | 2124 | 2062 | 1648 |
| Principal | 1166 | 525 | 1655 | 1497 | 1558 | 1700 | 1555 | 1291 |
| Paid | 1166 | 525 | 521 | 441 | 221 | 116 | 43 | 79 |
| Not paid | - | - | 1134 | 1056 | 1337 | 1584 | 1512 | 1212 |
| Interest | 1496 | 750 | 1161 | 984 | 799 | 664 | 684 | 598 |
| Paid ${ }^{6}$ | 941 | 811 | 610 | 363 | 264 | 172 | 134 | 162 |
| Not paid | - | - | 551 | 621 | 535 | 540 | 550 | 436 |
| Regularizations |  |  | 73 | 227 | 236 | 279 | 131 | 188 |
| Public sector | 1496 | 750 | 2348 | 2294 | 2295 | 2386 | 2149 | 1832 |
| Paid ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1496 | 750 | 663 | 617 | 495 | 422 | 158 | 225 |
| Not paid | - | - | 1685 | 1677 | 1800 | 1964 | 1991 | 1607 |
| Principal | 945 | 308 | 1441 | 1329 | 1453 | 1591 | 1492 | 1240 |
| Paid | 945 | 308 | 307 | 273 | 154 | 79 | 14 | 36 |
| Not paid | - | - | 1134 | 1056 | 1299 | 1512 | 1478 | 1204 |
| Interest | 551 | 442 | 834 | 738 | 606 | 516 | 526 | 425 |
| Paid | 551 | 442 | 283 | 117 | 105 | 64 | 13 | 22 |
| Not paid | - | - | 551 | 621 | 501 | 452 | 513 | 403 |
| Regularizations |  |  | 73 | 227 | 236 | 279 | 131 | 167 |
| Memorandum item: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments in kind |  |  | 46 | 160 | 111 | 94 | 11 | 105 |
| Coefficients ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDS/X | 51.7 | 35.8 | 75.6 | 71.5 | 77.7 | 75.0 | 64.2 | 46.0 |
| TDSP/X | 51.7 | 35.8 | 31.5 | 27.2 | 22.1 | 15.8 | 8.3 | 9.5 |
| PDS/X | 36.7 | 20.1 | 61.5 | 60.5 | 68.2 | 66.4 | 58.2 | 40.6 |
| PDSP/X | 36.7 | 20.1 | 17.4 | 16.3 | 14.7 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 5.0 |
| i/X | 23.1 | 21.8 | 30.4 | 26.0 | 23.7 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 13.3 |
| IP/X | 23.1 | 21.8 | 16.0 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
" Includes debt payments in kind. $\quad{ }^{b}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Interest and principal payments on the total medium- and long-term debt plus interest on total short-term debt. Also includes regularization of payments in arrears. includes regularization. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Includes interest on the short-term debt. Symbols: TD = total debt; X = exports of goods and services; TDS = total debt service; TDSP = total debt service paid; $\mathrm{PDS}=$ public debt service; $\operatorname{PDSP}=$ public debt service paid; $\mathrm{i}=$ guess interest on the total debt; $\mathrm{IP}=$ gross interest actually paid on total debt.

Table 21
PERU: EXTERNAL CREDITS, BY SOURCE '
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Govemmments | 176 | 255 | 189 | 128 | 97 | 287 | 63 |
| International banking system | 606 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |
| International agencies | 463 | 232 | 214 | 85 |  | 20 | 94 |
| Socialist countries | 16 | 1 | 10 | 33 | 22 | 45 | 98 |
| Suppliers | 564 | 297 | 102 | 93 | 26 | 212 |  |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru, on the basis of information supplied by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Finance, Department of Public Credit.
${ }^{a}$ Medium- and long-term. Preliminary figures.

Table 22

## PERU: DOMESTIC PRICE TRENDS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

(Percentages)

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Variation from December to December

| Consumer price index | 72.9 | 125.1 | 111.5 | 158.3 | 62.9 | 114.5 | 1722J | 2775.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 72.1 | 135.8 | 102.0 | 153.7 | 60.2 | 89.9 | 1568.8 | 2355.5 |
| Wholesale price index | 64.2 | 136.5 | 115.6 | 166.4 | 37.3 | 72.4 | 1877.1 | 1917.9 |
| Imported products | 63.5 | 83.6 | 140.6 | 157.9 | 21.8 | 52.9 | 1991.8 | 2393.4 |
| Agricultural products | 47.7 | 300.3 | 105.7 | 182.9 | 26.2 | 46.0 | 1820.8 | 1096.8 |
| Manufactures | 64.2 | 75.7 | 143.5 | 156.1 | 21.4 | 53.5 | 2004.9 | 2484.2 |
| Domestic products | 64.5 | 153.2 | 109.9 | 168.6 | 41.2 | 76.6 | 1855.5 | 1822.4 |
| Agricultural products | 54.0 | 135.1 | 104.1 | 158.2 | 86.8 | 84.4 | 934.9 | 2279.8 |
| Manufactures | 70.8 | 163.6 | 112.7 | 172.9 | 21.2 | 71.5 | 2525.5 | 1690.8 |
| i between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 64.5 | 111.1 | 110.2 | 163.4 | 77.9 | 85.8 | 666.9 | 3498.6 |
| Food ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 52.9 | 125.7 | 106.3 | 149.7 | 85.1 | 65.6 | 535.5 | 2706.2 |
| Wholesale price index | 56.3 | 113.1 | 120.1 | 173.9 | 60.3 | 51.5 | 627.8 | 2510.3 |
| Imported products | 54.2 | 82.5 | 114.4 | 175.8 | 50.9 | 28.9 | 595.7 | 3092.5 |
| Agricultural products | 31.0 | 212.6 | 133.4 | 187.6 | 68.9 | 25.5 | 382.8 | 2494.0 |
| Manufactures | 55.2 | 77.8 | 113.2 | 175.0 | 49.6 | 29.2 | 612.6 | 3124.7 |
| Domestic products | 57.0 | 122.9 | 121.6 | 173.5 | 62.7 | 56.8 | 634.1 | 2403.0 |
| Agricultural products | 48.3 | 109.3 | 108.6 | 130.3 | 128.1 | 73.2 | 354.1 | 2433.2 |
| Manufactures | 62.2 | 130.7 | 128.5 | 193.5 | 38.7 | 47.2 | 832.8 | 2391.5 |

Source: National Institute of Statistics.
Metropolitan Lima Includes food, beverages and tobacco.

Table 23
PERU: REAL AVERAGE WAGES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Remunerations <br> (Index: $1979=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private sectpr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan Lima |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 118.4 | 101.3 | 93.2 | 85.4 | 104.2 | 108.3 | 81.0 | 43.5 |
| Wages | 105.9 | 88.3 | 74.8 | 63.5 | 82.8 | 89.3 | 65.1 | 36.6 |
| Legal minimum income ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 97.3 | 99.5 | 77.3 | 67.9 | 71.1 | 75.2 | 66.7 | 32.2 |
| Central government | 116.5 | 84.2 | 74.0 | 58.9 | 613 | 69.4 | 59.5 | 32.5 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Private sector
Metropolitan Lima

| Salaries | 9.2 | -14.4 | -8.0 | -8.4 | 22.0 | 3.9 | -25.2 | -46.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wages | 2.2 | -16.6 | -15.3 | -15.2 | 30.4 | 7.9 | -27.1 | -43.7 |
| Legal minimum income | -7.5 | 2.3, | -22.3 | -12.2 | 4.7 | 5.8 | -11.3 | -51.7 |
| Central government | $\mathbf{- 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 5 3}$ |

Source: Ministry of Labour and Social Development and National Institute of Statistics.
Note: The figures for salaries and wages prior to 1986 have been readjusted due to changes in the survey methodology.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Survey of establishments with 10 or more workers. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Intis per month ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Intis per day. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Annual average for Metropolitan Lima. From August 1985 onward, the legal minimum income applies to the whole country.

PERU: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

|  | Billions of intis |  |  | Growth rates |  |  | Percentages of GDP ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| A. Total income | 66.4 | 406.2 | 7469.6 | 46.5 | 51L2 | 1738.9 | 92 | 5.4 |
| 1. Current income | 66.4 | 406.2 | 7469.6 | 47.0 | 511.2 | 1738.9 | 92 | 5.4 |
| a. Tax revenue | 61.9 | 371.6 | 7132.5 | 51.8 | 499.9 | 1819.3 | 8.4 | 5.2 |
| Income tax | 13.1 | 86.8 | 1256.6 | 33.2 | 561.5 | 1347.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| Wealth tax | 2.8 | 22.5 | 331.9 | 33.7 | 716.5 | 1373.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Foreign trade taxes | 14.3 | 74.1 | 1396.4 | 52.1 | 417.7 | 1785.1 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| Excise taxes | 34.7 | 205.2 | 4057.7 | 63.3 | 491.9 | 1877.3 | 4.7 | 2.9 |
| (Fuels) | 13.0 | 42.9 | 667.7 | 20.3 | 245.1 | 1457.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Other tax revenue | 1.9 | 8.7 | 1032.1 | 62.4 | 350.1 | 11812.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Credit documents | -4.8 | -25.7 | -942.3 | 64.2 | 430.9 | 3567.3 | -0.6 | -0.7 |
| b. Non-tax revenue | 4.5 | 34.6 | 337.1 | 1.9 | 668.5 | 874.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 2. Capital income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Total expenditure | 1083 | 526.0 | 12326.1 | 84.4 | 394.0 | 2243.5 | 11.9 | 8.9 |
| 1. Current expenditure | 91.2 | 4573 | 9901.0 | 91.1 | 404.0 | 2064.9 | 10.4 | 12 |
| Remunerations | 30.0 | 112.3 | 2517.2 | 103.1 | 275.7 | 2141.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| Goods and services | 5.0 | 15.7 | 465.7 | 48.1 | 283.5 | 2864.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Transfers | 21.5 | 83.9 | 2156.6 | 110.5 | 287.2 | 2470.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Interest | 13.1 | 98.5 | 1361.9 | 50.5 | 650.3 | 1282.2 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| Domestic debt | 6.5 | 36.0 | 508.4 | 220.8 | 456.0 | 1312.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| External debt | 6.7 | 62.5 | 853.5 | -0.7 | 839.3 | 1264.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Defence | 1.7 | 146.9 | 3399.6 | 102.4 | 575.4 | 2213.9 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| 2. Capital expenditure | 17.1 | 68.6 | 2425.1 | 55.6 | 340.5 | 3434.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Gross capital formation | 10.4 | 9.0 | 502.1 | 24.2 | 8.7 | 5453.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Transfers | 5.0 | 58.6 | 1914.9 | 95.5 | 1165.3 | 3168.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Other | 1.8 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 1761.7 | -36.2 | 725.9 | - | - |
| Saving on current account (Al-Bl) | -24.8 | -51.1 | -2 431.4 |  |  |  | -12 | -1.8 |
| Overall result (A-B) | -41.9 | -119.8 | -4856.5 |  |  |  | -2.7 | -3.5 |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
${ }^{a}$ The GDP figures used in these calculations correspond to the nominal GDP as calculated by the National Institute of Statistics for national accounts (base 1979).

Preliminary figures.
Primarily tax rebates on non-traditional exports, tax capitalization and tax payment promissory notes. Primarily property income, fines and pension deductions.

Table 25
PERU: CONSOLIDATED NON-FINANCIAL PUBLIC SECTOR DEFICIT AND FINANCING

|  | Billions of intis |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Economic deficit | 18.7 | 49.8 | 329.3 | 7360.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Central government | 13.4 | 42.3 | 119.8 | 4856.5 | 71.7 | 84.9 | 36.4 | 66.0 |
| Public enterprises | 6.6 | 8.6 | 187.6 | 2314.7 | 35.5 | 17.4 | 57.0 | 31.4 |
| Rest of public sector | -1.3 | -1.1 | 21.9 | 189.3 | -7.2 | -2.3 | 6.7 | 2.6 |
| Net financing | 18.7 | 49.8 | 329.3 | 7360.5 | 100.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Medium- and long-term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External financing | 7.5 | 11.8 | 91.7 | 1328.7 | 40.4 | 23.8 | 27.9 | 18.1 |
| Short-term external financing | 1.7 | -0.9 | -12.2 | 83.3 | 9.2 | -1.8 | -3.7 | 1.1 |
| Domestic financing | 9.4 | 38.8 | 249.8 | 5948.5 | 50.5 | 78.0 | 75.8 | 80.8 |
| Financial system | 8.8 | 33.0 | 85.6 | 2502.4 | 47.3 | 66.2 | 26.0 | 34.0 |
| Deposits frozen in the Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve Bank | - | - | -3.4 | -6.1 | - | - | -1.0 | -0.1 |
| Bonds ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.2 | -0.3 | 2.8 | 314.7 | 1.1 | -0.6 | 0.8 | 4.3 |
| Other and discrepancies ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.4 | 6.2 | 164.8 | 3137.5 | 2.1 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 42.6 |
| Deficit as ;percentange/GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total deficit | 5.1 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 5-5 |  |  |  |  |
| Central government | 3.7 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Public enterprises | 1.8 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Rest of public sector | -0.4 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Bonds placed with the rest of the financial system and with the private sector. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes arrears in payments to suppliers. See footnote a of table 24.

Table 26
PERU: MONETARY BALANCE

|  | Year-end balances (billions of intis) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Monetary base | 37.3 | 78.7 | 423.8 | 7987.7 | 68.9 | 111.0 | 438.2 | 1784.6 |
| Money (Mi) | 31.0 | 75.5 | 446.4 | 7601.7 | 107.2 | 143.9 | 491.3 | 1602.9 |
| Currency outside banks | 16.2 | 41.8 | 256.9 | 5396.2 | 100.0 | 158.2 | 515.1 | 2000.5 |
| Demand deposits | 14.8 | 33.7 | 189.5 | 2205.5 | 115.8 | 128.3 | 461.8 | 1063.9 |
| Factors of expansion (net) | 70.1 | 149.2 | 1022.3 | 22003.8 | 64.4 | 113.0 | 585.1 | 2052.4 |
| Net international reserves | 12.1 | 2.7 | -158.8 | 3215.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Other external operations (net) | -5.4 | -10.9 | -47.9 | -143.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Net domestic credit | 63.4 | 157.4 | 1229.0 | 18932.5 | 109.7 | 148.2 | 680.6 | 1440.5 |
| Public sector | 13.4 | 54.2 | 211.8 | 4139.4 | 202.8 | 306.0 | 290.5 | 1854.4 |
| Central government | 17.7 | 57.0 | 229.8 | 5153.0 | 148.3 | 221.9 | 303.2 | 2142.4 |
| Rest of public sector | -4.3 | -2.8 | -18.0 | -1 013.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Private sector | 57.8 | 118.2 | 697.7 | 12447.0 | 74.2 | 104.4 | 490.2 | 1684.0 |
| Other items (net) | -7.8 | -15.0 | 319.5 | 2346.1 | 634.3 |  |  |  |
| Quasi-money | 39.1 | 73.7 | 575.9 | 14402.1 | 413 | 88.5 | 681J | 2400.8 |
| In national currency | 31.1 | 58.8 | 250.2 | 9584.3 | 111.0 | 89.0 | 325.3 | 3730.7 |
| In foreign currency | 8.0 | 14.9 | 325.7 | 4817.8 | -38.2 | 86.2 | 20893 | 1379.2 |
| (in millions of dollars) | 573 | 451 | 651 | 916 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mi} /$ Monetary base | 82.9 | 95.9 | 105.3 | 95.2 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/Monetary base | 187.8 | 189.5 | 241.2 | 275.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Liquidity coefficients ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP | 6.0 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/GDP | 12.4 | 12.5 | 6.7 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Dollarization coefficient | 11.4 | 10.0 | 31.9 | 21.9 |  |  |  |  |

Source: Central Reserve Bank of Peru.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Referred to as "primary money" in Peru. : The figures used for Mi and M2 correspond to the annual average of monthly balances. Equivalent to the proportion of M2 represented by foreign-currency quasi-money.

Table 27
PERU: SELECTED COMMERCIAL BANK INTEREST RATES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 989 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | II | III | IV |
| Rates on loans ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans for terms of 360 days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| or less | 40.6 | 36.3 | 175.1 | 1208.7 | 979.5 | 1355.2 | 1355.2 | 1145.1 |
| (as of end of period) | 40.0 | 32.0 | 791.6 | 934.9 | 1355.2 | 1355.2 | 1355.2 | 934.9 |
| Loans for terms of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 361-539 days | 45.6 | 44.7 | 193.1 | 1341.1 | 1090.4 | 1501.2 | 1501.2 | 1271.6 |
| (as of end of period) | 45.0 | 41.0 | 885.0 | 1041.9 | 1501.2 | 1501.2 | 1501.2 | 1041.9 |
| Nominal rates on deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits | 20.7 | 23.0 | 142.9 | 836.6 | 689.3 | 916.8 | 916.8 | 823.5 |
| (as of end of period) | 20.7 | 27.7 | 575.9 | 730.2 | 916.8 | 916.8 | 916.8 | 730.2 |
| Deposits for 90-180 days | 29.3 | 30.8 | 160.6 | 1132.2 | 908.8 | 1255.2 | 1255.2 | 1109.7 |
| (as of end of period) | 29.0 | 30.1 | 735.6 | 964.2 | 1255.2 | 1255.2 | 1255.2 | 964.2 |

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

In 1989, the Dominican Republic's gross domestic product grew by a little over $4 \%$, so that it was possible to end the decade with a slight increase in the per capita product. On the other hand, the external accounts deteriorated considerably and inflation remained high.

Thus, the merchandise trade deficit widened and there were greater delays in external debt disbursements, giving rise to a sharp decline in external financing and a steady loss of reserves that provoked a serious external payments crisis. Prices were directly affected by expectations of devaluation and (in the last quarter) changes in foreign exchange regulations that limited foreign exchange sales at the official rate only to essential commodities. As a result, the increase in the consumer price index came to over $40 \%$, which, although lower than the previous year, was still very high in terms of the historical levels for the country. These imbalances brought fiscal policy back into the centre of attention and led to a slackening of the expansive public investment policy implemented in the previous biennium. Although the public sector deficit nevertheless increased, the central government's cash situation improved as a result of considerable delays in servicing its debt. Considerable losses were also registered by the public enterprises (see table 1 and figure 1).

The administration that took office in 1986 aimed to reverse the virtual stagnation of the economy brought about by sharp changes in the terms of trade. To this end, it implemented a strategy based on vigorous promotion of public investment and development of the country's comparative advantages. The expansion in public investments was to be financed by improving current central government saving and reducing the deficit of State enterprises through higher public charges, while at the external level, the international reserves would be drawn down and external debt payment
commitments would be delayed. The vigorous application of this policy led to a doubling of the level of public investment between 1986 and 1988. In the following year, although the growth rate of public investment declined, such investments still grew by $11 \%$; moreover, since private capital formation and consumption also increased rapidly, considerable fiscal and foreign exchange imbalances were registered.

The growth in the gross domestic product in 1989 was mainly due to the dynamism of the construction sector -which benefitted directly from the public investment policy and the considerable expansion in tourist hotel capacity- and the finance sector. Communications and some agricultural activities also performed well. In contrast, export agriculture declined as a result of the steady deterioration in the sugar sector, which was affected by a process of reconversion. Performance within the manufacturing sector was mixed; many enterprises faced difficulties caused by dearer imported inputs and interruptions in power supply. The energy crisis that affected the country during the year had serious consequences for many sectors of production and also adversely affected the fiscal and external accounts. Finally, another important element which has characterized the evolution of the productive sector in recent years has been the poor spread within the country of the benefits from the production of goods and services for export in the duty-free zones, since apart from some agroindustrial enterprises the rest of the economy has benefitted little from its dynamism.

The poor performance of the external accounts during the decade has been affected by dissimilar movements in trade flows, considerable fluctuations in the terms of trade, and the external debt burden. In 1989, exports grew considerably ( $23 \%$ ), thanks to the marked dynamism of real services (mainly tourism)
which accounted for over $55 \%$ of total earnings; the slight increase in exports of goods was due to sales of ferronickel and, to a lesser extent, sugar. For their part, imports expanded at the same rate as exports as a result of higher domestic demand and forward purchases caused by expectations of a possible change in the foreign exchange regulations. Consequently, the already high trade deficit worsened, but was offset to a large extent by the
higher real services surplus. Finally, the smaller outlays on external debt interest payments slightly reduced the current account deficit. However, there was a steady loss in reserves, compounded by the flight of capital. Petroleum imports had to be paid for in advance as a result of the suspension of commercial credits for this purpose. This brought on the payments crisis and, at the end of the year, the Government implicitly scrapped the official exchange rate

Figure 1
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS



Figure 1 (concluded)

when it announced that only imports of fuels and essential commodities would be paid for from the reserves; all other imports would be financed on a free foreign exchange market that was initially established with an average spread of $25 \%$ over the official market rate.

Since the middle of the 1980s, the Dominican Republic's economy has been undergoing an inflationary cycle related to the devaluations and the sustained growth in domestic demand. These forces came into play again in 1989, and thus, as from April and particularly during the last quarter, the growth rate of the consumer price index increased notably after having slackened at the beginning of the year. In 1989, the exchange rate support policy seems to have been less effective than in
the past in containing price increases, since a considerable proportion of the domestic prices tended to follow the evolution of the parallel dollar in view of expectations that the exchange rate parity would not be maintained. Price increases were particularly high in the case of goods with imported inputs, whereas prices of services increased at a more modest rate.

The worsening of the external and internal imbalances was also reflected in the public sector accounts. Current central government cash saving improved as a result of the fact that expenditures fell more than revenues in real terms (see table 18). However, this was merely the result of considerable delays in the servicing of the internal and external public debt: if the money that should have been spent
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
on servicing the debt is added to the deficit of the public enterprises, this gives a non-financial public sector deficit equivalent to some $6 \%$ of the gross domestic product, which is higher than in previous years.

The monetary authorities focused their attention primarily on foreign exchange policy and on controlling the expansion of domestic credit. Although it proved possible to keep down loans to the central government during part of the year, there was some expansion in credit to the private sector. In view of this, the Central Bank raised rediscount rates and introduced quantitative restrictions on commercial loans. At the same time, the operating losses of the central bank increased considerably as a result of the foreign exchange controls, and
these losses constituted a quasi-fiscal deficit on top of that of the non-financial public sector.

At the end of the year, the difficulties on the foreign exchange market still persisted, and expectations were not very promising, especially since there were no negotiations underway on the external debt. Although it may be assumed that the prospect of joining the African, Caribbean and Pacific States (ACP) under the Lomé Convention would permit greater access to the European Common Market and to possible sources of financing in the long run, it will not immediately ease the severe external difficulties facing the country, which limit the growth strategy implemented by the authorities.

[^57]Table 1

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indexes (1980=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product at market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prices | 105.3 | 110.6 | 110.8 | 108.8 | 112.3 | 120.3 | 122.1 | 127.3 |
| Gross national income | 103.2 | 109.2 | 113.3 | 103.1 | 110.4 | 112.5 | 116.2 | 126.4 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 100.3 | 102.9 | 100.6 | 96.6 | 97.4 | 102.0 | 101.3 | 103.3 |
| Money (Mi)/GDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 11.9 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 11.4 |
| Real effective exchange rate (official) | 107.1 | 112.5 | 154.1 | 126.7 | 108.5 | 129.4 | 151.3 | 112.3 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross domestic product | 13 | 5.0 | 0.3 | -1.9 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 4.2 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -1.1 | 2.5 | -2.0 | -4.1 | 0.8 | 4 i 7 | -0.7 | 1.9 |
| Gross national income | -2.6 | 5.7 | 3.8 | -9.0 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 8.8 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real minimum wage | -7.1 | -6.5 | 1.7 | -2.4 | 7.2 | -2.2 | 3.9 | -11.0 |
| Current value of exports of goods and services | -24.5 | 8.8 | 10.3 | -3.4 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 12.2 | 22.8 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -15.6 | 2.8 | -1.3 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 19.3 | 1.2 | 23.0 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | -20.9 | 8.4 | 13.3 | -30.4 | 18.6 | -15.1 | 4.5 | 14.7 |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| External sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade balance (goods and services) | -393 | -336 | -187 | -238 | -228 | -395 | -229 | -286 |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 255 | 297 | 241 | 226 | 250 | 306 | 318 | 232 |
| Balance on current account | -458 | -438 | -223 | -222 | -236 | -441 | -218 | -212 |
| Balance on capital account | 312 | 301 | 321 | 266 | 286 | 308 | 341 | 177 |
| Variation in net international reserves | -160 | -147 | 84 | 14 | 29 | -173 | 138 | -52 |
| Total disbursed external debt | 2966 | 3313 | 3536 | 3720 | 3812 | 3899 | 3883 | 4090 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* Preliminary figures. Percentages.

Table 2
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: EVOLUTION OF GROSS REAL NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 == 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 112.5 | 116.2 | 126.4 | 98.8 | 92.4 | 94.0 | 98.2 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 120.3 | 122.1 | 127.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -7.0 | -6.2 | -2.7 |
| c) Net factor income from abroad | 117.3 | 118.4 | 82.2 | -4.3 | -4.2 | -4.2 | -2.8 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 138.2 | 169.8 | 150.4 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 117.5 | 118.5 | 126.6 | 129.8 | 129.1 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 6.8 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 120.3 | 122.1 | 127.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 4.2 |
| Imports of goods and services | 108.0 | 106.3 | 124.3 | 29.8 | 29.1 | 10.9 | 13.5 | -1.6 | 16.9 |
| Total demand | 117.5 | 118.5 | 126.6 | 129.8 | 129.1 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 6.8 |
| Domestic demand | 107.6 | 107.5 | 116.4 | 110.1 | 100.7 | 7.3 | 4.6 | -0.1 | 8.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 130.7 | 139.5 | 160.4 | 24.9 | 31.3 | 11.6 | 39.5 | 6.7 | 15.0 |
| Gross fixed investment | 134.9 | 146.5 | 169.4 | 23.6 | 31.4 | 11.4 | 38.9 | 8.6 | 15.6 |
| Construction | 166.2 | 179.3 | 205.2 | 14.6 | 23.6 | 15.0 | 43.2 | 7.9 | 14.4 |
| Machinery | 83.9 | 93.1 | 111.1 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 26.8 | 11.0 | 19.3 |
| Public | 470.7 | 551.2 | 611.9 | 5.2 | 25.0 | 46.3 | 125.1 | 17.1 | 11.0 |
| Private | 40.0 | 32.2 | 44.3 | 18.4 | 6.4 | -8.3 | -38.9 | -19.5 | 37.8 |
| Changes in stocks | 51.3 | 4.8 | -11.1 | 1.2 | -0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 100.9 | 98.1 | 103.6 | 85.2 | 69.4 | 6.2 | -4.4 | -2.7 | 5.6 |
| General government | 122.1 | 133.7 | 120.3 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.2 | -17.7 | 9.5 | -10.0 |
| Private | 98.8 | 94.6 | 102.0 | 77.6 | 62.2 | 6.1 | -2.5 | -4.2 | 7.7 |
| Exports of goods and services | 172.4 | 180.0 | 183.0 | 19.7 | 28.4 | -5.1 | 23.8 | 4.4 | 1.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official and $\operatorname{MF}$ figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices, converted to constant 1980 values by means of unit value indexes calculated by ECIAC for this purpose.

Table 4
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(Aspercentage of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{11}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 19.1 | 20.3 | 19.8 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 27.0 | 28.4 | 31.3 |
| Gross domestic investment | 15.9 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 22.3 | 20.0 | 28.6 | 31.5 | 30.6 |
| Gross domestic savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Net income from factor | -3.5 | -4.3 | -3.5 | -3.4 | -3.9 | -4.2 | -4.2 | -2.8 |
| services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terms-of-trade | -2.2 | -1.0 | 1.4 | -6.7 | -2.7 | -7.0 | -6.2 | -2.7 |
| effect | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.7 |
| $\quad$ Unrequited private transfer payments | 12.8 | 13.9 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 17.1 | 21.0 | 25.5 | 28.8 |
| Gross national savings | 6.3 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| External savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.

* At market prices in constant 1980 dollars at the adjusted exchange rate. " Preliminary figures.

Table 5
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 1202 | 122.1 | 1272 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 7-1 | 1.6 | 4.2 |
| Goods | 119.9 | 119.1 | \TA.1 | 48.0 | 47.0 | 2.8 | 12.6 | -0.6 | 4.7 |
| Agriculture | 112.3 | 110.8 | 113.2 | 20.2 | 17.9 | -0.5 | 2.9 | -1.3 | 2.2 |
| Mining | 120.9 | 112.5 | 116.5 | 5.3 | 4.9 | -11.1 | 25.9 | -7.0 | 3.5 |
| Manufacturing | 115.1 | 110.9 | 112.9 | 15.3 | 13.6 | 7.0 | 10.7 | -3.6 | 1.9 |
| Construction | 150.6 | 164.6 | 187.8 | 7.2 | 10.7 | 15.5 | 34.1 | 9.3 | 14.1 |
| Basic services | 119.9 | 120.2 | 125.0 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 02 | 4.0 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 141.7 | 137.0 | 125.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 10.7 | -3.3 | -8.4 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 118.1 | 118.8 | 124.9 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 5.2 |
| Other services | 120.7 | 125.5 | 130.2 | 46.1 | 47.1 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 109.4 | 106.6 | 108.8 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | -2.5 | 2.0 |
| Financial establishments, insurance, real estate and business services | 141.6 | 153.2 | 162.0 | 12.0 | 15.2 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 5.8 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 110.8 | 113.0 | 113.2 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Community, social and personal services | 116.8 | 123.7 | 127.9 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 1.8 | -2.0 | 5.9 | 3.4 |
| Government services | 114.5 | 118.6 | 122.2 | 8.3 | 8.0 | -5.9 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted with the structure at 1980 current prices. Therefore, the trends do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 6

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of agricultural value added (1970 = 100) | 154.1 | 153.3 | 157.6 | 155.6 | 159.0 | -0.5 | 2.8 | -13 | 11 |
| Crop farming | 135.3 | 134.1 | 138.9 | 135.5 | 136.6 | -0.9 | 3.6 | -2.4 | 0.8 |
| For export | 111.7 | 109.1 | 112.9 | 113.0 | 109.7 | -2.3 | 3.5 | 0.1 | -2.9 |
| For domestic consumption | 153.2 | 153.2 | 158.7 | 152.6 | 157.0 | - | 3.6 | -3.8 | 2.9 |
| Stock-raising | 188.1 | 187.3 | 190.9 | 191.0 | 199.6 | -0.4 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 4.5 |
| Fishing and forestry | 243.9 | 251.8 | 258.0 | 265.1 | 269.1 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| Production of main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar cane | 8419 | 8208 | 8525 | 8375 | 7856 | -2.5 | 3.9 | -1.8 | -6.2 |
| Tobacco | 31 | 26 | 29 | 28 | 30 | -16.1 | 10.3 | -3.4 | 7.1 |
| Coffee | 132 | 137 | 134 | 136 | 129 | 3.8 | -2.0 | 1.5 | -5.1 |
| Cocoa | 35 | 36 | 39 | 41 | 42 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 2.4 |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rice | 494 | 487 | 515 | 460 | 462 | -1.4 | 5.7 | -11.0 | 0.3 |
| Maize | 91 | 59 | 48 | 47 | 49 | -35.2 | -18.6 | -2.1 | 4.3 |
| Beans | 48 | 49 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Pigeon peas | 26 | 27 | 21 | 34 | 40 | 3.8 | -22.2 | 61.9 | 17.6 |
| Peanuts | 43 | 47 | 44 | 33 | 35 | 9.3 | -6.4 | -25.0 | 6.1 |
| Sweet potatoes | 71 | 76 | 72 | 71 | 73 | , 7.0 | -5.3 | -1.4 | 2.8 |
| Cassava | 135 | 143 | 143 | 157 | 159 | 5.9 | - | 9.8 | 1.3 |
| Tomatoes | 165 | 170 | 173 | 163 | 173 | 3.0 | 1.8 | -5.8 | 6.1 |
| Bananas ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1180 | 1091 | 1180 | 1206 | 1242 | -7.5 | 8.2 | 2.2 | 3.0 |

Indicators of stock-raising production

| Slaughtering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Beef | 74 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 81 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| Pork | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 14.3 | 12.5 | - | -11.1 |
| Poultry | 81 | 83 | 87 | 86 | 90 | 2.5 | 4.8 | - | 4.7 |
| Egg s $^{\text {c }}$ | 408 | 416 | 433 | 428 | 454 | 2.0 | 4.1 | -1.2 | 6.1 |
| Milk production $^{\text {d }}$ | 368 | 327 | 304 | 308 | 330 | -11.1 | -7.0 | 1.3 | 7.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.

* Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Thousands of tons. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of units. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Millions of litres.

Table 7
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: INDICATORS OF MINING PRODUCTION

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Indexes of value added in mining $(1970=100)$ | 526.2 | 662.6 | 616.6 | 638.0 | -11J | 25.9 | -6.9 | 3.5 |
| Production of the main minerals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ferronickel | 58 | 86 | 77 | 82 | -13.4 | 48.3 | -10.5 | 6.5 |
| Gold ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 286 | 251 | 204 | 172 | -13.1 | -12.2 | -18.7 | -15.7 |
| Silver ${ }^{0}$ | 1356 | 1093 | 1420 | 700 | -14.2 | -19.4 | 29.9 | -50.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.

* Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Thousands of tons. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Thousands of troy ounces.

Table 8
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Grow | rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of manufacturing production (1970 = 100) | 219.9 | 243.4 | 234.7 | 238.9 | 6.9 | 10.7 | -3.6 | 1.8 |
| Foodstuffs, beverages and tobacco | 205.5 | 227.1 | 220.5 | 216.0 | 6.4 | 10.5 | -2.9 | -2.0 |
| Foodstuffs | 180.6 | 197.9 | 175.9 | 171.3 | 4.2 | 9.6 | -11.1 | -2.6 |
| Sugar and sugar products | 91.2 | 97.0 | 88.2 | 84.2 | -2.9 | 6.4 | -9.1 | -4.6 |
| Other foodstuffs | 245.4 | 271.0 | 239.4 | 237.4 | 6.3 | 10.4 | -11.7 | -0.9 |
| Beverages | 334.9 | 382.6 | 408.8 | 427.1 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 6.8 | 4.5 |
| Tobacco | 192.1 | 203.8 | 233.0 | 231.0 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 14.3 | -0.9 |
| Other manufacturing industries | 264.5 | 302.1 | 307.5 | 328.5 | 8.2 | 14.2 | 1.8 | 6.8 |
| Production of some major manufactures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw sugar | 814 | 866 | 777 | 729 | -2.2 | 6.4 | -10.3 | -6.2 |
| Refined sugar | 80 | 86 | 81 | 87 | -9.1 | 7.5 | -5.8 | 7.4 |
| Hulled coffee ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 69 | 67 | 68 | 65 | 4.5 | -2.9 | 1.5 | -4.4 |
| Wheat flour ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5192 | 5645 | 4902 | 4940 | 11.3 | 8.7 | -13.2 | 0.8 |
| Rum ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 29 | 34 | 32 | 33 | 20.8 | 17.2 | -7.1 | 4.1 |
| Beer ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 109 | 121 | 144 | 151 | 5.8 | 11.0 | 18.6 | 4.9 |
| Cigarettes ${ }^{6}$ | 204 | 216 | 247 | 246 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 14.4 | -0.4 |
| Cement | 939 | 1187 | 1222 | 1271 | -5.8 | 26.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Other indicators of manufacturing production Consumption of electricity by industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 693.7 | 764.3 | 748.5 | 686.4 | 10.3 | 10.2 | -2.1 | -8.3 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures <br> * Preliminary figures. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Thousand packages of 20. <br> ${ }^{f}$ Millions of kW | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ d the | ntral B | k of the | Dominic | Repub | litres. |  | ions of |

Table 9 DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -35.4 | 2.3 | 10.6 | -14.9 | -2.2 | -1.5 | 25.6 | 3.4 |
| Volume | -7.6 | 10.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | -9.3 | 8.2 | -3.2 | -13.0 |
| Unit value | -30.0 | -7.0 | 8.2 | -15.5 | 7.8 | -8.9 | 29.8 | 18.9 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -13.4 | 1.7 | -1.7 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 17.7 | 1.1 | 22.1 |
| Volume | -11.9 | 16.6 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 11.8 | 12.9 | -1.2 | 16.2 |
| Unit value | -1.7 | -12.8 | -2.5 | -0.8 | $\bullet 6.5$ | 4.3 | 2.3 | 5.0 |
| Terms of trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FOB/CIF | -29.2 | 5.1 | 9.8 | -14.8 | 14.5 | -13.4 | 26.6 | 13.1 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of exports | 75.4 | 87.1 | 97.8 | 83.9 | 87.1 | 81.5 | 99.9 | 98.3 |
| Volume of exports | 93.1 | 102.4 | 104.7 | 105.4 | 95.6 | 103.4 | 100.1 | 87.1 |
| Volume of imports | 78.8 | 91.9 | 92.7 | 95.6 | 106.8 | 120.6 | 119.1 | 138.5 |
| Terms of trade | 80.9 | 85.1 | 93.4 | 79.6 | 91.1 | 78.8 | 99.8 | 112.9 |

[^58]Table 10
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total | 711 | 890 | 924 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -12 | -1.5 | 25.1 | 3.8 |
| Main traditional exports | 542 | 710 | 760 | 87.6 | 82.2 | -6.0 | -0.5 | 31.0 | 7.0 |
| Raw sugar | 127 | 123 | 159 | 30.2 | 17.2 | -15.5 | -5.1 | -3.0 | 28.8 |
| Furfural | 20 | 18 | 21 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 29.3 | -6.3 | -10.7 | 15.3 |
| Molasses | 13 | 12 | 12 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 13.9 | 17.1 | -9.3 | 2.1 |
| Coffee | 63 | 67 | 64 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 31.0 | -43.9 | 5.6 | -3.9 |
| Cocoa | 66 | 64 | 48 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 12.7 | -3.0 | -25.2 |
| Tobacco | 14 | 18 | 14 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 5.5 | -23.7 | 30.9 | -22.5 |
| Ferronickel | 115 | 309 | 372 | 10.5 | 40.3 | -35.5 | 48.1 | 168.6 | 20.4 |
| Gold and silver | 120 | 98 | 70 | 27.0 | 7.6 | -1.6 | 7.4 | -18.3 | -28.8 |
| Bauxite | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1.9 | 0.1 | - | - | -58,5 | -45.8 |
| Main non-traditional exports | 169 | 180 | 164 | 12.4 | 17.8 | 11.6 | -4.5 | 6.0 | -8.6 |
| Chemical fertilizers | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 18.0 | -6.9 | 16.7 | -28.6 |
| Beef | 17 | 27 | 19 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 41.7 | -7.5 | 58.8 | -29.6 |
| Coconut emulsion | 5 | 7 | 7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 21.3 | 40.0 | - |
| Tinned pigeon peas | 6 | 10 | 10 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 28.3 | 8.3 | 66.7 | - |
| Taro | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 82.8 | -48.1 | - | -20.0 |
| Other | 130 | 124 | 119 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 8.6 | 1.1 | -7.1 | 3.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 11
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: VOLUME OF EXPORTS OF MAIN PRODUCTS

|  | Thousands of tons |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Raw sugar | 655.2 | 449.2 | 553.1 | 513.9 | 492.8 | -31.4 | 23.1 | -7.1 | -4.1 |
| Furfural | 28.1 | 34.6 | 35.6 | 32.6 | 40.0 | 23.1 | 2.9 | -8.4 | 22.7 |
| Molasses | 150.5 | 164.5 | 184.6 | 179.2 | 180.3 | 9.3 | 12.2 | -2.9 | 0.6 |
| Coffee (unroasted) | 30.2 | 30.4 | 29.7 | 27.2 | 30.4 | 0.7 | -2.3 | -8.4 | 11.8 |
| Cocoa | 31.3 | 35.9 | 38.9 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 14.7 | 8.4 | 20.1 | -14.3 |
| Tobacco | 13.9 | 15.5 | 10.9 | 15.1 | 9.0 | 11.5 | -29.7 | 38.5 | -40.4 |
| Ferronickel | 67.9 | 53.9 | 78.4 | 82.9 | 78.0 | -20.6 | 45.5 | 5.7 | -5.9 |
| Gold and silver alloy | 1909.3 | 1641.7 | 1343.6 | 1623.2 | 868.2 | -14.0 | -18.2 | 20.8 | -46.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures. Troy ounces.

Table 12
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: IMPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { breakdown }}{\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{\text { b }}}$ |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1352 | 1592 | 1609 | 1964 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 17.7 | 1.1 | 22.1 |
| Consumer goods | 392 |  |  |  | 22.6 |  | 543 |  |  |  |
| Durables | 97 |  |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foodstuffs | 86 |  |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 209 |  |  |  | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw materials and intermediate goods | 657 |  |  |  | 613 |  | -20.0 |  |  |  |
| Petroleum and fuels | 254 | 378 | 333 | 427 | 30.0 | 21.7 | -40.5 | 48.8 | -11.9 | 28.2 |
| Other | 403 |  |  |  | 31.2 |  | 2.1 |  |  |  |
| Capita] goods | 303 |  |  |  | 16.1 |  | 443 |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 13

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -458 | -438 | -223 | -222 | -236 | -441 | -218 | -212 |
| Trade balance | -393 | -336 | -187 | -238 | -228 | -395 | -229 | -286 |
| Exports of goods and services | 1142 | 1242 | 1370 | 1323 | 1408 | 1556 | 1746 | 2143 |
| Goods FOB | 768 | 785 | 868 | 738 | 722 | 711 | 893 | 924 |
| Real services | 374 | 457 | 501 | 584 | 686 | 845 | 852 | 1219 |
| Transport and insurance | 21 | 27 | 29 | 36 | 34 | 36 | 36 | 51 |
| Travel | 266 | 320 | 371 | 451 | 506 | 568 | 616 | 894 |
| Imports of goods and services | 1535 | 1578 | 1557 | 1560 | 1636 | 1952 | 1975 | 2429 |
| Goods FOB | 1257 | 1279 | 1257 | 1286 | 1352 | 1592 | 1608 | 1964 |
| Real services | 277 | 299 | 300 | 274 | 284 | 360 | 367 | 465 |
| Transport and insurance | 138 | 150 | 127 | 121 | 125 | 175 | 171 | 230 |
| Travel | 87 | 88 | 89 | 83 | 90 | 95 | 102 | 136 |
| Factor services | -255 | -297 | -241 | -226 | -250 | -306 | -318 | -232 |
| Profits |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Interest received | 4 | 7 | 6 | 22 | 17 | 12 | 8 | 10 |
| Interest paid or due | -259 | -304 | -247 | -248 | -267 | -318 | -326 | -242 |
| Labour and property | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | 190 | 195 | 205 | 243 | 242 | 260 | 328 | 306 |
| Balance on capital account | 312 | 301 | 320 | 266 | 286 | 308 | 341 | 177 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 15 | 20 | 60 | 114 | 29 | 95 | 91 | 84 |
| Long-term capital | 283 | 558 | 294 | 186 | 142 | 59 | 248 | 266 |
| Direct investment | -1 | 48 | 68 | 36 | 50 | 89 | 106 | 110 |
| Portfolio investment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 285 | 510 | 226 | 149 | 92 | -29 | 142 | 156 |
| Official sector ${ }^{0}$ | 302 | 576 | 237 | 161 | 92 | -29 | 142 | 156 |
| Loans received | 416 | 698 | 273 | 203 | 220 | 153 | 314 | 279 |
| Amortization payments | -113 | -122 | -36 | -41 | -128 | -174 | -169 | -123 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | 9 | -2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Loans received | 1 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Amortization payments | -1 | -2 | -2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -18 | -75 | -9 | -12 | - | - | - |  |
| Loans received | 41 | 16 | 20 | 7 | - | - | - |  |
| Amortization payments | -58 | -92 | -30 | -19 | - | - | -' |  |
| Short-term capital | 44 | -289 | -63 | -191 | -51 | 142 | -129 | -173 |
| Official sector | 162 | -165 | -114 | -81 | -43 | 81 | -129 |  |
| Commercial banks | -46 | -235 | -22 | -110 | -7 | 60 | - |  |
| Other sectors | -73 | 110 | 73 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -30 | 13 | 30 | 157 | 166 | 11 | 130 | - |
| Global balance | -146 | -136 | 97 | 44 | 51 | -133 | 122 | -35 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 160 | 147 | -84 | -14 | -29 | 173 | -138 | 52 |
| Monetary gold | 16 | 13 | 22 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | 1 | - | - | -31 | 32 | - | - |  |
| IMF reserve position | 0 | -8 | 8 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 95 | -34 | -91 | -55 | -68 | 194 | -72 |  |
| Other assets | - | - | 2 | -3 | - | - | - | -48 |
| Use made of IMF credit | 48 | 176 | -25 | 75 | 7 | -21 | -66 | 100 |

Source: 1982-1988: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund. 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals the total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

Table 14

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: EXCHANGE RATES

(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchangerate(pesos per dollar) | Indexes of real effective exchange rate ${ }^{a}$$(1985=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  | 75.7 | 92.3 |
| 1980-1989 |  | 94.8 | 97.9 |
| 1980 | 1.26 | 78.9 | 102.2 |
| 1981 | 1.28 | 78.8 | 92.1 |
| 1982 | 1.46 | 84.5 | 82.6 |
| 1983 | 1.60 | 88.8 | 82.8 |
| 1984 | 2.74 | 121.6 | 115.9 |
| 1985 | 3.11 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 2.90 | 85.6 | 85.2 |
| 1987 | 3.85 | 102.1 | 100.6 |
| 1988 | 6.11 | 119.4 | 123.6 |
| 1989 | 6.34 | 88.6 | 94.2 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |
| I | 3.17 | 89.7 | 85.8 |
| II | 3.59 | 98.5 | 95.9 |
| III | 3.89 | 102.3 | 101.3 |
| IV | 4.73 | 117.9 | 119.4 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |
| I | 5.22 | 118.4 | 121.7 |
| II | 6.43 | 138.1 | 142.6 |
| III | 6.46 | 117.3 | 121.0 |
| IV | 6.34 | 103.7 | 109.2 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6.34 | 99.7 | 106.3 |
| II | 6.34 | 93.6 | 97.2 |
| III | 6.34 | 85.0 | 91.0 |
| IV | 6.34 | 76.1 | 82.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures from the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Corresponds to the average index of the real exchange rate of the peso vis-à-vis the currencies of the Dominican Republic's main trading partners, weighted by the relative importance of exports or imports, as appropriate, to or from those countries. The weightings correspond to the average for the 1983-1987 period. In the calculations, wholesale price indexes were primarily used, although in the case of the Dominican Republic the consumer price index was used. For the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981.

Table 15

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balances | 2966 | 3313 | 3536 | 3720 | 3812 | 3899 | 3883 | 4090 |
| Interest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 259 | 304 | 247 | 248 | 258 | 318 | 326 | 242 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 259.7 | 266.7 | 258.3 | 281.2 | 270.7 | 250.5 | 222.5 | 190.8 |
| Net interest /exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of goods and services | 22.3 | 23.9 | 17.6 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 10.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
' Preliminary figures. Total public and State-guaranteed private external debt. ${ }^{c}{ }^{\text {c }}$ Corresponds to the "interest paid or due" entry of the balance-of-payme'nts current account. Corresponds to the net item of the balance of payments.

Table 16
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: DOMESTIC PRICES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variation December to December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 7.1 | 7.7 | 38.0 | 28.3 | 6.5 | 25.0 | 57.6 | 41.2 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 9.5 | 4.0 | 38.0 | 36.2 | 9.4 | 30.6 | 69.6 | 36.7 |
| Housing | 4.7 | 12.3 | 25.0 | 17.2 | 7.0 | 17.3 | 41.9 | 45.0 |
| Wearing apparel and footwear | 11.6 | 20.6 | 68.9 | 38.1 | 4.8 | 21.6 | 68.3 | 50.5 |
| Other | 2.9 | 7.2 | 46.1 | 18.4 | -1.6 | 19.0 | 30.1 | 49.9 |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 7.6 | 6.9 | 24.4 | 37.5 | 9.7 | 15.9 | 44.4 | 45.4 |
| Food, beverages and tobacco | 7.9 | 6.5 | 21.9 | 39.3 | 15.3 | 20.7 | 53.3 | 48.2 |
| Housing | 9.4 | 8.2 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 9.2 | 13.2 | 34.5 | 38.0 |
| Wearing apparel and footwear | 9.6 | 14.4 | 46.9 | 57.3 | 15.5 | 12.0 | 44.2 | 60.7 |
| Other | 3.9 | 4.1 | 27.5 | 36.7 | 1.8 | 7.2 | 27.4 | 36.1 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.

Table 17
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: EVOLUTION OF MINIMUM WAGES

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | $1988^{\text {a }}$ | $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pesos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 125 | 125 | 158 | 213 | 250 | 283 | 425 | 550 |
| Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | 100.0 | 100.0 | 126.7 | 170.0 | 200.0 | 226.7 | 340.0 | 440.0 |
| Real | 86.4 | 80.8 | 82.2 | 80.2 | 86.0 | 84.1 | 87.4 | 77.8 |
| Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal | . | - | 26.7 | 34.2 | 17.6 | 13.3 | 50.0 | 29.4 |
| Real | -7.1 | -6.5 | 1.7 | -2.4 | 7.2 | -2.2 | 3.9 | -11.0 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{s}$ Increased to 500 pesos in July. ${ }^{b}$ Increased to 700 pesos in October. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Minimum wage for employees and workers of large private sector enterprises.

Table 18
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Millions of pesos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 2148 | 2878 | 4428 | 5785 | 30.7 | 34.0 | 53.9 | 30.6 |
| Tax revenue | 2013 | 2522 | 3840 | 5236 | 31.8 | 253 | 523 | 36.4 |
| Direct | 454 | 593 | 916 | 1367 | 24.4 | 30.6 | 54.5 | 49.2 |
| Indirect | 834 | 738 | 960 | 1186 | 42.3 | -11.5 | 30.1 | 23.5 |
| On foreign trade | 691 | 1143 | 1826 | 2346 | 26.8 | 65.4 | 59.8 | 28.5 |
| Other taxes | 34 | 48 | 138 | 337 | 9.7 | 41.2 | 187.5 | 144.2 |
| Non-tax revenue | 135 | 356 | 588 | 549 | 16.4 | 163.7 | 65.2 | -6.6 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 1609 | 1471 | 2128 | 2544 | 14.9 | -8.6 | 44.7 | 19.5 |
| Wages and salaries | 696 | 677 | 965 | 1191 | 13.5 | -2.7 | 42.5 | 23.4 |
| Current transfers | 578 | 446 | 747 | 790 | 39.3 | -22.8 | 67.5 | 5.8 |
| Other expenditures | 335 | 348 | 416 | 563 | -9.9 | 3.9 | 19.5 | 35.3 |
| 3. Credit deficit (-) or surplus (+) (1-2) | 539 | 1407 | 2300 | 3241 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 617 | 1806 | 2318 | 3013 | 39.3 | 192.7 | 283 | 30.0 |
| Real investment | 232 | 1223 | 1628 | 2124 | 77.1 | 427.2 | 33.1 | 30.5 |
| Capital transfers | 327 | 535 | 547 | 643 | 36.3 | 63.6 | 2.2 | 17.6 |
| Other capital expenditure | 58 | 48 | 143 | 246 | -19.4 | -17.2 | 197.9 | 72.0 |
| 5. Total expenditure (2+4) | 2226 | 3277 | 4446 | 5557 | 20.8 | 47.2 | 35.7 | 25.0 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (-) or surplus (+) (1-5) | -78 | -399 | -18 | 227 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Financing of deficit | 78 | 399 | 18 | -227 |  |  |  |  |
| Net domestic financing | -266 | 202 | -336 | -287 |  |  |  |  |
| External financing | 344 | 197 | 354 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| Loans received | 161 | 143 | 221 | 212 |  |  |  |  |
| Less amortization payments | 22 | 11 | - | 213 |  |  |  |  |
| Other sources | 205 | 65 | 133 | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios (percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current saving/capital expenditure | 87.4 | 77.9 | 85.0 | 101.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue/GDP | 12.8 | 13.0 | 13.6 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 13.3 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | -0.5 | -2.1 | -0.1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Ministry of Finance and the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes extraordinary domestic income.

Table 19

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | End-year balances (millions of pesos) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total assets (factors of expansion) | 4630 | 3654 | 5797 | 6842 | -21.1 | 58.6 | 18.0 |
| Net international reserves | -830 | -2 086 | -1956 | -2178 |  |  |  |
| External assets | 1548 | 1763 | 2981 | 2286 | 13.9 | 69.1 | -23.3 |
| Short-term external liabilities | -2 378 | -3849 | -4937 | -4 464 |  |  |  |
| Domestic credit | 5460 | 5740 | 7753 | 9020 | 5.1 | 35.1 | 16.3 |
| To central government (net) | 958 | 1063 | 856 | 808 | 11.0 | -19.5 | -5.6 |
| To private sector | 2570 | 3100 | 4230 | 5761 | 20.6 | 36.5 | 36.2 |
| Other | 1932 | 1577 | 2667 | 2451 | -18.4 | 69.1 | -8.1 |
| Total liabilities and capital | 4630 | 3654 | 5797 | 6842 | -21.1 | 58.6 | 18.0 |
| Money supply (Mi) | 2492 | 3095 | 4521 | 5644 | 24.2 | 46.1 | 24.8 |
| Currency outside banks | 938 | 1318 | 1856 | 2683 | 40.5 | 40.8 | 44.6 |
| Demand deposits | 1554 | 1777 | 2665 | 2961 | 14.4 | 50.0 | 11.1 |
| Absorption factors | 2138 | 559 | 1276 | 1198 | -73.9 | 1283 | -6.1 |
| Quasi-money | 2326 | 2374 | 3381 | 4684 | 2.1 | 42.4 | 38.5 |
| Saving deposits | 856 | 1073 | 1534 | b | 25.4 | 43.0 | b |
| Time deposits | 1440 | 1267 | 1749 | 4246 | -12.0 | 38.0 | 142.8 |
| Special deposits | 30 | 34 | 98 | 438 | 13.3 | 188.2 | 346.9 |
| Medium- and long-term external loans | 3547 | 5536 | 7802 | 8208 | 56.1 | 40.9 | 5.2 |
| Other (net) | -3735 | -7351 | -9907 | -11 694 |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mi} /$ Monetary base ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.56 | 1.59 | 1.57 | 1.56 |  |  |  |
| GDP/Mi | 8.38 | 7.29 | 7.52 | 8.74 |  |  |  |
| Mi/GDP | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.11 |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
" Preliminary figures. Includes savings and time deposits. Monetary base with respect to Mi.

Table 20

## DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: LOANS GRANTED

 BY COMMERCIAL BANKS| Total | 3277 | $3 \mathbf{9 5 8}$ | 4982 | $6 \mathbf{6 4 1}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8}$ | 25.9 | 333 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture | 279 | 567 | 616 | 807 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 41.6 | 103.2 | 8.6 | 31.0 |
| Sugar industry | 141 | 36 | 28 | - | 2.4 | - | 200.0 | -74.5 | -22.2 |  |
| Manufacturing | 830 | 969 | 1277 | 1943 | 30.7 | 29.3 | 40.9 | 16.7 | 31.8 | 52.2 |
| Construction | 158 | 170 | 378 | 652 | 5.9 | 9.8 | 88.1 | 7.6 | 122.4 | 72.5 |
| Commerce | 425 | 491 | 1135 | 1714 | 14.1 | 25.8 | 70.7 | 15.5 | 131.2 | 51.0 |
| Public sector | 833 | 983 | 1065 | 1160 | 19.2 | 17.5 | 21.8 | 18.0 | 8.3 | 8.9 |
| Imports | 84 | 104 | - | - | 6.7 | - | 16.7 | 23.8 |  |  |
| Exports | 232 | 240 | - | - | 4.2 | - | 60.0 | 3.4 |  |  |
| Other | 295 | 398 | 483 | 365 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 81.0 | 34.9 | 21.4 | -24.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Since 1987 loans to agroindustry are also included.

## URUGUAY

The Uruguayan economy grew by $1 \%$ in 1989 after virtually stagnating the year before. Its trade balance amounted to $5 \%$ of the product, thus giving rise to a favourable current account balance of almost $2 \%$ of the product. A larger public-sector financial imbalance and less demand for liquidity helped to speed up inflation, which, measured according to the variation of consumer prices, bordered on $90 \%$ for the year. The size of the fiscal deficit (more than $6 \%$ of the product) and the positive balance on current account show that private savings greatly exceeded private investment (see table 1 and figure 1).

The economic programme formulated at the beginning of the year began in a situation of stagnating production and rising inflation (which had reached 70\%), a fiscal imbalance of around $5 \%$ of the product, and an unstable subregional context, this latter a most important factor since Argentina and Brazil account for two-fifths of Uruguay's foreign trade. At the same time, international interest rates were tending to rise, simultaneously affecting the public budget and the balance of payments, while the external indebtedness of the public sector represented more than twice the country's exports and half of the product.

In this context, the basic objectives of the economic policy were to lower the non-financial public sector deficit to $3.6 \%$ of the product -a gap that would be financed in equal parts with external and domestic resources- and keep the level of international reserves unchanged. It was hoped that these goals would make it possible to reduce inflation to an annual rate of $50 \%$, bearing in mind that at the end of 1988 the monetary base was barely more than $5 \%$ of the product and economic growth of the order of $4 \%$ was projected. However, several of these hypotheses proved to be wrong, while some domestic policies veered from the original programme.

Firstly, the economic instability of the neighbouring countries continued to present considerable problems to the Uruguayan economy. Relative price levels vis-à-vis Argentina and Brazil oscillated greatly in terms of the respective national currencies on the free market, and this caused abrupt changes in trade flows, with different effects according to the sectors involved. Thus, the net balance of trade with Argentina was unfavourable, influenced by Uruguayans travelling there to shop on a scale which became considerable, especially during the second and third quarters. The trade balance with Brazil, on the other hand, turned out to be favourable, owing to the doubling of exports to that country.

The persistent drought in extensive areas of the country during most of the year caused serious damage. Stock-raising and hydroelectric power were the most affected sectors. The repercussions on stock-raising will continue to be felt for a considerable time, since the drought made it necessary to alter the structure of the herds. However, the need to reduce the number of animals on impoverished land led to a substantial increase in beef exports and stimulated the manufacture of food products.

Secondly, the expectations of economic agents suffered a pronounced deterioration. The political debate prior to the general elections in November raised doubts about the future of the economic policy, and the economic climate was also affected by the brusque changes in relative prices with Argentina. Since there were imbalances between national-currency interest rates and the corresponding rates calculated on the basis of the foreign-currency rate and the devaluation expected by the economic agents, the persistent process of using the dollar as the basis of the Uruguayan economy was further stimulated. Thus, the velocity of circulation of

Figure 1
URUGUAY: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
money taken as a hypothesis in the programme at the beginning of the year was amply surpassed, particularly during the first six months.

In these circumstances, domestic demand grew by less than $1 \%$ and the physical volume of exports increased by almost $6 \%$, so that they amounted for close to $30 \%$ of the product. The rapid drop in dollar prices in Argentina in mid-year gave Uruguayan travellers more purchasing power and thus increased their real income. This phenomenon stimulated the expansion of private consumption, but, as a counterpart, had a decisive impact on the increase in the physical volume of imports. At the same time, the political uncertainty and the effects of the drought, which caused a thinning of the herds, further slowed gross investment,, which declined to a mere $8 \%$ of the product, while fixed capital formation diminished by only $1 \%$.

Domestic saving remained at the low levels of the last two years, around one-eighth of the product, and this, together with the gain from the terms of trade, made it possible to finance the scanty capital formation, the almost five and a half percent of the product allocated for keeping up interest payments on the external debt, and a significant increase in reserves.

The slight expansion of the product was based mainly on services, since the production of goods continued to stagnate in general terms, albeit with marked sectoral differences. Within services, banking once more proved to be the most dynamic sector, owing to its off-shore operations for clients from the subregion.

In spite of the increase in national income, real wages contracted, although only slightly, for the first time in the last five years. However, while the real wages of public employees declined, those in the private sector increased. Thus, in the four-year period 1986-1989 public sector employees received a real increase of only $1 \%$, while real wages in the private sector grew by $21 \%$. The unemployment rate, for its
part, continued to decline registering an annual average of $8.6 \%$, and in December it reached the lowest level (8\%) of the decade.

Inflation accelerated in the first part of 1989 and again towards the end of the period, so that the annual variation in the price index reached nearly $90 \%$ : the highest level since 1985. The greater financial demands made by the central government on the monetary authority, the contraction of demand for local currency and a generalized passive indexation of the economy, in the framework of a dirty float of the exchange rate which kept the rate of devaluation around $80 \%$ annually, were the main reasons why inflation speeded up once again. On the other hand, the shift in private consumption towards the Argentine market and the greater supply of beef were elements that moderated the price rises.

The favourable evolution of the terms of trade and the big increase in beef sales abroad resulted in a trade surplus of almost US $\$ 500$ million, equivalent to a quarter of all exports. This surplus easily exceeded the net factor payments (US\$350 million), even though these increased owing to the combined effect of more debt and the rise in international interest rates. The positive balance on current account was reflected in an increase in reserves, but the capital account showed a deficit of US\$30 million, due to outflows of private capital and an increase in credits to buyers in the rest of the world. The net transfer of resources to the exterior thus rose to almost $5 \%$ of the product, further worsening the trend already observed the previous year.

The public sector accounts continued to deteriorate. The quasi-fiscal deficit was more than $3 \%$ of the product and represented half of the total fiscal imbalance, the other half being attributable to the deficit of the central government, whose income contracted by more than $8 \%$ in real terms, while its expenditures remained the same. It may be noted that the consolidated balance of public enterprises once again showed a slight surplus.

[^59]Figure 1
URUGUAY: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX
(Percentages)



Source: ECLAC, on the basts of official ftgureB.

The financing of the central government deficit was substantially distinct from that of previous years. During the triennium 1986-1988, net sales of public securities had been sufficient to meet the financial needs of the Government, thus helping to bring about a contraction in the means of payment. In 1989, however, financing with public securities only covered a little over half of the deficit, obliging the central government to have resource to bank credit for a sum slightly above $1 \%$ of the product.

Added to the greater monetary impact of the fiscal deficit was a sharp drop in the demand for money, which led to a contraction in the main monetary aggregates in real terms (-12\% for money and -18\% for quasi-money). The annual average ratio between money and the product was thus around $5 \%$, reducing once again the taxable base of the "inflation tax". On the other hand, deposits in foreign currency grew by more than US\$1 billion ( $38 \%$ of the balances at the beginning of the year) owing largely to deposits by non-residents.

Table 1

## URUGUAY: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes (1980 = 100)

## Basic economic indicators

| Gross domestic product at market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| prices | 91.2 | 85.8 | 84.7 | 84.8 | 91.4 | 97.3 | 97.5 | 98.7 |
| Gross national income | 89.4 | 79.2 | 76.3 | 74.8 | 85.8 | 93.3 | 94.7 | 97.3 |
| Population (millions of inhabitants) | 2.95 | 2.97 | 2.99 | 3.01 | 3.03 | 3.04 | 3.06 | 3.08 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | 90.1 | 84.2 | 82.5 | 82.2 | 88.1 | 93.2 | 92.8 | 93,5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector deficit/GDP |  |  |  | 7.1 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 6.1 |
| Money (MI)/GDP (annual average) | 8.4 | 6.6 | $\boldsymbol{U}$ | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.0 |
| Real effective exchange rate | 106.0 | 141.9 | 130.8 | 130.9 | 132.0 | 130.9 | 145.3 | 149.1 |
| Rate of unemployment cc | 11.9 | 15.5 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.6 |

## Growth rates

| Short-run economic indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic product | -10.1 | -6.0 | -1.3 | 0.2 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Per capita gross domestic product | -10.6 | -6.6 | -1.9 | -0.4 | 7.2 | 5.8 | -0.4 | 0.7 |
| Gross national income | -11.8 | -11.5 | -3.6 | -2.0 | 14.7 | 8.8 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
| Consumer prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December to December | 20.5 | 51.5 | 66.1 | 83.0 | 70.7 | 57.3 | 69.0 | 89.2 |
| Real wages and salaries | -0.3 | -20.7 | -9.0 | 14.1 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 1.5 | -0.4 |
| Current value of exports of goods and services | -9.6 | -8.2 | -8.6 | -2.8 | 19.7 | 3.2 | 13.9 | 13.2 |
| Current value of imports of goods and services | -24.4 | -24.7 | -10.7 | -3.2 | 14.2 | 19.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| Terms of trade (goods and services) | 1.9 | -11.9 | -3.7 | -8.3 | 19.3 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 6.5 |

## Millions of dollars

External sector

| Trade balance (goods and services) | -48 | 217 | 222 | 220 | 320 | 142 | 318 | 494 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net payments of profits and interest | 197 | 288 | 362 | 351 | 278 | 281 | 306 | 349 |
| Balance on current account | -245 | -71 | -139 | -131 | 42 | -139 | 12 | 145 |
| Balance on capital account | -172 | 4 | 53 | 201 | 246 | 221 | 6 | -31 |
| Variation in international |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| reserves (net) | -497 | -104 | -55 | 21 | 221 | 5 | 45 | -120 |
| Gross external debt | 4238 | 4572 | 4671 | 4900 | 5238 | 5888 | 6330 | 6785 |
| Net external debt | 1790 | 2523 | 2964 | 2911 | 2631 | 2788 | 3166 | 3190 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average annual rate in Montevideo. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Percentages.

Table 2
URUGUAY: EVOLUTION OF GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 93.3 | 94.7 | 97.3 | 98.6 | 94.6 | 95.8 | 97.2 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 97.3 | 97.5 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | , | -0.8 | 0.7 | 2.7 |
| c) Net foreign factor payments | 317.7 | 336.6 | 377.2 | -1.4 | -4.7 | -4.9 | -5.4 |
| d) Net private transfer from abroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. | statistic |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table3
URUGUAY: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes ( $1980=100$ ) |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total supply | 85.6 | 91.9 | 92.0 | 933 | 130.5 | 123.4 | 10.8 | 73 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 91.4 | 97.3 | 97.5 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Imports of goods and services | 66.5 | 74.1 | 74.2 | 75.7 | 30.5 | 23.4 | 26.4 | 11.4 | 0.1 | 2.0 |
| Total demand | 85.6 | 91.9 | 92.0 | 933 | 130.5 | 123.4 | 10.8 | 73 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Domestic demand | 77.1 | 86.6 | 85.7 | 86.1 | 108.8 | 95.0 | 10.7 | 12.4 | -1.1 | 0.6 |
| Gross domestic investment | 40.7 | 50.4 | 51.7 | 46.3 | 17.3 | 8.1 | 4.2 | 23.9 | 2.5 | -10.4 |
| Gross fixed investment | 41.6 | 49.8 | 51.8 | 51.1 | 16.7 | 8.7 | 12.6 | 19.6 | 4.0 | -1.3 |
| Construction | 42.1 | 47.4 | 49.0 |  | 11.4 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 12.6 | 3.5 |  |
| Machinery | 40.5 | 54.9 | 57.6 |  | 5.3 | 2.7 | 32.4 | 35.5 | 5.0 |  |
| Variation in stocks | 16.7 | 68.6 | 49.1 | -82.3 | 0.6 | -0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 84.0 | 93.5 | 92.1 | 93.7 | 91.5 | 86.8 | 11.3 | 11.3 | -1.5 | 1.7 |
| General government | 110.2 | 110.7 | 111.2 | 113.2 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| Private | 79.9 | 90.8 | 89.1 | 90.6 | 79.0 | 72.5 | 13.0 | 13.7 | -1.9 | 1.7 |
| Exports of goods and services | 128.3 | 118.1 | 124.0 | 129.3 | 21.7 | 28.4 | 11.0 | -7.9 | 5.0 | 4.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Central Bank of Uruguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were taken from balance-of-payments data expressed in dollars at current prices obtained from the International Monetary Fund which were converted to constant 1980 values using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
URUGUAY: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS
DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) $)^{3}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic investment | 14.2 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.1 |
| Gross domestic saving | 13.5 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 12.1 | 13.6 | 13.2 |
| Net income from factor services | -3.3 | -5.4 | -6.7 | -6.4 | -5.2 | -4.7 | -4.9 | -5.4 |
| Terms-of-trade effect | -0.1 | -3.6 | -4.4 | -6.6 | -2.2 | -0.8 | 0.7 | 2.7 |
| Unrequited private transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross national saving | 10.1 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 9.4 | 10.4 |
| External saving | 4.0 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 2.4 | -0.8 | 2.3 | -0.2 | -2.3 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data. | adjusted exchangì rate. |  |  | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures. |  |  |  |  |

Table 5

## URUGUAY: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Gross domestic product | 97.3 | 97.5 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 13 |
| Goods ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 91.0 | 89.2 | 89.1 | 45.7 | 41.2 | 9.7 | 10.0 | -2.0 | -0.1 |
| Agriculture ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 104.1 | 104.7 | 106.2 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Manufacturing | 94.0 | 90.5 | 88.6 | 28.7 | 25.8 | 12.1 | 12.4 | -3.7 | -2.1 |
| Construction | 47.9 | 49.7 | 55.6 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 11.7 |
| Basic services | 1063 | 109.6 | 108.8 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 3.2 | -0.8 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 135.0 | 145.3 | 127.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 16.0 | 7.6 | -12.3 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 99.3 | 100.9 | 104.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 10.0 | 5.9 | 1.7 | 3.3 |
| Other services | 102.0 | 103.7 | 106.4 | 47.1 | 50.8 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 85.1 | 84.8 | 85.6 | 20.2 | 17.6 | 12.0 | 6.1 | -0.3 | 1.0 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 117.1 | 123.1 | 129.3 | 11.7 | 15.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 107.4 | 107.9 | 108.4 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.0 0.5 |
| Community, social and personal services | 113.0 | 113.9 | 116.6 | 15.2 | 17.9 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted according to the structure of current 1980 prices. Therefore, the results do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries on the basis of calculations at constant prices.
Preliminary figures. Includes mining. Includes livestock, forestry and fishing.

Tableó
URUGUAY: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | Indexes ( $1980=100)$ |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 101.5 | 104.1 | 104.7 | 106.2 | 43 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Index of physical volume of production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop-farming | 833 | 943 | 93.6 | 98.7 | -1.9 | 13.2 | -0.7 | 5.4 |
| Cereals | 78.5 | 95.1 | 103.3 | 1393 | -7.9 | 21.1 | 8.6 | 34.8 |
| Oilseeds | 72.5 | 68.1 | 63.9 | 63.3 | 33.8 | -6.1 | -6.2 | -0.9 |
| Sugar-bearing crops | 65.5 | 55.9 | 69.5 | 75.3 | 37.3 | -14.7 | 24.3 | 8.3 |
| Root crops and tubers | 60.3 | 82.9 | 50.4 | 52.5 | -20.9 | 37.5 | -39.2 | 4.2 |
| Wine grapes | 124.3 | 138.5 | 138.5 | 99.3 | 16.3 | 11.4 | -28.3 |  |
| Other | 97.6 | 101.5 | 100.6 | 110.6 | 0.1 | 4.0 | -0.9 | 9.9 |
| Stock-raising | 107.9 | 106.0 | 106.6 | 105.2 | 7.7 | -1.8 | 0.6 | -13 |
| Beef cattle | 96.6 | 89.0 | 92.6 | 76.4 | 12.9 | -7.9 | 4.0 | -17.5 |
| Other animals | 83.2 | 86.8 | 97.2 | 93.5 | 17.5 | 4.3 | 12.0 | -3.8 |
| Wool | 133.1 | 131.2 | 119.4 | 141.3 | 6.1 | -1.4 | -9.0 | 18.3 |
| Milk | 117.1 | 120.5 | 125.2 | 127.6 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 1.9 |
| Poultry and beekeeping | 83.5 | 95.5 | 103.9 | 107.7 | -6.2 | 14.4 | 8.8 | 3.7 |
| Fisheries | 112.1 | 107.1 | 79.5 | 91.2 | -1.9 | -4.5 | -25.7 | 14.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 7
URUGUAY: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | Index (1982== 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1982 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food | 87.0 | 93.5 | 95.8 |  |  | -4.6 | 7.5 | 2.5 |
| Other food industries | 100.7 | 153.7 | 143.2' | 22.6 | 29.2 | 13.8 | 52.6 | -6.8 |
| Beverages | 98.0 | 93.7 | 95.9 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 8.4 | -4.4 | 2.3 |
| Tobacco | 90.6 | 93.1 | 100.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 11.0 | 2.8 | 7.7 |
| Textiles | 156.5 | 149.5 | 142.3 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 1.0 | -4.5 | -4.8 |
| Clothing | 141.8 | 117.4 | 132.8 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 7.6 | -17.2 | 13.1 |
| Leather | 95.8 | 82.7 | 98.1 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 4.5 | -13.7 | 18.6 |
| Footwear | 119.6 | 107.6 | 107.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 11.8 | -10.0 | -0.3 |
| Paper | 150.4 | 145.9 | 138.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.2 | -3.0 | -5.4 |
| Printing and publishing | 117.2 | 99.5 | 95.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 14.2 | -15.1 | -4.4 |
| Industrial chemicals | 172.3 | 177.8 | 196.8 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 21.2 | 3.2 | 10.7 |
| Other chemicals | 108.8 | 112.4 | 97.8 | 5.2 | 4.6 | -1.3 | 3.3 | -13.0 |
| Petroleum refining | 81.7 | 82.6 | 81.3 | 16.4 | 12.0 | 15.7 | 1.1 | -1.6 |
| Rubber | 176.6 | 180.0 | 158.9 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 13.4 | 1.9 | -11.7 |
| Plastic | 142.2 | 112.5 | 114.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 14.9 | -20.9 | 1.9 |
| Pottery, earthenware and china | 233.2 | 266.3 | 305.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 32.7 | 14.2 | 14.6 |
| Glass | 176.2 | 123.3 | 112.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 24.2 | -30.0 | -8.8 |
| Other non-metallic mineral products | 77.8 | 79.3 | 84.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 35.8 | 1.9 | 7.1 |
| Basic ferrous metals | 118.8 | 115.6 | 115.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 18.8 | -2.7 | -0.1 |
| Basic non-ferrous metals | 145.1 | 148.3 | 145.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 2.2 | -2.0 |
| Metal products | 134.7 | 120.0 | 112.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 19.5 | -10.9 | -6.0 |
| Electrical machinery, equipment and supplies | 123.9 | 108.8 | 102.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 15.5 | -12.2 | -6.1 |
| Transport equipment | 195.1 | 172.9 | 143.0 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 54.2 | -11.4 | -17.3 |
| Scientific, professional and other equipment | 108.8 | 129.7 | 132.6 | - | - | 5.2 | 19.2 | 2.2 |
| Other manufacturing industries | 154.5 | 138.1 | 121.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 16.5 | -10.6 | -12.4 |

Source: Central Bank of Uruguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 8

## URUGUAY: RATE OF ACTIVITY, EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT <br> IN MONTEVIDEO <br> (Percentages)

| Rate of | At end of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 |  |  |  | 1988 |  |  |  | 1989 |  |  |  |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |
| Activity | 60.5 | 60.0 | 60.9 | 59.7 | 61.2 | 58.6 | 58.9 | 58.7 | 59.3 | 60.1 | 59.5 | 60.0 |
| Employment | 54.3 | 54.5 | 55.8 | 53.8 | 55.2 | 53.8 | 53.3 | 54.0 | 54.2 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 55.4 |
| Unemployment | 10.2 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 8.6 | 7.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the Department of Statistics and Censuses.
Note: The values correspond to the months of the quarterly averages.

Table 9
URUGUAY: RATE OF UNEMPLOYMENT IN MONTEVIDEO, BY BRANCH OF ACnVITY AND QUARTERS
(Percentages)

| Branch of activity | At end of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 |  |  |  | .L989 |  |  |  |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | ii | III | IV |
| Manufacturing | 8.9 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| Construction | 6.4 | 13.1 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 8.2 |
| Commerce | 9.7 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 7.3 - | 6.9 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data from the Department of Statistics and Censuses.
Note: The values correspond to the middle months of the quarterly averages.

Table 10

## URUGUAY: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS <br> (Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -245 | -71 | -139 | -131 | 42 | -139 | 12 | 145 |
| Trade balance | -48 | 217 | 222 | 220 | 320 | 142 | 318 | 494 |
| Exports of goods and services | 1537 | 1411 | 1289 | 1253 | 1500 | 1547 | 1763 | 1995 |
| Goods FOB | 1256 | 1156 | 925 | 854 | 1088 | 1182 | 1405 | 1599 |
| Real services | 281 | 255 | 365 | 399 | 412 | 365 | 358 | 396 |
| Transport and insurance | 81 | 71 | 69 | 68 | 71 | 73 | 72 | 89 |
| Travel | 106 | 90 | 210 | 235 | 258 | 208 | 203 | 228 |
| Imports of goods and services | 1585 | 1194 | 1067 | 1033 | 1180 | 1405 | 1445 | 1501 |
| Goods FOB | 1038 | 740 | 732 | 675 | 815 | 1080 | 1112 | 1136 |
| Real services | 547 | 455 | 335 | 357 | 365 | 325 | 332 | 364 |
| Transport and insurance | 116 | 85 | 80 | 89 | 100 | 102 | 102 | 109 |
| Travel | 304 | 259 | 154 | 162 | 174 | 129 | 138 | 167 |
| Factor services | -197 | -288 | -362 | -351 | -278 | -281 | -306 | -349 |
| Profits | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Interest received | 147 | 63 | 87 | 77 | 93 | 103 | 115 | 203 |
| Interest paid | -344 | -350 | -449 | -428 | -371 | -384 | -420 | -552 |
| Labour and property |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Unrequited private transfer payments | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Balance on capital account | -172 | 4 | 53 | 201 | 246 | 221 | 6 | -31 |
| Unrequited official transfer payments | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 25 | 8 | 21 | 8 |
| Long-term capital | 515 | 643 | 42 | 60 | 137 | 80 | -25 | 34 |
| Direct investment | -14 | 6 | 3 | -8 | -4 | 5 | -2 |  |
| Portfolio investment | -7 | -16 | 18 | 96 | 86 | 13 | 37 | 50 |
| Other long-term capital | 536 | 653 | 20 | -29 | 55 | 62 | -60 | -16 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 423 | 329 | 46 | -23 | 44 | 49 | -40 | -29 |
| Loans received | 456 | 531 | 90 | 21 | 74 | 152 | 92 | 80 |
| Amortization payments | -29 | -198 | -43 | -44 | -30 | -102 | -131 | -109 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -5 | 37 | -1 | -5 | -1 | 6 | 1 | -6 |
| Loans received | 1 | 43 |  |  | - | 6 | 2 | 9 |
| Amortization payments | -2 | -9 | -1 | -1 | -1 | - | -1 | -15 |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 118 | 287 | -25 | -1 | 12 | 7 | -21 | 19 |
| Loans received | 182 | 346 | 62 | 30 | 39 | 48 | 17 | 51 |
| Amortization payments | -64 | -58 | -87 | -31 | -27 | -40 | -38 | -32 |
| Short-term capital | 567 | -394 | 123 | -134 | -156 | 203 | 219 | -28 |
| Official sector | 83 | 41 | 43 | 125 | 5 | 174 | 142 | 80 |
| Commercial banks | 240 | -67 | 37 | -148 | -69 | -14 | 174 | 37 |
| Other sectors | 244 | -368 | 43 | -111 | -92 | 43 | -96 | -145 |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -1264 | -256 | -121 | 265 | 239 | -70 | -210 | -45 |
| Global balance | -417 | -67 | -86 | 70 | 288 | 82 | 19 | 114 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 497 | 104 | 55 | -21 | -221 | -5 | -45 | -120 |
| Monetary gold | 85 | 52 | -8 | -6 | -1 | -3 | -2 | 1 |
| Special Drawing Rights | 41 | -2 | -1 | -10 | 3 | -56 | 38 | 6 |
| IMF reserve position | 33 | -10 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Foreign exchange assets | 240 | -79 | 64 | -30 | -310 | 8 | -40 | -189 |
| Other assets | 3 | 2 | 5 | -103 | 42 | 49 | 42 | 168 |
| Use of IMF credit | 96 | 141 | -15 | 127 | 45 | -3 | -83 | -106 |

Source: 1982-1988, ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the International Monetary Fund; 1989, ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Equals total variation in reserves (of opposite sign) plus counterpart items.

URUGUAY
Table 11
URUGUAY: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS (GOODS)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 2.2 | -8.0 | -20.1 | -7.7 | 27.4 | 8.7 | 18.8 | 13.8 |
| Volume | 12.6 | 3.0 | -21.9 | -0.6 | 25.5 | -2.9 | 8.3 | 6.1 |
| Unit value | -9.3 | -10.7 | 2.3 | -7.1 | 15 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 7.3 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | -34.8 | -28.8 | -1.0 | -7.8 | 20.6 | 32.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| Volume | -31.5 | -20.9 | 3.9 | -10.2 | 38.2 | 21.7 | 0.1 | -4.3 |
| Unit value | -4.8 | -10.0 | -4.7 | 2.7 | -12.7 | 8.9 | 2.9 | 6.7 |
| Terms of trade | -5.0 | -1.1 | 6.6 | -9.4 | 15.0 | 3.5 | 6.4 | 0.6 |
|  | Indexes ( $1980=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing power of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume of exports | 129.0 | 132.9 | 103.9 | 103.3 | 129.6 | 125.8 | 136.2 | 144.6 |
| Volume of imports | 62.2 | 49.2 | 51.1 | 45.9 | 63.5 | 77.3 | 77.3 | 74.0 |
| Terms of trade (FOB/CIF) | 91.4 | 90.3 | 96.3 | 87.3 | 100.4 | 103.9 | 110.6 | 111.2 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data provided by the Central Bank of Uruguay. <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12
URUGUAY: EXCHANGE RATES

|  | Nominal exchange rates (pesos per dollar) | Indexes of effective real exchange rate$(1985=100)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exports |  | Imports |  |
|  |  | A | B | $A^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1970-1979 |  | 96.6 |  | 85.8 | 97.5 |
| 1980-1989 |  | 98.2 | 75.0 | 95.8 | 97.7 |
| 1980 | 9 | 82.4 | 46.4 | 89.8 | 87.4 |
| 1981 | 11 | 76.6 | 36.9 | 83.2 | 80.5 |
| 1982 | 14 | 83.3 | 38.2 | 89.1 | 86.0 |
| 1983 | 35 | 109.2 | 75.2 | 113.1 | 110.9 |
| 1984 | 56 | 100.0 | 93.5 | 103.3 | 102.2 |
| 1985 | 101 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 152 | 101.2 | 90.5 | 91.7 | 97.3 |
| 1987 | 227 | 101.5 | 86.5 | 87.9 | 95.2 |
| 1988 | 359 | 112.5 | 92.0 | 98.4 | 106.9 |
| 1989 | 606 | 115.4 | 91.0 | 101.6 | 110.7 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 192 | 100.6 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 94.6 |
| II | 213 | 104.8 | 88.3 | 90.8 | 98.4 |
| III | 236 | 97.0 | 84.6 | 83.9 | 90.9 |
| IV | 266 | 103.6 | 87.0 | 89.2 | 96.8 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 299 | 111.6 | 90.0 | 96.5 | 104.7 |
| II | 336 | 116.6 | 94.6 | 101.3 | 110.1 |
| III | 376 | 109.3 | 92.4 | 96.3 | 104.8 |
| IV | 426 | 112.5 | 91.1 | 99.3 | 108.1 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 479 | 117.0 | 92.9 | 104.3 | 113.7 |
| II | 549 | 108.9 | 87.8 | 93.8 | 102.2 |
| III | 645 | 112.6 | 90.6 | 98.8 | 107.7 |
| IV | 750 | 123.2 | 92.9 | 109.5 | 119.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information from the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics. Note: A: Deflated by the wholesale price index.

B: Deflated by the index of average nominal wages.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Corresponds to the average of the real exchange rate indexes for the peso with respect to the currencies of Uruguay's main trading partners, weighted by the relative significance of exports or imports to or from those countries, as appropriate. From 1975 to 1979, these weightings correspond to the average for those years and from 1980 onward, to the average for the period 1983-1987. Wholesale price indexes were used in these calculations whenever possible. For information on the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes the main petroleum-exporting countries. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Excludes the main petroleum-exporting countries.

Table 13
URUGUAY: EXPORTS OF GOODS FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1189 | 1404 | 1599 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 18.1 | 13.9 |
| Traditional | 392 | 539 | 593 | 39.3 | 37.1 | -2.5 | 37.5 | 10.0 |
| Non-traditional | 797 | 865 | 1006 | 60.7 | 62.9 | 16.4 | 8.5 | 16.3 |
| Principal sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Live animals and animal | 255 | 274 | 392 | 24.8 | 24.5 | -133 | 75 | 43.1 |
| Beef | 125 | 140 | 197 | 14.7 | 12.3 | -23.8 | 12.0 | 40.7 |
| Mutton | 6 | 11 | 26 | 1.3 | 1.6 | -72.7 | 83.3 | 136.4 |
| Other | 124 | 123 | 169 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 14.8 | -0.8 | 37.4 |
| Plant products | 99 | 159 | 168 | 10.1 | 10.5 | -25.6 | 60.6 | 5.7 |
| Rice | 53 | 90 | 87 | 6.1 | 5.4 | -32.1 | 69.8 | -3.3 |
| Other | 46 | 69 | 81 | 4.1 | 5.1 | -16.4 | 50.0 | 17.4 |
| Fats and oils | 4 | 7 | 7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | -50.0 | 75.0 |  |
| Food products, beverages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mineral products | 4 | 4 | 7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | -20.0 | - | 75.0 |
| Plastic and rubber |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hides, skins and leather products | 198 | 210 | 235 | 13.5 | 14.7 | 33.8 | 6.1 | 11.9 |
| Hides and skins | 83 | 105 | 129 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 12.2 | 26.5 | 22.9 |
| Leather products | 57 | 61 | 64 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 58.3 | 7.0 | 4.9 |
| Furs and fur products | 57 | 44 | 42 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 54.1 | -22.8 | -4.5 |
| Textiles and textile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| manufactures | 383 | 492 | 485 | 28.9 | 30.3 | 22.0 | 28.5 | -1.4 |
| Unwashed wool | 76 | 105 | 90 | 9.6 | 5.6 | 33.3 | 38.2 | -14.3 |
| Washed wool | 30 | 32 | 25 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 6.7 | -21.9 |
| Tops | 135 | 212 | 174 | 8.2 | 10.9 | 18.4 | 57.0 | -17.9 |
| Other | 142 | 143 | 197 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 24.6 | 0.7 | 37.8 |
| Footwear and other | 11 | 11 | 14 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 22.2 |  | 27.3 |
| Chemicals and related products | 77 | 84 | 100 | ~ | 6.3 | 54.0 | 9.1 | 19.0 |
| Other | 85 | 95 | 10 |  | 0.6 | 34.9 | 11.8 | -89.9 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data provided by the Central Bank of Uruguay.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures

Table 14
URUGUAY: FOREIGN TRADE BY GEOGRAPHICAL AND ECONOMIC ZONES

|  | Exports |  |  |  | Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| The Americas | 51.9 | 46.4 | 39.8 | 50.2 | 60.0 | 58.4 | 59.7 | 62.0 |
| ALADI | 38.7 | 30.2 | 26.9 | 36.9 | 50.3 | 48.6 | 50.4 | 50.8 |
| Argentina | 8.2 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 15.2 | 15.6 |
| Brazil | 27.2 | 17.2 | 16.3 | 27.7 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 26.1 | 25.8 |
| Mexico | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 5.9 |
| Other | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| United States | 11.9 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 9.6 |
| Rest of the region | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Europe | 32.6 | 36.8 | 36.6 | 30.9 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 28.4 | 23.4 |
| EEC | 25.9 | 28.1 | 26.7 | 22.7 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 19.9 |
| Germany | 9.1 | 10.3 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Other EEC countries | 16.8 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 12.9 | 14.5 | 13.7 |
| Eastern Europe | 4.5 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 1.4 |
| Other European countries | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 2.1 |
| Rest of world | 15.5 | 16.8 | 23.6 | 18.9 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 11.9 | 14.6 |
| Africa | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Asia | 10.7 | 8.7 | 14.1 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Middle East | 2.8 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 5.6 |
| Other countries | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data from the Central Bank of Uruguay.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 15
URUGUAY: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  | breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 1142 | 1177 | 1196 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3L3 | 3.1 | 1.6 |
| Consumer goods | 132 | 155 | 152 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 59.7 | 17.8 | -1.9 |
| Durable | 56 | 77 | 77 |  | 6.4 | 110.5 | 37.0 | - |
| Non-durable | 75 | 78 | 75 |  | 6.3 | 35.4 | 3.4 | -3.8 |
| Raw materials and intermediate goods | 857 | 841 | 888 | 74.3 | 74.2 | 24.9 | -1.8 | 5.6 |
| Petroleum and fuels | 167 | 155 | 197 | 27.5 | 16.5 | 5.5 | -7.1 | 27.1 |
| Other | 690 | 686 | 691 | 46.8 | 57.8 | 30.7 | -0.5 | 0.7 |
| Capital goods | 154 | 182 | 155 | 14.9 | 13.0 | 51.2 | 18.3 | -14.8 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data provided by the Central Bank of Uruguay.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 16
URUGUAY: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross external debt | 4238 | 4572 | 4671 | 4900 | 5238 | 5888 | 6330 | 6785 |
| Public debt | 2705 | 3197 | 3135 | 3551 | 3829 | 4228 | 4239 | 4313 |
| Financial sector | 1088 | 1565 | 1497 | 1629 | 1716 | 1803 | 1650 | 1562 |
| Non-financial sector | 1617 | 1632 | 1638 | 1922 | 2113 | 2425 | 2589 | 2751 |
| Private debt not guaranteed by the State ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1550 | 1392 | 1508 | 1349 | 1410 | 1660 | 2091 | 2472 |
| External debt (net) | 790 | 2523 | 2964 | 2911 | 2631 | 2788 | 3166 | 3190 |
| Public | 851 | 655 | 1928 | 2085 | 1862 | 1977 | 2197 | 2279 |
| Private | 939 | 869 | 1036 | 826 | 769 | 860 | 969 | 912 |
| Debt service | 512 | 536 | 545 | 598 | 497 | 582 | 630 | 693 |
| Principal | 168 | 186 | 96 | 170 | 126 | 198 | 210 | 141 |
| Interest | 344 | 350 | 449 | 428 | 371 | 384 | 420 | 552 |

As percentages of exports
of goods and services ${ }^{\text {c }}$
Debt service
Public external debt
Gross external debt

| 39.3 | 41.2 | 42.3 | 47.7 | 33.1 | 37.5 | 35.7 | 34.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 206.3 | 244.6 | 245.4 | 283.4 | 255.3 | 272.1 | 240.4 | 216.2 |
| 325.2 | 351.7 | 362.4 | 391.1 | 349.2 | 378.9 | 359.0 | 340.1 |
| 137.4 | 194.1 | 230.0 | 232.3 | 175.4 | 179.4 | 179.6 | 159.9 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data provided by the Central Bank of Uruguay.
" Preliminary figures. includes deposits of non-residents and reserve liabilities of commercial banks. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Gold sales excluded.

Table 17
URUGUAY: PUBLIC-SECTOR COMMITMENTS IN FOREIGN
CURRENCY
(Millions of dollars)


Table 18
URUGUAY: DOMESTIC PRICES
(Percentage growth rates)

|  | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December-December variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 51.5 | 66.1 | 83.0 | 70.7 | 57.3 | 69.0 | 89.2 |
| Food | 59.7 | 77.5 | 72.2 | 91.9 | 42.2 | 73.3 | 82.7 |
| Wholesale price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imported products | 78.2 | 75.8 | 77.6 | 10.6 | 87.2 | 50.1 | 88.2 |
| Domestic products | 73.8 | 84.0 | 74.2 | 66.9 | 57.2 | 60.5 | 80.7 |
| Agricultural products | 118.6 | 90.3 | 41.1 | 108.5 | 39.3 | 66.2 | 69.7 |
| Manufactures | 62.9 | 82.0 | 85.5 | 56.1 | 63.5 | 58.9 | 84.1 |
| Construction index | 38.8 | 65.8 | 93.3 | 71.1 | 74.9 | 58.9 | 99.7 |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price index | 49.6 | 55.3 | 72.1 | 76.5 | 63.5 | 62.2 | 80.4 |
| Food | 54.2 | 68.4 | 63.1 | 91.6 | 59.7 | 58.0 | 80.6 |
| Wholesale price index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imported products | 129.9 | 59.6 | 77.0 | 28.6 | 62.1 | 60.9 | 70.0 |
| Domestic products | 73.4 | 77.4 | 76.7 | 67.2 | 63.2 | 57.4 | 73.2 |
| Agricultural products | 89.0 | 90.1 | 53.8 | 83.7 | 67.7 | 48.8 | 74.1 |
| Manufactures | 69.2 | 73.5 | 84.2 | 62.6 | 61.8 | 60.2 | 72.9 |
| Construction index | 46.6 | 51.9 | 88.7 | 71.5 | 77.7 | 59.2 | 85.4 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the Central Bank of Uruguay and the Department of Statistics and Censuses.

Table 19
URUGUAY: EVOLUTION OF WAGES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Variation between annual averages)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 18.3 | 18.3 | 41.0 | 99.3 | 86.7 | 71.3 | 64.1 | 80.3 |
| Nominal wages | 18.6 | 16.9 | 35.3 | 99.4 | 83.0 | 64.3 | 62.6 | 74.2 |
| $\quad$ Public sector | 17.8 | 20.0 | 47.5 | 99.3 | 89.2 | 76.8 | 65.2 | 84.6 |
| Private sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -0.3 | -20.7 | -9.0 | 14.1 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 1.5 | -0.4 |
| Real wages | -0.1 | -21.6 | -12.9 | 14.1 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -3.6 |
| $\quad$ Public sector | -0.7 | -19.7 | -5.0 | 14.9 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| $\quad$ Private sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^60]Table 20
URUGUAY: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Billions of new pesos |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 149.9 | 270.9 | 456.7 | 753.6 | 95.2 | 80.7 | 68.6 | 65.0 |
| Tax revenue | 135.8 | 250.1 | 419.2 | 700.0 | 98.5 | 84.2 | 67.6 | 67.0 |
| Direct | 21.2 | 44.0 | 74.8 | 114.3 | 103.8 | 107.5 | 70.0 | 52.8 |
| Indirect | 90.3 | 166.4 | 281.5 | 487.5 | 55.7 | 84.3 | 69.2 | 73.2 |
| On external trade | 24.3 | 39.7 | 62.9 | 98.2 | 138.2 | 63.4 | 58.4 | 56.1 |
| Other | 14.1 | 20.8 | 37.5 | 53.6 | 67.9 | 47.5 | 80.3 | 42.9 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 146.8 | 262.0 | 453.8 | 807.7 | 75.6 | 78.5 | 73.2 | 78.0 |
| Remunerations | 51.1 | 88.2 | 153.3 | 273.1 | 87.2 | 72.6 | 73.8 | 78.1 |
| Social security | 42.1 | 78.8 | 142.5 | 251.2 | 58.9 | 87.2 | 80.8 | 76.3 |
| Interest payments on public debt | 16.8 | 25.4 | 44.5 | 95.6 | 60.0 | 51.2 | 75.2 | 114.8 |
| Other expenditure | 36.8 | 69.6 | 113.5 | 187.8 | 90.7 | 89.1 | 63.1 | 65.5 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | 3.1 | 8.9 | 2.9 | -54.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 14.4 | 31.0 | 56.8 | 110.7 | 84.6 | 1153 | 83.2 | 94.9 |
| 5. Total expenditure ( $2+4$ ) | 161.2 | 293.0 | 510.6 | 918.4 | 76.4 | 81.8 | 743 | 79.9 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (or surplus) (1-5) | -113 | -22.1 | -53.9 | -164.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Financing of deficit | 113 | 22.1 | 53.9 | 164.9 |  |  |  |  |
| a) Credit received (net) | -7.5 | -13.1 | -40.0 | 69.6 |  |  |  |  |
| b) Net sales of securities | 20.6 | 32.0 | 88.7 | 90.6 |  |  |  |  |
| c) External loans | -2.1 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| d) Other sources | 0.3 | -0.6 | -0.2 | -1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current savings/capital expenditure | 21.5 | 28.7 | 5.1 | -48.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/total expenditure | 7.0 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Tax revenue/GDP | 13.6 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 14.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 16.4 | 16.7 | 17.9 | 17.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal deficit/GDP | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Office of the Accountant-General.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 21
URUGUAY: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE IN REAL VALUES
(Growth rates)

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current income | 10.6 | 10.6 | 3.9 | -8.5 |
| Internal taxes | 6.4 | 17.2 | 4.7 | -6.8 |
| On production and transactions | 2.3 | 13.3 | 5.9 | -5.5 |
| Value added tax | 5.0 | 15.5 | 15.3 | -6.2 |
| Fuel tax | -8.7 | 10.6 | -6.2 | -1.8 |
| Tobacco tax | -10.9 | -2.3 | 1.4 | -1.9 |
| Income tax | 35.4 | 32.0 | 10.8 | -29.8 |
| Net wealth tax | 21.9 | 17.2 | -4.1 | 5.3 |
| Less: documents received | 7.0 | 11.5 | 17.5 | -13.1 |
| Taxes on external trade | 30.6 | 3.1 | -2.3 | -13.6 |
| Import taxes | 33.2 | -2.2 |  | -17.8 |
| Export taxes | 20.0 | -30.1 |  | 5.6 |
| Customs and other duties | 18.2 | 237.5 |  | -0.2 |
| Other income | 15.9 | -22.4 | 7.3 | -20.7 |
| Current expenditure | -0.5 | 9.2 | 3.7 | -1.3 |
| Remunerations | 6.0 | 5.6 | 7.2 | -1.3 |
| Social security contributions and transfers | -9.9 | 14.5 | 11.5 | -2.3 |
| Purchase of goods and services | 29.0 | 10.6 | -6.8 | -9.8 |
| Interest payments on public debt | -9.6 | -7.6 | 8.3 | 19.1 |
| Other | -17.4 | 27.0 | -19.5 | -6.0 |
| Investments | 4.4 | 31.9 | 12.9 | 8.0 |
| Total expenditure |  | 11.2 | 7.5 | -0.3 |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Office of the Accountant-General.
" Preliminary figures.

Table 22

## URUGUAY: STRUCTURE OF CENTRAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURE

(Percentages)

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 100.0 |
| Total | 31.8 | 30.1 | 30.0 | 29.7 |  |
| Remunerations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions and transfer to the <br> social security system | 63.5 | 26.2 | 26.9 | 27.9 | 27.4 |
| Transfers to public |  |  |  | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| bodies | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 13.6 | 12.3 |
| Non-personal expenditure | 16.6 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 8.7 | 10.4 |
| Interest payments on public debt | 2.2 | 10.4 | 8.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Capital expenditure | 1.1 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 11.1 |  |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 |

Source: Up to 1981, Office of the Accountant-General. As from 1982, combined figures prepared by the National Treasury and the Office of the Accountant-General.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes: advances, subsidies, grants and National Housing Fund.

Table 23
URUGUAY: MONETARY INDICATORS

| - | End-of-year balance (billions of new pesos) |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Money (Mi) | 40.2 | 71.0 | 118.7 | 194.6 | 324.9 | 76.6 | 67.2 | 63.9 | 67.0 |
| Currency outside banks | 23.4 | 43.0 | 76.4 | 125.7 | 214.6 | 84.2 | 77.6 | 64.5 | 70.7 |
| Demand deposits | 16.9 | 28.0 | 42.3 | 68.3 | 110.3 | 66.0 | 51.2 | 61.5 | 61.5 |
| Savings and time deposits | 62.3 | 93.1 | 140.3 | 217.8 | 330.2 | 49.6 | 50.6 | 55.3 | 51.6 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 102.5 | 164.2 | 259.0 | 412.4 | 655.1 | 60.2 | 57.7 | 59.2 | 58.9 |
| Foreign-currency deposits | 203.1 | 364.4 | 622.7 | 1230.1 | 3013.9 | 79.4 | 70.9 | 97.5 | 145.0 |
| M3 | 305.6 | 528.6 | 881.7 | 1642.5 | 3669.0 | 73.0 | 66.8 | 86.3 | 123.4 |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MI/GDP | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/GDP | 14.8 | 13.6 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |
| M3/GDP | 43.9 | 42.5 | 40.2 | 44.1 | 51.1 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Uruguay.

* Preliminary figures.

Table 24
URUGUAY: EVOLUTION OF THE MAIN MONETARY AGGREGATES
(Percentage variations over 12 months)
Current values Constant values

|  | Prices |  |  | Mi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: |
| Mi |
|  |
| (1) |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Central Bank of Uruguay.

Table 25
URUGUAY: FACTORS OF CREATION OF THE MONETARY BASE
Adjusted balances ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Variation <br> (millions of N\$) | Percentage of issue <br> at 31.12 .1988 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Restricted monetary base | 115726 | 72.9 |
| $\quad$ Non-BCU issue | 112841 | 71.1 |
| $\quad$ Current account deposits in private banks | 2885 | 1.8 |
| Factors of expansion |  | 40.1 |
| $\quad$ Credit to the non-financial public | 63591 | 101.8 |
| sector | 161449 | 54.5 |
| Quasi-fiscal deficit | 86522 | 26.3 |
| Net international reserves | 41723 | 21.0 |
| Refinancing of the external debt | 33233 |  |
| $\quad$ Other net accounts |  | -15.6 |
| Factors of contraction | -24781 | -84.5 |
| $\quad$ Credit to the private sector | -134000 | -48.2 |
| Interbank movements | -76458 | -22.4 |
| Banco de la República deposits in | -35553 |  |
| local currency |  |  |
| Monetary Regulation Notes |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from the Central Bank of Uruguay, Press Bulletin.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ These are based on appraisal of the variations in the foreign currency balances, at the exchange rate for each operation. The adjusted balances thus exclude the exchange effect.

Table 26

## URUGUAY: INTEREST RATES IN LOCAL AND FOREIGN CURRENCY

(Annual percentages)

|  | Rates in local currency |  | Rates in foreign currency <br> (3) | Exchange rate (monthly variation) <br> (4) | Arbitrage rate $(5)^{c}$ | Ratio$(6=2 / 5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private banks ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (1) | $B C U^{b}$ <br> (2) |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV | 66.8 | 72.0 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 72.0 | 1.00 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 65.0 | 73.0 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 77.2 | 0.98 |
| II | 66.4 | 74.0 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 63.9 | 1.06 |
| III | 68.4 | 74.0 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 67.9 | 1.04 |
| IV | 73.6 | 81.0 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 74.5 | 1.04 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 76.0 | 83.0 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 83.6 | 1.00 |
| II | 85.0 | 89.0 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 109.7 | 0.90 |
| III | 91.2 | 94.0 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 89.1 | 1.03 |
| IV | 100.0 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 93.8 | 1.07 |  |

Source: ECIAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Uruguay.
${ }^{a}$ Rate for deposits. ${ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ Monetary Regulation Notes. $\quad$ ' Equals the annualization of the nominal rate of devaluation by the internal rate in foreign currency.

## VENEZUELA

The most influential event in terms of Venezuela's economic performance in 1989 was the newly-installed government's implementation of a stringent adjustment and stabilization programme in February in an attempt to dimmish the major macroeconomic imbalances which had arisen as a consequence of the steady expansion of domestic demand in past years. The measures provided for by this programme prompted a steep increase in the rate of inflation (which later slowed, however), a severe downturn in economic activity and, in combination with the effect of the increase in international oil prices, a large trade surplus.

The sharp contraction of domestic demand, which was reflected in decreases in both consumption ( $-18 \%$ ) and investment ( $-40 \%$ ), affected almost all sectors of the economy except the oil industry, some mining and metallurgical activities (mainly those geared to the export market) and certain types of service suppliers, most of which were in the informal sector of the economy. As a result, the Venezuelan economy went into a deep recession, and a record drop in the product $(-8 \%)$ was recorded following three years during which the product's average annual growth rate had been over $5 \%$. Consumer prices climbed at the uncustomarily rapid rate of $81 \%$ between December 1988 and December 1989, which caused real income to fall, but inflation slowed during the second half of the year to an average monthly rate of $2 \%$. Meanwhile, the country's external accounts showed a striking turnaround, with the 1988 trade deficit of almost US\$3 billion giving way to a trade surplus of just under US\$5 billion in 1989, while the current account yielded a surplus (of over US $\$ 2.3$ billion) for the first time since 1985 (see table 1 and figure 1).

The initial programme drawn up by the authorities, which had the backing of an extended arrangement with the International

Monetary Fund and two World Bank structural adjustment loans, provided for a traditional policy approach to the control of aggregate demand, along with a number of measures aimed at achieving monetary and fiscal restraint, as well as a devaluation and the decontrol of domestic prices. It also included a number of compensatory programmes to mitigate the effects of these measures on the most disadvantaged social groups, which were to be launched at the same time as a structural adjustment programme providing for the lifting of trade restrictions, tax reforms and a reorganization of public enterprises. During the greater part of the year the main thrust of the government's short-term policy proved to be the reduction of inflation, which soared to unprecedented levels following the elimination of price controls and the violent protests which jolted the country's major cities towards the end of February. However, inflation gradually eased during the second half of the year, primarily owing to the implementation of highly restrictive monetary and fiscal policies. The steep rise in interest rates (which were positive during the second half of 1989 in real terms), in combination with the Central Bank's move to step up its operations in order to absorb excess liquidity, helped to hold the money supply in check, with the result that its level remained virtually steady in nominal terms throughout the year. The authorities' fiscal policy, for its part, turned out to have a much stronger contractive effect than had originally been foreseen. Government income and that of the public sector as a whole were boosted by the effect of the more than $130 \%$ devaluation of the exchange rate applying to petroleum exports and by the substantial readjustments in public rates and charges which were instituted at the same time as the devaluation. Meanwhile, although current government expenditure rose due to the higher

Figure 1

## VENEZUELA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS
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Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
cost in local currency of interest payments and to the across-the-board wage adjustment granted in February, capital expenditure fell by almost $60 \%$ owing to the postponement of congressional approval of a number of investment programmes. Consequently, the accounts of the public sector as a whole showed a deficit equivalent to only $1 \%$ of the gross domestic product, which was far less than both the deficit recorded in 1988 (10\%) and the 4\% level specified in the programme agreed upon with the International Monetary Fund.

Although it took some time to implement, the government's social policy permitted the incorporation of various measures aimed at lessening the adjustment's impact on the most disadvantaged sectors of the population. On the one hand, a number of specific assistance programmes were launched during the second half of the year, while on the other hand, an
official ban on the dismissal of blue- and white-collar workers was imposed for a period of nine months in order to reduce the domestic recession's effect on employment levels, and this was followed, towards the end of the year, by an emergency public employment plan. Even so, the urban unemployment rate climbed from $8 \%$ to $10 \%$ between 1988 and 1989. At the same time, the nominal wage adjustments granted in March were largely swallowed up by inflation, with the result that the real minimum urban wage fell by over $13 \%$ and the real average wage dropped by more than $25 \%$.

In sharp contrast to the recessionary situation on the domestic front, the country's external accounts showed a remarkable improvement, with the trade balance going from a deficit of almost US\$3 billion in 1988 to a surplus of just slightly less than US\$5 billion, which was the largest recorded since 1985.

Figure 2
VENEZUELA: EVOLUTION OF THE EXCHANGE RATE


Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.

Figure 3
VENEZUELA: DOMESTIC PRICES
(Percentages)
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Both the expansion of exports and the drop in imports were contributing factors in this respect. Exports were boosted by the substantial increase in international oil prices, which pushed up earnings from the sale of hydrocarbons by $20 \%$, as well as by the effects that the devaluation and the slump in domestic demand had on the level of non-traditional exports. As a result, exports amounted to nearly US\$13 billion, which was a $26 \%$ increase over the preceding year. For their part, merchandise imports, which had soared to US\$11.6 billion in 1988, began to decrease steeply in March and totalled just US\$7.1 billion ( $-39 \%$ ) for the year as a whole. Some of the factors which clearly played a part in this reduction were the modification of the exchange rate and the slowdown of domestic activity, as well as the fact that agents were able to draw upon the large inventories they had built up in 1988.

The current account went from a deficit of almost US\$4.7 billion to a surplus of US\$2.3 billion -the first since 1985. On the other hand, however, the modest surplus recorded on the capital account in 1988 gave way to a deficit in 1989, since the increase in medium- and long-term credit flows was more than offset by
outlays corresponding to the repayment of short-term debts of both the official sector (bridging loans received in 1988 and the early months of 1989) and the private sector (mainly letters of credit obtained the year before to pay for more than US $\$ 3.2$ billion in imports). Thanks to the size of the surplus on current account, however, the Central Bank was able to increase its international reserves by about US\$740 million to a year-end total of more than US\$7.4 billion.

Venezuela's total external debt shrank by over US\$2 billion to a level of US\$32 billion as a result of the fact that the increase represented by fresh multilateral credits was more than offset by the reduction in the short-term debt. This, in conjunction with the sharp increase in exports, led to an improvement in most of the coefficients relating to the country's debt burden. Finally, after remaining at a standstill for the first nine months of the year, negotiations concerning the restructuring of the external commercial debt progressed to the point where, at the end of September, a US $\$ 600$ million bridging loan was disbursed to cover part of the country's accumulated arrears in interest payments.

[^61]Table 1
VENEZUELA: MAIN ECONOMIC INDICATORS

| 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Indexes (1980 = 100)

## Basic économie indicators

Gross domestic product at market prices
Gross national income

| 92.4 | 91.1 | 91.2 | 97.2 | 100.7 | 106.5 | 97.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 87.0 | 88.1 | 87.1 | 74.5 | 83.3 | 83.0 | 74.8 |
| 16.4 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 17.8 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 19.2 |
| 84.7 | 81.2 | 79.2 | 82.1 | 82.8 | 85.3 | 76.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2 | $2.7^{\text {b }}$ | $2.1^{\text {b }}$ | 0.5 | 0.6 | 7.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 1.1 |
| 19.0 | 16.8 | 14.8 | 16.7 | 15.5 | 15.2 | 10.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91.4 | 100.3 | 94.2 | 106.0 | 132.9 | 137.5 | 138.4 |
| 10.5 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 9.7 |

Growth rates

## Short-run economic indicators

Gross domestic product

| -5.5 | -1.5 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 5.8 | -8.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -8.1 | -4.2 | -2.5 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 3.1 | -10.4 |
| -5.3 | 1.3 | -1.1 | -14.5 | 11.9 | -0.5 | -9.9 |

Gross national income
Consumer prices ${ }^{\text {e }}$
December to December
Real wages and salaries

| 7.0 | 15.6 | 9.1 | 12.7 | 40.3 | 35.5 | 81.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -1.6 | -5.0 | -9.9 | 1.4 | -12.9 | -11.3 | -26.4 |

Current value of exports
of goods and services
Current value of imports
of goods and services
Terms of trade (goods and services)

| -9.8 | 5.3 | -6.4 | -35.3 | 11.7 | -1.0 | 26.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdot 53.7$ | 9.4 | -2.6 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 29.9 | -34.6 |
| 6.9 | 11.2 | -4.8 | -51.4 | 21.3 | -18.6 | 17.2 |

## Millions of dollars

## External sector

Trade balance (goods and services)
Net payments of profits and interest
Balance on current account
Balance on capital account
Variation in net international reserves
External debt

| 6752 | 6738 | 5934 | 36 | 400 | -2969 | 4825 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2113 | 2022 | 2137 | 1470 | 1375 | 1570 | 2364 |
| 4451 | 4598 | 3694 | -1505 | -1103 | -4661 | 2437 |
| -4122 | -3044 | -2577 | -2430 | 80 | 564 | -1484 |
| 283 | 1775 | 817 | -4190 | -1248 | -4038 | 740 |
| 34712 | 33862 | 33362 | 32897 | 34198 | 34271 | 32007 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. Fiscal surplus. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Percentages. Average for the two half-years. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ In the Caracas Metropolitan area. Average income of each urban worker, deflated by the variation in consumer prices in the Caracas Metropolitan area. Average for two half-years.

Table 2
VENEZUELA: EVOLUTION OF GROSS NATIONAL INCOME

|  | Indexes (1980 $==100$ ) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Gross national income $(a+b+c+d)$ | 83.3 | 83.0 | 74.8 | 99.8 | 82.7 | 77.7 | 76.2 |
| a) Gross domestic product | 100.7 | 106.5 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| b) Terms-of-trade effect |  |  |  | - | -14.3 | -19.2 | -19.3 |
| c) Net factor payments from abroad | $\wedge 37.8$ | -471.1 | -645.2 | 0.6 | -2.8 | -2.9 | -4.3 |
| d) Net private transfers from abroad | 32.0 | 29.1 | 29.9 | -0.8 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official statistics.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 3
VENEZUELA: TOTAL SUPPLY AND DEMAND

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* | 1980 | 1989* | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989* |
| Total supply | 94.8 | 103.2 | 88.6 | 129.8 | 117.5 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 8.8 | -14.2 |
| Gross domestic product at market prices | 100.7 | 106.5 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 5.8 | -8.1 |
| Imports of goods and services | 75.1 | 92.0 | 57.4 | 29.8 | 17.5 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 22.5 | -37.7 |
| Total demand | 94.8 | 103.2 | 88.6 | 129.8 | 117.5 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 8.8 | -14.2 |
| Domestic demand | 94.5 | 101.5 | 77.5 | 90.5 | 71.6 | -1.9 | 10.2 | 7.5 | -23.7 |
| Gross domestic investment | 77.9 | 89.8 | 53.8 | 24.7 | 13.6 | 5.7 | 14.1 | 15.2 | -40.2 |
| Gross fixed investment | 69.1 | 75.2 | 52.6 | 25.2 | 13.6 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 8.9 | -30.0 |
| Construction | 53.0 | 56.7 | 39.7 | 15.0 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 7.0 | -30.1 |
| Machinery and equipment | 92.8 | 102.5 | 71.7 | 10.2 | 7.5 | 10.6 | -0.7 | 10.4 | -30.0 |
| Public | 99.2 |  |  | 2.7 |  | 19.0 | 9.9 |  |  |
| Private | 65.5 |  |  | 22.6 |  | 7.4 | -1.0 |  |  |
| Changes in stocks | -341.4 | -602.1 | - | -0.5 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Total consumption | 100.7 | 105.9 | 86.4 | 65.8 | 58.1 | -3.8 | 9.1 | 5.2 | -18.4 |
| General government | 110.1 | 122.1 | 124.0 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 1.5 |
| Private | 98.2 | 101.6 | 76.5 | 51.9 | 40.6 | -6.4 | 11.0 | 3.5 | -24.8 |
| Exports of goods and services | 95.5 | 107.0 | 114.0 | 39.4 | 45.8 | 24.3 | -8.2 | 12.0 | 6.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data and data derived from the International Monetary Fund.
" Preliminary figures. The figures for exports and imports of goods and services were obtained from the IMF balance-of-payments data expressed in current dollars, converted to constant 1980 values using unit value indexes calculated by ECLAC for the purpose.

Table 4
VENEZUELA: ORIGIN AND FINANCING OF GROSS DOMESTIC INVESTMENT
(As percentages of the gross domestic product) ${ }^{3}$

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross domestic investment | 27.5 | 12.3 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 13.6 |
| Gross domestic saving | 25.9 | 28.3 | 31.4 | 30.9 | 37.6 | 34.2 | 34.6 | 41.9 |
| Net income from factor services | -2.8 | -4.7 | -4.8 | -5.0 | -3.2 | -2.8 | -2.9 | -4.3 |
| Terms-of-trade effect | -2.3 | -1.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 | -20.1 | -14.3 | -19.2 | -19.3 |
| Unrequited private transfers | -1.1 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.3 |
| Gross domestic saving | 19.7 | 22.2 | 27.9 | 26.2 | 14.1 | 16.9 | 12.3 | 18.2 |
| External saving | 7.8 | -9.9 | -10.9 | -8.7 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 8.5 | -4.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official data.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ At market prices, in constant 1980 dollars, at adjusted exchange rate. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary fig;ures.

Table 5
VENEZUELA: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, BY KIND OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, AT 1980 MARKET PRICES

|  | Indexes(1980 = 100) |  |  | breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gross domestic product | 100.7 | 106.5 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 5.8 | -8.1 |
| Goods | 97.6 | 103-J | 96.9 | 52.0 | 51.5 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 5.9 | -6.3 |
| Agriculture | 125.4 | 131.2 | 123.6 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 4.6 | -5.7 |
| Mining | 88.0 | 92.5 | 92.5 | 24.5 | 23.1 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 5.1 | - |
| Manufacturing | 117.3 | 125.4 | 113.5 | 16.2 | 18.8 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 6.9 | -9.5 |
| Construction | 54.6 | 59.3 | 41.5 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 8.7 | -30.1 |
| Basic services | 109.2 | 117.9 | 113.2 | 10.9 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 8.0 | -4.0 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 158.1 | 169.8 | 171.6 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 1.1 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 104.1 | 112.6 | 107.2 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 8.1 | -4.8 |
| Other services | 114.8 | 121.4 | 1143 | 39.7 | 46.3 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 5.8 | -5.8 |
| Commerce, restaurants and hotels | 108.9 | 114.8 | 97.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 5.4 | -15.1 |
| Financial institutions, insurance, real estate and business services | 127.0 | 135.3 | 126.0 | 14.9 | 19.2 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 6.5 | -6.9 |
| Ownership of dwellings | 121.2 | 125.7 | 122.1 | 9.3 | 11.7 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 3.7 | -2.9 |
| Community, social and personal services | 106.7 | 112.2 | 112.2 | 16.6 | 19.0 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 5.2 | - |
| Government services | 104.5 | 109.4 | 111.0 | 11.8 | 13.4 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 1.5 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | -2.6 |  | 9.2 |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted with the structure at current 1980 prices. Consequently, the results obtained do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations at constant prices.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 6
VENEZUELA: INDICATORS OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $1985{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of agricultural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| production ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100.0 | 115.0 | 118.8 | 125.6 | 114.9 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 5.7 | -8.5 |
| Crop farming | 100.0 | 114.7 | 120.2 | 126.1 | 118.0 | 42.4 | 9.6 | 4.8 | 4.9 | -6.4 |
| Stock raising | 100.0 | 117.6 | 120.8 | 128.4 | 114.1 | 53.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 6.3 | -11.1 |
| Forestry | 100.0 | 113.8 | 106.0 | 119.5 |  | 1.2 | 1.8 | -6.9 | 12.7 |  |
| Fisheries | 100.0 | 121.1 | 115.1 | 123.0 | 123.1 | 2.5 | 8.0 | -5.0 | 6.9 | 0.1 |
| Production of the main crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For export |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cocoa | 15 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 0.4 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 2.5 |
| Coffee | 58 | 66 | 70 | 71 | 73 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| For domestic consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cereals | 1547 | 2251 | 2418 | 2485 | 1830 | 10.7 | 23.5 | 7.4 | 2.8 | -26.4 |
| Pulses | 39 | 47 | 49 | 51 | 53 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 5.1 |
| Fibers and oilseeds | 280 | 325 | 324 | 358 | 348 | 2.7 | 8.7 | -0.3 | 10.7 | -3.0 |
| Root crops and tubers | 602 | 618 | 650 | 671 | 694 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Fruit | 2034 | 2252 | 2295 | 2442 | 2530 | 8.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 3.6 |
| Vegetables | 365 | 335 | 358 | 385 | 421 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 9.4 |
| Other | 5041 | 7392 | 8078 | 8379 | 7861 | 5.5 | 28.7 | 9.3 | 3.7 | -6.2 |
| Indicators of stock-raising production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slaughtering ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1663 | 1759 | 1743 | 1798 | 1875 | 13.6 | 2.3 | -0.9 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| Pigs' | 1776 | 2392 | 2510 | 2547 | 1873 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 1.5 | -26.4 |
| Sheep | 125 | 129 | 132 | 134 | 139 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.6 |
| Poultry ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 159 | 214 | 226 | 251 | 204 | 9.8 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 11.1 | -18.6 |
| Otheroroducts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk* | 1311 | 1580 | 1598 | 1715 | 1503 | 13.3 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 7.3 | -12.4 |
| Eggs for consumption ' | 2034 | 2139 | 2270 | 2490 | 2147 | 5.1 | -4.7 | 6.1 | 9.7 | -13.8 |
| Volume of fishery products | 177 | 305 | 291 | 271 | 273 | 2.4 | 6.6 | -4.6 | -6.9 | 1.5 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of statistics supplied by the Ministry of Agriculture and the Central Bank of Venezuela. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Thousands of head. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Millions of units. | Values at 1968 prices. $\quad{ }^{\text {c }}$ Index at constant prices $1980=100 . \quad{ }^{\wedge}$ Millions of head. $\quad{ }^{\text {h }}$ Millions of litres.Includes changes in number of livestock. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7

## VENEZUELA: MAIN INDICATORS OF THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY



Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Ministry of Energy and Mines and by Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A..
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Including condensate. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of barrels. Corresponds to domestic and industrial consumption, including that of the petroleum industry. ${ }^{e}$ Percentage. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Billions of barrels. ${ }^{g}$ In years. Calculated by dividing total reserves by crude oil production in the corresponding year.
${ }^{1}$ Dollars per barrel. ${ }^{\mathrm{J}}$ Billions of bolívares. After deduction of tax.

Table 8
VENEZUELA: INDICATORS OF NON-PETROLEUM MINING ACTIVITY

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989" | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Indexes of mining production ${ }^{\text {b }}(1980=100)$ | 100.0 | 102.4 | 128.5 | 162.4 | 140.3 | 16.0 | 25.5 | 26.4 | -13.6 |
| Production of some important minerals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron ore ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production | 16.0 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 18.9 | 18.2 | 14.3 | 5.5 | 3.8 | -3.9 |
| Exports | 11.7 | 10.0 | 11.7 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 16.7 | 5.1 | 17.1 |
| Coal ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 39 | 57 | 238 | 1070 |  | 36.9 | 319.1 | 281.5 |  |
| Gold ${ }^{6}$ | 430 | 2494 | 3416 | 3502 |  | 15.6 | 37.0 | 2.5 |  |
| Diamonds | 666 | 212 | 113 | 128 | 255 | -1.7 | -46.7 | 33.7 | 98.3 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of statistics provided by the Ministry of Energy and Mines and the Central Bank of Venezuela. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Value of the sector's production at 1984 prices. ${ }^{c}$ Millions of tons. tons. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Kilogrames. 'Thousands of metric carats.

Table 9
VENEZUELA: INDICATORS OF MANUFACTURING PRODUCTION

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of manufacturing <br> $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { production } & 100.0 & 109.9 & \mathbf{1 1 2 . 7} & \mathbf{1 1 7 . 1} & 100.6 & 0.4 & \mathbf{1 0 . 0} & 2.5 & 3.9 & \mathbf{- 1 4 . 1}\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foodstuffs, beverages and tobacco | 100.0 | 106.7 | 104.7 | 119.2 | 106.6 | -2.2 | 0.9 | -1.9 | 13.8 | -10.6 |
| Textiles, clothing and leather goods | 100.0 | 117.0 | 110.8 | 126.3 | 117.0 | -0.5 | 6.2 | -5.3 | 14.0 | -7.4 |
| Wood and furniture | 100.0 | 79.6 | 70.0 | 83.3 | 49.3 | -0.6 | 14.5 | -12.1 | 19.0 | -40.8 |
| Paper, printing and publishing | 100.0 | 139.2 | 145.2 | 166.7 | 121.9 | -5.7 | 10.0 | 4.3 | 14.8 | -26.9 |
| Chemicals | 100.0 | 108.8 | 118.2 | 126.7 | 108.7 | 9.5 | 5.6 | 8.6 | 7.2 | -14.2 |
| Non-metallic mineral products | 100.0 | 130.7 | 126.6 | 127.0 | 117.9 | 9.5 | 17.7 | -3.1 | 0.3 | -7.2 |
| Basic metal industries | 100.0 | 76.5 | 77.4 | 81.6 | 74.3 | -13.8 | 30.3 | 1.2 | 5.4 | -8.9 |
| Metal products, machinery and equipment Other manufacturing | 100.0 | 102.4 | 89.2 | 98.1 | 71.7 | 1.6 | 17.3 | -12.9 | 10.0 | -26.9 |
| industries | 100.0 | 73.5 | 51.1 | 69.8 | 53.6 | -11.4 | 4.0 | -30.5 | 36.6 | -23.2 |
| Production of some industrial products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public enterprises |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron ore |  | 16851 | 17782 | 18321 | 17653 | 12.9 | 14.3 | 5.5 | 3.0 | -3.6 |
| Steel | 1784 | 3402 | 3722 | 3461 | $2623{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 9.3 | 23.4 | 9.4 | -5.8 | $-5.7^{\text {e }}$ |
| Aluminium | 156 | 419 | 428 | 413 | $367{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.7 | 4.0 | 5.3 | -3.4 | $28.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Ammonia | 439 | 630 | 638 | 640 | $478{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -14.3 | 28.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | $11.3{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Sulphuric acid | 114 | 164 | 197 | 172 | $113{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -1.3 | 5.1 | 20.1 | -12.8 | $-4.2^{\text {e }}$ |
| Urea | 276 | 542 | 623 | 760 |  | -31.5 | 72.1 | 14.9 | 22.1 |  |
| Private sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar | 323 | 542 | 712 | 492 | $609{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 17.5 | 18.6 | 7.7 | -29.3 | $7.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Cement | 111 | 138 | 141 | 146 | 124 | -2.0 | 23.7 | 10.1 | 3.5 | -15.1 |
| Vehicle tires ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 3483 | 5017 | 5447 | 5203 | 4177 | 51.4 | 11.0 | 8.6 | -4.9 | -19.7 |
| Passenger vehicles ${ }^{8}$ | 111 | 150 | 111 | 123 | 26 | 17.8 | 21.8 | -26.0 | 10.8 | -78.9 |
| Employment | 752 | 878 | 978 | 1061 | 1032 | 6.3 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 8.5 | -2.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of statistics supplied by the Central Bank of Venezuela and various industrial enterprises. Preliminary figures. ' Excluding public enterprises. Indicates the value of output deflated by the corresponding producer price index $1980=100$. Thousands of tons. January-October. ' Variation between January and October in comparison with the same period of the previous year. Billions of sacks. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Thousands of units.
${ }^{1}$ Thousands of persons.

Table 10
VENEZUELA: INDICATORS OF CONSTRUCTION ACTTVITY

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Index of sectoral production ${ }^{\text {b }}(1980=100)$ | 100.0 | 533 | 54.6 | 593 | 41.5 | 2.9 | 43 | 8.6 | -30.0 |
| Housing construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total area ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private sector | 4662 | 2906 | 3281 | 2961 | 1650 | 21.0 | 12.9 | -9.8 | -44.3 |
| Number of dwellings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 77.1 | 120.1 | 117.3 | 106.0 | 32.9 | 152.8 | -2.3 | -9.6 | -69.0 |
| Public sector ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 40.0 | 91.7 | 86.4 | 84.3 | 18.9 | 274.3 | -5.8 | -2.4 | -77.6 |
| Private sector | 37.1 | 28.4 | 30.9 | 26.9 | 14.0 | 23.8 | 8.6 | -12.9 | -48.0 |
| Indicators of activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumption of cement ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 100.0 | 87.7 | 96.5 | 103.1 | $60.8^{\text {g }}$ | 23.7 | 10.0 | 6.8 | -22.9 |
| Domestic sales of hardware and building |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| materials ${ }^{11}$ | 100.0 | 75.4 | 93.9 | 127.7 | $84.2^{1}$ | 21.6 | 24.5 | 36.0 | -32.0 |
| Loans granted ${ }^{J}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To builders | ... | 549 | 417 | 1442 | 251 | 78.6 | -24.1 | 245.8 | -82.6 |
| To purchasers | ... | 3685 | 4323 | 4063 | 2378 | 6.8 | 17.3 | -6.0 | -41.5 |
| Employment ${ }^{1 /}$ | 434 | 420 | 470 | 505 | 465 | 19.8 | 12.1 | 7.4 | -7.9 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Construction Fund and the Central Bank of Venezuela.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Value of the sector's production at 1984 prices. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Housing construction permits granted. Thousands of square metres. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Thousands of units. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ In addition to dwellings, includes low-income building plots for gradual urban development. Index $1980=100 .{ }^{g}$ January-September average. Percentage variation with respect to the same period of the previous year. In the Caracas Metropolitan Area. Index in real terms, $1980=100$, January-September average. ' January-June. Percentage variation with respect to the same period of the previous year. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ Millions of bolívares. ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Thousands of persons.

Table 11
VENEZUELA: INDICATORS OF SERVICE ACTIVITIES

|  | 1980 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Electrical energy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installed capacity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7.7 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 26.3 | 22.4 | -1.1 | -0.4 | 0.5 |
| Generation ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 32.4 | 46.1 | 50.2 | 53.2 | 54.3 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 2.1 |
| Total consumption ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 27.7 | 37.0 | 39.8 | 42.4 | 45.6 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 7.6 |
| Transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freight moved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By air | 113 | 59 | 56 | 60 | 64 | 5.9 | 12.2 | -5.1 | 6.8 | 6.7 |
| By sea | 7900 | 6583 | 7808 | 9708 | 8760 | 6.7 | -16.8 | 18.6 | 24.3 | -9.8 |
| Commerce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index of sales ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 100.0 | 109.6 | 109.8 | 121.7 | $78.8{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 4.3 | 13.0 | 0.2 | 10.8 | -29.8 |
| Tourism ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 430 | 527 | 615 | 692 |  | 15.2 | 96.2 | 16.7 | 12.5 |  |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total services sector | 2704 | 3199 | 3372 | 3587 | 3723 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 3.8 |
| Commerce ' | 1062 | 1346 | 1406 | 1526 | 1604 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 5.1 |
| Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Statistical Office (OCEI), the Bank of Venezuela and the statistical annex of the message of the President to the Congress, 1989. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Millions of kWh. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Billions of $\mathrm{kWh} . \quad{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Thousands of tons. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ In the Caracas Metropolitan Area. Index in real terms, 1988. January-October average. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ January-June average. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Number of visitors entering the country. Includes excursionists and transit passengers. Thousands of persons. persons. ' Including financial activities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12

## VENEZUELA: EVOLUTION OF EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Labour force (thousands of persons) | 6064 | 6268 | 6512 | 6778 |  |  | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.1 |
| Net participation rate (percentage) | 56.1 | 56.2 | 56.7 | 57.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thousands of persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment | 5396 | 5694 | 6033 | 6154 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 2.0 |
| Primary sector | 898 | 872 | 878 | 872 | 16.2 | 14.2 | -2.9 | 0.3 | -0.7 |
| Agricultural activities | 837 | 814 | 814 | 811 | 14.7 | 13.2 | -2.7 | - | -0.4 |
| Hydrocarbons, mines and quarries | 62 | 58 | 64 | 61 | 1.5 | 1.0 | -6.6 | 14.1 | -4.7 |
| Secondary sector | 1354 | 1512 | 1630 | 1560 | 26.7 | 25.3 | 11.7 | 7.8 | -4.3 |
| Manufacturing | 878 | 978 | 1061 | 1032 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 11.4 | 8.5 | -2.7 |
| Construction | 420 | 470 | 505 | 465 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 12.1 | 7.8 | -7.9 |
| Electricity, gas, water and sanitary services | 56 | 64 | 64 | 63 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 13.5 | 0.7 | -1.6 |
| Tertiary sector | 3143 | 3308 | 3527 | 3723 | 57.0 | 60.5 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 5.6 |
| Commerce ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1346 | 1406 | 1526 | 1604 | 22.9 | 26.1 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 5.1 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 339 1458 | 357 1546 | 373 | 388 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open unemployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nationwide total | 11.0 | 9.1 | 7-3 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Previously employed | 9.7 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeking work for the first time | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural areas | 6.4 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban areas | 12.1 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caracas Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area | 9.6 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployment by economic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 10.9 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | 23.3 | 19.5 | 15.3 | 21.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commerce ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9.1 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other services | 7.0 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data from household surveys conducted by the Central Statistical Office (OCEI).
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. Labour force as a percentage of the population aged 15 years and over. "Includes financial institutions.

Table 13
VENEZUELA: EVOLUTION OF THE EXCHANGE RATE
(Annual and quarterly averages)

|  | Nominal exchange rate (bolívares per dollar) |  | Indexes of the real effective exchange rate ${ }^{\text {ab }}(1985=100)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trade rate | Free | Exports | Imports |
| 1970-1979 |  |  | 118.4 | 115.9 |
| 1980-1989 |  |  | 114.2 | 116.4 |
| 1980 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 106.2 | 108.9 |
| 1981 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 96.2 | 97.9 |
| 1982 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 89.1 | 90.0 |
| 1983 | 5.72 | 10.59 | 97.1 | 97.2 |
| 1984 | 7.38 | 13.51 | 106.5 | 106.3 |
| 1985 | 7.50 | 13.97 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 7.82 | 20.26 | 112.6 | 115.0 |
| 1987 | 14.50 | 27.86 | 141.1 | 145.3 |
| 1988 | 14.50 | 33.80 | 145.7 | 151.0 |
| 1989 | 33.34 | 39.07 | 147.0 | 152.2 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 14.50 | 23.07 | 151.5 | 155.6 |
| II | 14.50 | 27.03 | 146.3 | 150.7 |
| III | 14.50 | 30.70 | 134.9 | 138.6 |
| IV | 14.50 | 30.62 | 131.6 | 136.3 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 14.50 | 29.77 | 135.1 | 140.3 |
| II | 14.50 | 31.40 | 137.9 | 143.1 |
| III | 14.50 | 36.52 | 154.1 | 159.0 |
| IV | 14.50 | 37.52 | 155.7 | 161.4 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |
| I | 14.50 | 37.42 | 101.3 | 104.8 |
| II | 37.62 | 37.62 | 166.8 | 172.1 |
| III | 37.80 | 37.80 | 147.0 | 153.0 |
| IV | 43.45 | 43.45 | 173.0 | 178.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics and the Central Bank of Venezuela.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Corresponds to the average of the index of the real exchange rate for the bolivar with respect to the currencies of Venezuela's main trading partners, weighted according to the relative magnitude of exports to or imports from these countries, as appropriate. From 1970 to 1980 the weightings correspond to the average for the period 1975-1979; from 1981 they correspond to the average for the period 1983 to 1987. These calculations have been made primarily on the basis of wholesale price indexes, and in the case of Venezuela the subindex for domestic products within this index was adopted. For information on the methodology and sources used, see the Statistical Appendix to the Economic Survey of Latin America, 1981, published by ECLAC. ${ }^{\text {b }}$. As from 1983, the exchange rate used corresponds to the exchange rate weighted on the basis of the foreign exchange movements recorded by the Central Bank of Venezuela.

Table 14
VENEZUELA: MAIN FOREIGN TRADE INDICATORS

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Growth rates

| Exports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | 4.8 | -18.2 | -10.8 | 8.7 | -7.4 | -37.8 | 15.8 | -3.2 | 26.4 |
| Volume | -1.3 | -13.6 | -1.5 | 4.6 | -5.6 | 25.8 | -8.2 | 12.1 | 4.8 |
| Unit value | 6.2 | -5.3 | -9.4 | 4.0 | -2.0 | -50.5 | 26.1 | -13.6 | 20.6 |
| Imports FOB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value | 11.5 | 12.0 | -52.8 | 13.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 12.3 | 31.1 | -38.3 |
| Volume | 8.3 | 8.9 | -43.3 | 22.2 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 8.3 | 22.9 | -40.0 |
| Unit value | 2.9 | 2.9 | -16.8 | -7.3 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 2.8 |
| Terms of trade | 2.7 | -8.1 | 6.9 | 11.2 | -4.8 | -51.4 | 21.3 | -18.6 | 17.2 |
| Indexes (1980 = 100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terms of trade (goods) (FOB/CIF) | 102.7 | 94.4 | 100.9 | 112.2 | 106.8 | 51.9 | 62.9 | 51.2 | 60.1 |
| Purchasing power of exports of goods | 101.3 | 80.4 | 84.7 | 98.5 | 88.6 | 54.1 | 60.3 | 55.0 | 67.6 |
| Purchasing power of exports of goods and services | 100.0 | 80.5 | 82.6 | 91.7 | 84.9 | 54.2 | 59.0 | 55.1 | 66.1 |
| Volume of exports | 98.7 | 85.2 | 84.0 | 87.8 | 82.9 | 104.3 | 95.8 | 107.4 | 112.6 |
| Volume of imports | 108.3 | 117.9 | 66.9 | 81.8 | 82.5 | 84.9 | 91.9 | 113.0 | 67.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Volume of exports of goods or of goods and services, as appropriate, adjusted by the corresponding terms-of-trade index.

Table 15
VENEZUELA: IMPORTS OF GOODS, CIF

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |
| Total | 7666 | 8710 | 11476 | 5523 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 13.6 | 31.8 | -31.5 |
| Consumer goods | 1169 | 1203 | 1522 | 743 | 23.8 | 13.5 | -6.0 | 2.9 | 26.5 | -24.7 |
| Foodstuffs and beverages | 116 | 173 | 163 | 57 | 8.2 | 1.0 | -32.9 | 49.1 | -5.8 | -43.7 |
| Other consumer goods | 1053 | 1030 | 1359 | 686 | 15.6 | 12.4 | -1.6 | -2.2 | 31.9 | -22.5 |
| Durables | 602 | 626 | 865 | 435 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 15.1 | 4.0 | 38.2 | -20.8 |
| Non-durables | 451 | 404 | 494 | 251 | 7.8 | 4.5 | -17.6 | -10.4 | 22.3 | -25.4 |
| Raw materials and intermediate goods | 3034 | 3987 | 5145 | 2566 | 34.5 | 46.5 | -9.7 | 31.4 | 29.0 | -313 |
| Raw materials | 2634 | 3562 | 4635 | 2296 | 28.4 | 41.6 | -12.4 | 35.2 | 30.1 | -31.4 |
| For industry | 2465 | 3328 | 4322 | 2124 | 26.3 | 38.5 | -14.4 | 35.0 | 29.9 | -32.1 |
| For agriculture | 169 | 234 | 313 | 172 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 35.2 | 38.5 | 33.8 | -22.0 |
| Fuels and lubricants | 180 | 158 | 161 | 76 | 1.6 | 1.4 | -10.9 | -12.2 | 1.9 | -38.0 |
| Building materials | 220 | 267 | 349 | 194 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 43.8 | 21.4 | 30.7 | -26.3 |
| Capital goods | 3463 | 3520 | 4809 | 2214 | 41.4 | 40.1 | 283 | 1.6 | 36.6 | -33.9 |
| Machinery and tools | 1877 | 2089 | 3119 | 1684 | 26.7 | 30.5 | 21.4 | 11.3 | 49.3 | -20.7 |
| Transport equipment | 1586 | 1431 | 1690 | 530 | 14.6 | 9.6 | 37.6 | -9.8 | 18.1 | -56.7 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data provided by the Central Statistical Office (OCEI).
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ January-September. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ January-September in comparison to the same period of thi: preceding year.

Table 16
VENEZUELA: EXPORTS OF GOODS, FOB

|  | Millions of dollars |  |  |  | Percentage breakdown |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1980 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total | 8535 | 10437 | 10082 | 12935 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -41.8 | 223 | -3.4 | 283 |
| Main traditional exports | 7224 | 9088 | 8311 | 9997 | 95.9 | 773 | -45.7 | 25.8 | -8.5 | 203 |
| Petroleum | 7049 | 8927 | 8158 | 9844 | 94.9 | 76.1 | -46.4 | 26.6 | -8.6 | 20.7 |
| Iron ore | 109 | 123 | 118 |  | 0.8 |  | 0.9 | 12.8 | -4.1 | -100.0 |
| Main non-traditional exports | 1311 | 1349 | 1771 | 2938 | 4.1 | 22.7 | -3.8 | 2.9 | 313 | 65.9 |
| Public sector | 579 | 753 | 978 |  | 2.7 |  | -25.4 | 30.1 | 29.9 |  |
| Aluminium | 349 | 523 | 680 | 688 | 2.1 | 5.3 | -31.7 | 49.9 | 30.0 | 1.2 |
| Steel | 177 | 220 | 207 |  | 0.6 |  | -7.8 | 24.3 | -5.9 |  |
| Other | 53 | 10 | 91 |  | - |  | -27.4 | -81.1 | 810.0 |  |
| Private sector | 732 | 596 | 793 | 214 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 24.7 | -18.6 | 33.1 | -73.0 |
| Agricultural products | 183 | 64 | 49 | 180 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 34.6 | -65.0 | -23.4 | 267.3 |
| Cement | 38 | 27 | 24 | 34 |  | 0.3 | -25.5 | -28.9 | -11.1 | 41.7 |
| Other | 511 | 505 | 720 |  |  |  | 27.8 | -1.2 | 42.6 |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Also includes coffee and cocoa.

Table 17

## VENEZUELA: BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance on current account | -4222 | 4451 | 4598 | 3694 | -1505 | -1103 | -4 661 | 2437 |
| Trade balance | -2 077 | 6752 | 6738 | 5934 | 36 | 400 | -2 969 | 4825 |
| Exports of goods and services | 17557 | 15842 | 16680 | 15612 | 10097 | 11279 | 11169 | 14071 |
| Goods FOB | 16332 | 14570 | 15841 | 14660 | 9123 | 10567 | 10234 | 12935 |
| Real services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1225 | 1270 | 839 | 952 | 976 | 712 | 935 | 1136 |
| Transport and insurance | 664 | 673 | 433 | 396 | 338 | 352 | 395 | 500 |
| Travel | 309 | 310 | 358 | 416 | 443 | 309 | 347 | 370 |
| Imports of goods and services | 19634 | 9090 | 9942 | 9678 | 10061 | 10880 | 14138 | 9246 |
| Goods FOB | 13584 | 6409 | 7260 | 7530 | 7863 | 8832 | 11581 | 7145 |
| Real services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6050 | 2681 | 2681 | 2148 | 2199 | 2048 | 2556 | 2101 |
| Transport and insurance | 1524 | 930 | 1152 | 973 | 1043 | 1142 | 1419 | 875 |
| Travel | 2925 | 1073 | 1063 | 597 | 543 | 388 | 458 | 400 |
| Factor services | -1530 | -2113 | -2 022 | -2137 | -1470 | -1375 | -1570 | -2 255 |
| Profits | -401 | -188 | -155 | -106 | -109 | -111 | -141 | -89 |
| Interest received | 2565 | 1500 | 2154 | 1913 | 1769 | 1411 | 1574 | 1642 |
| Interest paid or due | -3694 | -3425 | -4 011 | -3952 | -3 143 | -2674 | -3 012 | -3818 |
| Labour and property | - | - | -10 | 8 | 13 | - | 9 | 10 |
| Unrequited private transfers | -615 | -187 | -119 | -102 | -72 | -128 | -124 | -133 |
| Balance on capital account | -3940 | -4122 | -3 044 | -2 577 | -2 430 | 80 | 564 | -1484 |
| Unrequited official transfers | -24 | -24 | -29 | -26 | 34 | -22 | -31 | -33 |
| Long-term capital | 3156 | 283 | -1301 | -1204 | -1422 | -1444 | -1445 | -1367 |
| Direct investment | 253 | 86 | 18 | 68 | 16 | 21 | 22 | 208 |
| Portfolio investment | 1582 | 201 | -129 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other long-term capital | 1321 | -3 | -1190 | -1272 | -1438 | -1465 | -1466 | -1575 |
| Official sector ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1293 | 329 | -1014 | -813 | -1225 | -667 | -763 | -1525 |
| Loans received | 2741 | 1259 | 398 | 225 | 198 | 654 | 653 | 1044 |
| Amortization payments | -1492 | -827 | -1376 | -1009 | -1380 | -1234 | -1365 | -2 569 |
| Commercial banks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | 38 | -49 | - | - |  | - |
| Loans received | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Amortization payments | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Other sectors ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 29 | -332 | -214 | -410 | -214 | -798 | -703 | -50 |
| Loans received | 1284 | 505 | 39 | 97 | 48 | 23 | 3 | 50 |
| Amortization payments | -1068 | -702 | -274 | - | -305 | -825 | -726 | -100 |
| Short-term capital | -4 684 | -4114 | -1802 | -996 | 148 | 1315 | 1744 | -8i |
| Official sector | -18 | -17 | 24 | 23 | 68 | 31 | 876 |  |
| Commercial banks | 1256 | -583 | -267 | -446 | -41 | 56 | 2131 |  |
| Other sectors | -5923 | -3 514 | -1559 | -574 | 121 | 1228 | -1263 |  |
| Errors and omissions (net) | -2388 | -268 | 87 | -350 | -1190 | 233 | 296 |  |
| Global balance ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -8162 | 329 | 1554 | 1117 | -3935 | -1023 | -4 0\% | 953 |
| Total variation in reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (- sign indicates an increase) | 7635 | -283 | -1775 | -817 | 4190 | 1248 | 4038 | -740 |
| Monetary gold | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Drawing Rights | 5 | 87 | -22 | -120 | -114 | -148 | 682 |  |
| IMF reserve position | -113 | -166 | 106 | -6 | 16 | 131 | 631 |  |
| Foreign exchange assets | 1695 | -960 | -1383 | -1207 | 3908 | 493 | 1485 |  |
| Other assets | 6048 | 756 | -476 | 517 | 380 | 772 | 1240 |  |
| Use of IMF credit | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Source: 1982-1988: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the International Monetary Fund. 1989: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Venezuela.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes other non-factor services. ${ }^{c}$ Includes net loans granted and other assets and liabilities. Includes special financing in the amount of US $\$ 1852$ million and errors and omissions. ${ }^{e}$ Equals total variation in reserves (of opposite sign).

Table 18

## VENEZUELA: OFFICIAL INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

(Millions of dollars)

|  | Central Bank $^{8}$ |  |  |  | Venezuelan <br> Investment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Total |
| :---: |
| official |

At the end of:

| 1980 | 7025 | 5572 | 484 | 344 | 625 | 1483 | 8508 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 8619 | 7051 | 484 | 445 | 639 | 2452 | 11071 |
| 1982 | 10039 | 5408 | 3439 | 440 | 752 | 1521 | 11560 |
| 1983 | 11149 | 6439 | 3439 | 353 | 918 | 589 | 11838 |
| 1984 | 12489 | 7864 | 3439 | 375 | 811 | 1254 | 13743 |
| 1985 | 13750 | 8997 | 3439 | 496 | 818 | 1748 | 15498 |
| 1986 | 9858 | 5008 | 3439 | 609 | 802 | 1827 | 11685 |
| 1987 | 9376 | 4509 | 3439 | 757 | 671 | 1681 | 11057 |
| 1988 | 6671 | 3115 | 3439 | 76 | 41 | 828 | 7423 |
| $1989^{c}$ | 7411 | 3921 | 3439 | 47 | 4 | 965 | 8376 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Bank of Venezuela and the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes the reserves of the Venezuelan Investment Fund which are administered by the Central Bank. Reserve position. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 19
VENEZUELA: CENTRAL BANK FOREIGN EXCHANGE RECEIPTS AND OUTLAYS
(Millions of dollars)

|  | Receipts |  |  |  | Outlays |  |  |  | Operating |  | Net international rererves ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Extern | al debt |  |  | Balance | of the |  |
|  | Petroleum | Other ${ }^{8}$ | Total | Imports ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Private | Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |  | Central Bank ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 1982 | 18984 | 6895 | 25879 | 13584 | 2923 | 1839 | 9075 | 27421 | -1542 | $3645^{\text {e }}$ | $10039^{\text {e }}$ |
| 1983 | 13335 | 3425 | 16760 | 8019 | 4770 | 625 | 2045 | 15459 | 1301 | 5346 | 11149 |
| 1984 | 14212 | 3069 | 17281 | 7664 | 5218 | 382 | 2707 | 15971 | 1310 | 6858 | 12489 |
| 1985 | 12404 | 3180 | 15584 | 6725 | 4258 | 809 | 2559 | 14351 | 1233 | 8210 | 13750 |
| 1986 | 7625 | 2927 | 10552 | 5951 | 3996 | 1087 | 3481 | 14515 | -3 963 | 4237 | 9858 |
| 1987 | 9083 | 3858 | 12941 | 6308 | 3367 | 1481 | 2462 | 13618 | -677 | 3518 | 9376 |
| 1988 | 8503 | 5144 | 13647 | 9905 | 3511 | 1214 | 1624 | 16254 | -2 607 | 2044 | 6671 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 9601 | 5240 | 14841 | 5267 | 2910 | 188 | 4879 | 13244 | 1597 | 3184 | 7411 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 1869 | 1310 | 3179 | 1935 | 1010 | 390 | 490 | 3825 | -646 | 2730 | 8683 |
| III | 2213 | 1844 | 4057 | 2557 | 740 | 331 | 555 | 4183 | -126 | 2769 | 8501 |
| III | 2070 | 1038 | 3108 | 3201 | 653 | 245 | 252 | 4351 | -1243 | 2526 | 7442 |
| IV | 2351 | 952 | 3303 | 2212 | 1108 | 248 | 327 | 3895 | -592 | 2044 | 6671 |
| $1989^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 2097 | 1653 | 3750 | 2026 | 513 | 141 | 905 | 3585 | 165 | 2307 | 6794 |
| III | 2664 | 1113 | 3777 | 1502 | 634 | 5 | 1865 | 4006 | -229 | 2247 | 6464 |
| III | 2439 | 1274 | 3713 | 1263 | 870 | 32 | 916 | 3081 | 632 | 2974 | 7061 |
| IV | 2401 | 1200 | 3601 | 476 | 893 | 10 | 1193 | 2572 | 1029 | 3184 | 7411 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Venezuela.
${ }^{a}$ Includes receipts from the Venezuelan Investment Fund. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Imports of goods and services. Includes foreign currency expenditure by Petróleos de Venezuela S.A. and under agreements. Excludes imports at the free market exchange rate.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes Central Bank sales of foreign exchange on the free market.
${ }^{d}$ Position at the end of the period, including adjustments on account of changes in exchange rates of Special Drawing Rights and reserve currencies with respect to the US dollar. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Includes the effect of the centralization of the foreign-exchange assets of the petroleum industry.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 20
VENEZUELA: INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL INDEBTEDNESS

|  | 1975 | 1980 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt | 5700 | 29608 | 33862 | 33362 | 32897 | 34198 | 34271 | 32007 |
| External public debt |  | 17692 | 28717 | 26971 | 25887 | 26090 | 25601 | 26815 |
| External private debt |  | 11916 | 5145 | 6391 | 7010 | 8108 | 8670 | 5192 |
| Total external debt servicing | $\ldots$ | 3475 | 5661 | 4961 | 4828 | 4733 | 5103 | 4783 |
| Principal |  | 1862 | 1650 | 1009 | 1685 | 2059 | 2091 | 965 |
| Interest |  | 1613 | 4011 | 3952 | 3143 | 2674 | 3012 | 3818 |
| External public debt servicing | 295 | 2126 | 5151 | 4249 | 3987 | 3354 | 3560 | 2910 |
| Principal | 240 | 1171 | 2378 | 1009 | 1380 | 1234 | 1365 | 585 |
| Interest | 55 | 955 | 2773 | 3240 | 2607 | 2120 | 2195 | 2325 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total external debt/exports of goods and services | 60.9 | 148.3 | 203.0 | 213.7 | 325.8 | 303.2 | 301.5 | 227.5 |
| Debt servicing/exports of goods and services | 3.2 | 17.4 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 47.8 | 42.0 | 47.9 | 34.0 |
| Net interest payments/ exports of goods and services ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -7.3 | -3.3 | 11.1 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 16.8 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Venezuela and international financial agencies.

* Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimates based on the relevant balance-of-payments entries. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ A minus sign indicates that the net balance between interest received and interest paid is positive.

Table 21
VENEZUELA: DOMESTIC PRICE TRENDS

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## December to December variation

| Consumer price index | 11.0 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 15.6 | 9.1 | 12.7 | 40.3 | 35.5 | 81.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food | 12.9 | 6.9 | 10.6 | 31.1 | 19.1 | 17.9 | 60.5 | 52.1 | 102.9 |
| Wholesale price index | 17.4 | 62 | 10.7 | 23.8 | 12.9 | 18.8 | 48.1 | 17.0 | 1062 |
| By origin: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imported products | 14.2 | 5.6 | 10.8 | 21.9 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 59.2 | 21.6 | 81.7 |
| Domestic products | 19.4 | 6.6 | 10.9 | 24.9 | 14.6 | 23.4 | 43.8 | 15.0 | 117.6 |
| By sector: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural products | 13.9 | 3.2 | 20.0 | 20.2 | 24.7 | 32.0 | 49.9 | 22.3 | 43.9 |
| Manufactures | 10.1 | 6.2 | 8.9 | 24.0 | 9.8 | 13.0 | 48.0 | 16.5 | 112.4 |
| Building materials | 6.1 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 35.7 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 26.5 | 18.8 | 103.1 |
| Variation between annual averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food | 18.5 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 17.2 | 22.4 | 19.2 | 41.5 | 39.9 | 125.8 |
| Wholesale price index | 13.8 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 17.5 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 45.6 | 193 | 97.6 |
| By origin: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imported products | 11.9 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 17.8 | 15.3 | 9.2 | 66.2 | 16.8 | 89.8 |
| Domestic products | 15.0 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 17.3 | 19.9 | 19.4 | 37.7 | 20.5 | 101.0 |
| By sector: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural products | 19.8 | 5.3 | 14.2 | 16.3 | 21.6 | 33.0 | 49.4 | 23.9 | 40.9 |
| Manufactures | 11.9 | 8.4 | 5.4 | 17.7 | 16.8 | 10.0 | 45.3 | 18.9 | 102.7 |
| Building materials | 10.9 | 12.8 | 4.1 | 29.4 | 19.3 | 9.3 | 28.5 | 9.5 | 108.4 |

[^62]Table 22

## VENEZUELA; INCOME TRENDS

| 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | Growth rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |

In bolívares at current prices

| Minimum wage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban | 1375 | 1500 | 2400 | 2600 | 3767 | 9.1 | 60.0 | 8.3 | 44.9 |
| Rural | 1200 | 1200 | 1800 | 1950 | 2408 |  | 50.0 | 8.3 | 23.5 |
| Average income per employed person ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2901 | 3283 | 3665 | 4206 | 5709 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 14.8 | 35.7 |
| Rural | 1512 | 1641 | 2047 | 2421 | 3327 | 8.5 | 28.2 | 18.3 | 37.4 |
| In bolívares at $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ prices ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum wage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1375 | 1284 | 1544 | 1270 | 1104 | -6.6 | 20.2 | -17.7 | -13.1 |
| Rural | 1200 | 1027 | 1158 | 953 | 706 | -14.4 | 12.8 | -17.7 | -25.9 |
| Average income per employed person ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2901 | 2942 | 2563 | 2273 | 1673 | 1.4 | -12.9 | -11.3 | -26.4 |
| Rural | 1512 | 1470 | 1431 | 1308 | 975 | -2.7 | -2.7 | -8.6 | -25.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Statistical Office (OCEI), the Central Bank of Venezuela and the Ministry of Labour.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Monthly wages (annual averages). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Monthly income (annual averages). ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Deflated, in the case of the minimum wage, by the consumer price index for the Caracas Metropolitan Area corresponding to the quartile with the lowest income and, in the case of other incomes, by the general consumer price index for the Caracas Metropolitan Area.

Table 23
VENEZUELA: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Billions of bolívares |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1. Current income | 101.0 | 150.6 | 160.3 | 293.9 | 8.7 | -6.4 | 49.1 | 6.4 | 833 |
| Petroleum income | 42.9 | 66.2 | 91.6 | 217.0 | 2.6 | -30.9 | 54.3 | 38.4 | 136.9 |
| Income tax | 32.5 | 47.6 | 67.0 | 150.1 | 3.7 | -39.1 | 46.5 | 40.8 | 124.0 |
| Royalties | 10.4 | 18.7 | 24.6 | 66.9 | -3.4 | 19.0 | 79.8 | 31.6 | 172.0 |
| Other tax revenue | 46.0 | 69.9 | 53.7 | 58.6 | 6.5 | 34.1 | 52.0 | -23.2 | 9.1 |
| Direct | 14.3 | 23.6 | 25.7 | 25.1 | 23.6 | 14.7 | 65.0 | 8.9 | -2.3 |
| Indirect | 31.4 | 46.2 | 28.0 | 33.5 | -1.6 | 45.6 | 47.1 | -39.4 | 19.6 |
| Customs | 5.0 | 9.8 | 12.4 | 13.5 | 43.0 | 25.2 | 96.0 | 26.5 | 8.9 |
| Exchange profits | 16.7 | 24.7 | 2.2 | - | -22.5 | 50.1 | 47.9 | -91.1 | - |
| Other | 9.7 | 11.7 | 13.4 | 20.1 | 34.8 | 50.5 | 20.6 | 14.5 | 50.0 |
| Other non-tax income | 123 | 14.5 | 15.0 | 18.3 | 77.0 | 4.9 | 17.9 | 3.4 | 22.0 |
| 2. Current expenditure | 72.2 | 112.4 | 161.9 | 259.5 | 12 | 2.1 | 55.7 | 44.0 | 603 |
| Remunerations | 24.2 | 31.7 | 40.9 | 63.0 | -0.9 | 20.0 | 31.0 | 29.0 | 54.0 |
| Interest payments | 12.7 | 24.8 | 23.6 | 50.3 | 10.6 | 6.0 | 95.3 | -4.8 | 113.1 |
| Current transfers | 30.3 | 46.4 | 47.1 | 89.1 | 13.9 | -18.8 | 53.1 | 1.5 | 89.2 |
| Other current expenditure | 5.0 | 9.5 | 50.3 | 57.0 | -10.4 | 17.2 | 90.0 | 429.5 | 13.3 |
| 3. Current savings (1-2) | 28.7 | 38.2 | -1.7 | 34.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Capital expenditure | 31.1 | 42.0 | 61.9 | 42.6 | 25.3 | 27.5 | 35.0 | 47.4 | -31.2 |
| Real investment | 9.5 | 26.7 | 41.6 | 17.0 | -10.7 | 163.6 | 181.1 | 55.8 | -59.1 |
| Other capital expenditure | 21.6 | 15.3 | 20.3 | 25.6 | 30.7 | 3.8 | -29.2 | 32.7 | 26.1 |
| 5. Total expenditure ( $2+4$ ) | 1033 | 154.4 | 223.8 | 302.1 | 11.2 | 53 | 49.5 | 44.9 | 35.0 |
| 6. Fiscal deficit (-) or surplus $(+)(1-5)$ | -23 | -3.8 | -63.6 | -8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing of deficit (or use of surplus) | 2.3 | 3.8 | 63.6 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic | 9.5 | 1,5 | 64.5 | 25.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Bank ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | 17.6 | 0.5 | 11.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale of securities | 14.3 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 21.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization | -7.5 | -13.3 | -2.8 | -10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variation in reserves <br> (- sign indicates an increase) | -1.8 | -9.6 | 13.5 | -27.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.5 | 7.9 | 39.3 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| External financing | -7.2 | 2.3 | -0.9 | -17.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disbursements | - | 10.3 | 9.5 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization | -7.2 | -8.0 | -10.4 | -23.1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of data supplied by the Central Bank of Venezuela.
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes technology tax. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes 10 billion bolívares in 1987 and 500 million in 1988 in respect of the liquidation of Fococam. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Includes agricultural debt, administrative debt, variation in the Amortization Fund, liquidation of assets and other sources of domestic financing.

Table 24
VENEZUELA: CONSOLIDATED PUBLIC SECTOR INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

|  | Billions of bolívares |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ |
| Total income | 1393 | 189.0 | 209.2 | 423.8 | - 52 | 35.7 | 10.7 | 102.6 |
| Current income | 137.6 | 186.2 | 208.8 | 423.8 | -5.3 | 35.3 | 12.1 | 103.0 |
| Central government | 55.8 | 56.9 | 68.8 | 66.9 |  | 1.9 | 20.9 | -2.7 |
| PDVSA | 48.4 | 90.0 | 99.9 | 293.5 |  | 86.0 | 11.0 | 193.9 |
| Other public enterprises | 11.7 | 21.1 | 22.1 | 39.0 |  | 80.5 | 4.8 | 76.4 |
| Other current income | 21.7 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 24.4 |  | -16.1 | -1.0 | 35.2 |
| Capital income | 1.7 | 2.8 | 0.4 | - | 0.3 | 62.4 | -85.4 | -100.0 |
| Total expenditure | 163.4 | 214.2 | 295.7 | 438.9 | 9.8 | 31.1 | 38.1 | 48.4 |
| Current expenditure | 94.6 | 120.5 | 173.6 | 279.6 | -0.4 | 27.4 | 44.0 | 61.1 |
| On consumption | 30.3 | 42.6 | 55.1 | 84.8 | -3.9 | 40.5 | 29.5 | 53.8 |
| Transfers | 20.7 | 46.0 | 46.7 | 80.2 | -14.4 | 122.3 | 1.4 | 72.0 |
| Interest | 18.6 | 28.0 | 28.5 | 69.8 | 37.6 | 50.6 | 1.9 | 144.5 |
| Exchange rate subsidy |  | 3.9 | 21.9 | 30.6 |  |  | 455.1 | 39.8 |
| Other current expenditure | 25.0 |  | 21.4 | 14.2 |  |  |  | -33.7 |
| Capital expenditure | 68.8 | 93.7 | 122.1 | 159.3 | 27.0 | 36.2 | 30.4 | 30.5 |
| Capital formation | 54.0 | 87.2 | 115.8 | 153.7 | 39.1 | 61.5 | 32.8 | 32.7 |
| Other capital expenditure | 14.8 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 5.7 | -2.8 | -56.3 | -2.1 | -10.1 |
| Deficit (-) or surplus (+) | -24.1 | -25.2 | -86.5 | -15.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of GDP | 1.5 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Bank of Venezuela and the Central Office of the Budget (OCEPRE).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 25
VENEZUELA: COEFFICIENTS RELATING TO FISCAL MANAGEMENT

|  | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|        <br> Petroleum income/current <br> income 60.1 77.4 72.3 56.5 61.0 57.6 <br> Customs revenue/indirect       | 41.9 | 35.3 | 53.2 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 33.1 | 49.0 | 33.7 |
| tax revenue | 2.3 | 1.6 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 14.7 | 30.5 | 24.2 | 22.1 | 14.6 | 19.4 |
| Interest/current expenditure <br> Current savings/capital <br> expenditure | 79.0 | 104.2 | 97.7 | 71.7 | 156.3 | 165.5 | 108.7 | 91.0 | -2.7 | 80.8 |
| Fiscal deficit (-) or surplus (+)/ <br> total expenditure | -6.1 | 2.2 | -0.8 | 8.1 | 12.3 | 15.7 | 2.3 | -2.5 | -28.4 | -2.7 |
| Petroleum income/GDP | 11.0 | 26.8 | 17.8 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 15.5 |
| Total expenditure/GDP | 19.4 | 33.9 | 24.9 | 26.9 | 21.6 | 21.1 | 20.9 | 22.9 | 25.6 | 21.6 |
| Fiscal deficit (-) or <br> surplus (+)/GDP | -1.2 | 0.7 | -0.2 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.5 | -0.6 | -7.3 | -0.6 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Bank of Venezuela and the Central Office of the Budget (OCEPRE).
${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

Table 26

## VENEZUELA: DOMESTIC INTEREST RATES

| 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {b }}$ |  | $1989^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | II | III | IV |  |  |  |

## Nominal

| Short-term bank rates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | 12.6 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 30.2 | 16.7 | 31.6 | 35.8 | 32.1 |
| Loans ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 14.9 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 34.1 | 18.3 | 34.0 | 38.1 | 39.3 |
| Mortgage rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 13.2 | 11.4 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 29.8 | 16.1 | 31.2 | 34.6 | 33.0 |
| Loans ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 14.6 | 14.2 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 22.5 | 14.2 | 25.1 | 26.7 | 26.6 |
| Real' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term bank rates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | -2.6 | 1.3 | -3.4 | -22.4 | -19.6 | -28.1 | -54.5 | -45.4 | -1.0 | 4.2 |
| Loans ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -0.6 | 4.2 |  | -19.7 | -16.8 | -25.9 | -53.9 | -44.5 | 0.7 | 9.9 |
| Mortgage rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | -2.1 | 2.1 | -2.4 | -21.7 | -18.8 | -28.3 | -54.8 | -45.6 | -1.8 | 4.9 |
| Loans ${ }^{8}$ | -0.9 | 4.7 | 0.6 | -19.8 | -16.6 | -32.3 | -55.5 | -48.1 | -7.6 | 2.5 |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Bank of Venezuela.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average annual rates. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures. $\quad{ }^{c}$ Annual rates, based on quarterly averages. Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ On term deposits with commercial banks. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ On commercial banks loans and discounts. On saving certificates in denominations from 5000 bolívares upwards and terms from 30 to 180 days in mortgage banks. ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Loans for housing construction and purchase. ' Deflated by the variation in the consumer price index for the Caracas Metropolitan Area.

Table 27
VENEZUELA: MONETARY INDICATORS

|  | Year-end balances (billions of bolívares) |  |  |  | Growth rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989^{\text {a }}$ | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Money (Mi) | 95.0 | 127.2 | 157.3 | 171.3 | 22.7 | 33.9 | 23.7 | 8.9 |
| Currency outside banks | 18.6 | 24.7 | 31.1 | 40.2 | 15.9 | 32.8 | 25.9 | 29.3 |
| Current account deposits | 76.4 | 102.5 | 126.2 | 131.1 | 24.4 | 34.2 | 23.1 | 3.9 |
| Liquidity ( $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ) | 234.6 | 277.7 | 328.4 | 463.8 | 21.7 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 41.2 |
| Factors of expansion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net international reserves | 91.6 | 113.1 | 87.7 | 305.6 | 6.9 | 23.5 | -22.5 | 248.5 |
| Domestic credit | 142.0 | 184.4 | 269.7 | 369.1 | 34.7 | 29.9 | 46.3 | 36.9 |
| To public sector | -18.2 | -33.3 | -15.5 | 43.1 | -6.7 | 83.0 | -53.5 | -378.1 |
| Central government (net) | -19.4 | -34.8 | -16.7 | 4.7 | -7.3 | 79.4 | -52.0 | -128.1 |
| Public institutions | 1.3 | 1.5 | 26.6 | 38.4 | -14.6 | 15.4 | 1673.3 | 44.4 |
| To private sector | 152.5 | 209.5 | 273.3 | 313.3 | 29.1 | 37.4 | 30.5 | 14.6 |
| Factors of absorption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quasi-money (savings and time deposits) | 119.4 | 150.5 | 170.6 | 278.4 | 3.5 | 26.0 | 13.4 | 63.2 |
| Other items (net) | -120.4 | -146.4 | -142.2 | -67.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary multipliers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mi/monetary base | 1.66 | 1.99 | 2.14 | 1.91 |  |  |  |  |
| M2/monetary base | 4.39 | 4.69 | 4.89 | 4.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Velocity of circulation GDP/M1 | 5.98 | 6.47 | 6.59 | 9.51 |  |  |  |  |

Source: ECLAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Bank of Venezuela and the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Annual averages.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These include Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica, Grenada. Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines.

[^1]:    Source: United Nations, World Economic Survey, 1990 (E/1990/55; ST/ESA/21B), New York, 1990. United Nations publication. Sales No.: S.90.II.C.1.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Total emigration in the second half of 1989 may have amounted to some 343000 , moving chiefly into the Federal Republic of Germany. Some 220000 of these were able-bodied, young, skilled individuals. The trend continued into 1990, with an outflow of over 2000 per day until the elections in the German Democratic Republic in March.
    ${ }^{3}$ This involved essentially 380000 of the Turkish minority, leaving primarily for Turkey, before the border was closed in late August 1989; perhaps 80000 of those who moved have since returned.
    ${ }^{4}$ In 1989,228 600 people - more than twice the number in 1988 - emigrated permanently from the Soviet Union (Pravda, 14 January 1990), chiefly to Israel and the United States.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ International Monetary Fund, WorldEconomicOutlook, Washington, D.C., May 1990, table 24.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ This section and the subsequent four sections deal with only the Latin American countries (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Chile, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela). The Caribbean countries other than those contained in that list are discussed in a later section.

[^5]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
    " Preliminary figures.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ These include Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay, which have a history of high inflation dating back several decades, as well as Peru and Nicaragua, which joined their ranks in the 1970s and early 1980s. Although Bolivia, Chile and Mexico also have experienced persistently high and, at times, runaway inflation, they cannot legitimately be included in this group because their inflationary processes have now been brought under control.
    ${ }^{2}$ This group is made up of the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Mexico and Venezuela. These countries have had high rates of inflation during some periods in the 1980s but had low rates during earlier decades.

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ Five of the countries in this group (Colombia, Costa Rica, il Salvador, Guatemala and Paraguay) have almost invariably had a moderate rate of inflation. The other two countries in this group (Bolivia and Chile) had high rates in the past and have even experienced hyperinflation, but for some years noiv have had moderate rates similar to those observed in the other countries in this category, i.e., less than $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ annually.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The chapter on Argentina places the drop in real wages at $28 \%$. This figure was arrived at by calculating nominal monthly wages as deflated by the average of the consumer price indexes for the pay period and the following month, whereas the figure given in table 16 was calculated on the basis of average yearly wages deflated by the annual average consumer price index.

[^9]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the chapter on Brazil, the increase in real industrial» figures is due to the fact that in the above-mentioned chapter the figures shown in table 13 are based on the annual averag
    ;es in São Paulo is estimated at 7\%. The difference in these il wages were calculated on a month-to-month basis, whereas of nominal price and wage indexes.

[^10]:    ${ }^{3}$ The chapter on Uruguay indicates that average real wagi dropped by $0.4 \%$. The difference between the two figures is due to the fact that in the above-mentioned chapter real w£ es were calculated on a month-to-month basis, whereas the figures shown in table 16 were calculated on the basis of the nnual averages of nominal price and wage indexes.

[^11]:    Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.

[^12]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{a}$ Excluding net payments of profits and interest.

[^13]:    Sources: 1982-1987: ECIAC, on the basis of data from the International Monetary Fund; 1988 and 1989: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The net inward transfer of resources is equal to net capital inflow (official transfer payments, short- and long-term capital, and errors and omissions) minus net payments of profits and interest, which includes both the interest actually paid and interest due but not paid. Preliminary figures. " Eighteen countries. Does not include Panama.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The funds are officially organized as follows. In the framework of case-by-case consideration, the World Bank "will reserve" $25 \%$ of the loans programme for the respective country's structural adjustment, over a period of three years, in prder to finance reductions of the debt principal. This represents about $10 \%$ of total loans. The Bank could, moreover, provide "additional" resources equivalent to $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ of the total three-year credit programme for the purpose of financing interest guarantees for instruments exchanged for old debt. The Fund will also proceed case by case and will consider the possibility of "reserving" $25 \%$ of the respective country's access, in accordance with an extended agreement or a special drawing rights agreement, for the purpose of supporting debt-reduction operations. It could also provide "additional" resources equivalent to $40 \%$ of the member-country's quota, in order to finance interest guarantees.

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ US $\$ 3.5$ billion invested in zero-coupon United States Treasury bonds, under conditions prevailing in the market at the date of the agreement, would be worth US $\$ 35$ billion in 30 years.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ The expression "English-speaking countries of the Caribbean" refers to the following countries in the subregion for which information is available: Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Montserrat, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines and Trinidad and Tobago. When data are available, the Dutch-speaking countries, such as Aruba, Netherlands Antilles and Suriname, are also included.

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ This includes Antigua and Barbuda, the British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Grenada, Montserrat, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines.

[^18]:    ${ }^{3}$ Including disbursed debt and arrears in interest payments by governments.

[^19]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official Figures.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The flow of profit also poses the problem of the domestic generation of resources and their transfer. In the case of direct investments, these yield their own profits in national currency and contribute, in turn, to the generation of the foreign exchange required for transfers to the extent that their additional production is exported or displaces imports in total expenditure. This is also true of loans that have been soundly invested. The problem of transfers abroad disappears when such transfers are covered by the balance-of-payments position. The problem of the domestic transfer of resources or 'liquidity" arises where the debtor entity (public or private) has not invested the loan productively, or where (the State) it has invested it in infrastructure which generates external economies -from which it does not receive the benefit- or, finally, where (the State) has assumed the debt of insolvent enterprises. In all of these cases (in the first as lender of last resort) the State must rely on mechanisms that permit it to domestically attract the deposits needed to acquire the foreign exchange generated by the exporters, the failure of which effort would necessitate recourse to inflationary financing in order to meet external transfer requirements, which if not met, would then result in slipping into arrears.

[^21]:    ${ }^{2}$ Import capacity in real terms is defined as the algebraic sum of exports, the payment of factors abroad and the flow of capital, each of which is deflated by the prices of imports, with the twin objective of achieving intertemporal comparability and measuring needs in terms of external purchases.
    

[^22]:    ${ }^{3}$ The rise in the international price of petroleum in the 1970s led, moreover, to a contraction of demand and, consequently, to a drop in the volume exported.

[^23]:    ${ }^{4}$ For an analysis of the systemic origins of the debt of Lat America and the Caribbean see ECLAC, Latin America and the Caribbean: options to reduce the debt burden (LC/G.1605,-Santiago, Chile, March 1990.

[^24]:    ${ }^{5}$ The value of world trade increased at a similar rate

[^25]:    *The fiscal deficit went from $2.7 \%$ of the product in 1981 to $4.1 \%$ and $6.2 \%$ in the next two years and to an average of $5 \%$ between 1984 and 1986, while the external current account which was in surplus by just under US\$7 billion in 1981 turned negative by almost US\$9 billion in 1982, by more than US $\$ 46$ billion in 1983 and more than trebled that deficit between 1983 and 1987. (Sec International Financial Statistics, International Monetary Fund, various issues.)

[^26]:    ${ }^{7}$ For a detailed analysis of the causes of the crisis, see ECLAC, Políticas de ajuste y renegociación de la deuda externa de America Latina (LC/G.1332), Cuadernos de la CEPAL series, No. 48, Santiago, Chile, 1984, United Nations publication, Sales No. S.84.II.G.18, and the case studies of selected countries in Miguel Wionczek (eà.), La crisis de ;adeuda externa en América Latina, vol. 2, Mexico City, Fondo de Cultura Económica, 1987.

[^27]:    ${ }^{8}$ In the case of Ecuador, positive transfers accounted for almost $4 \%$ of the product during that period, thanks to foreign investment in the exploitation of its hydrocarbons.

[^28]:    ${ }^{10}$ See, for example, ECLAC, Latin American and Caribbean Development: Obstacles requirements and options (LC/G.1440-P), Cuadernos de la CEPAL series, No. 55, Santiago, Chile, June 1987. United Nations publication, Sales No. S.87.II.G.9, especially pages 17-19; ECLAC, Towards sustained de\>elopment in Latin America and the Caribbean: restrictions and requisites (LC/G.1540-P), Cuadernos de la CEPAL series, No. 61, Santiago, Chile, January 1989. United Nations publication, Sales No. S.89.II.G.3, especially pages 17-24; and Preliminary overview of the economy of Latin America and the Caribbean presented in December of each year, several issues, particularly the last section.
    ${ }^{11}$ See ECLAC, Endeudamiento externoy crecimiento en América Latinay el Caribe: consecuencias económicas de la propuesta de reducción de la carga de la deuda formulada por la Secretaría Permanente del SELA, comparadas con las de escenarios alternativos (LC/R.841), Santiago, Chile, December 1989.
    ${ }^{12}$ The methodological annex contains the assumptions used, for each variable, in the simulation of the basic scenario.

[^29]:    ${ }^{13}$ Despite the increase in exports and the relative steadiness of the terms of trade, which are assumed in this basic scenario, a limitation of imports would be required in most cases to permit the full servicing of the debt, since the possibilities of increased net substitution of imports have been largely exhausted.
    ${ }^{14}$ This new assumption coincides with that used by the IMF in World Economic Outlook, May 1990, in which this organization modifies its estimate of April 1989, which had forecast a drop in international interest rates.

[^30]:    Source: International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics.
    ${ }_{\text {a }}$ 1972-1973. b 1982-1987. ${ }^{\text {c 1 1982-1986. }}$

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ See ECLAC, Endeudamiento externo y crecimiento..., op. cit.
    ${ }^{2}$ These hypotheses were selected on the basis of the better known projections, such as those of the World Bank, the IMF, Whartov Econometric Forecasting Associates, the European Community and the OECD.
    ${ }^{3}$ See International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook, Washington, D.C., April 1989.

[^32]:    Source: National Statistical Institute, Central Bank of Bolivia and Ministry of Peasant and Agricultural Affairs.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

[^33]:    Source: EÎCLAC, on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

[^34]:    Source: Central Bank of Bolivia, Boletín Estadístico, December 1989.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual averages. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary figures

[^35]:    Source: ECLAC: on the basts of official data.

[^36]:    Source: Brazilian Geographical and Statistical Institute (IBGE), "Levantamento Sistemático da Produção Agrícola".
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Millions of units. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Millions of litres.

[^37]:    Source: Central Bank of Brazil.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary Figures.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ The second phase of the structural adjustment programme, which went into effect in late 1989, provides for the following measures: i) the setting of a new tariff ceiling of $40 \%$ and a tariff floor of $5 \%$; ii) the continuation of export incentives, with some modifications from 1991 onward; iii) the continuation of the system of mini-devaluations and reductions in prior deposits on imports; iv) a move towards putting domestic prices for basic grains on a par with their international prices; v) the provision of technical and marketing assistance to small-scale farmers through the National Production Council (CNP); vi) the maintenance of the portfolio of preferential loans at subsidized interest rates at its 1986 level; vii) new accounting and collection procedures for State banks to prevent losses; and viii) an increase in public-sector saving and a reduction in public investment as a percentage of the gross domestic product.

[^39]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Costa Rican Electricity Institute (ICE) and by the Central Bank of Costa Rica.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ' Includes electricity consumed in ICE construction projects. 'Estimated on the basis of figures for the period January-October.

[^40]:    'The import capacity of the reserves in freely convertib rouble reserves fell to less than half of their end-1988 level.

[^41]:    ${ }^{2}$ It may be mentioned in this connection that Cuba supplies approximately $40 \%$ of the sugar, $30 \%$ of the citrus fruit and $70 \%$ of the nickel consumed in the Soviet Union. In the biotechnology field as well, a barter-trade agreement was signed between the two countries.

[^42]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the State Statistical Committee
    ${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Figures obtained by difference.

[^43]:    Source: Department of Economics, University of Chile, and National Statistical Institute (INE).
    " According to data supplied by the Department of Economics, University of Chile.

[^44]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

[^45]:    : Minimum real wages of workers in industries and services in San Salvador.

[^46]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Reserve Bank of El Salvador.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

[^47]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated on the basis of the average annual wage. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Deflated by consumer price index.

[^48]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Banco de Guatemala.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes official external transfers and floating debt.

[^49]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, weighted in accordance with the current price structure at 1980 prices. The trends construed do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries in their calculations based on constant prices.
    ${ }^{a}$ Preliminary figures.

[^50]:    Source: ECIAC, on the basis of official figures.
    Preliminary figures. Percentages. : Does not include increases on account of arrears of interest.

[^51]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Percentages. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Weighted average for the 16 largest cities. Up to 1983 only the metropolitan areas of Mexico City, Guadalajara and Monterrey were taken into consideration.

[^52]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Ministry of the Economy, Industry and Trade.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

[^53]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures provided by the Ministry of Planning and the Budget.

[^54]:    Source: Ministry of Finance, Technical Secretariat for Planning, Budget Department, and International Monetary Fund.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures. Includes supplier credits, issue and amortization of bonds and amortization of commitments carried over from previous years.

[^55]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures supplied by the Central Bank of Paraguay.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes the Livestock Fund.

[^56]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of official figures, reweighted according to the 1980 price structure at current prices. Therefore, the figures shown here do not necessarily coincide with those published by the countries based on their calculations at constant prices.
    ${ }^{1}$ Preliminary figures. Include stock raising, forestry and fishery.

[^57]:    ${ }^{3}$ These countries are given preferential treatment by the countries of the European Community. The Dominican Republic's application to accede to the fourth Lomé Convention was supported by the ACP States in October 1989.

[^58]:    Source: ECLAC, on the basis of figures supplied by the Central Bank of the Dominican Republic.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.

[^59]:    'The quasi-fiscal deficit is mainly related to the interest on the external debt originating in commitments contracted as a result of the acquisition by the Central Bank of portfolios of delinquent debts from banks with financial problems. It also includes the nominal payment of interest on the domestic debt in local currency for an amount approximately equivalent to $1 \%$ of the product.

[^60]:    Source: Data prepared by the Department of Statistics and Censuses.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated on the basis of the minimum increases decreed by the Government up to October 1980 and wages actually paid thereafter. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ In March 1990 these negotiations culminated in an announcement that an agreement in principle had been reached regarding the restructuring of the debt which included a fairly long list of options.

[^62]:    Source: ECIAC, on the basis of information provided by the Central Bank of Venezuela.
    ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary figures. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Consumer price index for the Caracas Metropolitan Area.

